The Factorization Algorithm of Berlekamp and Zassenhaus *

Jose Divasón Sebastiaan Joosten René Thiemann
Akihisa Yamada

September 13, 2023

Abstract

We formalize the Berlekamp-Zassenhaus algorithm for factoring square-free integer polynomials in Isabelle/HOL. We further adapt an existing formalization of Yun's square-free factorization algorithm to integer polynomials, and thus provide an efficient and certified factorization algorithm for arbitrary univariate polynomials.

The algorithm first performs a factorization in the prime field GF(p) and then performs computations in the integer ring modulo p^k, where both p and k are determined at runtime. Since a natural modeling of these structures via dependent types is not possible in Isabelle/HOL, we formalize the whole algorithm using Isabelle's recent addition of local type definitions.

Through experiments we verify that our algorithm factors polynomials of degree 100 within seconds.

Contents

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

1 Introduction

Modern algorithms to factor integer polynomials – following Berlekamp and Zassenhaus – work via polynomial factorization over prime fields GF(p) and quotient rings $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ [2, 3]. Algorithm 1 illustrates the basic structure of such an algorithm.[1]

Algorithm 1: A modern factorization algorithm

Input: Square-free integer polynomial f.
Output: Irreducible factors $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ such that $f = f_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot f_n$.
4 Choose a suitable prime p depending on f.
5 Factor f in GF(p): $f \equiv g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_m \pmod{p}$.
6 Determine a suitable bound d on the degree, depending on $g_1, \ldots, g_m$. Choose an exponent k such that every coefficient of a factor of a given multiple of f in $\mathbb{Z}$ with degree at most d can be uniquely represent by a number below p^k.
7 From step 5 compute the unique factorization $f \equiv h_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot h_m \pmod{p^k}$ via the Hensel lifting.
8 Construct a factorization $f = f_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot f_n$ over the integers where each f_i corresponds to the product of one or more h_j.

In previous work on algebraic numbers [12], we implemented Algorithm 1 in Isabelle/HOL [11] as a function of type *int poly* ⇒ *int poly list*, where we chose Berlekamp's algorithm in step 5. However, the algorithm was available only as an oracle, and thus a validity check on the result factorization had to be performed.

In this work we fully formalize the correctness of our implementation.

Theorem 1 (Berlekamp-Zassenhaus' Algorithm)

assumes *square_free* (*f* :: *int poly*)
and *degree f* ≠ 0
and *berlekamp_zassenhaus_factorization f* = *fs*
shows *f* = *prod_list fs*
and ∀*f*$_i$ ∈ *set fs*. *irreducible f*$_i$

[1] Our algorithm starts with step 4, so that section numbers and step-numbers coincide.

To obtain Theorem 1 we perform the following tasks.

- We introduce two formulations of GF(p) and $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$. We first define a type to represent these domains, employing ideas from HOL multivariate analysis. This is essential for reusing many type-based algorithms from the Isabelle distribution and the AFP (archive of formal proofs). At some points in our developement, the type-based setting is still too restrictive. Hence we also introduce a second formulation which is *locale-based*.

- The prime p in step 4 must be chosen so that f remains square-free in GF(p). For the termination of the algorithm, we prove that such a prime always exists.

- We explain Berlekamp's algorithm that factors polynomials over prime fields, and formalize its correctness using the type-based representation. Since Isabelle's code generation does not work for the type-based representation of prime fields, we define an implementation of Berlekamp's algorithm which avoids type-based polynomial algorithms and type-based prime fields. The soundness of this implementation is proved via the transfer package [5]: we transform the type-based soundness statement of Berlekamp's algorithm into a statement which speaks solely about integer polynomials. Here, we crucially rely upon local type definitions [9] to eliminate the presence of the type for the prime field GF(p).

- For step 6 we need to find a bound on the coefficients of the factors of a polynomial. For this purpose, we formalize Mignotte's factor bound. During this formalization task we detected a bug in our previous oracle implementation, which computed improper bounds on the degrees of factors.

- We formalize the Hensel lifting. As for Berlekamp's algorithm, we first formalize basic operations in the type-based setting. Unfortunately, however, this result cannot be extended to the full Hensel lifting. Therefore, we model the Hensel lifting in a locale-based way so that modulo operation is explicitly applied on polynomials.

- For the reconstruction in step 8 we closely follow the description of Knuth [7, page 452]. Here, we use the same representation of polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ as for the Hensel lifting.

- We adapt an existing square-free factorization algorithm from $\mathbb{Q}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$. In combination with the previous results this leads to a factorization algorithm for arbitrary integer and rational polynomials.

To our knowledge, this is the first formalization of the Berlekamp-Zassenhaus algorithm. For instance, Barthe et al. report that there is no formalization of an efficient factorization algorithm over GF(p) available in Coq [1, Section 6, note 3 on formalization].

Some key theorems leading to the algorithm have already been formalized in Isabelle or other proof assistants. In ACL2, for instance, polynomials over a field are shown to be a unique factorization domain (UFD) [4]. A more general result, namely that polynomials over UFD are also UFD, was already developed in Isabelle/HOL for implementing algebraic numbers [12] and an independent development by Eberl is now available in the Isabelle distribution.

An Isabelle formalization of Hensel's lemma is provided by Kobayashi et al. [8], who defined the valuations of polynomials via Cauchy sequences, and used this setup to prove the lemma. Consequently, their result requires a 'valuation ring' as precondition in their formalization. While this extra precondition is theoretically met in our setting, we did not attempt to reuse their results, because the type of polynomials in their formalization (from HOL-Algebra) differs from the polynomials in our development (from HOL/Library). Instead, we formalize a direct proof for Hensel's lemma. Our formalizations are incomparable: On the one hand, Kobayashi et al. did not consider only integer polynomials as we do. On the other hand, we additionally formalize the quadratic Hensel lifting [13], extend the lifting from binary to n-ary factorizations, and prove a uniqueness result, which is required for proving the soundness of Theorem 1.

A Coq formalization of Hensel's lemma is also available, which is used for certifying integral roots and 'hardest-to-round computation' [10]. If one is interested in certifying a factorization, rather than a certified algorithm that performs it, it suffices to test that all the found factors are irreducible. Kirkels [6] formalized a sufficient criterion for this test in Coq: when a polynomial is irreducible modulo some prime, it is also irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}$. Both formalizations are in Coq, and we did not attempt to reuse them.

2 Finite Rings and Fields

We start by establishing some preliminary results about finite rings and finite fields

2.1 Finite Rings

theory *Finite-Field*
imports
HOL−Computational-Algebra.Primes
HOL−Number-Theory.Residues
HOL−Library.Cardinality

Subresultants.Binary-Exponentiation
Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
begin

typedef (*'a*::*finite*) *mod-ring* = {*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)} **by** *auto*

setup-lifting *type-definition-mod-ring*

lemma *CARD-mod-ring*[*simp*]: *CARD*(*'a mod-ring*) = *CARD*(*'a*::*finite*)
proof −
have *card* {*y*. ∃ *x*∈{*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)}. (*y*::*'a mod-ring*) = *Abs-mod-ring x*} = *card* {*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)}
proof (*rule bij-betw-same-card*)
have *inj-on Rep-mod-ring* {*y*. ∃ *x*∈{*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)}. *y* = *Abs-mod-ring x*}
by (*meson Rep-mod-ring-inject inj-onI*)
moreover have *Rep-mod-ring* ' {*y*. ∃ *x*∈{*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)}. (*y*::*'a mod-ring*) = *Abs-mod-ring x*} = {*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)}
proof (*auto simp add*: *image-def Rep-mod-ring-inject*)
fix *xb* **show** *0* ≤ *Rep-mod-ring* (*Abs-mod-ring xb*)
using *Rep-mod-ring atLeastLessThan-iff* **by** *blast*
assume *xb1*: *0* ≤ *xb* **and** *xb2*: *xb* < *int CARD*(*'a*)
thus *Rep-mod-ring* (*Abs-mod-ring xb*) < *int CARD*(*'a*)
by (*metis Abs-mod-ring-inverse Rep-mod-ring atLeastLessThan-iff le-less-trans linear*)
have *xb*: *xb* ∈ {*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)} **using** *xb1 xb2* **by** *simp*
show ∃ *xa*::*'a mod-ring*. (∃ *x*∈{*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)}. *xa* = *Abs-mod-ring x*) ∧ *xb* = *Rep-mod-ring xa*
by (*rule exI*[*of* - *Abs-mod-ring xb*], *auto simp add*: *xb1 xb2*, *rule Abs-mod-ring-inverse*[*OF xb*, *symmetric*])
qed
ultimately show *bij-betw Rep-mod-ring*
{*y*. ∃ *x*∈{*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)}. (*y*::*'a mod-ring*) = *Abs-mod-ring x*}
{*0*..<*int CARD*(*'a*)}
by (*simp add*: *bij-betw-def*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *type-definition.univ*[*OF type-definition-mod-ring*]
unfolding *image-def* **by** *auto*
qed

instance *mod-ring* :: (*finite*) *finite*
proof (*intro-classes*)
show *finite* (*UNIV*::*'a mod-ring set*)
unfolding *type-definition.univ*[*OF type-definition-mod-ring*]
using *finite* **by** *simp*
qed

instantiation *mod-ring* :: (*finite*) *equal*

begin
lift-definition *equal-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *bool* **is** (=) **.**
instance by (*intro-classes*, *transfer*, *auto*)
end

instantiation *mod-ring* :: (*finite*) *comm-ring*
begin

lift-definition *plus-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **is**
  λ *x y*. (*x* + *y*) *mod int* (*CARD*(*'a*)) **by** *simp*

lift-definition *uminus-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **is**
  λ *x*. *if x* = *0 then 0 else int* (*CARD*(*'a*)) − *x* **by** *simp*

lift-definition *minus-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **is**
  λ *x y*. (*x* − *y*) *mod int* (*CARD*(*'a*)) **by** *simp*

lift-definition *times-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **is**
  λ *x y*. (*x* ∗ *y*) *mod int* (*CARD*(*'a*)) **by** *simp*

lift-definition *zero-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* **is** *0* **by** *simp*

instance
  by *standard*
    (*transfer*; *auto simp add*: *mod-simps algebra-simps intro*: *mod-diff-cong*)+

end

lift-definition *to-int-mod-ring* :: *'a*::*finite mod-ring* ⇒ *int* **is** λ *x*. *x* **.**

lift-definition *of-int-mod-ring* :: *int* ⇒ *'a*::*finite mod-ring* **is**
  λ *x*. *x mod int* (*CARD*(*'a*)) **by** *simp*

interpretation *to-int-mod-ring-hom*: *inj-zero-hom to-int-mod-ring*
  by (*unfold-locales*; *transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *int-nat-card*[*simp*]: *int* (*nat CARD*(*'a*::*finite*)) = *CARD*(*'a*) **by** *auto*

interpretation *of-int-mod-ring-hom*: *zero-hom of-int-mod-ring*
  by (*unfold-locales*, *transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *of-int-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring*[*simp*]:
  of-int-mod-ring (*to-int-mod-ring x*) = *x* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *to-int-mod-ring-of-int-mod-ring*[*simp*]: *0* ≤ *x* ⟹ *x* < *int CARD*(*'a* :: *finite*) ⟹
  to-int-mod-ring (*of-int-mod-ring x* :: *'a mod-ring*) = *x*
  by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *range-to-int-mod-ring*:
  range (*to-int-mod-ring* :: ('*a* :: *finite mod-ring* ⇒ *int*)) = {*0* ..< *CARD*('*a*)}
  apply (*intro equalityI subsetI*)
  apply (*elim rangeE*, *transfer*, *force*)
  by (*auto intro*!: *range-eqI to-int-mod-ring-of-int-mod-ring*[*symmetric*])

2.2 Nontrivial Finite Rings

class *nontriv* = **assumes** *nontriv*: *CARD*('*a*) > *1*

subclass(**in** *nontriv*) *finite* **by**(*intro-classes*,*insert nontriv*,*auto intro*:*card-ge-0-finite*)

instantiation *mod-ring* :: (*nontriv*) *comm-ring-1*
begin

lift-definition *one-mod-ring* :: '*a mod-ring* **is** *1* **using** *nontriv*[**where** *?*'*a*='*a*] **by** *auto*

instance by (*intro-classes*; *transfer*, *simp*)

end

interpretation *to-int-mod-ring-hom*: *inj-one-hom to-int-mod-ring*
  by (*unfold-locales*, *transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *of-nat-of-int-mod-ring* [*code-unfold*]:
  of-nat = *of-int-mod-ring o int*
proof (*rule ext*, *unfold o-def*)
  show *of-nat n* = *of-int-mod-ring* (*int n*) **for** *n*
  proof (*induct n*)
    case (*Suc n*)
    show *?case*
      by (*simp only*: *of-nat-Suc Suc*, *transfer*) (*simp add*: *mod-simps*)
  qed *simp*
qed

lemma *of-nat-card-eq-0*[*simp*]: (*of-nat* (*CARD*('*a*::*nontriv*)) :: '*a mod-ring*) = *0*
  by (*unfold of-nat-of-int-mod-ring*, *transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *of-int-of-int-mod-ring*[*code-unfold*]: *of-int* = *of-int-mod-ring*
proof (*rule ext*)
  fix *x* :: *int*
  obtain *n1 n2* **where** *x*: *x* = *int n1* − *int n2* **by** (*rule int-diff-cases*)
  show *of-int x* = *of-int-mod-ring x*
    unfolding *x of-int-diff of-int-of-nat-eq of-nat-of-int-mod-ring o-def*
    by (*transfer*, *simp add*: *mod-diff-right-eq mod-diff-left-eq*)
qed

unbundle *lifting-syntax*

lemma *pcr-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring*: *pcr-mod-ring* = (λ*x y*. *x* = *to-int-mod-ring y*)
unfolding *mod-ring.pcr-cr-eq* **unfolding** *cr-mod-ring-def to-int-mod-ring.rep-eq*
..

lemma [*transfer-rule*]:
((=) ===> *pcr-mod-ring*) (λ *x*. *int x mod int* (*CARD*('*a* :: *nontriv*))) (*of-nat* :: *nat* ⇒ '*a mod-ring*)
by (*intro rel-funI*, *unfold pcr-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring of-nat-of-int-mod-ring*, *transfer*, *auto*)

lemma [*transfer-rule*]:
((=) ===> *pcr-mod-ring*) (λ *x*. *x mod int* (*CARD*('*a* :: *nontriv*))) (*of-int* :: *int* ⇒ '*a mod-ring*)
by (*intro rel-funI*, *unfold pcr-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring of-int-of-int-mod-ring*, *transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *one-mod-card* [*simp*]: *1 mod CARD*('*a*::*nontriv*) = *1*
using *mod-less nontriv* **by** *blast*

lemma *Suc-0-mod-card* [*simp*]: *Suc 0 mod CARD*('*a*::*nontriv*) = *1*
using *one-mod-card* **by** *simp*

lemma *one-mod-card-int* [*simp*]: *1 mod int CARD*('*a*::*nontriv*) = *1*
proof −
from *nontriv* [**where** *?'a* = '*a*] **have** *int* (*1 mod CARD*('*a*::*nontriv*)) = *1*
by *simp*
then show *?thesis*
using *of-nat-mod* [*of 1 CARD*('*a*), **where** *?'a* = *int*] **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *pow-mod-ring-transfer*[*transfer-rule*]:
(*pcr-mod-ring* ===> (=) ===> *pcr-mod-ring*)
(λ*a*::*int*. λ*n*. *a*^*n mod CARD*('*a*::*nontriv*)) ((^)::'*a mod-ring* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ '*a mod-ring*)
unfolding *pcr-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring*
proof (*intro rel-funI*,*simp*)
fix *x*::'*a mod-ring* **and** *n*
show *to-int-mod-ring x* ^ *n mod int CARD*('*a*) = *to-int-mod-ring* (*x* ^ *n*)
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
thus *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
have *to-int-mod-ring* (*x* ^ *Suc n*) = *to-int-mod-ring* (*x* ∗ *x* ^ *n*) **by** *auto*
also have ... = *to-int-mod-ring x* ∗ *to-int-mod-ring* (*x* ^ *n*) *mod CARD*('*a*)
unfolding *to-int-mod-ring-def* **using** *times-mod-ring.rep-eq* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *to-int-mod-ring x* ∗ (*to-int-mod-ring x* ^ *n mod CARD*('*a*)) *mod CARD*('*a*)

```
    using Suc.hyps by auto
  also have ... = to-int-mod-ring x ^ Suc n mod int CARD('a)
    by (simp add: mod-simps)
  finally show ?case ..
 qed
qed

lemma dvd-mod-ring-transfer[transfer-rule]:
((pcr-mod-ring :: int ⇒ 'a :: nontriv mod-ring ⇒ bool) ===>
 (pcr-mod-ring :: int ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ bool) ===> (=))
 (λ i j. ∃ k ∈ {0..<int CARD('a)}. j = i * k mod int CARD('a)) (dvd)
proof (unfold pcr-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring, intro rel-funI iffI)
 fix x y :: 'a mod-ring and i j
 assume i: i = to-int-mod-ring x and j: j = to-int-mod-ring y
 { assume x dvd y
  then obtain z where y = x * z by (elim dvdE, auto)
  then have j = i * to-int-mod-ring z mod CARD('a) by (unfold i j, transfer)
  with range-to-int-mod-ring
  show ∃ k ∈ {0..<int CARD('a)}. j = i * k mod CARD('a) by auto
 }
 assume ∃ k ∈ {0..<int CARD('a)}. j = i * k mod CARD('a)
 then obtain k where k: k ∈ {0..<int CARD('a)} and dvd: j = i * k mod
CARD('a) by auto
 from k have to-int-mod-ring (of-int k :: 'a mod-ring) = k by (transfer, auto)
 also from dvd have j = i * ... mod CARD('a) by auto
 finally have y = x * (of-int k :: 'a mod-ring) unfolding i j using k by (transfer,
auto)
 then show x dvd y by auto
qed

lemma Rep-mod-ring-mod[simp]: Rep-mod-ring (a :: 'a :: nontriv mod-ring) mod
CARD('a) = Rep-mod-ring a
 using Rep-mod-ring[where 'a = 'a] by auto
```

2.3 Finite Fields

When the domain is prime, the ring becomes a field

```
class prime-card = assumes prime-card: prime (CARD('a))
begin
lemma prime-card-int: prime (int (CARD('a))) using prime-card by auto

subclass nontriv using prime-card prime-gt-1-nat by (intro-classes,auto)
end

instantiation mod-ring :: (prime-card) field
begin

definition inverse-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring where
 inverse-mod-ring x = (if x = 0 then 0 else x ^ (nat (CARD('a) - 2)))
```

```
definition divide-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring  where
  divide-mod-ring x y = x * ((λc. if c = 0 then 0 else c ^ (nat (CARD('a) - 2)))
y)

instance
proof
  fix a b c::'a mod-ring
  show inverse 0 = (0::'a mod-ring) by (simp add: inverse-mod-ring-def)
  show a div b = a * inverse b
    unfolding inverse-mod-ring-def by (transfer', simp add: divide-mod-ring-def)
  show a ≠ 0 ⟹ inverse a * a = 1
  proof (unfold inverse-mod-ring-def, transfer)
    let ?p=CARD('a)
    fix x
    assume x: x ∈ {0..<int CARD('a)} and x0: x ≠ 0
    have p0': 0≤?p by auto
    have ¬ ?p dvd x
      using x x0 zdvd-imp-le by fastforce
    then have ¬ CARD('a) dvd nat |x|
      by simp
    with x have ¬ CARD('a) dvd nat x
      by simp
    have rw: x ^ nat (int (?p - 2)) * x = x ^ nat (?p - 1)
    proof -
      have p2: 0 ≤ int (?p-2) using x by simp
      have card-rw: (CARD('a) - Suc 0) = nat (1 + int (CARD('a) - 2))
        using nat-eq-iff x x0 by auto
      have x ^ nat (?p - 2)*x = x ^ (Suc (nat (?p - 2))) by simp
      also have ... = x ^ (nat (?p - 1))
        using Suc-nat-eq-nat-zadd1[OF p2] card-rw by auto
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    have [int (nat x ^ (CARD('a) - 1)) = int 1] (mod CARD('a))
      using fermat-theorem [OF prime-card ‹¬ CARD('a) dvd nat x›]
      by (simp only: cong-def cong-def of-nat-mod [symmetric])
    then have *: [x ^ (CARD('a) - 1) = 1] (mod CARD('a))
      using x by auto
    have x ^ (CARD('a) - 2) mod CARD('a) * x mod CARD('a)
      = (x ^ nat (CARD('a) - 2) * x) mod CARD('a) by (simp add: mod-simps)
    also have ... =  (x ^ nat (?p - 1) mod ?p) unfolding rw by simp
   also have ... = (x ^(nat ?p - 1) mod ?p) using p0' by (simp add: nat-diff-distrib')
    also have ... = 1
      using * by (simp add: cong-def)
   finally show (if x = 0 then 0 else x ^nat (int (CARD('a) - 2)) mod CARD('a))
* x mod CARD('a) = 1
      using x0 by auto
  qed
qed
```

end

instantiation *mod-ring* :: (*prime-card*) {*normalization-euclidean-semiring*, *euclidean-ring*}
begin

definition *modulo-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **where** *modulo-mod-ring x y* = (*if y* = *0 then x else 0*)
definition *normalize-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **where** *normalize-mod-ring x* = (*if x* = *0 then 0 else 1*)
definition *unit-factor-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **where** *unit-factor-mod-ring x* = *x*
definition *euclidean-size-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *nat* **where** *euclidean-size-mod-ring x* = (*if x* = *0 then 0 else 1*)

instance
proof (*intro-classes*)
fix *a* :: *'a mod-ring* **show** *a* ≠ *0* ⟹ *unit-factor a dvd 1*
unfolding *dvd-def unit-factor-mod-ring-def* **by** (*intro exI*[*of - inverse a*], *auto*)
qed (*auto simp*: *normalize-mod-ring-def unit-factor-mod-ring-def modulo-mod-ring-def euclidean-size-mod-ring-def field-simps*)
end

instantiation *mod-ring* :: (*prime-card*) *euclidean-ring-gcd*
begin

definition *gcd-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **where** *gcd-mod-ring* = *Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd*
definition *lcm-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **where** *lcm-mod-ring* = *Euclidean-Algorithm.lcm*
definition *Gcd-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring set* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **where** *Gcd-mod-ring* = *Euclidean-Algorithm.Gcd*
definition *Lcm-mod-ring* :: *'a mod-ring set* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* **where** *Lcm-mod-ring* = *Euclidean-Algorithm.Lcm*

instance by (*intro-classes*, *auto simp*: *gcd-mod-ring-def lcm-mod-ring-def Gcd-mod-ring-def Lcm-mod-ring-def*)
end

instantiation *mod-ring* :: (*prime-card*) *unique-euclidean-ring*
begin

definition [*simp*]: *division-segment-mod-ring* (*x* :: *'a mod-ring*) = (*1* :: *'a mod-ring*)

instance by *intro-classes* (*auto simp*: *euclidean-size-mod-ring-def split*: *if-splits*)

end

instance *mod-ring* :: (*prime-card*) *field-gcd*
by *intro-classes auto*

lemma *surj-of-nat-mod-ring*: $\exists\ i.\ i < CARD('a :: prime\text{-}card) \wedge (x :: 'a\ mod\text{-}ring) = of\text{-}nat\ i$
by (*rule exI*[*of - nat* (*to-int-mod-ring x*)], *unfold of-nat-of-int-mod-ring o-def*, *subst nat-0-le*, *transfer*, *simp*, *simp*, *transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *of-nat-0-mod-ring-dvd*: **assumes** *x*: *of-nat x* = (*0* :: *'a* ::*prime-card mod-ring*)
shows *CARD*('*a*) *dvd x*
proof −
let *?x* = *of-nat x* :: *int*
from *x* **have** *of-int-mod-ring ?x* = (*0* :: *'a mod-ring*) **by** (*fold of-int-of-int-mod-ring*, *simp*)
hence *?x mod CARD*('*a*) = *0* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)
hence *x mod CARD*('*a*) = *0* **by** *presburger*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *mod-eq-0-iff-dvd* **.**
qed

end

3 Arithmetics via Records

We create a locale for rings and fields based on a record that includes all the necessary operations.

theory *Arithmetic-Record-Based*
imports
HOL−Library.More-List
HOL−Computational-Algebra.Euclidean-Algorithm
begin
datatype *'a arith-ops-record* = *Arith-Ops-Record*
(*zero* : *'a*)
(*one* : *'a*)
(*plus* : *'a* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a*)
(*times* : *'a* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a*)
(*minus* : *'a* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a*)
(*uminus* : *'a* ⇒ *'a*)
(*divide* : *'a* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a*)
(*inverse* : *'a* ⇒ *'a*)
(*modulo* : *'a* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a*)
(*normalize* : *'a* ⇒ *'a*)
(*unit-factor* : *'a* ⇒ *'a*)
(*of-int* : *int* ⇒ *'a*)
(*to-int* : *'a* ⇒ *int*)
(*DP* : *'a* ⇒ *bool*)

hide-const (**open**)
zero
one

plus
times
minus
uminus
divide
inverse
modulo
normalize
unit-factor
of-int
to-int
DP

fun *listprod-i* :: *'i arith-ops-record* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i* **where**
listprod-i ops (*x* # *xs*) = *arith-ops-record.times ops x* (*listprod-i ops xs*)
| *listprod-i ops* [] = *arith-ops-record.one ops*

locale *arith-ops* = **fixes** *ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record* (**structure**)
begin

abbreviation (*input*) *zero* **where** *zero* ≡ *arith-ops-record.zero ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *one* **where** *one* ≡ *arith-ops-record.one ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *plus* **where** *plus* ≡ *arith-ops-record.plus ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *times* **where** *times* ≡ *arith-ops-record.times ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *minus* **where** *minus* ≡ *arith-ops-record.minus ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *uminus* **where** *uminus* ≡ *arith-ops-record.uminus ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *divide* **where** *divide* ≡ *arith-ops-record.divide ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *inverse* **where** *inverse* ≡ *arith-ops-record.inverse ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *modulo* **where** *modulo* ≡ *arith-ops-record.modulo ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *normalize* **where** *normalize* ≡ *arith-ops-record.normalize ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *unit-factor* **where** *unit-factor* ≡ *arith-ops-record.unit-factor ops*
abbreviation (*input*) *DP* **where** *DP* ≡ *arith-ops-record.DP ops*

partial-function (*tailrec*) *gcd-eucl-i* :: *'i* ⇒ *'i* ⇒ *'i* **where**
gcd-eucl-i a b = (*if b* = *zero*
then normalize a else gcd-eucl-i b (*modulo a b*))

partial-function (*tailrec*) *euclid-ext-aux-i* :: *'i* ⇒ *'i* ⇒ *'i* ⇒ *'i* ⇒ *'i* ⇒ *'i* ⇒ (*'i* × *'i*) × *'i* **where**
euclid-ext-aux-i s' s t' t r' r = (
if r = *zero then let c* = *divide one* (*unit-factor r'*) *in* ((*times s' c*, *times t' c*), *normalize r'*)
else let q = *divide r' r*
in euclid-ext-aux-i s (*minus s'* (*times q s*)) *t* (*minus t'* (*times q t*)) *r* (*modulo r' r*))

abbreviation (*input*) *euclid-ext-i* :: *'i* ⇒ *'i* ⇒ (*'i* × *'i*) × *'i* **where**
euclid-ext-i ≡ *euclid-ext-aux-i one zero zero one*

end

declare *arith-ops.gcd-eucl-i.simps*[*code*]
declare *arith-ops.euclid-ext-aux-i.simps*[*code*]

unbundle *lifting-syntax*

locale *ring-ops* = *arith-ops ops* **for** *ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record* +
fixes *R* :: *'i* ⇒ *'a* :: *comm-ring-1* ⇒ *bool*
assumes *bi-unique*[*transfer-rule*]: *bi-unique R*
and *right-total*[*transfer-rule*]: *right-total R*
and *zero*[*transfer-rule*]: *R zero 0*
and *one*[*transfer-rule*]: *R one 1*
and *plus*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *R* ===> *R*) *plus* (+)
and *minus*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *R* ===> *R*) *minus* (−)
and *uminus*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *R*) *uminus Groups.uminus*
and *times*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *R* ===> *R*) *times* ((∗))
and *eq*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *R* ===> (=)) (=) (=)
and *DPR*[*transfer-domain-rule*]: *Domainp R* = *DP*
begin
lemma *left-right-unique*[*transfer-rule*]: *left-unique R right-unique R*
using *bi-unique* **unfolding** *bi-unique-def left-unique-def right-unique-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *listprod-i*[*transfer-rule*]: (*list-all2 R* ===> *R*) (*listprod-i ops*) *prod-list*
proof (*intro rel-funI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 xs ys*)
thus *?case*
proof (*induct xs ys rule*: *list-all2-induct*)
case (*Cons x xs y ys*)
note [*transfer-rule*] = *this*
show *?case* **by** *simp transfer-prover*
qed (*simp add*: *one*)
qed
end

locale *idom-ops* = *ring-ops ops R* **for** *ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record* **and**
R :: *'i* ⇒ *'a* :: *idom* ⇒ *bool*

locale *idom-divide-ops* = *idom-ops ops R* **for** *ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record* **and**
R :: *'i* ⇒ *'a* :: *idom-divide* ⇒ *bool* +
assumes *divide*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *R* ===> *R*) *divide Rings.divide*

locale *euclidean-semiring-ops* = *idom-ops ops R* **for** *ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record* **and**
R :: *'i* ⇒ *'a* :: {*idom*,*normalization-euclidean-semiring*} ⇒ *bool* +
assumes *modulo*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *R* ===> *R*) *modulo* (*mod*)
and *normalize*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *R*) *normalize Rings.normalize*

```
    and unit-factor[transfer-rule]: (R ===> R) unit-factor Rings.unit-factor
begin
lemma gcd-eucl-i [transfer-rule]: (R ===> R ===> R) gcd-eucl-i Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd

proof (intro rel-funI, goal-cases)
  case (1 x X y Y)
  thus ?case
  proof (induct X Y arbitrary: x y rule: Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd.induct)
    case (1 X Y x y)
    note [transfer-rule] = 1(2-)
    note simps = gcd-eucl-i.simps[of x y] Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd.simps[of X Y]
    have eq: (y = zero) = (Y = 0) by transfer-prover
    show ?case
    proof (cases Y = 0)
      case True
      hence *: y = zero using eq by simp
      have R (normalize x) (Rings.normalize X) by transfer-prover
      thus ?thesis unfolding simps unfolding True * by simp
    next
      case False
      with eq have yz: y ≠ zero by simp
      have R (gcd-eucl-i y (modulo x y)) (Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd Y (X mod Y))
        by (rule 1(1)[OF False], transfer-prover+)
      thus ?thesis unfolding simps using False yz by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
end

locale euclidean-ring-ops = euclidean-semiring-ops ops R for ops :: 'i arith-ops-record
and
  R :: 'i ⇒ 'a :: {idom,euclidean-ring-gcd} ⇒ bool +
  assumes divide[transfer-rule]: (R ===> R ===> R) divide (div)
begin
lemma euclid-ext-aux-i[transfer-rule]:
  (R ===> R ===> R ===> R ===> R ===> R ===> rel-prod (rel-prod
R R) R) euclid-ext-aux-i euclid-ext-aux
proof (intro rel-funI, goal-cases)
  case (1 z Z a A b B c C x X y Y)
  thus ?case
  proof (induct Z A B C X Y arbitrary: z a b c x y rule: euclid-ext-aux.induct)
    case (1 Z A B C X Y z a b c x y)
    note [transfer-rule] = 1(2-)
    note simps = euclid-ext-aux-i.simps[of z a b c x y] euclid-ext-aux.simps[of Z A
B C X Y]
    have eq: (y = zero) = (Y = 0) by transfer-prover
    show ?case
    proof (cases Y = 0)
      case True
```

hence $*$: $(y = zero) = True$ $(Y = 0) = True$ **using** *eq* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *simps* **unfolding** $*$ *if-True*
by *transfer-prover*
next
case *False*
hence $*$: $(y = zero) = False$ $(Y = 0) = False$ **using** *eq* **by** *auto*
have *XY*: R $(modulo\ x\ y)$ $(X\ mod\ Y)$ **by** *transfer-prover*
have *YA*: R $(minus\ z\ (times\ (divide\ x\ y)\ a))$ $(Z - X\ div\ Y * A)$ **by** *transfer-prover*
have *YC*: R $(minus\ b\ (times\ (divide\ x\ y)\ c))$ $(B - X\ div\ Y * C)$ **by** *transfer-prover*
note $[transfer\text{-}rule] = 1(1)[OF\ False\ refl\ 1(3)\ YA\ 1(5)\ YC\ 1(7)\ XY]$

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *simps* $*$ *if-False Let-def* **by** *transfer-prover*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *euclid-ext-i* [*transfer-rule*]:
$(R$ ===> R ===> *rel-prod* (*rel-prod R R*) $R)$ *euclid-ext-i euclid-ext*
by *transfer-prover*

end

locale *field-ops* = *idom-divide-ops ops R* + *euclidean-semiring-ops ops R* **for** *ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record* **and**
R :: $'i \Rightarrow 'a$ :: {*field-gcd*} $\Rightarrow$ *bool* +
assumes *inverse*[*transfer-rule*]: $(R$ ===> $R)$ *inverse Fields.inverse*

lemma *nth-default-rel*[*transfer-rule*]: $(S$ ===> *list-all2 S* ===> $(=)$ ===> $S)$ *nth-default nth-default*
proof (*intro rel-funI*, *clarify*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 x y xs ys - n*)
from *1*(*2*) **show** *?case*
proof (*induct arbitrary*: *n*)
case *Nil*
thus *?case* **using** *1*(*1*) **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x y xs ys n*)
thus *?case* **by** (*cases n*, *auto*)
qed
qed

lemma *strip-while-rel*[*transfer-rule*]:
$((A$ ===> $(=))$ ===> *list-all2 A* ===> *list-all2 A*$)$ *strip-while strip-while*
unfolding *strip-while-def*[*abs-def*] **by** *transfer-prover*

lemma *list-all2-last*[*simp*]: *list-all2 A* $(xs\ @\ [x])$ $(ys\ @\ [y]) \longleftrightarrow$ *list-all2 A xs ys* $\wedge$

A x y
proof (*cases length xs = length ys*)
case *True*
show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *list-all2-append*[*OF True*])
next
case *False*
note *len* = *list-all2-lengthD*[*of A*]
from *len*[*of xs ys*] *len*[*of xs* @ [*x*] *ys* @ [*y*]] *False*
show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

end

3.1 Finite Fields

We provide four implementations for $GF(p)$ – the field with p elements for some prime p – one by int, one by integers, one by 32-bit numbers and one 64-bit implementation. Correctness of the implementations is proven by transfer rules to the type-based version of $GF(p)$.

theory *Finite-Field-Record-Based*
imports
Finite-Field
Arithmetic-Record-Based
Native-Word.Uint32
Native-Word.Uint64
HOL−Library.Code-Target-Numeral
Native-Word.Code-Target-Int-Bit
begin

definition *mod-nonneg-pos* :: *integer* ⇒ *integer* ⇒ *integer* **where**
x ≥ *0* ⟹ *y* > *0* ⟹ *mod-nonneg-pos x y* = (*x mod y*)

code-printing — FIXME illusion of partiality
constant *mod-nonneg-pos* ⇀
(*SML*) *IntInf.mod*/ (-,/ -)
and (*Eval*) *IntInf.mod*/ (-,/ -)
and (*OCaml*) *Z.rem*
and (*Haskell*) *Prelude.mod*/ (-)/ (-)
and (*Scala*) !((*k*: *BigInt*) => (*l*: *BigInt*) =>/ (*k* `%` *l*))

definition *mod-nonneg-pos-int* :: *int* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *int* **where**
mod-nonneg-pos-int x y = *int-of-integer* (*mod-nonneg-pos* (*integer-of-int x*) (*integer-of-int y*))

lemma *mod-nonneg-pos-int*[*simp*]: *x* ≥ *0* ⟹ *y* > *0* ⟹ *mod-nonneg-pos-int x y* = (*x mod y*)
unfolding *mod-nonneg-pos-int-def* **using** *mod-nonneg-pos-def* **by** *simp*

```
context
  fixes p :: int
begin
definition plus-p :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  plus-p x y ≡ let z = x + y in if z ≥ p then z − p else z

definition minus-p :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  minus-p x y ≡ if y ≤ x then x − y else x + p − y

definition uminus-p :: int ⇒ int where
  uminus-p x = (if x = 0 then 0 else p − x)

definition mult-p :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  mult-p x y = (mod-nonneg-pos-int (x * y) p)

fun power-p :: int ⇒ nat ⇒ int where
  power-p x n = (if n = 0 then 1 else
    let (d,r) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2;
       rec = power-p (mult-p x x) d in
    if r = 0 then rec else mult-p rec x)
```

In experiments with Berlekamp-factorization (where the prime p is usually small), it turned out that taking the below implementation of inverse via exponentiation is faster than the one based on the extended Euclidean algorithm.

```
definition inverse-p :: int ⇒ int where
  inverse-p x = (if x = 0 then 0 else power-p x (nat (p − 2)))

definition divide-p :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int  where
  divide-p x y = mult-p x (inverse-p y)

definition finite-field-ops-int :: int arith-ops-record where
  finite-field-ops-int ≡ Arith-Ops-Record
     0
     1
     plus-p
     mult-p
     minus-p
     uminus-p
     divide-p
     inverse-p
     (λ x y . if y = 0 then x else 0)
     (λ x . if x = 0 then 0 else 1)
     (λ x . x)
     (λ x . x)
     (λ x . x)
     (λ x. 0 ≤ x ∧ x < p)
```

end

context
  fixes *p* :: *uint32*
begin
definition *plus-p32* :: *uint32* ⇒ *uint32* ⇒ *uint32* **where**
  plus-p32 x y ≡ *let z* = *x* + *y in if z* ≥ *p then z* − *p else z*

definition *minus-p32* :: *uint32* ⇒ *uint32* ⇒ *uint32* **where**
  minus-p32 x y ≡ *if y* ≤ *x then x* − *y else* (*x* + *p*) − *y*

definition *uminus-p32* :: *uint32* ⇒ *uint32* **where**
  uminus-p32 x = (*if x* = *0 then 0 else p* − *x*)

definition *mult-p32* :: *uint32* ⇒ *uint32* ⇒ *uint32* **where**
  mult-p32 x y = (*x* ∗ *y mod p*)

lemma *int-of-uint32-shift*: *int-of-uint32* (*drop-bit k n*) = (*int-of-uint32 n*) *div* (*2* ^ *k*)
  apply *transfer*
  apply *transfer*
  apply (*simp add*: *take-bit-drop-bit min-def*)
  apply (*simp add*: *drop-bit-eq-div*)
  done

lemma *int-of-uint32-0-iff*: *int-of-uint32 n* = *0* ⟷ *n* = *0*
  by (*transfer*, *rule uint-0-iff*)

lemma *int-of-uint32-0*: *int-of-uint32 0* = *0* **unfolding** *int-of-uint32-0-iff* **by** *simp*

lemma *int-of-uint32-ge-0*: *int-of-uint32 n* ≥ *0*
  by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *two-32*: *2* ^ *LENGTH*(*32*) = (*4294967296* :: *int*) **by** *simp*

lemma *int-of-uint32-plus*: *int-of-uint32* (*x* + *y*) = (*int-of-uint32 x* + *int-of-uint32 y*) *mod 4294967296*
  by (*transfer*, *unfold uint-word-ariths two-32*, *rule refl*)

lemma *int-of-uint32-minus*: *int-of-uint32* (*x* − *y*) = (*int-of-uint32 x* − *int-of-uint32 y*) *mod 4294967296*
  by (*transfer*, *unfold uint-word-ariths two-32*, *rule refl*)

lemma *int-of-uint32-mult*: *int-of-uint32* (*x* ∗ *y*) = (*int-of-uint32 x* ∗ *int-of-uint32 y*) *mod 4294967296*
  by (*transfer*, *unfold uint-word-ariths two-32*, *rule refl*)

lemma *int-of-uint32-mod*: *int-of-uint32* (*x mod y*) = (*int-of-uint32 x mod int-of-uint32 y*)

```
  by (transfer, unfold uint-mod two-32, rule refl)

lemma int-of-uint32-inv: 0 ≤ x ⟹ x < 4294967296 ⟹ int-of-uint32 (uint32-of-int
x) = x
  by transfer (simp add: take-bit-int-eq-self unsigned-of-int)

context
  includes bit-operations-syntax
begin

function power-p32 :: uint32 ⇒ uint32 ⇒ uint32 where
  power-p32 x n = (if n = 0 then 1 else
    let rec = power-p32 (mult-p32 x x) (drop-bit 1 n) in
    if n AND 1 = 0 then rec else mult-p32 rec x)
  by pat-completeness auto

termination
proof −
  {
    fix n :: uint32
    assume n ≠ 0
    with int-of-uint32-ge-0[of n] int-of-uint32-0-iff[of n] have int-of-uint32 n > 0
by auto
    hence 0 < int-of-uint32 n int-of-uint32 n div 2 < int-of-uint32 n by auto
  } note ∗ = this
  show ?thesis
   by (relation measure (λ (x,n). nat (int-of-uint32 n)), auto simp: int-of-uint32-shift
∗)
qed

end
```

In experiments with Berlekamp-factorization (where the prime p is usually small), it turned out that taking the below implementation of inverse via exponentiation is faster than the one based on the extended Euclidean algorithm.

```
definition inverse-p32 :: uint32 ⇒ uint32 where
  inverse-p32 x = (if x = 0 then 0 else power-p32 x (p − 2))

definition divide-p32 :: uint32 ⇒ uint32 ⇒ uint32  where
  divide-p32 x y = mult-p32 x (inverse-p32 y)

definition finite-field-ops32 :: uint32 arith-ops-record where
  finite-field-ops32 ≡ Arith-Ops-Record
      0
      1
      plus-p32
      mult-p32
      minus-p32
```

uminus-p32
divide-p32
inverse-p32
$(\lambda\ x\ y\ .\ if\ y = 0\ then\ x\ else\ 0)$
$(\lambda\ x\ .\ if\ x = 0\ then\ 0\ else\ 1)$
$(\lambda\ x\ .\ x)$
uint32-of-int
int-of-uint32
$(\lambda\ x.\ 0 \leq x \wedge x < p)$
end

lemma *shiftr-uint32-code* [*code-unfold*]: *drop-bit 1 x* = (*uint32-shiftr x 1*)
by (*simp add*: *uint32-shiftr-def*)

3.1.1 Transfer Relation

locale *mod-ring-locale* =
fixes *p* :: *int* **and** *ty* :: *'a* :: *nontriv itself*
assumes *p*: *p* = *int CARD*('*a*)
begin
lemma *nat-p*: *nat p* = *CARD*('*a*) **unfolding** *p* **by** *simp*
lemma *p2*: *p* ≥ *2* **unfolding** *p* **using** *nontriv*[**where** *'a* = *'a*] **by** *auto*
lemma *p2-ident*: *int* (*CARD*('*a*) − *2*) = *p* − *2* **using** *p2* **unfolding** *p* **by** *simp*

definition *mod-ring-rel* :: *int* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *bool* **where**
mod-ring-rel x x' = (*x* = *to-int-mod-ring x'*)

lemma *Domainp-mod-ring-rel* [*transfer-domain-rule*]:
Domainp (*mod-ring-rel*) = (λ *v*. *v* ∈ {*0* ..< *p*})
proof −
{
fix *v* :: *int*
assume ∗: *0* ≤ *v v* < *p*
have *Domainp mod-ring-rel v*
proof
show *mod-ring-rel v* (*of-int-mod-ring v*) **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel-def* **using** ∗ *p* **by** *auto*
qed
} note ∗ = *this*
show *?thesis*
by (*intro ext iffI*, *insert range-to-int-mod-ring*[**where** *'a* = *'a*] ∗, *auto simp*: *mod-ring-rel-def p*)
qed

lemma *bi-unique-mod-ring-rel* [*transfer-rule*]:
bi-unique mod-ring-rel left-unique mod-ring-rel right-unique mod-ring-rel
unfolding *mod-ring-rel-def bi-unique-def left-unique-def right-unique-def*

by *auto*

lemma *right-total-mod-ring-rel* [*transfer-rule*]: *right-total mod-ring-rel*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel-def right-total-def* **by** *simp*

3.1.2 Transfer Rules

lemma *mod-ring-0*[*transfer-rule*]: *mod-ring-rel 0 0* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel-def* **by** *simp*
lemma *mod-ring-1*[*transfer-rule*]: *mod-ring-rel 1 1* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *plus-p-mod-def*: **assumes** *x*: $x \in \{0 ..< p\}$ **and** *y*: $y \in \{0 ..< p\}$
shows *plus-p p x y* = $((x + y) \bmod p)$
proof (*cases* $p \leq x + y$)
case *False*
thus *?thesis* **using** *x y* **unfolding** *plus-p-def Let-def* **by** *auto*
next
case *True*
from *True x y* **have** ∗: $p > 0\ 0 \leq x + y - p\ x + y - p < p$ **by** *auto*
from *True* **have** *id*: *plus-p p x y* = $x + y - p$ **unfolding** *plus-p-def* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id* **using** ∗ **using** *mod-pos-pos-trivial* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *mod-ring-plus*[*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ===> *mod-ring-rel* ===> *mod-ring-rel*) (*plus-p p*) (+)
proof −
{
fix *x y* :: *'a mod-ring*
have *plus-p p* (*to-int-mod-ring x*) (*to-int-mod-ring y*) = *to-int-mod-ring* ($x + y$)
by (*transfer*, *subst plus-p-mod-def*, *auto*, *auto simp*: *p*)
} note ∗ = *this*
show *?thesis*
by (*intro rel-funI*, *auto simp*: *mod-ring-rel-def* ∗)
qed

lemma *minus-p-mod-def*: **assumes** *x*: $x \in \{0 ..< p\}$ **and** *y*: $y \in \{0 ..< p\}$
shows *minus-p p x y* = $((x - y) \bmod p)$
proof (*cases* $x - y < 0$)
case *False*
thus *?thesis* **using** *x y* **unfolding** *minus-p-def Let-def* **by** *auto*
next
case *True*
from *True x y* **have** ∗: $p > 0\ 0 \leq x - y + p\ x - y + p < p$ **by** *auto*
from *True* **have** *id*: *minus-p p x y* = $x - y + p$ **unfolding** *minus-p-def* **by** *auto*

```
  show ?thesis unfolding id using * using mod-pos-pos-trivial by fastforce
qed

lemma mod-ring-minus[transfer-rule]: (mod-ring-rel ===> mod-ring-rel ===>
mod-ring-rel) (minus-p p) (-)
proof -
  {
    fix x y :: 'a mod-ring
    have minus-p p (to-int-mod-ring x) (to-int-mod-ring y) = to-int-mod-ring (x -
y)
      by (transfer, subst minus-p-mod-def, auto simp: p)
  } note * = this
  show ?thesis
    by (intro rel-funI, auto simp: mod-ring-rel-def *)
qed


lemma mod-ring-uminus[transfer-rule]: (mod-ring-rel ===> mod-ring-rel) (uminus-p
p) uminus
proof -
  {
    fix x :: 'a mod-ring
    have uminus-p p (to-int-mod-ring x) = to-int-mod-ring (uminus x)
      by (transfer, auto simp: uminus-p-def p)
  } note * = this
  show ?thesis
    by (intro rel-funI, auto simp: mod-ring-rel-def *)
qed


lemma mod-ring-mult[transfer-rule]: (mod-ring-rel ===> mod-ring-rel ===>
mod-ring-rel) (mult-p p) ((*))
proof -
  {
    fix x y :: 'a mod-ring
    have mult-p p (to-int-mod-ring x) (to-int-mod-ring y) = to-int-mod-ring (x *
y)
      by (transfer, auto simp: mult-p-def p)
  } note * = this
  show ?thesis
    by (intro rel-funI, auto simp: mod-ring-rel-def *)
qed


lemma mod-ring-eq[transfer-rule]: (mod-ring-rel ===> mod-ring-rel ===> (=))
(=) (=)
  by (intro rel-funI, auto simp: mod-ring-rel-def)
```

```
lemma mod-ring-power[transfer-rule]: (mod-ring-rel ===> (=) ===> mod-ring-rel)
(power-p p) (^)
proof (intro rel-funI, clarify, unfold binary-power[symmetric], goal-cases)
  fix x y n
  assume xy: mod-ring-rel x y
  from xy show mod-ring-rel (power-p p x n) (binary-power y n)
  proof (induct y n arbitrary: x rule: binary-power.induct)
    case (1 x n y)
    note 1(2)[transfer-rule]
    show ?case
    proof (cases n = 0)
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (simp add: mod-ring-1)
    next
      case False
      obtain d r where id: Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2 = (d,r) by force
      let ?int = power-p p (mult-p p y y) d
      let ?gfp = binary-power (x * x) d
      from False have id': ?thesis = (mod-ring-rel
        (if r = 0 then ?int else mult-p p ?int y)
        (if r = 0 then ?gfp else ?gfp * x))
       unfolding power-p.simps[of - - n] binary-power.simps[of - n] Let-def id split
by simp
      have [transfer-rule]: mod-ring-rel ?int ?gfp
        by (rule 1(1)[OF False refl id[symmetric]], transfer-prover)
      show ?thesis unfolding id' by transfer-prover
    qed
  qed
qed

declare power-p.simps[simp del]

lemma ring-finite-field-ops-int: ring-ops (finite-field-ops-int p) mod-ring-rel
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp:
  finite-field-ops-int-def
  bi-unique-mod-ring-rel
  right-total-mod-ring-rel
  mod-ring-plus
  mod-ring-minus
  mod-ring-uminus
  mod-ring-mult
  mod-ring-eq
  mod-ring-0
  mod-ring-1
  Domainp-mod-ring-rel)
end

locale prime-field = mod-ring-locale p ty for p and ty :: 'a :: prime-card itself
begin
```

lemma *prime*: *prime p* **unfolding** *p* **using** *prime-card*[**where** *'a* = *'a*] **by** *simp*

lemma *mod-ring-mod*[*transfer-rule*]:
(*mod-ring-rel* ===> *mod-ring-rel* ===> *mod-ring-rel*) ((λ *x y*. *if y* = *0 then x else 0*)) (*mod*)
proof −
{
fix *x y* :: *'a mod-ring*
have (*if to-int-mod-ring y* = *0 then to-int-mod-ring x else 0*) = *to-int-mod-ring* (*x mod y*)
unfolding *modulo-mod-ring-def* **by** *auto*
} **note** ∗ = *this*
show *?thesis*
by (*intro rel-funI*, *auto simp*: *mod-ring-rel-def* ∗[*symmetric*])
qed

lemma *mod-ring-normalize*[*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ===> *mod-ring-rel*) ((λ *x*. *if x* = *0 then 0 else 1*)) *normalize*
proof −
{
fix *x* :: *'a mod-ring*
have (*if to-int-mod-ring x* = *0 then 0 else 1*) = *to-int-mod-ring* (*normalize x*)
unfolding *normalize-mod-ring-def* **by** *auto*
} **note** ∗ = *this*
show *?thesis*
by (*intro rel-funI*, *auto simp*: *mod-ring-rel-def* ∗[*symmetric*])
qed

lemma *mod-ring-unit-factor*[*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ===> *mod-ring-rel*) (λ *x*. *x*) *unit-factor*
proof −
{
fix *x* :: *'a mod-ring*
have *to-int-mod-ring x* = *to-int-mod-ring* (*unit-factor x*)
unfolding *unit-factor-mod-ring-def* **by** *auto*
} **note** ∗ = *this*
show *?thesis*
by (*intro rel-funI*, *auto simp*: *mod-ring-rel-def* ∗[*symmetric*])
qed

lemma *mod-ring-inverse*[*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ===> *mod-ring-rel*) (*inverse-p p*) *inverse*
proof (*intro rel-funI*)
fix *x y*

assume [*transfer-rule*]: *mod-ring-rel x y*
show *mod-ring-rel* (*inverse-p p x*) (*inverse y*)
unfolding *inverse-p-def inverse-mod-ring-def*
apply (*transfer-prover-start*)
apply (*transfer-step*)+
apply (*unfold p2-ident*)
apply (*rule refl*)
done
qed

lemma *mod-ring-divide*[*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ===> *mod-ring-rel* ===> *mod-ring-rel*)
(*divide-p p*) (/)
unfolding *divide-p-def*[*abs-def*] *divide-mod-ring-def*[*abs-def*] *inverse-mod-ring-def*[*symmetric*]
by *transfer-prover*

lemma *mod-ring-rel-unsafe*: **assumes** $x < CARD('a)$
shows *mod-ring-rel* (*int x*) (*of-nat x*) $0 < x \Longrightarrow$ *of-nat x* $\neq$ (*0* :: *'a mod-ring*)
proof −
have *id*: *of-nat x* = (*of-int* (*int x*) :: *'a mod-ring*) **by** *simp*
show *mod-ring-rel* (*int x*) (*of-nat x*) $0 < x \Longrightarrow$ *of-nat x* $\neq$ (*0* :: *'a mod-ring*)
unfolding *id*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel-def*
proof (*auto simp add*: *assms of-int-of-int-mod-ring*)
assume $0 < x$ **with** *assms*
have *of-int-mod-ring* (*int x*) $\neq$ (*0* :: *'a mod-ring*)
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *less-imp-of-nat-less less-irrefl of-nat-0-le-iff of-nat-0-less-iff to-int-mod-ring-hom.hom-zero to-int-mod-ring-of-int-mod-ring*)
thus *of-int-mod-ring* (*int x*) = (*0* :: *'a mod-ring*) $\Longrightarrow$ *False* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *finite-field-ops-int*: *field-ops* (*finite-field-ops-int p*) *mod-ring-rel*
by (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp*:
finite-field-ops-int-def
bi-unique-mod-ring-rel
right-total-mod-ring-rel
mod-ring-divide
mod-ring-plus
mod-ring-minus
mod-ring-uminus
mod-ring-inverse
mod-ring-mod
mod-ring-unit-factor
mod-ring-normalize
mod-ring-mult
mod-ring-eq
mod-ring-0

mod-ring-1
Domainp-mod-ring-rel)

end

Once we have proven the soundness of the implementation, we do not care any longer that *'a mod-ring* has been defined internally via lifting. Disabling the transfer-rules will hide the internal definition in further applications of transfer.

lifting-forget *mod-ring.lifting*

For soundness of the 32-bit implementation, we mainly prove that this implementation implements the int-based implementation of the mod-ring.

context *mod-ring-locale*
begin

context fixes *pp* :: *uint32*
assumes *ppp*: $p = int\text{-}of\text{-}uint32\ pp$
and *small*: $p \leq 65535$
begin

lemmas *uint32-simps* =
int-of-uint32-0
int-of-uint32-plus
int-of-uint32-minus
int-of-uint32-mult

definition *urel32* :: *uint32* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *bool* **where** $urel32\ x\ y = (y = int\text{-}of\text{-}uint32\ x \wedge y < p)$

definition *mod-ring-rel32* :: *uint32* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *bool* **where**
$mod\text{-}ring\text{-}rel32\ x\ y = (\exists\ z.\ urel32\ x\ z \wedge mod\text{-}ring\text{-}rel\ z\ y)$

lemma *urel32-0*: *urel32 0 0* **unfolding** *urel32-def* **using** *p2* **by** (*simp*, *transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *urel32-1*: *urel32 1 1* **unfolding** *urel32-def* **using** *p2* **by** (*simp*, *transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *le-int-of-uint32*: $(x \leq y) = (int\text{-}of\text{-}uint32\ x \leq int\text{-}of\text{-}uint32\ y)$
by (*transfer*, *simp add*: *word-le-def*)

lemma *urel32-plus*: **assumes** *urel32 x y urel32 x' y'*
shows *urel32* (*plus-p32 pp x x'*) (*plus-p p y y'*)
proof −
let *?x* = *int-of-uint32 x*
let *?x'* = *int-of-uint32 x'*
let *?p* = *int-of-uint32 pp*

```
  from assms int-of-uint32-ge-0 have id: y = ?x y' = ?x'
    and rel: 0 ≤ ?x ?x < p
      0 ≤ ?x' ?x' ≤ p unfolding urel32-def by auto
  have le: (pp ≤ x + x') = (?p ≤ ?x + ?x') unfolding le-int-of-uint32
    using rel small by (auto simp: uint32-simps)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ?p ≤ ?x + ?x')
    case True
    hence True: (?p ≤ ?x + ?x') = True by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using small rel unfolding plus-p32-def plus-p-def Let-def urel32-def
      unfolding ppp le True if-True
      using True by (auto simp: uint32-simps)
  next
    case False
    hence False: (?p ≤ ?x + ?x') = False by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using small rel unfolding plus-p32-def plus-p-def Let-def urel32-def
      unfolding ppp le False if-False
      using False by (auto simp: uint32-simps)
  qed
qed

lemma urel32-minus: assumes urel32 x y urel32 x' y'
  shows urel32 (minus-p32 pp x x') (minus-p p y y')
proof −
  let ?x = int-of-uint32 x
  let ?x' = int-of-uint32 x'
  from assms int-of-uint32-ge-0 have id: y = ?x y' = ?x'
    and rel: 0 ≤ ?x ?x < p
      0 ≤ ?x' ?x' ≤ p unfolding urel32-def by auto
  have le: (x' ≤ x) = (?x' ≤ ?x) unfolding le-int-of-uint32
    using rel small by (auto simp: uint32-simps)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ?x' ≤ ?x)
    case True
    hence True: (?x' ≤ ?x) = True by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using small rel unfolding minus-p32-def minus-p-def Let-def urel32-def
      unfolding ppp le True if-True
      using True by (auto simp: uint32-simps)
  next
    case False
    hence False: (?x' ≤ ?x) = False by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using small rel unfolding minus-p32-def minus-p-def Let-def urel32-def
      unfolding ppp le False if-False
      using False by (auto simp: uint32-simps)
  qed
```

qed

lemma *urel32-uminus*: **assumes** *urel32 x y*
shows *urel32* (*uminus-p32 pp x*) (*uminus-p p y*)
proof −
let *?x* = *int-of-uint32 x*
from *assms int-of-uint32-ge-0* **have** *id*: *y* = *?x*
and *rel*: *0* ≤ *?x ?x* < *p*
unfolding *urel32-def* **by** *auto*
have *le*: (*x* = *0*) = (*?x* = *0*) **unfolding** *int-of-uint32-0-iff*
using *rel small* **by** (*auto simp*: *uint32-simps*)
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases ?x* = *0*)
case *True*
hence *True*: (*?x* = *0*) = *True* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
using *small rel* **unfolding** *uminus-p32-def uminus-p-def Let-def urel32-def*
unfolding *ppp le True if-True*
using *True* **by** (*auto simp*: *uint32-simps*)
next
case *False*
hence *False*: (*?x* = *0*) = *False* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
using *small rel* **unfolding** *uminus-p32-def uminus-p-def Let-def urel32-def*
unfolding *ppp le False if-False*
using *False* **by** (*auto simp*: *uint32-simps*)
qed
qed

lemma *urel32-mult*: **assumes** *urel32 x y urel32 x′ y′*
shows *urel32* (*mult-p32 pp x x′*) (*mult-p p y y′*)
proof −
let *?x* = *int-of-uint32 x*
let *?x′* = *int-of-uint32 x′*
from *assms int-of-uint32-ge-0* **have** *id*: *y* = *?x y′* = *?x′*
and *rel*: *0* ≤ *?x ?x* < *p*
0 ≤ *?x′ ?x′* < *p* **unfolding** *urel32-def* **by** *auto*
from *rel* **have** *?x* ∗ *?x′* < *p* ∗ *p* **by** (*metis mult-strict-mono′*)
also have ... ≤ *65536* ∗ *65536*
by (*rule mult-mono*, *insert p2 small*, *auto*)
finally have *le*: *?x* ∗ *?x′* < *4294967296* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
using *small rel* **unfolding** *mult-p32-def mult-p-def Let-def urel32-def*
unfolding *ppp*
by (*auto simp*: *uint32-simps*, *unfold int-of-uint32-mod int-of-uint32-mult*,
subst mod-pos-pos-trivial[*of - 4294967296*], *insert le*, *auto*)
qed

lemma *urel32-eq*: **assumes** *urel32 x y urel32 x′ y′*

```
  shows (x = x') = (y = y')
proof −
  let ?x = int-of-uint32 x
  let ?x' = int-of-uint32 x'
  from assms int-of-uint32-ge-0 have id: y = ?x y' = ?x'
    unfolding urel32-def by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding id by (transfer, transfer) rule
qed

lemma urel32-normalize:
assumes x: urel32 x y
shows urel32 (if x = 0 then 0 else 1) (if y = 0 then 0 else 1)
 unfolding urel32-eq[OF x urel32-0] using urel32-0 urel32-1 by auto

lemma urel32-mod:
assumes x: urel32 x x' and y: urel32 y y'
shows urel32 (if y = 0 then x else 0) (if y' = 0 then x' else 0)
  unfolding urel32-eq[OF y urel32-0] using urel32-0 x by auto

lemma urel32-power: urel32 x x' ⟹ urel32 y (int y') ⟹ urel32 (power-p32 pp
x y) (power-p p x' y')
including bit-operations-syntax proof (induct x' y' arbitrary: x y rule: power-p.induct[of
- p])
  case (1 x' y' x y)
  note x = 1(2) note y = 1(3)
  show ?case
  proof (cases y' = 0)
    case True
    hence y: y = 0 using urel32-eq[OF y urel32-0] by auto
    show ?thesis unfolding y True by (simp add: power-p.simps urel32-1)
  next
    case False
    hence id: (y = 0) = False (y' = 0) = False using urel32-eq[OF y urel32-0]
by auto
    from y have ‹int y' = int-of-uint32 y› ‹int y' < p›
      by (simp-all add: urel32-def)
    obtain d' r' where dr': Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat y' 2 = (d',r') by force
    from Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def[of y' 2, unfolded dr']
    have r': r' = y' mod 2 and d': d' = y' div 2 by auto
    have urel32 (y AND 1) r'
      using ‹int y' < p› small
      apply (simp add: urel32-def and-one-eq r')
      apply (auto simp add: ppp and-one-eq)
    apply (simp add: of-nat-mod int-of-uint32.rep-eq modulo-uint32.rep-eq uint-mod
‹int y' = int-of-uint32 y›)
      done
    from urel32-eq[OF this urel32-0]
    have rem: (y AND 1 = 0) = (r' = 0) by simp
     have div: urel32 (drop-bit 1 y) (int d') unfolding d' using y unfolding
```

urel32-def **using** *small*
unfolding *ppp*
apply *transfer*
apply *transfer*
apply (*auto simp add*: *drop-bit-Suc take-bit-int-eq-self*)
done
note *IH* = *1*(*1*)[*OF False refl dr'*[*symmetric*] *urel32-mult*[*OF x x*] *div*]
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *power-p.simps*[*of - - y'*] *power-p32.simps*[*of - - y*] *dr'*
id if-False rem
using *IH urel32-mult*[*OF IH x*] **by** (*auto simp*: *Let-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *urel32-inverse*: **assumes** *x*: *urel32 x x'*
shows *urel32* (*inverse-p32 pp x*) (*inverse-p p x'*)
proof −
have *p*: *urel32* (*pp* − *2*) (*int* (*nat* (*p* − *2*))) **using** *p2 small* **unfolding** *urel32-def*
unfolding *ppp*
by (*simp add*: *int-of-uint32.rep-eq minus-uint32.rep-eq uint-sub-if'*)
show *?thesis*
unfolding *inverse-p32-def inverse-p-def urel32-eq*[*OF x urel32-0*] **using** *urel32-0*
urel32-power[*OF x p*]
by *auto*
qed

lemma *mod-ring-0-32*: *mod-ring-rel32 0 0*
using *urel32-0 mod-ring-0* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-1-32*: *mod-ring-rel32 1 1*
using *urel32-1 mod-ring-1* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-uminus32*: (*mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32*) (*uminus-p32*
pp) *uminus*
using *urel32-uminus mod-ring-uminus* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def rel-fun-def*
by *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-plus32*: (*mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32*)
(*plus-p32 pp*) (+)
using *urel32-plus mod-ring-plus* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def rel-fun-def* **by**
blast

lemma *mod-ring-minus32*: (*mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32*)
(*minus-p32 pp*) (−)
using *urel32-minus mod-ring-minus* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def rel-fun-def* **by**
blast

lemma *mod-ring-mult32*: (*mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32*)
(*mult-p32 pp*) ((∗))

using *urel32-mult mod-ring-mult* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-eq32*: (*mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32* ===> (=)) (=) (=)
using *urel32-eq mod-ring-eq* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *urel32-inj*: *urel32 x y* ⟹ *urel32 x z* ⟹ *y* = *z*
using *urel32-eq*[*of x y x z*] **by** *auto*

lemma *urel32-inj'*: *urel32 x z* ⟹ *urel32 y z* ⟹ *x* = *y*
using *urel32-eq*[*of x z y z*] **by** *auto*

lemma *bi-unique-mod-ring-rel32*:
bi-unique mod-ring-rel32 left-unique mod-ring-rel32 right-unique mod-ring-rel32
using *bi-unique-mod-ring-rel urel32-inj'*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel32-def bi-unique-def left-unique-def right-unique-def*
by (*auto simp*: *urel32-def*)

lemma *right-total-mod-ring-rel32*: *right-total mod-ring-rel32*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel32-def right-total-def*
proof
fix *y* :: *'a mod-ring*
from *right-total-mod-ring-rel*[*unfolded right-total-def*, *rule-format*, *of y*]
obtain *z* **where** *zy*: *mod-ring-rel z y* **by** *auto*
hence *zp*: *0* ≤ *z z* < *p* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel-def p* **using** *range-to-int-mod-ring*[**where** *'a* = *'a*] **by** *auto*
hence *urel32* (*uint32-of-int z*) *z* **unfolding** *urel32-def* **using** *small* **unfolding** *ppp*
by (*auto simp*: *int-of-uint32-inv*)
with *zy* **show** ∃ *x z*. *urel32 x z* ∧ *mod-ring-rel z y* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Domainp-mod-ring-rel32*: *Domainp mod-ring-rel32* = (λ*x*. *0* ≤ *x* ∧ *x* < *pp*)
proof
fix *x*
show *Domainp mod-ring-rel32 x* = (*0* ≤ *x* ∧ *x* < *pp*)
unfolding *Domainp.simps*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel32-def*
proof
let *?i* = *int-of-uint32*
assume ∗: *0* ≤ *x* ∧ *x* < *pp*
hence *0* ≤ *?i x* ∧ *?i x* < *p* **using** *small* **unfolding** *ppp*
by (*transfer*, *auto simp*: *word-less-def*)
hence *?i x* ∈ {*0* ..< *p*} **by** *auto*
with *Domainp-mod-ring-rel*
have *Domainp mod-ring-rel* (*?i x*) **by** *auto*
from *this*[*unfolded Domainp.simps*]

```
    obtain b where b: mod-ring-rel (?i x) b by auto
    show ∃ a b. x = a ∧ (∃ z. urel32 a z ∧ mod-ring-rel z b)
    proof (intro exI, rule conjI[OF refl], rule exI, rule conjI[OF - b])
      show urel32 x (?i x) unfolding urel32-def using small * unfolding ppp
        by (transfer, auto simp: word-less-def)
    qed
  next
    assume ∃ a b. x = a ∧ (∃ z. urel32 a z ∧ mod-ring-rel z b)
    then obtain b z where xz: urel32 x z and zb: mod-ring-rel z b by auto
    hence Domainp mod-ring-rel z  by auto
    with Domainp-mod-ring-rel have 0 ≤ z z < p by auto
    with xz show 0 ≤ x ∧ x < pp unfolding urel32-def using small unfolding
ppp
      by (transfer, auto simp: word-less-def)
  qed
qed

lemma ring-finite-field-ops32: ring-ops (finite-field-ops32 pp) mod-ring-rel32
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp:
  finite-field-ops32-def
  bi-unique-mod-ring-rel32
  right-total-mod-ring-rel32
  mod-ring-plus32
  mod-ring-minus32
  mod-ring-uminus32
  mod-ring-mult32
  mod-ring-eq32
  mod-ring-0-32
  mod-ring-1-32
  Domainp-mod-ring-rel32)
end
end

context prime-field
begin
context fixes pp :: uint32
  assumes *: p = int-of-uint32 pp p ≤ 65535
begin

lemma mod-ring-normalize32: (mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32) (λx. if x
= 0 then 0 else 1) normalize
  using urel32-normalize[OF *] mod-ring-normalize unfolding mod-ring-rel32-def[OF
*] rel-fun-def by blast

lemma mod-ring-mod32: (mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32)
(λx y. if y = 0 then x else 0) (mod)
   using urel32-mod[OF *] mod-ring-mod unfolding mod-ring-rel32-def[OF *]
rel-fun-def by blast
```

lemma *mod-ring-unit-factor32*: (*mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32*) (λ*x*. *x*) *unit-factor*
using *mod-ring-unit-factor* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def*[*OF* ∗] *rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-inverse32*: (*mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32*) (*inverse-p32 pp*) *inverse*
using *urel32-inverse*[*OF* ∗] *mod-ring-inverse* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def*[*OF* ∗] *rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-divide32*: (*mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32* ===> *mod-ring-rel32*) (*divide-p32 pp*) (/)
using *mod-ring-inverse32 mod-ring-mult32*[*OF* ∗]
unfolding *divide-p32-def divide-mod-ring-def inverse-mod-ring-def*[*symmetric*] *rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *finite-field-ops32*: *field-ops* (*finite-field-ops32 pp*) *mod-ring-rel32*
by (*unfold-locales*, *insert ring-finite-field-ops32*[*OF* ∗], *auto simp*:
ring-ops-def
finite-field-ops32-def
mod-ring-divide32
mod-ring-inverse32
mod-ring-mod32
mod-ring-normalize32)

end
end

context
fixes *p* :: *uint64*
begin
definition *plus-p64* :: *uint64* ⇒ *uint64* ⇒ *uint64* **where**
plus-p64 x y ≡ *let z* = *x* + *y in if z* ≥ *p then z* − *p else z*

definition *minus-p64* :: *uint64* ⇒ *uint64* ⇒ *uint64* **where**
minus-p64 x y ≡ *if y* ≤ *x then x* − *y else* (*x* + *p*) − *y*

definition *uminus-p64* :: *uint64* ⇒ *uint64* **where**
uminus-p64 x = (*if x* = *0 then 0 else p* − *x*)

definition *mult-p64* :: *uint64* ⇒ *uint64* ⇒ *uint64* **where**
mult-p64 x y = (*x* ∗ *y mod p*)

lemma *int-of-uint64-shift*: *int-of-uint64* (*drop-bit k n*) = (*int-of-uint64 n*) *div* (2 ^ *k*)
apply *transfer*
apply *transfer*
apply (*simp add*: *take-bit-drop-bit min-def*)

apply (*simp add*: *drop-bit-eq-div*)
done

lemma *int-of-uint64-0-iff*: *int-of-uint64 n = 0 ⟷ n = 0*
by (*transfer*, *rule uint-0-iff*)

lemma *int-of-uint64-0*: *int-of-uint64 0 = 0* **unfolding** *int-of-uint64-0-iff* **by** *simp*

lemma *int-of-uint64-ge-0*: *int-of-uint64 n ≥ 0*
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *two-64*: *2 ^ LENGTH(64) = (18446744073709551616 :: int)* **by** *simp*

lemma *int-of-uint64-plus*: *int-of-uint64 (x + y) = (int-of-uint64 x + int-of-uint64 y) mod 18446744073709551616*
by (*transfer*, *unfold uint-word-ariths two-64*, *rule refl*)

lemma *int-of-uint64-minus*: *int-of-uint64 (x − y) = (int-of-uint64 x − int-of-uint64 y) mod 18446744073709551616*
by (*transfer*, *unfold uint-word-ariths two-64*, *rule refl*)

lemma *int-of-uint64-mult*: *int-of-uint64 (x * y) = (int-of-uint64 x * int-of-uint64 y) mod 18446744073709551616*
by (*transfer*, *unfold uint-word-ariths two-64*, *rule refl*)

lemma *int-of-uint64-mod*: *int-of-uint64 (x mod y) = (int-of-uint64 x mod int-of-uint64 y)*
by (*transfer*, *unfold uint-mod two-64*, *rule refl*)

lemma *int-of-uint64-inv*: *0 ≤ x ⟹ x < 18446744073709551616 ⟹ int-of-uint64 (uint64-of-int x) = x*
by *transfer* (*simp add*: *take-bit-int-eq-self unsigned-of-int*)

context
includes *bit-operations-syntax*
begin

function *power-p64* :: *uint64 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64* **where**
power-p64 x n = (if n = 0 then 1 else
let rec = power-p64 (mult-p64 x x) (drop-bit 1 n) in
if n AND 1 = 0 then rec else mult-p64 rec x)
by *pat-completeness auto*

termination
proof −
{
fix *n* :: *uint64*
assume *n ≠ 0*
with *int-of-uint64-ge-0*[*of n*] *int-of-uint64-0-iff*[*of n*] **have** *int-of-uint64 n > 0*

```
by auto
    hence 0 < int-of-uint64 n int-of-uint64 n div 2 < int-of-uint64 n by auto
  } note * = this
  show ?thesis
   by (relation measure (λ (x,n). nat (int-of-uint64 n)), auto simp: int-of-uint64-shift
*)
qed

end
```

In experiments with Berlekamp-factorization (where the prime p is usually small), it turned out that taking the below implementation of inverse via exponentiation is faster than the one based on the extended Euclidean algorithm.

```
definition inverse-p64 :: uint64 ⇒ uint64 where
  inverse-p64 x = (if x = 0 then 0 else power-p64 x (p − 2))

definition divide-p64 :: uint64 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64  where
  divide-p64 x y = mult-p64 x (inverse-p64 y)

definition finite-field-ops64 :: uint64 arith-ops-record where
  finite-field-ops64 ≡ Arith-Ops-Record
      0
      1
      plus-p64
      mult-p64
      minus-p64
      uminus-p64
      divide-p64
      inverse-p64
      (λ x y . if y = 0 then x else 0)
      (λ x . if x = 0 then 0 else 1)
      (λ x . x)
      uint64-of-int
      int-of-uint64
      (λ x. 0 ≤ x ∧ x < p)
end

lemma shiftr-uint64-code [code-unfold]: drop-bit 1 x = (uint64-shiftr x 1)
  by (simp add: uint64-shiftr-def)
```

For soundness of the 64-bit implementation, we mainly prove that this implementation implements the int-based implementation of GF(p).

```
context mod-ring-locale
begin

context fixes pp :: uint64
  assumes ppp: p = int-of-uint64 pp
  and small: p ≤ 4294967295
```

begin

lemmas *uint64-simps* =
int-of-uint64-0
int-of-uint64-plus
int-of-uint64-minus
int-of-uint64-mult

definition *urel64* :: *uint64* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *bool* **where** *urel64 x y* = (*y* = *int-of-uint64 x* ∧ *y* < *p*)

definition *mod-ring-rel64* :: *uint64* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *bool* **where**
mod-ring-rel64 x y = (∃ *z*. *urel64 x z* ∧ *mod-ring-rel z y*)

lemma *urel64-0*: *urel64 0 0* **unfolding** *urel64-def* **using** *p2* **by** (*simp*, *transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *urel64-1*: *urel64 1 1* **unfolding** *urel64-def* **using** *p2* **by** (*simp*, *transfer*, *simp*)

lemma *le-int-of-uint64*: (*x* ≤ *y*) = (*int-of-uint64 x* ≤ *int-of-uint64 y*)
by (*transfer*, *simp add*: *word-le-def*)

lemma *urel64-plus*: **assumes** *urel64 x y urel64 x' y'*
shows *urel64* (*plus-p64 pp x x'*) (*plus-p p y y'*)
proof −
let *?x* = *int-of-uint64 x*
let *?x'* = *int-of-uint64 x'*
let *?p* = *int-of-uint64 pp*
from *assms int-of-uint64-ge-0* **have** *id*: *y* = *?x y'* = *?x'*
and *rel*: *0* ≤ *?x ?x* < *p*
0 ≤ *?x' ?x'* ≤ *p* **unfolding** *urel64-def* **by** *auto*
have *le*: (*pp* ≤ *x* + *x'*) = (*?p* ≤ *?x* + *?x'*) **unfolding** *le-int-of-uint64*
using *rel small* **by** (*auto simp*: *uint64-simps*)
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases ?p* ≤ *?x* + *?x'*)
case *True*
hence *True*: (*?p* ≤ *?x* + *?x'*) = *True* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
using *small rel* **unfolding** *plus-p64-def plus-p-def Let-def urel64-def*
unfolding *ppp le True if-True*
using *True* **by** (*auto simp*: *uint64-simps*)
next
case *False*
hence *False*: (*?p* ≤ *?x* + *?x'*) = *False* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
using *small rel* **unfolding** *plus-p64-def plus-p-def Let-def urel64-def*
unfolding *ppp le False if-False*

```
    using False by (auto simp: uint64-simps)
  qed
qed

lemma urel64-minus: assumes urel64 x y urel64 x' y'
  shows urel64 (minus-p64 pp x x') (minus-p p y y')
proof −
  let ?x = int-of-uint64 x
  let ?x' = int-of-uint64 x'
  from assms int-of-uint64-ge-0 have id: y = ?x y' = ?x'
    and rel: 0 ≤ ?x ?x < p
      0 ≤ ?x' ?x' ≤ p unfolding urel64-def by auto
  have le: (x' ≤ x) = (?x' ≤ ?x) unfolding le-int-of-uint64
    using rel small by (auto simp: uint64-simps)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ?x' ≤ ?x)
    case True
    hence True: (?x' ≤ ?x) = True by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using small rel unfolding minus-p64-def minus-p-def Let-def urel64-def
      unfolding ppp le True if-True
      using True by (auto simp: uint64-simps)
  next
    case False
    hence False: (?x' ≤ ?x) = False by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using small rel unfolding minus-p64-def minus-p-def Let-def urel64-def
      unfolding ppp le False if-False
      using False by (auto simp: uint64-simps)
  qed
qed

lemma urel64-uminus: assumes urel64 x y
  shows urel64 (uminus-p64 pp x) (uminus-p p y)
proof −
  let ?x = int-of-uint64 x
  from assms int-of-uint64-ge-0 have id: y = ?x
    and rel: 0 ≤ ?x ?x < p
      unfolding urel64-def by auto
  have le: (x = 0) = (?x = 0) unfolding int-of-uint64-0-iff
    using rel small by (auto simp: uint64-simps)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ?x = 0)
    case True
    hence True: (?x = 0) = True by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using small rel unfolding uminus-p64-def uminus-p-def Let-def urel64-def
      unfolding ppp le True if-True
      using True by (auto simp: uint64-simps)
```

```
  next
    case False
    hence False: (?x = 0) = False by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using small rel unfolding uminus-p64-def uminus-p-def Let-def urel64-def
      unfolding ppp le False if-False
      using False by (auto simp: uint64-simps)
  qed
qed

lemma urel64-mult: assumes urel64 x y urel64 x' y'
  shows urel64 (mult-p64 pp x x') (mult-p p y y')
proof −
  let ?x = int-of-uint64 x
  let ?x' = int-of-uint64 x'
  from assms int-of-uint64-ge-0 have id: y = ?x y' = ?x'
    and rel: 0 ≤ ?x ?x < p
      0 ≤ ?x' ?x' < p unfolding urel64-def by auto
  from rel have ?x * ?x' < p * p by (metis mult-strict-mono')
  also have ... ≤ 4294967296 * 4294967296
    by (rule mult-mono, insert p2 small, auto)
  finally have le: ?x * ?x' < 18446744073709551616 by simp
  show ?thesis unfolding id
      using small rel unfolding mult-p64-def mult-p-def Let-def urel64-def
      unfolding ppp
    by (auto simp: uint64-simps, unfold int-of-uint64-mod int-of-uint64-mult,
        subst mod-pos-pos-trivial[of - 18446744073709551616], insert le, auto)
qed

lemma urel64-eq: assumes urel64 x y urel64 x' y'
  shows (x = x') = (y = y')
proof −
  let ?x = int-of-uint64 x
  let ?x' = int-of-uint64 x'
  from assms int-of-uint64-ge-0 have id: y = ?x y' = ?x'
    unfolding urel64-def by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding id by (transfer, transfer) rule
qed

lemma urel64-normalize:
assumes x: urel64 x y
shows urel64 (if x = 0 then 0 else 1) (if y = 0 then 0 else 1)
 unfolding urel64-eq[OF x urel64-0] using urel64-0 urel64-1 by auto

lemma urel64-mod:
assumes x: urel64 x x' and y: urel64 y y'
shows urel64 (if y = 0 then x else 0) (if y' = 0 then x' else 0)
  unfolding urel64-eq[OF y urel64-0] using urel64-0 x by auto
```

lemma *urel64-power*: *urel64 x x'* ⟹ *urel64 y* (*int y'*) ⟹ *urel64* (*power-p64 pp x y*) (*power-p p x' y'*)
including *bit-operations-syntax* **proof** (*induct x' y' arbitrary*: *x y rule*: *power-p.induct*[*of - - p*])
case (*1 x' y' x y*)
note *x* = *1*(*2*) **note** *y* = *1*(*3*)
show *?case*
proof (*cases y'* = *0*)
case *True*
hence *y*: *y* = *0* **using** *urel64-eq*[*OF y urel64-0*] **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *y True* **by** (*simp add*: *power-p.simps urel64-1*)
next
case *False*
hence *id*: (*y* = *0*) = *False* (*y'* = *0*) = *False* **using** *urel64-eq*[*OF y urel64-0*] **by** *auto*
from *y* **have** ‹*int y'* = *int-of-uint64 y*› ‹*int y'* < *p*›
by (*simp-all add*: *urel64-def*)
obtain *d' r'* **where** *dr'*: *Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat y'* 2 = (*d'*,*r'*) **by** *force*
from *Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def*[*of y'* 2, *unfolded dr'*]
have *r'*: *r'* = *y' mod* 2 **and** *d'*: *d'* = *y' div* 2 **by** *auto*
have *urel64* (*y AND 1*) *r'*
using ‹*int y'* < *p*› *small*
apply (*simp add*: *urel64-def and-one-eq r'*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *ppp and-one-eq*)
apply (*simp add*: *of-nat-mod int-of-uint64.rep-eq modulo-uint64.rep-eq uint-mod* ‹*int y'* = *int-of-uint64 y*›)
done
from *urel64-eq*[*OF this urel64-0*]
have *rem*: (*y AND 1* = *0*) = (*r'* = *0*) **by** *simp*
have *div*: *urel64* (*drop-bit 1 y*) (*int d'*) **unfolding** *d'* **using** *y* **unfolding** *urel64-def* **using** *small*
unfolding *ppp*
apply *transfer*
apply *transfer*
apply (*auto simp add*: *drop-bit-Suc take-bit-int-eq-self*)
done
note *IH* = *1*(*1*)[*OF False refl dr'*[*symmetric*] *urel64-mult*[*OF x x*] *div*]
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *power-p.simps*[*of - - y'*] *power-p64.simps*[*of - - y*] *dr' id if-False rem*
using *IH urel64-mult*[*OF IH x*] **by** (*auto simp*: *Let-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *urel64-inverse*: **assumes** *x*: *urel64 x x'*
shows *urel64* (*inverse-p64 pp x*) (*inverse-p p x'*)
proof −
have *p*: *urel64* (*pp* − *2*) (*int* (*nat* (*p* − *2*))) **using** *p2 small* **unfolding** *urel64-def* **unfolding** *ppp*

by (*simp add*: *int-of-uint64.rep-eq minus-uint64.rep-eq uint-sub-if'*)
show *?thesis*
unfolding *inverse-p64-def inverse-p-def urel64-eq*[*OF x urel64-0*] **using** *urel64-0 urel64-power*[*OF x p*]
by *auto*
qed

lemma *mod-ring-0-64*: *mod-ring-rel64 0 0*
using *urel64-0 mod-ring-0* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-1-64*: *mod-ring-rel64 1 1*
using *urel64-1 mod-ring-1* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-uminus64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64*) (*uminus-p64 pp*) *uminus*
using *urel64-uminus mod-ring-uminus* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-plus64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64*) (*plus-p64 pp*) (+)
using *urel64-plus mod-ring-plus* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-minus64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64*) (*minus-p64 pp*) (−)
using *urel64-minus mod-ring-minus* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-mult64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64*) (*mult-p64 pp*) ((∗))
using *urel64-mult mod-ring-mult* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-eq64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64* ===> (=)) (=) (=)
using *urel64-eq mod-ring-eq* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *urel64-inj*: *urel64 x y* ⟹ *urel64 x z* ⟹ *y* = *z*
using *urel64-eq*[*of x y x z*] **by** *auto*

lemma *urel64-inj'*: *urel64 x z* ⟹ *urel64 y z* ⟹ *x* = *y*
using *urel64-eq*[*of x z y z*] **by** *auto*

lemma *bi-unique-mod-ring-rel64*:
bi-unique mod-ring-rel64 left-unique mod-ring-rel64 right-unique mod-ring-rel64
using *bi-unique-mod-ring-rel urel64-inj'*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel64-def bi-unique-def left-unique-def right-unique-def*
by (*auto simp*: *urel64-def*)

lemma *right-total-mod-ring-rel64*: *right-total mod-ring-rel64*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel64-def right-total-def*
proof
fix *y* :: *'a mod-ring*
from *right-total-mod-ring-rel*[*unfolded right-total-def*, *rule-format*, *of y*]
obtain *z* **where** *zy*: *mod-ring-rel z y* **by** *auto*
hence *zp*: $0 \leq z\ z < p$ **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel-def p* **using** *range-to-int-mod-ring*[**where** *'a* = *'a*] **by** *auto*
hence *urel64* (*uint64-of-int z*) *z* **unfolding** *urel64-def* **using** *small* **unfolding** *ppp*
by (*auto simp*: *int-of-uint64-inv*)
with *zy* **show** $\exists\ x\ z.$ *urel64 x z* $\wedge$ *mod-ring-rel z y* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Domainp-mod-ring-rel64*: *Domainp mod-ring-rel64* = $(\lambda x.\ 0 \leq x \wedge x <$ *pp*)
proof
fix *x*
show *Domainp mod-ring-rel64 x* = $(0 \leq x \wedge x <$ *pp*)
unfolding *Domainp.simps*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel64-def*
proof
let *?i* = *int-of-uint64*
assume $*$: $0 \leq x \wedge x <$ *pp*
hence $0 \leq$ *?i x* $\wedge$ *?i x* $< p$ **using** *small* **unfolding** *ppp*
by (*transfer*, *auto simp*: *word-less-def*)
hence *?i x* $\in \{0\ ..< p\}$ **by** *auto*
with *Domainp-mod-ring-rel*
have *Domainp mod-ring-rel* (*?i x*) **by** *auto*
from *this*[*unfolded Domainp.simps*]
obtain *b* **where** *b*: *mod-ring-rel* (*?i x*) *b* **by** *auto*
show $\exists\ a\ b.\ x = a \wedge (\exists\ z.$ *urel64 a z* $\wedge$ *mod-ring-rel z b*)
proof (*intro exI*, *rule conjI*[*OF refl*], *rule exI*, *rule conjI*[*OF - b*])
show *urel64 x* (*?i x*) **unfolding** *urel64-def* **using** *small* $*$ **unfolding** *ppp*
by (*transfer*, *auto simp*: *word-less-def*)
qed
next
assume $\exists\ a\ b.\ x = a \wedge (\exists\ z.$ *urel64 a z* $\wedge$ *mod-ring-rel z b*)
then obtain *b z* **where** *xz*: *urel64 x z* **and** *zb*: *mod-ring-rel z b* **by** *auto*
hence *Domainp mod-ring-rel z* **by** *auto*
with *Domainp-mod-ring-rel* **have** $0 \leq z\ z < p$ **by** *auto*
with *xz* **show** $0 \leq x \wedge x <$ *pp* **unfolding** *urel64-def* **using** *small* **unfolding** *ppp*
by (*transfer*, *auto simp*: *word-less-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *ring-finite-field-ops64*: *ring-ops* (*finite-field-ops64 pp*) *mod-ring-rel64*
by (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp*:

finite-field-ops64-def
bi-unique-mod-ring-rel64
right-total-mod-ring-rel64
mod-ring-plus64
mod-ring-minus64
mod-ring-uminus64
mod-ring-mult64
mod-ring-eq64
mod-ring-0-64
mod-ring-1-64
Domainp-mod-ring-rel64)
end
end

context *prime-field*
begin
context fixes *pp* :: *uint64*
assumes ∗: *p* = *int-of-uint64 pp p* ≤ *4294967295*
begin

lemma *mod-ring-normalize64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64*) (λ*x*. *if x* = *0 then 0 else 1*) *normalize*
using *urel64-normalize*[*OF* ∗] *mod-ring-normalize* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def*[*OF* ∗] *rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-mod64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64*) (λ*x y*. *if y* = *0 then x else 0*) (*mod*)
using *urel64-mod*[*OF* ∗] *mod-ring-mod* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def*[*OF* ∗] *rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-unit-factor64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64*) (λ*x*. *x*) *unit-factor*
using *mod-ring-unit-factor* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def*[*OF* ∗] *rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-inverse64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64*) (*inverse-p64 pp*) *inverse*
using *urel64-inverse*[*OF* ∗] *mod-ring-inverse* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def*[*OF* ∗] *rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-divide64*: (*mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64* ===> *mod-ring-rel64*) (*divide-p64 pp*) (/)
using *mod-ring-inverse64 mod-ring-mult64*[*OF* ∗]
unfolding *divide-p64-def divide-mod-ring-def inverse-mod-ring-def*[*symmetric*] *rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *finite-field-ops64*: *field-ops* (*finite-field-ops64 pp*) *mod-ring-rel64*
by (*unfold-locales*, *insert ring-finite-field-ops64*[*OF* ∗], *auto simp*: *ring-ops-def*

finite-field-ops64-def
mod-ring-divide64
mod-ring-inverse64
mod-ring-mod64
mod-ring-normalize64)
end
end

context
fixes *p* :: *integer*
begin
definition *plus-p-integer* :: *integer* ⇒ *integer* ⇒ *integer* **where**
plus-p-integer x y ≡ *let z* = *x* + *y in if z* ≥ *p then z* − *p else z*

definition *minus-p-integer* :: *integer* ⇒ *integer* ⇒ *integer* **where**
minus-p-integer x y ≡ *if y* ≤ *x then x* − *y else* (*x* + *p*) − *y*

definition *uminus-p-integer* :: *integer* ⇒ *integer* **where**
uminus-p-integer x = (*if x* = *0 then 0 else p* − *x*)

definition *mult-p-integer* :: *integer* ⇒ *integer* ⇒ *integer* **where**
mult-p-integer x y = (*x* ∗ *y mod p*)

lemma *int-of-integer-0-iff*: *int-of-integer n* = *0* ⟷ *n* = *0*
using *integer-eqI* **by** *auto*

lemma *int-of-integer-0*: *int-of-integer 0* = *0* **unfolding** *int-of-integer-0-iff* **by** *simp*

lemma *int-of-integer-plus*: *int-of-integer* (*x* + *y*) = (*int-of-integer x* + *int-of-integer y*)
by *simp*

lemma *int-of-integer-minus*: *int-of-integer* (*x* − *y*) = (*int-of-integer x* − *int-of-integer y*)
by *simp*

lemma *int-of-integer-mult*: *int-of-integer* (*x* ∗ *y*) = (*int-of-integer x* ∗ *int-of-integer y*)
by *simp*

lemma *int-of-integer-mod*: *int-of-integer* (*x mod y*) = (*int-of-integer x mod int-of-integer y*)
by *simp*

lemma *int-of-integer-inv*: *int-of-integer* (*integer-of-int x*) = *x* **by** *simp*

lemma *int-of-integer-shift*: *int-of-integer* (*drop-bit k n*) = (*int-of-integer n*) *div* (*2*

```
^ k)
  by transfer (simp add: int-of-integer-pow shiftr-integer-conv-div-pow2)

context
  includes bit-operations-syntax
begin

function power-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ integer where
  power-p-integer x n = (if n ≤ 0 then 1 else
    let rec = power-p-integer (mult-p-integer x x) (drop-bit 1 n) in
    if n AND 1 = 0 then rec else mult-p-integer rec x)
  by pat-completeness auto

termination
proof −
  {
    fix n :: integer
    assume ¬ (n ≤ 0)
    hence n > 0 by auto
    hence int-of-integer n > 0
      by (simp add: less-integer.rep-eq)
    hence 0 < int-of-integer n int-of-integer n div 2 < int-of-integer n by auto
  } note ∗ = this
  show ?thesis
   by (relation measure (λ (x,n). nat (int-of-integer n)), auto simp: ∗ int-of-integer-shift)

qed

end
```

In experiments with Berlekamp-factorization (where the prime p is usually small), it turned out that taking the below implementation of inverse via exponentiation is faster than the one based on the extended Euclidean algorithm.

```
definition inverse-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer where
  inverse-p-integer x = (if x = 0 then 0 else power-p-integer x (p − 2))

definition divide-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ integer  where
  divide-p-integer x y = mult-p-integer x (inverse-p-integer y)

definition finite-field-ops-integer :: integer arith-ops-record where
  finite-field-ops-integer ≡ Arith-Ops-Record
      0
      1
      plus-p-integer
      mult-p-integer
      minus-p-integer
      uminus-p-integer
      divide-p-integer
```

inverse-p-integer
(λ *x y* . *if y = 0 then x else 0*)
(λ *x* . *if x = 0 then 0 else 1*)
(λ *x* . *x*)
integer-of-int
int-of-integer
(λ *x*. *0 ≤ x ∧ x < p*)
end

lemma *shiftr-integer-code* [*code-unfold*]: *drop-bit 1 x* = (*integer-shiftr x 1*)
unfolding *shiftr-integer-code* **using** *integer-of-nat-1* **by** *auto*

For soundness of the integer implementation, we mainly prove that this implementation implements the int-based implementation of GF(p).

context *mod-ring-locale*
begin

context fixes *pp* :: *integer*
assumes *ppp*: *p* = *int-of-integer pp*
begin

lemmas *integer-simps* =
int-of-integer-0
int-of-integer-plus
int-of-integer-minus
int-of-integer-mult

definition *urel-integer* :: *integer* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *bool* **where** *urel-integer x y* = (*y* = *int-of-integer x* ∧ *y* ≥ *0* ∧ *y* < *p*)

definition *mod-ring-rel-integer* :: *integer* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *bool* **where**
mod-ring-rel-integer x y = (∃ *z*. *urel-integer x z* ∧ *mod-ring-rel z y*)

lemma *urel-integer-0*: *urel-integer 0 0* **unfolding** *urel-integer-def* **using** *p2* **by** *simp*

lemma *urel-integer-1*: *urel-integer 1 1* **unfolding** *urel-integer-def* **using** *p2* **by** *simp*

lemma *le-int-of-integer*: (*x* ≤ *y*) = (*int-of-integer x* ≤ *int-of-integer y*)
by (*rule less-eq-integer.rep-eq*)

lemma *urel-integer-plus*: **assumes** *urel-integer x y urel-integer x' y'*
shows *urel-integer* (*plus-p-integer pp x x'*) (*plus-p p y y'*)
proof −
let *?x* = *int-of-integer x*
let *?x'* = *int-of-integer x'*
let *?p* = *int-of-integer pp*

```
  from assms have id: y = ?x y' = ?x'
    and rel: 0 ≤ ?x ?x < p
      0 ≤ ?x' ?x' ≤ p unfolding urel-integer-def by auto
  have le: (pp ≤ x + x') = (?p ≤ ?x + ?x') unfolding le-int-of-integer
    using rel by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ?p ≤ ?x + ?x')
    case True
    hence True: (?p ≤ ?x + ?x') = True by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using rel unfolding plus-p-integer-def plus-p-def Let-def urel-integer-def
      unfolding ppp le True if-True
      using True by auto
  next
    case False
    hence False: (?p ≤ ?x + ?x') = False by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using rel unfolding plus-p-integer-def plus-p-def Let-def urel-integer-def
      unfolding ppp le False if-False
      using False by auto
  qed
qed

lemma urel-integer-minus: assumes urel-integer x y urel-integer x' y'
  shows urel-integer (minus-p-integer pp x x') (minus-p p y y')
proof −
  let ?x = int-of-integer x
  let ?x' = int-of-integer x'
  from assms have id: y = ?x y' = ?x'
    and rel: 0 ≤ ?x ?x < p
      0 ≤ ?x' ?x' ≤ p unfolding urel-integer-def by auto
  have le: (x' ≤ x) = (?x' ≤ ?x) unfolding le-int-of-integer
    using rel by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ?x' ≤ ?x)
    case True
    hence True: (?x' ≤ ?x) = True by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using rel unfolding minus-p-integer-def minus-p-def Let-def urel-integer-def
      unfolding ppp le True if-True
      using True by auto
  next
    case False
    hence False: (?x' ≤ ?x) = False by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id
      using rel unfolding minus-p-integer-def minus-p-def Let-def urel-integer-def
      unfolding ppp le False if-False
      using False by auto
  qed
```

qed

lemma *urel-integer-uminus*: **assumes** *urel-integer x y*
shows *urel-integer* (*uminus-p-integer pp x*) (*uminus-p p y*)
proof −
let *?x* = *int-of-integer x*
from *assms* **have** *id*: *y* = *?x*
and *rel*: *0* ≤ *?x ?x* < *p*
unfolding *urel-integer-def* **by** *auto*
have *le*: (*x* = *0*) = (*?x* = *0*) **unfolding** *int-of-integer-0-iff*
using *rel* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases ?x* = *0*)
case *True*
hence *True*: (*?x* = *0*) = *True* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
using *rel* **unfolding** *uminus-p-integer-def uminus-p-def Let-def urel-integer-def*

unfolding *ppp le True if-True*
using *True* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
hence *False*: (*?x* = *0*) = *False* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
using *rel* **unfolding** *uminus-p-integer-def uminus-p-def Let-def urel-integer-def*

unfolding *ppp le False if-False*
using *False* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *pp-pos*: *int-of-integer pp* > *0*
using *ppp nontriv*[**where** *'a* = *'a*] **unfolding** *p*
by (*simp add*: *less-integer.rep-eq*)

lemma *urel-integer-mult*: **assumes** *urel-integer x y urel-integer x' y'*
shows *urel-integer* (*mult-p-integer pp x x'*) (*mult-p p y y'*)
proof −
let *?x* = *int-of-integer x*
let *?x'* = *int-of-integer x'*
from *assms* **have** *id*: *y* = *?x y'* = *?x'*
and *rel*: *0* ≤ *?x ?x* < *p*
0 ≤ *?x' ?x'* < *p* **unfolding** *urel-integer-def* **by** *auto*
from *rel*(*1*,*3*) **have** *xx*: *0* ≤ *?x* ∗ *?x'* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
using *rel* **unfolding** *mult-p-integer-def mult-p-def Let-def urel-integer-def*
unfolding *ppp mod-nonneg-pos-int*[*OF xx pp-pos*] **using** *xx pp-pos* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *urel-integer-eq*: **assumes** *urel-integer x y urel-integer x′ y′*
shows $(x = x') = (y = y')$
proof −
let *?x* = *int-of-integer x*
let *?x′* = *int-of-integer x′*
from *assms* **have** *id*: *y* = *?x y′* = *?x′*
unfolding *urel-integer-def* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id integer-eq-iff* **..**
qed

lemma *urel-integer-normalize*:
assumes *x*: *urel-integer x y*
shows *urel-integer* (*if x* = *0 then 0 else 1*) (*if y* = *0 then 0 else 1*)
unfolding *urel-integer-eq*[*OF x urel-integer-0*] **using** *urel-integer-0 urel-integer-1*
by *auto*

lemma *urel-integer-mod*:
assumes *x*: *urel-integer x x′* **and** *y*: *urel-integer y y′*
shows *urel-integer* (*if y* = *0 then x else 0*) (*if y′* = *0 then x′ else 0*)
unfolding *urel-integer-eq*[*OF y urel-integer-0*] **using** *urel-integer-0 x* **by** *auto*

lemma *urel-integer-power*: *urel-integer x x′* ⟹ *urel-integer y* (*int y′*) ⟹ *urel-integer* (*power-p-integer pp x y*) (*power-p p x′ y′*)
including *bit-operations-syntax* **proof** (*induct x′ y′ arbitrary*: *x y rule*: *power-p.induct*[*of - p*])
case (*1 x′ y′ x y*)
note *x* = *1*(*2*) **note** *y* = *1*(*3*)
show *?case*
proof (*cases y′* ≤ *0*)
case *True*
hence *y*: *y* = *0 y′* = *0* **using** *urel-integer-eq*[*OF y urel-integer-0*] **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *y True* **by** (*simp add*: *power-p.simps urel-integer-1*)
next
case *False*
hence *id*: (*y* ≤ *0*) = *False* (*y′* = *0*) = *False* **using** *False y*
by (*auto simp add*: *urel-integer-def not-le*) (*metis of-int-integer-of of-int-of-nat-eq of-nat-0-less-iff*)
obtain *d′ r′* **where** *dr′*: *Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat y′ 2* = (*d′*,*r′*) **by** *force*
from *Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def*[*of y′ 2*, *unfolded dr′*]
have *r′*: *r′* = *y′ mod 2* **and** *d′*: *d′* = *y′ div 2* **by** *auto*
have *aux*: ⋀ *y′*. *int* (*y′ mod 2*) = *int y′ mod 2* **by** *presburger*
have *urel-integer* (*y AND 1*) *r′* **unfolding** *r′* **using** *y* **unfolding** *urel-integer-def*

unfolding *ppp*
apply (*auto simp add*: *and-one-eq*)
apply (*simp add*: *of-nat-mod*)
done
from *urel-integer-eq*[*OF this urel-integer-0*]

```
    have rem: (y AND 1 = 0) = (r' = 0) by simp
    have div: urel-integer (drop-bit 1 y) (int d') unfolding d' using y unfolding
urel-integer-def
      unfolding ppp shiftr-integer-conv-div-pow2 by auto
    from id have y' ≠ 0 by auto
    note IH = 1(1)[OF this refl dr'[symmetric] urel-integer-mult[OF x x] div]
   show ?thesis unfolding power-p.simps[of - - y'] power-p-integer.simps[of - - y]
dr' id if-False rem
      using IH urel-integer-mult[OF IH x] by (auto simp: Let-def)
  qed
qed


lemma urel-integer-inverse: assumes x: urel-integer x x'
  shows urel-integer (inverse-p-integer pp x) (inverse-p p x')
proof −
 have p: urel-integer (pp − 2) (int (nat (p − 2))) using p2 unfolding urel-integer-def
unfolding ppp
    by auto
  show ?thesis
   unfolding inverse-p-integer-def inverse-p-def urel-integer-eq[OF x urel-integer-0]
using urel-integer-0 urel-integer-power[OF x p]
    by auto
qed

lemma mod-ring-0--integer: mod-ring-rel-integer 0 0
  using urel-integer-0 mod-ring-0 unfolding mod-ring-rel-integer-def by blast

lemma mod-ring-1--integer: mod-ring-rel-integer 1 1
  using urel-integer-1 mod-ring-1 unfolding mod-ring-rel-integer-def by blast

lemma mod-ring-uminus-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer)
(uminus-p-integer pp) uminus
  using urel-integer-uminus mod-ring-uminus unfolding mod-ring-rel-integer-def
rel-fun-def by blast

lemma mod-ring-plus-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer
===> mod-ring-rel-integer) (plus-p-integer pp) (+)
 using urel-integer-plus mod-ring-plus unfolding mod-ring-rel-integer-def rel-fun-def
by blast

lemma mod-ring-minus-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer
===> mod-ring-rel-integer) (minus-p-integer pp) (−)
 using urel-integer-minus mod-ring-minus unfolding mod-ring-rel-integer-def rel-fun-def
by blast

lemma mod-ring-mult-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer
===> mod-ring-rel-integer) (mult-p-integer pp) ((∗))
 using urel-integer-mult mod-ring-mult unfolding mod-ring-rel-integer-def rel-fun-def
```

by *blast*

lemma *mod-ring-eq-integer*: (*mod-ring-rel-integer* ===> *mod-ring-rel-integer* ===> (=)) (=) (=)
using *urel-integer-eq mod-ring-eq* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel-integer-def rel-fun-def*
by *blast*

lemma *urel-integer-inj*: *urel-integer x y* ⟹ *urel-integer x z* ⟹ *y* = *z*
using *urel-integer-eq*[*of x y x z*] **by** *auto*

lemma *urel-integer-inj'*: *urel-integer x z* ⟹ *urel-integer y z* ⟹ *x* = *y*
using *urel-integer-eq*[*of x z y z*] **by** *auto*

lemma *bi-unique-mod-ring-rel-integer*:
bi-unique mod-ring-rel-integer left-unique mod-ring-rel-integer right-unique mod-ring-rel-integer
using *bi-unique-mod-ring-rel urel-integer-inj'*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel-integer-def bi-unique-def left-unique-def right-unique-def*
by (*auto simp*: *urel-integer-def*)

lemma *right-total-mod-ring-rel-integer*: *right-total mod-ring-rel-integer*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel-integer-def right-total-def*
proof
fix *y* :: *'a mod-ring*
from *right-total-mod-ring-rel*[*unfolded right-total-def*, *rule-format*, *of y*]
obtain *z* **where** *zy*: *mod-ring-rel z y* **by** *auto*
hence *zp*: *0* ≤ *z z* < *p* **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel-def p* **using** *range-to-int-mod-ring*[**where** *'a* = *'a*] **by** *auto*
hence *urel-integer* (*integer-of-int z*) *z* **unfolding** *urel-integer-def* **unfolding** *ppp*

by *auto*
with *zy* **show** ∃ *x z*. *urel-integer x z* ∧ *mod-ring-rel z y* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Domainp-mod-ring-rel-integer*: *Domainp mod-ring-rel-integer* = (λ*x*. *0* ≤ *x* ∧ *x* < *pp*)
proof
fix *x*
show *Domainp mod-ring-rel-integer x* = (*0* ≤ *x* ∧ *x* < *pp*)
unfolding *Domainp.simps*
unfolding *mod-ring-rel-integer-def*
proof
let *?i* = *int-of-integer*
assume ∗: *0* ≤ *x* ∧ *x* < *pp*
hence *0* ≤ *?i x* ∧ *?i x* < *p* **unfolding** *ppp*
by (*simp add*: *le-int-of-integer less-integer.rep-eq*)
hence *?i x* ∈ {*0* ..< *p*} **by** *auto*
with *Domainp-mod-ring-rel*
have *Domainp mod-ring-rel* (*?i x*) **by** *auto*
from *this*[*unfolded Domainp.simps*]

```
    obtain b where b: mod-ring-rel (?i x) b by auto
    show ∃ a b. x = a ∧ (∃ z. urel-integer a z ∧ mod-ring-rel z b)
    proof (intro exI, rule conjI[OF refl], rule exI, rule conjI[OF - b])
     show urel-integer x (?i x) unfolding urel-integer-def using ∗ unfolding ppp
       by (simp add: le-int-of-integer less-integer.rep-eq)
    qed
  next
    assume ∃ a b. x = a ∧ (∃ z. urel-integer a z ∧ mod-ring-rel z b)
    then obtain b z where xz: urel-integer x z and zb: mod-ring-rel z b by auto
    hence Domainp mod-ring-rel z  by auto
    with Domainp-mod-ring-rel have 0 ≤ z z < p by auto
    with xz show 0 ≤ x ∧ x < pp unfolding urel-integer-def unfolding ppp
      by (simp add: le-int-of-integer less-integer.rep-eq)
  qed
qed

lemma ring-finite-field-ops-integer: ring-ops (finite-field-ops-integer pp) mod-ring-rel-integer
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp:
  finite-field-ops-integer-def
  bi-unique-mod-ring-rel-integer
  right-total-mod-ring-rel-integer
  mod-ring-plus-integer
  mod-ring-minus-integer
  mod-ring-uminus-integer
  mod-ring-mult-integer
  mod-ring-eq-integer
  mod-ring-0--integer
  mod-ring-1--integer
  Domainp-mod-ring-rel-integer)
end
end

context prime-field
begin
context fixes pp :: integer
  assumes ∗: p = int-of-integer pp
begin

lemma mod-ring-normalize-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer)
(λx. if x = 0 then 0 else 1) normalize
  using urel-integer-normalize[OF ∗] mod-ring-normalize unfolding mod-ring-rel-integer-def[OF
∗] rel-fun-def by blast

lemma mod-ring-mod-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer
===> mod-ring-rel-integer) (λx y. if y = 0 then x else 0) (mod)
  using urel-integer-mod[OF ∗] mod-ring-mod unfolding mod-ring-rel-integer-def[OF
∗] rel-fun-def by blast

lemma mod-ring-unit-factor-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer)
```

(λx. x) unit-factor
  using mod-ring-unit-factor **unfolding** mod-ring-rel-integer-def[OF ∗] rel-fun-def
by blast

lemma mod-ring-inverse-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer)
(inverse-p-integer pp) inverse
  using urel-integer-inverse[OF ∗] mod-ring-inverse **unfolding** mod-ring-rel-integer-def[OF
∗] rel-fun-def **by** blast

lemma mod-ring-divide-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer
===> mod-ring-rel-integer) (divide-p-integer pp) (/)
  using mod-ring-inverse-integer mod-ring-mult-integer[OF ∗]
  unfolding divide-p-integer-def divide-mod-ring-def inverse-mod-ring-def[symmetric]
    rel-fun-def **by** blast

lemma finite-field-ops-integer: field-ops (finite-field-ops-integer pp) mod-ring-rel-integer
  by (unfold-locales, insert ring-finite-field-ops-integer[OF ∗], auto simp:
  ring-ops-def
  finite-field-ops-integer-def
  mod-ring-divide-integer
  mod-ring-inverse-integer
  mod-ring-mod-integer
  mod-ring-normalize-integer)
end
end

context prime-field
begin

thm
  finite-field-ops64
  finite-field-ops32
  finite-field-ops-integer
  finite-field-ops-int
end

context mod-ring-locale
begin

thm
  ring-finite-field-ops64
  ring-finite-field-ops32
  ring-finite-field-ops-integer
  ring-finite-field-ops-int
end

end

3.2 Matrix Operations in Fields

We use our record based description of a field to perform matrix operations.

theory *Matrix-Record-Based*
imports
Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-Elimination
Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-IArray-Impl
Arithmetic-Record-Based
begin

definition *mat-rel* :: ('*a* ⇒ '*b* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ '*a mat* ⇒ '*b mat* ⇒ *bool* **where**
mat-rel R A B ≡ *dim-row A* = *dim-row B* ∧ *dim-col A* = *dim-col B* ∧
(∀ *i j*. *i* < *dim-row B* ⟶ *j* < *dim-col B* ⟶ *R* (*A* $$ (*i*,*j*)) (*B* $$ (*i*,*j*)))

lemma *right-total-mat-rel*: *right-total R* ⟹ *right-total* (*mat-rel R*)
unfolding *right-total-def*
proof
fix *B*
assume ∀ *y*. ∃ *x*. *R x y*
from *choice*[*OF this*] **obtain** *f* **where** *f*: ⋀ *x*. *R* (*f x*) *x* **by** *auto*
show ∃ *A*. *mat-rel R A B*
by (*rule exI*[*of* - *map-mat f B*], *unfold mat-rel-def*, *auto simp*: *f*)
qed

lemma *left-unique-mat-rel*: *left-unique R* ⟹ *left-unique* (*mat-rel R*)
unfolding *left-unique-def mat-rel-def mat-eq-iff* **by** (*auto*, *blast*)

lemma *right-unique-mat-rel*: *right-unique R* ⟹ *right-unique* (*mat-rel R*)
unfolding *right-unique-def mat-rel-def mat-eq-iff* **by** (*auto*, *blast*)

lemma *bi-unique-mat-rel*: *bi-unique R* ⟹ *bi-unique* (*mat-rel R*)
using *left-unique-mat-rel*[*of R*] *right-unique-mat-rel*[*of R*]
unfolding *bi-unique-def left-unique-def right-unique-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mat-rel-eq*: ((*R* ===> *R* ===> (=))) (=) (=) ⟹
((*mat-rel R* ===> *mat-rel R* ===> (=))) (=) (=)
unfolding *mat-rel-def rel-fun-def mat-eq-iff* **by** (*auto*, *blast*+)

definition *vec-rel* :: ('*a* ⇒ '*b* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ '*a vec* ⇒ '*b vec* ⇒ *bool* **where**
vec-rel R A B ≡ *dim-vec A* = *dim-vec B* ∧ (∀ *i*. *i* < *dim-vec B* ⟶ *R* (*A* $ *i*)
(*B* $ *i*))

lemma *right-total-vec-rel*: *right-total R* ⟹ *right-total* (*vec-rel R*)
unfolding *right-total-def*
proof
fix *B*
assume ∀ *y*. ∃ *x*. *R x y*
from *choice*[*OF this*] **obtain** *f* **where** *f*: ⋀ *x*. *R* (*f x*) *x* **by** *auto*

show $\exists\ A.$ *vec-rel R A B*
by (*rule exI*[*of* - *map-vec f B*], *unfold vec-rel-def*, *auto simp*: *f*)
qed

lemma *left-unique-vec-rel*: *left-unique R* $\Longrightarrow$ *left-unique* (*vec-rel R*)
unfolding *left-unique-def vec-rel-def vec-eq-iff* **by** *auto*

lemma *right-unique-vec-rel*: *right-unique R* $\Longrightarrow$ *right-unique* (*vec-rel R*)
unfolding *right-unique-def vec-rel-def vec-eq-iff* **by** *auto*

lemma *bi-unique-vec-rel*: *bi-unique R* $\Longrightarrow$ *bi-unique* (*vec-rel R*)
using *left-unique-vec-rel*[*of R*] *right-unique-vec-rel*[*of R*]
unfolding *bi-unique-def left-unique-def right-unique-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *vec-rel-eq*: ((*R* ===> *R* ===> (=))) (=) (=) $\Longrightarrow$
((*vec-rel R* ===> *vec-rel R* ===> (=))) (=) (=)
unfolding *vec-rel-def rel-fun-def vec-eq-iff* **by** (*auto*, *blast*+)

lemma *multrow-transfer*[*transfer-rule*]: ((*R* ===> *R* ===> *R*) ===> (=) ===>
R
===> *mat-rel R* ===> *mat-rel R*) *mat-multrow-gen mat-multrow-gen*
unfolding *mat-rel-def*[*abs-def*] *mat-multrow-gen-def*[*abs-def*]
by (*intro rel-funI conjI allI impI eq-matI*, *auto simp*: *rel-fun-def*)

lemma *swap-rows-transfer*: *mat-rel R A B* $\Longrightarrow$ *i* $<$ *dim-row B* $\Longrightarrow$ *j* $<$ *dim-row B* $\Longrightarrow$
mat-rel R (*mat-swaprows i j A*) (*mat-swaprows i j B*)
unfolding *mat-rel-def mat-swaprows-def*
by (*intro rel-funI conjI allI impI eq-matI*, *auto*)

lemma *pivot-positions-gen-transfer*: **assumes** [*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *R* ===> (=)) (=) (=)
shows
(*R* ===> *mat-rel R* ===> (=)) *pivot-positions-gen pivot-positions-gen*
proof (*intro rel-funI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 ze ze' A A'*)
note *trans*[*transfer-rule*] = *1*
from *1* **have** *dim*: *dim-row A* = *dim-row A' dim-col A* = *dim-col A'* **unfolding** *mat-rel-def* **by** *auto*
obtain *i j* **where** *id*: *i* = *0 j* = *0* **and** *ij*: *i* $\leq$ *dim-row A' j* $\leq$ *dim-col A'* **by** *auto*
have *pivot-positions-main-gen ze A* (*dim-row A*) (*dim-col A*) *i j* =
pivot-positions-main-gen ze' A' (*dim-row A'*) (*dim-col A'*) *i j*
using *ij*
proof (*induct i j rule*: *pivot-positions-main-gen.induct*[*of dim-row A' dim-col A' A' ze'*])
case (*1 i j*)
note *simps*[*simp*] = *pivot-positions-main-gen.simps*[*of* - - - - *i j*]

```
    show ?case
    proof (cases i < dim-row A' ∧ j < dim-col A')
      case False
      with dim show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case True
      hence ij: i < dim-row A' j < dim-col A' and j: Suc j ≤ dim-col A' by auto
      note IH = 1(1−2)[OF ij - - j]
      from ij True trans have [transfer-rule]:R (A $$ (i,j)) (A' $$ (i,j))
        unfolding mat-rel-def by auto
      have eq: (A $$ (i,j) = ze) = (A' $$ (i,j) = ze') by transfer-prover
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases A' $$ (i,j) = ze')
        case True
        from ij have i ≤ dim-row A' by auto
        note IH = IH(1)[OF True this]
        thus ?thesis using True ij dim eq by simp
      next
        case False
        from ij have Suc i ≤ dim-row A' by auto
        note IH = IH(2)[OF False this]
        thus ?thesis using False ij dim eq by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed
  thus pivot-positions-gen ze A = pivot-positions-gen ze' A'
    unfolding pivot-positions-gen-def id .
qed

lemma set-pivot-positions-main-gen:
  set (pivot-positions-main-gen ze A nr nc i j) ⊆ {0 ..< nr} × {0 ..< nc}
proof (induct i j rule: pivot-positions-main-gen.induct[of nr nc A ze])
  case (1 i j)
  note [simp] = pivot-positions-main-gen.simps[of - - - - i j]
  from 1 show ?case
    by (cases i < nr ∧ j < nc, auto)
qed

lemma find-base-vectors-transfer: assumes [transfer-rule]: (R ===> R ===>
(=)) (=) (=)
  shows ((R ===> R) ===> R ===> R ===> mat-rel R
  ===> list-all2 (vec-rel R)) find-base-vectors-gen find-base-vectors-gen
proof (intro rel-funI, goal-cases)
  case (1 um um' ze ze' on on' A A')
  note trans[transfer-rule] = 1 pivot-positions-gen-transfer[OF assms]
 from 1(4) have dim: dim-row A = dim-row A' dim-col A = dim-col A' unfolding
mat-rel-def by auto
  have id: pivot-positions-gen ze A = pivot-positions-gen ze' A' by transfer-prover
  obtain xs where xs: map snd (pivot-positions-gen ze' A') = xs by auto
```

```
  obtain ys where ys: [j←[0..<dim-col A'] . j ∉ set xs] = ys by auto
  show list-all2 (vec-rel R) (find-base-vectors-gen um ze on A)
    (find-base-vectors-gen um' ze' on' A')
   unfolding find-base-vectors-gen-def Let-def id xs list-all2-conv-all-nth length-map
ys dim
  proof (intro conjI[OF refl] allI impI)
    fix i
    assume i: i < length ys
    define y where y = ys ! i
   from i have y: y < dim-col A' unfolding y-def ys[symmetric] using nth-mem
by fastforce
    let ?map = map-of (map prod.swap (pivot-positions-gen ze' A'))
    {
      fix i
      assume i: i < dim-col A'
      and neq: i ≠ y
      have R (case ?map i of None ⇒ ze | Some j ⇒ um (A $$ (j, y)))
          (case ?map i of None ⇒ ze' | Some j ⇒ um' (A' $$ (j, y)))
      proof (cases ?map i)
        case None
        with trans(2) show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case (Some j)
        from map-of-SomeD[OF this] have (j,i) ∈ set (pivot-positions-gen ze' A')
by auto
      from subsetD[OF set-pivot-positions-main-gen this[unfolded pivot-positions-gen-def]]
        have j: j < dim-row A' by auto
       with trans(4) y have [transfer-rule]: R (A $$ (j,y)) (A' $$ (j,y)) unfolding
mat-rel-def by auto
        show ?thesis unfolding Some by (simp, transfer-prover)
      qed
    } note main = this
    show vec-rel R (map (non-pivot-base-gen um ze on A (pivot-positions-gen ze'
A')) ys ! i)
         (map (non-pivot-base-gen um' ze' on' A' (pivot-positions-gen ze' A')) ys !
i)
      unfolding y-def[symmetric] nth-map[OF i]
      unfolding non-pivot-base-gen-def Let-def dim vec-rel-def
      by (intro conjI allI impI, force, insert main, auto simp: trans(3))
  qed
qed


lemma eliminate-entries-gen-transfer: assumes *[transfer-rule]: (R ===> R ===>
R) ad ad'
  (R ===> R ===> R) mul mul'
  and vs: ⋀ j. j < dim-row B' ⟹ R (vs j) (vs' j)
  and i: i < dim-row B'
  and B: mat-rel R B B'
```

```
  shows mat-rel R
   (eliminate-entries-gen ad mul vs B i j)
   (eliminate-entries-gen ad' mul' vs' B' i j)
proof −
  note BB = B[unfolded mat-rel-def]
  show ?thesis unfolding mat-rel-def dim-eliminate-entries-gen
  proof (intro conjI impI allI)
    fix i' j'
    assume ij': i' < dim-row B' j' < dim-col B'
    with BB have ij: i'< dim-row B j' < dim-col B by auto
    have [transfer-rule]: R (B $$ (i', j')) (B' $$ (i', j')) using BB ij' by auto
    have [transfer-rule]: R (B $$ (i, j')) (B' $$ (i, j')) using BB ij' i by auto
    have [transfer-rule]: R (vs i') (vs' i') using ij' vs[of i'] by auto
    show R (eliminate-entries-gen ad mul vs B i j $$ (i', j'))
        (eliminate-entries-gen ad' mul' vs' B' i j $$ (i', j'))
     unfolding eliminate-entries-gen-def index-mat(1)[OF ij] index-mat(1)[OF ij']
split
      by transfer-prover
  qed (insert BB, auto)
qed

context
  fixes ops :: 'i arith-ops-record (structure)
begin
private abbreviation (input) zero where zero ≡ arith-ops-record.zero ops
private abbreviation (input) one where one ≡ arith-ops-record.one ops
private abbreviation (input) plus where plus ≡ arith-ops-record.plus ops
private abbreviation (input) times where times ≡ arith-ops-record.times ops
private abbreviation (input) minus where minus ≡ arith-ops-record.minus ops
private abbreviation (input) uminus where uminus ≡ arith-ops-record.uminus
ops
private abbreviation (input) divide where divide ≡ arith-ops-record.divide ops
private abbreviation (input) inverse where inverse ≡ arith-ops-record.inverse
ops
private abbreviation (input) modulo where modulo ≡ arith-ops-record.modulo
ops
private abbreviation (input) normalize where normalize ≡ arith-ops-record.normalize
ops

definition eliminate-entries-gen-zero :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a
⇒ (integer ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mat where
  eliminate-entries-gen-zero minu time z v A I J = mat (dim-row A) (dim-col A)
(λ (i, j).
    if v (integer-of-nat i) ≠ z ∧ i ≠ I then minu (A $$ (i,j)) (time (v (integer-of-nat
i)) (A $$ (I,j))) else A $$ (i,j))

definition eliminate-entries-i where eliminate-entries-i ≡ eliminate-entries-gen-zero
minus times zero
definition multrow-i where multrow-i ≡ mat-multrow-gen times
```

lemma *dim-eliminate-entries-gen-zero*[*simp*]:
dim-row (*eliminate-entries-gen-zero mm tt z v B i as*) = *dim-row B*
dim-col (*eliminate-entries-gen-zero mm tt z v B i as*) = *dim-col B*
unfolding *eliminate-entries-gen-zero-def* **by** *auto*

partial-function (*tailrec*) *gauss-jordan-main-i* :: *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'i mat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'i mat* **where**
[*code*]: *gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc A i j* = (
if i < *nr* ∧ *j* < *nc then let aij* = *A* $$ (*i*,*j*) *in if aij* = *zero then*
(*case* [*i'* . *i'* <− [*Suc i* ..< *nr*], *A* $$ (*i'*,*j*) ≠ *zero*]
of [] ⇒ *gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc A i* (*Suc j*)
| (*i'* # -) ⇒ *gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc* (*swaprows i i' A*) *i j*)
else if aij = *one then let*
v = (λ *i*. *A* $$ (*nat-of-integer i*,*j*)) *in*
gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc
(*eliminate-entries-i v A i j*) (*Suc i*) (*Suc j*)
else let iaij = *inverse aij*; *A'* = *multrow-i i iaij A*;
v = (λ *i*. *A'* $$ (*nat-of-integer i*,*j*))
in gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc (*eliminate-entries-i v A' i j*) (*Suc i*) (*Suc j*)
else A)

definition *gauss-jordan-single-i* :: *'i mat* ⇒ *'i mat* **where**
gauss-jordan-single-i A ≡ *gauss-jordan-main-i* (*dim-row A*) (*dim-col A*) *A 0 0*

definition *find-base-vectors-i* :: *'i mat* ⇒ *'i vec list* **where**
find-base-vectors-i A ≡ *find-base-vectors-gen uminus zero one A*
end

context *field-ops*
begin

lemma *right-total-poly-rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *right-total* (*mat-rel R*)
using *right-total-mat-rel*[*of R*] *right-total* **.**

lemma *bi-unique-poly-rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *bi-unique* (*mat-rel R*)
using *bi-unique-mat-rel*[*of R*] *bi-unique* **.**

lemma *eq-mat-rel*[*transfer-rule*]: (*mat-rel R* ===> *mat-rel R* ===> (=)) (=) (=)
by (*rule mat-rel-eq*[*OF eq*])

lemma *multrow-i*[*transfer-rule*]: ((=) ===> *R* ===> *mat-rel R* ===> *mat-rel R*)
(*multrow-i ops*) *multrow*
using *multrow-transfer*[*of R*] *times* **unfolding** *multrow-i-def rel-fun-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *eliminate-entries-gen-zero*[*simp*]:
assumes *mat-rel R A A′ I* < *dim-row A′* **shows**
eliminate-entries-gen-zero minus times zero v A I J = *eliminate-entries-gen minus times* (*v o integer-of-nat*) *A I J*
unfolding *eliminate-entries-gen-def eliminate-entries-gen-zero-def*
proof(*standard*,*goal-cases*)
case (*1 i j*)
have *d1*:*DP* (*A* $$ (*I*, *j*)) **and** *d2*:*DP* (*A* $$ (*i*, *j*)) **using** *assms DPR 1*
unfolding *mat-rel-def dim-col-mat dim-row-mat*
by (*metis Domainp.DomainI*)+
have *e1*:⋀ *x*. (*0*::′*a*) ∗ *x* = *0* **and** *e2*:⋀ *x*. *x* − (*0*::′*a*) = *x* **by** *auto*
from *e1*[*untransferred*,*OF d1*] *e2*[*untransferred*,*OF d2*] *1* **show** *?case* **by** *auto*
qed *auto*

lemma *eliminate-entries-i*: **assumes**
vs: ⋀ *j*. *j* < *dim-row B′* ⟹ *R* (*vs* (*integer-of-nat j*)) (*vs′ j*)
and *i*: *i* < *dim-row B′*
and *B*: *mat-rel R B B′*
shows *mat-rel R* (*eliminate-entries-i ops vs B i j*)
(*eliminate-entries vs′ B′ i j*)
unfolding *eliminate-entries-i-def eliminate-entries-gen-zero*[*OF B i*]
by (*rule eliminate-entries-gen-transfer*, *insert assms*, *auto simp*: *plus times minus*)

lemma *gauss-jordan-main-i*:
nr = *dim-row A′* ⟹ *nc* = *dim-col A′* ⟹ *mat-rel R A A′* ⟹ *i* ≤ *nr* ⟹ *j* ≤ *nc* ⟹
mat-rel R (*gauss-jordan-main-i ops nr nc A i j*) (*fst* (*gauss-jordan-main A′ B′ i j*))
proof −
obtain *P* **where** *P*: *P* = (*A′*,*i*,*j*) **by** *auto*
let *?Rel* = *measures* [λ (*A′* :: *′a mat*,*i*,*j*). *nc* − *j*, λ (*A′*,*i*,*j*). *if A′* $$ (*i*,*j*) = *0 then 1 else 0*]
have *wf*: *wf ?Rel* **by** *simp*
show *nr* = *dim-row A′* ⟹ *nc* = *dim-col A′* ⟹ *mat-rel R A A′* ⟹ *i* ≤ *nr* ⟹ *j* ≤ *nc* ⟹
mat-rel R (*gauss-jordan-main-i ops nr nc A i j*) (*fst* (*gauss-jordan-main A′ B′ i j*))
using *P*
proof (*induct P arbitrary*: *A′ B′ A i j rule*: *wf-induct*[*OF wf*])
case (*1 P A′ B′ A i j*)
note *prems* = *1*(*2*−*6*)
note *P* = *1*(*7*)
note *A*[*transfer-rule*] = *prems*(*3*)
note *IH* = *1*(*1*)[*rule-format*, *OF - - - - - - refl*]
note *simps* = *gauss-jordan-main-code*[*of A′ B′ i j*, *unfolded Let-def*, *folded prems*(*1*−*2*)]

```
    gauss-jordan-main-i.simps[of ops nr nc A i j] Let-def if-True if-False
  show ?case
  proof (cases i < nr ∧ j < nc)
    case False
    hence id: (i < nr ∧ j < nc) = False by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding simps id by simp transfer-prover
  next
    case True note ij' = this
    hence id: (i < nr ∧ j < nc) = True ⋀ x y z. (if x = x then y else z) = y by
auto
    from True prems have ij [transfer-rule]:R (A $$ (i,j)) (A' $$ (i,j))
      unfolding mat-rel-def by auto
    from True prems have i: i < dim-row A' j < dim-col A' and i': i < nr j <
nc by auto
    {
      fix i
      assume i < dim-row A'
      with i True prems have R[transfer-rule]:R (A $$ (i,j)) (A' $$ (i,j))
        unfolding mat-rel-def by auto
      have (A $$ (i,j) = zero) = (A' $$ (i,j) = 0) by transfer-prover
      note this R
    } note eq-gen = this
    have eq: (A $$ (i,j) = zero) = (A' $$ (i,j) = 0)
      (A $$ (i,j) = one) = (A' $$ (i,j) = 1)
      by transfer-prover+
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases A' $$ (i, j) = 0)
      case True
      hence eq: A $$ (i,j) = zero using eq by auto
      let ?is = [ i' . i' <- [Suc i ..< nr],  A $$ (i',j) ≠ zero]
      let ?is' = [ i' . i' <- [Suc i ..< nr],  A' $$ (i',j) ≠ 0]
      define xs where xs = [Suc i..<nr]
       have xs: set xs ⊆ {0 ..< dim-row A'} unfolding xs-def using prems by
auto
      hence id': ?is = ?is' unfolding xs-def[symmetric]
        by (induct xs, insert eq-gen, auto)
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases ?is')
        case Nil
        have ?thesis = (mat-rel R (gauss-jordan-main-i ops nr nc A i (Suc j))
          (fst (gauss-jordan-main A' B' i (Suc j))))
          unfolding True simps id eq unfolding Nil id'[unfolded Nil] by simp
        also have ...
          by (rule IH, insert i prems P, auto)
        finally show ?thesis .
      next
        case (Cons i' idx')
        from arg-cong[OF this, of set] i
        have i': i' < nr A' $$ (i', j) ≠ 0 by auto
```

```
      with ij' prems(1−2) have ∗: i' < dim-row A' i < dim-row A' j < dim-col
A' by auto
       have rel: ((swaprows i i' A', i, j), P) ∈ ?Rel
        by (simp add: P True ∗ i')
       have ?thesis = (mat-rel R (gauss-jordan-main-i ops nr nc (swaprows i i'
A) i j)
        (fst (gauss-jordan-main (swaprows i i' A') (swaprows i i' B') i j)))
         unfolding True simps id eq Cons id'[unfolded Cons] by simp
       also have ...
       by (rule IH[OF rel - - swap-rows-transfer], insert i i' prems P True, auto)
       finally show ?thesis .
      qed
     next
      case False
      from False eq have neq: (A $$ (i, j) = zero) = False (A' $$ (i, j) = 0) =
False by auto
      {
       fix B B' i
       assume B[transfer-rule]: mat-rel R B B' and dim: dim-col B' = nc and
i: i < dim-row B'
       from dim i True have j < dim-col B' by simp
       with B i have R (B $$ (i,j)) (B' $$ (i,j))
        by (simp add: mat-rel-def)
      } note vec-rel = this
     from prems have dim: dim-row A = dim-row A' unfolding mat-rel-def by
auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases A' $$ (i, j) = 1)
       case True
        from True eq have eq: (A $$ (i,j) = one) = True (A' $$ (i,j) = 1) =
True by auto
       note rel = vec-rel[OF A]
       show ?thesis unfolding simps id neq eq
          by (rule IH[OF - - - eliminate-entries-i], insert rel prems ij i P dim,
auto)
      next
       case False
        from False eq have eq: (A $$ (i,j) = one) = False (A' $$ (i,j) = 1) =
False by auto
       show ?thesis unfolding simps id neq eq
       proof (rule IH, goal-cases)
        case 4
        have A': mat-rel R (multrow-i ops i (inverse (A $$ (i, j))) A)
         (multrow i (inverse-class.inverse (A' $$ (i, j))) A') by transfer-prover
        note rel = vec-rel[OF A']
        show ?case
         by (rule eliminate-entries-i[OF - - A'], insert rel prems i dim, auto)
       qed (insert prems i P, auto)
      qed
```

```
    qed
  qed
 qed
qed

lemma gauss-jordan-i[transfer-rule]:
 (mat-rel R ===> mat-rel R) (gauss-jordan-single-i ops) gauss-jordan-single
proof (intro rel-funI)
 fix A A'
 assume A: mat-rel R A A'
 show mat-rel R (gauss-jordan-single-i ops A) (gauss-jordan-single A')
  unfolding gauss-jordan-single-def gauss-jordan-single-i-def gauss-jordan-def
  by (rule gauss-jordan-main-i[OF - - A], insert A, auto simp: mat-rel-def)
qed

lemma find-base-vectors-i[transfer-rule]:
 (mat-rel R ===> list-all2 (vec-rel R)) (find-base-vectors-i ops) find-base-vectors
 unfolding find-base-vectors-i-def[abs-def]
 using find-base-vectors-transfer[OF eq] uminus zero one
 unfolding rel-fun-def by blast

end

lemma list-of-vec-transfer[transfer-rule]: (vec-rel A ===> list-all2 A) list-of-vec
list-of-vec
 unfolding rel-fun-def vec-rel-def vec-eq-iff list-all2-conv-all-nth
 by auto

lemma IArray-sub'[simp]: i < IArray.length a ⟹ IArray.sub' (a, integer-of-nat
i) = IArray.sub a i
 by auto

lift-definition eliminate-entries-i2 ::
  'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ (integer ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a mat-impl ⇒
integer ⇒ 'a mat-impl is
 λ z mminus ttimes v (nr, nc, a) i'.
  (nr,nc,let ai' = IArray.sub' (a, i') in (IArray.tabulate (integer-of-nat nr, λ i. let
ai = IArray.sub' (a, i) in
    if i = i' then ai else
    let vi'j = v i
    in if vi'j = z then ai
       else
            IArray.tabulate (integer-of-nat nc, λ j. mminus (IArray.sub' (ai, j))
(ttimes vi'j
           (IArray.sub' (ai', j))))
     )))
proof(goal-cases)
 case (1 z mm tt  vec prod nat2)
 thus ?case by(cases prod;cases snd (snd prod);auto simp:Let-def)
```

qed

lemma *eliminate-entries-gen-zero* [*simp*]:
assumes *i*<(*dim-row A*) *j*<(*dim-col A*) **shows**
eliminate-entries-gen-zero mminus ttimes z v A I J $$ (*i*, *j*) =
(*if v* (*integer-of-nat i*) = *z* ∨ *i* = *I then A* $$ (*i*,*j*) *else mminus* (*A* $$ (*i*,*j*)) (*ttimes* (*v* (*integer-of-nat i*)) (*A* $$ (*I*,*j*))))
using *assms* **unfolding** *eliminate-entries-gen-zero-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *eliminate-entries-gen* [*simp*]:
assumes *i*<(*dim-row A*) *j*<(*dim-col A*) **shows**
eliminate-entries-gen mminus ttimes v A I J $$ (*i*, *j*) =
(*if i* = *I then A* $$ (*i*,*j*) *else mminus* (*A* $$ (*i*,*j*)) (*ttimes* (*v i*) (*A* $$ (*I*,*j*))))
using *assms* **unfolding** *eliminate-entries-gen-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *dim-mat-impl* [*simp*]:
dim-row (*mat-impl x*) = *dim-row-impl x*
dim-col (*mat-impl x*) = *dim-col-impl x*
by (*cases Rep-mat-impl x*;*auto simp*:*mat-impl.rep-eq dim-row-def dim-col-def dim-row-impl.rep-eq dim-col-impl.rep-eq*)+

lemma *dim-eliminate-entries-i2* [*simp*]:
dim-row-impl (*eliminate-entries-i2 z mm tt v m i*) = *dim-row-impl m*
dim-col-impl (*eliminate-entries-i2 z mm tt v m i*) = *dim-col-impl m*
by (*transfer*, *auto*)+

lemma *tabulate-nth*: *i* < *n* ⟹ *IArray.tabulate* (*integer-of-nat n*, *f*) !! *i* = *f* (*integer-of-nat i*)
using *of-fun-nth*[*of i n*] **by** *auto*

lemma *eliminate-entries-i2*[*code*]:*eliminate-entries-gen-zero mm tt z v* (*mat-impl m*) *i j*
= (*if i* < *dim-row-impl m*
then mat-impl (*eliminate-entries-i2 z mm tt v m* (*integer-of-nat i*))
else (*Code.abort* (*STR ''index out of range in eliminate-entries''*)
(λ -. *eliminate-entries-gen-zero mm tt z v* (*mat-impl m*) *i j*)))
proof (*cases i* < *dim-row-impl m*)
case *True*
hence *id*: (*i* < *dim-row-impl m*) = *True* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id if-True*
proof (*standard*;*goal-cases*)
case (*1 i j*)
have *dims*: *i* < *dim-row* (*mat-impl m*) *j* < *dim-col* (*mat-impl m*) **using** *1* **by** (*auto simp*:*eliminate-entries-i2.rep-eq*)
then show *?case* **unfolding** *eliminate-entries-gen-zero*[*OF dims*] **using** *True*
proof(*transfer*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 i m j ia v z mm tt*)
obtain *nr nc M* **where** *m*: *m* = (*nr*,*nc*,*M*) **by** (*cases m*)

```
      note 1 = 1[unfolded m, simplified]
      have mk: ⋀ f. mk-mat nr nc f (i,j) = f (i,j)
         ⋀ f. mk-mat nr nc f (ia,j) = f (ia,j)
        using 1 unfolding mk-mat-def mk-vec-def by auto
     note of-fun = of-fun-nth[OF 1(2)] of-fun-nth[OF 1(3)] tabulate-nth[OF 1(2)]
tabulate-nth[OF 1(3)]
      let ?c1 = v (integer-of-nat i) = z
      show ?case
      proof (cases ?c1 ∨ i = ia)
        case True
        hence id: (if ?c1 ∨ i = ia then x else y) = x
          (if integer-of-nat i = integer-of-nat ia then x else if ?c1 then x else y) = x
for x y
          by auto
       show ?thesis unfolding id m o-def Let-def split snd-conv mk of-fun by (auto
simp: 1)
      next
        case False
        hence id: ?c1 = False  (integer-of-nat i = integer-of-nat ia) = False (False
∨ i = ia) = False
          by (auto simp add: integer-of-nat-eq-of-nat)
       show ?thesis unfolding m o-def Let-def split snd-conv mk of-fun id if-False
          by (auto simp: 1)
      qed
    qed
  qed (auto simp:eliminate-entries-i2.rep-eq)
qed auto

end
theory More-Missing-Multiset
  imports
    HOL−Combinatorics.Permutations
    Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Multiset
begin

lemma rel-mset-free:
  assumes rel: rel-mset rel X Y and xs: mset xs = X
  shows ∃ ys. mset ys = Y ∧ list-all2 rel xs ys
proof−
  from rel[unfolded rel-mset-def] obtain xs' ys'
    where xs': mset xs' = X and ys': mset ys' = Y and xsys': list-all2 rel xs' ys'
by auto
  from xs' xs have mset xs = mset xs' by auto
  from mset-eq-permutation[OF this]
  obtain f where perm: f permutes {..<length xs'} and xs': permute-list f xs' =
xs.
  then have [simp]: length xs' = length xs by auto
  from permute-list-nth[OF perm, unfolded xs'] have ∗: ⋀i. i < length xs ⟹ xs
! i = xs' ! f i by auto
```

note [*simp*] = *list-all2-lengthD*[*OF xsys'*,*symmetric*]
note [*simp*] = *atLeast0LessThan*[*symmetric*]
note *bij* = *permutes-bij*[*OF perm*]
define *ys* **where** *ys* ≡ *map* (*nth ys'* ∘ *f*) [*0*..<*length ys'*]
then have [*simp*]: *length ys* = *length ys'* **by** *auto*
have *mset ys* = *mset* (*map* (*nth ys'*) (*map f* [*0*..<*length ys'*]))
unfolding *ys-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *image-mset* (*nth ys'*) (*image-mset f* (*mset* [*0*..<*length ys'*]))
by (*simp add*: *multiset.map-comp*)
also have (*mset* [*0*..<*length ys'*]) = *mset-set* {*0*..<*length ys'*}
by (*metis mset-sorted-list-of-multiset sorted-list-of-mset-set sorted-list-of-set-range*)

also have *image-mset f* (...) = *mset-set* (*f* ' {..<*length ys'*})
using *subset-inj-on*[*OF bij-is-inj*[*OF bij*]] **by** (*subst image-mset-mset-set*, *auto*)
also have ... = *mset* [*0*..<*length ys'*] **using** *perm* **by** (*simp add*: *permutes-image*)
also have *image-mset* (*nth ys'*) ... = *mset ys'* **by**(*fold mset-map*, *unfold map-nth*, *auto*)
finally have *mset ys* = *Y* **using** *ys'* **by** *auto*
moreover have *list-all2 rel xs ys*
proof(*rule list-all2-all-nthI*)
fix *i* **assume** *i*: *i* < *length xs*
with ∗ **have** *xs* ! *i* = *xs'* ! *f i* **by** *auto*
also from *i permutes-in-image*[*OF perm*]
have *rel* (*xs'* ! *f i*) (*ys'* ! *f i*) **by** (*intro list-all2-nthD*[*OF xsys'*], *auto*)
finally show *rel* (*xs* ! *i*) (*ys* ! *i*) **unfolding** *ys-def* **using** *i* **by** *simp*
qed *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *rel-mset-split*:
assumes *rel*: *rel-mset rel* (*X1*+*X2*) *Y*
shows ∃ *Y1 Y2*. *Y* = *Y1* + *Y2* ∧ *rel-mset rel X1 Y1* ∧ *rel-mset rel X2 Y2*
proof−
obtain *xs1* **where** *xs1*: *mset xs1* = *X1* **using** *ex-mset* **by** *auto*
obtain *xs2* **where** *xs2*: *mset xs2* = *X2* **using** *ex-mset* **by** *auto*
from *xs1 xs2* **have** *mset* (*xs1* @ *xs2*) = *X1* + *X2* **by** *auto*
from *rel-mset-free*[*OF rel this*] **obtain** *ys*
where *ys*: *mset ys* = *Y list-all2 rel* (*xs1* @ *xs2*) *ys* **by** *auto*
then obtain *ys1 ys2*
where *ys12*: *ys* = *ys1* @ *ys2*
and *xs1ys1*: *list-all2 rel xs1 ys1*
and *xs2ys2*: *list-all2 rel xs2 ys2*
using *list-all2-append1* **by** *blast*
from *ys12 ys* **have** *Y* = *mset ys1* + *mset ys2* **by** *auto*
moreover from *xs1 xs1ys1* **have** *rel-mset rel X1* (*mset ys1*) **unfolding** *rel-mset-def* **by** *auto*
moreover from *xs2 xs2ys2* **have** *rel-mset rel X2* (*mset ys2*) **unfolding** *rel-mset-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** (*subst exI*[*of - mset ys1*], *subst exI*[*of - mset*

ys2],*auto*)
qed

lemma *rel-mset-OO*:
assumes *AB*: *rel-mset R A B* **and** *BC*: *rel-mset S B C*
shows *rel-mset* (*R OO S*) *A C*
proof−
from *AB* **obtain** *as bs* **where** *A-as*: *A* = *mset as* **and** *B-bs*: *B* = *mset bs* **and** *as-bs*: *list-all2 R as bs*
by (*auto simp*: *rel-mset-def*)
from *rel-mset-free*[*OF BC*] *B-bs* **obtain** *cs* **where** *C-cs*: *C* = *mset cs* **and** *bs-cs*: *list-all2 S bs cs*
by *auto*
from *list-all2-trans*[*OF - as-bs bs-cs*, *of R OO S*] *A-as C-cs*
show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp*: *rel-mset-def*)
qed

lemma *ex-mset-zip-right*:
assumes *length xs* = *length ys mset ys*′ = *mset ys*
shows ∃ *xs*′. *length ys*′ = *length xs*′ ∧ *mset* (*zip xs*′ *ys*′) = *mset* (*zip xs ys*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs ys arbitrary*: *ys*′ *rule*: *list-induct2*)
case *Nil*
thus *?case*
by *auto*
next
case (*Cons x xs y ys ys*′)
obtain *j* **where** *j-len*: *j* < *length ys*′ **and** *nth-j*: *ys*′ ! *j* = *y*
by (*metis Cons.prems in-set-conv-nth list.set-intros*(*1*) *mset-eq-setD*)

define *ysa* **where** *ysa* = *take j ys*′ @ *drop* (*Suc j*) *ys*′
have *mset ys*′ = {#*y*#} + *mset ysa*
unfolding *ysa-def* **using** *j-len nth-j*
by (*metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc union-mset-add-mset-right add-mset-remove-trivial add-diff-cancel-left*′
append-take-drop-id mset.simps(*2*) *mset-append*)
hence *ms-y*: *mset ysa* = *mset ys*
by (*simp add*: *Cons.prems*)
then obtain *xsa* **where**
len-a: *length ysa* = *length xsa* **and** *ms-a*: *mset* (*zip xsa ysa*) = *mset* (*zip xs ys*)
using *Cons.hyps*(*2*) **by** *blast*

define *xs*′ **where** *xs*′ = *take j xsa* @ *x* # *drop j xsa*
have *ys*′: *ys*′ = *take j ysa* @ *y* # *drop j ysa*
using *ms-y j-len nth-j Cons.prems ysa-def*
by (*metis append-eq-append-conv append-take-drop-id diff-Suc-Suc Cons-nth-drop-Suc length-Cons*
length-drop size-mset)

have *j-len'*: $j \leq$ *length ysa*
using *j-len ys' ysa-def*
by (*metis add-Suc-right append-take-drop-id length-Cons length-append less-eq-Suc-le not-less*)
have *length ys'* = *length xs'*
unfolding *xs'-def* **using** *Cons.prems len-a ms-y*
by (*metis add-Suc-right append-take-drop-id length-Cons length-append mset-eq-length*)
moreover have *mset* (*zip xs' ys'*) = *mset* (*zip* (*x* # *xs*) (*y* # *ys*))
unfolding *ys' xs'-def*
apply (*rule HOL.trans*[*OF mset-zip-take-Cons-drop-twice*])
using *j-len'* **by** (*auto simp*: *len-a ms-a*)
ultimately show *?case*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *list-all2-reorder-right-invariance*:
assumes *rel*: *list-all2 R xs ys* **and** *ms-y*: *mset ys'* = *mset ys*
shows ∃ *xs'*. *list-all2 R xs' ys'* ∧ *mset xs'* = *mset xs*
proof −
have *len*: *length xs* = *length ys*
using *rel list-all2-conv-all-nth* **by** *auto*
obtain *xs'* **where**
len': *length xs'* = *length ys'* **and** *ms-xy*: *mset* (*zip xs' ys'*) = *mset* (*zip xs ys*)
using *len ms-y* **by** (*metis ex-mset-zip-right*)
have *list-all2 R xs' ys'*
using *assms*(*1*) *len' ms-xy* **unfolding** *list-all2-iff* **by** (*blast dest*: *mset-eq-setD*)
moreover have *mset xs'* = *mset xs*
using *len len' ms-xy map-fst-zip mset-map* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *rel-mset-via-perm*: *rel-mset rel* (*mset xs*) (*mset ys*) ⟷ (∃ *zs*. *mset xs* = *mset zs* ∧ *list-all2 rel zs ys*)
proof (*unfold rel-mset-def*, *intro iffI*, *goal-cases*)
case *1*
then obtain *zs ws* **where** *zs*: *mset zs* = *mset xs* **and** *ws*: *mset ws* = *mset ys* **and** *zsws*: *list-all2 rel zs ws* **by** *auto*
note *list-all2-reorder-right-invariance*[*OF zsws ws*[*symmetric*], *unfolded zs*]
then show *?case* **by** (*auto dest*: *sym*)
next
case *2*
from *this* **show** *?case* **by** *force*
qed

end
theory *Unique-Factorization*
imports
Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly

Polynomial-Factorization.Polynomial-Divisibility
HOL−Combinatorics.Permutations
HOL−Computational-Algebra.Euclidean-Algorithm
Containers.Containers-Auxiliary
More-Missing-Multiset
HOL−Algebra.Divisibility
begin

hide-const(**open**)
Divisibility.prime
Divisibility.irreducible

hide-fact(**open**)
Divisibility.irreducible-def
Divisibility.irreducibleI
Divisibility.irreducibleD
Divisibility.irreducibleE

hide-const (**open**) *Rings.coprime*

lemma *irreducible-uminus* [*simp*]:
fixes *a*::*'a*::*idom*
shows *irreducible* (−*a*) ⟷ *irreducible a*
using *irreducible-mult-unit-left*[*of* −*1*::*'a*] **by** *auto*

context *comm-monoid-mult* **begin**

definition *coprime* :: *'a* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *bool*
where *coprime-def'*: *coprime p q* ≡ ∀ *r*. *r dvd p* ⟶ *r dvd q* ⟶ *r dvd 1*

lemma *coprimeI*:
assumes ⋀*r*. *r dvd p* ⟹ *r dvd q* ⟹ *r dvd 1*
shows *coprime p q* **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *coprime-def'*)

lemma *coprimeE*:
assumes *coprime p q*
and (⋀*r*. *r dvd p* ⟹ *r dvd q* ⟹ *r dvd 1*) ⟹ *thesis*
shows *thesis* **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *coprime-def'*)

lemma *coprime-commute* [*ac-simps*]:
coprime p q ⟷ *coprime q p*
by (*auto simp add*: *coprime-def'*)

lemma *not-coprime-iff-common-factor*:
¬ *coprime p q* ⟷ (∃ *r*. *r dvd p* ∧ *r dvd q* ∧ ¬ *r dvd 1*)
by (*auto simp add*: *coprime-def'*)

end

lemma (**in** *algebraic-semidom*) *coprime-iff-coprime* [*simp*, *code*]:
coprime = *Rings.coprime*
by (*simp add*: *fun-eq-iff coprime-def coprime-def'*)

lemma (**in** *comm-semiring-1*) *coprime-0* [*simp*]:
coprime p 0 ⟷ *p dvd 1 coprime 0 p* ⟷ *p dvd 1*
by (*auto intro*: *coprimeI elim*: *coprimeE dest*: *dvd-trans*)

lemma *dvd-rewrites*: *dvd.dvd* ((∗)) = (*dvd*) **by** (*unfold dvd.dvd-def dvd-def*, *rule*)

3.3 Interfacing UFD properties

hide-const (**open**) *Divisibility.irreducible*

context *comm-monoid-mult-isom* **begin**
lemma *coprime-hom*[*simp*]: *coprime* (*hom x*) *y'* ⟷ *coprime x* (*Hilbert-Choice.inv hom y'*)
proof−
show *?thesis* **by** (*unfold coprime-def'*, *fold ball-UNIV*, *subst surj*[*symmetric*], *simp*)
qed
lemma *coprime-inv-hom*[*simp*]: *coprime* (*Hilbert-Choice.inv hom x'*) *y* ⟷ *coprime x'* (*hom y*)
proof−
interpret *inv*: *comm-monoid-mult-isom Hilbert-Choice.inv hom*..
show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
end

3.3.1 Original part

lemma *dvd-dvd-imp-smult*:
fixes *p q* :: *'a* :: *idom poly*
assumes *pq*: *p dvd q* **and** *qp*: *q dvd p* **shows** ∃ *c*. *p* = *smult c q*
proof (*cases p* = *0*)
case *True* **then show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
from *qp* **obtain** *r* **where** *r*: *p* = *q* ∗ *r* **by** (*elim dvdE*, *auto*)
with *False qp* **have** *r0*: *r* ≠ *0* **and** *q0*: *q* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
with *divides-degree*[*OF pq*] *divides-degree*[*OF qp*] *False*
have *degree p* = *degree q* **by** *auto*
with *r degree-mult-eq*[*OF q0 r0*] **have** *degree r* = *0* **by** *auto*
from *degree-0-id*[*OF this*] **obtain** *c* **where** *r* = [:*c*:] **by** *metis*
from *r*[*unfolded this*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dvd-const*:
assumes *pq*: (*p*::'*a*::*semidom poly*) *dvd q* **and** *q0*: $q \neq 0$ **and** *degq*: *degree q* = *0*
shows *degree p* = *0*
proof−
from *dvdE*[*OF pq*] **obtain** *r* **where** ∗: $q = p * r$.
with *q0* **have** $p \neq 0$ $r \neq 0$ **by** *auto*
from *degree-mult-eq*[*OF this*] *degq* ∗ **show** *degree p* = *0* **by** *auto*
qed

context *Rings.dvd* **begin**
abbreviation *ddvd* (**infix** *ddvd 40*) **where** *x ddvd y* ≡ *x dvd y* ∧ *y dvd x*
lemma *ddvd-sym*[*sym*]: *x ddvd y* ⟹ *y ddvd x* **by** *auto*
end

context *comm-monoid-mult* **begin**
lemma *ddvd-trans*[*trans*]: *x ddvd y* ⟹ *y ddvd z* ⟹ *x ddvd z* **using** *dvd-trans*
by *auto*
lemma *ddvd-transp*: *transp* (*ddvd*) **by** (*intro transpI*, *fact ddvd-trans*)
end

context *comm-semiring-1* **begin**

definition *mset-factors* **where** *mset-factors F p* ≡
F ≠ {#} ∧ (∀*f*. *f* ∈# *F* ⟶ *irreducible f*) ∧ *p* = *prod-mset F*

lemma *mset-factorsI*[*intro*!]:
assumes ⋀*f*. *f* ∈# *F* ⟹ *irreducible f* **and** *F* ≠ {#} **and** *prod-mset F* = *p*
shows *mset-factors F p*
unfolding *mset-factors-def* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *mset-factorsD*:
assumes *mset-factors F p*
shows *f* ∈# *F* ⟹ *irreducible f* **and** *F* ≠ {#} **and** *prod-mset F* = *p*
using *assms*[*unfolded mset-factors-def*] **by** *auto*

lemma *mset-factorsE*[*elim*]:
assumes *mset-factors F p*
and (⋀*f*. *f* ∈# *F* ⟹ *irreducible f*) ⟹ *F* ≠ {#} ⟹ *prod-mset F* = *p* ⟹
thesis
shows *thesis*
using *assms*[*unfolded mset-factors-def*] **by** *auto*

lemma *mset-factors-imp-not-is-unit*:
assumes *mset-factors F p*
shows ¬ *p dvd 1*
proof(*cases F*)
case *empty* **with** *assms* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next

case (*add f F*)
with *assms* **have** ¬ *f dvd 1 p* = *f* ∗ *prod-mset F* **by** (*auto intro*!: *irreducible-not-unit*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *primitive-poly* **where** *primitive-poly f* ≡ ∀ *d*. (∀ *i*. *d dvd coeff f i*) ⟶ *d dvd 1*

end

lemma(**in** *semidom*) *mset-factors-imp-nonzero*:
assumes *mset-factors F p*
shows *p* ≠ *0*
proof
assume *p* = *0*
moreover from *assms* **have** *prod-mset F* = *p* **by** *auto*
ultimately obtain *f* **where** *f* ∈# *F f* = *0* **by** *auto*
with *assms* **show** *False* **by** *auto*
qed

class *ufd* = *idom* +
assumes *mset-factors-exist*: ⋀*x*. *x* ≠ *0* ⟹ ¬ *x dvd 1* ⟹ ∃ *F*. *mset-factors F x*
and *mset-factors-unique*: ⋀*x F G*. *mset-factors F x* ⟹ *mset-factors G x* ⟹ *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) *F G*

3.3.2 Connecting to HOL/Divisibility

context *comm-semiring-1* **begin**

abbreviation *mk-monoid* ≡ ⦇*carrier* = *UNIV* − {*0*}, *mult* = (∗), *one* = *1*⦈

lemma *carrier-0*[*simp*]: *x* ∈ *carrier mk-monoid* ⟷ *x* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*

lemmas *mk-monoid-simps* = *carrier-0 monoid.simps*

abbreviation *irred* **where** *irred* ≡ *Divisibility.irreducible mk-monoid*
abbreviation *factor* **where** *factor* ≡ *Divisibility.factor mk-monoid*
abbreviation *factors* **where** *factors* ≡ *Divisibility.factors mk-monoid*
abbreviation *properfactor* **where** *properfactor* ≡ *Divisibility.properfactor mk-monoid*

lemma *factors*: *factors fs y* ⟷ *prod-list fs* = *y* ∧ *Ball* (*set fs*) *irred*
proof −
have *prod-list fs* = *foldr* (∗) *fs 1* **by** (*induct fs*, *auto*)
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *factors-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *factor*: *factor x y* ⟷ (∃ *z*. *z* ≠ *0* ∧ *x* ∗ *z* = *y*) **unfolding** *factor-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *properfactor-nz*:
shows $(y :: 'a) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow$ *properfactor x y* $\longleftrightarrow$ *x dvd y* $\wedge \neg$ *y dvd x*
by (*auto simp*: *properfactor-def factor-def dvd-def*)

lemma *mem-Units*[*simp*]: *y* $\in$ *Units mk-monoid* $\longleftrightarrow$ *y dvd 1*
unfolding *dvd-def Units-def* **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)

end

context *idom* **begin**
lemma *irred-0*[*simp*]: *irred* (*0*::'*a*) **by** (*unfold Divisibility.irreducible-def*, *auto simp*: *factor properfactor-def*)
lemma *factor-idom*[*simp*]: *factor* (*x*::'*a*) *y* $\longleftrightarrow$ (*if y = 0 then x = 0 else x dvd y*)
by (*cases y = 0*; *auto intro*: *exI*[*of - 1*] *elim*: *dvdE simp*: *factor*)

lemma *associated-connect*[*simp*]: $(\sim_{mk\text{-}monoid})$ = (*ddvd*) **by** (*intro ext*, *unfold associated-def*, *auto*)

lemma *essentially-equal-connect*[*simp*]:
essentially-equal mk-monoid fs gs $\longleftrightarrow$ *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) (*mset fs*) (*mset gs*)
by (*auto simp*: *essentially-equal-def rel-mset-via-perm*)

lemma *irred-idom-nz*:
assumes *x0*: (*x*::'*a*) $\neq$ *0*
shows *irred x* $\longleftrightarrow$ *irreducible x*
using *x0* **by** (*auto simp*: *irreducible-altdef Divisibility.irreducible-def properfactor-nz*)

lemma *dvd-dvd-imp-unit-mult*:
assumes *xy*: *x dvd y* **and** *yx*: *y dvd x*
shows $\exists$ *z*. *z dvd 1* $\wedge$ *y* = *x* $*$ *z*
proof(*cases x = 0*)
case *True* **with** *xy* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto intro*: *exI*[*of - 1*])
next
case *x0*: *False*
from *xy* **obtain** *z* **where** *z*: *y* = *x* $*$ *z* **by** (*elim dvdE*, *auto*)
from *yx* **obtain** *w* **where** *w*: *x* = *y* $*$ *w* **by** (*elim dvdE*, *auto*)
from *z w* **have** *x* $*$ (*z* $*$ *w*) = *x* **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
then have *z* $*$ *w* = *1* **using** *x0* **by** *auto*
with *z* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto intro*: *exI*[*of - z*])
qed

lemma *irred-inner-nz*:
assumes *x0*: *x* $\neq$ *0*
shows ($\forall$ *b*. *b dvd x* $\longrightarrow \neg$ *x dvd b* $\longrightarrow$ *b dvd 1*) $\longleftrightarrow$ ($\forall$ *a b*. *x* = *a* $*$ *b* $\longrightarrow$ *a dvd 1* $\vee$ *b dvd 1*) (**is** *?l* $\longleftrightarrow$ *?r*)

```
  proof (intro iffI allI impI)
    assume l: ?l
    fix a b
    assume xab: x = a * b
    then have ax: a dvd x and bx: b dvd x by auto
    { assume a1: ¬ a dvd 1
      with l ax have xa: x dvd a by auto
     from dvd-dvd-imp-unit-mult[OF ax xa] obtain z where z1: z dvd 1 and xaz:
x = a * z by auto
      from xab x0 have a ≠ 0 by auto
      with xab xaz have b = z by auto
      with z1 have b dvd 1 by auto
    }
    then show a dvd 1 ∨ b dvd 1 by auto
  next
    assume r: ?r
    fix b assume bx: b dvd x and xb: ¬ x dvd b
    then obtain a where xab: x = a * b by (elim dvdE, auto simp: ac-simps)
    with r consider a dvd 1 | b dvd 1 by auto
    then show b dvd 1
    proof(cases)
      case 2 then show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case 1
      then obtain c where ac1: a * c = 1 by (elim dvdE, auto)
      from xab have x * c = b * (a * c) by (auto simp: ac-simps)
      with ac1 have x * c = b by auto
      then have x dvd b by auto
      with xb show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed

  lemma irred-idom[simp]: irred x ⟷ x = 0 ∨ irreducible x
  by (cases x = 0; simp add: irred-idom-nz irred-inner-nz irreducible-def)

  lemma assumes x ≠ 0 and factors fs x and f ∈ set fs shows f ≠ 0
    using assms by (auto simp: factors)

  lemma factors-as-mset-factors:
    assumes x0: x ≠ 0 and x1: x ≠ 1
    shows factors fs x ⟷ mset-factors (mset fs) x using assms
    by (auto simp: factors prod-mset-prod-list)


end

context ufd begin
 interpretation comm-monoid-cancel: comm-monoid-cancel mk-monoid::'a monoid
    apply (unfold-locales)
```

```
    apply simp-all
    using mult-left-cancel
    apply (auto simp: ac-simps)
    done
  lemma factors-exist:
    assumes a ≠ 0
    and ¬ a dvd 1
    shows ∃fs. set fs ⊆ UNIV − {0} ∧ factors fs a
  proof−
    from mset-factors-exist[OF assms]
    obtain F where mset-factors F a by auto
    also from ex-mset obtain fs where F = mset fs by metis
    finally have fs: mset-factors (mset fs) a.
    then have factors fs a using assms by (subst factors-as-mset-factors, auto)
   moreover have set fs ⊆ UNIV − {0} using fs by (auto elim!: mset-factorsE)
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed

  lemma factors-unique:
    assumes fs: factors fs a
       and gs: factors gs a
       and a0: a ≠ 0
       and a1: ¬ a dvd 1
    shows rel-mset (ddvd) (mset fs) (mset gs)
  proof−
    from a1 have a ≠ 1 by auto
   with a0 fs gs have mset-factors (mset fs) a mset-factors (mset gs) a by (unfold
factors-as-mset-factors)
    from mset-factors-unique[OF this] show ?thesis.
  qed

  lemma factorial-monoid: factorial-monoid (mk-monoid :: 'a monoid)
    by (unfold-locales; auto simp add: factors-exist factors-unique)

end

lemma (in idom) factorial-monoid-imp-ufd:
  assumes factorial-monoid (mk-monoid :: 'a monoid)
  shows class.ufd ((∗) :: 'a ⇒ -) 1 (+) 0 (−) uminus
proof (unfold-locales)
  interpret factorial-monoid mk-monoid :: 'a monoid by (fact assms)
  {
    fix x assume x: x ≠ 0 ¬ x dvd 1
    note ∗ = factors-exist[simplified, OF this]
     with x show ∃F. mset-factors F x by (subst(asm) factors-as-mset-factors,
auto)
  }
  fix x F G assume FG: mset-factors F x mset-factors G x
  with mset-factors-imp-not-is-unit have x1: ¬ x dvd 1 by auto
```

from *FG*(*1*) **have** *x0*: $x \neq 0$ **by** (*rule mset-factors-imp-nonzero*)
obtain *fs gs* **where** *fsgs*: $F = mset\ fs\ G = mset\ gs$ **using** *ex-mset* **by** *metis*
note $FG = FG[unfolded\ this]$
then have *0*: $0 \notin set\ fs\ 0 \notin set\ gs$ **by** (*auto elim*!: *mset-factorsE*)
from *x1* **have** $x \neq 1$ **by** *auto*
note *FG*[*folded factors-as-mset-factors*[*OF x0 this*]]
from *factors-unique*[*OF this*, *simplified*, *OF x0 x1*, *folded fsgs*] *0*
show *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) *F G* **by** *auto*
qed

3.4 Preservation of Irreducibility

locale *comm-semiring-1-hom* = *comm-monoid-mult-hom hom* + *zero-hom hom*
for *hom* :: $'a$ :: *comm-semiring-1* $\Rightarrow$ $'b$ :: *comm-semiring-1*

locale *irreducibility-hom* = *comm-semiring-1-hom* +
assumes *irreducible-imp-irreducible-hom*: *irreducible a* $\Longrightarrow$ *irreducible* (*hom a*)
begin
lemma *hom-mset-factors*:
assumes *F*: *mset-factors F p*
shows *mset-factors* (*image-mset hom F*) (*hom p*)
proof (*unfold mset-factors-def*, *intro conjI allI impI*)
from *F* **show** *hom p* = *prod-mset* (*image-mset hom F*) *image-mset hom F* $\neq$ {#} **by** (*auto simp*: *hom-distribs*)
fix f' **assume** $f' \in\#$ *image-mset hom F*
then obtain *f* **where** *f*: $f \in\#$ *F* **and** $f'f$: $f' = hom\ f$ **by** *auto*
with *F irreducible-imp-irreducible-hom* **show** *irreducible* f' **unfolding** $f'f$ **by** *auto*
qed
end

locale *unit-preserving-hom* = *comm-semiring-1-hom* +
assumes *is-unit-hom-if*: $\bigwedge x.$ *hom x dvd 1* $\Longrightarrow$ *x dvd 1*
begin
lemma *is-unit-hom-iff*[*simp*]: *hom x dvd 1* $\longleftrightarrow$ *x dvd 1* **using** *is-unit-hom-if hom-dvd* **by** *force*

lemma *irreducible-hom-imp-irreducible*:
assumes *irr*: *irreducible* (*hom a*) **shows** *irreducible a*
proof (*intro irreducibleI*)
from *irr* **show** $a \neq 0$ **by** *auto*
from *irr* **show** $\neg$ *a dvd 1* **by** (*auto dest*: *irreducible-not-unit*)
fix *b c* **assume** $a = b * c$
then have *hom a* = *hom b* $*$ *hom c* **by** (*simp add*: *hom-distribs*)
with *irr* **have** *hom b dvd 1* $\vee$ *hom c dvd 1* **by** (*auto dest*: *irreducibleD*)
then show *b dvd 1* $\vee$ *c dvd 1* **by** *simp*
qed
end

locale *factor-preserving-hom* = *unit-preserving-hom* + *irreducibility-hom*
begin
lemma *irreducible-hom*[*simp*]: *irreducible* (*hom a*) ⟷ *irreducible a*
using *irreducible-hom-imp-irreducible irreducible-imp-irreducible-hom* **by** *metis*
end

lemma *factor-preserving-hom-comp*:
assumes *f*: *factor-preserving-hom f* **and** *g*: *factor-preserving-hom g*
shows *factor-preserving-hom* (*f o g*)
proof−
interpret *f*: *factor-preserving-hom f* **by** (*rule f*)
interpret *g*: *factor-preserving-hom g* **by** (*rule g*)
show *?thesis* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp*: *hom-distribs*)
qed

context *comm-semiring-isom* **begin**
sublocale *unit-preserving-hom* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto*)
sublocale *factor-preserving-hom*
proof (*standard*)
fix *a* :: *'a*
assume *irreducible a*
note *a* = *this*[*unfolded irreducible-def*]
show *irreducible* (*hom a*)
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume ¬ *irreducible* (*hom a*)
from *this*[*unfolded Factorial-Ring.irreducible-def*,*simplified*] *a*
obtain *hb hc* **where** *eq*: *hom a* = *hb* ∗ *hc* **and** *nu*: ¬ *hb dvd 1* ¬ *hc dvd 1*
by *auto*
from *bij* **obtain** *b* **where** *hb*: *hb* = *hom b* **by** (*elim bij-pointE*)
from *bij* **obtain** *c* **where** *hc*: *hc* = *hom c* **by** (*elim bij-pointE*)
from *eq*[*unfolded hb hc*, *folded hom-mult*] **have** *a* = *b* ∗ *c* **by** *auto*
with *nu hb hc* **have** *a* = *b* ∗ *c* ¬ *b dvd 1* ¬ *c dvd 1* **by** *auto*
with *a* **show** *False* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
end

3.4.1 Back to divisibility

lemma(**in** *comm-semiring-1*) *mset-factors-mult*:
assumes *F*: *mset-factors F a*
and *G*: *mset-factors G b*
shows *mset-factors* (*F*+*G*) (*a*∗*b*)
proof(*intro mset-factorsI*)
fix *f* **assume** *f* ∈# *F* + *G*
then consider *f* ∈# *F* | *f* ∈# *G* **by** *auto*
then show *irreducible f* **by**(*cases*, *insert F G*, *auto*)
qed (*insert F G*, *auto*)

lemma(**in** *ufd*) *dvd-imp-subset-factors*:
assumes *ab*: *a dvd b*
and *F*: *mset-factors F a*
and *G*: *mset-factors G b*
shows $\exists G'.\ G' \subseteq\# G \wedge$ *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) *F G'*
proof−
from *F G* **have** *a0*: $a \neq 0$ **and** *b0*: $b \neq 0$ **by** (*simp-all add*: *mset-factors-imp-nonzero*)
from *ab* **obtain** *c* **where** *c*: $b = a * c$ **by** (*elim dvdE*, *auto*)
with *b0* **have** *c0*: $c \neq 0$ **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof(*cases c dvd 1*)
case *True*
show *?thesis*
proof(*cases F*)
case *empty* **with** *F* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case (*add f F'*)
with *F*
have *a*: $f *$ *prod-mset* $F' = a$
and *F'*: $\bigwedge f.\ f \in\# F' \Longrightarrow$ *irreducible f*
and *irrf*: *irreducible f* **by** *auto*
from *irrf* **have** *f0*: $f \neq 0$ **and** *f1*: $\neg f$ *dvd 1* **by** (*auto dest*: *irreducible-not-unit*)
from *a c* **have** $(f * c) *$ *prod-mset* $F' = b$ **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
moreover {
have *irreducible* $(f * c)$ **using** *True irrf* **by** (*subst irreducible-mult-unit-right*)
with *F' irrf* **have** $\bigwedge f'.\ f' \in\# F' + \{\#f * c\#\} \Longrightarrow$ *irreducible* f' **by** *auto*
}
ultimately have *mset-factors* $(F' + \{\#f * c\#\})$ *b* **by** (*intro mset-factorsI*, *auto*)
from *mset-factors-unique*[*OF this G*]
have *F'G*: *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) $(F' + \{\#f * c\#\})$ *G*.
from *True add* **have** *FF'*: *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) *F* $(F' + \{\#f * c\#\})$
by (*auto simp add*: *multiset.rel-refl intro*!: *rel-mset-Plus*)
have *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) *F G*
apply(*rule transpD*[*OF multiset.rel-transp*[*OF transpI*] *FF' F'G*])
using *ddvd-trans*.
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case *False*
from *mset-factors-exist*[*OF c0 this*] **obtain** *H* **where** *H*: *mset-factors H c* **by** *auto*
from *c mset-factors-mult*[*OF F H*] **have** *mset-factors* $(F + H)$ *b* **by** *auto*
note *mset-factors-unique*[*OF this G*]
from *rel-mset-split*[*OF this*] **obtain** *G1 G2*
where *G* = *G1* + *G2 rel-mset* (*ddvd*) *F G1 rel-mset* (*ddvd*) *H G2* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** (*intro exI*[*of* - *G1*], *auto*)

```
  qed
qed

lemma(in idom) irreducible-factor-singleton:
  assumes a: irreducible a
  shows mset-factors F a ⟷ F = {#a#}
proof(cases F)
  case empty with mset-factorsD show ?thesis by auto
next
  case (add f F')
  show ?thesis
  proof
    assume F: mset-factors F a
    from add mset-factorsD[OF F] have *: a = f * prod-mset F' by auto
    then have fa: f dvd a by auto
    from * a have f0: f ≠ 0 by auto
    from add have f ∈# F by auto
    with F have f: irreducible f by auto
    from add have F' ⊆# F by auto
    then have unitemp: prod-mset F' dvd 1 ⟹ F' = {#}
    proof(induct F')
      case empty then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (add f F')
        from add have f ∈# F by (simp add: mset-subset-eq-insertD)
        with F irreducible-not-unit have ¬ f dvd 1 by auto
        then have ¬ (prod-mset F' * f) dvd 1 by simp
        with add show ?case by auto
    qed
    show F = {#a#}
    proof(cases a dvd f)
      case True
        then obtain r where f = a * r by (elim dvdE, auto)
        with * have f = (r * prod-mset F') * f by (auto simp: ac-simps)
        with f0 have r * prod-mset F' = 1 by auto
        then have prod-mset F' dvd 1 by (metis dvd-triv-right)
        with unitemp * add show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False with fa a f show ?thesis by (auto simp: irreducible-altdef)
    qed
  qed (insert a, auto)
qed


lemma(in ufd) irreducible-dvd-imp-factor:
  assumes ab: a dvd b
     and a: irreducible a
     and G: mset-factors G b
  shows ∃ g ∈# G. a ddvd g
```

proof−
from *a* **have** *mset-factors* {#*a*#} *a* **by** *auto*
from *dvd-imp-subset-factors*[*OF ab this G*]
obtain *G′* **where** *G′G*: *G′* ⊆# *G* **and** *rel*: *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) {#*a*#} *G′* **by** *auto*
with *rel-mset-size size-1-singleton-mset size-single*
obtain *g* **where** *gG′*: *G′* = {#*g*#} **by** *fastforce*
from *rel*[*unfolded this rel-mset-def*]
have *a ddvd g* **by** *auto*
with *gG′ G′G* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma(**in** *idom*) *prod-mset-remove-units*:
prod-mset F ddvd prod-mset {# *f* ∈# *F*. ¬*f dvd 1* #}
proof(*induct F*)
case (*add f F*) **then show** *?case* **by** (*cases f* = *0*, *auto*)
qed *auto*

lemma(**in** *comm-semiring-1*) *mset-factors-imp-dvd*:
assumes *mset-factors F x* **and** *f* ∈# *F* **shows** *f dvd x*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *dvd-prod-mset mset-factors-def*)

lemma(**in** *ufd*) *prime-elem-iff-irreducible*[*iff*]:
prime-elem x ⟷ *irreducible x*
proof (*intro iffI*, *fact prime-elem-imp-irreducible*, *rule prime-elemI*)
assume *r*: *irreducible x*
then show *x0*: *x* ≠ *0* **and** *x1*: ¬ *x dvd 1* **by** (*auto dest*: *irreducible-not-unit*)
from *irreducible-factor-singleton*[*OF r*]
have ∗: *mset-factors* {#*x*#} *x* **by** *auto*
fix *a b*
assume *x dvd a* ∗ *b*
then obtain *c* **where** *abxc*: *a* ∗ *b* = *x* ∗ *c* **by** (*elim dvdE*, *auto*)
show *x dvd a* ∨ *x dvd b*
proof(*cases c* = *0* ∨ *a* = *0* ∨ *b* = *0*)
case *True* **with** *abxc* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
then have *a0*: *a* ≠ *0* **and** *b0*: *b* ≠ *0* **and** *c0*: *c* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *x0 c0* **have** *xc0*: *x* ∗ *c* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *x1* **have** *xc1*: ¬ *x* ∗ *c dvd 1* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases a dvd 1* ∨ *b dvd 1*)
case *False*
then have *a1*: ¬ *a dvd 1* **and** *b1*: ¬ *b dvd 1* **by** *auto*
from *mset-factors-exist*[*OF a0 a1*]
obtain *F* **where** *Fa*: *mset-factors F a* **by** *auto*
then have *F0*: *F* ≠ {#} **by** *auto*
from *mset-factors-exist*[*OF b0 b1*]
obtain *G* **where** *Gb*: *mset-factors G b* **by** *auto*
then have *G0*: *G* ≠ {#} **by** *auto*

```
    from mset-factors-mult[OF Fa Gb]
    have FGxc: mset-factors (F + G) (x * c) by (simp add: abxc)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases c dvd 1)
      case True
      from r irreducible-mult-unit-right[OF this] have irreducible (x*c) by simp
      note irreducible-factor-singleton[OF this] FGxc
      with F0 G0 have False by (cases F; cases G; auto)
      then show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False
      from mset-factors-exist[OF c0 this] obtain H where mset-factors H c by
auto
      with * have xHxc: mset-factors (add-mset x H) (x * c) by force
      note rel = mset-factors-unique[OF this FGxc]
      obtain hs where mset hs = H using ex-mset by auto
      then have mset (x#hs) = add-mset x H by auto
      from rel-mset-free[OF rel this]
      obtain jjs where jjsGH: mset jjs = F + G and rel: list-all2 (ddvd) (x #
hs) jjs by auto
      then obtain j js where jjs: jjs = j # js by (cases jjs, auto)
      with rel have xj: x ddvd j by auto
    from jjs jjsGH have j: j ∈ set-mset (F + G) by (intro union-single-eq-member,
auto)
      from j consider j ∈# F | j ∈# G by auto
      then show ?thesis
      proof(cases)
        case 1
        with Fa have j dvd a by (auto intro: mset-factors-imp-dvd)
        with xj dvd-trans have x dvd a by auto
        then show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case 2
        with Gb have j dvd b by (auto intro: mset-factors-imp-dvd)
        with xj dvd-trans have x dvd b by auto
        then show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    qed
  next
    case True
    then consider a dvd 1 | b dvd 1 by auto
    then show ?thesis
    proof(cases)
      case 1
      then obtain d where ad: a * d = 1 by (elim dvdE, auto)
      from abxc have x * (c * d) = a * b * d by (auto simp: ac-simps)
      also have ... = a * d * b by (auto simp: ac-simps)
      finally have x dvd b by (intro dvdI, auto simp: ad)
      then show ?thesis by auto
```

```
    next
      case 2
      then obtain d where bd: b * d = 1 by (elim dvdE, auto)
      from abxc have x * (c * d) = a * b * d by (auto simp: ac-simps)
      also have ... = (b * d) * a by (auto simp: ac-simps)
      finally have x dvd a by (intro dvdI, auto simp:bd)
      then show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed
 qed
qed
```

3.5 Results for GCDs etc.

```
lemma prod-list-remove1: (x :: 'b :: comm-monoid-mult) ∈ set xs ⟹ prod-list
(remove1 x xs) * x = prod-list xs
 by (induct xs, auto simp: ac-simps)


class comm-monoid-gcd = gcd + comm-semiring-1 +
 assumes gcd-dvd1[iff]: gcd a b dvd a
    and gcd-dvd2[iff]: gcd a b dvd b
    and gcd-greatest: c dvd a ⟹ c dvd b ⟹ c dvd gcd a b
begin

 lemma gcd-0-0[simp]: gcd 0 0 = 0
  using gcd-greatest[OF dvd-0-right dvd-0-right, of 0] by auto

 lemma gcd-zero-iff[simp]: gcd a b = 0 ⟷ a = 0 ∧ b = 0
 proof
  assume gcd a b = 0
  from gcd-dvd1[of a b, unfolded this] gcd-dvd2[of a b, unfolded this]
  show a = 0 ∧ b = 0 by auto
 qed auto

 lemma gcd-zero-iff'[simp]: 0 = gcd a b ⟷ a = 0 ∧ b = 0
  using gcd-zero-iff by metis

 lemma dvd-gcd-0-iff[simp]:
  shows x dvd gcd 0 a ⟷ x dvd a (is ?g1)
    and x dvd gcd a 0 ⟷ x dvd a (is ?g2)
 proof−
  have a dvd gcd a 0 a dvd gcd 0 a by (auto intro: gcd-greatest)
  with dvd-refl show ?g1 ?g2 by (auto dest: dvd-trans)
 qed

 lemma gcd-dvd-1[simp]: gcd a b dvd 1 ⟷ coprime a b
  using dvd-trans[OF gcd-greatest[of - a b], of - 1]
  by (cases a = 0 ∧ b = 0) (auto intro!: coprimeI elim: coprimeE)
```

lemma *dvd-imp-gcd-dvd-gcd*: *b dvd c* $\Longrightarrow$ *gcd a b dvd gcd a c*
by (*meson gcd-dvd1 gcd-dvd2 gcd-greatest dvd-trans*)

definition *listgcd* :: *'a list* $\Rightarrow$ *'a* **where**
listgcd xs = *foldr gcd xs 0*

lemma *listgcd-simps*[*simp*]: *listgcd* [] = *0 listgcd* (*x* # *xs*) = *gcd x* (*listgcd xs*)
by (*auto simp*: *listgcd-def*)

lemma *listgcd*: *x* $\in$ *set xs* $\Longrightarrow$ *listgcd xs dvd x*
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*Cons y ys*)
show *?case*
proof (*cases x* = *y*)
case *False*
with *Cons* **have** *dvd*: *listgcd ys dvd x* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *listgcd-simps* **using** *dvd-trans* **by** *blast*
next
case *True*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *listgcd-simps* **using** *dvd-trans* **by** *blast*
qed
qed *simp*

lemma *listgcd-greatest*: ($\bigwedge$ *x*. *x* $\in$ *set xs* $\Longrightarrow$ *y dvd x*) $\Longrightarrow$ *y dvd listgcd xs*
by (*induct xs arbitrary*:*y*, *auto intro*: *gcd-greatest*)

end

context *Rings.dvd* **begin**

definition *is-gcd x a b* $\equiv$ *x dvd a* $\wedge$ *x dvd b* $\wedge$ ($\forall$ *y*. *y dvd a* $\longrightarrow$ *y dvd b* $\longrightarrow$ *y dvd x*)

definition *some-gcd a b* $\equiv$ *SOME x*. *is-gcd x a b*

lemma *is-gcdI*[*intro*!]:
assumes *x dvd a x dvd b* $\bigwedge$*y*. *y dvd a* $\Longrightarrow$ *y dvd b* $\Longrightarrow$ *y dvd x*
shows *is-gcd x a b* **by** (*insert assms*, *auto simp*: *is-gcd-def*)

lemma *is-gcdE*[*elim*!]:
assumes *is-gcd x a b*
and *x dvd a* $\Longrightarrow$ *x dvd b* $\Longrightarrow$ ($\bigwedge$*y*. *y dvd a* $\Longrightarrow$ *y dvd b* $\Longrightarrow$ *y dvd x*) $\Longrightarrow$ *thesis*
shows *thesis* **by** (*insert assms*, *auto simp*: *is-gcd-def*)

lemma *is-gcd-some-gcdI*:
assumes $\exists$ *x*. *is-gcd x a b* **shows** *is-gcd* (*some-gcd a b*) *a b*

by (*unfold some-gcd-def*, *rule someI-ex*[*OF assms*])

end

context *comm-semiring-1* **begin**

lemma *some-gcd-0*[*intro*!]: *is-gcd* (*some-gcd a 0*) *a 0 is-gcd* (*some-gcd 0 b*) *0 b*
by (*auto intro*!: *is-gcd-some-gcdI intro*: *exI*[*of - a*] *exI*[*of - b*])

lemma *some-gcd-0-dvd*[*intro*!]:
some-gcd a 0 dvd a some-gcd 0 b dvd b **using** *some-gcd-0* **by** *auto*

lemma *dvd-some-gcd-0*[*intro*!]:
a dvd some-gcd a 0 b dvd some-gcd 0 b **using** *some-gcd-0*[*of a*] *some-gcd-0*[*of b*] **by** *auto*

end

context *idom* **begin**

lemma *is-gcd-connect*:
assumes $a \neq 0$ $b \neq 0$ **shows** *isgcd mk-monoid x a b* $\longleftrightarrow$ *is-gcd x a b*
using *assms* **by** (*force simp*: *isgcd-def*)

lemma *some-gcd-connect*:
assumes $a \neq 0$ **and** $b \neq 0$ **shows** *somegcd mk-monoid a b* = *some-gcd a b*
using *assms* **by** (*auto intro*!: *arg-cong*[*of - - Eps*] *simp*: *is-gcd-connect some-gcd-def somegcd-def*)
end

context *comm-monoid-gcd*
begin
lemma *is-gcd-gcd*: *is-gcd* (*gcd a b*) *a b* **using** *gcd-greatest* **by** *auto*
lemma *is-gcd-some-gcd*: *is-gcd* (*some-gcd a b*) *a b* **by** (*insert is-gcd-gcd*, *auto intro*!: *is-gcd-some-gcdI*)
lemma *gcd-dvd-some-gcd*: *gcd a b dvd some-gcd a b* **using** *is-gcd-some-gcd* **by** *auto*
lemma *some-gcd-dvd-gcd*: *some-gcd a b dvd gcd a b* **using** *is-gcd-some-gcd* **by** (*auto intro*: *gcd-greatest*)
lemma *some-gcd-ddvd-gcd*: *some-gcd a b ddvd gcd a b* **by** (*auto intro*: *gcd-dvd-some-gcd some-gcd-dvd-gcd*)
lemma *some-gcd-dvd*: *some-gcd a b dvd d* $\longleftrightarrow$ *gcd a b dvd d d dvd some-gcd a b* $\longleftrightarrow$ *d dvd gcd a b*
using *some-gcd-ddvd-gcd*[*of a b*] **by** (*auto dest*:*dvd-trans*)

end

class *idom-gcd* = *comm-monoid-gcd* + *idom*
begin

interpretation *raw*: *comm-monoid-cancel mk-monoid* :: *'a monoid*
by (*unfold-locales*, *auto intro*: *mult-commute mult-assoc*)

interpretation *raw*: *gcd-condition-monoid mk-monoid* :: *'a monoid*
by (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp*: *is-gcd-connect intro*!: *exI*[*of - gcd - -*] *dest*: *gcd-greatest*)

lemma *gcd-mult-ddvd*:
d ∗ *gcd a b ddvd gcd* (*d* ∗ *a*) (*d* ∗ *b*)
proof (*cases d* = *0*)
case *True* **then show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *d0*: *False*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases a* = *0* ∨ *b* = *0*)
case *False*
note *some-gcd-ddvd-gcd*[*of a b*]
with *d0* **have** *d* ∗ *gcd a b ddvd d* ∗ *some-gcd a b* **by** *auto*
also have *d* ∗ *some-gcd a b ddvd some-gcd* (*d* ∗ *a*) (*d* ∗ *b*)
using *False d0 raw.gcd-mult* **by** (*simp add*: *some-gcd-connect*)
also note *some-gcd-ddvd-gcd*
finally show *?thesis***.**
next
case *True*
with *d0* **show** *?thesis*
apply (*elim disjE*)
apply (*rule ddvd-trans*[*of - d* ∗ *b*]; *force*)
apply (*rule ddvd-trans*[*of - d* ∗ *a*]; *force*)
done
qed
qed

lemma *gcd-greatest-mult*: **assumes** *cad*: *c dvd a* ∗ *d* **and** *cbd*: *c dvd b* ∗ *d*
shows *c dvd gcd a b* ∗ *d*
proof−
from *gcd-greatest*[*OF assms*] **have** *c*: *c dvd gcd* (*d* ∗ *a*) (*d* ∗ *b*) **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
note *gcd-mult-ddvd*[*of d a b*]
then have *gcd* (*d* ∗ *a*) (*d* ∗ *b*) *dvd gcd a b* ∗ *d* **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
from *dvd-trans*[*OF c this*] **show** *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *listgcd-greatest-mult*: (⋀ *x* :: *'a*. *x* ∈ *set xs* ⟹ *y dvd x* ∗ *z*) ⟹ *y dvd listgcd xs* ∗ *z*
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*Cons x xs*)
from *Cons* **have** *y dvd x* ∗ *z y dvd listgcd xs* ∗ *z* **by** *auto*
thus *?case* **unfolding** *listgcd-simps* **by** (*rule gcd-greatest-mult*)

qed (*simp*)

lemma *dvd-factor-mult-gcd*:
assumes *dvd*: *k dvd p * q k dvd p * r*
and *q0*: *q ≠ 0* **and** *r0*: *r ≠ 0*
shows *k dvd p * gcd q r*
proof −
from *dvd gcd-greatest*[*of k p * q p * r*]
have *k dvd gcd* (*p * q*) (*p * r*) **by** *simp*
also from *gcd-mult-ddvd*[*of p q r*]
have ... *dvd* (*p * gcd q r*) **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *coprime-mult-cross-dvd*:
assumes *coprime*: *coprime p q* **and** *eq*: *p′ * p = q′ * q*
shows *p dvd q′* (**is** *?g1*) **and** *q dvd p′* (**is** *?g2*)
proof (*atomize*(*full*), *cases p = 0 ∨ q = 0*)
case *True*
then show *?g1 ∧ ?g2*
proof
assume *p0*: *p = 0* **with** *coprime* **have** *q dvd 1* **by** *auto*
with *eq p0* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
assume *q0*: *q = 0* **with** *coprime* **have** *p dvd 1* **by** *auto*
with *eq q0* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case *False*
{
fix *p q r p′ q′* :: *′a*
assume *cop*: *coprime p q* **and** *eq*: *p′ * p = q′ * q* **and** *p*: *p ≠ 0* **and** *q*: *q ≠ 0*
and *r*: *r dvd p r dvd q*
let *?gcd* = *gcd q p*
from *eq* **have** *p′ * p dvd q′ * q* **by** *auto*
hence *d1*: *p dvd q′ * q* **by** (*rule dvd-mult-right*)
have *d2*: *p dvd q′ * p* **by** *auto*
from *dvd-factor-mult-gcd*[*OF d1 d2 q p*] **have** *1*: *p dvd q′ * ?gcd* **.**
from *q p* **have** *2*: *?gcd dvd q* **by** *auto*
from *q p* **have** *3*: *?gcd dvd p* **by** *auto*
from *cop*[*unfolded coprime-def′*, *rule-format*, *OF 3 2*] **have** *?gcd dvd 1* **.**
from *1 dvd-mult-unit-iff*[*OF this*] **have** *p dvd q′* **by** *auto*
} note *main* = *this*
from *main*[*OF coprime eq*,*of 1*] *False coprime coprime-commute main*[*OF -
eq*[*symmetric*], *of 1*]
show *?g1 ∧ ?g2* **by** *auto*
qed

end

subclass (**in** *ring-gcd*) *idom-gcd* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto*)

lemma *coprime-rewrites*: *comm-monoid-mult.coprime* ((∗)) *1* = *coprime*
apply (*intro ext*)
apply (*subst comm-monoid-mult.coprime-def′*)
apply (*unfold-locales*)
apply (*unfold dvd-rewrites*)
apply (*fold coprime-def′*) **..**

locale *gcd-condition* =
fixes *ty* :: *′a* :: *idom itself*
assumes *gcd-exists*: ⋀*a b* :: *′a*. ∃ *x*. *is-gcd x a b*
begin
sublocale *idom-gcd* (∗) *1* :: *′a* (+) *0* (−) *uminus some-gcd*
rewrites *dvd.dvd* ((∗)) = (*dvd*)
and *comm-monoid-mult.coprime* ((∗)) *1* = *Unique-Factorization.coprime*
proof−
have *is-gcd* (*some-gcd a b*) *a b* **for** *a b* :: *′a* **by** (*intro is-gcd-some-gcdI gcd-exists*)
from *this*[*unfolded is-gcd-def*]
show *class.idom-gcd* (∗) (*1* :: *′a*) (+) *0* (−) *uminus some-gcd* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp*: *dvd-rewrites*)
qed (*simp-all add*: *dvd-rewrites coprime-rewrites*)
end

instance *semiring-gcd* ⊆ *comm-monoid-gcd* **by** (*intro-classes*, *auto*)

lemma *listgcd-connect*: *listgcd* = *gcd-list*
proof (*intro ext*)
fix *xs* :: *′a list*
show *listgcd xs* = *gcd-list xs* **by**(*induct xs*, *auto*)
qed

interpretation *some-gcd*: *gcd-condition TYPE*(*′a*::*ufd*)
proof(*unfold-locales*, *intro exI*)
interpret *factorial-monoid mk-monoid* :: *′a monoid* **by** (*fact factorial-monoid*)
note *d* = *dvd.dvd-def some-gcd-def carrier-0*
fix *a b* :: *′a*
show *is-gcd* (*some-gcd a b*) *a b*
proof (*cases a* = *0* ∨ *b* = *0*)
case *True*
thus *?thesis* **using** *some-gcd-0* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
with *gcdof-exists*[*of a b*]
show *?thesis* **by** (*auto intro*!: *is-gcd-some-gcdI simp add*: *is-gcd-connect some-gcd-connect*)
qed
qed

lemma *some-gcd-listgcd-dvd-listgcd*: *some-gcd.listgcd xs dvd listgcd xs*
by (*induct xs*, *auto simp*:*some-gcd-dvd intro*:*dvd-imp-gcd-dvd-gcd*)

lemma *listgcd-dvd-some-gcd-listgcd*: *listgcd xs dvd some-gcd.listgcd xs*
by (*induct xs*, *auto simp*:*some-gcd-dvd intro*:*dvd-imp-gcd-dvd-gcd*)

context *factorial-ring-gcd* **begin**

Do not declare the following as subclass, to avoid conflict in *field* $\subseteq$ *gcd-condition* vs. *factorial-ring-gcd* $\subseteq$ *gcd-condition*.

sublocale *as-ufd*: *ufd*
proof(*unfold-locales*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 x*)
from *prime-factorization-exists*[*OF* ‹$x \neq 0$›]
obtain *F* **where** *f*: $\bigwedge f.\ f \in\# F \Longrightarrow$ *prime-elem f*
and *Fx*: *normalize* (*prod-mset F*) = *normalize x* **by** *auto*
from *associatedE2*[*OF Fx*] **obtain** *u* **where** *u*: *is-unit u x* = *u* $*$ *prod-mset F*
by *blast*
from ‹$\neg$ *is-unit x*› *Fx* **have** *F* $\neq$ {#} **by** *auto*
then obtain *g G* **where** *F*: *F* = *add-mset g G* **by** (*cases F*, *auto*)
then have *g* $\in\#$ *F* **by** *auto*
with *f*[*OF this*]*prime-elem-iff-irreducible*
irreducible-mult-unit-left[*OF unit-factor-is-unit*[*OF* ‹$x \neq 0$›]]
have *g*: *irreducible* (*u* $*$ *g*) **using** *u*(*1*)
by (*subst irreducible-mult-unit-left*) *simp-all*
show *?case*
proof (*intro exI conjI mset-factorsI*)
show *prod-mset* (*add-mset* (*u* $*$ *g*) *G*) = *x*
using ‹$x \neq 0$› **by** (*simp add*: *F ac-simps u*)
fix *f* **assume** *f* $\in\#$ *add-mset* (*u* $*$ *g*) *G*
with *f*[*unfolded F*] *g prime-elem-iff-irreducible*
show *irreducible f* **by** *auto*
qed *auto*
next
case (*2 x F G*)
note *transpD*[*OF multiset.rel-transp*[*OF ddvd-transp*],*trans*]
obtain *fs* **where** *F*: *F* = *mset fs* **by** (*metis ex-mset*)
have *list-all2* (*ddvd*) *fs* (*map normalize fs*) **by** (*intro list-all2-all-nthI*, *auto*)
then have *FH*: *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) *F* (*image-mset normalize F*) **by** (*unfold rel-mset-def F*, *force*)
also
have *FG*: *image-mset normalize F* = *image-mset normalize G*
proof (*intro prime-factorization-unique''*)
from *2* **have** *xF*: *x* = *prod-mset F* **and** *xG*: *x* = *prod-mset G* **by** *auto*
from *xF* **have** *normalize x* = *normalize* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset normalize F*))
by (*simp add*: *normalize-prod-mset-normalize*)
with *xG* **have** *nFG*: ... = *normalize* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset normalize G*))
by (*simp-all add*: *normalize-prod-mset-normalize*)

then show *normalize* ($\prod i \in\# image\text{-}mset\ normalize\ F.\ i$) =
normalize ($\prod i \in\# image\text{-}mset\ normalize\ G.\ i$) **by** *auto*
next
from *2 prime-elem-iff-irreducible* **have** $f \in\# F \Longrightarrow prime\text{-}elem\ f\ g \in\# G \Longrightarrow$ *prime-elem g* **for** *f g*
by (*auto intro*: *prime-elemI*)
then show *Multiset.Ball* (*image-mset normalize F*) *prime*
Multiset.Ball (*image-mset normalize G*) *prime* **by** *auto*
qed
also
obtain *gs* **where** *G*: $G = mset\ gs$ **by** (*metis ex-mset*)
have *list-all2* ($(ddvd)^{-1-1}$) *gs* (*map normalize gs*) **by** (*intro list-all2-all-nthI*, *auto*)
then have *rel-mset* (*ddvd*) (*image-mset normalize G*) *G*
by (*subst multiset.rel-flip*[*symmetric*], *unfold rel-mset-def G*, *force*)
finally show *?case*.
qed

end

instance *int* :: *ufd* **by** (*intro ufd.intro-of-class as-ufd.ufd-axioms*)
instance *int* :: *idom-gcd* **by** (*intro-classes*, *auto*)

instance *field* $\subseteq$ *ufd* **by** (*intro-classes*, *auto simp*: *dvd-field-iff*)

end

4 Unique Factorization Domain for Polynomials

In this theory we prove that the polynomials over a unique factorization domain (UFD) form a UFD.

theory *Unique-Factorization-Poly*
imports
Unique-Factorization
Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Polynomial-Factorial
Subresultants.More-Homomorphisms
HOL−Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring
begin

hide-const (**open**) *module.smult*
hide-const (**open**) *Divisibility.irreducible*

instantiation *fract* :: (*idom*) {*normalization-euclidean-semiring*, *euclidean-ring*}
begin

definition [*simp*]: *normalize-fract* ≡ (*normalize-field* :: *'a fract* ⇒ -)
definition [*simp*]: *unit-factor-fract* = (*unit-factor-field* :: *'a fract* ⇒ -)
definition [*simp*]: *euclidean-size-fract* = (*euclidean-size-field* :: *'a fract* ⇒ -)

definition [*simp*]: *modulo-fract* = (*mod-field* :: *'a fract* ⇒ -)

instance by *standard* (*simp-all add*: *dvd-field-iff divide-simps*)

end

instantiation *fract* :: (*idom*) *euclidean-ring-gcd*
begin

definition *gcd-fract* :: *'a fract* ⇒ *'a fract* ⇒ *'a fract* **where**
gcd-fract ≡ *Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd*
definition *lcm-fract* :: *'a fract* ⇒ *'a fract* ⇒ *'a fract* **where**
lcm-fract ≡ *Euclidean-Algorithm.lcm*
definition *Gcd-fract* :: *'a fract set* ⇒ *'a fract* **where**
Gcd-fract ≡ *Euclidean-Algorithm.Gcd*
definition *Lcm-fract* :: *'a fract set* ⇒ *'a fract* **where**
Lcm-fract ≡ *Euclidean-Algorithm.Lcm*

instance
by (*standard*, *simp-all add*: *gcd-fract-def lcm-fract-def Gcd-fract-def Lcm-fract-def*)

end

instantiation *fract* :: (*idom*) *unique-euclidean-ring*
begin

definition [*simp*]: *division-segment-fract* (*x* :: *'a fract*) = (*1* :: *'a fract*)

instance by *standard* (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
end

instance *fract* :: (*idom*) *field-gcd* **by** *standard auto*

definition *divides-ff* :: *'a*::*idom fract* ⇒ *'a fract* ⇒ *bool*
where *divides-ff x y* ≡ ∃ *r*. *y* = *x* ∗ *to-fract r*

lemma *ff-list-pairs*:
∃ *xs*. *X* = *map* (λ (*x*,*y*). *Fraction-Field.Fract x y*) *xs* ∧ *0* ∉ *snd* ‘ *set xs*
proof (*induct X*)
case (*Cons a X*)
from *Cons*(*1*) **obtain** *xs* **where** *X*: *X* = *map* (λ (*x*,*y*). *Fraction-Field.Fract x y*) *xs* **and** *xs*: *0* ∉ *snd* ‘ *set xs*
by *auto*
obtain *x y* **where** *a*: *a* = *Fraction-Field.Fract x y* **and** *y*: *y* ≠ *0* **by** (*cases a*, *auto*)
show *?case* **unfolding** *X a* **using** *xs y*
by (*intro exI*[*of* - (*x*,*y*) # *xs*], *auto*)

qed *auto*

lemma *divides-ff-to-fract*[*simp*]: *divides-ff* (*to-fract x*) (*to-fract y*) ⟷ *x dvd y*
unfolding *divides-ff-def dvd-def*
by (*simp add*: *to-fract-def eq-fract*(*1*) *mult.commute*)

lemma
shows *divides-ff-mult-cancel-left*[*simp*]: *divides-ff* (*z* ∗ *x*) (*z* ∗ *y*) ⟷ *z* = *0* ∨ *divides-ff x y*
and *divides-ff-mult-cancel-right*[*simp*]: *divides-ff* (*x* ∗ *z*) (*y* ∗ *z*) ⟷ *z* = *0* ∨ *divides-ff x y*
unfolding *divides-ff-def* **by** *auto*

definition *gcd-ff-list* :: *'a*::*ufd fract list* ⇒ *'a fract* ⇒ *bool* **where**
gcd-ff-list X g = (
(∀ *x* ∈ *set X*. *divides-ff g x*) ∧
(∀ *d*. (∀ *x* ∈ *set X*. *divides-ff d x*) ⟶ *divides-ff d g*))

lemma *gcd-ff-list-exists*: ∃ *g*. *gcd-ff-list* (*X* :: *'a*::*ufd fract list*) *g*
proof −
interpret *some-gcd*: *idom-gcd* (∗) *1* :: *'a* (+) *0* (−) *uminus some-gcd*
rewrites *dvd.dvd* ((∗)) = (*dvd*) **by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp*: *dvd-rewrites*)
from *ff-list-pairs*[*of X*] **obtain** *xs* **where** *X*: *X* = *map* (λ (*x*,*y*). *Fraction-Field.Fract x y*) *xs*
and *xs*: *0* ∉ *snd* ‘ *set xs* **by** *auto*
define *r* **where** *r* ≡ *prod-list* (*map snd xs*)
have *r*: *r* ≠ *0* **unfolding** *r-def prod-list-zero-iff* **using** *xs* **by** *auto*
define *ys* **where** *ys* ≡ *map* (λ (*x*,*y*). *x* ∗ *prod-list* (*remove1 y* (*map snd xs*))) *xs*
{
fix *i*
assume *i* < *length X*
hence *i*: *i* < *length xs* **unfolding** *X* **by** *auto*
obtain *x y* **where** *xsi*: *xs* ! *i* = (*x*,*y*) **by** *force*
with *i* **have** (*x*,*y*) ∈ *set xs* **unfolding** *set-conv-nth* **by** *force*
hence *y-mem*: *y* ∈ *set* (*map snd xs*) **by** *force*
with *xs* **have** *y*: *y* ≠ *0* **by** *force*
from *i* **have** *id1*: *ys* ! *i* = *x* ∗ *prod-list* (*remove1 y* (*map snd xs*)) **unfolding** *ys-def* **using** *xsi* **by** *auto*
from *i xsi* **have** *id2*: *X* ! *i* = *Fraction-Field.Fract x y* **unfolding** *X* **by** *auto*
have *lp*: *prod-list* (*remove1 y* (*map snd xs*)) ∗ *y* = *r* **unfolding** *r-def*
by (*rule prod-list-remove1*[*OF y-mem*])
have *ys* ! *i* ∈ *set ys* **using** *i* **unfolding** *ys-def* **by** *auto*
moreover have *to-fract* (*ys* ! *i*) = *to-fract r* ∗ (*X* ! *i*)
unfolding *id1 id2 to-fract-def mult-fract*
by (*subst eq-fract*(*1*), *force*, *force simp*: *y*, *simp add*: *lp*)
ultimately have *ys* ! *i* ∈ *set ys to-fract* (*ys* ! *i*) = *to-fract r* ∗ (*X* ! *i*) **.**
} note *ys* = *this*
define *G* **where** *G* ≡ *some-gcd.listgcd ys*
define *g* **where** *g* ≡ *to-fract G* ∗ *Fraction-Field.Fract 1 r*

have *len*: *length X* = *length ys* **unfolding** *X ys-def* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule exI*[*of - g*], *unfold gcd-ff-list-def*, *intro ballI conjI impI allI*)
fix *x*
assume *x* ∈ *set X*
then obtain *i* **where** *i*: *i* < *length X* **and** *x*: *x* = *X* ! *i* **unfolding** *set-conv-nth* **by** *auto*
from *ys*[*OF i*] **have** *id*: *to-fract* (*ys* ! *i*) = *to-fract r* ∗ *x*
and *ysi*: *ys* ! *i* ∈ *set ys* **unfolding** *x* **by** *auto*
from *some-gcd.listgcd*[*OF ysi*] **have** *G dvd ys* ! *i* **unfolding** *G-def* **.**
then obtain *d* **where** *ysi*: *ys* ! *i* = *G* ∗ *d* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
have *to-fract d* ∗ (*to-fract G* ∗ *Fraction-Field.Fract 1 r*) = *x* ∗ (*to-fract r* ∗ *Fraction-Field.Fract 1 r*)
using *id*[*unfolded ysi*]
by (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
also have ... = *x* **using** *r* **unfolding** *to-fract-def* **by** (*simp add*: *eq-fract One-fract-def*)
finally have *to-fract d* ∗ (*to-fract G* ∗ *Fraction-Field.Fract 1 r*) = *x* **by** *simp*
thus *divides-ff g x* **unfolding** *divides-ff-def g-def*
by (*intro exI*[*of - d*], *auto*)
next
fix *d*
assume ∀ *x* ∈ *set X*. *divides-ff d x*
hence *Ball* ((λ *x*. *to-fract r* ∗ *x*) ‘ *set X*) (*divides-ff* (*to-fract r* ∗ *d*)) **by** *simp*
also have (λ *x*. *to-fract r* ∗ *x*) ‘ *set X* = *to-fract* ‘ *set ys*
unfolding *set-conv-nth* **using** *ys len* **by** *force*
finally have *dvd*: *Ball* (*set ys*) (λ *y*. *divides-ff* (*to-fract r* ∗ *d*) (*to-fract y*)) **by** *auto*
obtain *nd dd* **where** *d*: *d* = *Fraction-Field.Fract nd dd* **and** *dd*: *dd* ≠ *0* **by** (*cases d*, *auto*)
{
fix *y*
assume *y* ∈ *set ys*
hence *divides-ff* (*to-fract r* ∗ *d*) (*to-fract y*) **using** *dvd* **by** *auto*
from *this*[*unfolded divides-ff-def d to-fract-def mult-fract*]
obtain *ra* **where** *Fraction-Field.Fract y 1* = *Fraction-Field.Fract* (*r* ∗ *nd* ∗ *ra*) *dd* **by** *auto*
hence *y* ∗ *dd* = *ra* ∗ (*r* ∗ *nd*) **by** (*simp add*: *eq-fract dd*)
hence *r* ∗ *nd dvd y* ∗ *dd* **by** *auto*
}
hence *r* ∗ *nd dvd some-gcd.listgcd ys* ∗ *dd* **by** (*rule some-gcd.listgcd-greatest-mult*)
hence *divides-ff* (*to-fract r* ∗ *d*) (*to-fract G*) **unfolding** *to-fract-def d mult-fract G-def divides-ff-def* **by** (*auto simp add*: *eq-fract dd dvd-def*)
also have *to-fract G* = *to-fract r* ∗ *g* **unfolding** *g-def* **using** *r*
by (*auto simp*: *to-fract-def eq-fract*)
finally show *divides-ff d g* **using** *r* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

definition *some-gcd-ff-list* :: *'a* :: *ufd fract list* ⇒ *'a fract* **where**
some-gcd-ff-list xs = (*SOME g*. *gcd-ff-list xs g*)

lemma *some-gcd-ff-list*: *gcd-ff-list xs* (*some-gcd-ff-list xs*)
unfolding *some-gcd-ff-list-def* **using** *gcd-ff-list-exists*[*of xs*]
by (*rule someI-ex*)

lemma *some-gcd-ff-list-divides*: *x* ∈ *set xs* ⟹ *divides-ff* (*some-gcd-ff-list xs*) *x*
using *some-gcd-ff-list*[*of xs*] **unfolding** *gcd-ff-list-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *some-gcd-ff-list-greatest*: (∀ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *divides-ff d x*) ⟹ *divides-ff d* (*some-gcd-ff-list xs*)
using *some-gcd-ff-list*[*of xs*] **unfolding** *gcd-ff-list-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *divides-ff-refl*[*simp*]: *divides-ff x x*
unfolding *divides-ff-def*
by (*rule exI*[*of - 1*], *auto simp*: *to-fract-def One-fract-def*)

lemma *divides-ff-trans*:
divides-ff x y ⟹ *divides-ff y z* ⟹ *divides-ff x z*
unfolding *divides-ff-def*
by (*auto simp del*: *to-fract-hom.hom-mult simp add*: *to-fract-hom.hom-mult*[*symmetric*])

lemma *divides-ff-mult-right*: *a* ≠ *0* ⟹ *divides-ff* (*x* ∗ *inverse a*) *y* ⟹ *divides-ff x* (*a* ∗ *y*)
unfolding *divides-ff-def divide-inverse*[*symmetric*] **by** *auto*

definition *eq-dff* :: *'a* :: *ufd fract* ⇒ *'a fract* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** =*dff* *50*) **where**
x =*dff y* ⟷ *divides-ff x y* ∧ *divides-ff y x*

lemma *eq-dffI*[*intro*]: *divides-ff x y* ⟹ *divides-ff y x* ⟹ *x* =*dff y*
unfolding *eq-dff-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *eq-dff-refl*[*simp*]: *x* =*dff x*
by (*intro eq-dffI*, *auto*)

lemma *eq-dff-sym*: *x* =*dff y* ⟹ *y* =*dff x* **unfolding** *eq-dff-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *eq-dff-trans*[*trans*]: *x* =*dff y* ⟹ *y* =*dff z* ⟹ *x* =*dff z*
unfolding *eq-dff-def* **using** *divides-ff-trans* **by** *auto*

lemma *eq-dff-cancel-right*[*simp*]: *x* ∗ *y* =*dff x* ∗ *z* ⟷ *x* = *0* ∨ *y* =*dff z*
unfolding *eq-dff-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *eq-dff-mult-right-trans*[*trans*]: *x* =*dff y* ∗ *z* ⟹ *z* =*dff u* ⟹ *x* =*dff y* ∗ *u*
using *eq-dff-trans* **by** *force*

lemma *some-gcd-ff-list-smult*: *a* ≠ *0* ⟹ *some-gcd-ff-list* (*map* ((∗) *a*) *xs*) =*dff a* ∗ *some-gcd-ff-list xs*

proof
let *?g* = *some-gcd-ff-list* (*map* ((∗) *a*) *xs*)
show *divides-ff* (*a* ∗ *some-gcd-ff-list xs*) *?g*
by (*rule some-gcd-ff-list-greatest*, *insert some-gcd-ff-list-divides*[*of* - *xs*], *auto simp*: *divides-ff-def*)
assume *a*: *a* ≠ *0*
show *divides-ff ?g* (*a* ∗ *some-gcd-ff-list xs*)
proof (*rule divides-ff-mult-right*[*OF a some-gcd-ff-list-greatest*], *intro ballI*)
fix *x*
assume *x*: *x* ∈ *set xs*
have *divides-ff* (*?g* ∗ *inverse a*) *x* = *divides-ff* (*inverse a* ∗ *?g*) (*inverse a* ∗ (*a* ∗ *x*))
using *a* **by** (*simp add*: *field-simps*)
also have ... **using** *a x* **by** (*auto intro*: *some-gcd-ff-list-divides*)
finally show *divides-ff* (*?g* ∗ *inverse a*) *x* **.**
qed
qed

definition *content-ff* :: *'a*::*ufd fract poly* ⇒ *'a fract* **where**
content-ff p = *some-gcd-ff-list* (*coeffs p*)

lemma *content-ff-iff*: *divides-ff x* (*content-ff p*) ⟷ (∀ *c* ∈ *set* (*coeffs p*). *divides-ff x c*) (**is** *?l* = *?r*)
proof
assume *?l*
from *divides-ff-trans*[*OF this*, *unfolded content-ff-def*, *OF some-gcd-ff-list-divides*]
show *?r* **..**
next
assume *?r*
thus *?l* **unfolding** *content-ff-def* **by** (*intro some-gcd-ff-list-greatest*, *auto*)
qed

lemma *content-ff-divides-ff*: *x* ∈ *set* (*coeffs p*) ⟹ *divides-ff* (*content-ff p*) *x*
unfolding *content-ff-def* **by** (*rule some-gcd-ff-list-divides*)

lemma *content-ff-0*[*simp*]: *content-ff 0* = *0*
using *content-ff-iff*[*of 0 0*] **by** (*auto simp*: *divides-ff-def*)

lemma *content-ff-0-iff*[*simp*]: (*content-ff p* = *0*) = (*p* = *0*)
proof (*cases p* = *0*)
case *False*
define *a* **where** *a* ≡ *last* (*coeffs p*)
define *xs* **where** *xs* ≡ *coeffs p*
from *False*
have *mem*: *a* ∈ *set* (*coeffs p*) **and** *a*: *a* ≠ *0*
unfolding *a-def last-coeffs-eq-coeff-degree*[*OF False*] *coeffs-def* **by** *auto*
from *content-ff-divides-ff*[*OF mem*] **have** *divides-ff* (*content-ff p*) *a* **.**
with *a* **have** *content-ff p* ≠ *0* **unfolding** *divides-ff-def* **by** *auto*
with *False* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed *auto*

lemma *content-ff-eq-dff-nonzero*: *content-ff p =dff x ⟹ x ≠ 0 ⟹ p ≠ 0*
using *divides-ff-def eq-dff-def* **by** *force*

lemma *content-ff-smult*: *content-ff* (*smult* (*a*::′*a*::*ufd fract*) *p*) =*dff a* ∗ *content-ff p*
proof (*cases a* = *0*)
case *False* **note** *a* = *this*
have *id*: *coeffs* (*smult a p*) = *map* ((∗) *a*) (*coeffs p*)
unfolding *coeffs-smult* **using** *a* **by** (*simp add*: *Polynomial.coeffs-smult*)
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *content-ff-def id* **using** *some-gcd-ff-list-smult*[*OF a*] **.**
qed *simp*

definition *normalize-content-ff*
where *normalize-content-ff* (*p*::′*a*::*ufd fract poly*) ≡ *smult* (*inverse* (*content-ff p*)) *p*

lemma *smult-normalize-content-ff*: *smult* (*content-ff p*) (*normalize-content-ff p*) = *p*
unfolding *normalize-content-ff-def*
by (*cases p* = *0*, *auto*)

lemma *content-ff-normalize-content-ff-1*: **assumes** *p0*: *p* ≠ *0*
shows *content-ff* (*normalize-content-ff p*) =*dff 1*
proof −
have *content-ff p* = *content-ff* (*smult* (*content-ff p*) (*normalize-content-ff p*))
unfolding *smult-normalize-content-ff* **..**
also have . . . =*dff content-ff p* ∗ *content-ff* (*normalize-content-ff p*) **by** (*rule content-ff-smult*)
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *eq-dff-def divides-ff-def* **using** *p0* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *content-ff-to-fract*: **assumes** *set* (*coeffs p*) ⊆ *range to-fract*
shows *content-ff p* ∈ *range to-fract*
proof −
have *divides-ff 1* (*content-ff p*) **using** *assms*
unfolding *content-ff-iff* **unfolding** *divides-ff-def*[*abs-def*] **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *divides-ff-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *content-ff-map-poly-to-fract*: *content-ff* (*map-poly to-fract* (*p* :: ′*a* :: *ufd poly*)) ∈ *range to-fract*
by (*rule content-ff-to-fract*, *subst coeffs-map-poly*, *auto*)

lemma *range-coeffs-to-fract*: **assumes** *set* (*coeffs p*) ⊆ *range to-fract*
shows ∃ *m*. *coeff p i* = *to-fract m*
proof −
from *assms*(*1*) *to-fract-0* **have** *coeff p i* ∈ *range to-fract* **using** *range-coeff* [*of*

```
p]
    by auto (metis contra-subsetD to-fract-hom.hom-zero insertE range-eqI)
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma divides-ff-coeff: assumes set (coeffs p) ⊆ range to-fract and divides-ff
(to-fract n) (coeff p i)
  shows ∃ m. coeff p i = to-fract n * to-fract m
proof −
  from range-coeffs-to-fract[OF assms(1)] obtain k where pi: coeff p i = to-fract
k by auto
  from assms(2)[unfolded this] have n dvd k by simp
  then obtain j where k: k = n * j unfolding Rings.dvd-def by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding pi k by auto
qed

definition inv-embed :: 'a :: ufd fract ⇒ 'a where
  inv-embed = the-inv to-fract

lemma inv-embed[simp]: inv-embed (to-fract x) = x
  unfolding inv-embed-def
  by (rule the-inv-f-f, auto simp: inj-on-def)

lemma inv-embed-0[simp]: inv-embed 0 = 0 unfolding to-fract-0[symmetric] inv-embed
by simp

lemma range-to-fract-embed-poly: assumes set (coeffs p) ⊆ range to-fract
  shows p = map-poly to-fract (map-poly inv-embed p)
proof −
  have p = map-poly (to-fract o inv-embed) p
    by (rule sym, rule map-poly-idI, insert assms, auto)
  also have ... = map-poly to-fract (map-poly inv-embed p)
    by (subst map-poly-map-poly, auto)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma content-ff-to-fract-coeffs-to-fract: assumes content-ff p ∈ range to-fract
  shows set (coeffs p) ⊆ range to-fract
proof
  fix x
  assume x ∈ set (coeffs p)
   from content-ff-divides-ff[OF this] assms[unfolded eq-dff-def] show x ∈ range
to-fract
   unfolding divides-ff-def by (auto simp del: to-fract-hom.hom-mult simp: to-fract-hom.hom-mult[symmetric]
qed

lemma content-ff-1-coeffs-to-fract: assumes content-ff p =dff 1
  shows set (coeffs p) ⊆ range to-fract
proof
```

```
  fix x
  assume x ∈ set (coeffs p)
   from content-ff-divides-ff[OF this] assms[unfolded eq-dff-def] show x ∈ range
to-fract
   unfolding divides-ff-def by (auto simp del: to-fract-hom.hom-mult simp: to-fract-hom.hom-mult[symmetric])
qed

lemma gauss-lemma:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: ufd fract poly
  shows content-ff (p * q) =dff content-ff p * content-ff q
proof (cases p = 0 ∨ q = 0)
  case False
  hence p: p ≠ 0 and q: q ≠ 0 by auto
  let ?c = content-ff :: 'a fract poly ⇒ 'a fract
  {
    fix p q :: 'a fract poly
    assume cp1: ?c p =dff 1 and cq1: ?c q =dff 1
    define ip where ip ≡ map-poly inv-embed p
    define iq where iq ≡ map-poly inv-embed q
    interpret map-poly-hom: map-poly-comm-ring-hom to-fract..
   from content-ff-1-coeffs-to-fract[OF cp1] have cp: set (coeffs p) ⊆ range to-fract
.
   from content-ff-1-coeffs-to-fract[OF cq1] have cq: set (coeffs q) ⊆ range to-fract
.
    have ip: p = map-poly to-fract ip unfolding ip-def
      by (rule range-to-fract-embed-poly[OF cp])
    have iq: q = map-poly to-fract iq unfolding iq-def
      by (rule range-to-fract-embed-poly[OF cq])
    have cpq0: ?c (p * q) ≠ 0
      unfolding content-ff-0-iff using cp1 cq1 content-ff-eq-dff-nonzero[of - 1] by
auto
    have cpq: set (coeffs (p * q)) ⊆ range to-fract unfolding ip iq
   unfolding map-poly-hom.hom-mult[symmetric] to-fract-hom.coeffs-map-poly-hom
by auto
    have ctnt: ?c (p * q) ∈ range to-fract using content-ff-to-fract[OF cpq] .
    then obtain cpq where id: ?c (p * q) = to-fract cpq by auto
   have dvd: divides-ff 1 (?c (p * q)) using ctnt unfolding divides-ff-def by auto
   from cpq0[unfolded id] have cpq0: cpq ≠ 0 unfolding to-fract-def Zero-fract-def
by auto
    hence cpqM: cpq ∈ carrier mk-monoid by auto
    have ?c (p * q) =dff 1
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume ¬ ?c (p * q) =dff 1
      with dvd have ¬ divides-ff (?c (p * q)) 1
        unfolding eq-dff-def by auto
      from this[unfolded id divides-ff-def] have cpq: ⋀ r. cpq * r ≠ 1
        by (auto simp: to-fract-def One-fract-def eq-fract)
      then have cpq1: ¬ cpq dvd 1 by (auto elim:dvdE simp:ac-simps)
      from mset-factors-exist[OF cpq0 cpq1]
```

obtain F **where** F: *mset-factors F cpq* **by** *auto*
have $F \neq \{\#\}$ **using** F **by** *auto*
then obtain f **where** f: $f \in\# F$ **by** *auto*
with F **have** *irrf*: *irreducible f* **and** *f0*: $f \neq 0$ **by** (*auto dest*: *mset-factorsD*)
from *irrf* **have** *pf*: *prime-elem f* **by** *simp*
note $* = this$[*unfolded prime-elem-def*]
from $*$ **have** *no-unit*: $\neg$ *f dvd 1* **by** *auto*
from $*$ *f0* **have** *prime*: $\bigwedge a\ b.\ f\ dvd\ a * b \Longrightarrow f\ dvd\ a \vee f\ dvd\ b$ **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *force*
let *?f* = *to-fract f*
from *F f*
have *fdvd*: *f dvd cpq* **by** (*auto intro*:*mset-factors-imp-dvd*)
hence *divides-ff ?f* (*to-fract cpq*) **by** *simp*
from *divides-ff-trans*[*OF this*, *folded id*, *OF content-ff-divides-ff*]
have *dvd*: $\bigwedge z.\ z \in set\ (coeffs\ (p * q)) \Longrightarrow$ *divides-ff ?f z* **.**
{
fix p :: *'a fract poly*
assume *cp*: *?c p* =*dff 1*
let *?P* = $\lambda\ i.\ \neg$ *divides-ff ?f* (*coeff p i*)
{
assume $\forall\ c \in set\ (coeffs\ p).$ *divides-ff ?f c*
hence *n*: *divides-ff ?f* (*?c p*) **unfolding** *content-ff-iff* **by** *auto*
from *divides-ff-trans*[*OF this*] *cp*[*unfolded eq-dff-def*] **have** *divides-ff ?f 1* **by** *auto*
also have *1* = *to-fract 1* **by** *simp*
finally have *f dvd 1* **by** (*unfold divides-ff-to-fract*)
hence *False* **using** *no-unit* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
}
then obtain *cp* **where** *cp*: *cp* $\in$ *set* (*coeffs p*) **and** *ncp*: $\neg$ *divides-ff ?f cp* **by** *auto*
hence *cp* $\in$ *range* (*coeff p*) **unfolding** *range-coeff* **by** *auto*
with *ncp* **have** $\exists\ i.$ *?P i* **by** *auto*
from *LeastI-ex*[*OF this*] *not-less-Least*[*of - ?P*]
have $\exists\ i.$ *?P i* $\wedge$ ($\forall\ j.\ j < i \longrightarrow$ *divides-ff ?f* (*coeff p j*)) **by** *blast*
} note *cont* = *this*
from *cont*[*OF cp1*] **obtain** *r* **where**
r: $\neg$ *divides-ff ?f* (*coeff p r*) **and** r': $\bigwedge i.\ i < r \Longrightarrow$ *divides-ff ?f* (*coeff p i*) **by** *auto*
have $\forall\ i.\ \exists\ k.\ i < r \longrightarrow$ *coeff p i* = *?f* $*$ *to-fract k* **using** *divides-ff-coeff*[*OF cp r'*] **by** *blast*
from *choice*[*OF this*] **obtain** *rr* **where** r': $\bigwedge i.\ i < r \Longrightarrow$ *coeff p i* = *?f* $*$ *to-fract* (*rr i*) **by** *blast*
let *?r* = *coeff p r*
from *cont*[*OF cq1*] **obtain** *s* **where**
s: $\neg$ *divides-ff ?f* (*coeff q s*) **and** s': $\bigwedge i.\ i < s \Longrightarrow$ *divides-ff ?f* (*coeff q i*) **by** *auto*
have $\forall\ i.\ \exists\ k.\ i < s \longrightarrow$ *coeff q i* = *?f* $*$ *to-fract k* **using** *divides-ff-coeff*[*OF cq s'*] **by** *blast*
from *choice*[*OF this*] **obtain** *ss* **where** s': $\bigwedge i.\ i < s \Longrightarrow$ *coeff q i* = *?f* $*$

to-fract (*ss i*) **by** *blast*
from *range-coeffs-to-fract*[*OF cp*] **have** $\forall\ i.\ \exists\ m.$ *coeff p i* = *to-fract m* **..**
from *choice*[*OF this*] **obtain** *pi* **where** *pi*: $\bigwedge\ i.$ *coeff p i* = *to-fract* (*pi i*) **by** *blast*
from *range-coeffs-to-fract*[*OF cq*] **have** $\forall\ i.\ \exists\ m.$ *coeff q i* = *to-fract m* **..**
from *choice*[*OF this*] **obtain** *qi* **where** *qi*: $\bigwedge\ i.$ *coeff q i* = *to-fract* (*qi i*) **by** *blast*
let *?s* = *coeff q s*
let *?g* = λ *i. coeff p i* * *coeff q* (*r* + *s* − *i*)
define *a* **where** *a* = ($\sum$ *i*∈{..<*r*}. (*rr i* * *qi* (*r* + *s* − *i*)))
define *b* **where** *b* = ($\sum\ i \in \{Suc\ r..r + s\}$. *pi i* * (*ss* (*r* + *s* − *i*)))
have *coeff* (*p* * *q*) (*r* + *s*) = ($\sum$ *i*≤*r* + *s*. *?g i*) **unfolding** *coeff-mult* **..**
also have {..*r*+*s*} = {..< *r*} ∪ {*r* .. *r*+*s*} **by** *auto*
also have ($\sum$ *i*∈{..<*r*} ∪ {*r*..*r* + *s*}. *?g i*)
= ($\sum$ *i*∈{..<*r*}. *?g i*) + ($\sum\ i \in \{r..r + s\}$. *?g i*)
by (*rule sum.union-disjoint*, *auto*)
also have ($\sum$ *i*∈{..<*r*}. *?g i*) = ($\sum$ *i*∈{..<*r*}. *?f* * (*to-fract* (*rr i*) * *to-fract* (*qi* (*r* + *s* − *i*))))
by (*rule sum.cong*[*OF refl*], *insert r′ qi*, *auto*)
also have ... = *to-fract* (*f* * *a*) **by** (*simp add*: *a-def sum-distrib-left*)
also have ($\sum\ i \in \{r..r + s\}$. *?g i*) = *?g r* + ($\sum\ i \in \{Suc\ r..r + s\}$. *?g i*)
by (*subst sum.remove*[*of* - *r*], *auto intro*: *sum.cong*)
also have ($\sum\ i \in \{Suc\ r..r + s\}$. *?g i*) = ($\sum\ i \in \{Suc\ r..r + s\}$. *?f* * (*to-fract* (*pi i*) * *to-fract* (*ss* (*r* + *s* − *i*))))
by (*rule sum.cong*[*OF refl*], *insert s′ pi*, *auto*)
also have ... = *to-fract* (*f* * *b*) **by** (*simp add*: *sum-distrib-left b-def*)
finally have *cpq*: *coeff* (*p* * *q*) (*r* + *s*) = *to-fract* (*f* * (*a* + *b*)) + *?r* * *?s* **by** (*simp add*: *field-simps*)
{
fix *i*
from *dvd*[*of coeff* (*p* * *q*) *i*] **have** *divides-ff ?f* (*coeff* (*p* * *q*) *i*) **using** *range-coeff*[*of p* * *q*]
by (*cases coeff* (*p* * *q*) *i* = *0*, *auto simp*: *divides-ff-def*)
}
from *this*[*of r* + *s*, *unfolded cpq*] **have** *divides-ff ?f* (*to-fract* (*f* * (*a* + *b*) + *pi r* * *qi s*))
unfolding *pi qi* **by** *simp*
from *this*[*unfolded divides-ff-to-fract*] **have** *f dvd pi r* * *qi s*
by (*metis dvd-add-times-triv-left-iff mult.commute*)
from *prime*[*OF this*] **have** *f dvd pi r* ∨ *f dvd qi s* **by** *auto*
with *r s* **show** *False* **unfolding** *pi qi* **by** *auto*
qed
} note *main* = *this*
define *n* **where** *n* ≡ *normalize-content-ff* :: *′a fract poly* ⇒ *′a fract poly*
let *?s* = λ *p. smult* (*content-ff p*) (*n p*)
have *?c* (*p* * *q*) = *?c* (*?s p* * *?s q*) **unfolding** *smult-normalize-content-ff n-def* **by** *simp*
also have *?s p* * *?s q* = *smult* (*?c p* * *?c q*) (*n p* * *n q*) **by** (*simp add*: *mult.commute*)

```
  also have ?c (...) =dff (?c p * ?c q) * ?c (n p * n q) by (rule content-ff-smult)
  also have ?c (n p * n q) =dff 1 unfolding n-def
    by (rule main, insert p q, auto simp: content-ff-normalize-content-ff-1)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed auto

abbreviation (input) content-ff-ff p ≡ content-ff (map-poly to-fract p)

lemma factorization-to-fract:
  assumes q: q ≠ 0 and factor: map-poly to-fract (p :: 'a :: ufd poly) = q * r
  shows ∃ q' r' c. c ≠ 0 ∧ q = smult c (map-poly to-fract q') ∧
    r = smult (inverse c) (map-poly to-fract r') ∧
    content-ff-ff q' =dff 1 ∧ p = q' * r'
proof −
  let ?c = content-ff
  let ?p = map-poly to-fract p
  interpret map-poly-inj-comm-ring-hom to-fract :: 'a ⇒ -..
  define cq where cq ≡ normalize-content-ff q
  define cr where cr ≡ smult (content-ff q) r
  define q' where q' ≡ map-poly inv-embed cq
  define r' where r' ≡ map-poly inv-embed cr
  from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract have cp-ff: ?c ?p ∈ range to-fract by auto
  from smult-normalize-content-ff[of q] have cqs: q = smult (content-ff q) cq unfolding cq-def ..
   from content-ff-normalize-content-ff-1[OF q] have c-cq: content-ff cq =dff 1 unfolding cq-def .
   from content-ff-1-coeffs-to-fract[OF this] have cq-ff: set (coeffs cq) ⊆ range to-fract .
  have factor: ?p = cq * cr unfolding factor cr-def using cqs
    by (metis mult-smult-left mult-smult-right)
  from gauss-lemma[of cq cr] have cp: ?c ?p =dff ?c cq * ?c cr unfolding factor .
  with c-cq have ?c ?p =dff ?c cr
    by (metis eq-dff-mult-right-trans mult.commute mult.right-neutral)
  with cp-ff have ?c cr ∈ range to-fract
    by (metis divides-ff-def to-fract-hom.hom-mult eq-dff-def image-iff range-eqI)
 from content-ff-to-fract-coeffs-to-fract[OF this] have cr-ff: set (coeffs cr) ⊆ range to-fract by auto
  have cq: cq = map-poly to-fract q' unfolding q'-def
    by (rule range-to-fract-embed-poly[OF cq-ff])
  have cr: cr = map-poly to-fract r' unfolding r'-def
    by (rule range-to-fract-embed-poly[OF cr-ff])
  from factor[unfolded cq cr]
  have p: p = q' * r' by (simp add: injectivity)
  from c-cq have ctnt: content-ff-ff q' =dff 1 using cq q'-def by force
  from cqs have idq: q = smult (?c q) (map-poly to-fract q') unfolding cq .
  with q have cq: ?c q ≠ 0 by auto
  have r = smult (inverse (?c q)) cr unfolding cr-def using cq by auto
  also have cr = map-poly to-fract r' by (rule cr)
```

```
  finally have idr: r = smult (inverse (?c q)) (map-poly to-fract r') by auto
  from cq p ctnt idq idr show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma irreducible-PM-M-PFM:
  assumes irr: irreducible p
  shows degree p = 0 ∧ irreducible (coeff p 0) ∨
  degree p ≠ 0 ∧ irreducible (map-poly to-fract p) ∧ content-ff-ff p =dff 1
proof−
  interpret map-poly-inj-idom-hom to-fract..
  from irr[unfolded irreducible-altdef]
  have p0: p ≠ 0 and irr: ¬ p dvd 1 ⋀ b. b dvd p ⟹ ¬ p dvd b ⟹ b dvd 1 by
auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases degree p = 0)
    case True
    from degree0-coeffs[OF True] obtain a where p: p = [:a:] by auto
    note irr = irr[unfolded p]
    from p p0 have a0: a ≠ 0 by auto
    moreover have ¬ a dvd 1 using irr(1) by simp
    moreover {
      fix b
      assume b dvd a ¬ a dvd b
      hence [:b:] dvd [:a:] ¬ [:a:] dvd [:b:] unfolding const-poly-dvd .
      from irr(2)[OF this] have b dvd 1 unfolding const-poly-dvd-1 .
    }
    ultimately have irreducible a unfolding irreducible-altdef by auto
    with True show ?thesis unfolding p by auto
  next
    case False
    let ?E = map-poly to-fract
    let ?p = ?E p
    have dp: degree ?p ≠ 0 using False by simp
    from p0 have p': ?p ≠ 0 by simp
    moreover have ¬ ?p dvd 1
      proof
        assume ?p dvd 1 then obtain q where id: ?p * q = 1 unfolding dvd-def
by auto
        have deg: degree (?p * q) = degree ?p + degree q
          by (rule degree-mult-eq, insert id, auto)
        from arg-cong[OF id, of degree, unfolded deg] dp show False by auto
      qed
    moreover {
      fix q
      assume q dvd ?p and ndvd: ¬ ?p dvd q
      then obtain r where fact: ?p = q * r unfolding dvd-def by auto
      with p' have q0: q ≠ 0 by auto
      from factorization-to-fract[OF this fact] obtain q' r' c where *: c ≠ 0 q =
smult c (?E q')
```

```
      r = smult (inverse c) (?E r') content-ff-ff q' =dff 1
      p = q' * r' by auto
    hence q' dvd p unfolding dvd-def by auto
    note irr = irr(2)[OF this]
    have ¬ p dvd q'
    proof
      assume p dvd q'
      then obtain u where q': q' = p * u unfolding dvd-def by auto
      from arg-cong[OF this, of λ x. smult c (?E x), unfolded *(2)[symmetric]]
      have q = ?p * smult c (?E u) by simp
      hence ?p dvd q unfolding dvd-def by blast
      with ndvd show False ..
    qed
    from irr[OF this] have q' dvd 1 .
    from divides-degree[OF this] have degree q' = 0 by auto
    from degree0-coeffs[OF this] obtain a' where q' = [:a':] by auto
    from *(2)[unfolded this] obtain a where q: q = [:a:]
      by (simp add: to-fract-hom.map-poly-pCons-hom)
    with q0 have a: a ≠ 0 by auto
    have q dvd 1 unfolding q const-poly-dvd-1 using a unfolding dvd-def
      by (intro exI[of - inverse a], auto)
  }
  ultimately have irr-p': irreducible ?p unfolding irreducible-altdef by auto
  let ?c = content-ff
  have ?c ?p ∈ range to-fract
    by (rule content-ff-to-fract, unfold to-fract-hom.coeffs-map-poly-hom, auto)
  then obtain c where cp: ?c ?p = to-fract c by auto
  from p' cp have c: c ≠ 0 by auto
  have ?c ?p =dff 1 unfolding cp
  proof (rule ccontr)
    define cp where cp = normalize-content-ff ?p
    from smult-normalize-content-ff[of ?p] have cps: ?p = smult (to-fract c) cp
unfolding cp-def cp ..
   from content-ff-normalize-content-ff-1[OF p'] have c-cp: content-ff cp =dff 1
unfolding cp-def .
    from range-to-fract-embed-poly[OF content-ff-1-coeffs-to-fract[OF c-cp]] ob-
tain cp' where cp = ?E cp' by auto
    from cps[unfolded this] have p = smult c cp' by (simp add: injectivity)
    hence dvd: [: c :] dvd p unfolding dvd-def by auto
    have ¬ p dvd [: c :] using divides-degree[of p [: c :]] c False by auto
    from irr(2)[OF dvd this] have c dvd 1 by simp
    assume ¬ to-fract c =dff 1
  from this[unfolded eq-dff-def One-fract-def to-fract-def[symmetric] divides-ff-def
to-fract-mult]
  have c1: ⋀ r. 1 ≠ c * r by (auto simp: ac-simps simp del: to-fract-hom.hom-mult
simp: to-fract-hom.hom-mult[symmetric])
    with ‹c dvd 1› show False unfolding dvd-def by blast
  qed
  with False irr-p' show ?thesis by auto
```

```
  qed
qed

lemma irreducible-M-PM:
  fixes p :: 'a :: ufd poly assumes 0: degree p = 0 and irr: irreducible (coeff p 0)
  shows irreducible p
proof (cases p = 0)
  case True
  thus ?thesis using assms by auto
next
  case False
  from degree0-coeffs[OF 0] obtain a where p: p = [:a:] by auto
  with False have a0: a ≠ 0 by auto
  from p irr have irreducible a by auto
  from this[unfolded irreducible-altdef]
  have a1: ¬ a dvd 1 and irr: ⋀ b. b dvd a ⟹ ¬ a dvd b ⟹ b dvd 1 by auto
  {
    fix b
    assume *: b dvd [:a:] ¬ [:a:] dvd b
    from divides-degree[OF this(1)] a0 have degree b = 0 by auto
    from degree0-coeffs[OF this] obtain bb where b: b = [: bb :] by auto
    from * irr[of bb] have b dvd 1 unfolding b const-poly-dvd by auto
  }
  with a0 a1 show ?thesis by (auto simp: irreducible-altdef p)
qed

lemma primitive-irreducible-imp-degree:
 primitive (p::'a::{semiring-gcd,idom} poly) ⟹ irreducible p ⟹ degree p > 0
  by (unfold irreducible-primitive-connect[symmetric], auto)

lemma irreducible-degree-field:
  fixes p :: 'a :: field poly assumes irreducible p
  shows degree p > 0
proof-
  {
    assume degree p = 0
    from degree0-coeffs[OF this] assms obtain a where p: p = [:a:] and a: a ≠ 0
by auto
    hence 1 = p * [:inverse a:] by auto
    hence p dvd 1 ..
    hence p ∈ Units mk-monoid by simp
    with assms have False unfolding irreducible-def by auto
  } then show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma irreducible-PFM-PM: assumes
  irr: irreducible (map-poly to-fract p) and ct: content-ff-ff p =dff 1
  shows irreducible p
proof -
```

```
  let ?E = map-poly to-fract
  let ?p = ?E p
  from ct have p0: p ≠ 0 by (auto simp: eq-dff-def divides-ff-def)
  moreover
    from irreducible-degree-field[OF irr] have deg: degree p ≠ 0 by simp
    from irr[unfolded irreducible-altdef]
    have irr: ⋀ b. b dvd ?p ⟹ ¬ ?p dvd b ⟹ b dvd 1 by auto
    have ¬ p dvd 1 using deg divides-degree[of p 1] by auto
  moreover {
    fix q :: 'a poly
    assume dvd: q dvd p and ndvd: ¬ p dvd q
    from dvd obtain r where pqr: p = q * r ..
    from arg-cong[OF this, of ?E] have pqr': ?p = ?E q * ?E r by simp
    from p0 pqr have q: q ≠ 0 and r: r ≠ 0 by auto
    have dp: degree p = degree q + degree r unfolding pqr
      by (subst degree-mult-eq, insert q r, auto)
    from eq-dff-trans[OF eq-dff-sym[OF gauss-lemma[of ?E q ?E r, folded pqr']] ct]
    have ct: content-ff (?E q) * content-ff (?E r) =dff 1 .
     from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cq where cq: content-ff (?E q) =
to-fract cq by auto
     from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cr where cr: content-ff (?E r) =
to-fract cr by auto
    note ct[unfolded cq cr to-fract-mult eq-dff-def divides-ff-def]
    from this[folded hom-distribs]
    obtain c where c: cq * cr * c = 1 by (auto simp del: to-fract-hom.hom-mult
simp: to-fract-hom.hom-mult[symmetric])
    hence one: 1 = cq * (c * cr) 1 = cr * (c * cq) by (auto simp: ac-simps)
    {
      assume *: degree q ≠ 0 ∧ degree r ≠ 0
      with dp have degree q < degree p by auto
      hence degree (?E q) < degree (?E p) by simp
      hence ndvd: ¬ ?p dvd ?E q using divides-degree[of ?p ?E q] q by auto
      have ?E q dvd ?p unfolding pqr' by auto
      from irr[OF this ndvd] have ?E q dvd 1 .
      from divides-degree[OF this] * have False by auto
    }
    hence degree q = 0 ∨ degree r = 0 by blast
    then have q dvd 1
    proof
      assume degree q = 0
     from degree0-coeffs[OF this] q obtain a where q: q = [:a:] and a: a ≠ 0 by
auto
      hence id: set (coeffs (?E q)) = {to-fract a} by auto
     have divides-ff (to-fract a) (content-ff (?E q)) unfolding content-ff-iff id by
auto
      from this[unfolded cq divides-ff-def, folded hom-distribs]
      obtain rr where cq: cq = a * rr by (auto simp del: to-fract-hom.hom-mult
simp: to-fract-hom.hom-mult[symmetric])
      with one(1) have 1 = a * (rr * c * cr) by (auto simp: ac-simps)
```

```
    hence a dvd 1 ..
    thus ?thesis by (simp add: q)
  next
    assume degree r = 0
   from degree0-coeffs[OF this] r obtain a where r: r = [:a:] and a: a ≠ 0 by
auto
    hence id: set (coeffs (?E r)) = {to-fract a} by auto
   have divides-ff (to-fract a) (content-ff (?E r)) unfolding content-ff-iff id by
auto
    note this[unfolded cr divides-ff-def to-fract-mult]
    note this[folded hom-distribs]
  then obtain rr where cr: cr = a * rr by (auto simp del: to-fract-hom.hom-mult
simp: to-fract-hom.hom-mult[symmetric])
    with one(2) have one: 1 = a * (rr * c * cq) by (auto simp: ac-simps)
    from arg-cong[OF pqr[unfolded r], of λ p. p * [:rr * c * cq:]]
    have p * [:rr * c * cq:] = q * [:a * (rr * c * cq):] by (simp add: ac-simps)
    also have ... = q unfolding one[symmetric] by auto
    finally obtain r where q = p * r by blast
    hence p dvd q ..
    with ndvd show ?thesis by auto
  qed
 }
 ultimately show ?thesis by (auto simp:irreducible-altdef)
qed

lemma irreducible-cases: irreducible p ⟷
 degree p = 0 ∧ irreducible (coeff p 0) ∨
 degree p ≠ 0 ∧ irreducible (map-poly to-fract p) ∧ content-ff-ff p =dff 1
 using irreducible-PM-M-PFM irreducible-M-PM irreducible-PFM-PM
 by blast

lemma dvd-PM-iff: p dvd q ⟷ divides-ff (content-ff-ff p) (content-ff-ff q) ∧
 map-poly to-fract p dvd map-poly to-fract q
proof −
 interpret map-poly-inj-idom-hom to-fract..
 let ?E = map-poly to-fract
 show ?thesis (is ?l = ?r)
 proof
   assume p dvd q
   then obtain r where qpr: q = p * r ..
   from arg-cong[OF this, of ?E]
   have dvd: ?E p dvd ?E q by auto
  from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cq where cq: content-ff-ff q = to-fract
cq by auto
  from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cp where cp: content-ff-ff p = to-fract
cp by auto
  from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cr where cr: content-ff-ff r = to-fract
cr by auto
   from gauss-lemma[of ?E p ?E r, folded hom-distribs qpr, unfolded cq cp cr]
```

```
    have divides-ff (content-ff-ff p) (content-ff-ff q) unfolding cq cp eq-dff-def
      by (metis divides-ff-def divides-ff-trans)
    with dvd show ?r by blast
  next
    assume ?r
    show ?l
    proof (cases q = 0)
      case True
      with ‹?r› show ?l by auto
    next
      case False note q = this
      hence q': ?E q ≠ 0 by auto
      from ‹?r› obtain rr where qpr: ?E q = ?E p * rr unfolding dvd-def by
auto
      with q have p: p ≠ 0 and Ep: ?E p ≠ 0 and rr: rr ≠ 0 by auto
      from gauss-lemma[of ?E p rr, folded qpr]
      have ct: content-ff-ff q =dff content-ff-ff p * content-ff rr
        by auto
      from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract[of p] obtain cp where cp: content-ff-ff p
= to-fract cp by auto
      from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract[of q] obtain cq where cq: content-ff-ff q =
to-fract cq by auto
      from ‹?r›[unfolded cp cq] have divides-ff (to-fract cp) (to-fract cq) ..
      with ct[unfolded cp cq eq-dff-def] have content-ff rr ∈ range to-fract
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) Ep content-ff-0-iff cp divides-ff-def
          divides-ff-trans mult.commute mult-right-cancel range-eqI)
      from range-to-fract-embed-poly[OF content-ff-to-fract-coeffs-to-fract[OF this]]
obtain r
        where rr: rr = ?E r by auto
      from qpr[unfolded rr, folded hom-distribs]
      have q = p * r by (rule injectivity)
      thus p dvd q ..
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma factorial-monoid-poly: factorial-monoid (mk-monoid :: 'a :: ufd poly monoid)
proof (fold factorial-condition-one, intro conjI)
  interpret M: factorial-monoid mk-monoid :: 'a monoid by (fact factorial-monoid)
  interpret PFM: factorial-monoid mk-monoid :: 'a fract poly monoid
    by (rule as-ufd.factorial-monoid)
  interpret PM: comm-monoid-cancel mk-monoid :: 'a poly monoid by (unfold-locales,
auto)
  let ?E = map-poly to-fract
  show divisor-chain-condition-monoid (mk-monoid::'a poly monoid)
  proof (unfold-locales, unfold mk-monoid-simps)
    let ?rel' = {(x::'a poly, y). x ≠ 0 ∧ y ≠ 0 ∧ properfactor x y}
    let ?rel'' = {(x::'a, y). x ≠ 0 ∧ y ≠ 0 ∧ properfactor x y}
    let ?relPM = {(x, y). x ≠ 0 ∧ y ≠ 0 ∧ x dvd y ∧ ¬ y dvd (x :: 'a poly)}
```

```
    let ?relM = {(x, y). x ≠ 0 ∧ y ≠ 0 ∧ x dvd y ∧ ¬ y dvd (x :: 'a)}
    have id: ?rel' = ?relPM using properfactor-nz by auto
    have id': ?rel'' = ?relM using properfactor-nz by auto
    have wf ?rel'' using M.division-wellfounded by auto
    hence wfM: wf ?relM using id' by auto
    let ?c = λ p. inv-embed (content-ff-ff p)
    let ?f = λ p. (degree p, ?c p)
    note wf = wf-inv-image[OF wf-lex-prod[OF wf-less wfM], of ?f]
    show wf ?rel' unfolding id
    proof (rule wf-subset[OF wf], clarify)
      fix p q :: 'a poly
      assume p: p ≠ 0 and q: q ≠ 0 and dvd: p dvd q and ndvd: ¬ q dvd p
      from dvd obtain r where qpr: q = p * r ..
      from degree-mult-eq[of p r, folded qpr] q qpr have r: r ≠ 0
        and deg: degree q = degree p + degree r by auto
      show (p,q) ∈ inv-image ({(x, y). x < y} <*lex*> ?relM) ?f
      proof (cases degree p = degree q)
        case False
        with deg have degree p < degree q by auto
        thus ?thesis by auto
      next
        case True
        with deg have degree r = 0 by simp
        from degree0-coeffs[OF this] r obtain a where ra: r = [:a:] and a: a ≠ 0
by auto
        from arg-cong[OF qpr, of λ p. ?E p * [:inverse (to-fract a):]] a
        have ?E p = ?E q * [:inverse (to-fract a):]
          by (auto simp: ac-simps ra)
        hence ?E q dvd ?E p ..
       with ndvd dvd-PM-iff have ndvd: ¬ divides-ff (content-ff-ff q) (content-ff-ff
p) by auto
         from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cq where cq: content-ff-ff q =
to-fract cq by auto
         from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cp where cp: content-ff-ff p =
to-fract cp by auto
        from ndvd[unfolded cp cq] have ndvd: ¬ cq dvd cp by simp
        from iffD1[OF dvd-PM-iff,OF dvd,unfolded cq cp]
        have dvd: cp dvd cq by simp
        have c-p: ?c p = cp unfolding cp by simp
        have c-q: ?c q = cq unfolding cq by simp
        from q cq have cq0: cq ≠ 0 by auto
        from p cp have cp0: cp ≠ 0 by auto
         from ndvd cq0 cp0 dvd have (?c p, ?c q) ∈ ?relM unfolding c-p c-q by
auto
        with True show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed
  show primeness-condition-monoid (mk-monoid::'a poly monoid)
```

```
  proof (unfold-locales, unfold mk-monoid-simps)
    fix p :: 'a poly
    assume p: p ≠ 0 and irred p
    then have irr: irreducible p by auto
    from p have p': ?E p ≠ 0 by auto
    from irreducible-PM-M-PFM[OF irr] have choice: degree p = 0 ∧ irred (coeff
p 0)
      ∨ degree p ≠ 0 ∧ irred (?E p) ∧ content-ff-ff p =dff 1 by auto
    show Divisibility.prime mk-monoid p
    proof (rule Divisibility.primeI, unfold mk-monoid-simps mem-Units)
      show ¬ p dvd 1
      proof
        assume p dvd 1
        from divides-degree[OF this] have dp: degree p = 0 by auto
        from degree0-coeffs[OF this] p obtain a where p: p = [:a:] and a: a ≠ 0
by auto
        with choice have irr: irreducible a by auto
        from ‹p dvd 1›[unfolded p] have a dvd 1 by auto
        with irr show False unfolding irreducible-def by auto
      qed
      fix q r :: 'a poly
      assume q: q ≠ 0 and r: r ≠ 0 and factor p (q * r)
      from this[unfolded factor-idom] have p dvd q * r by auto
        from iffD1[OF dvd-PM-iff this] have dvd-ct: divides-ff (content-ff-ff p)
(content-ff (?E (q * r)))
        and dvd-E: ?E p dvd ?E q * ?E r by auto
      from gauss-lemma[of ?E q ?E r] divides-ff-trans[OF dvd-ct, of content-ff-ff q
* content-ff-ff r]
      have dvd-ct: divides-ff (content-ff-ff p) (content-ff-ff q * content-ff-ff r)
        unfolding eq-dff-def by auto
      from choice
      have p dvd q ∨ p dvd r
      proof
        assume degree p ≠ 0 ∧ irred (?E p) ∧ content-ff-ff p =dff 1
        hence deg: degree p ≠ 0 and irr: irred (?E p) and ct: content-ff-ff p =dff
1 by auto
          from PFM.irreducible-prime[OF irr] p have prime: Divisibility.prime
mk-monoid (?E p) by auto
        from q r have Eq: ?E q ∈ carrier mk-monoid and Er: ?E r ∈ carrier
mk-monoid
          and q': ?E q ≠ 0 and r': ?E r ≠ 0 and qr': ?E q * ?E r ≠ 0 by auto
        from PFM.prime-divides[OF Eq Er prime] q' r' qr' dvd-E
        have dvd-E: ?E p dvd ?E q ∨ ?E p dvd ?E r by simp
       from ct have ct: divides-ff (content-ff-ff p) 1 unfolding eq-dff-def by auto
      moreover have ⋀ q. divides-ff 1 (content-ff-ff q) using content-ff-map-poly-to-fract
          unfolding divides-ff-def by auto
        from divides-ff-trans[OF ct this] have ct: ⋀ q. divides-ff (content-ff-ff p)
(content-ff-ff q) .
        with dvd-E show ?thesis using dvd-PM-iff by blast
```

```
    next
      assume degree p = 0 ∧ irred (coeff p 0)
      hence deg: degree p = 0 and irr: irred (coeff p 0) by auto
       from degree0-coeffs[OF deg] p obtain a where p: p = [:a:] and a: a ≠ 0
by auto
      with irr have irr: irred a and aM: a ∈ carrier mk-monoid by auto
         from M.irreducible-prime[OF irr aM] have prime: Divisibility.prime
mk-monoid a .
       from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cq where cq: content-ff-ff q =
to-fract cq by auto
       from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cp where cp: content-ff-ff p =
to-fract cp by auto
       from content-ff-map-poly-to-fract obtain cr where cr: content-ff-ff r =
to-fract cr by auto
     have divides-ff (to-fract a) (content-ff-ff p) unfolding p content-ff-iff using
a by auto
      from divides-ff-trans[OF this[unfolded cp] dvd-ct[unfolded cp cq cr]]
      have divides-ff (to-fract a) (to-fract (cq * cr)) by simp
        hence dvd: a dvd cq * cr by (auto simp add: divides-ff-def simp del:
to-fract-hom.hom-mult simp: to-fract-hom.hom-mult[symmetric])
       from content-ff-divides-ff[of to-fract a ?E p] have divides-ff (to-fract cp)
(to-fract a)
        using cp a p by auto
      hence cpa: cp dvd a by simp
      from a q r cq cr have aM: a ∈ carrier mk-monoid and qM: cq ∈ carrier
mk-monoid and rM: cr ∈ carrier mk-monoid
        and q': cq ≠ 0 and r': cr ≠ 0 and qr': cq * cr ≠ 0
        by auto
      from M.prime-divides[OF qM rM prime] q' r' qr' dvd
      have a dvd cq ∨ a dvd cr by simp
      with dvd-trans[OF cpa] have dvd: cp dvd cq ∨ cp dvd cr by auto
      have ⋀ q. ?E p * (smult (inverse (to-fract a)) q) = q unfolding p using
a by (auto simp: one-poly-def)
      hence Edvd: ⋀ q. ?E p dvd q unfolding dvd-def by metis
      from dvd Edvd show ?thesis apply (subst(1 2) dvd-PM-iff) unfolding cp
cq cr by auto
    qed
    thus factor p q ∨ factor p r unfolding factor-idom using p q r by auto
  qed
 qed
qed

instance poly :: (ufd) ufd
 by (intro ufd.intro-of-class factorial-monoid-imp-ufd factorial-monoid-poly)


lemma primitive-iff-some-content-dvd-1:
 fixes f :: 'a :: ufd poly
 shows primitive f ⟷ some-gcd.listgcd (coeffs f) dvd 1 (is - ⟷ ?c dvd 1)
```

proof(*intro iffI primitiveI*)
fix *x*
assume (⋀*y*. *y* ∈ *set* (*coeffs f*) ⟹ *x dvd y*)
from *some-gcd.listgcd-greatest*[*of coeffs f*, *OF this*]
have *x dvd ?c* **by** *simp*
also assume *?c dvd 1*
finally show *x dvd 1*.
next
assume *primitive f*
from *primitiveD*[*OF this some-gcd.listgcd*[*of - coeffs f*]]
show *?c dvd 1* **by** *auto*
qed

end

5 Polynomials in Rings and Fields

5.1 Polynomials in Rings

We use a locale to work with polynomials in some integer-modulo ring.

theory *Poly-Mod*
imports
HOL−Computational-Algebra.Primes
Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
Unique-Factorization-Poly
begin

locale *poly-mod* = **fixes** *m* :: *int*
begin

definition *M* :: *int* ⇒ *int* **where** *M x* = *x mod m*

lemma *M-0*[*simp*]: *M 0* = *0*
by (*auto simp add*: *M-def*)

lemma *M-M*[*simp*]: *M* (*M x*) = *M x*
by (*auto simp add*: *M-def*)

lemma *M-plus*[*simp*]: *M* (*M x* + *y*) = *M* (*x* + *y*) *M* (*x* + *M y*) = *M* (*x* + *y*)
by (*auto simp add*: *M-def mod-simps*)

lemma *M-minus*[*simp*]: *M* (*M x* − *y*) = *M* (*x* − *y*) *M* (*x* − *M y*) = *M* (*x* − *y*)
by (*auto simp add*: *M-def mod-simps*)

lemma *M-times*[*simp*]: *M* (*M x* ∗ *y*) = *M* (*x* ∗ *y*) *M* (*x* ∗ *M y*) = *M* (*x* ∗ *y*)
by (*auto simp add*: *M-def mod-simps*)

lemma *M-sum*: *M* (*sum* (λ *x*. *M* (*f x*)) *A*) = *M* (*sum f A*)
proof (*induct A rule*: *infinite-finite-induct*)

case (*insert x A*)
from *insert*(*1*−*2*) **have** *M* (∑ *x*∈*insert x A*. *M* (*f x*)) = *M* (*f x* + *M* ((∑ *x*∈*A*. *M* (*f x*)))) **by** *simp*
also have *M* ((∑ *x*∈*A*. *M* (*f x*))) = *M* ((∑ *x*∈*A*. *f x*)) **using** *insert* **by** *simp*
finally show *?case* **using** *insert* **by** *simp*
qed *auto*

definition *inv-M* :: *int* ⇒ *int* **where**
inv-M = (λ *x*. *if x* + *x* ≤ *m then x else x* − *m*)

lemma *M-inv-M-id*[*simp*]: *M* (*inv-M x*) = *M x*
unfolding *inv-M-def M-def* **by** *simp*

definition *Mp* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* **where** *Mp* = *map-poly M*

lemma *Mp-0*[*simp*]: *Mp 0* = *0* **unfolding** *Mp-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *Mp-coeff*: *coeff* (*Mp f*) *i* = *M* (*coeff f i*) **unfolding** *Mp-def*
by (*simp add*: *M-def coeff-map-poly*)

abbreviation *eq-m* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *bool* (**infixl** =*m 50*) **where**
f =*m g* ≡ (*Mp f* = *Mp g*)

notation *eq-m* (**infixl** =*m 50*)

abbreviation *degree-m* :: *int poly* ⇒ *nat* **where**
degree-m f ≡ *degree* (*Mp f*)

lemma *mult-Mp*[*simp*]: *Mp* (*Mp f* ∗ *g*) = *Mp* (*f* ∗ *g*) *Mp* (*f* ∗ *Mp g*) = *Mp* (*f* ∗ *g*)
proof −
{
fix *f g*
have *Mp* (*Mp f* ∗ *g*) = *Mp* (*f* ∗ *g*)
unfolding *poly-eq-iff Mp-coeff* **unfolding** *coeff-mult Mp-coeff*
proof
fix *n*
show *M* (∑ *i*≤*n*. *M* (*coeff f i*) ∗ *coeff g* (*n* − *i*)) = *M* (∑ *i*≤*n*. *coeff f i* ∗ *coeff g* (*n* − *i*))
by (*subst M-sum*[*symmetric*], *rule sym*, *subst M-sum*[*symmetric*], *unfold M-times*, *simp*)
qed
}
from *this*[*of f g*] *this*[*of g f*] **show** *Mp* (*Mp f* ∗ *g*) = *Mp* (*f* ∗ *g*) *Mp* (*f* ∗ *Mp g*) = *Mp* (*f* ∗ *g*)
by (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
qed

lemma *plus-Mp*[*simp*]: *Mp* (*Mp f* + *g*) = *Mp* (*f* + *g*) *Mp* (*f* + *Mp g*) = *Mp* (*f* + *g*)
unfolding *poly-eq-iff Mp-coeff* **unfolding** *coeff-mult Mp-coeff* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Mp-coeff*)

lemma *minus-Mp*[*simp*]: *Mp* (*Mp f* − *g*) = *Mp* (*f* − *g*) *Mp* (*f* − *Mp g*) = *Mp* (*f* − *g*)
unfolding *poly-eq-iff Mp-coeff* **unfolding** *coeff-mult Mp-coeff* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Mp-coeff*)

lemma *Mp-smult*[*simp*]: *Mp* (*smult* (*M a*) *f*) = *Mp* (*smult a f*) *Mp* (*smult a* (*Mp f*)) = *Mp* (*smult a f*)
unfolding *Mp-def smult-as-map-poly*
by (*rule poly-eqI*, *auto simp*: *coeff-map-poly*)+

lemma *Mp-Mp*[*simp*]: *Mp* (*Mp f*) = *Mp f* **unfolding** *Mp-def*
by (*intro poly-eqI*, *auto simp*: *coeff-map-poly*)

lemma *Mp-smult-m-0*[*simp*]: *Mp* (*smult m f*) = *0*
by (*intro poly-eqI*, *auto simp*: *Mp-coeff*, *auto simp*: *M-def*)

definition *dvdm* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** *dvdm 50*) **where**
f dvdm g = (∃ *h*. *g* =*m f* ∗ *h*)
notation *dvdm* (**infix** *dvdm 50*)

lemma *dvdmE*:
assumes *fg*: *f dvdm g*
and *main*: ⋀*h*. *g* =*m f* ∗ *h* ⟹ *Mp h* = *h* ⟹ *thesis*
shows *thesis*
proof−
from *fg* **obtain** *h* **where** *g* =*m f* ∗ *h* **by** (*auto simp*: *dvdm-def*)
then have *g* =*m f* ∗ *Mp h* **by** *auto*
from *main*[*OF this*] **show** *thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Mp-dvdm*[*simp*]: *Mp f dvdm g* ⟷ *f dvdm g*
and *dvdm-Mp*[*simp*]: *f dvdm Mp g* ⟷ *f dvdm g* **by** (*auto simp*: *dvdm-def*)

definition *irreducible-m*
where *irreducible-m f* = (¬*f* =*m 0* ∧ ¬ *f dvdm 1* ∧ (∀ *a b*. *f* =*m a* ∗ *b* ⟶ *a dvdm 1* ∨ *b dvdm 1*))

definition *irreducible*$_d$*-m* :: *int poly* ⇒ *bool* **where** *irreducible*$_d$*-m f* ≡
degree-m f > *0* ∧
(∀ *g h*. *degree-m g* < *degree-m f* ⟶ *degree-m h* < *degree-m f* ⟶ ¬ *f* =*m g* ∗ *h*)

definition *prime-elem-m*

where *prime-elem-m f* ≡ ¬ *f* =*m* *0* ∧ ¬ *f dvdm 1* ∧ (∀ *g h*. *f dvdm g* ∗ *h* ⟶ *f dvdm g* ∨ *f dvdm h*)

lemma *degree-m-le-degree* [*intro*!]: *degree-m f* ≤ *degree f*
by (*simp add*: *Mp-def degree-map-poly-le*)

lemma *irreducible*$_d$*-mI*:
assumes *f0*: *degree-m f* > *0*
and *main*: ⋀*g h*. *Mp g* = *g* ⟹ *Mp h* = *h* ⟹ *degree g* > *0* ⟹ *degree g* < *degree-m f* ⟹ *degree h* > *0* ⟹ *degree h* < *degree-m f* ⟹ *f* =*m* *g* ∗ *h* ⟹ *False*
shows *irreducible*$_d$*-m f*
proof (*unfold irreducible*$_d$*-m-def*, *intro conjI allI impI f0 notI*)
fix *g h*
assume *deg*: *degree-m g* < *degree-m f degree-m h* < *degree-m f* **and** *f* =*m* *g* ∗ *h*
then have *f*: *f* =*m* *Mp g* ∗ *Mp h* **by** *simp*
have *degree-m f* ≤ *degree-m g* + *degree-m h*
unfolding *f* **using** *degree-mult-le order.trans* **by** *blast*
with *main*[*of Mp g Mp h*] *deg f* **show** *False* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *irreducible*$_d$*-mE*:
assumes *irreducible*$_d$*-m f*
and *degree-m f* > *0* ⟹ (⋀*g h*. *degree-m g* < *degree-m f* ⟹ *degree-m h* < *degree-m f* ⟹ ¬ *f* =*m* *g* ∗ *h*) ⟹ *thesis*
shows *thesis*
using *assms* **by** (*unfold irreducible*$_d$*-m-def*, *auto*)

lemma *irreducible*$_d$*-mD*:
assumes *irreducible*$_d$*-m f*
shows *degree-m f* > *0* **and** ⋀*g h*. *degree-m g* < *degree-m f* ⟹ *degree-m h* < *degree-m f* ⟹ ¬ *f* =*m* *g* ∗ *h*
using *assms* **by** (*auto elim*: *irreducible*$_d$*-mE*)

definition *square-free-m* :: *int poly* ⇒ *bool* **where**
square-free-m f = (¬ *f* =*m* *0* ∧ (∀ *g*. *degree-m g* ≠ *0* ⟶ ¬ (*g* ∗ *g dvdm f*)))

definition *coprime-m* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *bool* **where**
coprime-m f g = (∀ *h*. *h dvdm f* ⟶ *h dvdm g* ⟶ *h dvdm 1*)

lemma *Mp-square-free-m*[*simp*]: *square-free-m* (*Mp f*) = *square-free-m f*
unfolding *square-free-m-def dvdm-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *square-free-m-cong*: *square-free-m f* ⟹ *Mp f* = *Mp g* ⟹ *square-free-m g*
unfolding *square-free-m-def dvdm-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Mp-prod-mset*[*simp*]: *Mp* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp b*)) = *Mp* (*prod-mset b*)
proof (*induct b*)

case (*add x b*)
have *Mp* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp* ({#*x*#}+*b*))) = *Mp* (*Mp x* ∗ *prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp b*)) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*Mp x* ∗ *Mp* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp b*))) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*Mp x* ∗ *Mp* (*prod-mset b*)) **unfolding** *add* **by** *simp*
finally show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed *simp*

lemma *Mp-prod-list*: *Mp* (*prod-list* (*map Mp b*)) = *Mp* (*prod-list b*)
proof (*induct b*)
case (*Cons b xs*)
have *Mp* (*prod-list* (*map Mp* (*b* # *xs*))) = *Mp* (*Mp b* ∗ *prod-list* (*map Mp xs*)) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*Mp b* ∗ *Mp* (*prod-list* (*map Mp xs*))) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*Mp b* ∗ *Mp* (*prod-list xs*)) **unfolding** *Cons* **by** *simp*
finally show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed *simp*

Polynomial evaluation modulo

definition *M-poly p x* ≡ *M* (*poly p x*)

lemma *M-poly-Mp*[*simp*]: *M-poly* (*Mp p*) = *M-poly p*
proof(*intro ext*, *induct p*)
case *0* **show** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case *IH*: (*pCons a p*)
from *IH*(*1*) **have** *M-poly* (*Mp* (*pCons a p*)) *x* = *M* (*a* + *M*(*x* ∗ *M-poly* (*Mp p*) *x*))
by (*simp add*: *M-poly-def Mp-def*)
also note *IH*(*2*)[*of x*]
finally show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *M-poly-def*)
qed

lemma *Mp-lift-modulus*: **assumes** *f* =*m g*
shows *poly-mod.eq-m* (*m* ∗ *k*) (*smult k f*) (*smult k g*)
using *assms* **unfolding** *poly-eq-iff poly-mod.Mp-coeff coeff-smult*
unfolding *poly-mod.M-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Mp-ident-product*: *n* > *0* ⟹ *Mp f* = *f* ⟹ *poly-mod.Mp* (*m* ∗ *n*) *f* = *f*
unfolding *poly-eq-iff poly-mod.Mp-coeff poly-mod.M-def*
by (*auto simp add*: *zmod-zmult2-eq*) (*metis mod-div-trivial mod-0*)

lemma *Mp-shrink-modulus*: **assumes** *poly-mod.eq-m* (*m* ∗ *k*) *f g k* ≠ *0*
shows *f* =*m g*
proof −
from *assms* **have** *a*: ⋀ *n*. *coeff f n mod* (*m* ∗ *k*) = *coeff g n mod* (*m* ∗ *k*)
unfolding *poly-eq-iff poly-mod.Mp-coeff* **unfolding** *poly-mod.M-def* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *poly-eq-iff poly-mod.Mp-coeff* **unfolding** *poly-mod.M-def*
proof

```
    fix n
    show coeff f n mod m = coeff g n mod m using a[of n] ‹k ≠ 0›
      by (metis mod-mult-right-eq mult.commute mult-cancel-left mult-mod-right)
  qed
qed


lemma degree-m-le: degree-m f ≤ degree f unfolding Mp-def by (rule degree-map-poly-le)

lemma degree-m-eq: coeff f (degree f) mod m ≠ 0 ⟹ m > 1 ⟹ degree-m f =
degree f
  using degree-m-le[of f] unfolding Mp-def
  by (auto intro: degree-map-poly simp: Mp-def poly-mod.M-def)

lemma degree-m-mult-le:
  assumes eq: f =m g * h
  shows degree-m f ≤ degree-m g + degree-m h
proof −
  have degree-m f = degree-m (Mp g * Mp h) using eq by simp
  also have ... ≤ degree (Mp g * Mp h) by (rule degree-m-le)
  also have ... ≤ degree-m g + degree-m h by (rule degree-mult-le)
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma degree-m-smult-le: degree-m (smult c f) ≤ degree-m f
  by (metis Mp-0 coeff-0 degree-le degree-m-le degree-smult-eq poly-mod.Mp-smult(2)
smult-eq-0-iff)

lemma irreducible-m-Mp[simp]: irreducible-m (Mp f) ⟷ irreducible-m f by (simp
add: irreducible-m-def)

lemma eq-m-irreducible-m: f =m g ⟹ irreducible-m f ⟷ irreducible-m g
  using irreducible-m-Mp by metis

definition mset-factors-m where mset-factors-m F p ≡
  F ≠ {#} ∧ (∀f. f ∈# F ⟶ irreducible-m f) ∧ p =m prod-mset F

end

declare poly-mod.M-def[code]
declare poly-mod.Mp-def[code]
declare poly-mod.inv-M-def[code]

definition Irr-Mon :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly set
  where Irr-Mon = {x. irreducible x ∧ monic x}

definition factorization :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly set ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ ('a × 'a
poly multiset) ⇒ bool where
  factorization Factors f cfs ≡ (case cfs of (c,fs) ⇒ f = (smult c (prod-mset fs)) ∧
```

(*set-mset fs* ⊆ *Factors*))

definition *unique-factorization* :: *'a* :: *comm-semiring-1 poly set* ⇒ *'a poly* ⇒ (*'a* × *'a poly multiset*) ⇒ *bool* **where**
unique-factorization Factors f cfs = (*Collect* (*factorization Factors f*) = {*cfs*})

lemma *irreducible-multD*:
assumes *l*: *irreducible* (*a*∗*b*)
shows *a dvd 1* ∧ *irreducible b* ∨ *b dvd 1* ∧ *irreducible a*
proof−
from *l* **have** *a dvd 1* ∨ *b dvd 1* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
proof(*elim disjE*)
assume *a*: *a dvd 1*
with *l* **have** *irreducible b*
unfolding *irreducible-def*
by (*meson is-unit-mult-iff mult.left-commute mult-not-zero*)
with *a* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
assume *a*: *b dvd 1*
with *l* **have** *irreducible a*
unfolding *irreducible-def*
by (*meson is-unit-mult-iff mult-not-zero semiring-normalization-rules*(*16*))
with *a* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *irreducible-dvd-prod-mset*:
fixes *p* :: *'a* :: *field poly*
assumes *irr*: *irreducible p* **and** *dvd*: *p dvd prod-mset as*
shows ∃ *a* ∈# *as*. *p dvd a*
proof −
from *irr*[*unfolded irreducible-def*] **have** *deg*: *degree p* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
hence *p1*: ¬ *p dvd 1* **unfolding** *dvd-def*
by (*metis degree-1 nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left div-poly-less linorder-neqE-nat mult-not-zero not-less0 zero-neq-one*)
from *dvd* **show** *?thesis*
proof (*induct as*)
case (*add a as*)
hence *prod-mset* (*add-mset a as*) = *a* ∗ *prod-mset as* **by** *auto*
from *add*(*2*)[*unfolded this*] *add*(*1*) *irr*
show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed (*insert p1*, *auto*)
qed

lemma *monic-factorization-unique-mset*:
fixes *P*::*'a*::*field poly multiset*
assumes *eq*: *prod-mset P* = *prod-mset Q*
and *P*: *set-mset P* ⊆ {*q*. *irreducible q* ∧ *monic q*}

```
    and Q: set-mset Q ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
  shows P = Q
proof −
  {
    fix P Q :: 'a poly multiset
    assume id: prod-mset P = prod-mset Q
    and P: set-mset P ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
    and Q: set-mset Q ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
    hence P ⊆# Q
    proof (induct P arbitrary: Q)
      case (add x P Q')
      from add(3) have irr: irreducible x and mon: monic x by auto
      have ∃ a ∈# Q'. x dvd a
      proof (rule irreducible-dvd-prod-mset[OF irr])
        show x dvd prod-mset Q' unfolding add(2)[symmetric] by simp
      qed
     then obtain y Q where Q': Q' = add-mset y Q and xy: x dvd y by (meson
mset-add)
      from add(4) Q' have irr': irreducible y and mon': monic y by auto
      have x = y  using irr irr' xy mon mon'
        by (metis irreducibleD' irreducible-not-unit poly-dvd-antisym)
      hence Q': Q' = Q + {#x#} using Q' by auto
      from mon have x0: x ≠ 0 by auto
      from arg-cong[OF add(2)[unfolded Q'], of λ z. z div x]
      have eq: prod-mset P = prod-mset Q using x0 by auto
      from add(3−4)[unfolded Q']
      have set-mset P ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q} set-mset Q ⊆ {q. irreducible
q ∧ monic q}
        by auto
      from add(1)[OF eq this] show ?case unfolding Q' by auto
    qed auto
  }
  from this[OF eq P Q] this[OF eq[symmetric] Q P]
  show ?thesis by auto
qed


lemma exactly-one-monic-factorization:
  assumes mon: monic (f :: 'a :: field poly)
  shows ∃! fs. f = prod-mset fs ∧ set-mset fs ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
proof −
  from monic-irreducible-factorization[OF mon]
  obtain gs g where fin: finite gs and f: f = (∏ a∈gs. a ^ Suc (g a))
    and gs: gs ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
    by blast
  from fin
  have ∃ fs. set-mset fs ⊆ gs ∧ prod-mset fs = (∏ a∈gs. a ^ Suc (g a))
  proof (induct gs)
    case (insert a gs)
```

```
    from insert(3) obtain fs where *: set-mset fs ⊆ gs prod-mset fs = (∏ a∈gs.
a ^ Suc (g a)) by auto
    let ?fs = fs + replicate-mset (Suc (g a)) a
    show ?case
    proof (rule exI[of - fs + replicate-mset (Suc (g a)) a], intro conjI)
      show set-mset ?fs ⊆ insert a gs using *(1) by auto
      show prod-mset ?fs = (∏ a∈insert a gs. a ^ Suc (g a))
        by (subst prod.insert[OF insert(1–2)], auto simp: *(2))
    qed
  qed simp
  then obtain fs where set-mset fs ⊆ gs prod-mset fs = (∏ a∈gs. a ^ Suc (g a))
by auto
  with gs f have ex: ∃fs. f = prod-mset fs ∧ set-mset fs ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧
monic q}
    by (intro exI[of - fs], auto)
  thus ?thesis using monic-factorization-unique-mset by blast
qed

lemma monic-prod-mset:
  fixes as :: 'a :: idom poly multiset
  assumes ⋀ a. a ∈ set-mset as ⟹ monic a
  shows monic (prod-mset as) using assms
  by (induct as, auto intro: monic-mult)

lemma exactly-one-factorization:
  assumes f: f ≠ (0 :: 'a :: field poly)
  shows ∃! cfs. factorization Irr-Mon f cfs
proof −
  let ?a = coeff f (degree f)
  let ?b = inverse ?a
  let ?g = smult ?b f
  define g where g = ?g
  from f have a: ?a ≠ 0 ?b ≠ 0 by (auto simp: field-simps)
  hence monic g unfolding g-def by simp
  note ex1 = exactly-one-monic-factorization[OF this, folded Irr-Mon-def]
  then obtain fs where g: g = prod-mset fs set-mset fs ⊆ Irr-Mon by auto
  let ?cfs = (?a,fs)
 have cfs: factorization Irr-Mon f ?cfs unfolding factorization-def split g(1)[symmetric]
    using g(2) unfolding g-def by (simp add: a field-simps)
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule, rule cfs)
    fix dgs
    assume fact: factorization Irr-Mon f dgs
    obtain d gs where dgs: dgs = (d,gs) by force
    from fact[unfolded factorization-def dgs split]
    have fd: f = smult d (prod-mset gs) and gs: set-mset gs ⊆ Irr-Mon by auto
   have monic (prod-mset gs) by (rule monic-prod-mset, insert gs[unfolded Irr-Mon-def],
auto)
    hence d: d = ?a unfolding fd by auto
```

```
    from arg-cong[OF fd, of λ x. smult ?b x, unfolded d g-def[symmetric]]
    have g = prod-mset gs using a by (simp add: field-simps)
    with ex1 g gs have gs = fs by auto
    thus dgs = ?cfs unfolding dgs d by auto
  qed
qed

lemma mod-ident-iff: m > 0 ⟹ (x :: int) mod m = x ⟷ x ∈ {0 ..< m}
  by (metis Divides.pos-mod-bound Divides.pos-mod-sign atLeastLessThan-iff mod-pos-pos-trivial)

declare prod-mset-prod-list[simp]

lemma mult-1-is-id[simp]: (∗) (1 :: 'a :: ring-1) = id by auto

context poly-mod
begin

lemma degree-m-eq-monic: monic f ⟹ m > 1 ⟹ degree-m f = degree f
  by (rule degree-m-eq) auto

lemma monic-degree-m-lift: assumes monic f k > 1 m > 1
  shows monic (poly-mod.Mp (m ∗ k) f)
proof −
  have deg: degree (poly-mod.Mp (m ∗ k) f) = degree f
    by (rule poly-mod.degree-m-eq-monic[of f m ∗ k], insert assms, auto simp:
less-1-mult)
  show ?thesis unfolding poly-mod.Mp-coeff deg assms poly-mod.M-def using
assms(2−)
    by (simp add: less-1-mult)
qed

end


locale poly-mod-2 = poly-mod m for m +
  assumes m1: m > 1
begin

lemma M-1[simp]: M 1 = 1 unfolding M-def using m1
  by auto

lemma Mp-1[simp]: Mp 1 = 1 unfolding Mp-def by simp

lemma monic-degree-m[simp]: monic f ⟹ degree-m f = degree f
  using degree-m-eq-monic[of f] using m1 by auto

lemma monic-Mp: monic f ⟹ monic (Mp f)
  by (auto simp: Mp-coeff)
```

lemma *Mp-0-smult-sdiv-poly*: **assumes** *Mp f = 0*
shows *smult m (sdiv-poly f m) = f*
proof (*intro poly-eqI*, *unfold Mp-coeff coeff-smult sdiv-poly-def*, *subst coeff-map-poly*, *force*)
fix *n*
from *assms* **have** *coeff (Mp f) n = 0* **by** *simp*
hence *0*: *coeff f n mod m = 0* **unfolding** *Mp-coeff M-def* **.**
thus *m * (coeff f n div m) = coeff f n* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Mp-product-modulus*: *m' = m * k ⟹ k > 0 ⟹ Mp (poly-mod.Mp m' f) = Mp f*
by (*intro poly-eqI*, *unfold poly-mod.Mp-coeff poly-mod.M-def*, *auto simp*: *mod-mod-cancel*)

lemma *inv-M-rev*: **assumes** *bnd*: *2 * abs c < m*
shows *inv-M (M c) = c*
proof (*cases c ≥ 0*)
case *True*
with *bnd* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *M-def inv-M-def* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
have *2*: *⋀ v :: int. 2 * v = v + v* **by** *auto*
from *False* **have** *c*: *c < 0* **by** *auto*
from *bnd c* **have** *c + m > 0 c + m < m* **by** *auto*
with *c* **have** *cm*: *c mod m = c + m*
by (*metis le-less mod-add-self2 mod-pos-pos-trivial*)
from *c bnd* **have** *2 * (c mod m) > m* **unfolding** *cm* **by** *auto*
with *bnd c* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *M-def inv-M-def cm* **by** *auto*
qed

end

lemma (**in** *poly-mod*) *degree-m-eq-prime*:
assumes *f0*: *Mp f ≠ 0*
and *deg*: *degree-m f = degree f*
and *eq*: *f =m g * h*
and *p*: *prime m*
shows *degree-m f = degree-m g + degree-m h*
proof −
interpret *poly-mod-2 m* **using** *prime-ge-2-int*[*OF p*] **unfolding** *poly-mod-2-def*
by *simp*
from *f0 eq* **have** *Mp (Mp g * Mp h) ≠ 0* **by** *auto*
hence *Mp g * Mp h ≠ 0* **using** *Mp-0* **by** (*cases Mp g * Mp h*, *auto*)
hence *g0*: *Mp g ≠ 0* **and** *h0*: *Mp h ≠ 0* **by** *auto*
have *degree (Mp (g * h)) = degree-m (Mp g * Mp h)* **by** *simp*
also have *... = degree (Mp g * Mp h)*
proof (*rule degree-m-eq*[*OF - m1*], *rule*)
have *id*: *⋀ g. coeff (Mp g) (degree (Mp g)) mod m = coeff (Mp g) (degree (Mp*

```
g))
      unfolding M-def[symmetric] Mp-coeff by simp
   from p have p': prime m unfolding prime-int-nat-transfer unfolding prime-nat-iff
by auto
    assume coeff (Mp g * Mp h) (degree (Mp g * Mp h)) mod m = 0
    from this[unfolded coeff-degree-mult]
    have coeff (Mp g) (degree (Mp g)) mod m = 0 ∨ coeff (Mp h) (degree (Mp h))
mod m = 0
      unfolding dvd-eq-mod-eq-0[symmetric] using m1 prime-dvd-mult-int[OF p']
by auto
    with g0 h0 show False unfolding id by auto
  qed
  also have ... = degree (Mp g) + degree (Mp h)
    by (rule degree-mult-eq[OF g0 h0])
  finally show ?thesis using eq by simp
qed

lemma monic-smult-add-small: assumes f = 0 ∨ degree f < degree g and mon:
monic g
  shows monic (g + smult q f)
proof (cases f = 0)
  case True
  thus ?thesis using mon by auto
next
  case False
  with assms have degree f < degree g by auto
   hence degree (smult q f) < degree g by (meson degree-smult-le not-less or-
der-trans)
  thus ?thesis using mon using coeff-eq-0 degree-add-eq-left by fastforce
qed

context poly-mod
begin

definition factorization-m :: int poly ⇒ (int × int poly multiset) ⇒ bool where
  factorization-m f cfs ≡ (case cfs of (c,fs) ⇒ f =m (smult c (prod-mset fs)) ∧
    (∀ f ∈ set-mset fs. irreducible_d-m f ∧ monic (Mp f)))

definition Mf :: int × int poly multiset ⇒ int × int poly multiset where
  Mf cfs ≡ case cfs of (c,fs) ⇒ (M c, image-mset Mp fs)

lemma Mf-Mf[simp]: Mf (Mf x) = Mf x
proof (cases x, auto simp: Mf-def, goal-cases)
  case (1 c fs)
  show ?case by (induct fs, auto)
qed

definition equivalent-fact-m :: int × int poly multiset ⇒ int × int poly multiset
⇒ bool where
```

equivalent-fact-m cfs dgs = (*Mf cfs* = *Mf dgs*)

definition *unique-factorization-m* :: *int poly* ⇒ (*int* × *int poly multiset*) ⇒ *bool*
where
unique-factorization-m f cfs = (*Mf* ‘ *Collect* (*factorization-m f*) = {*Mf cfs*})

lemma *Mp-irreducible*$_d$*-m*[*simp*]: *irreducible*$_d$*-m* (*Mp f*) = *irreducible*$_d$*-m f*
unfolding *irreducible*$_d$*-m-def dvdm-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Mf-factorization-m*[*simp*]: *factorization-m f* (*Mf cfs*) = *factorization-m f cfs*
unfolding *factorization-m-def Mf-def*
proof (*cases cfs*, *simp*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 c fs*)
have *Mp* (*smult c* (*prod-mset fs*)) = *Mp* (*smult* (*M c*) (*Mp* (*prod-mset fs*))) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*smult* (*M c*) (*Mp* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp fs*))))
unfolding *Mp-prod-mset* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*smult* (*M c*) (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp fs*))) **unfolding** *Mp-smult* **..**
finally show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization*: **assumes** *unique-factorization-m f cfs*
shows *factorization-m f cfs*
proof −
from *assms*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-def*] **obtain** *dfs* **where**
fact: *factorization-m f dfs* **and** *id*: *Mf cfs* = *Mf dfs* **by** *blast*
from *fact* **have** *factorization-m f* (*Mf dfs*) **by** *simp*
from *this*[*folded id*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *unique-factorization-m-alt-def*: *unique-factorization-m f cfs* = (*factorization-m f cfs*
∧ (∀ *dgs*. *factorization-m f dgs* ⟶ *Mf dgs* = *Mf cfs*))
using *unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization*[*of f cfs*]
unfolding *unique-factorization-m-def* **by** *auto*

end

context *poly-mod-2*
begin

lemma *factorization-m-lead-coeff*: **assumes** *factorization-m f* (*c*,*fs*)
shows *lead-coeff* (*Mp f*) = *M c*
proof −
note ∗ = *assms*[*unfolded factorization-m-def split*]
have *monic* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp fs*)) **by** (*rule monic-prod-mset*, *insert* ∗,

auto)
hence *monic* (*Mp* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp fs*))) **by** (*rule monic-Mp*)
from *this*[*unfolded Mp-prod-mset*] **have** *monic*: *monic* (*Mp* (*prod-mset fs*)) **by** *simp*
from ∗ **have** *lead-coeff* (*Mp f*) = *lead-coeff* (*Mp* (*smult c* (*prod-mset fs*))) **by** *simp*
also have *Mp* (*smult c* (*prod-mset fs*)) = *Mp* (*smult* (*M c*) (*Mp* (*prod-mset fs*))) **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis*
using *monic* ‹*smult c* (*prod-mset fs*) =*m smult* (*M c*) (*Mp* (*prod-mset fs*))›
by (*metis M-M M-def Mp-0 Mp-coeff lead-coeff-smult m1 mult-cancel-left2 poly-mod.degree-m-eq smult-eq-0-iff*)
qed

lemma *factorization-m-smult*: **assumes** *factorization-m f* (*c*,*fs*)
shows *factorization-m* (*smult d f*) (*c* ∗ *d*,*fs*)
proof −
note ∗ = *assms*[*unfolded factorization-m-def split*]
from ∗ **have** *f*: *Mp f* = *Mp* (*smult c* (*prod-mset fs*)) **by** *simp*
have *Mp* (*smult d f*) = *Mp* (*smult d* (*Mp f*)) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*smult* (*c* ∗ *d*) (*prod-mset fs*)) **unfolding** *f* **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
finally show *?thesis* **using** *assms*
unfolding *factorization-m-def split* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *factorization-m-prod*: **assumes** *factorization-m f* (*c*,*fs*) *factorization-m g* (*d*,*gs*)
shows *factorization-m* (*f* ∗ *g*) (*c* ∗ *d*, *fs* + *gs*)
proof −
note ∗ = *assms*[*unfolded factorization-m-def split*]
have *Mp* (*f* ∗ *g*) = *Mp* (*Mp f* ∗ *Mp g*) **by** *simp*
also have *Mp f* = *Mp* (*smult c* (*prod-mset fs*)) **using** ∗ **by** *simp*
also have *Mp g* = *Mp* (*smult d* (*prod-mset gs*)) **using** ∗ **by** *simp*
finally have *Mp* (*f* ∗ *g*) = *Mp* (*smult* (*c* ∗ *d*) (*prod-mset* (*fs* + *gs*))) **unfolding** *mult-Mp*
by (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
with ∗ **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *factorization-m-def split* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Mp-factorization-m*[*simp*]: *factorization-m* (*Mp f*) *cfs* = *factorization-m f cfs*
unfolding *factorization-m-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Mp-unique-factorization-m*[*simp*]:
unique-factorization-m (*Mp f*) *cfs* = *unique-factorization-m f cfs*
unfolding *unique-factorization-m-alt-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *unique-factorization-m-cong*: *unique-factorization-m f cfs* ⟹ *Mp f* = *Mp*

g
  ⟹ *unique-factorization-m g cfs*
  unfolding *Mp-unique-factorization-m*[*of f*, *symmetric*] **by** *simp*

lemma *unique-factorization-mI*: **assumes** *factorization-m f* (*c*,*fs*)
  and ⋀ *d gs*. *factorization-m f* (*d*,*gs*) ⟹ *Mf* (*d*,*gs*) = *Mf* (*c*,*fs*)
  shows *unique-factorization-m f* (*c*,*fs*)
  unfolding *unique-factorization-m-alt-def*
    by (*intro conjI*[*OF assms*(*1*)] *allI impI*, *insert assms*(*2*), *auto*)

lemma *unique-factorization-m-smult*: **assumes** *uf*: *unique-factorization-m f* (*c*,*fs*)
  and *d*: *M* (*di* ∗ *d*) = *1*
  shows *unique-factorization-m* (*smult d f*) (*c* ∗ *d*,*fs*)
proof (*rule unique-factorization-mI*[*OF factorization-m-smult*])
  show *factorization-m f* (*c*, *fs*) **using** *uf*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def*]
by *auto*
  fix *e gs*
  assume *fact*: *factorization-m* (*smult d f*) (*e*,*gs*)
  from *factorization-m-smult*[*OF this*, *of di*]
  have *factorization-m* (*Mp* (*smult di* (*smult d f*))) (*e* ∗ *di*, *gs*) **by** *simp*
  also have *Mp* (*smult di* (*smult d f*)) = *Mp* (*smult* (*M* (*di* ∗ *d*)) *f*) **by** *simp*
  also have ... = *Mp f* **unfolding** *d* **by** *simp*
  finally have *fact*: *factorization-m f* (*e* ∗ *di*, *gs*) **by** *simp*
  with *uf*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def*] **have** *eq*: *Mf* (*e* ∗ *di*, *gs*) = *Mf*
(*c*, *fs*) **by** *blast*
  from *eq*[*unfolded Mf-def*] **have** *M* (*e* ∗ *di*) = *M c* **by** *simp*
  from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of* λ *x*. *M* (*x* ∗ *d*)]
  have *M* (*e* ∗ *M* (*di* ∗ *d*)) = *M* (*c* ∗ *d*) **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
  from *this*[*unfolded d*] **have** *e*: *M e* = *M* (*c* ∗ *d*) **by** *simp*
  with *eq*
  show *Mf* (*e*,*gs*) = *Mf* (*c* ∗ *d*, *fs*) **unfolding** *Mf-def split* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *unique-factorization-m-smultD*: **assumes** *uf*: *unique-factorization-m* (*smult*
d f) (*c*,*fs*)
  and *d*: *M* (*di* ∗ *d*) = *1*
  shows *unique-factorization-m f* (*c* ∗ *di*,*fs*)
proof −
  from *d* **have** *d'*: *M* (*d* ∗ *di*) = *1* **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
  show *?thesis*
  proof (*rule unique-factorization-m-cong*[*OF unique-factorization-m-smult*[*OF uf*
d']],
    rule poly-eqI, *unfold Mp-coeff coeff-smult*)
    fix *n*
    have *M* (*di* ∗ (*d* ∗ *coeff f n*)) = *M* (*M* (*di* ∗ *d*) ∗ *coeff f n*) **by** (*auto simp*:
ac-simps)
    from *this*[*unfolded d*] **show** *M* (*di* ∗ (*d* ∗ *coeff f n*)) = *M* (*coeff f n*) **by** *simp*
  qed
qed

lemma *degree-m-eq-lead-coeff*: *degree-m f* = *degree f* ⟹ *lead-coeff* (*Mp f*) = *M* (*lead-coeff f*)
by (*simp add*: *Mp-coeff*)

lemma *unique-factorization-m-zero*: **assumes** *unique-factorization-m f* (*c*,*fs*)
shows *M c* ≠ *0*
proof
assume *c*: *M c* = *0*
from *unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization*[*OF assms*]
have *Mp f* = *Mp* (*smult* (*M c*) (*prod-mset fs*)) **unfolding** *factorization-m-def split*
by *simp*
from *this*[*unfolded c*] **have** *f*: *Mp f* = *0* **by** *simp*
have *factorization-m f* (*0*,{#})
unfolding *factorization-m-def split f* **by** *auto*
moreover have *Mf* (*0*,{#}) = (*0*,{#}) **unfolding** *Mf-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately have *fact1*: (*0*, {#}) ∈ *Mf* ‘ *Collect* (*factorization-m f*) **by** *force*
define *g* :: *int poly* **where** *g* = [:*0*,*1*:]
have *mpg*: *Mp g* = [:*0*,*1*:] **unfolding** *Mp-def*
by (*auto simp*: *g-def*)
{
fix *g h*
assume ∗: *degree* (*Mp g*) = *0 degree* (*Mp h*) = *0* [:*0*, *1*:] = *Mp* (*g* ∗ *h*)
from *arg-cong*[*OF* ∗(*3*), *of degree*] **have** *1* = *degree-m* (*Mp g* ∗ *Mp h*) **by** *simp*
also have ... ≤ *degree* (*Mp g* ∗ *Mp h*) **by** (*rule degree-m-le*)
also have ... ≤ *degree* (*Mp g*) + *degree* (*Mp h*) **by** (*rule degree-mult-le*)
also have ... ≤ *0* **using** ∗ **by** *simp*
finally have *False* **by** *simp*
} note *irr* = *this*
have *factorization-m f* (*0*,{# *g* #})
unfolding *factorization-m-def split* **using** *irr*
by (*auto simp*: *irreducible*$_d$*-m-def f mpg*)
moreover have *Mf* (*0*,{# *g* #}) = (*0*,{# *g* #}) **unfolding** *Mf-def* **by** (*auto simp*: *mpg*, *simp add*: *g-def*)
ultimately have *fact2*: (*0*, {#*g*#}) ∈ *Mf* ‘ *Collect* (*factorization-m f*) **by** *force*
note [*simp*] = *assms*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-def*]
from *fact1*[*simplified*, *folded fact2*[*simplified*]] **show** *False* **by** *auto*
qed

end

context *poly-mod*
begin

lemma *dvdm-smult*: **assumes** *f dvdm g*
shows *f dvdm smult c g*
proof −

```
  from assms[unfolded dvdm-def] obtain h where g: g =m f * h by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding dvdm-def
  proof (intro exI[of - smult c h])
    have Mp (smult c g) = Mp (smult c (Mp g)) by simp
    also have Mp g = Mp (f * h) using g by simp
    finally show Mp (smult c g) = Mp (f * smult c h) by simp
  qed
qed

lemma dvdm-factor: assumes f dvdm g
  shows f dvdm g * h
proof −
  from assms[unfolded dvdm-def] obtain k where g: g =m f * k by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding dvdm-def
  proof (intro exI[of - h * k])
    have Mp (g * h) = Mp (Mp g * h) by simp
    also have Mp g = Mp (f * k) using g by simp
    finally show Mp (g * h) = Mp (f * (h * k)) by (simp add: ac-simps)
  qed
qed

lemma square-free-m-smultD: assumes square-free-m (smult c f)
  shows square-free-m f
  unfolding square-free-m-def
proof (intro conjI allI impI)
  fix g
  assume degree-m g ≠ 0
  with assms[unfolded square-free-m-def] have ¬ g * g dvdm smult c f by auto
  thus ¬ g * g dvdm f using dvdm-smult[of g * g f c] by blast
next
  from assms[unfolded square-free-m-def] have ¬ smult c f =m 0 by simp
  thus ¬ f =m 0
    by (metis Mp-smult(2) smult-0-right)
qed

lemma square-free-m-smultI: assumes sf: square-free-m f
  and inv: M (ci * c) = 1
  shows square-free-m (smult c f)
proof −
  have square-free-m (smult ci (smult c f))
  proof (rule square-free-m-cong[OF sf], rule poly-eqI, unfold Mp-coeff coeff-smult)
    fix n
    have M (ci * (c * coeff f n)) = M ( M (ci * c) * coeff f n) by (simp add:
ac-simps)
    from this[unfolded inv] show M (coeff f n) = M (ci * (c * coeff f n)) by simp
  qed
  from square-free-m-smultD[OF this] show ?thesis .
qed
```

lemma *square-free-m-factor*: **assumes** *square-free-m* $(f * g)$
shows *square-free-m f square-free-m g*
proof −
{
fix *f g*
assume *sf*: *square-free-m* $(f * g)$
have *square-free-m f*
unfolding *square-free-m-def*
proof (*intro conjI allI impI*)
fix *h*
assume *degree-m h* $\neq$ *0*
with *sf*[*unfolded square-free-m-def*] **have** ¬ *h* $*$ *h dvdm f* $*$ *g* **by** *auto*
thus ¬ *h* $*$ *h dvdm f* **using** *dvdm-factor*[*of h* $*$ *h f g*] **by** *blast*
next
from *sf*[*unfolded square-free-m-def*] **have** ¬ *f* $*$ *g* $=_m$ *0* **by** *simp*
thus ¬ *f* $=_m$ *0*
by (*metis mult.commute mult-zero-right poly-mod.mult-Mp*(*2*))
qed
}
from *this*[*of f g*] *this*[*of g f*] *assms*
show *square-free-m f square-free-m g* **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
qed

end

context *poly-mod-2*
begin

lemma *Mp-ident-iff*: *Mp f* $=$ *f* $\longleftrightarrow$ $(\forall\ n.\ coeff\ f\ n \in \{0\ ..<\ m\})$
proof −
have *m0*: *m* $>$ *0* **using** *m1* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *poly-eq-iff Mp-coeff M-def mod-ident-iff*[*OF m0*] **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *Mp-ident-iff'*: *Mp f* $=$ *f* $\longleftrightarrow$ $(set\ (coeffs\ f) \subseteq \{0\ ..<\ m\})$
proof −
have *0*: *0* $\in \{0\ ..<\ m\}$ **using** *m1* **by** *auto*
have *ran*: $(\forall n.\ coeff\ f\ n \in \{0..<m\}) \longleftrightarrow range\ (coeff\ f) \subseteq \{0\ ..<\ m\}$ **by** *blast*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *Mp-ident-iff ran* **using** *range-coeff*[*of f*] *0* **by** *auto*
qed
end

lemma *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp*: *n* $\neq$ *0* $\Longrightarrow$ *p* $>$ *1* $\Longrightarrow$ *poly-mod.Mp p* (*poly-mod.Mp* $(p\hat{}n)$ *f*)
$=$ *poly-mod.Mp p f*
using *poly-mod-2.Mp-product-modulus poly-mod-2-def* **by**(*subst power-eq-if*, *auto*)

lemma *M-M-pow-is-M*: *n* $\neq$ *0* $\Longrightarrow$ *p* $>$ *1* $\Longrightarrow$ *poly-mod.M p* (*poly-mod.M* $(p\hat{}n)$

f)
  = *poly-mod.M p f* **using** *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp*[*of n p* [:*f*:]]
  by (*metis coeff-pCons-0 poly-mod.Mp-coeff*)

definition *inverse-mod* :: *int* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *int* **where**
  inverse-mod x m = *fst* (*bezout-coefficients x m*)

lemma *inverse-mod*:
  (*inverse-mod x m* ∗ *x*) *mod m* = *1*
  if *coprime x m m* > *1*
proof −
  from *bezout-coefficients* [*of x m inverse-mod x m snd* (*bezout-coefficients x m*)]
  have *inverse-mod x m* ∗ *x* + *snd* (*bezout-coefficients x m*) ∗ *m* = *gcd x m*
    by (*simp add*: *inverse-mod-def*)
  with *that* **have** *inverse-mod x m* ∗ *x* + *snd* (*bezout-coefficients x m*) ∗ *m* = *1*
    by *simp*
  then have (*inverse-mod x m* ∗ *x* + *snd* (*bezout-coefficients x m*) ∗ *m*) *mod m* =
1 mod m
    by *simp*
  with ‹*m* > *1*› **show** *?thesis*
    by *simp*
qed

lemma *inverse-mod-pow*:
  (*inverse-mod x* (*p* ^ *n*) ∗ *x*) *mod* (*p* ^ *n*) = *1*
  if *coprime x p p* > *1 n* ≠ *0*
  using *that* **by** (*auto intro*: *inverse-mod*)

lemma (**in** *poly-mod*) *inverse-mod-coprime*:
  assumes *p*: *prime m*
  and *cop*: *coprime x m* **shows** *M* (*inverse-mod x m* ∗ *x*) = *1*
  unfolding *M-def* **using** *inverse-mod-pow*[*OF cop*, *of 1*] *p*
  by (*auto simp*: *prime-int-iff*)

lemma (**in** *poly-mod*) *inverse-mod-coprime-exp*:
  assumes *m*: *m* = *p*^*n* **and** *p*: *prime p*
  and *n*: *n* ≠ *0* **and** *cop*: *coprime x p*
  shows *M* (*inverse-mod x m* ∗ *x*) = *1*
  unfolding *M-def* **unfolding** *m* **using** *inverse-mod-pow*[*OF cop* - *n*] *p*
  by (*auto simp*: *prime-int-iff*)

locale *poly-mod-prime* = *poly-mod p* **for** *p* :: *int* +
  assumes *prime*: *prime p*
begin

sublocale *poly-mod-2 p* **using** *prime* **unfolding** *poly-mod-2-def*
  using *prime-gt-1-int* **by** *force*

lemma *square-free-m-prod-imp-coprime-m*: **assumes** *sf*: *square-free-m* (*A* ∗ *B*)

```
  shows coprime-m A B
  unfolding coprime-m-def
proof (intro allI impI)
  fix h
  assume dvd: h dvdm A h dvdm B
  then obtain ha hb where *: Mp A = Mp (h * ha) Mp B = Mp (h * hb)
    unfolding dvdm-def by auto
  have AB: Mp (A * B) = Mp (Mp A * Mp B) by simp
  from this[unfolded *, simplified]
  have eq: Mp (A * B) = Mp (h * h * (ha * hb)) by (simp add: ac-simps)
  hence dvd-hh: (h * h) dvdm (A * B) unfolding dvdm-def by auto
  {
    assume degree-m h ≠ 0
    from sf[unfolded square-free-m-def, THEN conjunct2, rule-format, OF this]
    have ¬ h * h dvdm A * B .
    with dvd-hh have False by simp
  }
  hence degree (Mp h) = 0 by auto
  then obtain c where hc: Mp h = [: c :] by (rule degree-eq-zeroE)
  {
    assume c = 0
    hence Mp h = 0 unfolding hc by auto
    with *(1) have Mp A = 0
      by (metis Mp-0 mult-zero-left poly-mod.mult-Mp(1))
    with sf[unfolded square-free-m-def, THEN conjunct1] have False
      by (simp add: AB)
  }
  hence c0: c ≠ 0 by auto
  with arg-cong[OF hc[symmetric], of λ f. coeff f 0, unfolded Mp-coeff M-def] m1
  have c ≥ 0 c < p by auto
  with c0 have c-props:c > 0 c < p by auto
  with prime have prime p by simp
  with c-props have coprime p c
    by (auto intro: prime-imp-coprime dest: zdvd-not-zless)
  then have coprime c p
    by (simp add: ac-simps)
  from inverse-mod-coprime[OF prime this]
  obtain d where d: M (c * d) = 1 by (auto simp: ac-simps)
  show h dvdm 1 unfolding dvdm-def
  proof (intro exI[of - [:d:]])
    have Mp (h * [: d :]) = Mp (Mp h * [: d :]) by simp
    also have ... = Mp ([: c * d :]) unfolding hc by (auto simp: ac-simps)
    also have ... = [: M (c * d) :] unfolding Mp-def
      by (metis (no-types) M-0 map-poly-pCons Mp-0 Mp-def d zero-neq-one)
    also have ... = 1 unfolding d by simp
    finally show Mp 1 = Mp (h * [:d:]) by simp
  qed
qed
```

lemma *coprime-exp-mod*: *coprime lu p* $\Longrightarrow$ $n \neq 0$ $\Longrightarrow$ *lu mod p* $\hat{}$ $n \neq 0$
using *prime* **by** *fastforce*

end

context *poly-mod*
begin

definition *Dp* :: *int poly* $\Rightarrow$ *int poly* **where**
Dp f = *map-poly* (λ *a*. *a div m*) *f*

lemma *Dp-Mp-eq*: *f* = *Mp f* + *smult m* (*Dp f*)
by (*rule poly-eqI*, *auto simp*: *Mp-coeff M-def Dp-def coeff-map-poly*)

lemma *dvd-imp-dvdm*:
assumes *a dvd b* **shows** *a dvdm b*
by (*metis assms dvd-def dvdm-def*)

lemma *dvdm-add*:
assumes *a*: *u dvdm a*
and *b*: *u dvdm b*
shows *u dvdm* (*a*+*b*)
proof −
obtain *a*′ **where** *a*: *a* =*m* *u*∗*a*′ **using** *a* **unfolding** *dvdm-def* **by** *auto*
obtain *b*′ **where** *b*: *b* =*m* *u*∗*b*′ **using** *b* **unfolding** *dvdm-def* **by** *auto*
have *Mp* (*a* + *b*) = *Mp* (*u*∗*a*′+*u*∗*b*′) **using** *a b*
by (*metis poly-mod.plus-Mp*(*1*) *poly-mod.plus-Mp*(*2*))
also have ... = *Mp* (*u* ∗ (*a*′+ *b*′))
by (*simp add*: *distrib-left*)
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *dvdm-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *monic-dvdm-constant*:
assumes *uk*: *u dvdm* [:*k*:]
and *u1*: *monic u* **and** *u2*: *degree u* > *0*
shows *k mod m* = *0*
proof −
have *d1*: *degree-m* [:*k*:] = *degree* [:*k*:]
by (*metis degree-pCons-0 le-zero-eq poly-mod.degree-m-le*)
obtain *h* **where** *h*: *Mp* [:*k*:] = *Mp* (*u* ∗ *h*)
using *uk* **unfolding** *dvdm-def* **by** *auto*
have *d2*: *degree-m* [:*k*:] = *degree-m* (*u*∗*h*) **using** *h* **by** *metis*
have *d3*: *degree* (*map-poly M* (*u* ∗ *map-poly M h*)) = *degree* (*u* ∗ *map-poly M h*)

by (*rule degree-map-poly*)
(*metis coeff-degree-mult leading-coeff-0-iff mult.right-neutral M-M Mp-coeff Mp-def u1*)
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms d1 d2 d3*

```
    by (auto, metis M-def map-poly-pCons degree-mult-right-le h leD map-poly-0
        mult-poly-0-right pCons-eq-0-iff M-0 Mp-def mult-Mp(2))
qed

lemma div-mod-imp-dvdm:
  assumes ∃ q r. b = q * a + Polynomial.smult m r
  shows a dvdm b
proof −
  from assms  obtain q r where b:b = a * q + smult m r
    by (metis mult.commute)
  have a: Mp (Polynomial.smult m r) = 0 by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (unfold dvdm-def, rule exI[of - q])
    have Mp (a * q + smult m r) = Mp (a * q + Mp (smult m r))
      using plus-Mp(2)[of a*q smult m r] by auto
    also have ... = Mp (a*q) by auto
    finally show eq-m b (a * q) using b by auto
  qed
qed

lemma lead-coeff-monic-mult:
  fixes p :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1,semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly
  assumes monic p shows lead-coeff (p * q) = lead-coeff q
  using assms by (simp add: lead-coeff-mult)

lemma degree-m-mult-eq:
  assumes p: monic p and q: lead-coeff q mod m ≠ 0 and m1: m > 1
  shows degree (Mp (p * q)) = degree p + degree q
proof−
  have lead-coeff (p * q) mod m ≠ 0
    using q p by (auto simp: lead-coeff-monic-mult)
  with m1 show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: degree-m-eq intro!: degree-mult-eq)
qed

lemma dvdm-imp-degree-le:
  assumes pq: p dvdm q and p: monic p and q0: Mp q ≠ 0 and m1: m > 1
  shows degree p ≤ degree q
proof−
  from q0
  have q: lead-coeff (Mp q) mod m ≠ 0
    by (metis Mp-Mp Mp-coeff leading-coeff-neq-0 M-def)
  from pq obtain r where Mpq: Mp q = Mp (p * Mp r) by (auto elim: dvdmE)
  with p q have lead-coeff (Mp r) mod m ≠ 0
    by (metis Mp-Mp Mp-coeff leading-coeff-0-iff mult-poly-0-right M-def)
  from degree-m-mult-eq[OF p this m1] Mpq
  have degree p ≤ degree-m q by simp
  thus ?thesis using degree-m-le le-trans by blast
qed
```

lemma *dvdm-uminus* [*simp*]:
  p dvdm $-q \longleftrightarrow$ *p dvdm q*
  by (*metis add.inverse-inverse dvdm-smult smult-1-left smult-minus-left*)

lemma *Mp-const-poly*: *Mp* [:*a*:] = [:*a mod m*:]
  by (*simp add*: *Mp-def M-def Polynomial.map-poly-pCons*)

lemma *dvdm-imp-div-mod*:
  assumes *u dvdm g*
  shows $\exists$ *q r*. *g* = *q*∗*u* + *smult m r*
proof −
  obtain *q* **where** *q*: *Mp g* = *Mp* (*u*∗*q*)
    using *assms* **unfolding** *dvdm-def* **by** *fast*
  have (*u*∗*q*) = *Mp* (*u*∗*q*) + *smult m* (*Dp* (*u*∗*q*))
    by (*simp add*: *poly-mod.Dp-Mp-eq*[*of u*∗*q*])
  hence *uq*: *Mp* (*u*∗*q*) = (*u*∗*q*) − *smult m* (*Dp* (*u*∗*q*))
    by *auto*
  have *g*: *g* = *Mp g* + *smult m* (*Dp g*)
    by (*simp add*: *poly-mod.Dp-Mp-eq*[*of g*])
  also have ... = *poly-mod.Mp m* (*u*∗*q*) + *smult m* (*Dp g*) **using** *q* **by** *simp*
  also have ... = *u* ∗ *q* − *smult m* (*Dp* (*u* ∗ *q*)) + *smult m* (*Dp g*)
    unfolding *uq* **by** *auto*
  also have ... = *u* ∗ *q* + *smult m* (−*Dp* (*u*∗*q*)) + *smult m* (*Dp g*) **by** *auto*
  also have ... = *u* ∗ *q* + *smult m* (−*Dp* (*u*∗*q*) + *Dp g*)
    unfolding *smult-add-right* **by** *auto*
  also have ... = *q* ∗ *u* + *smult m* (−*Dp* (*u*∗*q*) + *Dp g*) **by** *auto*
  finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

corollary *div-mod-iff-dvdm*:
  shows *a dvdm b* = (∃ *q r*. *b* = *q* ∗ *a* + *Polynomial.smult m r*)
  using *div-mod-imp-dvdm dvdm-imp-div-mod* **by** *blast*

lemma *dvdmE′*:
  assumes *p dvdm q* **and** ⋀*r*. *q* =*m p* ∗ *Mp r* ⟹ *thesis*
  shows *thesis*
  using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *dvdm-def*)

end

context *poly-mod-2*
begin
lemma *factorization-m-mem-dvdm*: **assumes** *fact*: *factorization-m f* (*c*,*fs*)
  and *mem*: *Mp g* ∈# *image-mset Mp fs*
shows *g dvdm f*
proof −

from *fact* **have** *factorization-m f* (*Mf* (*c*, *fs*)) **by** *auto*
then obtain *l* **where** *f*: *factorization-m f* (*l*, *image-mset Mp fs*) **by** (*auto simp*: *Mf-def*)
from *multi-member-split*[*OF mem*] **obtain** *ls* **where**
fs: *image-mset Mp fs* = {# *Mp g* #} + *ls* **by** *auto*
from *f*[*unfolded fs split factorization-m-def*] **show** *g dvdm f*
unfolding *dvdm-def*
by (*intro exI*[*of - smult l* (*prod-mset ls*)], *auto simp del*: *Mp-smult*
simp add: *Mp-smult*(*2*)[*of - Mp g* ∗ *prod-mset ls*, *symmetric*], *simp*)
qed

lemma *dvdm-degree*: *monic u* ⟹ *u dvdm f* ⟹ *Mp f* ≠ *0* ⟹ *degree u* ≤ *degree f*
using *dvdm-imp-degree-le m1* **by** *blast*

end

lemma (**in** *poly-mod-prime*) *pl-dvdm-imp-p-dvdm*:
assumes *l0*: *l* ≠ *0*
and *pl-dvdm*: *poly-mod.dvdm* (*p*^*l*) *a b*
shows *a dvdm b*
proof −
from *l0* **have** *l-gt-0*: *l* > *0* **by** *auto*
with *m1* **interpret** *pl*: *poly-mod-2 p*^*l* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto*)
from *l-gt-0* **have** *p-rw*: *p* ∗ *p* ^ (*l* − *1*) = *p* ^ *l*
by (*cases l*) *simp-all*
obtain *q r* **where** *b*: *b* = *q* ∗ *a* + *smult* (*p*^*l*) *r* **using** *pl.dvdm-imp-div-mod*[*OF pl-dvdm*] **by** *auto*
have *smult* (*p*^*l*) *r* = *smult p* (*smult* (*p* ^ (*l* − *1*)) *r*) **unfolding** *smult-smult p-rw* **..**
hence *b2*: *b* = *q* ∗ *a* + *smult p* (*smult* (*p* ^ (*l* − *1*)) *r*) **using** *b* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
by (*rule div-mod-imp-dvdm*, *rule exI*[*of - q*],
rule exI[*of* - (*smult* (*p* ^ (*l* − *1*)) *r*)], *auto simp add*: *b2*)
qed

end

5.2 Polynomials in a Finite Field

We connect polynomials in a prime field with integer polynomials modulo some prime.

theory *Poly-Mod-Finite-Field*
imports
Finite-Field
Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
HOL−Types-To-Sets.Types-To-Sets
More-Missing-Multiset
Poly-Mod

begin

declare *rel-mset-Zero*[*transfer-rule*]

lemma *mset-transfer*[*transfer-rule*]: (*list-all2 rel* ===> *rel-mset rel*) *mset mset*
proof (*intro rel-funI*)
  show *list-all2 rel xs ys* ⟹ *rel-mset rel* (*mset xs*) (*mset ys*) **for** *xs ys*
  proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
    case *Nil*
    then show *?case* **by** *auto*
  next
    case *IH*: (*Cons x xs*)
   then show *?case* **by** (*auto dest*!:*msed-rel-invL simp*: *list-all2-Cons1 intro*!:*rel-mset-Plus*)
  qed
qed

abbreviation *to-int-poly* :: *'a* :: *finite mod-ring poly* ⇒ *int poly* **where**
  to-int-poly ≡ *map-poly to-int-mod-ring*

interpretation *to-int-poly-hom*: *map-poly-inj-zero-hom to-int-mod-ring* **..**

lemma *irreducible$_d$-def-0*:
  fixes *f* :: *'a* :: {*comm-semiring-1*,*semiring-no-zero-divisors*} *poly*
  shows *irreducible$_d$ f* = (*degree f* ≠ *0* ∧
  (∀ *g h*. *degree g* ≠ *0* ⟶ *degree h* ≠ *0* ⟶ *f* ≠ *g* ∗ *h*))
proof−
  have *degree g* ≠ *0* ⟹ *g* ≠ *0* **for** *g* :: *'a poly* **by** *auto*
  note *1* = *degree-mult-eq*[*OF this this*, *simplified*]
  then show *?thesis* **by** (*force elim*!: *irreducible$_d$E*)
qed

5.3 Transferring to class-based mod-ring

locale *poly-mod-type* = *poly-mod m*
  for *m* **and** *ty* :: *'a* :: *nontriv itself* +
  assumes *m*: *m* = *CARD*(*'a*)
begin

lemma *m1*: *m* > *1* **using** *nontriv*[**where** *'a* = *'a*] **by** (*auto simp*:*m*)

sublocale *poly-mod-2* **using** *m1* **by** *unfold-locales*

definition *MP-Rel* :: *int poly* ⇒ *'a mod-ring poly* ⇒ *bool*
  where *MP-Rel f f'* ≡ (*Mp f* = *to-int-poly f'*)

definition *M-Rel* :: *int* ⇒ *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *bool*
  where *M-Rel x x'* ≡ (*M x* = *to-int-mod-ring x'*)

definition *MF-Rel* ≡ *rel-prod M-Rel* (*rel-mset MP-Rel*)

lemma *to-int-mod-ring-plus*: *to-int-mod-ring* ((*x* :: *'a mod-ring*) + *y*) = *M* (*to-int-mod-ring x* + *to-int-mod-ring y*)
unfolding *M-def* **using** *m* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *to-int-mod-ring-times*: *to-int-mod-ring* ((*x* :: *'a mod-ring*) ∗ *y*) = *M* (*to-int-mod-ring x* ∗ *to-int-mod-ring y*)
unfolding *M-def* **using** *m* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *degree-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> (=)) *degree-m degree*
unfolding *MP-Rel-def rel-fun-def*
by (*auto intro*!: *degree-map-poly*)

lemma *eq-M-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: (*M-Rel* ===> *M-Rel* ===> (=)) (λ *x y*. *M x* = *M y*) (=)
unfolding *M-Rel-def rel-fun-def* **by** *auto*

interpretation *to-int-mod-ring-hom*: *map-poly-inj-zero-hom to-int-mod-ring*..

lemma *eq-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel* ===> (=)) (=*m*) (=)
unfolding *MP-Rel-def rel-fun-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *eq-Mf-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: (*MF-Rel* ===> *MF-Rel* ===> (=)) (λ *x y*. *Mf x* = *Mf y*) (=)
proof (*intro rel-funI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 cfs Cfs dgs Dgs*)
obtain *c fs* **where** *cfs*: *cfs* = (*c*,*fs*) **by** *force*
obtain *C Fs* **where** *Cfs*: *Cfs* = (*C*,*Fs*) **by** *force*
obtain *d gs* **where** *dgs*: *dgs* = (*d*,*gs*) **by** *force*
obtain *D Gs* **where** *Dgs*: *Dgs* = (*D*,*Gs*) **by** *force*
note *pairs* = *cfs Cfs dgs Dgs*
from *1*[*unfolded pairs MF-Rel-def rel-prod.simps*]
have ∗[*transfer-rule*]: *M-Rel c C M-Rel d D rel-mset MP-Rel fs Fs rel-mset MP-Rel gs Gs*
by *auto*
have *eq1*: (*M c* = *M d*) = (*C* = *D*) **by** *transfer-prover*
from ∗(*3*)[*unfolded rel-mset-def*] **obtain** *fs' Fs'* **where** *fs-eq*: *mset fs'* = *fs mset Fs'* = *Fs*
and *rel-f*: *list-all2 MP-Rel fs' Fs'* **by** *auto*
from ∗(*4*)[*unfolded rel-mset-def*] **obtain** *gs' Gs'* **where** *gs-eq*: *mset gs'* = *gs mset Gs'* = *Gs*
and *rel-g*: *list-all2 MP-Rel gs' Gs'* **by** *auto*
have *eq2*: (*image-mset Mp fs* = *image-mset Mp gs*) = (*Fs* = *Gs*)
using ∗(*3*−*4*)
proof (*induct fs arbitrary*: *Fs gs Gs*)
case (*empty Fs gs Gs*)
from *empty*(*1*) **have** *Fs*: *Fs* = {#} **unfolding** *rel-mset-def* **by** *auto*

```
    with empty show ?case by (cases gs; cases Gs; auto simp: rel-mset-def)
  next
    case (add f fs Fs' gs' Gs')
    note [transfer-rule] = add(3)
    from msed-rel-invL[OF add(2)]
    obtain Fs F where Fs': Fs' = Fs + {#F#} and rel[transfer-rule]:
      MP-Rel f F rel-mset MP-Rel fs Fs by auto
    note IH = add(1)[OF rel(2)]
    {
      from add(3)[unfolded rel-mset-def] obtain gs Gs where id: mset gs = gs'
mset Gs = Gs'
        and rel: list-all2 MP-Rel gs Gs by auto
      have Mp f ∈# image-mset Mp gs' ⟷ F ∈# Gs'
      proof −
        have ?thesis = ((Mp f ∈ Mp ‘ set gs) = (F ∈ set Gs))
          unfolding id[symmetric] by simp
        also have ... using rel
        proof (induct gs Gs rule: list-all2-induct)
          case (Cons g gs G Gs)
          note [transfer-rule] = Cons(1−2)
          have id: (Mp g = Mp f) = (F = G) by (transfer, auto)
          show ?case using id Cons(3) by auto
        qed auto
        finally show ?thesis by simp
      qed
    } note id = this
    show ?case
    proof (cases Mp f ∈# image-mset Mp gs')
      case False
      have Mp f ∈# image-mset Mp (fs + {#f#}) by auto
      with False have F: image-mset Mp (fs + {#f#}) ≠ image-mset Mp gs' by
metis
      with False[unfolded id] show ?thesis unfolding Fs' by auto
    next
      case True
      then obtain g where fg: Mp f = Mp g and g: g ∈# gs' by auto
      from g obtain gs where gs': gs' = add-mset g gs by (rule mset-add)
      from msed-rel-invL[OF add(3)[unfolded gs']]
        obtain Gs G where Gs': Gs' = Gs + {# G #} and gG[transfer-rule]:
MP-Rel g G and
          gsGs: rel-mset MP-Rel gs Gs by auto
      have FG: F = G by (transfer, simp add: fg)
      note IH = IH[OF gsGs]
      show ?thesis unfolding gs' Fs' Gs' by (simp add: fg IH FG)
    qed
  qed
  show (Mf cfs = Mf dgs) = (Cfs = Dgs) unfolding pairs Mf-def split
    by (simp add: eq1 eq2)
qed
```

lemmas *coeff-map-poly-of-int* = *coeff-map-poly*[*of of-int*, *OF of-int-0*]

lemma *plus-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel*) (+) (+)
unfolding *MP-Rel-def*
proof (*intro rel-funI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 x f y g*)
have *Mp* (*x* + *y*) = *Mp* (*Mp x* + *Mp y*) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*map-poly to-int-mod-ring f* + *map-poly to-int-mod-ring g*) **unfolding** *1* **..**
also have ... = *map-poly to-int-mod-ring* (*f* + *g*) **unfolding** *poly-eq-iff Mp-coeff*

by (*auto simp*: *to-int-mod-ring-plus*)
finally show *?case* **.**
qed

lemma *times-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel*) ((∗)) ((∗))
unfolding *MP-Rel-def*
proof (*intro rel-funI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 x f y g*)
have *Mp* (*x* ∗ *y*) = *Mp* (*Mp x* ∗ *Mp y*) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*map-poly to-int-mod-ring f* ∗ *map-poly to-int-mod-ring g*) **unfolding** *1* **..**
also have ... = *map-poly to-int-mod-ring* (*f* ∗ *g*)
proof −
{ fix *n* :: *nat*
define *A* **where** *A* = {.. *n*}
have *finite A* **unfolding** *A-def* **by** *auto*
then have *M* (∑ *i*≤*n*. *to-int-mod-ring* (*coeff f i*) ∗ *to-int-mod-ring* (*coeff g* (*n* − *i*))) =
to-int-mod-ring (∑ *i*≤*n*. *coeff f i* ∗ *coeff g* (*n* − *i*))
unfolding *A-def*[*symmetric*]
proof (*induct A*)
case (*insert a A*)
have *?case* = *?case* (**is** (*?l* = *?r*) = -) **by** *simp*
have *?r* = *to-int-mod-ring* (*coeff f a* ∗ *coeff g* (*n* − *a*) + (∑ *i*∈ *A*. *coeff f i* ∗ *coeff g* (*n* − *i*)))
using *insert*(*1*−*2*) **by** *auto*
note *r* = *this*[*unfolded to-int-mod-ring-plus to-int-mod-ring-times*]
from *insert*(*1*−*2*) **have** *?l* = *M* (*to-int-mod-ring* (*coeff f a*) ∗ *to-int-mod-ring* (*coeff g* (*n* − *a*))
+ *M* (∑ *i*∈*A*. *to-int-mod-ring* (*coeff f i*) ∗ *to-int-mod-ring* (*coeff g* (*n* − *i*))))
by *simp*
also have *M* (∑ *i*∈*A*. *to-int-mod-ring* (*coeff f i*) ∗ *to-int-mod-ring* (*coeff g* (*n* − *i*))) = *to-int-mod-ring* (∑ *i*∈*A*. *coeff f i* ∗ *coeff g* (*n* − *i*))
unfolding *insert* **..**

```
      finally
      show ?case unfolding r by simp
    qed auto
  }
  then show ?thesis by (auto intro!:poly-eqI simp: coeff-mult  Mp-coeff)
 qed
 finally show ?case .
qed

lemma smult-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: (M-Rel ===> MP-Rel ===> MP-Rel) smult
smult
 unfolding MP-Rel-def M-Rel-def
proof (intro rel-funI, goal-cases)
 case (1 x x' f f')
 thus ?case unfolding poly-eq-iff coeff Mp-coeff
   coeff-smult M-def
 proof (intro allI, goal-cases)
   case (1 n)
   have x * coeff f n mod m = (x mod m) * (coeff f n mod m) mod m
     by (simp add: mod-simps)
   also have ... = to-int-mod-ring x' * (to-int-mod-ring (coeff f' n)) mod m
     using 1 by auto
   also have  ... = to-int-mod-ring (x' * coeff f' n)
     unfolding to-int-mod-ring-times M-def by simp
   finally show ?case by auto
 qed
qed

lemma one-M-Rel[transfer-rule]: M-Rel 1 1
 unfolding M-Rel-def M-def
 unfolding m by auto

lemma one-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: MP-Rel 1 1
 unfolding MP-Rel-def poly-eq-iff Mp-coeff M-def
 unfolding m by auto

lemma zero-M-Rel[transfer-rule]: M-Rel 0 0
 unfolding M-Rel-def M-def
 unfolding m by auto

lemma zero-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: MP-Rel 0 0
 unfolding MP-Rel-def poly-eq-iff Mp-coeff M-def
 unfolding m by auto

lemma listprod-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: (list-all2 MP-Rel ===> MP-Rel) prod-list
prod-list
proof (intro rel-funI, goal-cases)
 case (1 xs ys)
 thus ?case
```

proof (*induct xs ys rule*: *list-all2-induct*)
case (*Cons x xs y ys*)
note [*transfer-rule*] = *this*
show *?case* **by** *simp transfer-prover*
qed (*simp add*: *one-MP-Rel*)
qed

lemma *prod-mset-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: (*rel-mset MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel*) *prod-mset prod-mset*
proof (*intro rel-funI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 xs ys*)
have (*MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel*) ((∗)) ((∗)) *MP-Rel 1 1* **by** *transfer-prover+*
from *1 this* **show** *?case*
proof (*induct xs ys rule*: *rel-mset-induct*)
case (*add R x xs y ys*)
note [*transfer-rule*] = *this*
show *?case* **by** *simp transfer-prover*
qed (*simp add*: *one-MP-Rel*)
qed

lemma *right-unique-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *right-unique MP-Rel*
unfolding *right-unique-def MP-Rel-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *M-to-int-mod-ring*: *M* (*to-int-mod-ring* (*x* :: *'a mod-ring*)) = *to-int-mod-ring x*
unfolding *M-def* **unfolding** *m* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *Mp-to-int-poly*: *Mp* (*to-int-poly* (*f* :: *'a mod-ring poly*)) = *to-int-poly f*
by (*auto simp*: *poly-eq-iff Mp-coeff M-to-int-mod-ring*)

lemma *right-total-M-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *right-total M-Rel*
unfolding *right-total-def M-Rel-def* **using** *M-to-int-mod-ring* **by** *blast*

lemma *left-total-M-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *left-total M-Rel*
unfolding *left-total-def M-Rel-def*[*abs-def*]
proof
fix *x*
show ∃ *x'* :: *'a mod-ring*. *M x* = *to-int-mod-ring x'* **unfolding** *M-def* **unfolding** *m*
by (*rule exI*[*of - of-int x*], *transfer*, *simp*)
qed

lemma *bi-total-M-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *bi-total M-Rel*
using *right-total-M-Rel left-total-M-Rel* **by** (*metis bi-totalI*)

lemma *right-total-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *right-total MP-Rel*
unfolding *right-total-def MP-Rel-def*
proof

fix f :: $'a$ *mod-ring poly*
show $\exists x.$ *Mp* $x =$ *to-int-poly* f
by (*intro exI*[*of* - *to-int-poly* f], *simp add*: *Mp-to-int-poly*)
qed

lemma *to-int-mod-ring-of-int-M*: *to-int-mod-ring* (*of-int* x :: $'a$ *mod-ring*) = *M* x
unfolding *M-def*
unfolding *m* **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *Mp-f-representative*: *Mp* f = *to-int-poly* (*map-poly of-int* f :: $'a$ *mod-ring poly*)
unfolding *Mp-def* **by** (*auto intro*: *poly-eqI simp*: *coeff-map-poly to-int-mod-ring-of-int-M*)

lemma *left-total-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *left-total MP-Rel*
unfolding *left-total-def MP-Rel-def*[*abs-def*] **using** *Mp-f-representative* **by** *blast*

lemma *bi-total-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *bi-total MP-Rel*
using *right-total-MP-Rel left-total-MP-Rel* **by** (*metis bi-totalI*)

lemma *bi-total-MF-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *bi-total MF-Rel*
unfolding *MF-Rel-def*[*abs-def*]
by (*intro prod.bi-total-rel multiset.bi-total-rel bi-total-MP-Rel bi-total-M-Rel*)

lemma *right-total-MF-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *right-total MF-Rel*
using *bi-total-MF-Rel* **unfolding** *bi-total-alt-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *left-total-MF-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *left-total MF-Rel*
using *bi-total-MF-Rel* **unfolding** *bi-total-alt-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *domain-RT-rel*[*transfer-domain-rule*]: *Domainp MP-Rel* = (*λ f. True*)
proof
fix f :: *int poly*
show *Domainp MP-Rel* f = *True* **unfolding** *MP-Rel-def*[*abs-def*] *Domainp.simps*
by (*auto simp*: *Mp-f-representative*)
qed

lemma *mem-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> *rel-set MP-Rel* ===> (=))
(*λ x Y. ∃ y ∈ Y. eq-m x y*) (∈)
proof (*intro rel-funI iffI*)
fix x y X Y **assume** *xy*: *MP-Rel* x y **and** *XY*: *rel-set MP-Rel* X Y
{ assume $\exists x' \in X.$ $x =m$ x'
then obtain x' **where** $x'X$: $x' \in X$ **and** xx': $x =m$ x' **by** *auto*
with *xy* **have** $x'y$: *MP-Rel* x' y **by** (*auto simp*: *MP-Rel-def*)
from *rel-setD1*[*OF XY* $x'X$] **obtain** y' **where** *MP-Rel* x' y' **and** $y' \in Y$ **by** *auto*
with $x'y$
show $y \in Y$ **by** (*auto simp*: *MP-Rel-def*)
}
assume $y \in Y$

from *rel-setD2*[*OF XY this*] **obtain** x' **where** $x'X$: $x' \in X$ **and** $x'y$: *MP-Rel* x' y **by** *auto*
from xy $x'y$ **have** $x =m\ x'$ **by** (*auto simp*: *MP-Rel-def*)
with $x'X$ **show** $\exists x' \in X.\ x =m\ x'$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *conversep-MP-Rel-OO-MP-Rel* [*simp*]: $MP\text{-}Rel^{-1-1}$ *OO MP-Rel* = (=)
using *Mp-to-int-poly* **by** (*intro ext*, *auto simp*: *OO-def MP-Rel-def*)

lemma *MP-Rel-OO-conversep-MP-Rel* [*simp*]: *MP-Rel OO* $MP\text{-}Rel^{-1-1}$ = *eq-m*
by (*intro ext*, *auto simp*: *OO-def MP-Rel-def Mp-f-representative*)

lemma *conversep-MP-Rel-OO-eq-m* [*simp*]: $MP\text{-}Rel^{-1-1}$ *OO eq-m* = $MP\text{-}Rel^{-1-1}$
by (*intro ext*, *auto simp*: *OO-def MP-Rel-def*)

lemma *eq-m-OO-MP-Rel* [*simp*]: *eq-m OO MP-Rel* = *MP-Rel*
by (*intro ext*, *auto simp*: *OO-def MP-Rel-def*)

lemma *eq-mset-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]: (*rel-mset MP-Rel* ===> *rel-mset MP-Rel* ===> (=)) (*rel-mset eq-m*) (=)
proof (*intro rel-funI iffI*)
fix *A B X Y*
assume *AX*: *rel-mset MP-Rel A X* **and** *BY*: *rel-mset MP-Rel B Y*
{
assume *AB*: *rel-mset eq-m A B*
from *AX* **have** *rel-mset* $MP\text{-}Rel^{-1-1}$ *X A* **by** (*simp add*: *multiset.rel-flip*)
note *rel-mset-OO*[*OF this AB*]
note *rel-mset-OO*[*OF this BY*]
then show *X* = *Y* **by** (*simp add*: *multiset.rel-eq*)
}
assume *X* = *Y*
with *BY* **have** *rel-mset* $MP\text{-}Rel^{-1-1}$ *X B* **by** (*simp add*: *multiset.rel-flip*)
from *rel-mset-OO*[*OF AX this*]
show *rel-mset eq-m A B* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *dvd-MP-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel* ===> (=)) (*dvdm*) (*dvd*)
unfolding *dvdm-def*[*abs-def*] *dvd-def*[*abs-def*]
by *transfer-prover*

lemma *irreducible-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> (=)) *irreducible-m irreducible*
unfolding *irreducible-m-def irreducible-def*
by *transfer-prover*

lemma $irreducible_d\text{-}MP\text{-}Rel$ [*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> (=)) $irreducible_d\text{-}m$ $irreducible_d$
unfolding $irreducible_d\text{-}m\text{-}def$[*abs-def*] $irreducible_d\text{-}def$[*abs-def*]

by *transfer-prover*

lemma *UNIV-M-Rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *rel-set M-Rel* {*0..<m*} *UNIV*
unfolding *rel-set-def M-Rel-def*[*abs-def*] *M-def*
by (*auto simp*: *M-def m*, *goal-cases*, *metis to-int-mod-ring-of-int-mod-ring*, (*transfer*, *auto*)+)

lemma *coeff-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> (=) ===> *M-Rel*) *coeff coeff*
unfolding *rel-fun-def M-Rel-def MP-Rel-def Mp-coeff*[*symmetric*] **by** *auto*

lemma *M-1-1*: *M 1* = *1* **unfolding** *M-def* **unfolding** *m* **by** *simp*

lemma *square-free-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> (=)) *square-free-m square-free*
unfolding *square-free-m-def*[*abs-def*] *square-free-def*[*abs-def*]
by (*transfer-prover-start*, *transfer-step*+, *auto*)

lemma *mset-factors-m-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]: (*rel-mset MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel* ===> (=)) *mset-factors-m mset-factors*
unfolding *mset-factors-def mset-factors-m-def*
by (*transfer-prover-start*, *transfer-step*+, *auto dest*:*eq-m-irreducible-m*)

lemma *coprime-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> *MP-Rel* ===> (=)) *coprime-m coprime*
unfolding *coprime-m-def*[*abs-def*] *coprime-def'* [*abs-def*]
by (*transfer-prover-start*, *transfer-step*+, *auto*)

lemma *prime-elem-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> (=)) *prime-elem-m prime-elem*
unfolding *prime-elem-m-def prime-elem-def* **by** *transfer-prover*

end

context *poly-mod-2* **begin**

lemma *non-empty*: {*0..<m*} ≠ {} **using** *m1* **by** *auto*

lemma *type-to-set*:
assumes *type-def*: ∃(*Rep* :: *'b* ⇒ *int*) *Abs*. *type-definition Rep Abs* {*0* ..< *m* :: *int*}
shows *class.nontriv* (*TYPE*(*'b*)) (**is** *?a*) **and** *m* = *int CARD*(*'b*) (**is** *?b*)
proof −
from *type-def* **obtain** *rep* :: *'b* ⇒ *int* **and** *abs* :: *int* ⇒ *'b* **where** *t*: *type-definition rep abs* {*0* ..< *m*} **by** *auto*
have *card* (*UNIV* :: *'b set*) = *card* {*0* ..< *m*} **using** *t* **by** (*rule type-definition.card*)
also have ... = *m* **using** *m1* **by** *auto*
finally show *?b* **..**
then show *?a* **unfolding** *class.nontriv-def* **using** *m1* **by** *auto*
qed

end

locale *poly-mod-prime-type* = *poly-mod-type m ty* **for** *m* :: *int* **and**
ty :: *'a* :: *prime-card itself*
begin

lemma *factorization-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]:
(*MP-Rel* ===> *MF-Rel* ===> (=)) *factorization-m* (*factorization Irr-Mon*)
unfolding *rel-fun-def*
proof (*intro allI impI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 f F cfs Cfs*)
note [*transfer-rule*] = *1*(*1*)
obtain *c fs* **where** *cfs*: *cfs* = (*c*,*fs*) **by** *force*
obtain *C Fs* **where** *Cfs*: *Cfs* = (*C*,*Fs*) **by** *force*
from *1*(*2*)[*unfolded rel-prod.simps cfs Cfs MF-Rel-def*]
have *tr*[*transfer-rule*]: *M-Rel c C rel-mset MP-Rel fs Fs* **by** *auto*
have *eq*: (*f* =*m smult c* (*prod-mset fs*) = (*F* = *smult C* (*prod-mset Fs*)))
by *transfer-prover*
have *set-mset Fs* ⊆ *Irr-Mon* = (∀ *x* ∈# *Fs*. *irreducible*$_d$ *x* ∧ *monic x*) **unfolding** *Irr-Mon-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = (∀*f*∈#*fs*. *irreducible*$_d$-*m f* ∧ *monic* (*Mp f*))
proof (*rule sym*, *transfer-prover-start*, *transfer-step*+)
{
fix *f*
assume *f* ∈# *fs*
have *monic* (*Mp f*) ⟷ *M* (*coeff f* (*degree-m f*)) = *M 1*
unfolding *Mp-coeff*[*symmetric*] **by** *simp*
}
thus (∀*f*∈#*fs*. *irreducible*$_d$-*m f* ∧ *monic* (*Mp f*)) =
(∀*x*∈#*fs*. *irreducible*$_d$-*m x* ∧ *M* (*coeff x* (*degree-m x*)) = *M 1*) **by** *auto*
qed
finally
show *factorization-m f cfs* = *factorization Irr-Mon F Cfs* **unfolding** *cfs Cfs factorization-m-def factorization-def split eq* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *unique-factorization-MP-Rel* [*transfer-rule*]: (*MP-Rel* ===> *MF-Rel* ===> (=))
unique-factorization-m (*unique-factorization Irr-Mon*)
unfolding *rel-fun-def*
proof (*intro allI impI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 f F cfs Cfs*)
note [*transfer-rule*] = *1*(*1*,*2*)
let *?F* = *factorization Irr-Mon F*
let *?f* = *factorization-m f*
let *?R* = *Collect ?F*
let *?L* = *Mf* ‘ *Collect ?f*
note *X-to-x* = *right-total-MF-Rel*[*unfolded right-total-def*, *rule-format*]

```
  {
    fix X
    assume X ∈ ?R
    hence F: ?F X by simp
    from X-to-x[of X] obtain x where rel[transfer-rule]: MF-Rel x X by blast
    from F[untransferred] have Mf x ∈ ?L by blast
    with rel have ∃ x. Mf x ∈ ?L ∧ MF-Rel x X by blast
  } note R-to-L = this
  show unique-factorization-m f cfs = unique-factorization Irr-Mon F Cfs unfold-
ing
    unique-factorization-m-def unique-factorization-def
  proof −
    have fF: ?F Cfs = ?f cfs by transfer simp
    have (?L = {Mf cfs}) = (?L ⊆ {Mf cfs} ∧ Mf cfs ∈ ?L) by blast
    also have ?L ⊆ {Mf cfs} = (∀ dfs. ?f dfs ⟶ Mf dfs = Mf cfs) by blast
    also have ... = (∀ y. ?F y ⟶ y = Cfs) (is ?left = ?right)
    proof (rule; intro allI impI)
      fix Dfs
      assume ∗: ?left and F: ?F Dfs
       from X-to-x[of Dfs] obtain dfs where [transfer-rule]: MF-Rel dfs Dfs by
auto
      from F[untransferred] have f: ?f dfs .
      from ∗[rule-format, OF f] have eq: Mf dfs = Mf cfs by simp
    have (Mf dfs = Mf cfs) = (Dfs = Cfs) by (transfer-prover-start, transfer-step+,
simp)
      thus Dfs = Cfs using eq by simp
    next
      fix dfs
      assume ∗: ?right and f: ?f dfs
      from left-total-MF-Rel obtain Dfs where
        rel[transfer-rule]: MF-Rel dfs Dfs unfolding left-total-def by blast
      have ?F Dfs by (transfer, rule f)
      from ∗[rule-format, OF this] have eq: Dfs = Cfs .
    have (Mf dfs = Mf cfs) = (Dfs = Cfs) by (transfer-prover-start, transfer-step+,
simp)
      thus Mf dfs = Mf cfs using eq by simp
    qed
    also have Mf cfs ∈ ?L = (∃ dfs. ?f dfs ∧ Mf cfs = Mf dfs) by auto
    also have ... = ?F Cfs  unfolding fF
    proof
      assume ∃ dfs. ?f dfs ∧ Mf cfs  = Mf dfs
      then obtain dfs where f: ?f dfs and id: Mf dfs = Mf cfs by auto
      from f have ?f (Mf dfs) by simp
      from this[unfolded id] show ?f cfs by simp
    qed blast
    finally show (?L = {Mf cfs}) = (?R = {Cfs}) by auto
  qed
qed
```

end

context begin
private lemma *1*: *poly-mod-type TYPE('a :: nontriv) m = (m = int CARD('a))*
 and *2*: *class.nontriv TYPE('a) = (CARD('a) ≥ 2)*
 unfolding *poly-mod-type-def class.prime-card-def class.nontriv-def poly-mod-prime-type-def*
by *auto*

private lemma *3*: *poly-mod-prime-type TYPE('b) m = (m = int CARD('b))*
 and *4*: *class.prime-card TYPE('b :: prime-card) = prime CARD('b :: prime-card)*

 unfolding *poly-mod-type-def class.prime-card-def class.nontriv-def poly-mod-prime-type-def*
by *auto*

lemmas *poly-mod-type-simps = 1 2 3 4*
end

lemma *remove-duplicate-premise*: *(PROP P ⟹ PROP P ⟹ PROP Q) ≡ (PROP P ⟹ PROP Q)* (**is** *?l ≡ ?r*)
proof (*intro Pure.equal-intr-rule*)
 assume *p*: *PROP P* **and** *ppq*: *PROP ?l*
 from *ppq*[*OF p p*] **show** *PROP Q*.
next
 assume *p*: *PROP P* **and** *pq*: *PROP ?r*
 from *pq*[*OF p*] **show** *PROP Q*.
qed

context *poly-mod-prime* **begin**

lemma *type-to-set*:
 assumes *type-def*: *∃(Rep :: 'b ⇒ int) Abs. type-definition Rep Abs {0 ..< p :: int}*
 shows *class.prime-card* (*TYPE('b)*) (**is** *?a*) **and** *p = int CARD('b)* (**is** *?b*)
proof −
 from *prime* **have** *p2*: *p ≥ 2* **by** (*rule prime-ge-2-int*)
 from *type-def* **obtain** *rep :: 'b ⇒ int* **and** *abs :: int ⇒ 'b* **where** *t*: *type-definition rep abs {0 ..< p}* **by** *auto*
 have *card* (*UNIV :: 'b set*) = *card {0 ..< p}* **using** *t* **by** (*rule type-definition.card*)
 also have *... = p* **using** *p2* **by** *auto*
 finally show *?b* **..**
 then show *?a* **unfolding** *class.prime-card-def* **using** *prime p2* **by** *auto*
qed
end

lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-type*) *prime-elem-m-dvdm-multD = prime-elem-dvd-multD*
 [**where** *'a = 'a mod-ring poly,untransferred*]

lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-2*) *prime-elem-m-dvdm-multD* = *poly-mod-type.prime-elem-m-dvdm-multD*
[*unfolded poly-mod-type-simps*, *internalize-sort* *'a* :: *nontriv*, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded remove-duplicate-premise*, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas(**in** *poly-mod-prime-type*) *degree-m-mult-eq* = *degree-mult-eq*
[**where** *'a* = *'a mod-ring*, *untransferred*]
lemmas(**in** *poly-mod-prime*) *degree-m-mult-eq* = *poly-mod-prime-type.degree-m-mult-eq*
[*unfolded poly-mod-type-simps*, *internalize-sort* *'a* :: *prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded remove-duplicate-premise*, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemma(**in** *poly-mod-prime*) *irreducible$_d$-lifting*:
assumes *n*: *n* ≠ *0*
and *deg*: *poly-mod.degree-m* (*p*^*n*) *f* = *degree-m f*
and *irr*: *irreducible$_d$-m f*
shows *poly-mod.irreducible$_d$-m* (*p*^*n*) *f*
proof −
interpret *q*: *poly-mod-2 p*^*n* **unfolding** *poly-mod-2-def* **using** *n m1* **by** *auto*
show *q.irreducible$_d$-m f*
proof (*rule q.irreducible$_d$-mI*)
from *deg irr* **show** *q.degree-m f* > *0* **by** (*auto elim*: *irreducible$_d$-mE*)
then have *pdeg-f*: *degree-m f* ≠ *0* **by** (*simp add*: *deg*)
note *pMp-Mp* = *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp*[*OF n m1*]
fix *g h*
assume *deg-g*: *degree g* < *q.degree-m f* **and** *deg-h*: *degree h* < *q.degree-m f*
and *eq*: *q.eq-m f* (*g* ∗ *h*)
from *eq* **have** *p-f*: *f* =*m* (*g* ∗ *h*) **using** *pMp-Mp* **by** *metis*
have ¬*g* =*m* *0* **and** ¬*h* =*m* *0*
apply (*metis degree-0 mult-zero-left Mp-0 p-f pdeg-f poly-mod.mult-Mp*(*1*))
by (*metis degree-0 mult-eq-0-iff Mp-0 mult-Mp*(*2*) *p-f pdeg-f*)
note [*simp*] = *degree-m-mult-eq*[*OF this*]
from *degree-m-le*[*of g*] *deg-g*
have *2*: *degree-m g* < *degree-m f* **by** (*fold deg*, *auto*)
from *degree-m-le*[*of h*] *deg-h*
have *3*: *degree-m h* < *degree-m f* **by** (*fold deg*, *auto*)
from *irreducible$_d$-mD*(*2*)[*OF irr 2 3*] *p-f*
show *False* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime-type*) *mset-factors-exist* =
mset-factors-exist[**where** *'a* = *'a mod-ring poly*,*untransferred*]
lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime*) *mset-factors-exist* = *poly-mod-prime-type.mset-factors-exist*
[*unfolded poly-mod-type-simps*, *internalize-sort* *'a* :: *prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded remove-duplicate-premise*, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime-type*) *mset-factors-unique* =
mset-factors-unique[**where** *'a* = *'a mod-ring poly*,*untransferred*]
lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime*) *mset-factors-unique* = *poly-mod-prime-type.mset-factors-unique*

[*unfolded poly-mod-type-simps*, *internalize-sort* *'a* :: *prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime-type*) *prime-elem-iff-irreducible* =
prime-elem-iff-irreducible[**where** *'a* = *'a mod-ring poly*,*untransferred*]
lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime*) *prime-elem-iff-irreducible*[*simp*] = *poly-mod-prime-type.prime-elem-iff-irreducible*
[*unfolded poly-mod-type-simps*, *internalize-sort* *'a* :: *prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime-type*) *irreducible-connect* =
irreducible-connect-field[**where** *'a* = *'a mod-ring*, *untransferred*]
lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime*) *irreducible-connect*[*simp*] = *poly-mod-prime-type.irreducible-connect*
[*unfolded poly-mod-type-simps*, *internalize-sort* *'a* :: *prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime-type*) *irreducible-degree* =
irreducible-degree-field[**where** *'a* = *'a mod-ring*, *untransferred*]
lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime*) *irreducible-degree* = *poly-mod-prime-type.irreducible-degree*
[*unfolded poly-mod-type-simps*, *internalize-sort* *'a* :: *prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

end

5.4 Karatsuba's Multiplication Algorithm for Polynomials

theory *Karatsuba-Multiplication*
imports
Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial
begin

lemma *karatsuba-main-step*: **fixes** *f* :: *'a* :: *comm-ring-1 poly*
assumes *f*: *f* = *monom-mult n f1* + *f0* **and** *g*: *g* = *monom-mult n g1* + *g0*
shows
monom-mult (*n* + *n*) (*f1* ∗ *g1*) + (*monom-mult n* (*f1* ∗ *g1* − (*f1* − *f0*) ∗ (*g1*
− *g0*) + *f0* ∗ *g0*) + *f0* ∗ *g0*) = *f* ∗ *g*
unfolding *assms*
by (*auto simp*: *field-simps mult-monom monom-mult-def*)

lemma *karatsuba-single-sided*: **fixes** *f* :: *'a* :: *comm-ring-1 poly*
assumes *f* = *monom-mult n f1* + *f0*
shows *monom-mult n* (*f1* ∗ *g*) + *f0* ∗ *g* = *f* ∗ *g*
unfolding *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *field-simps mult-monom monom-mult-def*)

definition *split-at* :: *nat* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* × *'a list* **where**
[*code del*]: *split-at n xs* = (*take n xs*, *drop n xs*)

lemma *split-at-code*[*code*]:

```
  split-at n [] = ([],[])
  split-at n (x # xs) = (if n = 0 then ([], x # xs) else case split-at (n−1) xs of
(bef,aft)
    ⇒ (x # bef, aft))
  unfolding split-at-def by (force, cases n, auto)

fun coeffs-minus :: 'a :: ab-group-add list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
  coeffs-minus (x # xs) (y # ys) = ((x − y) # coeffs-minus xs ys)
| coeffs-minus xs [] = xs
| coeffs-minus [] ys = map uminus ys
```

The following constant determines at which size we will switch to the standard multiplication algorithm.

```
definition karatsuba-lower-bound where [termination-simp]: karatsuba-lower-bound
= (7 :: nat)

fun karatsuba-main :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a poly
where
 karatsuba-main f n g m = (if n ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound ∨ m ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound
then
    let ff = poly-of-list f in foldr (λa p. smult a ff + pCons 0 p) g 0
   else let n2 = n div 2 in
   if m > n2 then (case split-at n2 f of
   (f0,f1) ⇒ case split-at n2 g of
   (g0,g1) ⇒ let
      p1 = karatsuba-main f1 (n − n2) g1 (m − n2);
      p2 = karatsuba-main (coeffs-minus f1 f0) n2 (coeffs-minus g1 g0) n2;
      p3 = karatsuba-main f0 n2 g0 n2
      in monom-mult (n2 + n2) p1 + (monom-mult n2 (p1 − p2 + p3) + p3))
    else case split-at n2 f of
    (f0,f1) ⇒ let
       p1 = karatsuba-main f1 (n − n2) g m;
       p2 = karatsuba-main f0 n2 g m
     in monom-mult n2 p1 + p2)

declare karatsuba-main.simps[simp del]

lemma poly-of-list-split-at: assumes split-at n f = (f0,f1)
  shows poly-of-list f = monom-mult n (poly-of-list f1) + poly-of-list f0
proof −
  from assms have id: f1 = drop n f f0 = take n f unfolding split-at-def by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding id
  proof (rule poly-eqI)
    fix i
    show coeff (poly-of-list f) i =
      coeff (monom-mult n (poly-of-list (drop n f)) + poly-of-list (take n f)) i
       unfolding monom-mult-def coeff-monom-mult coeff-add poly-of-list-def co-
eff-Poly
    by (cases n ≤ i; cases i ≥ length f, auto simp: nth-default-nth nth-default-beyond)
```

```
  qed
qed

lemma coeffs-minus: poly-of-list (coeffs-minus f1 f0) = poly-of-list f1 − poly-of-list
f0
proof (rule poly-eqI, unfold poly-of-list-def coeff-diff coeff-Poly)
  fix i
  show nth-default 0 (coeffs-minus f1 f0) i = nth-default 0 f1 i − nth-default 0 f0
i
  proof (induct f1 f0 arbitrary: i rule: coeffs-minus.induct)
    case (1 x xs y ys)
    thus ?case by (cases i, auto)
  next
    case (3 x xs)
    thus ?case unfolding coeffs-minus.simps
      by (subst nth-default-map-eq[of uminus 0 0], auto)
  qed auto
qed

lemma karatsuba-main: karatsuba-main f n g m = poly-of-list f ∗ poly-of-list g
proof (induct n arbitrary: f g m rule: less-induct)
  case (less n f g m)
  note simp[simp] = karatsuba-main.simps[of f n g m]
  show ?case (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof (cases (n ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound ∨ m ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound) = False)
    case False
     hence lhs: ?lhs = foldr (λa p. smult a (poly-of-list f) + pCons 0 p) g 0 by
simp
    have rhs: ?rhs = poly-of-list g ∗ poly-of-list f by simp
    also have ... = foldr (λa p. smult a (poly-of-list f) + pCons 0 p) (strip-while
((=) 0) g) 0
      unfolding times-poly-def fold-coeffs-def poly-of-list-impl ..
    also have ... = ?lhs unfolding lhs
    proof (induct g)
      case (Cons x xs)
      have ∀ x∈set xs. x = 0 ⟹ foldr (λa p. smult a (Poly f) + pCons 0 p) xs 0
= 0
        by (induct xs, auto)
      thus ?case using Cons by (auto simp: cCons-def Cons)
    qed auto
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case True
    let ?n2 = n div 2
    have ?n2 < n n − ?n2 < n using True unfolding karatsuba-lower-bound-def
by auto
    note IH = less[OF this(1)] less[OF this(2)]
    obtain f1 f0 where f: split-at ?n2 f = (f0,f1) by force
    obtain g1 g0 where g: split-at ?n2 g = (g0,g1) by force
```

```
    note fsplit = poly-of-list-split-at[OF f]
    note gsplit = poly-of-list-split-at[OF g]
   show ?lhs = ?rhs unfolding simp Let-def f g split IH True if-False coeffs-minus
     karatsuba-single-sided[OF fsplit] karatsuba-main-step[OF fsplit gsplit] by auto
  qed
qed


definition karatsuba-mult-poly :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ 'a poly where
  karatsuba-mult-poly f g = (let ff = coeffs f; gg = coeffs g; n = length ff; m =
length gg
    in (if n ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound ∨ m ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound then if n ≤ m
    then foldr (λa p. smult a g + pCons 0 p) ff 0
    else foldr (λa p. smult a f + pCons 0 p) gg 0
    else if n ≤ m
    then karatsuba-main gg m ff n
    else karatsuba-main ff n gg m))

lemma karatsuba-mult-poly: karatsuba-mult-poly f g = f * g
proof −
  note d = karatsuba-mult-poly-def Let-def
  let ?len = length (coeffs f) ≤ length (coeffs g)
  show ?thesis (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
   proof (cases length (coeffs f) ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound ∨ length (coeffs g) ≤
karatsuba-lower-bound)
    case True note outer = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ?len)
      case True
       with outer have ?lhs = foldr (λa p. smult a g + pCons 0 p) (coeffs f) 0
unfolding d by auto
      also have ... = ?rhs unfolding times-poly-def fold-coeffs-def by auto
      finally show ?thesis .
    next
      case False
       with outer have ?lhs = foldr (λa p. smult a f + pCons 0 p) (coeffs g) 0
unfolding d by auto
      also have ... = g * f unfolding times-poly-def fold-coeffs-def by auto
      also have ... = ?rhs by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  next
    case False note outer = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ?len)
      case True
       with outer have ?lhs = karatsuba-main (coeffs g) (length (coeffs g)) (coeffs
f) (length (coeffs f))
        unfolding d by auto
```

also have ... = $g * f$ **unfolding** *karatsuba-main* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *?rhs* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **.**
next
case *False*
with *outer* **have** *?lhs* = *karatsuba-main* (*coeffs f*) (*length* (*coeffs f*)) (*coeffs g*) (*length* (*coeffs g*))
unfolding *d* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *?rhs* **unfolding** *karatsuba-main* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed
qed
qed

lemma *karatsuba-mult-poly-code-unfold*[*code-unfold*]: (∗) = *karatsuba-mult-poly*
by (*intro ext*, *unfold karatsuba-mult-poly*, *auto*)

The following declaration will resolve a race-conflict between (∗) = *karatsuba-mult-poly* and *monom* (*1*::*?'a*) *?n* ∗ *?f* = *monom-mult ?n ?f*
?f ∗ *monom* (*1*::*?'a*) *?n* = *monom-mult ?n ?f*.

lemmas *karatsuba-monom-mult-code-unfold*[*code-unfold*] =
monom-mult-unfold[**where** *f* = *f* :: *'a* :: *comm-ring-1 poly* **for** *f*, *unfolded karatsuba-mult-poly-code-unfold*]

end

5.5 Record Based Version

We provide an implementation for polynomials which may be parametrized by the ring- or field-operations. These don't have to be type-based!

5.5.1 Definitions

theory *Polynomial-Record-Based*
imports
Arithmetic-Record-Based
Karatsuba-Multiplication
begin

context
fixes *ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record* (**structure**)
begin
private abbreviation (*input*) *zero* **where** *zero* ≡ *arith-ops-record.zero ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *one* **where** *one* ≡ *arith-ops-record.one ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *plus* **where** *plus* ≡ *arith-ops-record.plus ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *times* **where** *times* ≡ *arith-ops-record.times ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *minus* **where** *minus* ≡ *arith-ops-record.minus ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *uminus* **where** *uminus* ≡ *arith-ops-record.uminus ops*

private abbreviation (*input*) *divide* **where** *divide* ≡ *arith-ops-record.divide ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *inverse* **where** *inverse* ≡ *arith-ops-record.inverse ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *modulo* **where** *modulo* ≡ *arith-ops-record.modulo ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *normalize* **where** *normalize* ≡ *arith-ops-record.normalize ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *unit-factor* **where** *unit-factor* ≡ *arith-ops-record.unit-factor ops*
private abbreviation (*input*) *DP* **where** *DP* ≡ *arith-ops-record.DP ops*

definition *is-poly* :: *'i list* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-poly xs ⟷ *list-all DP xs* ∧ *no-trailing* (*HOL.eq zero*) *xs*

definition *cCons-i* :: *'i* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list*
where
cCons-i x xs = (*if xs* = [] ∧ *x* = *zero then* [] *else x* # *xs*)

fun *plus-poly-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* **where**
plus-poly-i (*x* # *xs*) (*y* # *ys*) = *cCons-i* (*plus x y*) (*plus-poly-i xs ys*)
| *plus-poly-i xs* [] = *xs*
| *plus-poly-i* [] *ys* = *ys*

definition *uminus-poly-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* **where**
[*code-unfold*]: *uminus-poly-i* = *map uminus*

fun *minus-poly-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* **where**
minus-poly-i (*x* # *xs*) (*y* # *ys*) = *cCons-i* (*minus x y*) (*minus-poly-i xs ys*)
| *minus-poly-i xs* [] = *xs*
| *minus-poly-i* [] *ys* = *uminus-poly-i ys*

abbreviation (*input*) *zero-poly-i* :: *'i list* **where**
zero-poly-i ≡ []

definition *one-poly-i* :: *'i list* **where**
[*code-unfold*]: *one-poly-i* = [*one*]

definition *smult-i* :: *'i* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* **where**
smult-i a pp = (*if a* = *zero then* [] *else strip-while* ((=) *zero*) (*map* (*times a*) *pp*))

definition *sdiv-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i* ⇒ *'i list* **where**
sdiv-i pp a = (*strip-while* ((=) *zero*) (*map* (λ *c*. *divide c a*) *pp*))

definition *poly-of-list-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* **where**
poly-of-list-i = *strip-while* ((=) *zero*)

fun *coeffs-minus-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* **where**
coeffs-minus-i (*x* # *xs*) (*y* # *ys*) = (*minus x y* # *coeffs-minus-i xs ys*)

```
| coeffs-minus-i xs [] = xs
| coeffs-minus-i [] ys = map uminus ys

definition monom-mult-i :: nat ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
  monom-mult-i n xs = (if xs = [] then xs else replicate n zero @ xs)

fun karatsuba-main-i :: 'i list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'i list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'i list where
 karatsuba-main-i f n g m = (if n ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound ∨ m ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound
then
   let ff = poly-of-list-i f in foldr (λa p. plus-poly-i (smult-i a ff) (cCons-i zero p))
g zero-poly-i
   else let n2 = n div 2 in
   if m > n2 then (case split-at n2 f of
   (f0,f1) ⇒ case split-at n2 g of
   (g0,g1) ⇒ let
      p1 = karatsuba-main-i f1 (n − n2) g1 (m − n2);
      p2 = karatsuba-main-i (coeffs-minus-i f1 f0) n2 (coeffs-minus-i g1 g0) n2;
      p3 = karatsuba-main-i f0 n2 g0 n2
      in plus-poly-i (monom-mult-i (n2 + n2) p1)
        (plus-poly-i (monom-mult-i n2 (plus-poly-i (minus-poly-i p1 p2) p3)) p3))
    else case split-at n2 f of
    (f0,f1) ⇒ let
       p1 = karatsuba-main-i f1 (n − n2) g m;
       p2 = karatsuba-main-i f0 n2 g m
     in plus-poly-i (monom-mult-i n2 p1) p2)

definition times-poly-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
  times-poly-i f g ≡ (let n = length f; m = length g
    in (if n ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound ∨ m ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound then if n ≤ m
then
      foldr (λa p. plus-poly-i (smult-i a g) (cCons-i zero p)) f zero-poly-i else
      foldr (λa p. plus-poly-i (smult-i a f) (cCons-i zero p)) g zero-poly-i else
      if n ≤ m then karatsuba-main-i g m f n else karatsuba-main-i f n g m))

definition coeff-i :: 'i list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'i where
  coeff-i = nth-default zero

definition degree-i :: 'i list ⇒ nat where
  degree-i pp ≡ length pp − 1

definition lead-coeff-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i where
  lead-coeff-i pp = (case pp of [] ⇒ zero | - ⇒ last pp)

definition monic-i :: 'i list ⇒ bool where
  monic-i pp = (lead-coeff-i pp = one)

fun minus-poly-rev-list-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
  minus-poly-rev-list-i (x # xs) (y # ys) = (minus x y) # (minus-poly-rev-list-i xs
ys)
```

```
| minus-poly-rev-list-i xs [] = xs
| minus-poly-rev-list-i [] (y # ys) = []

fun divmod-poly-one-main-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list
  ⇒ nat ⇒ 'i list × 'i list where
  divmod-poly-one-main-i q r d (Suc n) = (let
     a = hd r;
     qqq = cCons-i a q;
     rr = tl (if a = zero then r else minus-poly-rev-list-i r (map (times a) d))
     in divmod-poly-one-main-i qqq rr d n)
| divmod-poly-one-main-i q r d 0 = (q,r)

fun mod-poly-one-main-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list
  ⇒ nat ⇒ 'i list where
  mod-poly-one-main-i r d (Suc n) = (let
     a = hd r;
     rr = tl (if a = zero then r else minus-poly-rev-list-i r (map (times a) d))
     in mod-poly-one-main-i rr d n)
| mod-poly-one-main-i r d 0 = r

definition pdivmod-monic-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list × 'i list where
  pdivmod-monic-i cf cg ≡ case
     divmod-poly-one-main-i [] (rev cf) (rev cg) (1 + length cf − length cg)
     of (q,r) ⇒ (poly-of-list-i q, poly-of-list-i (rev r))

definition dupe-monic-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list ×
'i list where
  dupe-monic-i D H S T U = (case pdivmod-monic-i (times-poly-i T U) D of (Q,R)
⇒
     (plus-poly-i (times-poly-i S U) (times-poly-i H Q), R))

definition of-int-poly-i :: int poly ⇒ 'i list where
  of-int-poly-i f = map (arith-ops-record.of-int ops) (coeffs f)

definition to-int-poly-i :: 'i list ⇒ int poly where
  to-int-poly-i f = poly-of-list (map (arith-ops-record.to-int ops) f)

definition dupe-monic-i-int :: int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int
poly ⇒ int poly × int poly where
  dupe-monic-i-int D H S T = (let
      d = of-int-poly-i D;
      h = of-int-poly-i H;
      s = of-int-poly-i S;
      t = of-int-poly-i T
    in (λ U. case dupe-monic-i d h s t (of-int-poly-i U) of
      (D',H') ⇒ (to-int-poly-i D', to-int-poly-i H')))

definition div-field-poly-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
  div-field-poly-i cf cg = (
```

```
    if cg = [] then zero-poly-i
      else let ilc = inverse (last cg); ch = map (times ilc) cg;
               q = fst (divmod-poly-one-main-i [] (rev cf) (rev ch) (1 + length cf
- length cg))
           in poly-of-list-i ((map (times ilc) q)))

definition mod-field-poly-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
  mod-field-poly-i cf cg = (
    if cg = [] then cf
      else let ilc = inverse (last cg); ch = map (times ilc) cg;
               r = mod-poly-one-main-i (rev cf) (rev ch) (1 + length cf - length
cg)
           in poly-of-list-i (rev r))

definition normalize-poly-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
  normalize-poly-i xs = smult-i (inverse (unit-factor (lead-coeff-i xs))) xs

definition unit-factor-poly-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
  unit-factor-poly-i xs = cCons-i (unit-factor (lead-coeff-i xs)) []

fun pderiv-main-i :: 'i ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
  pderiv-main-i f (x # xs) = cCons-i (times f x) (pderiv-main-i (plus f one) xs)
| pderiv-main-i f [] = []

definition pderiv-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
  pderiv-i xs = pderiv-main-i one (tl xs)

definition dvd-poly-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ bool where
  dvd-poly-i xs ys = (∃ zs. is-poly zs ∧ ys = times-poly-i xs zs)

definition irreducible-i :: 'i list ⇒ bool where
  irreducible-i xs = (degree-i xs ≠ 0 ∧
  (∀ q r. is-poly q ⟶ is-poly r ⟶ degree-i q < degree-i xs ⟶ degree-i r < degree-i
xs
    ⟶ xs ≠ times-poly-i q r))

definition poly-ops :: 'i list arith-ops-record where
  poly-ops ≡ Arith-Ops-Record
      zero-poly-i
      one-poly-i
      plus-poly-i
      times-poly-i
      minus-poly-i
      uminus-poly-i
      div-field-poly-i
      (λ -. []) — not defined
      mod-field-poly-i
      normalize-poly-i
      unit-factor-poly-i
```

(λ *i*. *if i = 0 then* [] *else* [*arith-ops-record.of-int ops i*])
(λ -. *0*) — not defined
is-poly

definition *gcd-poly-i* :: *'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list* **where**
gcd-poly-i = arith-ops.gcd-eucl-i poly-ops

definition *euclid-ext-poly-i* :: *'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ ('i list × 'i list) × 'i list* **where**
euclid-ext-poly-i = arith-ops.euclid-ext-i poly-ops

definition *separable-i* :: *'i list ⇒ bool* **where**
separable-i xs ≡ gcd-poly-i xs (pderiv-i xs) = one-poly-i

end

5.5.2 Properties

definition *pdivmod-monic* :: *'a::comm-ring-1 poly ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ 'a poly × 'a poly* **where**
pdivmod-monic f g ≡ let cg = coeffs g; cf = coeffs f;
(q, r) = divmod-poly-one-main-list [] (rev cf) (rev cg) (1 + length cf − length cg)
in (poly-of-list q, poly-of-list (rev r))

lemma *coeffs-smult'*: *coeffs (smult a p) = (if a = 0 then [] else strip-while ((=) 0) (map (Groups.times a) (coeffs p)))*
by (*simp add*: *coeffs-map-poly smult-conv-map-poly*)

lemma *coeffs-sdiv*: *coeffs (sdiv-poly p a) = (strip-while ((=) 0) (map (λ x. x div a) (coeffs p)))*
unfolding *sdiv-poly-def* **by** (*rule coeffs-map-poly*)

lifting-forget *poly.lifting*

context *ring-ops*
begin

definition *poly-rel* :: *'i list ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ bool* **where**
poly-rel x x' ⟷ list-all2 R x (coeffs x')

lemma *right-total-poly-rel*[*transfer-rule*]:
right-total poly-rel
using *list.right-total-rel*[*of R*] *right-total* **unfolding** *poly-rel-def right-total-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *poly-rel-inj*: *poly-rel x y ⟹ poly-rel x z ⟹ y = z*
using *list.bi-unique-rel*[*OF bi-unique*] **unfolding** *poly-rel-def coeffs-eq-iff bi-unique-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *bi-unique-poly-rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *bi-unique poly-rel*
using *list.bi-unique-rel*[*OF bi-unique*] **unfolding** *poly-rel-def bi-unique-def coeffs-eq-iff* **by** *auto*

lemma *Domainp-is-poly* [*transfer-domain-rule*]:
Domainp poly-rel = *is-poly ops*
unfolding *poly-rel-def* [*abs-def*] *is-poly-def* [*abs-def*]
proof (*intro ext iffI*, *unfold Domainp-iff*)
note *DPR* = *fun-cong* [*OF list.Domainp-rel* [*of R*, *unfolded DPR*],
unfolded Domainp-iff]
let *?no-trailing* = *no-trailing* (*HOL.eq zero*)
fix *xs*
have *no-trailing*: *no-trailing* (*HOL.eq 0*) *xs'* ⟷ *?no-trailing xs*
if *list-all2 R xs xs'* **for** *xs'*
proof (*cases xs rule*: *rev-cases*)
case *Nil*
with *that* **show** *?thesis*
by *simp*
next
case (*snoc ys y*)
with *that* **have** *xs'* ≠ []
by *auto*
then obtain *ys' y'* **where** *xs'* = *ys'* @ [*y'*]
by (*cases xs' rule*: *rev-cases*) *simp-all*
with *that snoc* **show** *?thesis*
by *simp* (*meson bi-unique bi-unique-def zero*)
qed
let *?DPR* = *arith-ops-record.DP ops*
{
assume ∃ *x'*. *list-all2 R xs* (*coeffs x'*)
then obtain *xs'* **where** ∗: *list-all2 R xs* (*coeffs xs'*) **by** *auto*
with *DPR* [*of xs*] **have** *list-all ?DPR xs* **by** *auto*
then show *list-all ?DPR xs* ∧ *?no-trailing xs*
using *no-trailing* [*OF* ∗] **by** *simp*
}
{
assume *list-all ?DPR xs* ∧ *?no-trailing xs*
with *DPR* [*of xs*] **obtain** *xs'* **where** ∗: *list-all2 R xs xs'* **and** *?no-trailing xs*
by *auto*
from *no-trailing* [*OF* ∗] *this*(*2*) **have** *no-trailing* (*HOL.eq 0*) *xs'*
by *simp*
hence *coeffs* (*poly-of-list xs'*) = *xs'* **unfolding** *poly-of-list-impl* **by** *auto*
with ∗ **show** ∃ *x'*. *list-all2 R xs* (*coeffs x'*) **by** *metis*
}
qed

lemma *poly-rel-zero*[*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel zero-poly-i 0*

unfolding *poly-rel-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *poly-rel-one*[*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel* (*one-poly-i ops*) *1*
unfolding *poly-rel-def one-poly-i-def* **by** (*simp add*: *one*)

lemma *poly-rel-cCons*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *list-all2 R* ===> *list-all2 R*) (*cCons-i ops*) *cCons*
unfolding *cCons-i-def*[*abs-def*] *cCons-def*[*abs-def*]
by *transfer-prover*

lemma *poly-rel-pCons*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel*) (*cCons-i ops*) *pCons*
unfolding *rel-fun-def poly-rel-def coeffs-pCons-eq-cCons cCons-def*[*symmetric*]
using *poly-rel-cCons*[*unfolded rel-fun-def*] **by** *auto*

lemma *poly-rel-eq*[*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel* ===> (=)) (=) (=)
unfolding *poly-rel-def*[*abs-def*] *coeffs-eq-iff*[*abs-def*] *rel-fun-def*
by (*metis bi-unique bi-uniqueDl bi-uniqueDr list.bi-unique-rel*)

lemma *poly-rel-plus*[*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel*) (*plus-poly-i ops*) (+)
proof (*intro rel-funI*)
fix *x1 y1 x2 y2*
assume *poly-rel x1 x2* **and** *poly-rel y1 y2*
thus *poly-rel* (*plus-poly-i ops x1 y1*) (*x2* + *y2*)
unfolding *poly-rel-def coeffs-eq-iff coeffs-plus-eq-plus-coeffs*
proof (*induct x1 y1 arbitrary*: *x2 y2 rule*: *plus-poly-i.induct*)
case (*1 x1 xs1 y1 ys1 X2 Y2*)
from *1*(*2*) **obtain** *x2 xs2* **where** *X2*: *coeffs X2* = *x2* # *coeffs xs2*
by (*cases X2*, *auto simp*: *cCons-def split*: *if-splits*)
from *1*(*3*) **obtain** *y2 ys2* **where** *Y2*: *coeffs Y2* = *y2* # *coeffs ys2*
by (*cases Y2*, *auto simp*: *cCons-def split*: *if-splits*)
from *1*(*2*) *1*(*3*) **have** [*transfer-rule*]: *R x1 x2 R y1 y2*
and ∗: *list-all2 R xs1* (*coeffs xs2*) *list-all2 R ys1* (*coeffs ys2*) **unfolding** *X2 Y2* **by** *auto*
note [*transfer-rule*] = *1*(*1*)[*OF* ∗]
show *?case* **unfolding** *X2 Y2* **by** *simp transfer-prover*
next
case (*2 xs1 xs2 ys2*)
thus *?case* **by** (*cases coeffs xs2*, *auto*)
next
case (*3 xs2 y1 ys1 Y2*)
thus *?case* **by** (*cases Y2*, *auto simp*: *cCons-def*)
qed

qed

lemma *poly-rel-uminus*[*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel*) (*uminus-poly-i ops*) *Groups.uminus*
proof (*intro rel-funI*)
fix *x y*
assume *poly-rel x y*
hence [*transfer-rule*]: *list-all2 R x* (*coeffs y*) **unfolding** *poly-rel-def* **.**
show *poly-rel* (*uminus-poly-i ops x*) (−*y*)
unfolding *poly-rel-def coeffs-uminus uminus-poly-i-def* **by** *transfer-prover*
qed

lemma *poly-rel-minus*[*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel*) (*minus-poly-i ops*) (−)
proof (*intro rel-funI*)
fix *x1 y1 x2 y2*
assume *poly-rel x1 x2* **and** *poly-rel y1 y2*
thus *poly-rel* (*minus-poly-i ops x1 y1*) (*x2* − *y2*)
unfolding *diff-conv-add-uminus*
unfolding *poly-rel-def coeffs-eq-iff coeffs-plus-eq-plus-coeffs coeffs-uminus*
proof (*induct x1 y1 arbitrary*: *x2 y2 rule*: *minus-poly-i.induct*)
case (*1 x1 xs1 y1 ys1 X2 Y2*)
from *1*(*2*) **obtain** *x2 xs2* **where** *X2*: *coeffs X2* = *x2* # *coeffs xs2*
by (*cases X2*, *auto simp*: *cCons-def split*: *if-splits*)
from *1*(*3*) **obtain** *y2 ys2* **where** *Y2*: *coeffs Y2* = *y2* # *coeffs ys2*
by (*cases Y2*, *auto simp*: *cCons-def split*: *if-splits*)
from *1*(*2*) *1*(*3*) **have** [*transfer-rule*]: *R x1 x2 R y1 y2*
and ∗: *list-all2 R xs1* (*coeffs xs2*) *list-all2 R ys1* (*coeffs ys2*) **unfolding** *X2 Y2* **by** *auto*
note [*transfer-rule*] = *1*(*1*)[*OF* ∗]
show *?case* **unfolding** *X2 Y2* **by** *simp transfer-prover*
next
case (*2 xs1 xs2 ys2*)
thus *?case* **by** (*cases coeffs xs2*, *auto*)
next
case (*3 xs2 y1 ys1 Y2*)
from *3*(*1*) **have** *id0*: *coeffs ys1* = *coeffs 0* **by** (*cases ys1*, *auto*)
have *id1*: *minus-poly-i ops* [] (*xs2* # *y1*) = *uminus-poly-i ops* (*xs2* # *y1*) **by** *simp*
from *3*(*2*) **have** [*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel* (*xs2* # *y1*) *Y2* **unfolding** *poly-rel-def* **by** *simp*
show *?case* **unfolding** *id0 id1 coeffs-uminus*[*symmetric*] *coeffs-plus-eq-plus-coeffs*[*symmetric*] *poly-rel-def*[*symmetric*] **by** *simp transfer-prover*
qed
qed

lemma *poly-rel-smult*[*transfer-rule*]: (*R* ===> *poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel*) (*smult-i ops*) *smult*
unfolding *rel-fun-def poly-rel-def coeffs-smult' smult-i-def*
proof (*intro allI impI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 x y xs ys*)
note [*transfer-rule*] = *1*
show *?case* **by** *transfer-prover*
qed

lemma *poly-rel-coeffs*[*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> *list-all2 R*) (λ *x*. *x*) *coeffs*
unfolding *rel-fun-def poly-rel-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *poly-rel-poly-of-list*[*transfer-rule*]: (*list-all2 R* ===> *poly-rel*) (*poly-of-list-i ops*) *poly-of-list*
unfolding *rel-fun-def poly-of-list-i-def poly-rel-def poly-of-list-impl*
proof (*intro allI impI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 x y*)
note [*transfer-rule*] = *this*
show *?case* **by** *transfer-prover*
qed

lemma *poly-rel-monom-mult*[*transfer-rule*]:
((=) ===> *poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel*) (*monom-mult-i ops*) *monom-mult*
unfolding *rel-fun-def monom-mult-i-def poly-rel-def monom-mult-code Let-def*
proof (*auto*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 x xs y*)
show *?case* **by** (*induct x*, *auto simp*: *1*(*3*) *zero*)
qed

declare *karatsuba-main-i.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *list-rel-coeffs-minus-i*: **assumes** *list-all2 R x1 x2 list-all2 R y1 y2*
shows *list-all2 R* (*coeffs-minus-i ops x1 y1*) (*coeffs-minus x2 y2*)
proof −
note *simps* = *coeffs-minus-i.simps coeffs-minus.simps*
show *?thesis* **using** *assms*
proof (*induct x1 y1 arbitrary*: *x2 y2 rule*: *coeffs-minus-i.induct*)
case (*1 x xs y ys*)
from *1*(*2*−) **obtain** *Y Ys* **where** *y2*: *y2* = *Y* # *Ys* **unfolding** *list-all2-conv-all-nth*
by (*cases y2*, *auto*)
with *1*(*2*−) **have** *y*: *R y Y list-all2 R ys Ys* **by** *auto*
from *1*(*2*−) **obtain** *X Xs* **where** *x2*: *x2* = *X* # *Xs* **unfolding** *list-all2-conv-all-nth*
by (*cases x2*, *auto*)
with *1*(*2*−) **have** *x*: *R x X list-all2 R xs Xs* **by** *auto*
from *1*(*1*)[*OF x*(*2*) *y*(*2*)] *x*(*1*) *y*(*1*)
show *?case* **unfolding** *x2 y2 simps* **using** *minus*[*unfolded rel-fun-def*] **by** *auto*
next

```
    case (3 y ys)
    from 3 have x2: x2 = [] by auto
   from 3 obtain Y Ys where y2: y2 = Y # Ys unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth
by (cases y2, auto)
    obtain y1 where y1: y # ys = y1 by auto
     show ?case unfolding y2 simps x2 unfolding y2[symmetric] list-all2-map2
list-all2-map1
      using 3(2) unfolding y1 using uminus[unfolded rel-fun-def]
      unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth by auto
  qed auto
qed


lemma poly-rel-karatsuba-main: list-all2 R x1 x2 ⟹ list-all2 R y1 y2 ⟹
  poly-rel (karatsuba-main-i ops x1 n y1 m) (karatsuba-main x2 n y2 m)
proof (induct n arbitrary: x1 y1 x2 y2 m rule: less-induct)
  case (less n f g F G m)
  note simp[simp] = karatsuba-main.simps[of F n G m] karatsuba-main-i.simps[of
ops f n g m]
  note IH = less(1)
  note rel[transfer-rule] = less(2-3)
  show ?case (is poly-rel ?lhs ?rhs)
 proof (cases (n ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound ∨ m ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound) = False)
    case False
    from False
    have lhs: ?lhs = foldr (λa p. plus-poly-i ops (smult-i ops a (poly-of-list-i ops f))
        (cCons-i ops zero p)) g [] by simp
     from False have rhs: ?rhs = foldr (λa p. smult a (poly-of-list F) + pCons 0
p) G 0 by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding lhs rhs by transfer-prover
  next
    case True note * = this
    let ?n2 = n div 2
    have ?n2 < n n - ?n2 < n using True unfolding karatsuba-lower-bound-def
by auto
    note IH = IH[OF this(1)] IH[OF this(2)]
    obtain f1 f0 where f: split-at ?n2 f = (f0,f1) by force
    obtain g1 g0 where g: split-at ?n2 g = (g0,g1) by force
    obtain F1 F0 where F: split-at ?n2 F = (F0,F1) by force
    obtain G1 G0 where G: split-at ?n2 G = (G0,G1) by force
    from rel f F have relf[transfer-rule]: list-all2 R f0 F0 list-all2 R f1 F1
      unfolding split-at-def by auto
    from rel g G have relg[transfer-rule]: list-all2 R g0 G0 list-all2 R g1 G1
      unfolding split-at-def by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ?n2 < m)
      case True
     obtain p1 P1 where p1: p1 = karatsuba-main-i ops f1 (n - n div 2) g1 (m
- n div 2)
```

```
        P1 = karatsuba-main F1 (n − n div 2) G1 (m − n div 2) by auto
      obtain p2 P2 where p2: p2 = karatsuba-main-i ops (coeffs-minus-i ops f1
f0) (n div 2)
                           (coeffs-minus-i ops g1 g0) (n div 2)
        P2 = karatsuba-main (coeffs-minus F1 F0) (n div 2)
                           (coeffs-minus G1 G0) (n div 2) by auto
      obtain p3 P3 where p3: p3 = karatsuba-main-i ops f0 (n div 2) g0 (n div
2)
        P3 = karatsuba-main F0 (n div 2) G0 (n div 2) by auto
      from ∗ True have lhs: ?lhs = plus-poly-i ops (monom-mult-i ops (n div 2 +
n div 2) p1)
              (plus-poly-i ops
                (monom-mult-i ops (n div 2)
                  (plus-poly-i ops (minus-poly-i ops p1 p2) p3)) p3)
        unfolding simp Let-def f g split p1 p2 p3 by auto
     have [transfer-rule]: poly-rel p1 P1 using IH(2)[OF relf(2) relg(2)] unfolding
p1 .
     have [transfer-rule]: poly-rel p3 P3 using IH(1)[OF relf(1) relg(1)] unfolding
p3 .
      have [transfer-rule]: poly-rel p2 P2 unfolding p2
        by (rule IH(1)[OF list-rel-coeffs-minus-i list-rel-coeffs-minus-i], insert relf
relg)
      from True ∗ have rhs: ?rhs = monom-mult (n div 2 + n div 2) P1 +
            (monom-mult (n div 2) (P1 − P2 + P3) + P3)
        unfolding simp Let-def F G split p1 p2 p3 by auto
      show ?thesis unfolding lhs rhs by transfer-prover
    next
      case False
      obtain p1 P1 where p1: p1 = karatsuba-main-i ops f1 (n − n div 2) g m
        P1 = karatsuba-main F1 (n − n div 2) G m by auto
      obtain p2 P2 where p2: p2 = karatsuba-main-i ops f0 (n div 2) g m
        P2 = karatsuba-main F0 (n div 2) G m by auto
      from ∗ False have lhs: ?lhs = plus-poly-i ops (monom-mult-i ops (n div 2)
p1) p2
        unfolding simp Let-def f split p1 p2 by auto
      from ∗ False have rhs: ?rhs = monom-mult (n div 2) P1 + P2
        unfolding simp Let-def F split p1 p2 by auto
     have [transfer-rule]: poly-rel p1 P1 using IH(2)[OF relf(2) rel(2)] unfolding
p1 .
     have [transfer-rule]: poly-rel p2 P2 using IH(1)[OF relf(1) rel(2)] unfolding
p2 .
      show ?thesis unfolding lhs rhs by transfer-prover
    qed
  qed
qed


lemma poly-rel-times[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> poly-rel ===> poly-rel) (times-poly-i
ops) ((∗))
```

proof (*intro rel-funI*)
fix *x1 y1 x2 y2*
assume *x12*[*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel x1 x2* **and** *y12* [*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel y1 y2*
hence *X12*[*transfer-rule*]: *list-all2 R x1* (*coeffs x2*) **and** *Y12*[*transfer-rule*]: *list-all2 R y1* (*coeffs y2*)
unfolding *poly-rel-def* **by** *auto*
hence *len*: *length* (*coeffs x2*) = *length x1 length* (*coeffs y2*) = *length y1*
unfolding *list-all2-conv-all-nth* **by** *auto*
let *?cond1* = *length x1* ≤ *karatsuba-lower-bound* ∨ *length y1* ≤ *karatsuba-lower-bound*

let *?cond2* = *length x1* ≤ *length y1*
note *d* = *karatsuba-mult-poly*[*symmetric*] *karatsuba-mult-poly-def Let-def times-poly-i-def len if-True if-False*
consider (*TT*) *?cond1* = *True ?cond2* = *True* | (*TF*) *?cond1* = *True ?cond2* = *False* | (*FT*) *?cond1* = *False ?cond2* = *True* | (*FF*) *?cond1* = *False ?cond2* = *False*
by *auto*
thus *poly-rel* (*times-poly-i ops x1 y1*) (*x2* ∗ *y2*)
proof (*cases*)
case *TT*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *d TT*
unfolding *poly-rel-def coeffs-eq-iff times-poly-def times-poly-i-def fold-coeffs-def*
by *transfer-prover*
next
case *TF*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *d TF*
unfolding *poly-rel-def coeffs-eq-iff times-poly-def times-poly-i-def fold-coeffs-def*
by *transfer-prover*
next
case *FT*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *d FT*
by (*rule poly-rel-karatsuba-main*[*OF Y12 X12*])
next
case *FF*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *d FF*
by (*rule poly-rel-karatsuba-main*[*OF X12 Y12*])
qed
qed

lemma *poly-rel-coeff*[*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> (=) ===> *R*) (*coeff-i ops*) *coeff*
unfolding *poly-rel-def rel-fun-def coeff-i-def nth-default-coeffs-eq*[*symmetric*]
proof (*intro allI impI*, *clarify*)
fix *x y n*
assume [*transfer-rule*]: *list-all2 R x* (*coeffs y*)
show *R* (*nth-default zero x n*) (*nth-default 0* (*coeffs y*) *n*) **by** *transfer-prover*
qed

lemma *poly-rel-degree*[*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> (=)) *degree-i degree*
unfolding *poly-rel-def rel-fun-def degree-i-def degree-eq-length-coeffs*
by (*simp add*: *list-all2-lengthD*)

lemma *lead-coeff-i-def'*: *lead-coeff-i ops x* = (*coeff-i ops*) *x* (*degree-i x*)
unfolding *lead-coeff-i-def degree-i-def coeff-i-def*
proof (*cases x*, *auto*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 a xs*)
hence *id*: *last xs* = *last* (*a* # *xs*) **by** *auto*
show *?case* **unfolding** *id* **by** (*subst last-conv-nth-default*, *auto*)
qed

lemma *poly-rel-lead-coeff*[*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> *R*) (*lead-coeff-i ops*) *lead-coeff*
unfolding *lead-coeff-i-def'* [*abs-def*] **by** *transfer-prover*

lemma *poly-rel-minus-poly-rev-list*[*transfer-rule*]:
(*list-all2 R* ===> *list-all2 R* ===> *list-all2 R*) (*minus-poly-rev-list-i ops*) *minus-poly-rev-list*
proof (*intro rel-funI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 x1 x2 y1 y2*)
thus *?case*
proof (*induct x1 y1 arbitrary*: *x2 y2 rule*: *minus-poly-rev-list-i.induct*)
case (*1 x1 xs1 y1 ys1 X2 Y2*)
from *1*(*2*) **obtain** *x2 xs2* **where** *X2*: *X2* = *x2* # *xs2* **by** (*cases X2*, *auto*)
from *1*(*3*) **obtain** *y2 ys2* **where** *Y2*: *Y2* = *y2* # *ys2* **by** (*cases Y2*, *auto*)
from *1*(*2*) *1*(*3*) **have** [*transfer-rule*]: *R x1 x2 R y1 y2*
and ∗: *list-all2 R xs1 xs2 list-all2 R ys1 ys2* **unfolding** *X2 Y2* **by** *auto*
note [*transfer-rule*] = *1*(*1*)[*OF* ∗]
show *?case* **unfolding** *X2 Y2* **by** (*simp*, *intro conjI*, *transfer-prover*+)
next
case (*2 xs1 xs2 ys2*)
thus *?case* **by** (*cases xs2*, *auto*)
next
case (*3 xs2 y1 ys1 Y2*)
thus *?case* **by** (*cases Y2*, *auto*)
qed
qed

lemma *divmod-poly-one-main-i*: **assumes** *len*: *n* ≤ *length Y* **and** *rel*: *list-all2 R x X list-all2 R y Y*
list-all2 R z Z **and** *n*: *n* = *N*
shows *rel-prod* (*list-all2 R*) (*list-all2 R*) (*divmod-poly-one-main-i ops x y z n*)
(*divmod-poly-one-main-list X Y Z N*)
using *len rel* **unfolding** *n*
proof (*induct N arbitrary*: *x X y Y z Z*)

```
  case (Suc n x X y Y z Z)
  from Suc(2,4) have [transfer-rule]: R (hd y) (hd Y) by (cases y; cases Y, auto)

  note [transfer-rule] = Suc(3−5)
  have id: ?case = (rel-prod (list-all2 R) (list-all2 R)
     (divmod-poly-one-main-i ops (cCons-i ops (hd y) x)
       (tl (if hd y = zero then y else minus-poly-rev-list-i ops y (map (times (hd y))
z))) z n)
     (divmod-poly-one-main-list (cCons (hd Y) X)
       (tl (if hd Y = 0 then Y else minus-poly-rev-list Y (map ((∗) (hd Y)) Z))) Z
n))
     by (simp add: Let-def)
  show ?case unfolding id
  proof (rule Suc(1), goal-cases)
    case 1
    show ?case using Suc(2) by simp
  qed (transfer-prover+)
qed simp


lemma mod-poly-one-main-i: assumes len: n ≤ length X and rel: list-all2 R x X
list-all2 R y Y
    and n: n = N
 shows list-all2 R (mod-poly-one-main-i ops x y n)
    (mod-poly-one-main-list X Y N)
   using len rel unfolding n
proof (induct N arbitrary: x X y Y)
  case (Suc n y Y z Z)
  from Suc(2,3) have [transfer-rule]: R (hd y) (hd Y) by (cases y; cases Y, auto)

  note [transfer-rule] = Suc(3−4)
  have id: ?case = (list-all2 R
     (mod-poly-one-main-i ops
       (tl (if hd y = zero then y else minus-poly-rev-list-i ops y (map (times (hd y))
z))) z n)
     (mod-poly-one-main-list
       (tl (if hd Y = 0 then Y else minus-poly-rev-list Y (map ((∗) (hd Y)) Z))) Z
n))
     by (simp add: Let-def)
  show ?case unfolding id
  proof (rule Suc(1), goal-cases)
    case 1
    show ?case using Suc(2) by simp
  qed (transfer-prover+)
qed simp

lemma poly-rel-dvd[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> poly-rel ===> (=)) (dvd-poly-i
ops) (dvd)
  unfolding dvd-poly-i-def[abs-def] dvd-def[abs-def]
```

by (*transfer-prover-start*, *transfer-step+*, *auto*)

lemma *poly-rel-monic*[*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> (=)) (*monic-i ops*) *monic*
unfolding *monic-i-def lead-coeff-i-def'* **by** *transfer-prover*

lemma *poly-rel-pdivmod-monic*: **assumes** *mon*: *monic Y*
and *x*: *poly-rel x X* **and** *y*: *poly-rel y Y*
shows *rel-prod poly-rel poly-rel* (*pdivmod-monic-i ops x y*) (*pdivmod-monic X Y*)
proof −
note [*transfer-rule*] = *x y*
note *listall* = *this*[*unfolded poly-rel-def*]
note *defs* = *pdivmod-monic-def pdivmod-monic-i-def Let-def*
from *mon* **obtain** *k* **where** *len*: *length* (*coeffs Y*) = *Suc k* **unfolding** *poly-rel-def list-all2-iff*
by (*cases coeffs Y*, *auto*)
have [*transfer-rule*]:
rel-prod (*list-all2 R*) (*list-all2 R*)
(*divmod-poly-one-main-i ops* [] (*rev x*) (*rev y*) (*1 + length x − length y*))
(*divmod-poly-one-main-list* [] (*rev* (*coeffs X*)) (*rev* (*coeffs Y*)) (*1 + length* (*coeffs X*) − *length* (*coeffs Y*)))
by (*rule divmod-poly-one-main-i*, *insert x y listall*, *auto*, *auto simp*: *poly-rel-def list-all2-iff len*)
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *defs* **by** *transfer-prover*
qed

lemma *ring-ops-poly*: *ring-ops* (*poly-ops ops*) *poly-rel*
by (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp*: *poly-ops-def*
bi-unique-poly-rel
right-total-poly-rel
poly-rel-times
poly-rel-zero
poly-rel-one
poly-rel-minus
poly-rel-uminus
poly-rel-plus
poly-rel-eq
Domainp-is-poly)
end

context *idom-ops*
begin

lemma *poly-rel-pderiv* [*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel*) (*pderiv-i ops*) *pderiv*
proof (*intro rel-funI*, *unfold poly-rel-def coeffs-pderiv-code pderiv-i-def pderiv-coeffs-def*)
fix *xs xs'*
assume *list-all2 R xs* (*coeffs xs'*)
then obtain *ys ys' y y'* **where** *id*: *tl xs* = *ys tl* (*coeffs xs'*) = *ys' one* = *y 1* = *y'* **and**

```
    R: list-all2 R ys ys' R y y'
    by (cases xs; cases coeffs xs'; auto simp: one)
  show list-all2 R (pderiv-main-i ops one (tl xs))
          (pderiv-coeffs-code 1 (tl (coeffs xs')))
    unfolding id using R
  proof (induct ys ys' arbitrary: y y' rule: list-all2-induct)
    case (Cons x xs x' xs' y y')
    note [transfer-rule] = Cons(1,2,4)
    have R (plus y one) (y' + 1) by transfer-prover
    note [transfer-rule] = Cons(3)[OF this]
    show ?case by (simp, transfer-prover)
  qed simp
qed

lemma poly-rel-irreducible[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> (=)) (irreducible-i ops)
irreducible_d
  unfolding irreducible-i-def[abs-def] irreducible_d-def[abs-def]
  by (transfer-prover-start, transfer-step+, auto)

lemma idom-ops-poly: idom-ops (poly-ops ops) poly-rel
  using ring-ops-poly unfolding ring-ops-def idom-ops-def by auto
end

context idom-divide-ops
begin

lemma poly-rel-sdiv[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> R ===> poly-rel) (sdiv-i ops)
sdiv-poly
  unfolding rel-fun-def poly-rel-def coeffs-sdiv sdiv-i-def
proof (intro allI impI, goal-cases)
  case (1 x y xs ys)
  note [transfer-rule] = 1
  show ?case by transfer-prover
qed
end

context field-ops
begin

lemma poly-rel-div[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> poly-rel ===> poly-rel)
  (div-field-poly-i ops) (div)
proof (intro rel-funI, goal-cases)
  case (1 x X y Y)
  note [transfer-rule] = this
  note listall = this[unfolded poly-rel-def]
  note defs = div-field-poly-impl div-field-poly-impl-def div-field-poly-i-def Let-def
  show ?case
  proof (cases y = [])
    case True
```

```
    with 1(2) have nil: coeffs Y = [] unfolding poly-rel-def by auto
    show ?thesis unfolding defs True nil poly-rel-def by auto
  next
    case False
    from append-butlast-last-id[OF False] obtain ys yl where y: y = ys @ [yl] by
metis
    from False listall have coeffs Y ≠ [] by auto
    from append-butlast-last-id[OF this] obtain Ys Yl where Y: coeffs Y = Ys
@ [Yl] by metis
    from listall have [transfer-rule]: R yl Yl by (simp add: y Y)
    have id: last (coeffs Y) = Yl last (y) = yl
      ⋀ t e. (if y = [] then t else e) = e
      ⋀ t e. (if coeffs Y = [] then t else e) = e unfolding y Y by auto
    have [transfer-rule]: (rel-prod (list-all2 R) (list-all2 R))
      (divmod-poly-one-main-i ops [] (rev x) (rev (map (times (inverse yl)) y))
        (1 + length x − length y))
      (divmod-poly-one-main-list [] (rev (coeffs X))
              (rev (map ((∗) (Fields.inverse Yl)) (coeffs Y)))
              (1 + length (coeffs X) − length (coeffs Y)))
    proof (rule divmod-poly-one-main-i, goal-cases)
      case 5
      from listall show ?case by (simp add: list-all2-lengthD)
    next
      case 1
      from listall show ?case by (simp add: list-all2-lengthD Y)
    qed transfer-prover+
    show ?thesis unfolding defs id by transfer-prover
  qed
qed


lemma poly-rel-mod[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> poly-rel ===> poly-rel)
  (mod-field-poly-i ops) (mod)
proof (intro rel-funI, goal-cases)
  case (1 x X y Y)
  note [transfer-rule] = this
  note listall = this[unfolded poly-rel-def]
  note defs = mod-poly-code mod-field-poly-i-def Let-def
  show ?case
  proof (cases y = [])
    case True
    with 1(2) have nil: coeffs Y = [] unfolding poly-rel-def by auto
    show ?thesis unfolding defs True nil poly-rel-def by (simp add: listall)
  next
    case False
    from append-butlast-last-id[OF False] obtain ys yl where y: y = ys @ [yl] by
metis
    from False listall have coeffs Y ≠ [] by auto
    from append-butlast-last-id[OF this] obtain Ys Yl where Y: coeffs Y = Ys
```

```
@ [Yl] by metis
    from listall have [transfer-rule]: R yl Yl by (simp add: y Y)
    have id: last (coeffs Y) = Yl last (y) = yl
      ⋀ t e. (if y = [] then t else e) = e
      ⋀ t e. (if coeffs Y = [] then t else e) = e unfolding y Y by auto
    have [transfer-rule]: list-all2 R
      (mod-poly-one-main-i ops (rev x) (rev (map (times (inverse yl)) y))
        (1 + length x − length y))
      (mod-poly-one-main-list (rev (coeffs X))
              (rev (map ((*) (Fields.inverse Yl)) (coeffs Y)))
              (1 + length (coeffs X) − length (coeffs Y)))
    proof (rule mod-poly-one-main-i, goal-cases)
      case 4
      from listall show ?case by (simp add: list-all2-lengthD)
    next
      case 1
      from listall show ?case by (simp add: list-all2-lengthD Y)
    qed transfer-prover+
    show ?thesis unfolding defs id by transfer-prover
  qed
qed


lemma poly-rel-normalize [transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> poly-rel)
  (normalize-poly-i ops) Rings.normalize
  unfolding normalize-poly-old-def normalize-poly-i-def lead-coeff-i-def'
  by transfer-prover


lemma poly-rel-unit-factor [transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> poly-rel)
  (unit-factor-poly-i ops) Rings.unit-factor
  unfolding unit-factor-poly-def unit-factor-poly-i-def lead-coeff-i-def'
  unfolding monom-0 by transfer-prover

lemma idom-divide-ops-poly: idom-divide-ops (poly-ops ops) poly-rel
proof −
  interpret poly: idom-ops poly-ops ops poly-rel by (rule idom-ops-poly)
  show ?thesis
    by (unfold-locales, simp add: poly-rel-div poly-ops-def)
qed

lemma euclidean-ring-ops-poly: euclidean-ring-ops (poly-ops ops) poly-rel
proof −
  interpret poly: idom-ops poly-ops ops poly-rel by (rule idom-ops-poly)
  have id: arith-ops-record.normalize (poly-ops ops) = normalize-poly-i ops
    arith-ops-record.unit-factor (poly-ops ops) = unit-factor-poly-i ops
    unfolding poly-ops-def by simp-all
  show ?thesis
    by (unfold-locales, simp add: poly-rel-mod poly-ops-def, unfold id,
```

simp add: *poly-rel-normalize*, *insert poly-rel-div poly-rel-unit-factor*,
auto simp: *poly-ops-def*)
qed

lemma *poly-rel-gcd* [*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel*) (*gcd-poly-i ops*) *gcd*
proof −
interpret *poly*: *euclidean-ring-ops poly-ops ops poly-rel* **by** (*rule euclidean-ring-ops-poly*)
show *?thesis* **using** *poly.gcd-eucl-i* **unfolding** *gcd-poly-i-def gcd-eucl* **.**
qed

lemma *poly-rel-euclid-ext* [*transfer-rule*]: (*poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel* ===>
rel-prod (*rel-prod poly-rel poly-rel*) *poly-rel*) (*euclid-ext-poly-i ops*) *euclid-ext*
proof −
interpret *poly*: *euclidean-ring-ops poly-ops ops poly-rel* **by** (*rule euclidean-ring-ops-poly*)
show *?thesis* **using** *poly.euclid-ext-i* **unfolding** *euclid-ext-poly-i-def* **.**
qed

end

context *ring-ops*
begin
notepad
begin
fix *xs x ys y*
assume [*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel xs x poly-rel ys y*
have $x * y = y * x$ **by** *simp*
from *this*[*untransferred*]
have *times-poly-i ops xs ys* = *times-poly-i ops ys xs* **.**
end
end
end

5.5.3 Over a Finite Field

theory *Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based*
imports
Poly-Mod-Finite-Field
Finite-Field-Record-Based
Polynomial-Record-Based
begin

locale *arith-ops-record* = *arith-ops ops* + *poly-mod m* **for** *ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record*
and *m* :: *int*
begin

definition *M-rel-i* :: *'i* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *bool* **where**
M-rel-i f F = (*arith-ops-record.to-int ops f* = *M F*)

definition *Mp-rel-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *bool* **where**
Mp-rel-i f F = (*map* (*arith-ops-record.to-int ops*) *f* = *coeffs* (*Mp F*))

lemma *Mp-rel-i-Mp*[*simp*]: *Mp-rel-i f* (*Mp F*) = *Mp-rel-i f F* **unfolding** *Mp-rel-i-def*
by *auto*

lemma *Mp-rel-i-Mp-to-int-poly-i*: *Mp-rel-i f F* ⟹ *Mp* (*to-int-poly-i ops f*) =
to-int-poly-i ops f
unfolding *Mp-rel-i-def to-int-poly-i-def* **by** *simp*
end

locale *mod-ring-gen* = *ring-ops ff-ops R* **for** *ff-ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record* **and**
R :: *'i* ⇒ *'a* :: *nontriv mod-ring* ⇒ *bool* +
fixes *p* :: *int*
assumes *p*: *p* = *int CARD*(*'a*)
and *of-int*: 0 ≤ *x* ⟹ *x* < *p* ⟹ *R* (*arith-ops-record.of-int ff-ops x*) (*of-int x*)
and *to-int*: *R y z* ⟹ *arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops y* = *to-int-mod-ring z*
and *to-int'*: 0 ≤ *arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops y* ⟹ *arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops*
y < *p* ⟹
R y (*of-int* (*arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops y*))
begin

lemma *nat-p*: *nat p* = *CARD*(*'a*) **unfolding** *p* **by** *simp*

sublocale *poly-mod-type p TYPE*(*'a*)
by (*unfold-locales*, *rule p*)

lemma *coeffs-to-int-poly*: *coeffs* (*to-int-poly* (*x* :: *'a mod-ring poly*)) = *map to-int-mod-ring*
(*coeffs x*)
by (*rule coeffs-map-poly*, *auto*)

lemma *coeffs-of-int-poly*: *coeffs* (*of-int-poly* (*Mp x*) :: *'a mod-ring poly*) = *map*
of-int (*coeffs* (*Mp x*))
apply (*rule coeffs-map-poly*)
by (*metis M-0 M-M Mp-coeff leading-coeff-0-iff of-int-hom.hom-zero to-int-mod-ring-of-int-M*)

lemma *to-int-poly-i*: **assumes** *poly-rel f g* **shows** *to-int-poly-i ff-ops f* = *to-int-poly*
g
proof −
have ∗: *map* (*arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops*) *f* = *coeffs* (*to-int-poly g*)
unfolding *coeffs-to-int-poly*
by (*rule nth-equalityI*, *insert assms*, *auto simp*: *list-all2-conv-all-nth poly-rel-def*
to-int)
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *coeffs-eq-iff to-int-poly-i-def poly-of-list-def coeffs-Poly* ∗
strip-while-coeffs..
qed

lemma *poly-rel-of-int-poly*: **assumes** *id*: $f' = of\text{-}int\text{-}poly\text{-}i\ ff\text{-}ops\ (Mp\ f)\ f'' = of\text{-}int\text{-}poly\ (Mp\ f)$
shows *poly-rel f' f''* **unfolding** *id poly-rel-def*
unfolding *list-all2-conv-all-nth coeffs-of-int-poly of-int-poly-i-def length-map*
by (*rule conjI*[*OF refl*], *intro allI impI*, *simp add*: *nth-coeffs-coeff Mp-coeff M-def*, *rule of-int*,
insert p, *auto*)

sublocale *arith-ops-record ff-ops p* **.**

lemma *Mp-rel-iI*: *poly-rel f1 f2* $\Longrightarrow$ *MP-Rel f3 f2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Mp-rel-i f1 f3*
unfolding *Mp-rel-i-def MP-Rel-def poly-rel-def*
by (*auto simp add*: *list-all2-conv-all-nth to-int intro*: *nth-equalityI*)

lemma *M-rel-iI*: *R f1 f2* $\Longrightarrow$ *M-Rel f3 f2* $\Longrightarrow$ *M-rel-i f1 f3*
unfolding *M-rel-i-def M-Rel-def* **by** (*simp add*: *to-int*)

lemma *M-rel-iI'*: **assumes** *R f1 f2*
shows *M-rel-i f1* (*arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops f1*)
by (*rule M-rel-iI*[*OF assms*], *simp add*: *to-int*[*OF assms*] *M-Rel-def M-to-int-mod-ring*)

lemma *Mp-rel-iI'*: **assumes** *poly-rel f1 f2*
shows *Mp-rel-i f1* (*to-int-poly-i ff-ops f1*)
proof (*rule Mp-rel-iI*[*OF assms*], *unfold to-int-poly-i*[*OF assms*])
show *MP-Rel* (*to-int-poly f2*) *f2* **unfolding** *MP-Rel-def* **by** (*simp add*: *Mp-to-int-poly*)
qed

lemma *M-rel-iD*: **assumes** *M-rel-i f1 f3*
shows
R f1 (*of-int* (*M f3*))
M-Rel f3 (*of-int* (*M f3*))
proof −
show *M-Rel f3* (*of-int* (*M f3*))
using *M-Rel-def to-int-mod-ring-of-int-M* **by** *auto*
from *assms* **show** *R f1* (*of-int* (*M f3*))
unfolding *M-rel-i-def*
by (*metis int-one-le-iff-zero-less leD linear m1 poly-mod.M-def pos-mod-sign pos-mod-bound to-int'*)
qed

lemma *Mp-rel-iD*: **assumes** *Mp-rel-i f1 f3*
shows
poly-rel f1 (*of-int-poly* (*Mp f3*))
MP-Rel f3 (*of-int-poly* (*Mp f3*))
proof −
show *Rel*: *MP-Rel f3* (*of-int-poly* (*Mp f3*))
using *MP-Rel-def Mp-Mp Mp-f-representative* **by** *auto*
let *?ti* = *arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops*

```
  from assms[unfolded Mp-rel-i-def] have
    ∗: coeffs (Mp f3) = map ?ti f1 by auto
  {
    fix x
    assume x ∈ set f1
    hence ?ti x ∈ set (map ?ti f1) by auto
    from this[folded ∗] have ?ti x ∈ range M
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) MP-Rel-def M-to-int-mod-ring Rel coeffs-to-int-poly
ex-map-conv range-eqI)
    hence ?ti x ≥ 0 ?ti x < p unfolding M-def using m1 by auto
    hence R x (of-int (?ti x))
      by (rule to-int′)
  }
  thus poly-rel f1 (of-int-poly (Mp f3)) using ∗
    unfolding poly-rel-def coeffs-of-int-poly
    by (auto simp: list-all2-map2 list-all2-same)
qed
end

locale prime-field-gen = field-ops ff-ops R + mod-ring-gen ff-ops R p for ff-ops ::
′i arith-ops-record and
  R :: ′i ⇒ ′a :: prime-card mod-ring ⇒ bool and p :: int
begin

sublocale poly-mod-prime-type p TYPE(′a)
  by (unfold-locales, rule p)

end

lemma (in mod-ring-locale) mod-ring-rel-of-int:
  0 ≤ x ⟹ x < p ⟹ mod-ring-rel x (of-int x)
  unfolding mod-ring-rel-def
  by (transfer, auto simp: p)

context prime-field
begin


lemma prime-field-finite-field-ops-int: prime-field-gen (finite-field-ops-int p) mod-ring-rel
p
proof −
  interpret field-ops finite-field-ops-int p mod-ring-rel by (rule finite-field-ops-int)
  show ?thesis
    by (unfold-locales, rule p,
    auto simp: finite-field-ops-int-def p mod-ring-rel-def of-int-of-int-mod-ring)
qed

lemma prime-field-finite-field-ops-integer: prime-field-gen (finite-field-ops-integer
(integer-of-int p)) mod-ring-rel-integer p
```

proof −
interpret *field-ops finite-field-ops-integer* (*integer-of-int p*) *mod-ring-rel-integer*
by (*rule finite-field-ops-integer*, *simp*)
have *pp*: *p* = *int-of-integer* (*integer-of-int p*) **by** *auto*
interpret *int*: *prime-field-gen finite-field-ops-int p mod-ring-rel*
by (*rule prime-field-finite-field-ops-int*)
show *?thesis*
by (*unfold-locales*, *rule p*, *auto simp*: *finite-field-ops-integer-def*
mod-ring-rel-integer-def[*OF pp*] *urel-integer-def*[*OF pp*] *mod-ring-rel-of-int*
int.to-int[*symmetric*] *finite-field-ops-int-def*)
qed

lemma *prime-field-finite-field-ops32*: **assumes** *small*: *p* ≤ *65535*
shows *prime-field-gen* (*finite-field-ops32* (*uint32-of-int p*)) *mod-ring-rel32 p*
proof −
let *?pp* = *uint32-of-int p*
have *ppp*: *p* = *int-of-uint32 ?pp*
by (*subst int-of-uint32-inv*, *insert small p2*, *auto*)
note ∗ = *ppp small*
interpret *field-ops finite-field-ops32 ?pp mod-ring-rel32*
by (*rule finite-field-ops32*, *insert* ∗)
interpret *int*: *prime-field-gen finite-field-ops-int p mod-ring-rel*
by (*rule prime-field-finite-field-ops-int*)
show *?thesis*
proof (*unfold-locales*, *rule p*, *auto simp*: *finite-field-ops32-def*)
fix *x*
assume *x*: *0* ≤ *x x* < *p*
from *int.of-int*[*OF this*] **have** *mod-ring-rel x* (*of-int x*) **by** (*simp add*: *finite-field-ops-int-def*)
thus *mod-ring-rel32* (*uint32-of-int x*) (*of-int x*) **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def*[*OF* ∗]
by (*intro exI*[*of - x*], *auto simp*: *urel32-def*[*OF* ∗], *subst int-of-uint32-inv*, *insert* ∗ *x*, *auto*)
next
fix *y z*
assume *mod-ring-rel32 y z*
from *this*[*unfolded mod-ring-rel32-def*[*OF* ∗]] **obtain** *x* **where** *yx*: *urel32 y x* **and** *xz*: *mod-ring-rel x z* **by** *auto*
from *int.to-int*[*OF xz*] **have** *zx*: *to-int-mod-ring z* = *x* **by** (*simp add*: *finite-field-ops-int-def*)
show *int-of-uint32 y* = *to-int-mod-ring z* **unfolding** *zx* **using** *yx* **unfolding** *urel32-def*[*OF* ∗] **by** *simp*
next
fix *y*
show *0* ≤ *int-of-uint32 y* ⟹ *int-of-uint32 y* < *p* ⟹ *mod-ring-rel32 y* (*of-int* (*int-of-uint32 y*))
unfolding *mod-ring-rel32-def*[*OF* ∗] *urel32-def*[*OF* ∗]
by (*intro exI*[*of - int-of-uint32 y*], *auto simp*: *mod-ring-rel-of-int*)
qed

qed

lemma *prime-field-finite-field-ops64*: **assumes** *small*: $p \leq 4294967295$
shows *prime-field-gen* (*finite-field-ops64* (*uint64-of-int p*)) *mod-ring-rel64 p*
proof −
let *?pp* = *uint64-of-int p*
have *ppp*: *p* = *int-of-uint64 ?pp*
by (*subst int-of-uint64-inv*, *insert small p2*, *auto*)
note ∗ = *ppp small*
interpret *field-ops finite-field-ops64 ?pp mod-ring-rel64*
by (*rule finite-field-ops64*, *insert* ∗)
interpret *int*: *prime-field-gen finite-field-ops-int p mod-ring-rel*
by (*rule prime-field-finite-field-ops-int*)
show *?thesis*
proof (*unfold-locales*, *rule p*, *auto simp*: *finite-field-ops64-def*)
fix *x*
assume *x*: $0 \leq x$ $x < p$
from *int.of-int*[*OF this*] **have** *mod-ring-rel x* (*of-int x*) **by** (*simp add*: *finite-field-ops-int-def*)
thus *mod-ring-rel64* (*uint64-of-int x*) (*of-int x*) **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel64-def*[*OF* ∗]
by (*intro exI*[*of - x*], *auto simp*: *urel64-def*[*OF* ∗], *subst int-of-uint64-inv*, *insert* ∗ *x*, *auto*)
next
fix *y z*
assume *mod-ring-rel64 y z*
from *this*[*unfolded mod-ring-rel64-def*[*OF* ∗]] **obtain** *x* **where** *yx*: *urel64 y x* **and** *xz*: *mod-ring-rel x z* **by** *auto*
from *int.to-int*[*OF xz*] **have** *zx*: *to-int-mod-ring z* = *x* **by** (*simp add*: *finite-field-ops-int-def*)
show *int-of-uint64 y* = *to-int-mod-ring z* **unfolding** *zx* **using** *yx* **unfolding** *urel64-def*[*OF* ∗] **by** *simp*
next
fix *y*
show $0 \leq$ *int-of-uint64 y* $\Longrightarrow$ *int-of-uint64 y* $< p \Longrightarrow$ *mod-ring-rel64 y* (*of-int* (*int-of-uint64 y*))
unfolding *mod-ring-rel64-def*[*OF* ∗] *urel64-def*[*OF* ∗]
by (*intro exI*[*of - int-of-uint64 y*], *auto simp*: *mod-ring-rel-of-int*)
qed
qed
end

context *mod-ring-locale*
begin
lemma *mod-ring-finite-field-ops-int*: *mod-ring-gen* (*finite-field-ops-int p*) *mod-ring-rel p*
proof −
interpret *ring-ops finite-field-ops-int p mod-ring-rel* **by** (*rule ring-finite-field-ops-int*)
show *?thesis*

by (*unfold-locales*, *rule p*,
auto simp: *finite-field-ops-int-def p mod-ring-rel-def of-int-of-int-mod-ring*)
qed

lemma *mod-ring-finite-field-ops-integer*: *mod-ring-gen* (*finite-field-ops-integer* (*integer-of-int p*)) *mod-ring-rel-integer p*
proof −
interpret *ring-ops finite-field-ops-integer* (*integer-of-int p*) *mod-ring-rel-integer*
by (*rule ring-finite-field-ops-integer*, *simp*)
have *pp*: *p* = *int-of-integer* (*integer-of-int p*) **by** *auto*
interpret *int*: *mod-ring-gen finite-field-ops-int p mod-ring-rel*
by (*rule mod-ring-finite-field-ops-int*)
show *?thesis*
by (*unfold-locales*, *rule p*, *auto simp*: *finite-field-ops-integer-def*
mod-ring-rel-integer-def[*OF pp*] *urel-integer-def*[*OF pp*] *mod-ring-rel-of-int*
int.to-int[*symmetric*] *finite-field-ops-int-def*)
qed

lemma *mod-ring-finite-field-ops32*: **assumes** *small*: *p* ≤ *65535*
shows *mod-ring-gen* (*finite-field-ops32* (*uint32-of-int p*)) *mod-ring-rel32 p*
proof −
let *?pp* = *uint32-of-int p*
have *ppp*: *p* = *int-of-uint32 ?pp*
by (*subst int-of-uint32-inv*, *insert small p2*, *auto*)
note ∗ = *ppp small*
interpret *ring-ops finite-field-ops32 ?pp mod-ring-rel32*
by (*rule ring-finite-field-ops32*, *insert* ∗)
interpret *int*: *mod-ring-gen finite-field-ops-int p mod-ring-rel*
by (*rule mod-ring-finite-field-ops-int*)
show *?thesis*
proof (*unfold-locales*, *rule p*, *auto simp*: *finite-field-ops32-def*)
fix *x*
assume *x*: *0* ≤ *x x* < *p*
from *int.of-int*[*OF this*] **have** *mod-ring-rel x* (*of-int x*) **by** (*simp add*: *finite-field-ops-int-def*)
thus *mod-ring-rel32* (*uint32-of-int x*) (*of-int x*) **unfolding** *mod-ring-rel32-def*[*OF* ∗]
by (*intro exI*[*of - x*], *auto simp*: *urel32-def*[*OF* ∗], *subst int-of-uint32-inv*, *insert* ∗ *x*, *auto*)
next
fix *y z*
assume *mod-ring-rel32 y z*
from *this*[*unfolded mod-ring-rel32-def*[*OF* ∗]] **obtain** *x* **where** *yx*: *urel32 y x* **and** *xz*: *mod-ring-rel x z* **by** *auto*
from *int.to-int*[*OF xz*] **have** *zx*: *to-int-mod-ring z* = *x* **by** (*simp add*: *finite-field-ops-int-def*)
show *int-of-uint32 y* = *to-int-mod-ring z* **unfolding** *zx* **using** *yx* **unfolding** *urel32-def*[*OF* ∗] **by** *simp*

```
  next
    fix y
    show 0 ≤ int-of-uint32 y ⟹ int-of-uint32 y < p ⟹ mod-ring-rel32 y (of-int
(int-of-uint32 y))
      unfolding mod-ring-rel32-def[OF ∗] urel32-def[OF ∗]
      by (intro exI[of - int-of-uint32 y], auto simp: mod-ring-rel-of-int)
  qed
qed

lemma mod-ring-finite-field-ops64: assumes small: p ≤ 4294967295
  shows mod-ring-gen (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p)) mod-ring-rel64 p
proof −
  let ?pp = uint64-of-int p
  have ppp: p = int-of-uint64 ?pp
    by (subst int-of-uint64-inv, insert small p2, auto)
  note ∗ = ppp small
  interpret ring-ops finite-field-ops64 ?pp mod-ring-rel64
    by (rule ring-finite-field-ops64, insert ∗)
  interpret int: mod-ring-gen finite-field-ops-int p mod-ring-rel
    by (rule mod-ring-finite-field-ops-int)
  show ?thesis
  proof (unfold-locales, rule p, auto simp: finite-field-ops64-def)
    fix x
    assume x: 0 ≤ x x < p
      from int.of-int[OF this] have mod-ring-rel x (of-int x) by (simp add: fi-
nite-field-ops-int-def)
    thus mod-ring-rel64 (uint64-of-int x) (of-int x) unfolding mod-ring-rel64-def[OF
∗]
       by (intro exI[of - x], auto simp: urel64-def[OF ∗], subst int-of-uint64-inv,
insert ∗ x, auto)
  next
    fix y z
    assume mod-ring-rel64 y z
    from this[unfolded mod-ring-rel64-def[OF ∗]] obtain x where yx: urel64 y x
and xz: mod-ring-rel x z by auto
      from int.to-int[OF xz] have zx: to-int-mod-ring z = x by (simp add: fi-
nite-field-ops-int-def)
    show int-of-uint64 y = to-int-mod-ring z unfolding zx using yx unfolding
urel64-def[OF ∗] by simp
  next
    fix y
    show 0 ≤ int-of-uint64 y ⟹ int-of-uint64 y < p ⟹ mod-ring-rel64 y (of-int
(int-of-uint64 y))
      unfolding mod-ring-rel64-def[OF ∗] urel64-def[OF ∗]
      by (intro exI[of - int-of-uint64 y], auto simp: mod-ring-rel-of-int)
  qed
qed
end
```

end

5.6 Chinese Remainder Theorem for Polynomials

We prove the Chinese Remainder Theorem, and strengthen it by showing uniqueness

theory *Chinese-Remainder-Poly*
imports
HOL−Number-Theory.Residues
Polynomial-Factorization.Polynomial-Divisibility
Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial
begin

lemma *cong-add-poly*:
[(*a*::*'b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *b*] (*mod m*) ⟹ [*c* = *d*] (*mod m*) ⟹ [*a* + *c* = *b* + *d*] (*mod m*)
by (*fact cong-add*)

lemma *cong-mult-poly*:
[(*a*::*'b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *b*] (*mod m*) ⟹ [*c* = *d*] (*mod m*) ⟹ [*a* ∗ *c* = *b* ∗ *d*] (*mod m*)
by (*fact cong-mult*)

lemma *cong-mult-self-poly*: [(*a*::*'b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) ∗ *m* = *0*] (*mod m*)
by (*fact cong-mult-self-right*)

lemma *cong-scalar2-poly*: [(*a*::*'b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*)= *b*] (*mod m*) ⟹ [*k* ∗ *a* = *k* ∗ *b*] (*mod m*)
by (*fact cong-scalar-left*)

lemma *cong-sum-poly*:
(⋀*x*. *x* ∈ *A* ⟹ [((*f x*)::*'b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *g x*] (*mod m*)) ⟹
[(∑ *x*∈*A*. *f x*) = (∑ *x*∈*A*. *g x*)] (*mod m*)
by (*rule cong-sum*)

lemma *cong-iff-lin-poly*: ([(*a*::*'b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *b*] (*mod m*)) = (∃ *k*. *b* = *a* + *m* ∗ *k*)
using *cong-diff-iff-cong-0* [*of b a m*] **by** (*auto simp add*: *cong-0-iff dvd-def algebra-simps dest*: *cong-sym*)

lemma *cong-solve-poly*: (*a*::*'b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) ≠ *0* ⟹ ∃ *x*. [*a* ∗ *x* = *gcd a n*] (*mod n*)
proof (*cases n* = *0*)
case *True*
note *n0*=*True*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases monic a*)
case *True*
have *n*: *normalize a* = *a* **by** (*rule normalize-monic*[*OF True*])

```
    show ?thesis
    by (rule exI[of - 1], auto simp add: n0 n cong-def)
  next
    case False
    show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: True cong-def normalize-poly-old-def map-div-is-smult-inverse)
        (metis mult.right-neutral mult-smult-right)
  qed
next
 case False
 note n-not-0 = False
 show ?thesis
   using bezout-coefficients-fst-snd [of a n, symmetric]
   by (auto simp add: cong-iff-lin-poly mult.commute [of a] mult.commute [of n])
qed


lemma cong-solve-coprime-poly:
assumes coprime-an:coprime (a::'b::{field-gcd} poly) n
shows ∃ x. [a * x = 1] (mod n)
proof (cases a = 0)
  case True
  show ?thesis unfolding cong-def
    using True coprime-an by auto
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
    using coprime-an cong-solve-poly[OF False, of n]
    unfolding cong-def
    by presburger
qed

lemma cong-dvd-modulus-poly:
  [x = y] (mod m) ⟹ n dvd m ⟹ [x = y] (mod n) for x y :: 'b::{field-gcd} poly
  by (auto simp add: cong-iff-lin-poly elim!: dvdE)

lemma chinese-remainder-aux-poly:
  fixes A :: 'a set
    and m :: 'a ⇒ 'b::{field-gcd} poly
  assumes fin: finite A
    and cop: ∀ i ∈ A. (∀ j ∈ A. i ≠ j ⟶ coprime (m i) (m j))
  shows ∃ b. (∀ i ∈ A. [b i = 1] (mod m i) ∧ [b i = 0] (mod (∏ j ∈ A - {i}. m
j)))
proof (rule finite-set-choice, rule fin, rule ballI)
  fix i
  assume i : A
  with cop have coprime (∏ j ∈ A - {i}. m j) (m i)
    by (auto intro: prod-coprime-left)
  then have ∃ x. [(∏ j ∈ A - {i}. m j) * x = 1] (mod m i)
```

by (*elim cong-solve-coprime-poly*)
then obtain x **where** $[(\prod j \in A - \{i\}.\ m\ j) * x = 1]\ (mod\ m\ i)$
by *auto*
moreover have $[(\prod j \in A - \{i\}.\ m\ j) * x = 0]$
$(mod\ (\prod j \in A - \{i\}.\ m\ j))$
by (*subst mult.commute*, *rule cong-mult-self-poly*)
ultimately show $\exists a.\ [a = 1]\ (mod\ m\ i) \wedge [a = 0]$
$(mod\ prod\ m\ (A - \{i\}))$
by *blast*
qed

lemma *chinese-remainder-poly*:
fixes $A :: 'a\ set$
and $m :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b{::}\{field\text{-}gcd\}\ poly$
and $u :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b\ poly$
assumes *fin*: *finite* A
and *cop*: $\forall i{\in}A.\ (\forall j{\in}A.\ i \neq j \longrightarrow coprime\ (m\ i)\ (m\ j))$
shows $\exists x.\ (\forall i{\in}A.\ [x = u\ i]\ (mod\ m\ i))$
proof −
from *chinese-remainder-aux-poly* [*OF fin cop*] **obtain** b **where**
bprop: $\forall i{\in}A.\ [b\ i = 1]\ (mod\ m\ i) \wedge$
$[b\ i = 0]\ (mod\ (\prod j \in A - \{i\}.\ m\ j))$
by *blast*
let $?x = \sum i{\in}A.\ (u\ i) * (b\ i)$
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule exI*, *clarify*)
fix i
assume *a*: $i : A$
show $[?x = u\ i]\ (mod\ m\ i)$
proof −
from *fin a* **have** $?x = (\sum j \in \{i\}.\ u\ j * b\ j) +$
$(\sum j \in A - \{i\}.\ u\ j * b\ j)$
by (*subst sum.union-disjoint* [*symmetric*], *auto intro*: *sum.cong*)
then have $[?x = u\ i * b\ i + (\sum j \in A - \{i\}.\ u\ j * b\ j)]\ (mod\ m\ i)$
unfolding *cong-def*
by *auto*
also have $[u\ i * b\ i + (\sum j \in A - \{i\}.\ u\ j * b\ j) =$
$u\ i * 1 + (\sum j \in A - \{i\}.\ u\ j * 0)]\ (mod\ m\ i)$
apply (*rule cong-add-poly*)
apply (*rule cong-scalar2-poly*)
using *bprop a* **apply** *blast*
apply (*rule cong-sum*)
apply (*rule cong-scalar2-poly*)
using *bprop* **apply** *auto*
apply (*rule cong-dvd-modulus-poly*)
apply (*drule* (*1*) *bspec*)
apply (*erule conjE*)

apply *assumption*
apply *rule*
using *fin a* **apply** *auto*
done
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *a add.right-neutral fin mult-cancel-left1 mult-cancel-right1*

sum.not-neutral-contains-not-neutral sum.remove)
qed
qed
qed

lemma *cong-trans-poly*:
[(*a*::'*b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *b*] (*mod m*) ⟹ [*b* = *c*] (*mod m*) ⟹ [*a* = *c*] (*mod m*)
by (*fact cong-trans*)

lemma *cong-mod-poly*: (*n*::'*b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) ~= *0* ⟹ [*a mod n* = *a*] (*mod n*)
by *auto*

lemma *cong-sym-poly*: [(*a*::'*b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *b*] (*mod m*) ⟹ [*b* = *a*] (*mod m*)
by (*fact cong-sym*)

lemma *cong-1-poly*: [(*a*::'*b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *b*] (*mod 1*)
by (*fact cong-1*)

lemma *coprime-cong-mult-poly*:
assumes [(*a*::'*b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *b*] (*mod m*) **and** [*a* = *b*] (*mod n*) **and** *coprime m n*
shows [*a* = *b*] (*mod m* ∗ *n*)
using *divides-mult assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *cong-dvd-modulus-poly cong-iff-lin-poly dvd-mult2 dvd-refl minus-add-cancel mult.right-neutral*)

lemma *coprime-cong-prod-poly*:
(∀ *i*∈*A*. (∀ *j*∈*A*. *i* ≠ *j* ⟶ *coprime* (*m i*) (*m j*))) ⟹
(∀ *i*∈*A*. [(*x*::'*b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *y*] (*mod m i*)) ⟹
[*x* = *y*] (*mod* (∏ *i*∈*A*. *m i*))
apply (*induct A rule*: *infinite-finite-induct*)
apply *auto*
apply (*metis coprime-cong-mult-poly prod-coprime-right*)
done

lemma *cong-less-modulus-unique-poly*:
[(*x*::'*b*::{*field-gcd*} *poly*) = *y*] (*mod m*) ⟹ *degree x* < *degree m* ⟹ *degree y* < *degree m* ⟹ *x* = *y*

by (*simp add*: *cong-def mod-poly-less*)

lemma *chinese-remainder-unique-poly*:
fixes $A :: 'a\ set$
and $m :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\{field\text{-}gcd\}\ poly$
and $u :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b\ poly$
assumes *nz*: $\forall i{\in}A.\ (m\ i) \neq 0$
and *cop*: $\forall i{\in}A.\ (\forall j{\in}A.\ i \neq j \longrightarrow coprime\ (m\ i)\ (m\ j))$

and *not-constant*: $0 < degree\ (prod\ m\ A)$
shows $\exists!x.\ degree\ x < (\sum i{\in}A.\ degree\ (m\ i)) \wedge (\forall i{\in}A.\ [x = u\ i]\ (mod\ m\ i))$
proof −
from *not-constant* **have** *fin*: *finite A*
by (*metis degree-1 gr-implies-not0 prod.infinite*)
from *chinese-remainder-poly* [*OF fin cop*]
obtain *y* **where** *one*: $(\forall i{\in}A.\ [y = u\ i]\ (mod\ m\ i))$
by *blast*
let $?x = y\ mod\ (\prod i{\in}A.\ m\ i)$
have *degree-prod-sum*: $degree\ (prod\ m\ A) = (\sum i{\in}A.\ degree\ (m\ i))$
by (*rule degree-prod-eq-sum-degree*[*OF nz*])
from *fin nz* **have** *prodnz*: $(\prod i{\in}A.\ (m\ i)) \neq 0$
by *auto*

have *less*: $degree\ ?x < (\sum i{\in}A.\ degree\ (m\ i))$
unfolding *degree-prod-sum*[*symmetric*]
using *degree-mod-less*[*OF prodnz*, *of y*]
using *not-constant*
by *auto*
have *cong*: $\forall i{\in}A.\ [?x = u\ i]\ (mod\ m\ i)$
apply *auto*
apply (*rule cong-trans-poly*)
prefer *2*
using *one* **apply** *auto*
apply (*rule cong-dvd-modulus-poly*)
apply (*rule cong-mod-poly*)
using *prodnz* **apply** *auto*
apply *rule*
apply (*rule fin*)
apply *assumption*
done
have *unique*: $\forall z.\ degree\ z < (\sum i{\in}A.\ degree\ (m\ i)) \wedge$
$(\forall i{\in}A.\ [z = u\ i]\ (mod\ m\ i)) \longrightarrow z = ?x$
proof (*clarify*)
fix $z::'b\ poly$
assume *zless*: $degree\ z < (\sum i{\in}A.\ degree\ (m\ i))$
assume *zcong*: $(\forall i{\in}A.\ [z = u\ i]\ (mod\ m\ i))$
have *deg1*: $degree\ z < degree\ (prod\ m\ A)$
using *degree-prod-sum zless* **by** *simp*

have *deg2*: *degree ?x* < *degree* (*prod m A*)
by (*metis deg1 degree-0 degree-mod-less gr0I gr-implies-not0*)
have ∀ *i*∈*A*. [*?x* = *z*] (*mod m i*)
apply *clarify*
apply (*rule cong-trans-poly*)
using *cong* **apply** (*erule bspec*)
apply (*rule cong-sym-poly*)
using *zcong* **by** *auto*
with *fin cop* **have** [*?x* = *z*] (*mod* (∏ *i*∈*A*. *m i*))
by (*intro coprime-cong-prod-poly*) *auto*
with *zless* **show** *z* = *?x*
apply (*intro cong-less-modulus-unique-poly*)
apply (*erule cong-sym-poly*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *deg1 deg2*)
done
qed
from *less cong unique* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

end

6 The Berlekamp Algorithm

theory *Berlekamp-Type-Based*
imports
Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix-Kernel
Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-Elimination
Jordan-Normal-Form.Missing-VectorSpace
Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Multiset
Finite-Field
Chinese-Remainder-Poly
Poly-Mod-Finite-Field
HOL−Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring
begin

hide-const (**open**) *up-ring.coeff up-ring.monom Modules.module subspace*
Modules.module-hom

6.1 Auxiliary lemmas

context
fixes *g* :: *'b* ⇒ *'a* :: *comm-monoid-mult*
begin
lemma *prod-list-map-filter*: *prod-list* (*map g* (*filter f xs*)) ∗ *prod-list* (*map g* (*filter* (λ *x*. ¬ *f x*) *xs*))
= *prod-list* (*map g xs*)
by (*induct xs*, *auto simp*: *ac-simps*)

lemma *prod-list-map-partition*:
assumes *List.partition f xs* = (*ys*, *zs*)
shows *prod-list* (*map g xs*) = *prod-list* (*map g ys*) ∗ *prod-list* (*map g zs*)
using *assms* **by** (*subst prod-list-map-filter*[*symmetric*, *of - f*], *auto simp*: *o-def*)
end

lemma *coprime-id-is-unit*:
fixes *a*::'*b*::*semiring-gcd*
shows *coprime a a* ⟷ *is-unit a*
using *dvd-unit-imp-unit* **by** *auto*

lemma *dim-vec-of-list*[*simp*]: *dim-vec* (*vec-of-list x*) = *length x*
by (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *length-list-of-vec*[*simp*]: *length* (*list-of-vec A*) = *dim-vec A*
by (*transfer'*, *auto*)

lemma *list-of-vec-vec-of-list*[*simp*]: *list-of-vec* (*vec-of-list a*) = *a*
proof −
{
fix *aa* :: '*a list*
have *map* (λ*n*. *if n* < *length aa then aa* ! *n else undef-vec* (*n* − *length aa*)) [*0*..<*length aa*]
= *map* ((!) *aa*) [*0*..<*length aa*]
by *simp*
hence *map* (λ*n*. *if n* < *length aa then aa* ! *n else undef-vec* (*n* − *length aa*)) [*0*..<*length aa*] = *aa*
by (*simp add*: *map-nth*)
}
thus *?thesis* **by** (*transfer*, *simp add*: *mk-vec-def*)
qed

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*('*a*::*finite*)
begin

lemma *inj-Poly-list-of-vec'*: *inj-on* (*Poly* ∘ *list-of-vec*) {*v*. *dim-vec v* = *n*}
proof (*rule comp-inj-on*)
show *inj-on list-of-vec* {*v*. *dim-vec v* = *n*}
by (*auto simp add*: *inj-on-def*, *transfer*, *auto simp add*: *mk-vec-def*)
show *inj-on Poly* (*list-of-vec* ' {*v*. *dim-vec v* = *n*})
proof (*auto simp add*: *inj-on-def*)
fix *x y*::'*c vec* **assume** *n* = *dim-vec x* **and** *dim-xy*: *dim-vec y* = *dim-vec x*
and *Poly-eq*: *Poly* (*list-of-vec x*) = *Poly* (*list-of-vec y*)
note [*simp del*] = *nth-list-of-vec*
show *list-of-vec x* = *list-of-vec y*
proof (*rule nth-equalityI*, *auto simp*: *dim-xy*)
have *length-eq*: *length* (*list-of-vec x*) = *length* (*list-of-vec y*)

```
      using dim-xy by (transfer, auto)
    fix i assume i < dim-vec x
     thus list-of-vec x ! i = list-of-vec y ! i using Poly-eq unfolding poly-eq-iff
coeff-Poly-eq
      using dim-xy unfolding nth-default-def by (auto, presburger)
   qed
 qed
qed

corollary inj-Poly-list-of-vec: inj-on (Poly ∘ list-of-vec) (carrier-vec n)
 using inj-Poly-list-of-vec' unfolding carrier-vec-def .

lemma list-of-vec-rw-map: list-of-vec m = map (λn. m $ n) [0..<dim-vec m]
   by (transfer, auto simp add: mk-vec-def)

lemma degree-Poly':
assumes xs: xs ≠ []
shows degree (Poly xs) < length xs
using xs
by (induct xs, auto intro: Poly.simps(1))

lemma vec-of-list-list-of-vec[simp]: vec-of-list (list-of-vec a) = a
by (transfer, auto simp add: mk-vec-def)

lemma row-mat-of-rows-list:
assumes b: b < length A
and nc: ∀ i. i < length A ⟶ length (A ! i) = nc
shows (row (mat-of-rows-list nc A) b) = vec-of-list (A ! b)
proof (auto simp add: vec-eq-iff)
 show dim-col (mat-of-rows-list nc A) = length (A ! b)
   unfolding mat-of-rows-list-def using b nc by auto
 fix i assume i: i < length (A ! b)
 show row (mat-of-rows-list nc A) b $ i = vec-of-list (A ! b) $ i
   using i b nc
   unfolding mat-of-rows-list-def row-def
   by (transfer, auto simp add: mk-vec-def mk-mat-def)
qed

lemma degree-Poly-list-of-vec:
assumes n: x ∈ carrier-vec n
and n0: n > 0
shows degree (Poly (list-of-vec x)) < n
proof −
 have x-dim: dim-vec x = n using n by auto
 have l: (list-of-vec x) ≠ []
   by (auto simp add: list-of-vec-rw-map vec-of-dim-0[symmetric] n0 n x-dim)
 have degree (Poly (list-of-vec x)) < length (list-of-vec x) by (rule degree-Poly'[OF
l])
 also have ... = n using x-dim by auto
```

finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *list-of-vec-nth*:
assumes *i*: $i < dim\text{-}vec\ x$
shows $list\text{-}of\text{-}vec\ x\ !\ i = x\ \$\ i$
using *i*
by (*transfer*, *auto simp add*: *mk-vec-def*)

lemma *coeff-Poly-list-of-vec-nth'*:
assumes *i*: $i < dim\text{-}vec\ x$
shows $coeff\ (Poly\ (list\text{-}of\text{-}vec\ x))\ i = x\ \$\ i$
using *i*
by (*auto simp add*: *list-of-vec-nth nth-default-def*)

lemma *list-of-vec-row-nth*:
assumes *x*: $x < dim\text{-}col\ A$
shows $list\text{-}of\text{-}vec\ (row\ A\ i)\ !\ x = A\ \$\$\ (i, x)$
using *x* **unfolding** *row-def* **by** (*transfer'*, *auto simp add*: *mk-vec-def*)

lemma *coeff-Poly-list-of-vec-nth*:
assumes *x*: $x < dim\text{-}col\ A$
shows $coeff\ (Poly\ (list\text{-}of\text{-}vec\ (row\ A\ i)))\ x = A\ \$\$\ (i, x)$
proof −
have $coeff\ (Poly\ (list\text{-}of\text{-}vec\ (row\ A\ i)))\ x\ = nth\text{-}default\ 0\ (list\text{-}of\text{-}vec\ (row\ A\ i))\ x$
unfolding *coeff-Poly-eq* **by** *simp*
also have $... = A\ \$\$\ (i, x)$ **using** *x list-of-vec-row-nth*
unfolding *nth-default-def* **by** (*auto simp del*: *nth-list-of-vec*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *inj-on-list-of-vec*: $inj\text{-}on\ list\text{-}of\text{-}vec\ (carrier\text{-}vec\ n)$
unfolding *inj-on-def* **unfolding** *list-of-vec-rw-map* **by** *auto*

lemma *vec-of-list-carrier*[*simp*]: $vec\text{-}of\text{-}list\ x \in carrier\text{-}vec\ (length\ x)$
unfolding *carrier-vec-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *card-carrier-vec*: $card\ (carrier\text{-}vec\ n::\ 'b::finite\ vec\ set) = CARD('b)\ \hat{}\ n$
proof −
let $?A = UNIV::'b\ set$
let $?B = \{xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq ?A \wedge length\ xs = n\}$
let $?C = (carrier\text{-}vec\ n::\ 'b::finite\ vec\ set)$
have $card\ ?C = card\ ?B$
proof −
have $bij\text{-}betw\ (list\text{-}of\text{-}vec)\ ?C\ ?B$
proof (*unfold bij-betw-def*, *auto*)
show $inj\text{-}on\ list\text{-}of\text{-}vec\ (carrier\text{-}vec\ n)$ **by** (*rule inj-on-list-of-vec*)
fix $x::'b\ list$

assume *n*: *n* = *length x*
thus *x* ∈ *list-of-vec* ‘ *carrier-vec* (*length x*)
unfolding *image-def*
by *auto* (*rule bexI*[*of - vec-of-list x*], *auto*)
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *bij-betw-same-card* **by** *blast*
qed
also have ... = *card ?A* ^ *n*
by (*rule card-lists-length-eq*, *simp*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *finite-carrier-vec*[*simp*]: *finite* (*carrier-vec n*:: *'b*::*finite vec set*)
by (*rule card-ge-0-finite*, *unfold card-carrier-vec*, *auto*)

lemma *row-echelon-form-dim0-row*:
assumes *A* ∈ *carrier-mat 0 n*
shows *row-echelon-form A*
using *assms*
unfolding *row-echelon-form-def pivot-fun-def Let-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *row-echelon-form-dim0-col*:
assumes *A* ∈ *carrier-mat n 0*
shows *row-echelon-form A*
using *assms*
unfolding *row-echelon-form-def pivot-fun-def Let-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *row-echelon-form-one-dim0*[*simp*]: *row-echelon-form* (*1*$_m$ *0*)
unfolding *row-echelon-form-def pivot-fun-def Let-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *Poly-list-of-vec-0*[*simp*]: *Poly* (*list-of-vec* (*0*$_v$ *0*)) = [:*0*:]
by (*simp add*: *poly-eq-iff nth-default-def*)

lemma *monic-normalize*:
assumes (*p* :: *'b* :: {*field*,*euclidean-ring-gcd*} *poly*) ≠ *0* **shows** *monic* (*normalize p*)
by (*simp add*: *assms normalize-poly-old-def*)

lemma *exists-factorization-prod-list*:
fixes *P*::*'b*::*field poly list*
assumes *degree* (*prod-list P*) > *0*
and ⋀ *u*. *u* ∈ *set P* ⟹ *degree u* > *0* ∧ *monic u*
and *square-free* (*prod-list P*)
shows ∃ *Q*. *prod-list Q* = *prod-list P* ∧ *length P* ≤ *length Q*
∧ (∀ *u*. *u* ∈ *set Q* ⟶ *irreducible u* ∧ *monic u*)
using *assms*

proof (*induct P*)
case *Nil*
thus *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons x P*)
have *sf-P*: *square-free* (*prod-list P*)
by (*metis Cons.prems*(*3*) *dvd-triv-left prod-list.Cons mult.commute square-free-factor*)
have *deg-x*: *degree x* > *0* **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
have *distinct-P*: *distinct P*
by (*meson Cons.prems*(*2*) *Cons.prems*(*3*) *distinct.simps*(*2*) *square-free-prod-list-distinct*)
have ∃ *A*. *finite A* ∧ *x* = ∏ *A* ∧ *A* ⊆ {*q*. *irreducible q* ∧ *monic q*}
proof (*rule monic-square-free-irreducible-factorization*)
show *monic x* **by** (*simp add*: *Cons.prems*(*2*))
show *square-free x*
by (*metis Cons.prems*(*3*) *dvd-triv-left prod-list.Cons square-free-factor*)
qed
from *this* **obtain** *A* **where** *fin-A*: *finite A*
and *xA*: *x* = ∏ *A*
and *A*: *A* ⊆ {*q*. *irreducible*$_d$ *q* ∧ *monic q*}
by *auto*
obtain *A′* **where** *s*: *set A′* = *A* **and** *length-A′*: *length A′* = *card A*
using ‹*finite A*› *distinct-card finite-distinct-list* **by** *force*
have *A-not-empty*: *A* ≠ {} **using** *xA deg-x* **by** *auto*
have *x-prod-list-A′*: *x* = *prod-list A′*
proof −
have *x* = ∏ *A* **using** *xA* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *prod id A* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *prod id* (*set A′*) **unfolding** *s* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *prod-list* (*map id A′*)
by (*rule prod.distinct-set-conv-list*, *simp add*: *card-distinct length-A′ s*)
also have ... = *prod-list A′* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed
show *?case*
proof (*cases P* = [])
case *True*
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule exI*[*of* - *A′*], *auto simp add*: *True*)
show *prod-list A′* = *x* **using** *x-prod-list-A′* **by** *simp*
show *Suc 0* ≤ *length A′* **using** *A-not-empty* **using** *s length-A′*
by (*simp add*: *Suc-leI card-gt-0-iff fin-A*)
show ⋀*u*. *u* ∈ *set A′* ⟹ *irreducible u* **using** *s A* **by** *auto*
show ⋀*u*. *u* ∈ *set A′* ⟹ *monic u* **using** *s A* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case *False*
have *hyp*: ∃ *Q*. *prod-list Q* = *prod-list P*
∧ *length P* ≤ *length Q* ∧ (∀ *u*. *u* ∈ *set Q* ⟶ *irreducible u* ∧ *monic u*)
proof (*rule Cons.hyps*[*OF* - - *sf-P*])

have *set-P*: *set P* ≠ {} **using** *False* **by** *auto*
have *prod-list P* = *prod-list* (*map id P*) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *prod id* (*set P*)
using *prod.distinct-set-conv-list*[*OF distinct-P*, *of id*] **by** *simp*
also have ... = ∏ (*set P*) **by** *simp*
finally have *prod-list P* = ∏ (*set P*) **.**
hence *degree* (*prod-list P*) = *degree* (∏ (*set P*)) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *degree* (*prod id* (*set P*)) **by** *simp*
also have ... = (∑ *i*∈(*set P*). *degree* (*id i*))
proof (*rule degree-prod-eq-sum-degree*)
show ∀ *i*∈*set P*. *id i* ≠ *0* **using** *Cons.prems*(*2*) **by** *force*
qed
also have ... > *0*
by (*metis Cons.prems*(*2*) *List.finite-set set-P gr0I id-apply insert-iff list.set*(*2*) *sum-pos*)
finally show *degree* (*prod-list P*) > *0* **by** *simp*
show ⋀*u*. *u* ∈ *set P* ⟹ *degree u* > *0* ∧ *monic u* **using** *Cons.prems* **by** *auto*
qed
from *this* **obtain** *Q* **where** *QP*: *prod-list Q* = *prod-list P* **and** *length-PQ*: *length P* ≤ *length Q*
and *monic-irr-Q*: (∀ *u*. *u* ∈ *set Q* ⟶ *irreducible u* ∧ *monic u*) **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule exI*[*of - A′ @ Q*], *auto simp add*: *monic-irr-Q*)
show *prod-list A′* ∗ *prod-list Q* = *x* ∗ *prod-list P* **unfolding** *QP x-prod-list-A′* **by** *auto*
have *length A′* ≠ *0* **using** *A-not-empty* **using** *s length-A′* **by** *auto*
thus *Suc* (*length P*) ≤ *length A′* + *length Q* **using** *QP length-PQ* **by** *linarith*
show ⋀*u*. *u* ∈ *set A′* ⟹ *irreducible u* **using** *s A* **by** *auto*
show ⋀*u*. *u* ∈ *set A′* ⟹ *monic u* **using** *s A* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *normalize-eq-imp-smult*:
fixes *p* :: *'b* :: {*euclidean-ring-gcd*} *poly*
assumes *n*: *normalize p* = *normalize q*
shows ∃ *c*. *c* ≠ *0* ∧ *q* = *smult c p*
proof(*cases p* = *0*)
case *True* **with** *n* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto intro*:*exI*[*of - 1*])
next
case *p0*: *False*
have *degree-eq*: *degree p* = *degree q* **using** *n degree-normalize* **by** *metis*
hence *q0*: *q* ≠ *0* **using** *p0 n* **by** *auto*
have *p-dvd-q*: *p dvd q* **using** *n* **by** (*simp add*: *associatedD1*)
from *p-dvd-q* **obtain** *k* **where** *q*: *q* = *k* ∗ *p* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
with *q0* **have** *k* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
then have *degree k* = *0*
using *degree-eq degree-mult-eq p0 q* **by** *fastforce*

then obtain *c* **where** *k*: *k* = [: *c* :] **by** (*metis degree-0-id*)
with ‹*k* ≠ *0*› **have** *c* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
have *q* = *smult c p* **unfolding** *q k* **by** *simp*
with ‹*c* ≠ *0*› **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *prod-list-normalize*:
fixes *P* :: *'b* :: {*idom-divide*,*normalization-semidom-multiplicative*} *poly list*
shows *normalize* (*prod-list P*) = *prod-list* (*map normalize P*)
proof (*induct P*)
case *Nil*
show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons p P*)
have *normalize* (*prod-list* (*p* # *P*)) = *normalize p* ∗ *normalize* (*prod-list P*)
using *normalize-mult* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *normalize p* ∗ *prod-list* (*map normalize P*) **using** *Cons.hyps* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *prod-list* (*normalize p* # (*map normalize P*)) **by** *auto*
also have ... = *prod-list* (*map normalize* (*p* # *P*)) **by** *auto*
finally show *?case* **.**
qed

lemma *prod-list-dvd-prod-list-subset*:
fixes *A*::*'b*::*comm-monoid-mult list*
assumes *dA*: *distinct A*
and *dB*: *distinct B*
and *s*: *set A* ⊆ *set B*
shows *prod-list A dvd prod-list B*
proof −
have *prod-list A* = *prod-list* (*map id A*) **by** *auto*
also have ... = *prod id* (*set A*)
by (*rule prod.distinct-set-conv-list*[*symmetric*, *OF dA*])
also have ... *dvd prod id* (*set B*)
by (*rule prod-dvd-prod-subset*[*OF - s*], *auto*)
also have ... = *prod-list* (*map id B*)
by (*rule prod.distinct-set-conv-list*[*OF dB*])
also have ... = *prod-list B* **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

end

lemma *gcd-monic-constant*:
gcd f g ∈ {*1*, *f*} **if** *monic f* **and** *degree g* = *0*
for *f g* :: *'a* :: {*field-gcd*} *poly*
proof (*cases g* = *0*)
case *True*

moreover from ‹*monic f*› **have** *normalize f = f*
by (*rule normalize-monic*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by *simp*
next
case *False*
with ‹*degree g = 0*› **have** *is-unit g*
by *simp*
then have *Rings.coprime f g*
by (*rule is-unit-right-imp-coprime*)
then show *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *distinct-find-base-vectors*:
fixes *A*::*'a*::*field mat*
assumes *ref*: *row-echelon-form A*
and *A*: *A* ∈ *carrier-mat nr nc*
shows *distinct* (*find-base-vectors A*)
proof −
note *non-pivot-base* = *non-pivot-base*[*OF ref A*]
let *?pp* = *set* (*pivot-positions A*)
from *A* **have** *dim*: *dim-row A* = *nr dim-col A* = *nc* **by** *auto*
{
fix *j j′*
assume *j*: *j* < *nc j* ∉ *snd* ‘ *?pp* **and** *j′*: *j′* < *nc j′* ∉ *snd* ‘ *?pp* **and** *neq*: *j′* ≠ *j*
from *non-pivot-base*(*2*)[*OF j*] *non-pivot-base*(*4*)[*OF j′ j neq*]
have *non-pivot-base A* (*pivot-positions A*) *j* ≠ *non-pivot-base A* (*pivot-positions A*) *j′* **by** *auto*
}
hence *inj*: *inj-on* (*non-pivot-base A* (*pivot-positions A*))
(*set* [*j*←[*0*..<*nc*] . *j* ∉ *snd* ‘ *?pp*]) **unfolding** *inj-on-def* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *find-base-vectors-def Let-def* **unfolding** *distinct-map dim* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *length-find-base-vectors*:
fixes *A*::*'a*::*field mat*
assumes *ref*: *row-echelon-form A*
and *A*: *A* ∈ *carrier-mat nr nc*
shows *length* (*find-base-vectors A*) = *card* (*set* (*find-base-vectors A*))
using *distinct-card*[*OF distinct-find-base-vectors*[*OF ref A*]] **by** *auto*

6.2 Previous Results

definition *power-poly-f-mod* :: *'a*::*field poly* ⇒ *'a poly* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'a poly* **where**
power-poly-f-mod modulus = (λ*a n*. *a* ^ *n mod modulus*)

lemma *power-poly-f-mod-binary*: *power-poly-f-mod m a n* = (*if n* = *0 then 1 mod*

```
m
    else let (d, r) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2;
      rec = power-poly-f-mod m ((a * a) mod m) d in
    if r = 0 then rec else (rec * a) mod m)
  for m a :: 'a :: {field-gcd} poly
proof −
  note d = power-poly-f-mod-def
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases n = 0)
    case True
    thus ?thesis unfolding d by simp
  next
    case False
    obtain q r where div: Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2 = (q,r) by force
   hence n: n = 2 * q + r and r: r = 0 ∨ r = 1 unfolding Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def
by auto
    have id: a ^ (2 * q) = (a * a) ^ q
      by (simp add: power-mult-distrib semiring-normalization-rules)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases r = 0)
      case True
      show ?thesis
        using power-mod [of a * a m q]
       by (auto simp add: Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def Let-def True n d div id)
    next
      case False
      with r have r: r = 1 by simp
      show ?thesis
        by (auto simp add: d r div Let-def mod-simps)
       (simp add: n r mod-simps ac-simps power-mult-distrib power-mult power2-eq-square)
    qed
  qed
qed


fun power-polys where
  power-polys mul-p u curr-p (Suc i) = curr-p #
      power-polys mul-p u ((curr-p * mul-p) mod u) i
| power-polys mul-p u curr-p 0 = []

context
assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a::prime-card)
begin

lemma fermat-theorem-mod-ring [simp]:
  fixes a::'a mod-ring
  shows a ^ CARD('a) = a
proof (cases a = 0)
  case True
```

```
  then show ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
  proof transfer
    fix a
    assume a ∈ {0..<int CARD('a)} and a ≠ 0
    then have a: 1 ≤ a a < int CARD('a)
      by simp-all
    then have [simp]: a mod int CARD('a) = a
      by simp
    from a have ¬ int CARD('a) dvd a
      by (auto simp add: zdvd-not-zless)
    then have ¬ CARD('a) dvd nat |a|
      by simp
    with a have ¬ CARD('a) dvd nat a
      by simp
    with prime-card have [nat a ^ (CARD('a) - 1) = 1] (mod CARD('a))
      by (rule fermat-theorem)
    with a have int (nat a ^ (CARD('a) - 1) mod CARD('a)) = 1
      by (simp add: cong-def)
    with a have a ^ (CARD('a) - 1) mod CARD('a) = 1
      by (simp add: of-nat-mod)
    then have a * (a ^ (CARD('a) - 1) mod int CARD('a)) = a
      by simp
   then have (a * (a ^ (CARD('a) - 1) mod int CARD('a))) mod int CARD('a)
= a mod int CARD('a)
      by (simp only:)
    then show a ^ CARD('a) mod int CARD('a) = a
      by (simp add: mod-simps semiring-normalization-rules(27))
  qed
qed


lemma mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly: ((x::'a mod-ring poly) mod n = y mod n) = (n dvd x
- y)
proof
  assume H: x mod n = y mod n
  hence x mod n - y mod n = 0 by simp
  hence (x mod n - y mod n) mod n = 0 by simp
  hence (x - y) mod n = 0 by (simp add: mod-diff-eq)
  thus n dvd x - y by (simp add: dvd-eq-mod-eq-0)
next
  assume H: n dvd x - y
  then obtain k where k: x-y = n*k unfolding dvd-def by blast
  hence x = n*k + y using diff-eq-eq by blast
  hence x mod n = (n*k + y) mod n by simp
  thus x mod n = y mod n by (simp add: mod-add-left-eq)
qed
```

lemma *cong-gcd-eq-poly*:
  gcd a m = gcd b m **if** *[(a::'a mod-ring poly) = b] (mod m)*
  using *that* **by** (*simp add*: *cong-def*) (*metis gcd-mod-left mod-by-0*)

lemma *coprime-h-c-poly*:
fixes *h::'a mod-ring poly*
assumes *c1 ≠ c2*
shows *coprime* (*h − [:c1:]*) (*h − [:c2:]*)
proof (*intro coprimeI*)
  fix *d* **assume** *d dvd h − [:c1:]*
  and *d dvd h − [:c2:]*
  hence *h mod d = [:c1:] mod d* **and** *h mod d = [:c2:] mod d*
    using *mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly* **by** *simp+*
  hence *[:c1:] mod d = [:c2:] mod d* **by** *simp*
  hence *d dvd [:c2 − c1:]*
    by (*metis* (*no-types*) *mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly diff-pCons right-minus-eq*)
  thus *is-unit d*
   by (*metis* (*no-types*) *assms dvd-trans is-unit-monom-0 monom-0 right-minus-eq*)
qed

lemma *coprime-h-c-poly2*:
fixes *h::'a mod-ring poly*
assumes *coprime* (*h − [:c1:]*) (*h − [:c2:]*)
and *¬ is-unit* (*h − [:c1:]*)
shows *c1 ≠ c2*
using *assms coprime-id-is-unit* **by** *blast*

lemma *degree-minus-eq-right*:
fixes *p::'b::ab-group-add poly*
shows *degree q < degree p ⟹ degree* (*p − q*) = *degree p*
using *degree-add-eq-left*[*of −q p*] *degree-minus* **by** *auto*

lemma *coprime-prod*:
  fixes *A::'a mod-ring set* **and** *g::'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly*
  assumes *∀ x∈A. coprime* (*g a*) (*g x*)
  shows *coprime* (*g a*) (*prod* (*λx. g x*) *A*)
proof −
  have *f*: *finite A* **by** *simp*
  show *?thesis*
  using *f* **using** *assms*
  proof (*induct A*)
    case (*insert x A*)
    have (*∏ c∈insert x A. g c*) = (*g x*) ∗ (*∏ c∈A. g c*)
      by (*simp add*: *insert.hyps*(*2*))
    with *insert.prems* **show** *?case*
      by (*auto simp*: *insert.hyps*(*3*) *prod-coprime-right*)

qed *auto*
qed

lemma *coprime-prod2*:
fixes *A*::*'b*::*semiring-gcd set*
assumes $\forall x{\in}A.$ *coprime* (*a*) (*x*) **and** *f*: *finite A*
shows *coprime* (*a*) (*prod* ($\lambda x.$ *x*) *A*)
using *f* **using** *assms*
proof (*induct A*)
case (*insert x A*)
have $(\prod c{\in}$*insert x A*. *c*$) =$ (*x*) $*$ $(\prod c{\in}A.$ *c*)
by (*simp add*: *insert.hyps*)
with *insert.prems* **show** *?case*
by (*simp add*: *insert.hyps prod-coprime-right*)
qed *auto*

lemma *divides-prod*:
fixes *g*::*'a mod-ring* $\Rightarrow$ *'a mod-ring poly*
assumes $\forall$ *c1 c2*. *c1* $\in$ *A* $\wedge$ *c2* $\in$ *A* $\wedge$ *c1* $\neq$ *c2* $\longrightarrow$ *coprime* (*g c1*) (*g c2*)
assumes $\forall$ *c*$\in$ *A*. *g c dvd f*
shows $(\prod c{\in}A.$ *g c*$)$ *dvd f*
proof −
have *finite-A*: *finite A* **using** *finite*[*of A*] **.**
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms*
proof (*induct A*)
case (*insert x A*)
have $(\prod c{\in}$*insert x A*. *g c*$) =$ *g x* $*$ $(\prod c{\in}$ *A*. *g c*$)$
by (*simp add*: *insert.hyps*(*2*))
also have *... dvd f*
proof (*rule divides-mult*)
show *g x dvd f* **using** *insert.prems* **by** *auto*
show *prod g A dvd f* **using** *insert.hyps*(*3*) *insert.prems* **by** *auto*
from *insert* **show** *Rings.coprime* (*g x*) (*prod g A*)
by (*auto intro*: *prod-coprime-right*)
qed
finally show *?case* **.**
qed *auto*
qed

lemma *poly-monom-identity-mod-p*:
monom (*1*::*'a mod-ring*) (*CARD*(*'a*)) − *monom 1 1* = *prod* ($\lambda x.$ [:*0*,*1*:] − [:*x*:])
(*UNIV*::*'a mod-ring set*)
(**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)
proof −

let *?f*=(λ*x*::′*a mod-ring*. [:*0*,*1*:] − [:*x*:])
have *?rhs dvd ?lhs*
proof (*rule divides-prod*)
{
fix *a*::′*a mod-ring*
have *poly ?lhs a* = *0*
by (*simp add*: *poly-monom*)
hence ([:*0*,*1*:] − [:*a*:]) *dvd ?lhs*
using *poly-eq-0-iff-dvd* **by** *fastforce*
}
thus ∀ *x*∈*UNIV*::′*a mod-ring set*. [:*0*, *1*:] − [:*x*:] *dvd monom 1 CARD*(′*a*) − *monom 1 1* **by** *fast*
show ∀ *c1 c2*. *c1* ∈ *UNIV* ∧ *c2* ∈ *UNIV* ∧ *c1* ≠ (*c2* :: ′*a mod-ring*) ⟶ *coprime* ([:*0*, *1*:] − [:*c1*:]) ([:*0*, *1*:] − [:*c2*:])
by (*auto dest*!: *coprime-h-c-poly*[*of* - - [:*0*,*1*:]])
qed
from *this* **obtain** *g* **where** *g*: *?lhs* = *?rhs* ∗ *g* **using** *dvdE* **by** *blast*
have *degree-lhs-card*: *degree ?lhs* = *CARD*(′*a*)
proof −
have *degree* (*monom* (*1*::′*a mod-ring*) *1*) = *1* **by** (*simp add*: *degree-monom-eq*)
moreover have *d-c*: *degree* (*monom* (*1*::′*a mod-ring*) *CARD*(′*a*)) = *CARD*(′*a*)
by (*simp add*: *degree-monom-eq*)
ultimately have *degree* (*monom* (*1*::′*a mod-ring*) *1*) < *degree* (*monom* (*1*::′*a mod-ring*) *CARD*(′*a*))
using *prime-card* **unfolding** *prime-nat-iff* **by** *auto*
hence *degree ?lhs* = *degree* (*monom* (*1*::′*a mod-ring*) *CARD*(′*a*))
by (*rule degree-minus-eq-right*)
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *d-c* **.**
qed
have *degree-rhs-card*: *degree ?rhs* = *CARD*(′*a*)
proof −
have *degree* (*prod ?f UNIV*) = *sum* (*degree* ∘ *?f*) *UNIV*
∧ *coeff* (*prod ?f UNIV*) (*sum* (*degree* ∘ *?f*) *UNIV*) = *1*
by (*rule degree-prod-sum-monic*, *auto*)
moreover have *sum* (*degree* ∘ *?f*) *UNIV* = *CARD*(′*a*) **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *presburger*
qed
have *monic-lhs*: *monic ?lhs* **using** *degree-lhs-card* **by** *auto*
have *monic-rhs*: *monic ?rhs* **by** (*rule monic-prod*, *simp*)
have *degree-eq*: *degree ?rhs* = *degree ?lhs* **unfolding** *degree-lhs-card degree-rhs-card*
..
have *g-not-0*: *g* ≠ *0* **using** *g monic-lhs* **by** *auto*
have *degree-g0*: *degree g* = *0*
proof −
have *degree* (*?rhs* ∗ *g*) = *degree ?rhs* + *degree g*
by (*rule degree-monic-mult*[*OF monic-rhs g-not-0*])
thus *?thesis* **using** *degree-eq g* **by** *simp*
qed
have *monic-g*: *monic g* **using** *monic-factor g monic-lhs monic-rhs* **by** *auto*

have $g = 1$ **using** *monic-degree-0*[*OF monic-g*] *degree-g0* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** g **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *poly-identity-mod-p*:
$v\hat{}(CARD('a)) - v = prod\ (\lambda x.\ v - [:x:])\ (UNIV::'a\ mod\text{-}ring\ set)$
proof −
have *id*: *monom 1 1* $\circ_p$ $v = v$ [:*0*, *1*:] $\circ_p$ $v = v$ **unfolding** *pcompose-def*
apply (*auto*)
by (*simp add*: *fold-coeffs-def*)
have *id2*: *monom 1* $(CARD('a))$ $\circ_p$ $v = v\ \hat{}\ (CARD('a))$ **by** (*metis id*(*1*) *pcompose-hom.hom-power x-pow-n*)
show *?thesis* **using** *arg-cong*[*OF poly-monom-identity-mod-p*, *of* $\lambda\ f.\ f \circ_p v$]
unfolding *pcompose-hom.hom-minus pcompose-hom.hom-prod id pcompose-const id2* **.**
qed

lemma *coprime-gcd*:
fixes *h*::'*a mod-ring poly*
assumes *Rings.coprime* (*h*−[:*c1*:]) (*h*−[:*c2*:])
shows *Rings.coprime* (*gcd f*(*h*−[:*c1*:])) (*gcd f* (*h*−[:*c2*:]))
using *assms coprime-divisors* **by** *blast*

lemma *divides-prod-gcd*:
fixes *h*::'*a mod-ring poly*
assumes $\forall\ c1\ c2.\ c1 \in A \wedge c2 \in A \wedge c1 \neq c2 \longrightarrow coprime\ (h-[:c1:])\ (h-[:c2:])$
shows $(\prod c{\in}A.\ gcd\ f\ (h - [:c:]))\ dvd\ f$
proof −
have *finite-A*: *finite A* **using** *finite*[*of A*] **.**
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms*
proof (*induct A*)
case (*insert x A*)
have $(\prod c{\in}insert\ x\ A.\ gcd\ f\ (h - [:c:])) = (gcd\ f\ (h - [:x:])) * (\prod c{\in} A.\ gcd\ f\ (h - [:c:]))$
by (*simp add*: *insert.hyps*(*2*))
also have ... *dvd f*
proof (*rule divides-mult*)
show *gcd f* (*h* − [:*x*:]) *dvd f* **by** *simp*
show $(\prod c{\in}A.\ gcd\ f\ (h - [:c:]))\ dvd\ f$ **using** *insert.hyps*(*3*) *insert.prems* **by** *auto*
show *Rings.coprime* $(gcd\ f\ (h - [:x:]))\ (\prod c{\in}A.\ gcd\ f\ (h - [:c:]))$
by (*rule prod-coprime-right*)

(*metis Berlekamp-Type-Based.coprime-h-c-poly coprime-gcd coprime-iff-coprime insert.hyps(2)*)
qed
finally show *?case* **.**
qed *auto*
qed

lemma *monic-prod-gcd*:
assumes *f*: *finite A* **and** *f0*: $(f :: 'b :: \{field\text{-}gcd\}\ poly) \neq 0$
shows *monic* $(\prod c{\in}A.\ gcd\ f\ (h - [:c:]))$
using *f*
proof (*induct A*)
case (*insert x A*)
have *rw*: $(\prod c{\in}insert\ x\ A.\ gcd\ f\ (h - [:c:]))$
$= (gcd\ f\ (h - [:x:])) * (\prod c{\in} A.\ gcd\ f\ (h - [:c:]))$
by (*simp add*: *insert.hyps*)
show *?case*
proof (*unfold rw*, *rule monic-mult*)
show *monic* $(gcd\ f\ (h - [:x:]))$
using *poly-gcd-monic*[*of f*] *f0*
using *insert.prems insert-iff* **by** *blast*
show *monic* $(\prod c{\in}A.\ gcd\ f\ (h - [:c:]))$
using *insert.hyps*(*3*) *insert.prems* **by** *blast*
qed
qed *auto*

lemma *coprime-not-unit-not-dvd*:
fixes *a*::'*b*::*semiring-gcd*
assumes *a dvd b*
and *coprime b c*
and ¬ *is-unit a*
shows ¬ *a dvd c*
using *assms coprime-divisors coprime-id-is-unit* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *divides-prod2*:
fixes *A*::'*b*::*semiring-gcd set*
assumes *f*: *finite A*
and $\forall\, a{\in}A.\ a\ dvd\ c$
and $\forall\, a1\ a2.\ a1 \in A \wedge a2 \in A \wedge a1 \neq a2 \longrightarrow coprime\ a1\ a2$
shows $\prod A\ dvd\ c$
using *assms*
proof (*induct A*)
case (*insert x A*)
have $\prod (insert\ x\ A) = x * \prod A$ **by** (*simp add*: *insert.hyps*(*1*) *insert.hyps*(*2*))
also have *... dvd c*
proof (*rule divides-mult*)
show *x dvd c* **by** (*simp add*: *insert.prems*)
show $\prod A\ dvd\ c$ **using** *insert* **by** *auto*
from *insert* **show** *Rings.coprime x* $(\prod A)$

```
    by (auto intro: prod-coprime-right)
  qed
  finally show ?case .
qed auto


lemma coprime-polynomial-factorization:
  fixes a1 :: 'b :: {field-gcd} poly
  assumes irr: as ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
  and finite as and a1: a1 ∈ as and a2: a2 ∈ as and a1-not-a2: a1 ≠ a2
  shows coprime a1 a2
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume not-coprime: ¬ coprime a1 a2
  let ?b= gcd a1 a2
  have b-dvd-a1: ?b dvd a1 and b-dvd-a2: ?b dvd a2 by simp+
  have irr-a1: irreducible a1 using a1 irr by blast
  have irr-a2: irreducible a2 using a2 irr by blast
  have a2-not0: a2 ≠ 0 using a2 irr by auto
  have degree-a1: degree a1 ≠ 0 using irr-a1 by auto
  have degree-a2: degree a2 ≠ 0 using irr-a2 by auto
  have not-a2-dvd-a1: ¬ a2 dvd a1
  proof (rule ccontr, simp)
    assume a2-dvd-a1: a2 dvd a1
    from this obtain k where k: a1 = a2 * k unfolding dvd-def by auto
    have k-not0: k ≠ 0 using degree-a1 k by auto
    show False
    proof (cases degree a2 = degree a1)
      case False
      have degree a2 < degree a1
        using False a2-dvd-a1 degree-a1 divides-degree
        by fastforce
      hence ¬ irreducible a1
        using degree-a2 a2-dvd-a1 degree-a2
      by (metis degree-a1 irreducible_dD(2) irreducible_d-multD irreducible-connect-field
k neq0-conv)
      thus False using irr-a1 by contradiction
    next
      case True
      have degree a1 = degree a2 + degree k
        unfolding k using degree-mult-eq[OF a2-not0 k-not0] by simp
      hence degree k = 0 using True by simp
      hence k = 1 using monic-factor a1 a2 irr k monic-degree-0 by auto
      hence a1 = a2 using k by simp
      thus False using a1-not-a2 by contradiction
    qed
  qed
  have b-not0: ?b ≠ 0 by (simp add: a2-not0)
  have degree-b: degree ?b > 0
    using not-coprime[simplified] b-not0 is-unit-gcd is-unit-iff-degree by blast
```

have *degree ?b < degree a2*
by (*meson b-dvd-a1 b-dvd-a2 irreducibleD' dvd-trans gcd-dvd-1 irr-a2 not-a2-dvd-a1 not-coprime*)
hence ¬ *irreducible$_d$ a2* **using** *degree-a2 b-dvd-a2 degree-b*
by (*metis degree-smult-eq irreducible$_d$-dvd-smult less-not-refl3*)
thus *False* **using** *irr-a2* **by** *auto*
qed

theorem *Berlekamp-gcd-step*:
fixes *f*::'*a mod-ring poly* **and** *h*::'*a mod-ring poly*
assumes *hq-mod-f*: [*h*^(*CARD*('*a*)) = *h*] (*mod f*) **and** *monic-f*: *monic f* **and** *sf-f*: *square-free f*
shows *f* = *prod* (λ*c*. *gcd f* (*h* − [:*c*:])) (*UNIV*::'*a mod-ring set*) (**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)
proof (*cases f=0*)
case *True*
thus *?thesis* **using** *coeff-0 monic-f zero-neq-one* **by** *auto*
next
case *False* **note** *f-not-0* = *False*
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule poly-dvd-antisym*)
show *?rhs dvd f*
using *coprime-h-c-poly* **by** (*intro divides-prod-gcd*, *auto*)
have *monic ?rhs* **by** (*rule monic-prod-gcd*[*OF - f-not-0*], *simp*)
thus *coeff f* (*degree f*) = *coeff ?rhs* (*degree ?rhs*)
using *monic-f* **by** *auto*
next
show *f dvd ?rhs*
proof −
let *?p* = *CARD*('*a*)
obtain *P* **where** *finite-P*: *finite P*
and *f-desc-square-free*: *f* = (∏ *a*∈*P*. *a*)
and *P*: *P* ⊆ {*q*. *irreducible q* ∧ *monic q*}
using *monic-square-free-irreducible-factorization*[*OF monic-f sf-f*] **by** *auto*
have *f-dvd-hqh*: *f dvd* (*h*^*?p* − *h*) **using** *hq-mod-f* **unfolding** *cong-def*
using *mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly* **by** *blast*
also have *hq-h-rw*: ... = *prod* (λ*c*. *h* − [:*c*:]) (*UNIV*::'*a mod-ring set*)
by (*rule poly-identity-mod-p*)
finally have *f-dvd-hc*: *f dvd prod* (λ*c*. *h* − [:*c*:]) (*UNIV*::'*a mod-ring set*) **by** *simp*
have *f* = ∏ *P* **using** *f-desc-square-free* **by** *simp*
also have ... *dvd ?rhs*
proof (*rule divides-prod2*[*OF finite-P*])
show ∀ *a1 a2*. *a1* ∈ *P* ∧ *a2* ∈ *P* ∧ *a1* ≠ *a2* ⟶ *coprime a1 a2*
using *coprime-polynomial-factorization*[*OF P finite-P*] **by** *simp*
show ∀ *a*∈*P*. *a dvd* (∏ *c*∈*UNIV*. *gcd f* (*h* − [:*c*:]))
proof
fix *fi* **assume** *fi-P*: *fi* ∈ *P*
show *fi dvd ?rhs*

```
        proof (rule dvd-prod, auto)
          show fi dvd f using f-desc-square-free fi-P
           using dvd-prod-eqI finite-P by blast
          hence fi dvd (h^?p − h) using dvd-trans f-dvd-hqh by auto
          also have ... = prod (λc. h − [:c:]) (UNIV::'a mod-ring set)
            unfolding hq-h-rw by simp
        finally have fi-dvd-prod-hc: fi dvd prod (λc. h − [:c:]) (UNIV::'a mod-ring
set) .
          have irr-fi: irreducible (fi) using fi-P P by blast
         have fi-not-unit: ¬ is-unit fi using irr-fi by (simp add: irreducible_dD(1)
poly-dvd-1)
          have fi-dvd-hc: ∃ c∈UNIV::'a mod-ring set. fi dvd (h−[:c:])
            by (rule irreducible-dvd-prod[OF - fi-dvd-prod-hc], simp add: irr-fi)
          thus ∃ c. fi dvd h − [:c:] by simp
        qed
      qed
    qed
    finally show f dvd ?rhs .
  qed
 qed
qed
```

6.3 Definitions

```
definition berlekamp-mat :: 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ 'a mod-ring mat where
 berlekamp-mat u = (let n = degree u;
   mul-p = power-poly-f-mod u [:0,1:] (CARD('a));
   xks = power-polys mul-p u 1 n
  in
   mat-of-rows-list n (map (λ cs. let coeffs-cs = (coeffs cs);
                                  k = n − length (coeffs cs)
                              in (coeffs cs) @ replicate k 0) xks))


definition berlekamp-resulting-mat :: ('a mod-ring) poly ⇒ 'a mod-ring mat where
berlekamp-resulting-mat u = (let Q = berlekamp-mat u;
   n = dim-row Q;
   QI = mat n n (λ (i,j). if i = j then Q $$ (i,j) − 1 else Q $$ (i,j))
   in (gauss-jordan-single (transpose-mat QI)))

definition berlekamp-basis :: 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly list where
 berlekamp-basis u = (map (Poly o list-of-vec) (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat
u)))

lemma berlekamp-basis-code[code]: berlekamp-basis u =
 (map (poly-of-list o list-of-vec) (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)))
 unfolding berlekamp-basis-def poly-of-list-def ..

primrec berlekamp-factorization-main :: nat ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly list ⇒ 'a mod-ring
```

poly list ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'a mod-ring poly list* **where**
berlekamp-factorization-main i divs (*v* # *vs*) *n* = (*if v = 1 then berlekamp-factorization-main i divs vs n else*
if length divs = n then divs else
let facts = [*w* . *u* ← *divs*, *s* ← [*0* ..< *CARD*('*a*)], *w* ← [*gcd u* (*v* − [:*of-int s*:])], *w* ≠ *1*];
(*lin*,*nonlin*) = *List.partition* (λ *q*. *degree q* = *i*) *facts*
in lin @ *berlekamp-factorization-main i nonlin vs* (*n* − *length lin*))
| *berlekamp-factorization-main i divs* [] *n* = *divs*

definition *berlekamp-monic-factorization* :: *nat* ⇒ *'a mod-ring poly* ⇒ *'a mod-ring poly list* **where**
berlekamp-monic-factorization d f = (*let*
vs = *berlekamp-basis f*;
n = *length vs*;
fs = *berlekamp-factorization-main d* [*f*] *vs n*
in fs)

6.4 Properties

lemma *power-polys-works*:
fixes *u*::*'b*::*unique-euclidean-semiring*
assumes *i*: *i* < *n* **and** *c*: *curr-p* = *curr-p mod u*
shows *power-polys mult-p u curr-p n* ! *i* = *curr-p* ∗ *mult-p* ^ *i mod u*
using *i c*
proof (*induct n arbitrary*: *curr-p i*)
case *0* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc n*)
have *p-rw*: *power-polys mult-p u curr-p* (*Suc n*) ! *i*
= (*curr-p* # *power-polys mult-p u* (*curr-p* ∗ *mult-p mod u*) *n*) ! *i*
by *simp*
show *?case*
proof (*cases i=0*)
case *True*
show *?thesis* **using** *Suc.prems* **unfolding** *p-rw True* **by** *auto*
next
case *False* **note** *i-not-0* = *False*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases i* < *n*)
case *True* **note** *i-less-n* = *True*
have *power-polys mult-p u curr-p* (*Suc n*) ! *i* = *power-polys mult-p u* (*curr-p* ∗ *mult-p mod u*) *n* ! (*i* − *1*)
unfolding *p-rw* **using** *nth-Cons-pos False* **by** *auto*
also have ... = (*curr-p* ∗ *mult-p mod u*) ∗ *mult-p* ^ (*i*−*1*) *mod u*
by (*rule Suc.hyps*) (*auto simp add*: *i-less-n less-imp-diff-less*)
also have ... = *curr-p* ∗ *mult-p* ^ *i mod u*
using *False* **by** (*cases i*) (*simp-all add*: *algebra-simps mod-simps*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**

```
    next
      case False
      hence i-n: i = n using Suc.prems by auto
      have power-polys mult-p u curr-p (Suc n) ! i = power-polys mult-p u (curr-p
* mult-p mod u) n ! (n − 1)
          unfolding p-rw using nth-Cons-pos i-n i-not-0 by auto
      also have ... = (curr-p * mult-p mod u) * mult-p ^(n−1) mod u
      proof (rule Suc.hyps)
        show n − 1 < n using i-n i-not-0 by linarith
        show curr-p * mult-p mod u = curr-p * mult-p mod u mod u by simp
      qed
      also have ... = curr-p * mult-p ^ i mod u
          using i-n [symmetric] i-not-0 by (cases i) (simp-all add: algebra-simps
mod-simps)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  qed
qed


lemma length-power-polys[simp]: length (power-polys mult-p u curr-p n) = n
  by (induct n arbitrary: curr-p, auto)



lemma Poly-berlekamp-mat:
assumes k: k < degree u
shows Poly (list-of-vec (row (berlekamp-mat u) k)) = [:0,1:]^(CARD('a) * k) mod
u
proof −
  let ?map =(map (λcs. coeffs cs @ replicate (degree u − length (coeffs cs)) 0)
              (power-polys (power-poly-f-mod u [:0, 1:] (nat (int CARD('a)))) u 1
(degree u)))
  have row (berlekamp-mat u) k = row (mat-of-rows-list (degree u) ?map) k
    by (simp add: berlekamp-mat-def Let-def)
  also have ... = vec-of-list (?map ! k)
  proof−
    {
      fix i assume i: i < degree u
      let ?c= power-polys (power-poly-f-mod u [:0, 1:] CARD('a)) u 1 (degree u) !
i
      let ?coeffs-c=(coeffs ?c)
      have ?c = 1*([:0, 1:] ^ CARD('a) mod u)^i mod u
      proof (unfold power-poly-f-mod-def, rule power-polys-works[OF i])
        show 1 = 1 mod u using k mod-poly-less by force
      qed
      also have ... = [:0, 1:] ^ (CARD('a) * i) mod u by (simp add: power-mod
power-mult)
```

```
      finally have c-rw: ?c = [:0, 1:] ^ (CARD('a) * i) mod u .
      have length ?coeffs-c ≤ degree u
      proof −
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases ?c = 0)
          case True thus ?thesis by auto
          next
          case False
          have length ?coeffs-c = degree (?c) + 1 by (rule length-coeffs[OF False])
         also have ... = degree ([:0, 1:] ^ (CARD('a) * i) mod u) + 1 using c-rw
by simp
          also have ... ≤ degree u
             by (metis One-nat-def add.right-neutral add-Suc-right c-rw calculation
coeffs-def degree-0
              degree-mod-less discrete gr-implies-not0 k list.size(3) one-neq-zero)
          finally show ?thesis .
        qed
      qed
     then have length ?coeffs-c + (degree u − length ?coeffs-c) = degree u by auto
    }
    with k show ?thesis by (intro row-mat-of-rows-list, auto)
  qed
  finally have row-rw: row (berlekamp-mat u) k = vec-of-list (?map ! k) .
  have Poly (list-of-vec (row (berlekamp-mat u) k)) = Poly (list-of-vec (vec-of-list
(?map ! k)))
    unfolding row-rw ..
  also have ... = Poly (?map ! k) by simp
  also have ... = [:0,1:]^(CARD('a) * k) mod u
  proof −
    let ?cs = (power-polys (power-poly-f-mod u [:0, 1:] (nat (int CARD('a)))) u 1
(degree u)) ! k
    let ?c = coeffs ?cs @ replicate (degree u − length (coeffs ?cs)) 0
    have map-k-c: ?map ! k = ?c by (rule nth-map, simp add: k)
   have (Poly (?map ! k)) = Poly (coeffs ?cs) unfolding map-k-c Poly-append-replicate-0
..
    also have ... = ?cs by simp
    also have ... = power-polys ([:0, 1:] ^ CARD('a) mod u) u 1 (degree u) ! k
      by (simp add: power-poly-f-mod-def)
    also have ... = 1* ([:0,1:]^(CARD('a)) mod u) ^ k mod u
    proof (rule power-polys-works[OF k])
      show 1 = 1 mod u using k mod-poly-less by force
    qed
    also have ... = ([:0,1:]^(CARD('a)) mod u) ^ k mod u by auto
     also have ... = [:0,1:]^(CARD('a) * k) mod u by (simp add: power-mod
power-mult)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
```

corollary *Poly-berlekamp-cong-mat*:
assumes *k*: $k < degree\ u$
shows $[Poly\ (list\text{-}of\text{-}vec\ (row\ (berlekamp\text{-}mat\ u)\ k)) = [:0,1:]\hat{}(CARD('a) * k)]\ (mod\ u)$
using *Poly-berlekamp-mat*[*OF k*] **unfolding** *cong-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mat-of-rows-list-dim*[*simp*]:
mat-of-rows-list n vs ∈ *carrier-mat* (*length vs*) *n*
dim-row (*mat-of-rows-list n vs*) = *length vs*
dim-col (*mat-of-rows-list n vs*) = *n*
unfolding *mat-of-rows-list-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *berlekamp-mat-closed*[*simp*]:
berlekamp-mat u ∈ *carrier-mat* (*degree u*) (*degree u*)
dim-row (*berlekamp-mat u*) = *degree u*
dim-col (*berlekamp-mat u*) = *degree u*
unfolding *carrier-mat-def berlekamp-mat-def Let-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *vec-of-list-coeffs-nth*:
assumes *i*: $i \in \{..degree\ h\}$ **and** *h-not0*: $h \neq 0$
shows *vec-of-list* (*coeffs h*) $ *i* = *coeff h i*
proof −
have *vec-of-list* (*map* (*coeff h*) [*0*..<*degree h*] @ [*coeff h* (*degree h*)]) $ *i* = *coeff h i*
using *i*
by (*transfer*′, *auto simp add*: *mk-vec-def*)
(*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Cons-eq-append-conv coeffs-def coeffs-nth degree-0 diff-zero length-upt less-eq-nat.simps*(*1*) *list.simps*(*8*) *list.simps*(*9*) *map-append nth-Cons-0 upt-Suc upt-eq-Nil-conv*)
thus *vec-of-list* (*coeffs h*) $ *i* = *coeff h i*
using *i h-not0*
unfolding *coeffs-def* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *poly-mod-sum*:
fixes *x y z* :: *'b*::*field poly*
assumes *f*: *finite A*
shows *sum f A mod z* = *sum* (λ*i*. *f i mod z*) *A*
using *f*
by (*induct*, *auto simp add*: *poly-mod-add-left*)

lemma *prime-not-dvd-fact*:
assumes *kn*: $k < n$ **and** *prime-n*: *prime n*
shows ¬ *n dvd fact k*

using *kn*
proof (*induct k*)
case *0*
thus *?case* **using** *prime-n* **unfolding** *prime-nat-iff* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc k*)
show *?case*
proof (*rule ccontr*, *unfold not-not*)
assume *n dvd fact* (*Suc k*)
also have ... = *Suc k* ∗ ∏ {*1*..*k*} **unfolding** *fact-Suc* **unfolding** *fact-prod* **by** *simp*
finally have *n dvd Suc k* ∗ ∏ {*1*..*k*} **.**
hence *n dvd Suc k* ∨ *n dvd* ∏ {*1*..*k*} **using** *prime-dvd-mult-eq-nat*[*OF prime-n*] **by** *blast*
moreover have ¬ *n dvd Suc k* **by** (*simp add*: *Suc.prems*(*1*) *nat-dvd-not-less*)
moreover hence ¬ *n dvd* ∏ {*1*..*k*} **using** *Suc.hyps Suc.prems*
using *Suc-lessD fact-prod*[*of k*] **by** (*metis of-nat-id*)
ultimately show *False* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *dvd-choose-prime*:
assumes *kn*: *k* < *n* **and** *k*: *k* ≠ *0* **and** *n*: *n* ≠ *0* **and** *prime-n*: *prime n*
shows *n dvd* (*n choose k*)
proof −
have *n dvd* (*fact n*) **by** (*simp add*: *fact-num-eq-if n*)
moreover have ¬ *n dvd* (*fact k* ∗ *fact* (*n*−*k*))
proof (*rule ccontr*, *simp*)
assume *n dvd fact k* ∗ *fact* (*n* − *k*)
hence *n dvd fact k* ∨ *n dvd fact* (*n* − *k*) **using** *prime-dvd-mult-eq-nat*[*OF prime-n*] **by** *simp*
moreover have ¬ *n dvd* (*fact k*) **by** (*rule prime-not-dvd-fact*[*OF kn prime-n*])
moreover have ¬ *n dvd fact* (*n* − *k*) **using** *prime-not-dvd-fact*[*OF - prime-n*] *kn k* **by** *simp*
ultimately show *False* **by** *simp*
qed
moreover have (*fact n*::*nat*) = *fact k* ∗ *fact* (*n*−*k*) ∗ (*n choose k*)
using *binomial-fact-lemma kn* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *prime-n*
by (*auto simp add*: *prime-dvd-mult-iff*)
qed

lemma *add-power-poly-mod-ring*:
fixes *x* :: *'a mod-ring poly*
shows (*x* + *y*) ^ *CARD*(*'a*) = *x* ^ *CARD*(*'a*) + *y* ^ *CARD*(*'a*)
proof −

```
  let ?A={0..CARD('a)}
  let ?f=λk. of-nat (CARD('a) choose k) * x ^ k * y ^ (CARD('a) - k)
  have A-rw: ?A = insert CARD('a) (insert 0 (?A - {0} - {CARD('a)}))
    by fastforce
  have sum0: sum ?f (?A - {0} - {CARD('a)}) = 0
  proof (rule sum.neutral, rule)
    fix xa assume xa: xa ∈ {0..CARD('a)} - {0} - {CARD('a)}
    have card-dvd-choose: CARD('a) dvd (CARD('a) choose xa)
    proof (rule dvd-choose-prime)
      show xa < CARD('a) using xa by simp
      show xa ≠ 0 using xa by simp
      show CARD('a) ≠ 0 by simp
      show prime CARD('a) by (rule prime-card)
    qed
    hence rw0: of-int (CARD('a) choose xa) = (0 :: 'a mod-ring)
      by transfer simp
     have of-nat (CARD('a) choose xa) = [:of-int (CARD('a) choose xa) :: 'a
mod-ring:]
      by (simp add: of-nat-poly)
    also have ... = [:0:] using rw0 by simp
    finally show of-nat (CARD('a) choose xa) * x ^ xa * y ^ (CARD('a) - xa)
= 0 by auto
  qed
  have (x + y)^CARD('a)
    = (∑ k = 0..CARD('a). of-nat (CARD('a) choose k) * x ^ k * y ^ (CARD('a)
- k))
    unfolding binomial-ring by (rule sum.cong, auto)
  also have ... = sum ?f (insert CARD('a) (insert 0 (?A - {0} - {CARD('a)})))
    using A-rw by simp
  also have ... = ?f 0 + ?f CARD('a) + sum ?f (?A - {0} - {CARD('a)}) by
auto
  also have ... = x^CARD('a) + y^CARD('a) unfolding sum0 by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed


lemma power-poly-sum-mod-ring:
fixes f :: 'b ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly
assumes f: finite A
shows (sum f A) ^ CARD('a) = sum (λi. (f i) ^ CARD('a)) A
using f by (induct, auto simp add: add-power-poly-mod-ring)


lemma poly-power-card-as-sum-of-monoms:
  fixes h :: 'a mod-ring poly
  shows h ^ CARD('a) = (∑ i≤degree h. monom (coeff h i) (CARD('a)*i))
proof -
  have h ^ CARD('a) = (∑ i≤degree h. monom (coeff h i) i) ^ CARD('a)
    by (simp add: poly-as-sum-of-monoms)
```

```
  also have ... = (∑ i≤degree h. (monom (coeff h i) i) ^ CARD('a))
    by (simp add: power-poly-sum-mod-ring)
  also have ... = (∑ i≤degree h. monom (coeff h i) (CARD('a)*i))
  proof (rule sum.cong, rule)
    fix x assume x: x ∈ {..degree h}
    show monom (coeff h x) x ^ CARD('a) = monom (coeff h x) (CARD('a) * x)
      by (unfold poly-eq-iff, auto simp add: monom-power)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed


lemma degree-Poly-berlekamp-le:
assumes i: i < degree u
shows degree (Poly (list-of-vec (row (berlekamp-mat u) i))) < degree u
by (metis Poly-berlekamp-mat degree-0 degree-mod-less gr-implies-not0 i linorder-neqE-nat)



lemma monom-card-pow-mod-sum-berlekamp:
assumes i: i < degree u
shows monom 1 (CARD('a) * i) mod u = (∑ j<degree u. monom ((berlekamp-mat
u) $$ (i,j)) j)
proof −
  let ?p = Poly (list-of-vec (row (berlekamp-mat u) i))
  have degree-not-0: degree u ≠ 0 using i by simp
  hence set-rw: {..degree u − 1} = {..<degree u} by auto
  have degree-le: degree ?p < degree u
    by (rule degree-Poly-berlekamp-le[OF i])
  hence degree-le2: degree ?p ≤ degree u − 1 by auto
  have monom 1 (CARD('a) * i) mod u = [:0, 1:] ^ (CARD('a) * i) mod u
    using x-as-monom x-pow-n by metis
  also have ... = ?p
    unfolding Poly-berlekamp-mat[OF i] by simp
  also have ... = (∑ i≤degree u − 1. monom (coeff ?p i) i)
      using degree-le2 poly-as-sum-of-monoms' by fastforce
  also have ... = (∑ i<degree u. monom (coeff ?p i) i) using set-rw by auto
  also have ... = (∑ j<degree u. monom ((berlekamp-mat u) $$ (i,j)) j)
  proof (rule sum.cong, rule)
    fix x assume x: x ∈ {..<degree u}
    have coeff ?p x = berlekamp-mat u $$ (i, x)
    proof (rule coeff-Poly-list-of-vec-nth)
      show x < dim-col (berlekamp-mat u) using x by auto
    qed
    thus monom (coeff ?p x) x = monom (berlekamp-mat u $$ (i, x)) x
      by (simp add: poly-eq-iff)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
```

qed

lemma *col-scalar-prod-as-sum*:
assumes *dim-vec v = dim-row A*
shows *col A j · v = (∑ i = 0..<dim-vec v. A $$ (i,j) * v $ i)*
using *assms*
unfolding *col-def scalar-prod-def*
by *transfer′* (*rule sum.cong*, *transfer′*, *auto simp add*: *mk-vec-def mk-mat-def*)

lemma *row-transpose-scalar-prod-as-sum*:
assumes *j*: *j < dim-col A* **and** *dim-v*: *dim-vec v = dim-row A*
shows *row* (*transpose-mat A*) *j · v = (∑ i = 0..<dim-vec v. A $$ (i,j) * v $ i)*
proof −
have *row* (*transpose-mat A*) *j · v = col A j · v* **using** *j row-transpose* **by** *auto*
also have *... = (∑ i = 0..<dim-vec v. A $$ (i,j) * v $ i)*
by (*rule col-scalar-prod-as-sum*[*OF dim-v*])
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *poly-as-sum-eq-monoms*:
assumes *ss-eq*: *(∑ i<n. monom (f i) i) = (∑ i<n. monom (g i) i)*
and *a-less-n*: *a<n*
shows *f a = g a*
proof −
let *?f=λi. if i = a then f i else 0*
let *?g=λi. if i = a then g i else 0*
have *sum-f-0*: *sum ?f ({..<n} − {a}) = 0* **by** (*rule sum.neutral*, *auto*)
have *coeff (∑ i<n. monom (f i) i) a = coeff (∑ i<n. monom (g i) i) a*
using *ss-eq* **unfolding** *poly-eq-iff* **by** *simp*
hence *(∑ i<n. coeff (monom (f i) i) a) = (∑ i<n. coeff (monom (g i) i) a)*
by (*simp add*: *coeff-sum*)
hence *1*: *(∑ i<n. if i = a then f i else 0) = (∑ i<n. if i = a then g i else 0)*
unfolding *coeff-monom* **by** *auto*
have *set-rw*: *{..<n} = (insert a ({..<n} − {a}))* **using** *a-less-n* **by** *auto*
have *(∑ i<n. if i = a then f i else 0) = sum ?f (insert a ({..<n} − {a}))*
using *set-rw* **by** *auto*
also have *... = ?f a + sum ?f ({..<n} − {a})*
by (*simp add*: *sum.insert-remove*)
also have *... = ?f a* **using** *sum-f-0* **by** *simp*
finally have *2*: *(∑ i<n. if i = a then f i else 0) = ?f a* **.**
have *sum ?g {..<n} = sum ?g (insert a ({..<n} − {a}))*
using *set-rw* **by** *auto*
also have *... = ?g a + sum ?g ({..<n} − {a})*
by (*simp add*: *sum.insert-remove*)
also have *... = ?g a* **using** *sum-f-0* **by** *simp*
finally have *3*: *(∑ i<n. if i = a then g i else 0) = ?g a* **.**

show *?thesis* **using** *1 2 3* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dim-vec-of-list-h*:
assumes *degree h* < *degree u*
shows *dim-vec* (*vec-of-list* ((*coeffs h*) @ *replicate* (*degree u* − *length* (*coeffs h*)) *0*))
= *degree u*
proof −
have *length* (*coeffs h*) ≤ *degree u*
by (*metis Suc-leI assms coeffs-0-eq-Nil degree-0 length-coeffs-degree*
list.size(*3*) *not-le-imp-less order.asym*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *vec-of-list-coeffs-nth'*:
assumes *i*: *i* ∈ {*..degree h*} **and** *h-not0*: *h* ≠ *0*
assumes *degree h* < *degree u*
shows *vec-of-list* ((*coeffs h*) @ *replicate* (*degree u* − *length* (*coeffs h*)) *0*) $ *i* =
coeff h i
using *assms*
by (*transfer'*, *auto simp add*: *mk-vec-def coeffs-nth length-coeffs-degree nth-append*)

lemma *vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth-0*:
assumes *i*: *i* ∈ {*..*<*degree u*}
shows *vec-of-list* (*coeffs 0* @ *replicate* (*degree u* − *length* (*coeffs 0*)) *0*) $ *i* = *coeff*
0 i
using *assms*
by (*transfer'*, *auto simp add*: *mk-vec-def*)

lemma *vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth*:
assumes *i*: *i* ∈ {*..*<*degree u*}
assumes *degree h* < *degree u*
shows *vec-of-list* ((*coeffs h*) @ *replicate* (*degree u* − *length* (*coeffs h*)) *0*) $ *i* =
coeff h i
proof (*cases h* = *0*)
case *True*
thus *?thesis* **using** *vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth-0 i* **by** *auto*
next
case *False* **note** *h-not0* = *False*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases i* ∈{*..degree h*})
case *True* **thus** *?thesis* **using** *assms vec-of-list-coeffs-nth' h-not0* **by** *simp*

```
  next
    case False
    have c0: coeff h i = 0 using False le-degree by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using assms False h-not0
      by (transfer', auto simp add: mk-vec-def length-coeffs-degree nth-append c0)
  qed
qed




lemma equation-13:
  fixes u h
  defines H: H ≡ vec-of-list ((coeffs h) @ replicate (degree u − length (coeffs h))
0)
  assumes deg-le: degree h < degree u
  shows [h^CARD('a) = h] (mod u) ⟷ (transpose-mat (berlekamp-mat u)) *v H
= H
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof −
  have f: finite {..degree u} by auto
  have [simp]: dim-vec H = degree u unfolding H using dim-vec-of-list-h deg-le
by simp
  let ?B = (berlekamp-mat u)
  let ?f = λi. (transpose-mat ?B *v H) $ i
  show ?thesis
  proof
  assume rhs: ?rhs
  have dimv-h-dimr-B: dim-vec H = dim-row ?B
    by (metis berlekamp-mat-closed(2) berlekamp-mat-closed(3)
        dim-mult-mat-vec index-transpose-mat(2) rhs)
  have degree-h-less-dim-H: degree h < dim-vec H by (auto simp add: deg-le)
  have set-rw: {..degree u − 1} = {..<degree u} using deg-le by auto
  have degree h ≤ degree u − 1 using deg-le by simp
 hence h = (∑ j≤degree u − 1. monom (coeff h j) j) using poly-as-sum-of-monoms'
by fastforce
  also have ... = (∑ j<degree u. monom (coeff h j) j) using set-rw by simp
    also have ... = (∑ j<degree u. monom (?f j) j)
    proof (rule sum.cong, rule+)
      fix j assume i: j ∈ {..<degree u}
      have (coeff h j) = ?f j
        using rhs vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth[OF i deg-le]
        unfolding H by presburger
      thus monom (coeff h j) j = monom (?f j) j
        by simp
    qed
    also have ... = (∑ j<degree u. monom (row (transpose-mat ?B) j · H) j)
      by (rule sum.cong, auto)
```

also have ... = ($\sum j$<*degree u*. *monom* ($\sum i = 0..$<*dim-vec H*. *?B* $$ (*i*,*j*) * *H* $ *i*) *j*)
proof (*rule sum.cong*, *rule*)
fix *x* **assume** *x*: *x* ∈ {..<*degree u*}
show *monom* (*row* (*transpose-mat ?B*) *x* · *H*) *x* =
monom ($\sum i = 0..$<*dim-vec H*. *?B* $$ (*i*, *x*) * *H* $ *i*) *x*
proof (*unfold monom-eq-iff*, *rule row-transpose-scalar-prod-as-sum*[*OF - dimv-h-dimr-B*])
show *x* < *dim-col ?B* **using** *x deg-le* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
also have ... = ($\sum j$<*degree u*. $\sum i = 0..$<*dim-vec H*. *monom* (*?B* $$ (*i*,*j*) * *H* $ *i*) *j*)
by (*auto simp add*: *monom-sum*)
also have ... = ($\sum i = 0..$<*dim-vec H*. $\sum j$<*degree u*. *monom* (*?B* $$ (*i*,*j*) * *H* $ *i*) *j*)
by (*rule sum.swap*)
also have ... = ($\sum i = 0..$<*dim-vec H*. $\sum j$<*degree u*. *monom* (*H* $ *i*) *0* * *monom* (*?B* $$ (*i*,*j*)) *j*)
proof (*rule sum.cong*, *rule*, *rule sum.cong*, *rule*)
fix *x xa*
show *monom* (*?B* $$ (*x*, *xa*) * *H* $ *x*) *xa* = *monom* (*H* $ *x*) *0* * *monom* (*?B* $$ (*x*, *xa*)) *xa*
by (*simp add*: *mult-monom*)
qed
also have ... = ($\sum i = 0..$<*dim-vec H*. (*monom* (*H* $ *i*) *0*) * ($\sum j$<*degree u*. *monom* (*?B* $$ (*i*,*j*)) *j*))
by (*rule sum.cong*, *auto simp*: *sum-distrib-left*)
also have ... = ($\sum i = 0..$<*dim-vec H*. (*monom* (*H* $ *i*) *0*) * (*monom 1* (*CARD*('*a*) * *i*) *mod u*))
proof (*rule sum.cong*, *rule*)
fix *x* **assume** *x*: *x* ∈ {*0*..<*dim-vec H*}
have ($\sum j$<*degree u*. *monom* (*?B* $$ (*x*, *j*)) *j*) = (*monom 1* (*CARD*('*a*) * *x*) *mod u*)
proof (*rule monom-card-pow-mod-sum-berlekamp*[*symmetric*])
show *x* < *degree u* **using** *x dimv-h-dimr-B* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *monom* (*H* $ *x*) *0* * ($\sum j$<*degree u*. *monom* (*?B* $$ (*x*, *j*)) *j*) =
monom (*H* $ *x*) *0* * (*monom 1* (*CARD*('*a*) * *x*) *mod u*) **by** *presburger*
qed
also have ... = ($\sum i = 0..$<*dim-vec H*. *monom* (*H* $ *i*) (*CARD*('*a*) * *i*) *mod u*)
proof (*rule sum.cong*, *rule*)
fix *x*
have *h-rw*: *monom* (*H* $ *x*) *0 mod u* = *monom* (*H* $ *x*) *0*
by (*metis deg-le degree-pCons-eq-if gr-implies-not-zero linorder-neqE-nat mod-poly-less monom-0*)
have *monom* (*H* $ *x*) (*CARD*('*a*) * *x*) = *monom* (*H* $ *x*) *0* * *monom 1* (*CARD*('*a*) * *x*)

```
      unfolding mult-monom by simp
    also have ... = smult (H $ x) (monom 1 (CARD('a) * x))
      by (simp add: monom-0)
    also have ... mod u =  Polynomial.smult (H $ x) (monom 1 (CARD('a) *
x) mod u)
      using mod-smult-left by auto
    also have ... = monom (H $ x) 0 * (monom 1 (CARD('a) * x) mod u)
      by (simp add: monom-0)
    finally show monom (H $ x) 0 * (monom 1 (CARD('a) * x) mod u)
      = monom (H $ x) (CARD('a) * x) mod u ..
  qed
  also have ... = (∑ i = 0..<dim-vec H. monom (H $ i) (CARD('a) * i)) mod
u
    by (simp add: poly-mod-sum)
  also have ... = (∑ i = 0..<dim-vec H. monom (coeff h i) (CARD('a) * i))
mod u
  proof (rule arg-cong[of - - λx. x  mod u], rule sum.cong, rule)
    fix x assume x: x ∈ {0..<dim-vec H}
    have H $ x = (coeff h x)
    proof (unfold H, rule vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth[OF - deg-le])
      show x ∈ {..<degree u} using x by auto
    qed
    thus monom (H $ x) (CARD('a) * x) = monom (coeff h x) (CARD('a) * x)
      by simp
  qed
  also have ... = (∑ i≤degree h. monom (coeff h i) (CARD('a) * i)) mod u
  proof (rule arg-cong[of - - λx. x mod u])
    let ?f=λi. monom (coeff h i) (CARD('a) * i)
    have ss0: (∑ i = degree h + 1 ..< dim-vec H. ?f i) = 0
      by (rule sum.neutral, simp add: coeff-eq-0)
    have set-rw: {0..< dim-vec H} = {0..degree h} ∪ {degree h + 1 ..< dim-vec
H}
      using degree-h-less-dim-H by auto
    have (∑ i = 0..<dim-vec H. ?f i) = (∑ i = 0..degree h. ?f i) + (∑ i =
degree h + 1 ..< dim-vec H. ?f i)
      unfolding set-rw by (rule sum.union-disjoint, auto)
    also have ... = (∑ i = 0..degree h. ?f i) unfolding ss0 by auto
    finally show (∑ i = 0..<dim-vec H. ?f i) = (∑ i≤degree h. ?f i)
      by (simp add: atLeast0AtMost)
  qed
  also have ... = h^CARD('a) mod u
    using poly-power-card-as-sum-of-monoms by auto
  finally show ?lhs
    unfolding cong-def
    using deg-le
    by (simp add: mod-poly-less)
next
  assume lhs: ?lhs
  have deg-le': degree h ≤ degree u − 1 using deg-le by auto
```

have *set-rw*: $\{..<degree\ u\} = \{..degree\ u - 1\}$ **using** *deg-le* **by** *auto*
hence $(\sum i{<}degree\ u.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ i) = (\sum i \leq degree\ u - 1.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ i)$ **by** *simp*
also have ... $= (\sum i{\leq}degree\ h.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ i)$
unfolding *poly-as-sum-of-monoms*
using *poly-as-sum-of-monoms'* *deg-le'* **by** *auto*
also have ... $= (\sum i{\leq}degree\ h.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ i)\ mod\ u$
by (*simp add*: *deg-le mod-poly-less poly-as-sum-of-monoms*)
also have ... $= (\sum i{\leq}degree\ h.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ (CARD('a){*}i))\ mod\ u$
using *lhs*
unfolding *cong-def poly-as-sum-of-monoms poly-power-card-as-sum-of-monoms*
by *auto*
also have ... $= (\sum i{\leq}degree\ h.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ 0 * monom\ 1\ (CARD('a){*}i))\ mod\ u$
by (*rule arg-cong*[*of* - - $\lambda x.\ x\ mod\ u$], *rule sum.cong*, *simp-all add*: *mult-monom*)
also have ... $= (\sum i{\leq}degree\ h.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ 0 * monom\ 1\ (CARD('a){*}i)\ mod\ u)$
by (*simp add*: *poly-mod-sum*)
also have ... $= (\sum i{\leq}degree\ h.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ 0 * (monom\ 1\ (CARD('a){*}i)\ mod\ u))$
proof (*rule sum.cong*, *rule*)
fix x **assume** x: $x \in \{..degree\ h\}$
have *h-rw*: $monom\ (coeff\ h\ x)\ 0\ mod\ u = monom\ (coeff\ h\ x)\ 0$
by (*metis deg-le degree-pCons-eq-if gr-implies-not-zero linorder-neqE-nat mod-poly-less monom-0*)
have $monom\ (coeff\ h\ x)\ 0 * monom\ 1\ (CARD('a) * x) = smult\ (coeff\ h\ x)\ (monom\ 1\ (CARD('a) * x))$
by (*simp add*: *monom-0*)
also have ... $mod\ u =$ *Polynomial.smult* $(coeff\ h\ x)\ (monom\ 1\ (CARD('a) * x)\ mod\ u)$
using *mod-smult-left* **by** *auto*
also have ... $= monom\ (coeff\ h\ x)\ 0 * (monom\ 1\ (CARD('a) * x)\ mod\ u)$
by (*simp add*: *monom-0*)
finally show $monom\ (coeff\ h\ x)\ 0 * monom\ 1\ (CARD('a) * x)\ mod\ u = monom\ (coeff\ h\ x)\ 0 * (monom\ 1\ (CARD('a) * x)\ mod\ u)$ **.**
qed
also have ... $= (\sum i{\leq}degree\ h.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ 0 * (\sum j{<}degree\ u.\ monom\ (?B\ \$\$\ (i, j))\ j))$
proof (*rule sum.cong*, *rule*)
fix x **assume** x: $x \in \{..degree\ h\}$
have $(monom\ 1\ (CARD('a) * x)\ mod\ u) = (\sum j{<}degree\ u.\ monom\ (?B\ \$\$\ (x, j))\ j)$
proof (*rule monom-card-pow-mod-sum-berlekamp*)
show $x < degree\ u$ **using** x *deg-le* **by** *auto*
qed
thus $monom\ (coeff\ h\ x)\ 0 * (monom\ 1\ (CARD('a) * x)\ mod\ u) = monom\ (coeff\ h\ x)\ 0 * (\sum j{<}degree\ u.\ monom\ (?B\ \$\$\ (x, j))\ j)$ **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... $= (\sum i{<}degree\ u.\ monom\ (coeff\ h\ i)\ 0 * (\sum j{<}degree\ u.\ monom$

```
(?B $$ (i, j)) j))
  proof −
    let ?f=λi. monom (coeff h i) 0 * (∑j<degree u. monom (?B $$ (i, j)) j)
    have ss0: (∑ i=degree h+1 ..< degree u. ?f i) = 0
      by (rule sum.neutral, simp add: coeff-eq-0)
    have set-rw: {0..<degree u} = {0..degree h} ∪ {degree h+1..<degree u} using
deg-le by auto
    have (∑ i=0..<degree u. ?f i) = (∑ i=0..degree h. ?f i) + (∑ i=degree h+1
..< degree u. ?f i)
    unfolding set-rw by (rule sum.union-disjoint, auto)
    also have ... = (∑ i=0..degree h. ?f i) using ss0 by simp
    finally show ?thesis
      by (simp add: atLeast0AtMost atLeast0LessThan)
  qed
  also have ... = (∑ i<degree u. (∑ j<degree u. monom (coeff h i) 0 * monom
(?B $$ (i, j)) j))
    by (simp add: sum-distrib-left)
  also have ... = (∑ i<degree u. (∑ j<degree u. monom (coeff h i * ?B $$ (i, j))
j))
    by (simp add: mult-monom)
  also have ... = (∑ j<degree u. (∑ i<degree u. monom (coeff h i * ?B $$ (i, j))
j))
    using sum.swap by auto
  also have ... = (∑ j<degree u. monom (∑ i<degree u.  (coeff h i * ?B $$ (i,
j))) j)
    by (simp add: monom-sum)
  finally have ss-rw: (∑ i<degree u. monom (coeff h i) i)
    = (∑ j<degree u. monom (∑ i<degree u. coeff h i * ?B $$ (i, j)) j) .
  have coeff-eq-sum: ∀ i. i < degree u ⟶ coeff h i = (∑ j<degree u. coeff h j *
?B $$ (j, i))
    using poly-as-sum-eq-monoms[OF ss-rw] by fast
  have coeff-eq-sum′: ∀ i. i < degree u ⟶ H $ i = (∑ j<degree u. H $ j * ?B $$
(j, i))
  proof (rule+)
    fix i assume i: i < degree u
    have H $ i = coeff h i by (simp add: H deg-le i vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth)
    also have ... = (∑ j<degree u. coeff h j * ?B $$ (j, i)) using coeff-eq-sum i
by blast
    also have ... = (∑ j<degree u. H $ j * ?B $$ (j, i))
      by (rule sum.cong, auto simp add: H deg-le vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth)
    finally show H $ i = (∑ j<degree u. H $ j * ?B $$ (j, i)) .
  qed
  show (transpose-mat (?B)) *v H = H
  proof (rule eq-vecI)
    fix i
    show dim-vec (transpose-mat ?B *v H) = dim-vec (H) by auto
    assume i: i < dim-vec (H)
    have (transpose-mat ?B *v H) $ i = row (transpose-mat ?B) i · H using i by
simp
```

```
    also have ... = (∑j = 0..<dim-vec H. ?B $$ (j, i) * H $ j)
    proof (rule row-transpose-scalar-prod-as-sum)
      show i < dim-col ?B using i by simp
      show dim-vec H = dim-row ?B by simp
    qed
    also have ... = (∑j<degree u. H $ j * ?B $$ (j, i)) by (rule sum.cong, auto)
     also have ... = H $ i using coeff-eq-sum'[rule-format, symmetric, of i] i by
simp
    finally show (transpose-mat ?B *v H) $ i = H $ i .
  qed
 qed
qed


end


context
assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a::prime-card)
begin

lemma exists-s-factor-dvd-h-s:
fixes fi::'a mod-ring poly
assumes finite-P: finite P
      and f-desc-square-free: f = (∏ a∈P. a)
      and P: P ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
      and fi-P: fi ∈ P
      and h: h ∈ {v. [v^(CARD('a)) = v] (mod f)}
      shows ∃ s. fi dvd (h − [:s:])
proof −
  let ?p = CARD('a)
       have f-dvd-hqh: f dvd (h^?p − h) using h unfolding cong-def
        using mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly by blast
      also have hq-h-rw: ... = prod (λc. h − [:c:]) (UNIV::'a mod-ring set)
        by (rule poly-identity-mod-p)
      finally have f-dvd-hc: f dvd prod (λc. h − [:c:]) (UNIV::'a mod-ring set) by
simp
         have fi dvd f using f-desc-square-free fi-P
           using dvd-prod-eqI finite-P by blast
         hence fi dvd (h^?p − h) using dvd-trans f-dvd-hqh by auto
          also have ... = prod (λc. h − [:c:]) (UNIV::'a mod-ring set) unfolding
hq-h-rw by simp
        finally have fi-dvd-prod-hc: fi dvd prod (λc. h − [:c:]) (UNIV::'a mod-ring
set) .
         have irr-fi: irreducible fi using fi-P P by blast
         have fi-not-unit: ¬ is-unit fi using irr-fi by (simp add: irreducible_dD(1)
poly-dvd-1)
        show ?thesis using irreducible-dvd-prod[OF - fi-dvd-prod-hc] irr-fi by auto
qed
```

corollary *exists-unique-s-factor-dvd-h-s*:
fixes *fi*::*'a mod-ring poly*
assumes *finite-P*: *finite P*
and *f-desc-square-free*: $f = (\prod a{\in}P.\ a)$
and *P*: $P \subseteq \{q.\ irreducible\ q \wedge monic\ q\}$
and *fi-P*: $fi \in P$
and *h*: $h \in \{v.\ [v \hat{} (CARD('a)) = v]\ (mod\ f)\}$
shows $\exists!s.\ fi\ dvd\ (h - [:s:])$
proof −
obtain *c* **where** *fi-dvd*: *fi dvd* $(h - [:c:])$ **using** *assms exists-s-factor-dvd-h-s* **by** *blast*
have *irr-fi*: *irreducible fi* **using** *fi-P P* **by** *blast*
have *fi-not-unit*: ¬ *is-unit fi*
by (*simp add*: *irr-fi irreducible*$_d$*D*(*1*) *poly-dvd-1*)
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule ex1I*[*of* - *c*], *auto simp add*: *fi-dvd*)
fix *c2* **assume** *fi-dvd-hc2*: *fi dvd h* − [:*c2*:]
have ∗: *fi dvd* $(h - [:c:]) * (h - [:c2:])$ **using** *fi-dvd* **by** *auto*
hence *fi dvd* $(h - [:c:]) \vee$ *fi dvd* $(h - [:c2:])$
using *irr-fi* **by** *auto*
thus *c2* = *c*
using *coprime-h-c-poly coprime-not-unit-not-dvd fi-dvd fi-dvd-hc2 fi-not-unit*
by *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *exists-two-distint*: $\exists\ a\ b{::}'a\ mod\text{-}ring.\ a \neq b$
by (*rule exI*[*of* - *0*], *rule exI*[*of* - *1*], *auto*)

lemma *coprime-cong-mult-factorization-poly*:
fixes *f*::*'b*::{*field*} *poly*
and *a b p* :: *'c* :: {*field-gcd*} *poly*
assumes *finite-P*: *finite P*
and *P*: $P \subseteq \{q.\ irreducible\ q\}$
and *p*: $\forall\, p{\in}P.\ [a{=}b]\ (mod\ p)$
and *coprime-P*: $\forall\, p1\ p2.\ p1 \in P \wedge p2 \in P \wedge p1 \neq p2 \longrightarrow coprime\ p1\ p2$
shows $[a = b]\ (mod\ (\prod a{\in}P.\ a))$
using *finite-P P p coprime-P*
proof (*induct P*)
case *empty*
thus *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*insert p P*)
have *ab-mod-pP*: $[a{=}b]\ (mod\ (p * \prod P))$
proof (*rule coprime-cong-mult-poly*)

show $[a = b]$ $(mod\ p)$ **using** *insert.prems* **by** *auto*
show $[a = b]$ $(mod \prod P)$ **using** *insert.prems insert.hyps* **by** *auto*
from *insert* **show** *Rings.coprime p* $(\prod P)$
by (*auto intro*: *prod-coprime-right*)
qed
thus *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *insert.hyps*(*1*) *insert.hyps*(*2*))
qed

end

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*('*a*::*prime-card*)
begin

lemma *W-eq-berlekamp-mat*:
fixes *u*::'*a mod-ring poly*
shows {*v*. $[v\hat{}CARD('a) = v]$ $(mod\ u) \wedge$ *degree v* $<$ *degree u*}
= {*h*. *let H* = *vec-of-list* ((*coeffs h*) @ *replicate* (*degree u* − *length* (*coeffs h*)) *0*) *in*
(*transpose-mat* (*berlekamp-mat u*)) $*_v$ *H* = *H* $\wedge$ *degree h* $<$ *degree u*}
using *equation-13* **by** (*auto simp add*: *Let-def*)

lemma *transpose-minus-1*:
assumes *dim-row Q* = *dim-col Q*
shows *transpose-mat* (*Q* − (1_m (*dim-row Q*))) = (*transpose-mat Q* − (1_m (*dim-row Q*)))
using *assms*
unfolding *mat-eq-iff* **by** *auto*

lemma *system-iff*:
fixes *v*::'*b*::*comm-ring-1 vec*
assumes *sq-Q*: *dim-row Q* = *dim-col Q* **and** *v*: *dim-row Q* = *dim-vec v*
shows (*transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* = *v*) $\longleftrightarrow$ ((*transpose-mat Q* − 1_m (*dim-row Q*)) $*_v$ *v* = 0_v (*dim-vec v*))
proof −
have *t1*:*transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* − *v* = 0_v (*dim-vec v*) $\Longrightarrow$ (*transpose-mat Q* − 1_m (*dim-row Q*)) $*_v$ *v* = 0_v (*dim-vec v*)
by (*subst minus-mult-distrib-mat-vec*, *insert sq-Q*[*symmetric*] *v*, *auto*)
have *t2*:(*transpose-mat Q* − 1_m (*dim-row Q*)) $*_v$ *v* = 0_v (*dim-vec v*) $\Longrightarrow$ *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* − *v* = 0_v (*dim-vec v*)
by (*subst* (*asm*) *minus-mult-distrib-mat-vec*, *insert sq-Q*[*symmetric*] *v*, *auto*)
have *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* − *v* = *v* − *v* $\Longrightarrow$ *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* = *v*
proof −
assume *a1*: *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* − *v* = *v* − *v*
have *f2*: *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* $\in$ *carrier-vec* (*dim-vec v*)
by (*metis dim-mult-mat-vec index-transpose-mat*(*2*) *sq-Q v carrier-vec-dim-vec*)
then have *f3*: 0_v (*dim-vec v*) + *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* = *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v*

by (*meson left-zero-vec*)
have *f4*: 0_v (*dim-vec v*) = *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* − *v*
using *a1* **by** *auto*
have *f5*: − *v* ∈ *carrier-vec* (*dim-vec v*)
by *simp*
then have *f6*: − *v* + *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* = *v* − *v*
using *f2 a1* **using** *comm-add-vec minus-add-uminus-vec* **by** *fastforce*
have *v* − *v* = − *v* + *v* **by** *auto*
then have *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* = *transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* − *v* + *v*
using *f6 f4 f3 f2* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *a1 assoc-add-vec comm-add-vec f5 carrier-vec-dim-vec*)
then show *?thesis*
using *a1* **by** *auto*
qed
hence (*transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* = *v*) = ((*transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v*) − *v* = *v* − *v*) **by** *auto*
also have ... = ((*transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v*) − *v* = 0_v (*dim-vec v*)) **by** *auto*
also have ... = ((*transpose-mat Q* − 1_m (*dim-row Q*)) $*_v$ *v* = 0_v (*dim-vec v*))
using *t1 t2* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis*.
qed

lemma *system-if-mat-kernel*:
assumes *sq-Q*: *dim-row Q* = *dim-col Q* **and** *v*: *dim-row Q* = *dim-vec v*
shows (*transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* = *v*) ⟷ *v* ∈ *mat-kernel* (*transpose-mat* (*Q* − (1_m (*dim-row Q*))))
proof −
have (*transpose-mat Q* $*_v$ *v* = *v*) = ((*transpose-mat Q* − 1_m (*dim-row Q*)) $*_v$ *v* = 0_v (*dim-vec v*))
using *assms system-iff* **by** *blast*
also have ... = (*v* ∈ *mat-kernel* (*transpose-mat* (*Q* − (1_m (*dim-row Q*)))))
unfolding *mat-kernel-def* **unfolding** *transpose-minus-1*[*OF sq-Q*] **unfolding** *v* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *degree-u-mod-irreducible*$_d$*-factor-0*:
fixes *v* **and** *u*::*'a mod-ring poly*
defines *W*: *W* ≡ {*v*. [*v* ^ *CARD*(*'a*) = *v*] (*mod u*)}
assumes *v*: *v* ∈ *W*
and *finite-U*: *finite U* **and** *u-U*: *u* = ∏ *U* **and** *U-irr-monic*: *U* ⊆ {*q*. *irreducible q* ∧ *monic q*}
and *fi-U*: *fi* ∈ *U*
shows *degree* (*v mod fi*) = *0*
proof −
have *deg-fi*: *degree fi* > *0*

using *U-irr-monic*
using *fi-U irreducible$_d$D*[*of fi*] **by** *auto*
have *fi dvd u*
using *u-U U-irr-monic finite-U dvd-prod-eqI fi-U* **by** *blast*
moreover have *u dvd* (*v*^*CARD*('*a*) − *v*)
using *v* **unfolding** *W cong-def*
by (*simp add*: *mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly*)
ultimately have *fi dvd* (*v*^*CARD*('*a*) − *v*)
by (*rule dvd-trans*)
then have *fi-dvd-prod-vc*: *fi dvd prod* (λ*c*. *v* − [:*c*:]) (*UNIV*::'*a mod-ring set*)
by (*simp add*: *poly-identity-mod-p*)
have *irr-fi*: *irreducible fi* **using** *fi-U U-irr-monic* **by** *blast*
have *fi-not-unit*: ¬ *is-unit fi*
using *irr-fi*
by (*auto simp*: *poly-dvd-1*)
have *fi-dvd-vc*: ∃ *c*. *fi dvd v* − [:*c*:]
using *irreducible-dvd-prod*[*OF - fi-dvd-prod-vc*] *irr-fi* **by** *auto*
from *this* **obtain** *a* **where** *fi dvd v* − [:*a*:] **by** *blast*
hence *v mod fi* = [:*a*:] *mod fi* **using** *mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly* **by** *blast*
also have ... = [:*a*:] **by** (*simp add*: *deg-fi mod-poly-less*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

definition *poly-abelian-monoid*
= (|*carrier* = *UNIV*::'*a mod-ring poly set*, *monoid.mult* = ((∗)), *one* = *1*, *zero* = *0*, *add* = (+), *module.smult* = *smult*|)

interpretation *vector-space-poly*: *vectorspace class-ring poly-abelian-monoid*
rewrites [*simp*]: $\mathbf{0}_{poly\text{-}abelian\text{-}monoid} = 0$
and [*simp*]: $\mathbf{1}_{poly\text{-}abelian\text{-}monoid} = 1$
and [*simp*]: $(\oplus_{poly\text{-}abelian\text{-}monoid}) = (+)$
and [*simp*]: $(\otimes_{poly\text{-}abelian\text{-}monoid}) = (*)$
and [*simp*]: *carrier poly-abelian-monoid* = *UNIV*
and [*simp*]: $(\odot_{poly\text{-}abelian\text{-}monoid}) = smult$
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*auto simp*: *poly-abelian-monoid-def class-field-def smult-add-left smult-add-right Units-def*)
by (*metis add.commute add.right-inverse*)

lemma *subspace-Berlekamp*:
assumes *f*: *degree f* ≠ *0*
shows *subspace* (*class-ring* :: '*a mod-ring ring*)
{*v*. [*v*^(*CARD*('*a*)) = *v*] (*mod f*) ∧ (*degree v* < *degree f*)} *poly-abelian-monoid*
proof −
{ fix *v* :: '*a mod-ring poly* **and** *w* :: '*a mod-ring poly*
assume *a1*: *v* ^ *card* (*UNIV*::'*a set*) *mod f* = *v mod f*

assume $w \mathbin{\hat{}} card\ (UNIV::'a\ set)\ mod\ f = w\ mod\ f$
then have $(v \mathbin{\hat{}} card\ (UNIV::'a\ set) + w \mathbin{\hat{}} card\ (UNIV::'a\ set))\ mod\ f = (v + w)\ mod\ f$
using *a1* **by** (*meson mod-add-cong*)
then have $(v + w) \mathbin{\hat{}} card\ (UNIV::'a\ set)\ mod\ f = (v + w)\ mod\ f$
by (*simp add*: *add-power-poly-mod-ring*)
} note *r=this*
thus *?thesis* **using** *f*
by (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp*: *zero-power mod-smult-left smult-power cong-def degree-add-less*)
qed

lemma *berlekamp-resulting-mat-closed*[*simp*]:
berlekamp-resulting-mat u ∈ *carrier-mat* (*degree u*) (*degree u*)
dim-row (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*) = *degree u*
dim-col (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*) = *degree u*
proof −
let *?A*=(*transpose-mat* (*mat* (*degree u*) (*degree u*)
(λ(*i*, *j*). *if i* = *j then berlekamp-mat u* $$ (*i*, *j*) − *1 else berlekamp-mat u* $$ (*i*, *j*))))
let *?G*=(*gauss-jordan-single ?A*)
have *?G* ∈*carrier-mat* (*degree u*) (*degree u*)
by (*rule gauss-jordan-single*(*2*)[*of ?A*], *auto*)
thus
berlekamp-resulting-mat u ∈ *carrier-mat* (*degree u*) (*degree u*)
dim-row (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*) = *degree u*
dim-col (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*) = *degree u*
unfolding *berlekamp-resulting-mat-def Let-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *berlekamp-resulting-mat-basis*:
kernel.basis (*degree u*) (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*) (*set* (*find-base-vectors* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*)))
proof (*rule find-base-vectors*(*3*))
show *berlekamp-resulting-mat u* ∈ *carrier-mat* (*degree u*) (*degree u*) **by** *simp*
let *?A*=(*transpose-mat* (*mat* (*degree u*) (*degree u*)
(λ(*i*, *j*). *if i* = *j then berlekamp-mat u* $$ (*i*, *j*) − *1 else berlekamp-mat u* $$ (*i*, *j*))))
have *row-echelon-form* (*gauss-jordan-single ?A*)
by (*rule gauss-jordan-single*(*3*)[*of ?A*], *auto*)
thus *row-echelon-form* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*)
unfolding *berlekamp-resulting-mat-def Let-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *set-berlekamp-basis-eq*: (*set* (*berlekamp-basis u*))

= (*Poly* ∘ *list-of-vec*)‘ (*set* (*find-base-vectors* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*)))
by (*auto simp add*: *image-def o-def berlekamp-basis-def*)

lemma *berlekamp-resulting-mat-constant*:
assumes *deg-u*: *degree u* = *0*
shows *berlekamp-resulting-mat u* = 1_m *0*
by (*unfold mat-eq-iff*, *auto simp add*: *deg-u*)

context
fixes *u*::*'a*::*prime-card mod-ring poly*
begin

lemma *set-berlekamp-basis-constant*:
assumes *deg-u*: *degree u* = *0*
shows *set* (*berlekamp-basis u*) = {}
proof −
have *one-carrier*: 1_m *0* ∈ *carrier-mat 0 0* **by** *auto*
have *m*: *mat-kernel* (1_m *0*) = {(0_v *0*) :: *'a mod-ring vec*} **unfolding** *mat-kernel-def*
by *auto*
have *r*: *row-echelon-form* (1_m *0* :: *'a mod-ring mat*)
unfolding *row-echelon-form-def pivot-fun-def Let-def* **by** *auto*
have *set* (*find-base-vectors* (1_m *0*)) ⊆ {0_v *0* :: *'a mod-ring vec*}
using *find-base-vectors*(*1*)[*OF r one-carrier*] **unfolding** *m* **.**
hence *set* (*find-base-vectors* (1_m *0*) :: *'a mod-ring vec list*) = {}
using *find-base-vectors*(*2*)[*OF r one-carrier*]
using *subset-singletonD* **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *set-berlekamp-basis-eq* **unfolding** *berlekamp-resulting-mat-constant*[*OF deg-u*] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *row-echelon-form-berlekamp-resulting-mat*: *row-echelon-form* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*)
by (*rule gauss-jordan-single*(*3*), *auto simp add*: *berlekamp-resulting-mat-def Let-def*)

lemma *mat-kernel-berlekamp-resulting-mat-degree-0*:
assumes *d*: *degree u* = *0*
shows *mat-kernel* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*) = {0_v *0*}
by (*auto simp add*: *mat-kernel-def mult-mat-vec-def d*)

lemma *in-mat-kernel-berlekamp-resulting-mat*:
assumes *x*: *transpose-mat* (*berlekamp-mat u*) $*_v$ *x* = *x*
and *x-dim*: *x* ∈ *carrier-vec* (*degree u*)
shows *x* ∈ *mat-kernel* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*)
proof −
let *?QI*=(*mat*(*dim-row* (*berlekamp-mat u*)) (*dim-row* (*berlekamp-mat u*))

```
        (λ(i, j). if i = j then berlekamp-mat u $$ (i, j) − 1 else berlekamp-mat u
$$ (i, j)))
  have ∗: (transpose-mat (berlekamp-mat u) − 1_m (degree u)) = transpose-mat
?QI by auto
  have (transpose-mat (berlekamp-mat u) − 1_m (dim-row (berlekamp-mat u))) ∗_v
x = 0_v (dim-vec x)
    using system-iff[of berlekamp-mat u x] x-dim x by auto
  hence transpose-mat ?QI ∗_v x = 0_v (degree u) using x-dim ∗ by auto
  hence berlekamp-resulting-mat u ∗_v x = 0_v (degree u)
    unfolding berlekamp-resulting-mat-def Let-def
    using gauss-jordan-single(1)[of transpose-mat ?QI degree u degree u - x] x-dim
by auto
  thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: mat-kernel-def x-dim)
qed

private abbreviation V ≡ kernel.VK (degree u) (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
private abbreviation W ≡ vector-space-poly.vs
  {v. [v^(CARD('a)) = v] (mod u) ∧ (degree v < degree u)}

interpretation V: vectorspace class-ring V
proof −
  interpret k: kernel (degree u) (degree u) (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
    by (unfold-locales; auto)
  show vectorspace class-ring V by intro-locales
qed

lemma linear-Poly-list-of-vec:
shows (Poly ∘ list-of-vec) ∈ module-hom class-ring V (vector-space-poly.vs {v.
[v^(CARD('a)) = v] (mod u)})
proof (auto simp add: LinearCombinations.module-hom-def Matrix.module-vec-def)
  fix m1 m2:: 'a mod-ring vec
  assume m1: m1 ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
  and m2: m2 ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
  have m1-rw: list-of-vec m1 = map (λn. m1 $ n) [0..<dim-vec m1]
    by (transfer, auto simp add: mk-vec-def)
  have m2-rw: list-of-vec m2 = map (λn. m2 $ n) [0..<dim-vec m2]
    by (transfer, auto simp add: mk-vec-def)
  have m1 ∈ carrier-vec (degree u) by (rule mat-kernelD(1)[OF - m1], auto)
 moreover have m2 ∈ carrier-vec (degree u) by (rule mat-kernelD(1)[OF - m2],
auto)
  ultimately have dim-eq: dim-vec m1 = dim-vec m2 by auto
  show Poly (list-of-vec (m1 + m2)) = Poly (list-of-vec m1) + Poly (list-of-vec
m2)
    unfolding poly-eq-iff m1-rw m2-rw plus-vec-def
    using dim-eq
    by (transfer', auto simp add: mk-vec-def nth-default-def)
next
  fix r m assume m: m ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
  show Poly (list-of-vec (r ·_v m)) = smult r (Poly (list-of-vec m))
```

```
    unfolding poly-eq-iff list-of-vec-rw-map[of m] smult-vec-def
    by (transfer', auto simp add: mk-vec-def nth-default-def)
next
  fix x assume x: x ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
  show [Poly (list-of-vec x) ^ CARD('a) = Poly (list-of-vec x)] (mod u)
  proof (cases degree u = 0)
    case True
    have mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u) = {0_v 0}
      by (rule mat-kernel-berlekamp-resulting-mat-degree-0[OF True])
    hence x-0: x = 0_v 0 using x by blast
    show ?thesis by (auto simp add: zero-power x-0 cong-def)
  next
    case False note deg-u = False
    show ?thesis
    proof −
      let ?QI=(mat (degree u) (degree u)
      (λ(i, j). if i = j then berlekamp-mat u $$ (i, j) − 1 else berlekamp-mat u $$
(i, j)))
      let ?H=vec-of-list (coeffs (Poly (list-of-vec x)) @ replicate (degree u − length
(coeffs (Poly (list-of-vec x)))) 0)
      have x-dim: dim-vec x = degree u using x unfolding mat-kernel-def by auto
      hence x-carrier[simp]: x ∈ carrier-vec (degree u) by (metis carrier-vec-dim-vec)
      have x-kernel: berlekamp-resulting-mat u *_v x = 0_v (degree u)
        using x unfolding mat-kernel-def by auto
      have t-QI-x-0: (transpose-mat ?QI) *_v x = 0_v (degree u)
          using gauss-jordan-single(1)[of (transpose-mat ?QI) degree u degree u
gauss-jordan-single (transpose-mat ?QI) x]
        using x-kernel unfolding berlekamp-resulting-mat-def Let-def by auto
      have l: (list-of-vec x) ≠ []
        by (auto simp add: list-of-vec-rw-map vec-of-dim-0[symmetric] deg-u x-dim)
      have deg-le: degree (Poly (list-of-vec x)) < degree u
        using degree-Poly-list-of-vec
        using x-carrier deg-u by blast
      show [Poly (list-of-vec x) ^ CARD('a) = Poly (list-of-vec x)] (mod u)
      proof (unfold equation-13[OF deg-le])
        have QR-rw: ?QI = berlekamp-mat u − 1_m (dim-row (berlekamp-mat u))
by auto
        have dim-row (berlekamp-mat u) = dim-vec ?H
          by (auto, metis le-add-diff-inverse length-list-of-vec length-strip-while-le
x-dim)
        moreover have ?H ∈ mat-kernel (transpose-mat (berlekamp-mat u − 1_m
(dim-row (berlekamp-mat u))))
        proof −
          have Hx: ?H = x
          proof (unfold vec-eq-iff, auto)
            let ?H'=vec-of-list (strip-while ((=) 0) (list-of-vec x)
             @ replicate (degree u − length (strip-while ((=) 0) (list-of-vec x))) 0)
            show length (strip-while ((=) 0) (list-of-vec x))
             + (degree u − length (strip-while ((=) 0) (list-of-vec x))) = dim-vec x
```

```
                by (metis le-add-diff-inverse length-list-of-vec length-strip-while-le
x-dim)
            fix i assume i: i < dim-vec x
            have ?H $ i =  coeff (Poly (list-of-vec x)) i
            proof (rule vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth[OF - deg-le])
             show i ∈ {..<degree u}  using x-dim i by (auto, linarith)
            qed
            also have ... = x $ i by (rule coeff-Poly-list-of-vec-nth'[OF i])
            finally show ?H' $ i = x $ i by auto
          qed
           have ?H ∈ carrier-vec (degree u) using deg-le dim-vec-of-list-h Hx by
auto
         moreover have transpose-mat (berlekamp-mat u − 1_m (degree u)) *_v ?H
= 0_v (degree u)
           using t-QI-x-0 Hx QR-rw by auto
          ultimately show ?thesis
           by (auto simp add: mat-kernel-def)
        qed
        ultimately show transpose-mat (berlekamp-mat u) *_v ?H = ?H
          using system-if-mat-kernel[of berlekamp-mat u ?H]
          by auto
        qed
     qed
   qed
qed


lemma linear-Poly-list-of-vec':
  assumes degree u > 0
  shows (Poly ∘ list-of-vec) ∈ module-hom R V W
proof (auto simp add: LinearCombinations.module-hom-def Matrix.module-vec-def)
  fix m1 m2:: 'a mod-ring vec
  assume m1: m1 ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
  and m2: m2 ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
  have m1-rw: list-of-vec m1 = map (λn. m1 $ n) [0..<dim-vec m1]
    by (transfer, auto simp add: mk-vec-def)
  have m2-rw: list-of-vec m2 = map (λn. m2 $ n) [0..<dim-vec m2]
    by (transfer, auto simp add: mk-vec-def)
  have m1 ∈ carrier-vec (degree u) by (rule mat-kernelD(1)[OF - m1], auto)
  moreover have m2 ∈ carrier-vec (degree u) by (rule mat-kernelD(1)[OF - m2],
auto)
  ultimately have dim-eq: dim-vec m1 = dim-vec m2 by auto
  show Poly (list-of-vec (m1 + m2)) = Poly (list-of-vec m1) + Poly (list-of-vec
m2)
    unfolding poly-eq-iff m1-rw m2-rw plus-vec-def
    using dim-eq
    by (transfer', auto simp add: mk-vec-def nth-default-def)
next
  fix r m assume m: m ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
```

```
  show Poly (list-of-vec (r ·v m)) = smult r (Poly (list-of-vec m))
    unfolding poly-eq-iff list-of-vec-rw-map[of m] smult-vec-def
    by (transfer', auto simp add: mk-vec-def nth-default-def)
next
  fix x assume x: x ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
  show [Poly (list-of-vec x) ^ CARD('a) = Poly (list-of-vec x)] (mod u)
  proof (cases degree u = 0)
    case True
    have mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u) = {0v 0}
      by (rule mat-kernel-berlekamp-resulting-mat-degree-0[OF True])
    hence x-0: x = 0v 0 using x by blast
    show ?thesis by (auto simp add: zero-power x-0 cong-def)
  next
    case False note deg-u = False
    show ?thesis
    proof −
      let ?QI=(mat (degree u) (degree u)
      (λ(i, j). if i = j then berlekamp-mat u $$ (i, j) − 1 else berlekamp-mat u $$
(i, j)))
      let ?H=vec-of-list (coeffs (Poly (list-of-vec x)) @ replicate (degree u − length
(coeffs (Poly (list-of-vec x)))) 0)
     have x-dim: dim-vec x = degree u using x unfolding mat-kernel-def by auto
    hence x-carrier[simp]: x ∈ carrier-vec (degree u) by (metis carrier-vec-dim-vec)
      have x-kernel: berlekamp-resulting-mat u ∗v x = 0v (degree u)
        using x unfolding mat-kernel-def by auto
      have t-QI-x-0: (transpose-mat ?QI) ∗v x = 0v (degree u)
          using gauss-jordan-single(1)[of (transpose-mat ?QI) degree u degree u
gauss-jordan-single (transpose-mat ?QI) x]
        using x-kernel unfolding berlekamp-resulting-mat-def Let-def by auto
      have l: (list-of-vec x) ≠ []
       by (auto simp add: list-of-vec-rw-map vec-of-dim-0[symmetric] deg-u x-dim)
      have deg-le: degree (Poly (list-of-vec x)) < degree u
        using degree-Poly-list-of-vec
        using x-carrier deg-u by blast
      show [Poly (list-of-vec x) ^ CARD('a) = Poly (list-of-vec x)] (mod u)
      proof (unfold equation-13[OF deg-le])
        have QR-rw: ?QI = berlekamp-mat u − 1m (dim-row (berlekamp-mat u))
by auto
        have dim-row (berlekamp-mat u) = dim-vec ?H
          by (auto, metis le-add-diff-inverse length-list-of-vec length-strip-while-le
x-dim)
        moreover have ?H ∈ mat-kernel (transpose-mat (berlekamp-mat u − 1m
(dim-row (berlekamp-mat u))))
        proof −
          have Hx: ?H = x
          proof (unfold vec-eq-iff, auto)
            let ?H'=vec-of-list (strip-while ((=) 0) (list-of-vec x)
             @ replicate (degree u − length (strip-while ((=) 0) (list-of-vec x))) 0)
            show length (strip-while ((=) 0) (list-of-vec x))
```

```
            + (degree u − length (strip-while ((=) 0) (list-of-vec x))) = dim-vec x
                by (metis le-add-diff-inverse length-list-of-vec length-strip-while-le
x-dim)
              fix i assume i: i < dim-vec x
              have ?H $ i =  coeff (Poly (list-of-vec x)) i
              proof (rule vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth[OF - deg-le])
               show i ∈ {..<degree u}  using x-dim i by (auto, linarith)
              qed
              also have ... = x $ i by (rule coeff-Poly-list-of-vec-nth'[OF i])
              finally show ?H' $ i = x $ i by auto
            qed
             have ?H ∈ carrier-vec (degree u) using deg-le dim-vec-of-list-h Hx by
auto
           moreover have transpose-mat (berlekamp-mat u − 1_m (degree u)) *_v ?H
= 0_v (degree u)
             using t-QI-x-0 Hx QR-rw by auto
            ultimately show ?thesis
             by (auto simp add: mat-kernel-def)
         qed
         ultimately show transpose-mat (berlekamp-mat u) *_v ?H = ?H
           using system-if-mat-kernel[of berlekamp-mat u ?H]
           by auto
         qed
      qed
    qed
next
  fix x assume x: x ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
  show degree (Poly (list-of-vec x)) < degree u
    by (rule degree-Poly-list-of-vec, insert assms x, auto simp: mat-kernel-def)
qed


lemma berlekamp-basis-eq-8:
  assumes v: v ∈ set (berlekamp-basis u)
  shows [v ^ CARD('a) = v] (mod u)
proof −
  {
     fix x assume x: x ∈ set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u))
    have set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)) ⊆ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat
u)
      proof (rule find-base-vectors(1))
        show row-echelon-form (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)
          by (rule row-echelon-form-berlekamp-resulting-mat)
       show berlekamp-resulting-mat u ∈ carrier-mat (degree u) (degree u) by simp
      qed
      hence x ∈ mat-kernel (berlekamp-resulting-mat u) using x by auto
      hence [Poly (list-of-vec x) ^ CARD('a) = Poly (list-of-vec x)] (mod u)
        using linear-Poly-list-of-vec
         unfolding LinearCombinations.module-hom-def Matrix.module-vec-def by
```

auto
}
thus [$v \hat{} CARD('a) = v$] (*mod u*) **using** *v* **unfolding** *set-berlekamp-basis-eq* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *surj-Poly-list-of-vec*:
assumes *deg-u*: *degree u* > *0*
shows (*Poly* ∘ *list-of-vec*)‘ (*carrier V*) = *carrier W*
proof (*auto simp add*: *image-def*)
fix *xa*
assume *xa*: *xa* ∈ *mat-kernel* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*)
thus [*Poly* (*list-of-vec xa*) $\hat{}$ *CARD*('*a*) = *Poly* (*list-of-vec xa*)] (*mod u*)
using *linear-Poly-list-of-vec*
unfolding *LinearCombinations.module-hom-def Matrix.module-vec-def* **by** *auto*
show *degree* (*Poly* (*list-of-vec xa*)) < *degree u*
proof (*rule degree-Poly-list-of-vec*[*OF - deg-u*])
show *xa* ∈ *carrier-vec* (*degree u*) **using** *xa* **unfolding** *mat-kernel-def* **by** *simp*
qed
next
fix *x* **assume** *x*: [*x* $\hat{}$ *CARD*('*a*) = *x*] (*mod u*)
and *deg-x*: *degree x* < *degree u*
show ∃ *xa* ∈ *mat-kernel* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*). *x* = *Poly* (*list-of-vec xa*)
proof (*rule bexI*[*of - vec-of-list* (*coeffs x* @ *replicate* (*degree u* − *length* (*coeffs x*)) *0*)])
let *?X* = *vec-of-list* (*coeffs x* @ *replicate* (*degree u* − *length* (*coeffs x*)) *0*)
show *x* = *Poly* (*list-of-vec* (*vec-of-list* (*coeffs x* @ *replicate* (*degree u* − *length* (*coeffs x*)) *0*)))
by *auto*
have *X*: *?X* ∈ *carrier-vec* (*degree u*) **unfolding** *carrier-vec-def*
by (*auto*, *metis Suc-leI coeffs-0-eq-Nil deg-x degree-0 le-add-diff-inverse length-coeffs-degree linordered-semidom-class.add-diff-inverse list.size*(*3*) *order.asym*)
have *t*: *transpose-mat* (*berlekamp-mat u*) ∗$_v$ *?X* = *?X*
using *equation-13*[*OF deg-x*] *x* **by** *auto*
show *vec-of-list* (*coeffs x* @ *replicate* (*degree u* − *length* (*coeffs x*)) *0*) ∈ *mat-kernel* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*) **by** (*rule in-mat-kernel-berlekamp-resulting-mat*[*OF t X*])
qed
qed

lemma *card-set-berlekamp-basis*: *card* (*set* (*berlekamp-basis u*)) = *length* (*berlekamp-basis u*)
proof −
have *b*: *berlekamp-resulting-mat u* ∈ *carrier-mat* (*degree u*) (*degree u*) **by** *auto*
have (*set* (*berlekamp-basis u*)) = (*Poly* ∘ *list-of-vec*) ‘ *set* (*find-base-vectors* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat u*))

```
    unfolding set-berlekamp-basis-eq ..
  also have  card ... = card (set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)))
  proof (rule card-image, rule subset-inj-on[OF inj-Poly-list-of-vec])
    show set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)) ⊆ carrier-vec (degree
u)
    using find-base-vectors(1)[OF row-echelon-form-berlekamp-resulting-mat b]
    unfolding carrier-vec-def mat-kernel-def
    by auto
  qed
  also have ... =  length (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u))
   by (rule length-find-base-vectors[symmetric, OF row-echelon-form-berlekamp-resulting-mat
b])
  finally show ?thesis unfolding berlekamp-basis-def by auto
qed

context
  assumes deg-u0[simp]: degree u > 0
begin

interpretation Berlekamp-subspace: vectorspace class-ring W
  by (rule vector-space-poly.subspace-is-vs[OF subspace-Berlekamp], simp)

lemma linear-map-Poly-list-of-vec': linear-map class-ring V W (Poly ∘ list-of-vec)
proof (auto simp add: linear-map-def)
  show vectorspace class-ring V by intro-locales
  show vectorspace class-ring W by (rule Berlekamp-subspace.vectorspace-axioms)
  show mod-hom class-ring V W (Poly ∘ list-of-vec)
  proof (rule mod-hom.intro, unfold mod-hom-axioms-def)
    show module class-ring V by intro-locales
    show module class-ring W using Berlekamp-subspace.vectorspace-axioms by
intro-locales
    show Poly ∘ list-of-vec ∈ module-hom class-ring V W
      by (rule linear-Poly-list-of-vec'[OF deg-u0])
  qed
qed

lemma berlekamp-basis-basis:
  Berlekamp-subspace.basis (set (berlekamp-basis u))
proof (unfold set-berlekamp-basis-eq, rule linear-map.linear-inj-image-is-basis)
  show linear-map class-ring V W (Poly ∘ list-of-vec)
    by (rule linear-map-Poly-list-of-vec')
  show inj-on (Poly ∘ list-of-vec) (carrier V)
  proof (rule subset-inj-on[OF inj-Poly-list-of-vec])
    show carrier V ⊆ carrier-vec (degree u)
      by (auto simp add: mat-kernel-def)
  qed
  show (Poly ∘ list-of-vec) ‘ carrier V = carrier W
    using surj-Poly-list-of-vec[OF deg-u0] by auto
  show b: V.basis (set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)))
```

```
    by (rule berlekamp-resulting-mat-basis)
  show V.fin-dim
  proof −
    have finite (set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u))) by auto
    moreover have set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)) ⊆ carrier
V
    and V.gen-set (set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)))
      using b unfolding V.basis-def by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis unfolding V.fin-dim-def by auto
  qed
qed


lemma finsum-sum:
fixes f::'a mod-ring poly
assumes f: finite B
and a-Pi: a ∈ B → carrier R
and V: B ⊆ carrier W
shows (⨁ Wv∈B. a v ⊙W v) = sum (λv. smult (a v) v) B
using f a-Pi V
proof (induct B)
  case empty
  thus ?case unfolding Berlekamp-subspace.module.M.finsum-empty by auto
  next
  case (insert x V)
  have hyp: (⨁ Wv ∈ V. a v ⊙W v) = sum (λv. smult (a v) v) V
  proof (rule insert.hyps)
    show a ∈ V → carrier R
      using insert.prems unfolding class-field-def by auto
    show V ⊆ carrier W using insert.prems by simp
  qed
  have (⨁ Wv∈insert x V. a v ⊙W v) = (a x ⊙W x) ⊕W (⨁ Wv ∈ V. a v ⊙W
v)
  proof (rule abelian-monoid.finsum-insert)
    show abelian-monoid W by (unfold-locales)
    show finite V by fact
    show x ∉ V by fact
    show (λv. a v ⊙W v) ∈ V → carrier W
      proof (unfold Pi-def, rule, rule allI, rule impI)
        fix v assume v: v∈V
        show a v ⊙W v ∈ carrier W
        proof (rule Berlekamp-subspace.smult-closed)
          show a v ∈ carrier class-ring using insert.prems v unfolding Pi-def
            by (simp add: class-field-def)
          show v ∈ carrier W using v insert.prems by auto
        qed
      qed
    show a x ⊙W x ∈ carrier W
    proof (rule Berlekamp-subspace.smult-closed)
```

show *a x* ∈ *carrier class-ring* **using** *insert.prems* **unfolding** *Pi-def*
by (*simp add*: *class-field-def*)
show *x* ∈ *carrier W* **using** *insert.prems* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
also have ... = (*a x* ⊙$_W$ *x*) + (⨁$_W$*v* ∈ *V*. *a v* ⊙$_W$ *v*) **by** *auto*
also have ... = (*a x* ⊙$_W$ *x*) + *sum* (λ*v*. *smult* (*a v*) *v*) *V* **unfolding** *hyp* **by** *simp*
also have ... = (*smult* (*a x*) *x*) + *sum* (λ*v*. *smult* (*a v*) *v*) *V* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *sum* (λ*v*. *smult* (*a v*) *v*) (*insert x V*)
by (*simp add*: *insert.hyps*(*1*) *insert.hyps*(*2*))
finally show *?case* **.**
qed

lemma *exists-vector-in-Berlekamp-subspace-dvd*:
fixes *p-i*::′*a mod-ring poly*
assumes *finite-P*: *finite P*
and *f-desc-square-free*: *u* = (∏ *a*∈*P*. *a*)
and *P*: *P* ⊆ {*q*. *irreducible q* ∧ *monic q*}
and *pi*: *p-i* ∈ *P* **and** *pj*: *p-j* ∈ *P* **and** *pi-pj*: *p-i* ≠ *p-j*
and *monic-f*: *monic u* **and** *sf-f*: *square-free u*
and *not-irr-w*: ¬ *irreducible w*
and *w-dvd-f*: *w dvd u* **and** *monic-w*: *monic w*
and *pi-dvd-w*: *p-i dvd w* **and** *pj-dvd-w*: *p-j dvd w*
shows ∃ *v*. *v* ∈ {*h*. [*h*^(*CARD*(′*a*)) = *h*] (*mod u*) ∧ *degree h* < *degree u*}
∧ *v mod p-i* ≠ *v mod p-j*
∧ *degree* (*v mod p-i*) = *0*
∧ *degree* (*v mod p-j*) = *0*
— This implies that the algorithm decreases the degree of the reducible polynomials in each step:
∧ (∃ *s*. *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s*:]) ≠ *w* ∧ *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s*:]) ≠ *1*)
proof −
have *f-not-0*: *u* ≠ *0* **using** *monic-f* **by** *auto*
have *irr-pi*: *irreducible p-i* **using** *pi P* **by** *auto*
have *irr-pj*: *irreducible p-j* **using** *pj P* **by** *auto*
obtain *m* **and** *n*::*nat* **where** *P-m*: *P* = *m* ‘ {*i*. *i* < *n*} **and** *inj-on-m*: *inj-on m* {*i*. *i* < *n*}
using *finite-imp-nat-seg-image-inj-on*[*OF finite-P*] **by** *blast*
hence *n* = *card P* **by** (*simp add*: *card-image*)
have *degree-prod*: *degree* (*prod m* {*i*. *i* < *n*}) = *degree u*
by (*metis P-m f-desc-square-free inj-on-m prod.reindex-cong*)
have *not-zero*: ∀ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. *m i* ≠ *0*
using *P-m f-desc-square-free f-not-0* **by** *auto*
obtain *i* **where** *mi*: *m i* = *p-i* **and** *i*: *i* < *n* **using** *P-m pi* **by** *blast*
obtain *j* **where** *mj*: *m j* = *p-j* **and** *j*: *j* < *n* **using** *P-m pj* **by** *blast*
have *ij*: *i* ≠ *j* **using** *mi mj pi-pj* **by** *auto*
obtain *s-i* **and** *s-j*::′*a mod-ring* **where** *si-sj*: *s-i* ≠ *s-j* **using** *exists-two-distint* **by** *blast*

let *?u*=λx. *if* $x = i$ *then* [:*s-i*:] *else if* $x = j$ *then* [:*s-j*:] *else* [:*0*:]
have *degree-si*: *degree* [:*s-i*:] = *0* **by** *auto*
have *degree-sj*: *degree* [:*s-j*:] = *0* **by** *auto*
have $\exists!v.$ *degree* $v < (\sum i{\in}\{i.\ i < n\}.$ *degree* $(m\ i)) \wedge (\forall\, a{\in}\{i.\ i < n\}.$ [v = *?u* a] (*mod* $m\ a$))
proof (*rule chinese-remainder-unique-poly*)
show $\forall\, a{\in}\{i.\ i < n\}.\ \forall\, b{\in}\{i.\ i < n\}.\ a \neq b \longrightarrow$ *Rings.coprime* $(m\ a)\ (m\ b)$
proof (*rule*+)
fix $a\ b$ **assume** $a \in \{i.\ i < n\}$ **and** $b \in \{i.\ i < n\}$ **and** $a \neq b$
thus *Rings.coprime* $(m\ a)\ (m\ b)$
using *coprime-polynomial-factorization*[*OF P finite-P*, *simplified*] *P-m*
by (*metis image-eqI inj-onD inj-on-m*)
qed
show $\forall\, i{\in}\{i.\ i < n\}.\ m\ i \neq 0$ **by** (*rule not-zero*)
show *0* < *degree* (*prod m* {*i*. *i* < *n*}) **unfolding** *degree-prod* **using** *deg-u0* **by** *blast*
qed
from *this* **obtain** *v* **where** *v*: $\forall\, a{\in}\{i.\ i < n\}.$ [*v* = *?u a*] (*mod m a*)
and *degree-v*: *degree v* < $(\sum i{\in}\{i.\ i < n\}.$ *degree* $(m\ i))$ **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule exI*[*of* - *v*], *auto*)
show *vp-v-mod*: [*v* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *v*] (*mod u*)
proof (*unfold f-desc-square-free*, *rule coprime-cong-mult-factorization-poly*[*OF finite-P*])
show *P* ⊆ {*q*. *irreducible q*} **using** *P* **by** *blast*
show $\forall\, p{\in}P.$ [*v* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *v*] (*mod p*)
proof (*rule ballI*)
fix *p* **assume** *p*: *p* ∈ *P*
hence *irr-p*: *irreducible*$_d$ *p* **using** *P* **by** *auto*
obtain *k* **where** *mk*: *m k* = *p* **and** *k*: *k* < *n* **using** *P-m p* **by** *blast*
have [*v* = *?u k*] (*mod p*) **using** *v mk k* **by** *auto*
moreover have *?u k mod p* = *?u k*
apply (*rule mod-poly-less*) **using** *irreducible*$_d$*D*(*1*)[*OF irr-p*] **by** *auto*
ultimately obtain *s* **where** *v-mod-p*: *v mod p* = [:*s*:] **unfolding** *cong-def* **by** *force*
hence *deg-v-p*: *degree* (*v mod p*) = *0* **by** *auto*
have *v mod p* = [:*s*:] **by** (*rule v-mod-p*)
also have ... = [:*s*:]^*CARD*('*a*) **unfolding** *poly-const-pow* **by** *auto*
also have ... = (*v mod p*) ^ *CARD*('*a*) **using** *v-mod-p* **by** *auto*
also have ... = (*v mod p*) ^ *CARD*('*a*) *mod p* **using** *calculation* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *v*^*CARD*('*a*) *mod p* **using** *power-mod* **by** *blast*
finally show [*v* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *v*] (*mod p*) **unfolding** *cong-def* **..**
qed
show $\forall$ *p1 p2*. *p1* ∈ *P* ∧ *p2* ∈ *P* ∧ *p1* ≠ *p2* ⟶ *coprime p1 p2*
using *P coprime-polynomial-factorization finite-P* **by** *auto*
qed
have [*v* = *?u i*] (*mod m i*) **using** *v i* **by** *auto*
hence *v-pi-si-mod*: *v mod p-i* = [:*s-i*:] *mod p-i* **unfolding** *cong-def mi* **by** *auto*
also have ... = [:*s-i*:] **apply** (*rule mod-poly-less*) **using** *irr-pi* **by** *auto*

finally have *v-pi-si*: *v mod p-i* = [:*s-i*:] **.**

have [*v* = *?u j*] (*mod m j*) **using** *v j* **by** *auto*
hence *v-pj-sj-mod*: *v mod p-j* = [:*s-j*:] *mod p-j* **unfolding** *cong-def mj* **using** *ij* **by** *auto*
also have ... = [:*s-j*:] **apply** (*rule mod-poly-less*) **using** *irr-pj* **by** *auto*
finally have *v-pj-sj*: *v mod p-j* = [:*s-j*:] **.**
show *v mod p-i* = *v mod p-j* ⟹ *False* **using** *si-sj v-pi-si v-pj-sj* **by** *auto*
show *degree* (*v mod p-i*) = *0* **unfolding** *v-pi-si* **by** *simp*
show *degree* (*v mod p-j*) = *0* **unfolding** *v-pj-sj* **by** *simp*
show ∃ *s*. *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s*:]) ≠ *w* ∧ *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s*:]) ≠ *1*
proof (*rule exI*[*of - s-i*], *rule conjI*)
have *pi-dvd-v-si*: *p-i dvd v* − [:*s-i*:] **using** *v-pi-si-mod mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly* **by** *blast*
have *pj-dvd-v-sj*: *p-j dvd v* − [:*s-j*:] **using** *v-pj-sj-mod mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly* **by** *blast*
have *w-eq*: *w* = *prod* (λ*c*. *gcd w* (*v* − [:*c*:])) (*UNIV*::′*a mod-ring set*)
proof (*rule Berlekamp-gcd-step*)
show [*v* ^ *CARD*(′*a*) = *v*] (*mod w*) **using** *vp-v-mod cong-dvd-modulus-poly w-dvd-f* **by** *blast*
show *square-free w* **by** (*rule square-free-factor*[*OF w-dvd-f sf-f*])
show *monic w* **by** (*rule monic-w*)
qed
show *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s-i*:]) ≠ *w*
proof (*rule ccontr*, *simp*)
assume *gcd-w*: *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s-i*:]) = *w*
show *False* **apply** (*rule* ‹*v mod p-i* = *v mod p-j* ⟹ *False*›)
by (*metis irreducibleE* ‹*degree* (*v mod p-i*) = *0*› *gcd-greatest-iff gcd-w irr-pj is-unit-field-poly mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly mod-poly-less neq0-conv pj-dvd-w v-pi-si*)
qed
show *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s-i*:]) ≠ *1*
by (*metis irreducibleE gcd-greatest-iff irr-pi pi-dvd-v-si pi-dvd-w*)
qed
show *degree v* < *degree u*
proof −
have (∑ *i* | *i* < *n*. *degree* (*m i*)) = *degree* (*prod m* {*i*. *i* < *n*})
by (*rule degree-prod-eq-sum-degree*[*symmetric*, *OF not-zero*])
thus *?thesis* **using** *degree-v* **unfolding** *degree-prod* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *exists-vector-in-Berlekamp-basis-dvd-aux*:
assumes *basis-V*: *Berlekamp-subspace.basis B*
and *finite-V*: *finite B*
assumes *finite-P*: *finite P*
and *f-desc-square-free*: *u* = (∏ *a*∈*P*. *a*)

and *P*: $P \subseteq \{q.\ \textit{irreducible}\ q \wedge \textit{monic}\ q\}$
and *pi*: *p-i* $\in P$ **and** *pj*: *p-j* $\in P$ **and** *pi-pj*: *p-i* $\neq$ *p-j*
and *monic-f*: *monic u* **and** *sf-f*: *square-free u*
and *not-irr-w*: $\neg$ *irreducible w*
and *w-dvd-f*: *w dvd u* **and** *monic-w*: *monic w*
and *pi-dvd-w*: *p-i dvd w* **and** *pj-dvd-w*: *p-j dvd w*
shows $\exists\, v \in B.$ *v mod p-i* $\neq$ *v mod p-j*
proof (*rule ccontr*, *auto*)
have *V-in-carrier*: $B \subseteq$ *carrier W*
using *basis-V* **unfolding** *Berlekamp-subspace.basis-def* **by** *auto*
assume *all-eq*: $\forall\, v{\in}B.$ *v mod p-i* = *v mod p-j*
obtain *x* **where** *x*: $x \in \{h.\ [h\ \widehat{}\ CARD('a) = h]\ (mod\ u) \wedge degree\ h < degree\ u\}$
and *x-pi-pj*: *x mod p-i* $\neq$ *x mod p-j* **and** *degree* (*x mod p-i*) = *0* **and** *degree* (*x mod p-j*) = *0*
($\exists\, s.$ *gcd w* (*x* − [:*s*:]) $\neq$ *w* $\wedge$ *gcd w* (*x* − [:*s*:]) $\neq$ *1*)
using *exists-vector-in-Berlekamp-subspace-dvd*[*OF - - - pi pj - - - - w-dvd-f monic-w pi-dvd-w*]
assms **by** *meson*
have *x-in*: *x* $\in$ *carrier W* **using** *x* **by** *auto*
hence ($\exists !a.$ *a* $\in$ *B* $\rightarrow_E$ *carrier class-ring* $\wedge$ *Berlekamp-subspace.lincomb a B* = *x*)
using *Berlekamp-subspace.basis-criterion*[*OF finite-V V-in-carrier*] **using** *basis-V*
by (*simp add*: *class-field-def*)
from *this* **obtain** *a* **where** *a-Pi*: *a* $\in$ *B* $\rightarrow_E$ *carrier class-ring*
and *lincomb-x*: *Berlekamp-subspace.lincomb a B* = *x*
by *blast*
have *fs-ss*: $(\bigoplus_{W} v{\in}B.\ a\ v \odot_{W} v)$ = *sum* ($\lambda v.$ *smult* (*a v*) *v*) *B*
proof (*rule finsum-sum*)
show *finite B* **by** *fact*
show *a* $\in$ *B* $\rightarrow$ *carrier class-ring* **using** *a-Pi* **by** *auto*
show *B* $\subseteq$ *carrier W* **by** (*rule V-in-carrier*)
qed
have *x mod p-i* = *Berlekamp-subspace.lincomb a B mod p-i* **using** *lincomb-x* **by** *simp*
also have ... = $(\bigoplus_{W} v{\in}B.\ a\ v \odot_{W} v)$ *mod p-i* **unfolding** *Berlekamp-subspace.lincomb-def* **..**
also have ... = (*sum* ($\lambda v.$ *smult* (*a v*) *v*) *B*) *mod p-i* **unfolding** *fs-ss* **..**
also have ... = *sum* ($\lambda v.$ *smult* (*a v*) *v mod p-i*) *B* **using** *finite-V poly-mod-sum* **by** *blast*
also have ... = *sum* ($\lambda v.$ *smult* (*a v*) (*v mod p-i*)) *B* **by** (*meson mod-smult-left*)
also have ... = *sum* ($\lambda v.$ *smult* (*a v*) (*v mod p-j*)) *B* **using** *all-eq* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *sum* ($\lambda v.$ *smult* (*a v*) *v mod p-j*) *B* **by** (*metis mod-smult-left*)
also have ... = (*sum* ($\lambda v.$ *smult* (*a v*) *v*) *B*) *mod p-j*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *finite-V poly-mod-sum sum.cong*)
also have ... = $(\bigoplus_{W} v{\in}B.\ a\ v \odot_{W} v)$ *mod p-j* **unfolding** *fs-ss* **..**
also have ... = *Berlekamp-subspace.lincomb a B mod p-j*
unfolding *Berlekamp-subspace.lincomb-def* **..**
also have ... = *x mod p-j* **using** *lincomb-x* **by** *simp*

finally have *x mod p-i = x mod p-j* **.**
thus *False* **using** *x-pi-pj* **by** *contradiction*
qed

lemma *exists-vector-in-Berlekamp-basis-dvd*:
assumes *basis-V*: *Berlekamp-subspace.basis B*
and *finite-V*: *finite B*
assumes *finite-P*: *finite P*
and *f-desc-square-free*: $u = (\prod a{\in}P.\ a)$
and *P*: $P \subseteq \{q.\ irreducible\ q \wedge monic\ q\}$
and *pi*: *p-i* $\in P$ **and** *pj*: *p-j* $\in P$ **and** *pi-pj*: *p-i* $\neq$ *p-j*
and *monic-f*: *monic u* **and** *sf-f*: *square-free u*
and *not-irr-w*: $\neg$ *irreducible w*
and *w-dvd-f*: *w dvd u* **and** *monic-w*: *monic w*
and *pi-dvd-w*: *p-i dvd w* **and** *pj-dvd-w*: *p-j dvd w*
shows $\exists v \in B.$ *v mod p-i* $\neq$ *v mod p-j*
$\wedge$ *degree* (*v mod p-i*) = *0*
$\wedge$ *degree* (*v mod p-j*) = *0*
— This implies that the algorithm decreases the degree of the reducible polynomials in each step:
$\wedge$ ($\exists s.$ *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s*:]) $\neq$ *w* $\wedge$ $\neg$ *coprime w* (*v* − [:*s*:]))
proof −
have *f-not-0*: *u* $\neq$ *0* **using** *monic-f* **by** *auto*
have *irr-pi*: *irreducible p-i* **using** *pi P* **by** *fast*
have *irr-pj*: *irreducible p-j* **using** *pj P* **by** *fast*
obtain *v* **where** *vV*: *v* $\in$ *B* **and** *v-pi-pj*: *v mod p-i* $\neq$ *v mod p-j*
using *assms exists-vector-in-Berlekamp-basis-dvd-aux* **by** *blast*
have *v*: *v* $\in \{v.\ [v\ \hat{}\ CARD('a) = v]\ (mod\ u)\}$
using *basis-V vV* **unfolding** *Berlekamp-subspace.basis-def* **by** *auto*
have *deg-v-pi*: *degree* (*v mod p-i*) = *0*
by (*rule degree-u-mod-irreducible*$_d$*-factor-0*[*OF v finite-P f-desc-square-free P pi*])
from *this* **obtain** *s-i* **where** *v-pi-si*: *v mod p-i* = [:*s-i*:] **using** *degree-eq-zeroE* **by** *blast*
have *deg-v-pj*: *degree* (*v mod p-j*) = *0*
by (*rule degree-u-mod-irreducible*$_d$*-factor-0*[*OF v finite-P f-desc-square-free P pj*])
from *this* **obtain** *s-j* **where** *v-pj-sj*: *v mod p-j* = [:*s-j*:] **using** *degree-eq-zeroE* **by** *blast*
have *si-sj*: *s-i* $\neq$ *s-j* **using** *v-pi-si v-pj-sj v-pi-pj* **by** *auto*
have ($\exists s.$ *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s*:]) $\neq$ *w* $\wedge$ $\neg$ *Rings.coprime w* (*v* − [:*s*:]))
proof (*rule exI*[*of - s-i*], *rule conjI*)
have *pi-dvd-v-si*: *p-i dvd v* − [:*s-i*:] **by** (*metis mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly mod-mod-trivial v-pi-si*)
have *pj-dvd-v-sj*: *p-j dvd v* − [:*s-j*:] **by** (*metis mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly mod-mod-trivial v-pj-sj*)
have *w-eq*: *w* = *prod* ($\lambda c.$ *gcd w* (*v* − [:*c*:])) (*UNIV*::*'a mod-ring set*)
proof (*rule Berlekamp-gcd-step*)

show $[v \hat{} CARD('a) = v]$ (*mod w*) **using** *v cong-dvd-modulus-poly w-dvd-f*
by *blast*
show *square-free w* **by** (*rule square-free-factor*[*OF w-dvd-f sf-f*])
show *monic w* **by** (*rule monic-w*)
qed
show *gcd w* (*v* − [:*s-i*:]) ≠ *w*
by (*metis irreducibleE deg-v-pi gcd-greatest-iff irr-pj is-unit-field-poly mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly mod-poly-less neq0-conv pj-dvd-w v-pi-pj v-pi-si*)
show ¬ *Rings.coprime w* (*v* − [:*s-i*:])
using *irr-pi pi-dvd-v-si pi-dvd-w*
by (*simp add*: *irreducible*$_d$*D*(*1*) *not-coprimeI*)
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *v-pi-pj vV deg-v-pi deg-v-pj* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *exists-bijective-linear-map-W-vec*:
assumes *finite-P*: *finite P*
and *u-desc-square-free*: *u* = (∏ *a*∈*P*. *a*)
and *P*: *P* ⊆ {*q*. *irreducible q* ∧ *monic q*}
shows ∃ *f*. *linear-map class-ring W* (*module-vec TYPE*('*a mod-ring*) (*card P*)) *f*
∧ *bij-betw f* (*carrier W*) (*carrier-vec* (*card P*)::'*a mod-ring vec set*)
proof −
let *?B*=*carrier-vec* (*card P*)::'*a mod-ring vec set*
have *u-not-0*: *u* ≠ *0* **using** *deg-u0 degree-0* **by** *force*
obtain *m* **and** *n*::*nat* **where** *P-m*: *P* = *m* ' {*i*. *i* < *n*} **and** *inj-on-m*: *inj-on m* {*i*. *i* < *n*}
using *finite-imp-nat-seg-image-inj-on*[*OF finite-P*] **by** *blast*
hence *n*: *n* = *card P* **by** (*simp add*: *card-image*)
have *degree-prod*: *degree* (*prod m* {*i*. *i* < *n*}) = *degree u*
by (*metis P-m u-desc-square-free inj-on-m prod.reindex-cong*)
have *not-zero*: ∀ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. *m i* ≠ *0*
using *P-m u-desc-square-free u-not-0* **by** *auto*
have *deg-sum-eq*: (∑ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. *degree* (*m i*)) = *degree u*
by (*metis degree-prod degree-prod-eq-sum-degree not-zero*)
have *coprime-mi-mj*:∀ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. ∀ *j*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. *i* ≠ *j* ⟶ *coprime* (*m i*) (*m j*)
proof (*rule+*)
fix *i j* **assume** *i*: *i* ∈ {*i*. *i* < *n*}
and *j*: *j* ∈ {*i*. *i* < *n*} **and** *ij*: *i* ≠ *j*
show *coprime* (*m i*) (*m j*)
proof (*rule coprime-polynomial-factorization*[*OF P finite-P*])
show *m i* ∈ *P* **using** *i P-m* **by** *auto*
show *m j* ∈ *P* **using** *j P-m* **by** *auto*
show *m i* ≠ *m j* **using** *inj-on-m i ij j* **unfolding** *inj-on-def* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
let *?f* = λ*v*. *vec n* (λ*i*. *coeff* (*v mod* (*m i*)) *0*)
interpret *vec-VS*: *vectorspace class-ring* (*module-vec TYPE*('*a mod-ring*) *n*)
by (*rule VS-Connect.vec-vs*)

interpret *linear-map class-ring W* (*module-vec TYPE*('*a mod-ring*) *n*) *?f*
by (*intro-locales*, *unfold mod-hom-axioms-def LinearCombinations.module-hom-def*,
auto simp add: *vec-eq-iff module-vec-def mod-smult-left poly-mod-add-left*)
have *linear-map class-ring W* (*module-vec TYPE*('*a mod-ring*) *n*) *?f*
by (*intro-locales*)
moreover have *inj-f*: *inj-on ?f* (*carrier W*)
proof (*rule Ke0-imp-inj*, *auto simp add*: *mod-hom.ker-def*)
show [*0* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *0*] (*mod u*) **by** (*simp add*: *cong-def zero-power*)
show *vec n* (λ*i*. *0*) = $\mathbf{0}_{\textit{module-vec TYPE('a mod-ring) n}}$ **by** (*auto simp add*: *module-vec-def*)
fix *x* **assume** *x*: [*x* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *x*] (*mod u*) **and** *deg-x*: *degree x* < *degree u*
and *v*: *vec n* (λ*i*. *coeff* (*x mod m i*) *0*) = $\mathbf{0}_{\textit{module-vec TYPE('a mod-ring) n}}$
have *cong-0*: ∀ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. [*x* = (λ*i*. *0*) *i*] (*mod m i*)
proof (*rule*, *unfold cong-def*)
fix *i* **assume** *i*: *i* ∈ {*i*. *i* < *n*}
have *deg-x-mod-mi*: *degree* (*x mod m i*) = *0*
proof (*rule degree-u-mod-irreducible*$_d$*-factor-0*[*OF - finite-P u-desc-square-free P*])
show *x* ∈ {*v*. [*v* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *v*] (*mod u*)} **using** *x* **by** *auto*
show *m i* ∈ *P* **using** *P-m i* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *x mod m i* = *0 mod m i*
using *v*
unfolding *module-vec-def*
by (*auto*, *metis i leading-coeff-neq-0 mem-Collect-eq index-vec index-zero-vec*(*1*))
qed
moreover have *deg-x2*: *degree x* < (∑ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. *degree* (*m i*))
using *deg-sum-eq deg-x* **by** *simp*
moreover have ∀ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. [*0* = (λ*i*. *0*) *i*] (*mod m i*)
by (*auto simp add*: *cong-def*)
moreover have *degree 0* < (∑ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. *degree* (*m i*))
using *degree-prod deg-sum-eq deg-u0* **by** *force*
moreover have ∃!*x*. *degree x* < (∑ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. *degree* (*m i*))
∧ (∀ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. [*x* = (λ*i*. *0*) *i*] (*mod m i*))
proof (*rule chinese-remainder-unique-poly*[*OF not-zero*])
show *0* < *degree* (*prod m* {*i*. *i* < *n*})
using *deg-u0 degree-prod* **by** *linarith*
qed (*insert coprime-mi-mj*, *auto*)
ultimately show *x* = *0* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have *?f* ' (*carrier W*) = *?B*
proof (*auto simp add*: *image-def*)
fix *xa*
show *n* = *card P* **by** (*auto simp add*: *n*)
next
fix *x*::'*a mod-ring vec* **assume** *x*: *x* ∈ *carrier-vec* (*card P*)
have ∃!*v*. *degree v* < (∑ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. *degree* (*m i*)) ∧ (∀ *i*∈{*i*. *i* < *n*}. [*v* = (λ*i*. [:*x* $ *i*:]) *i*] (*mod m i*))
proof (*rule chinese-remainder-unique-poly*[*OF not-zero*])

show *0 < degree* (*prod m* {*i*. *i < n*})
using *deg-u0 degree-prod* **by** *linarith*
qed (*insert coprime-mi-mj*, *auto*)
from *this* **obtain** *v* **where** *deg-v*: *degree v* < (∑ *i*∈{*i*. *i < n*}. *degree* (*m i*))
and *v-x-cong*: (∀ *i* ∈ {*i*. *i < n*}. [*v* = (λ*i*. [:*x* $ *i*:]) *i*] (*mod m i*)) **by** *auto*
show ∃ *xa*. [*xa* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *xa*] (*mod u*) ∧ *degree xa < degree u*
∧ *x* = *vec n* (λ*i*. *coeff* (*xa mod m i*) *0*)
proof (*rule exI*[*of - v*], *auto*)
show *v*: [*v* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *v*] (*mod u*)
proof (*unfold u-desc-square-free*, *rule coprime-cong-mult-factorization-poly*[*OF finite-P*], *auto*)
fix *y* **assume** *y*: *y* ∈ *P* **thus** *irreducible y* **using** *P* **by** *blast*
obtain *i* **where** *i*: *i* ∈ {*i*. *i < n*} **and** *mi*: *y* = *m i* **using** *P-m y* **by** *blast*
have *irreducible* (*m i*) **using** *i P-m P* **by** *auto*
moreover have [*v* = [:*x* $ *i*:]] (*mod m i*) **using** *v-x-cong i* **by** *auto*
ultimately have *v-mi-eq-xi*: *v mod m i* = [:*x* $ *i*:]
by (*auto simp*: *cong-def intro*!: *mod-poly-less*)
have *xi-pow-xi*: [:*x* $ *i*:]^*CARD*('*a*) = [:*x* $ *i*:] **by** (*simp add*: *poly-const-pow*)
hence (*v mod m i*)^*CARD*('*a*) = *v mod m i* **using** *v-mi-eq-xi* **by** *auto*
hence (*v mod m i*)^*CARD*('*a*) = (*v*^*CARD*('*a*) *mod m i*)
by (*metis mod-mod-trivial power-mod*)
thus [*v* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *v*] (*mod y*) **unfolding** *mi cong-def v-mi-eq-xi xi-pow-xi*
by *simp*
next
fix *p1 p2* **assume** *p1* ∈ *P* **and** *p2* ∈ *P* **and** *p1* ≠ *p2*
then show *Rings.coprime p1 p2*
using *coprime-polynomial-factorization*[*OF P finite-P*] **by** *auto*
qed
show *degree v < degree u* **using** *deg-v deg-sum-eq degree-prod* **by** *presburger*
show *x* = *vec n* (λ*i*. *coeff* (*v mod m i*) *0*)
proof (*unfold vec-eq-iff*, *rule conjI*)
show *dim-vec x* = *dim-vec* (*vec n* (λ*i*. *coeff* (*v mod m i*) *0*)) **using** *x n* **by** *simp*
show ∀ *i*<*dim-vec* (*vec n* (λ*i*. *coeff* (*v mod m i*) *0*)). *x* $ *i* = *vec n* (λ*i*. *coeff* (*v mod m i*) *0*) $ *i*
proof (*auto*)
fix *i* **assume** *i*: *i < n*
have *deg-mi*: *irreducible* (*m i*) **using** *i P-m P* **by** *auto*
have *deg-v-mi*: *degree* (*v mod m i*) = *0*
proof (*rule degree-u-mod-irreducible*$_d$*-factor-0*[*OF - finite-P u-desc-square-free P*])
show *v* ∈ {*v*. [*v* ^ *CARD*('*a*) = *v*] (*mod u*)} **using** *v* **by** *fast*
show *m i* ∈ *P* **using** *P-m i* **by** *auto*
qed
have *v mod m i* = [:*x* $ *i*:] *mod m i* **using** *v-x-cong i* **unfolding** *cong-def*
by *auto*
also have ... = [:*x* $ *i*:] **using** *deg-mi* **by** (*auto intro*!: *mod-poly-less*)
finally show *x* $ *i* = *coeff* (*v mod m i*) *0* **by** *simp*
qed

```
    qed
  qed
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding bij-betw-def n by auto
qed

lemma fin-dim-kernel-berlekamp: V.fin-dim
proof −
 have finite (set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u))) by auto
 moreover have set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)) ⊆ carrier V
 and V.gen-set (set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)))
  using berlekamp-resulting-mat-basis[of u] unfolding V.basis-def by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding V.fin-dim-def by auto
qed

lemma Berlekamp-subspace-fin-dim: Berlekamp-subspace.fin-dim
proof (rule linear-map.surj-fin-dim[OF linear-map-Poly-list-of-vec'])
 show (Poly ∘ list-of-vec) ‘ carrier V = carrier W
  using surj-Poly-list-of-vec[OF deg-u0] by auto
 show V.fin-dim by (rule fin-dim-kernel-berlekamp)
qed

context
 fixes P
 assumes finite-P: finite P
 and u-desc-square-free: u = (∏ a∈P. a)
 and P: P ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
begin

interpretation RV: vec-space TYPE('a mod-ring) card P .

lemma Berlekamp-subspace-eq-dim-vec: Berlekamp-subspace.dim = RV.dim
proof −
 obtain f where lm-f: linear-map class-ring W (module-vec TYPE('a mod-ring)
(card P)) f
 and bij-f: bij-betw f (carrier W) (carrier-vec (card P)::'a mod-ring vec set)
   using exists-bijective-linear-map-W-vec[OF finite-P u-desc-square-free P] by
blast
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule linear-map.dim-eq[OF lm-f Berlekamp-subspace-fin-dim])
  show inj-on f (carrier W) by (rule bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF bij-f])
   show f ‘ carrier W = carrier RV.V using bij-f unfolding bij-betw-def by
auto
 qed
qed


lemma Berlekamp-subspace-dim: Berlekamp-subspace.dim = card P
 using Berlekamp-subspace-eq-dim-vec RV.dim-is-n by simp
```

corollary *card-berlekamp-basis-number-factors*: *card* (*set* (*berlekamp-basis u*)) = *card P*
unfolding *Berlekamp-subspace-dim*[*symmetric*]
by (*rule Berlekamp-subspace.dim-basis*[*symmetric*], *auto simp add*: *berlekamp-basis-basis*)

lemma *length-berlekamp-basis-numbers-factors*: *length* (*berlekamp-basis u*) = *card P*
using *card-set-berlekamp-basis card-berlekamp-basis-number-factors* **by** *auto*

end
end
end
end

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*('*a* :: *prime-card*)
begin

context
fixes *f* :: '*a mod-ring poly* **and** *n*
assumes *sf*: *square-free f*
and *n*: *n* = *length* (*berlekamp-basis f*)
and *monic-f*: *monic f*
begin
lemma *berlekamp-basis-length-factorization*: **assumes** *f*: *f* = *prod-list us*
and *d*: ⋀ *u*. *u* ∈ *set us* ⟹ *degree u* > *0*
shows *length us* ≤ *n*
proof (*cases degree f* = *0*)
case *True*
have *us* = []
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume *us* ≠ []
from *this* **obtain** *u* **where** *u*: *u* ∈ *set us* **by** *fastforce*
hence *deg-u*: *degree u* > *0* **using** *d* **by** *auto*
have *degree f* = *degree* (*prod-list us*) **unfolding** *f* **..**
also have ... = *sum-list* (*map degree us*)
proof (*rule degree-prod-list-eq*)
fix *p* **assume** *p*: *p* ∈ *set us*
show *p* ≠ *0* **using** *d*[*OF p*] *degree-0* **by** *auto*
qed
also have ... ≥ *degree u* **by** (*simp add*: *member-le-sum-list u*)
finally have *degree f* > *0* **using** *deg-u* **by** *auto*
thus *False* **using** *True* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next

case *False*
hence *f-not-0*: $f \neq 0$ **using** *degree-0* **by** *fastforce*
obtain P **where** *fin-P*: *finite* P **and** *f-P*: $f = \prod P$ **and** P: $P \subseteq \{p.\ \textit{irreducible}\ p \wedge \textit{monic}\ p\}$
using *monic-square-free-irreducible-factorization*[*OF monic-f sf*] **by** *auto*
have *n-card-P*: $n = \textit{card}\ P$
using P *False f-P fin-P length-berlekamp-basis-numbers-factors n* **by** *blast*
have *distinct-us*: *distinct us* **using** *d f sf square-free-prod-list-distinct* **by** *blast*
let *?us'*=(*map normalize us*)
have *distinct-us'*: *distinct ?us'*
proof (*auto simp add*: *distinct-map*)
show *distinct us* **by** (*rule distinct-us*)
show *inj-on normalize* (*set us*)
proof (*auto simp add*: *inj-on-def*, *rule ccontr*)
fix x y **assume** x: $x \in \textit{set}\ us$ **and** y: $y \in \textit{set}\ us$ **and** n: $\textit{normalize}\ x = \textit{normalize}\ y$
and *x-not-y*: $x \neq y$
from *normalize-eq-imp-smult*[*OF n*]
obtain c **where** *c0*: $c \neq 0$ **and** *y-smult*: $y = \textit{smult}\ c\ x$ **by** *blast*
have *sf-xy*: *square-free* (x∗y)
proof (*rule square-free-factor*[*OF - sf*])
have x∗y = *prod-list* [x,y] **by** *simp*
also have ... *dvd prod-list us*
by (*rule prod-list-dvd-prod-list-subset*, *auto simp add*: *x y x-not-y distinct-us*)
also have ... = f **unfolding** f **..**
finally show $x * y$ *dvd* f **.**
qed
have $x * y = \textit{smult}\ c\ (x$∗$x)$ **using** *y-smult mult-smult-right* **by** *auto*
hence *sf-smult*: *square-free* (*smult c* (x∗x)) **using** *sf-xy* **by** *auto*
have x∗x *dvd* (*smult c* (x∗x)) **by** (*simp add*: *dvd-smult*)
hence ¬ *square-free* (*smult c* (x∗x))
by (*metis d square-free-def x*)
thus *False* **using** *sf-smult* **by** *contradiction*
qed
qed
have *length-us-us'*: *length us* = *length ?us'* **by** *simp*
have *f-us'*: f = *prod-list ?us'*
proof −
have f = *normalize f* **using** *monic-f f-not-0* **by** (*simp add*: *normalize-monic*)
also have ... = *prod-list ?us'* **by** (*unfold f*, *rule prod-list-normalize*[*of us*])
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed
have $\exists\, Q.$ *prod-list* Q = *prod-list ?us'* $\wedge$ *length ?us'* $\leq$ *length* Q $\wedge$ ($\forall\, u.\ u \in \textit{set}\ Q \longrightarrow \textit{irreducible}\ u \wedge \textit{monic}\ u$)
proof (*rule exists-factorization-prod-list*)
show *degree* (*prod-list ?us'*) > 0 **using** *False f-us'* **by** *auto*
show *square-free* (*prod-list ?us'*) **using** *f-us' sf* **by** *auto*
fix u **assume** u: $u \in \textit{set}\ ?us'$
have *u-not0*: $u \neq 0$ **using** d u *degree-0* **by** *fastforce*

```
    have degree u > 0 using d u by auto
    moreover have monic u using u monic-normalize[OF u-not0] by auto
    ultimately show degree u > 0 ∧ monic u by simp
  qed
  from this obtain Q
  where Q-us': prod-list Q = prod-list ?us'
  and length-us'-Q: length ?us' ≤ length Q
  and Q: (∀ u. u ∈ set Q ⟶ irreducible u ∧ monic u)
  by blast
  have distinct-Q: distinct Q
  proof (rule square-free-prod-list-distinct)
    show square-free (prod-list Q) using Q-us' f-us' sf by auto
   show ⋀u. u ∈ set Q ⟹ degree u > 0 using Q irreducible-degree-field by auto
  qed
  have set-Q-P: set Q = P
  proof (rule monic-factorization-uniqueness)
    show ∏ (set Q) = ∏ P using Q-us'
      by (metis distinct-Q f-P f-us' list.map-ident prod.distinct-set-conv-list)
  qed (insert P Q fin-P, auto)
  hence length Q = card P using distinct-Q distinct-card by fastforce
  have length us = length ?us' by (rule length-us-us')
  also have ... ≤ length Q using length-us'-Q by auto
  also have ... = card (set Q) using distinct-card[OF distinct-Q] by simp
  also have ... = card P using set-Q-P by simp
  finally show ?thesis using n-card-P by simp
qed

lemma berlekamp-basis-irreducible: assumes f: f = prod-list us
  and n-us: length us = n
  and us: ⋀ u. u ∈ set us ⟹ degree u > 0
  and u: u ∈ set us
  shows irreducible u
proof (fold irreducible-connect-field, intro irreducible_dI[OF us[OF u]])
  fix q r :: 'a mod-ring poly
  assume dq: degree q > 0 and qu: degree q < degree u and dr: degree r > 0 and
uqr: u = q * r
  with us[OF u] have q: q ≠ 0 and r: r ≠ 0 by auto
  from split-list[OF u] obtain xs ys where id: us = xs @ u # ys by auto
  let ?us = xs @ q # r # ys
  have f: f = prod-list ?us unfolding f id uqr by simp
  {
    fix x
    assume x ∈ set ?us
    with us[unfolded id] dr dq have degree x > 0 by auto
  }
  from berlekamp-basis-length-factorization[OF f this]
  have length ?us ≤ n by simp
  also have ... = length us unfolding n-us by simp
  also have ... < length ?us unfolding id by simp
```

```
  finally show False by simp
qed
end

lemma not-irreducible-factor-yields-prime-factors:
  assumes uf: u dvd (f :: 'b :: {field-gcd} poly) and fin: finite P
      and fP: f = ∏ P and P: P ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
    and u: degree u > 0 ¬ irreducible u
  shows ∃ pi pj. pi ∈ P ∧ pj ∈ P ∧ pi ≠ pj ∧ pi dvd u ∧ pj dvd u
proof −
  from finite-distinct-list[OF fin] obtain ps where Pps: P = set ps and dist:
distinct ps by auto
  have fP: f = prod-list ps unfolding fP Pps using dist
    by (simp add: prod.distinct-set-conv-list)
  note P = P[unfolded Pps]
  have set ps ⊆ P unfolding Pps by auto
  from uf[unfolded fP] P dist this
  show ?thesis
  proof (induct ps)
    case Nil
    with u show ?case using divides-degree[of u 1] by auto
  next
    case (Cons p ps)
    from Cons(3) have ps: set ps ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q} by auto
    from Cons(2) have dvd: u dvd p * prod-list ps by simp
    obtain k where gcd: u = gcd p u * k by (meson dvd-def gcd-dvd2)
    from Cons(3) have *: monic p irreducible p p ≠ 0 by auto
    from monic-irreducible-gcd[OF *(1), of u] *(2)
    have gcd p u = 1 ∨ gcd p u = p by auto
    thus ?case
    proof
      assume gcd p u = 1
      then have Rings.coprime p u
        by (rule gcd-eq-1-imp-coprime)
      with dvd have u dvd prod-list ps
        using coprime-dvd-mult-right-iff coprime-imp-coprime by blast
      from Cons(1)[OF this ps] Cons(4−5) show ?thesis by auto
    next
      assume gcd p u = p
      with gcd have upk: u = p * k by auto
      hence p: p dvd u by auto
      from dvd[unfolded upk] *(3) have kps: k dvd prod-list ps by auto
      from dvd u * have dk: degree k > 0
        by (metis gr0I irreducible-mult-unit-right is-unit-iff-degree mult-zero-right
upk)
      from ps kps have ∃ q ∈ set ps. q dvd k
      proof (induct ps)
        case Nil
        with dk show ?case using divides-degree[of k 1] by auto
```

```
      next
        case (Cons p ps)
        from Cons(3) have dvd: k dvd p * prod-list ps by simp
        obtain l where gcd: k = gcd p k * l by (meson dvd-def gcd-dvd2)
        from Cons(2) have *: monic p irreducible p p ≠ 0 by auto
        from monic-irreducible-gcd[OF *(1), of k] *(2)
        have gcd p k = 1 ∨ gcd p k = p by auto
        thus ?case
        proof
          assume gcd p k = 1
          with dvd have k dvd prod-list ps
            by (metis dvd-triv-left gcd-greatest-mult mult.left-neutral)
          from Cons(1)[OF - this] Cons(2) show ?thesis by auto
        next
          assume gcd p k = p
          with gcd have upk: k = p * l by auto
          hence p: p dvd k by auto
          thus ?thesis by auto
        qed
      qed
      then obtain q where q: q ∈ set ps and dvd: q dvd k by auto
      from dvd upk have qu: q dvd u by auto
      from Cons(4) q have p ≠ q by auto
      thus ?thesis using q p qu Cons(5) by auto
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma berlekamp-factorization-main:
  fixes f::'a mod-ring poly
  assumes sf-f: square-free f
    and vs: vs = vs1 @ vs2
    and vsf: vs = berlekamp-basis f
    and n-bb: n = length (berlekamp-basis f)
    and n: n = length us1 + n2
    and us: us = us1 @ berlekamp-factorization-main d divs vs2 n2
    and us1: ⋀ u. u ∈ set us1 ⟹ monic u ∧ irreducible u
    and divs: ⋀ d. d ∈ set divs ⟹ monic d ∧ degree d > 0
    and vs1: ⋀ u v i. v ∈ set vs1 ⟹ u ∈ set us1 ∪ set divs
      ⟹ i < CARD('a) ⟹ gcd u (v - [:of-nat i:]) ∈ {1,u}
    and f: f = prod-list (us1 @ divs)
    and deg-f: degree f > 0
    and d: ⋀ g. g dvd f ⟹ degree g = d ⟹ irreducible g
  shows f = prod-list us ∧ (∀ u ∈ set us. monic u ∧ irreducible u)
proof -
  have mon-f: monic f unfolding f
    by (rule monic-prod-list, insert divs us1, auto)
  from monic-square-free-irreducible-factorization[OF mon-f sf-f] obtain P where
    P: finite P f = ∏ P P ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q} by auto
```

```
  hence f0: f ≠ 0 by auto
  show ?thesis
    using vs n us divs f us1 vs1
  proof (induct vs2 arbitrary: divs n2 us1 vs1)
    case (Cons v vs2)
    show ?case
    proof (cases v = 1)
      case False
      from Cons(2) vsf have v: v ∈ set (berlekamp-basis f) by auto
      from berlekamp-basis-eq-8[OF this] have vf: [v ^ CARD('a) = v] (mod f) .
      let ?gcd = λ u i. gcd u (v − [:of-int i:])
      let ?gcdn = λ u i. gcd u (v − [:of-nat i:])
      let ?map = λ u. (map (λ i. ?gcd u i) [0 ..< CARD('a)])
      define udivs where udivs ≡ λ u. filter (λ w. w ≠ 1) (?map u)
      {
        obtain xs where xs: [0..<CARD('a)] = xs by auto
        have udivs = (λ u. [w. i ← [0 ..< CARD('a)], w ← [?gcd u i], w ≠ 1])
          unfolding udivs-def xs
          by (intro ext, auto simp: o-def, induct xs, auto)
      } note udivs-def' = this
      define facts where facts ≡ [ w . u ← divs, w ← udivs u]
      {
        fix u
        assume u: u ∈ set divs
       then obtain bef aft where divs: divs = bef @ u # aft by (meson split-list)
        from Cons(5)[OF u] have mon-u: monic u by simp
        have uf: u dvd f unfolding Cons(6) divs by auto
      from vf uf have vu: [v ^ CARD('a) = v] (mod u) by (rule cong-dvd-modulus-poly)
        from square-free-factor[OF uf sf-f] have sf-u: square-free u .
        let ?g = ?gcd u
        from mon-u have u0: u ≠ 0 by auto
        have u = (∏ c∈UNIV. gcd u (v − [:c:]))
          using Berlekamp-gcd-step[OF vu mon-u sf-u] .
        also have ... = (∏ i ∈ {0..< int CARD('a)}. ?g i)
       by (rule sym, rule prod.reindex-cong[OF to-int-mod-ring-hom.inj-f range-to-int-mod-ring[symmetric]],
          simp add: of-int-of-int-mod-ring)
        finally have u-prod: u = (∏ i ∈ {0..< int CARD('a)}. ?g i) .
        let ?S = {0..<int CARD('a)} − {i. ?g i = 1}
        {
          fix i
          assume i ∈ ?S
          hence ?g i ≠ 1 by auto
            moreover have mgi: monic (?g i) by (rule poly-gcd-monic, insert u0,
auto)
          ultimately have degree (?g i) > 0
            using monic-degree-0 by blast
          note this mgi
        } note gS = this
```

```
      have int-set: int ' set [0..<CARD('a)] = {0 ..< int CARD('a)}
        by (simp add: image-int-atLeastLessThan)

      have inj: inj-on ?g ?S unfolding inj-on-def
      proof (intro ballI impI)
        fix i j
        assume i: i ∈ ?S and j: j ∈ ?S and gij: ?g i = ?g j
        show i = j
        proof (rule ccontr)
          define S where S = {0..<int CARD('a)} - {i,j}
          have id: {0..<int CARD('a)} = (insert i (insert j S)) and S: i ∉ S j ∉
S finite S
            using i j unfolding S-def by auto
          assume ij: i ≠ j
          have u = (∏ i ∈ {0..< int CARD('a)}. ?g i) by fact
          also have ... = ?g i * ?g j * (∏ i ∈ S. ?g i)
            unfolding id using S ij by auto
          also have ... = ?g i * ?g i * (∏ i ∈ S. ?g i) unfolding gij by simp
          finally have dvd: ?g i * ?g i dvd u unfolding dvd-def by auto
            with sf-u[unfolded square-free-def, THEN conjunct2, rule-format, OF
gS(1)[OF i]]
          show False by simp
        qed
      qed

      have u = (∏ i ∈ {0..< int CARD('a)}. ?g i) by fact
      also have ... = (∏ i ∈ ?S. ?g i)
        by (rule sym, rule prod.setdiff-irrelevant, auto)
      also have ... = ∏ (set (udivs u)) unfolding udivs-def set-filter set-map
          by (rule sym, rule prod.reindex-cong[of ?g, OF inj - refl], auto simp:
int-set[symmetric])
      finally have u-udivs: u = ∏ (set (udivs u)) .
      {
        fix w
        assume mem: w ∈ set (udivs u)
        then obtain i where w: w = ?g i and i: i ∈ ?S
          unfolding udivs-def set-filter set-map int-set by auto
        have wu: w dvd u by (simp add: w)
        let ?v = λ j. v - [:of-nat j:]
        define j where j = nat i
       from i have j: of-int i = (of-nat j :: 'a mod-ring) j < CARD('a) unfolding
j-def by auto
        from gS[OF i, folded w] have *: degree w > 0 monic w w ≠ 0 by auto
        from w have w dvd ?v j using j by simp
        hence gcdj: ?gcdn w j = w by (metis gcd.commute gcd-left-idem j(1) w)
        {
          fix j'
          assume j': j' < CARD('a)
          have ?gcdn w j' ∈ {1,w}
```

```
          proof (rule ccontr)
            assume not: ?gcdn w j' ∉ {1,w}
            with gcdj have neq: int j' ≠ int j by auto

            let ?h = ?gcdn w j'
            from *(3) not have deg: degree ?h > 0
              using monic-degree-0 poly-gcd-monic by auto
            have hw: ?h dvd w by auto
            have ?h dvd ?gcdn u j' using wu using dvd-trans by auto
            also have ?gcdn u j' = ?g j' by simp
            finally have hj': ?h dvd ?g j' by auto
            from divides-degree[OF this] deg u0 have degj': degree (?g j') > 0 by
auto
            hence j'1: ?g j' ≠ 1 by auto
           with j' have mem': ?g j' ∈ set (udivs u) unfolding udivs-def by auto
            from degj' j' have j'S: int j' ∈ ?S by auto
            from i j have jS: int j ∈ ?S by auto
            from inj-on-contraD[OF inj neq j'S jS]
            have neq: w ≠ ?g j' using w j by auto
            have cop: ¬ coprime w (?g j') using hj' hw deg
              by (metis coprime-not-unit-not-dvd poly-dvd-1 Nat.neq0-conv)
            obtain w' where w': ?g j' = w' by auto
            from u-udivs sf-u have square-free (∏ (set (udivs u))) by simp
            from square-free-prodD[OF this finite-set mem mem'] cop neq
            show False by simp
          qed
        }
        from gS[OF i, folded w] i this
        have degree w > 0 monic w ⋀ j. j < CARD('a) ⟹ ?gcdn w j ∈ {1,w}
by auto
      } note udivs = this
      let ?is = filter (λ i. ?g i ≠ 1) (map int [0 ..< CARD('a)])
      have id: udivs u = map ?g ?is
        unfolding udivs-def filter-map o-def ..
      have dist: distinct (udivs u) unfolding id distinct-map
      proof (rule conjI[OF distinct-filter], unfold distinct-map)
        have ?S = set ?is unfolding int-set[symmetric] by auto
        thus inj-on ?g (set ?is) using inj by auto
      qed (auto simp: inj-on-def)
      from u-udivs prod.distinct-set-conv-list[OF dist, of id]
      have prod-list (udivs u) = u by auto
      note udivs this dist
    } note udivs = this
    have facts: facts = concat (map udivs divs)
      unfolding facts-def by auto
     obtain lin nonlin where part: List.partition (λ q. degree q = d) facts =
(lin,nonlin)
      by force
    from Cons(6) have f = prod-list us1 * prod-list divs by auto
```

also have *prod-list divs = prod-list facts* **unfolding** *facts* **using** *udivs(4)*
by (*induct divs*, *auto*)
finally have *f*: *f = prod-list us1 * prod-list facts* **.**
note *facts' = facts*
{
fix *u*
assume *u*: *u ∈ set facts*
from *u*[*unfolded facts*] **obtain** *u'* **where** *u'*: *u' ∈ set divs* **and** *u*: *u ∈ set* (*udivs u'*) **by** *auto*
from *u' udivs(1−2)*[*OF u' u*] *prod-list-dvd*[*OF u*, *unfolded udivs(4)*[*OF u'*]]
have *degree u > 0 monic u* ∃ *u' ∈ set divs. u dvd u'* **by** *auto*
} note *facts = this*
have *not1*: (*v = 1*) = *False* **using** *False* **by** *auto*
have *us = us1 @* (*if length divs = n2 then divs*
else let (*lin*, *nonlin*) = *List.partition* (λ*q. degree q = d*) *facts*
in lin @ berlekamp-factorization-main d nonlin vs2 (*n2 − length lin*))
unfolding *Cons(4) facts-def udivs-def' berlekamp-factorization-main.simps Let-def not1 if-False*
by (*rule arg-cong*[**where** *f* = λ *x. us1 @ x*], *rule if-cong*, *simp-all*)
hence *res*: *us = us1 @* (*if length divs = n2 then divs else*
lin @ berlekamp-factorization-main d nonlin vs2 (*n2 − length lin*))
unfolding *part* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases length divs = n2*)
case *False*
with *res* **have** *us*: *us* = (*us1 @ lin*) *@ berlekamp-factorization-main d nonlin vs2* (*n2 − length lin*)
by *auto*
from *Cons(2)* **have** *vs*: *vs* = (*vs1 @* [*v*]) *@ vs2* **by** *auto*
have *f*: *f = prod-list* ((*us1 @ lin*) *@ nonlin*)
unfolding *f* **using** *prod-list-partition*[*OF part*] **by** *simp*
{
fix *u*
assume *u ∈ set* ((*us1 @ lin*) *@ nonlin*)
with *part* **have** *u ∈ set facts ∪ set us1* **by** *auto*
with *facts Cons(7)* **have** *degree u > 0* **by** (*auto simp*: *irreducible-degree-field*)
} note *deg = this*
from *berlekamp-basis-length-factorization*[*OF sf-f n-bb mon-f f deg*, *unfolded Cons(3)*]
have *n2 ≥ length lin* **by** *auto*
hence *n*: *n = length* (*us1 @ lin*) + (*n2 − length lin*)
unfolding *Cons(3)* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule Cons(1)*[*OF vs n us - f*])
fix *u*
assume *u ∈ set nonlin*
with *part* **have** *u ∈ set facts* **by** *auto*
from *facts*[*OF this*] **show** *monic u ∧ degree u > 0* **by** *auto*
next

```
        fix u
        assume u: u ∈ set (us1 @ lin)
        {
          assume ∗: ¬ (monic u ∧ irreducible_d u)
          with Cons(7) u have u ∈ set lin by auto
          with part have uf: u ∈ set facts and deg: degree u = d by auto
          from facts[OF uf] obtain u' where u' ∈ set divs and uu': u dvd u' by
auto
           from this(1) have u' dvd f unfolding Cons(6) using prod-list-dvd[of
u'] by auto
          with uu' have u dvd f by (rule dvd-trans)
          from facts[OF uf] d[OF this deg] ∗ have False by auto
        }
        thus monic u ∧ irreducible u by auto
      next
        fix w u i
        assume w: w ∈ set (vs1 @ [v])
          and u: u ∈ set (us1 @ lin) ∪ set nonlin
          and i: i < CARD('a)
        from u part have u: u ∈ set us1 ∪ set facts by auto
        show gcd u (w − [:of-nat i:]) ∈ {1, u}
        proof (cases u ∈ set us1)
          case True
          from Cons(7)[OF this] have monic u irreducible u by auto
          thus ?thesis by (rule monic-irreducible-gcd)
        next
          case False
          with u have u: u ∈ set facts by auto
          show ?thesis
          proof (cases w = v)
            case True
            from u[unfolded facts'] obtain u' where u: u ∈ set (udivs u')
              and u': u' ∈ set divs by auto
            from udivs(3)[OF u' u i] show ?thesis unfolding True .
          next
            case False
            with w have w: w ∈ set vs1 by auto
            from u obtain u' where u': u' ∈ set divs and dvd: u dvd u'
              using facts(3)[of u] dvd-refl[of u] by blast
            from w have w ∈ set vs1 ∨ w = v by auto
            from facts(1−2)[OF u] have u: monic u by auto
            from Cons(8)[OF w - i] u'
            have gcd u' (w − [:of-nat i:]) ∈ {1, u'} by auto
            with dvd u show ?thesis by (rule monic-gcd-dvd)
          qed
        qed
      qed
    next
      case True
```

```
      with res have us: us = us1 @ divs by auto
      from Cons(3) True have n: n = length us unfolding us by auto
      show ?thesis unfolding us[symmetric]
      proof (intro conjI ballI)
        show f: f = prod-list us unfolding us using Cons(6) by simp
        {
          fix u
          assume u ∈ set us
          hence degree u > 0 using Cons(5) Cons(7)[unfolded irreducible_d-def]
            unfolding us by (auto simp: irreducible-degree-field)
        } note deg = this
        fix u
        assume u: u ∈ set us
        thus monic u unfolding us using Cons(5) Cons(7) by auto
        show irreducible u
          by (rule berlekamp-basis-irreducible[OF sf-f n-bb mon-f f n[symmetric]
deg u])
      qed
    qed
  next
    case True
    with Cons(4) have us: us = us1 @ berlekamp-factorization-main d divs vs2
n2 by simp
    from Cons(2) True have vs: vs = (vs1 @ [1]) @ vs2 by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule Cons(1)[OF vs Cons(3) us Cons(5−7)], goal-cases)
      case (3 v u i)
      show ?case
      proof (cases v = 1)
        case False
        with 3 Cons(8)[of v u i] show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case True
        hence deg: degree (v − [: of-nat i :]) = 0
          by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) degree-pCons-0 diff-pCons diff-zero
pCons-one)
        from 3(2) Cons(5,7)[of u] have monic u by auto
        from gcd-monic-constant[OF this deg] show ?thesis .
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case Nil
  with vsf have vs1: vs1 = berlekamp-basis f by auto
  from Nil(3) have us: us = us1 @ divs by auto
  from Nil(4,6) have md: ⋀ u. u ∈ set us ⟹ monic u ∧ degree u > 0
    unfolding us by (auto simp: irreducible-degree-field)
  from Nil(7)[unfolded vs1] us
  have no-further-splitting-possible:
```

```
    ⋀ u v i. v ∈ set (berlekamp-basis f) ⟹ u ∈ set us
    ⟹ i < CARD('a) ⟹ gcd u (v − [:of-nat i:]) ∈ {1, u} by auto
  from Nil(5) us have prod: f = prod-list us by simp
  show ?case
  proof (intro conjI ballI)
    fix u
    assume u: u ∈ set us
    from md[OF this] have mon-u: monic u and deg-u: degree u > 0 by auto
    from prod u have uf: u dvd f by (simp add: prod-list-dvd)
      from monic-square-free-irreducible-factorization[OF mon-f sf-f] obtain P
where
      P: finite P f = ∏ P P ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q} by auto
    show irreducible u
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume irr-u: ¬ irreducible u
      from not-irreducible-factor-yields-prime-factors[OF uf P deg-u this]
      obtain pi pj where pij: pi ∈ P pj ∈ P pi ≠ pj pi dvd u pj dvd u by blast
      from exists-vector-in-Berlekamp-basis-dvd[OF
        deg-f berlekamp-basis-basis[OF deg-f, folded vs1] finite-set
        P pij(1−3) mon-f sf-f irr-u uf mon-u pij(4−5), unfolded vs1]
      obtain v s where v: v ∈ set (berlekamp-basis f)
        and gcd: gcd u (v − [:s:]) ∉ {1,u} using is-unit-gcd by auto
      from surj-of-nat-mod-ring[of s] obtain i where i: i < CARD('a) and s: s
= of-nat i by auto
      from no-further-splitting-possible[OF v u i] gcd[unfolded s]
      show False by auto
    qed
  qed (insert prod md, auto)
 qed
qed

lemma berlekamp-monic-factorization:
 fixes f::'a mod-ring poly
 assumes sf-f: square-free f
   and us: berlekamp-monic-factorization d f = us
   and d: ⋀ g. g dvd f ⟹ degree g = d ⟹ irreducible g
   and deg: degree f > 0
   and mon: monic f
 shows f = prod-list us ∧ (∀ u ∈ set us. monic u ∧ irreducible u)
proof −
 from us[unfolded berlekamp-monic-factorization-def Let-def] deg
 have us: us = [] @ berlekamp-factorization-main d [f] (berlekamp-basis f) (length
(berlekamp-basis f))
   by (auto)
 have id: berlekamp-basis f = [] @ berlekamp-basis f
   length (berlekamp-basis f) = length [] + length (berlekamp-basis f)
   f = prod-list ([] @ [f])
   by auto
 show f = prod-list us ∧ (∀ u ∈ set us. monic u ∧ irreducible u)
```

by (*rule berlekamp-factorization-main*[*OF sf-f id*(*1*) *refl refl id*(*2*) *us - - - id*(*3*)],
insert mon deg d, *auto*)
qed
end

end

7 Distinct Degree Factorization

theory *Distinct-Degree-Factorization*
imports
Finite-Field
Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
Berlekamp-Type-Based
begin

definition *factors-of-same-degree* :: *nat* ⇒ *'a* :: *field poly* ⇒ *bool* **where**
factors-of-same-degree i f = (*i* ≠ *0* ∧ *degree f* ≠ *0* ∧ *monic f* ∧ (∀ *g*. *irreducible g* ⟶ *g dvd f* ⟶ *degree g* = *i*))

lemma *factors-of-same-degreeD*: **assumes** *factors-of-same-degree i f*
shows *i* ≠ *0 degree f* ≠ *0 monic f g dvd f* ⟹ *irreducible g* = (*degree g* = *i*)
proof −
note ∗ = *assms*[*unfolded factors-of-same-degree-def*]
show *i*: *i* ≠ *0* **and** *f*: *degree f* ≠ *0 monic f* **using** ∗ **by** *auto*
assume *gf*: *g dvd f*
with ∗ **have** *irreducible g* ⟹ *degree g* = *i* **by** *auto*
moreover
{
assume ∗∗: *degree g* = *i* ¬ *irreducible g*
with *irreducible*$_d$*-factor*[*of g*] *i* **obtain** *h1 h2* **where** *irr*: *irreducible h1* **and** *gh*: *g* = *h1* ∗ *h2*
and *deg-h2*: *degree h2* < *degree g* **by** *auto*
from ∗∗ *i* **have** *g0*: *g* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *gf gh g0* **have** *h1 dvd f* **using** *dvd-mult-left* **by** *blast*
from ∗ *f this irr* **have** *deg-h*: *degree h1* = *i* **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF gh*, *of degree*] *g0* **have** *degree g* = *degree h1* + *degree h2*
by (*simp add*: *degree-mult-eq gh*)
with ∗∗(*1*) *deg-h* **have** *degree h2* = *0* **by** *auto*
from *degree0-coeffs*[*OF this*] **obtain** *c* **where** *h2*: *h2* = [:*c*:] **by** *auto*
with *gh g0* **have** *g*: *g* = *smult c h1 c* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
with *irr* ∗∗(*2*) *irreducible-smult-field*[*of c h1*] **have** *False* **by** *auto*
}
ultimately show *irreducible g* = (*degree g* = *i*) **by** *auto*
qed

hide-const *order*

hide-const *up-ring.monom*

theorem (**in** *field*) *finite-field-mult-group-has-gen2*:
assumes *finite*:*finite* (*carrier R*)
shows ∃ *a* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*). *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *a* = *order* (*mult-of R*)
∧ *carrier* (*mult-of R*) = {*a*[^]*i* | *i*::*nat* . *i* ∈ *UNIV*}
proof −
note *mult-of-simps*[*simp*]
have *finite'*: *finite* (*carrier* (*mult-of R*)) **using** *finite* **by** (*rule finite-mult-of*)

interpret *G*: *group mult-of R* **rewrites**
([^]$_{\textit{mult-of R}}$) = (([^]) :: - ⇒ *nat* ⇒ -) **and** **1**$_{\textit{mult-of R}}$ = **1**
by (*rule field-mult-group*) (*simp-all add*: *fun-eq-iff nat-pow-def*)

let *?N* = λ *x* . *card* {*a* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*). *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *a* = *x*}
have *0* < *order R* − *1* **unfolding** *Coset.order-def* **using** *card-mono*[*OF finite*, *of* {**0**, **1**}] **by** *simp*
then have ∗: *0* < *order* (*mult-of R*) **using** *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *order-mult-of*)
have *fin*: *finite* {*d*. *d dvd order* (*mult-of R*) } **using** *dvd-nat-bounds*[*OF* ∗] **by** *force*

have (∑ *d* | *d dvd order* (*mult-of R*). *?N d*)
= *card* (*UN d*:{*d* . *d dvd order* (*mult-of R*) }. {*a* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*). *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *a* = *d*})
(**is** - = *card ?U*)
using *fin finite* **by** (*subst card-UN-disjoint*) *auto*
also have *?U* = *carrier* (*mult-of R*)
proof
{ fix *x* **assume** *x*:*x* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*)
hence *x'*:*x*∈*carrier* (*mult-of R*) **by** *simp*
then have *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *x dvd order* (*mult-of R*)
using *finite' G.ord-dvd-group-order*[*OF x'*] **by** (*simp add*: *order-mult-of*)
hence *x* ∈ *?U* **using** *dvd-nat-bounds*[*of order* (*mult-of R*) *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *x*] *x* **by** *blast*
} thus *carrier* (*mult-of R*) ⊆ *?U* **by** *blast*
qed *auto*
also have *card* ... = *Coset.order* (*mult-of R*)
using *order-mult-of finite'* **by** (*simp add*: *Coset.order-def*)
finally have *sum-Ns-eq*: (∑ *d* | *d dvd order* (*mult-of R*). *?N d*) = *order* (*mult-of R*) **.**

{ fix *d* **assume** *d*:*d dvd order* (*mult-of R*)
have *card* {*a* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*). *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *a* = *d*} ≤ *phi' d*
proof *cases*
assume *card* {*a* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*). *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *a* = *d*} = *0* **thus** *?thesis* **by** *presburger*
next
assume *card* {*a* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*). *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *a* = *d*} ≠ *0*

hence $\exists\, a \in$ *carrier* (*mult-of R*). *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) $a = d$ **by** (*auto simp*: *card-eq-0-iff*)
thus *?thesis* **using** *num-elems-of-ord-eq-phi'*[*OF finite d*] **by** *auto*
qed
}
hence *all-le*:$\bigwedge i.\ i \in \{d.\ d$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*) $\} \Longrightarrow (\lambda i.$ *card* $\{a \in$ *carrier* (*mult-of R*). *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) $a = i\})\ i \leq (\lambda i.$ *phi'* $i)\ i$ **by** *fast*
hence *le*:$(\sum i \mid i$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*). *?N i*)
$\leq (\sum i \mid i$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*). *phi'* $i)$
using *sum-mono*[*of* $\{d\ .\ d$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*)$\}$
$\lambda i.$ *card* $\{a \in$ *carrier* (*mult-of R*). *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) $a = i\}$] **by** *presburger*
have *order* (*mult-of R*) $= (\sum d \mid d$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*). *phi'* $d)$ **using** $*$
by (*simp add*: *sum-phi'-factors*)
hence *eq*:$(\sum i \mid i$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*). *?N i*)
$= (\sum i \mid i$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*). *phi'* $i)$ **using** *le sum-Ns-eq* **by** *presburger*
have $\bigwedge i.\ i \in \{d.\ d$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*) $\} \Longrightarrow$ *?N i* $= (\lambda i.$ *phi'* $i)\ i$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
fix *i*
assume *i1*:$i \in \{d.\ d$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*)$\}$ **and** *?N i* $\neq$ *phi'* i
hence *?N i* $= 0$
using *num-elems-of-ord-eq-phi'*[*OF finite, of i*] **by** (*auto simp*: *card-eq-0-iff*)
moreover have $0 < i$ **using** $*$ *i1* **by** (*simp add*: *dvd-nat-bounds*[*of order* (*mult-of R*) *i*])
ultimately have *?N i* $<$ *phi'* i **using** *phi'-nonzero* **by** *presburger*
hence $(\sum i \mid i$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*). *?N i*)
$<$ $(\sum i \mid i$ *dvd order* (*mult-of R*). *phi'* $i)$
using *sum-strict-mono-ex1*[*OF fin, of ?N* λ *i . phi' i*]
i1 all-le **by** *auto*
thus *False* **using** *eq* **by** *force*
qed
hence *?N* (*order* (*mult-of R*)) > 0 **using** $*$ **by** (*simp add*: *phi'-nonzero*)
then obtain *a* **where** *a*:$a \in$ *carrier* (*mult-of R*) **and** *a-ord*:*group.ord* (*mult-of R*) $a =$ *order* (*mult-of R*)
by (*auto simp add*: *card-gt-0-iff*)
hence *set-eq*:$\{a[\hat{}]i \mid i$::*nat*. $i \in$ *UNIV*$\} = (\lambda x.\ a[\hat{}]x)$ ` $\{0$ .. *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) $a - 1\}$
using *G.ord-elems*[*OF finite'*] **by** *auto*
have *card-eq*:*card* $((\lambda x.\ a[\hat{}]x)$ ` $\{0$ .. *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) $a - 1\}) =$ *card* $\{0$ .. *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) $a - 1\}$
by (*intro card-image G.ord-inj finite'* *a*)
hence *card* $((\lambda\ x\ .\ a[\hat{}]x)$ ` $\{0$ .. *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) $a - 1\}) =$ *card* $\{0$ ..*order* (*mult-of R*) $- 1\}$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add*: *card-eq a-ord*)
hence *card-R-minus-1*:*card* $\{a[\hat{}]i \mid i$::*nat*. $i \in$ *UNIV*$\} =$ *order* (*mult-of R*)
using $*$ **by** (*subst set-eq*) *auto*
have $**$:$\{a[\hat{}]i \mid i$::*nat*. $i \in$ *UNIV*$\} \subseteq$ *carrier* (*mult-of R*)
using *G.nat-pow-closed*[*OF a*] **by** *auto*

with - **have** *carrier* (*mult-of R*) = {*a*[^]*i*|*i*::*nat*. *i* ∈ *UNIV*}
by (*rule card-seteq*[*symmetric*]) (*simp-all add*: *card-R-minus-1 finite Coset.order-def del*: *UNIV-I*)
thus *?thesis* **using** *a a-ord* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *add-power-prime-poly-mod-ring*[*simp*]:
fixes *x* :: *'a*::{*prime-card*} *mod-ring poly*
shows (*x* + *y*) ^ *CARD*(*'a*)^*n* = *x* ^ (*CARD*(*'a*)^*n*) + *y* ^ *CARD*(*'a*)^*n*
proof (*induct n arbitrary*: *x y*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
define *p* **where** *p*: *p* = *CARD*(*'a*)
have (*x* + *y*) ^ *p* ^ *Suc n* = (*x* + *y*) ^ (*p* ∗ *p*^*n*) **by** *simp*
also have ... = ((*x* + *y*) ^ *p*) ^ (*p*^*n*)
by (*simp add*: *power-mult*)
also have ... = (*x*^*p* + *y*^*p*)^(*p*^*n*)
by (*simp add*: *add-power-poly-mod-ring p*)
also have ... = (*x*^*p*)^(*p*^*n*) + (*y*^*p*)^(*p*^*n*) **using** *Suc.hyps* **unfolding** *p* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *x*^(*p*^(*n*+*1*)) + *y*^(*p*^(*n*+*1*)) **by** (*simp add*: *power-mult*)
finally show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *p*)
qed

lemma *fermat-theorem-mod-ring2*[*simp*]:
fixes *a*::*'a*::{*prime-card*} *mod-ring*
shows *a* ^ (*CARD*(*'a*)^*n*) = *a*
proof (*induct n arbitrary*: *a*)
case (*Suc n*)
define *p* **where** *p* = *CARD*(*'a*)
have *a* ^ *p* ^ *Suc n* = *a* ^ (*p* ∗ (*p* ^ *n*)) **by** *simp*
also have ... = (*a* ^ *p*) ^(*p* ^ *n*) **by** (*simp add*: *power-mult*)
also have ... = *a*^(*p* ^ *n*) **using** *fermat-theorem-mod-ring*[*of a*^*p*] **unfolding** *p-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *a* **using** *Suc.hyps p-def* **by** *auto*
finally show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *p-def*)
qed *auto*

lemma *fermat-theorem-power-poly*[*simp*]:
fixes *a*::*'a*::*prime-card mod-ring*
shows [:*a*:] ^ *CARD*(*'a*::*prime-card*) ^ *n* = [:*a*:]
by (*auto simp add*: *Missing-Polynomial.poly-const-pow mod-poly-less*)

lemma *degree-prod-monom*: *degree* $(\prod i = 0..<n.\ monom\ 1\ 1) = n$
by (*metis degree-monom-eq prod-pow x-pow-n zero-neq-one*)

lemma *degree-monom0*[*simp*]: *degree* (*monom a 0*) = *0* **using** *degree-monom-le*
by *auto*
lemma *degree-monom0'*[*simp*]: *degree* (*monom 0 b*) = *0* **by** *auto*

lemma *sum-monom-mod*:
assumes *b* < *degree f*
shows $(\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)\ mod\ f = (\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$
using *assms*
proof (*induct b*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** (*auto simp add*: *mod-poly-less*)
next
case (*Suc b*)
have *hyp*: $(\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)\ mod\ f = (\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$
using *Suc.prems Suc.hyps* **by** *simp*
have *rw-monom*: *monom* (*g* (*Suc b*)) (*Suc b*) *mod f* = *monom* (*g* (*Suc b*)) (*Suc b*)
by (*metis Suc.prems degree-monom-eq mod-0 mod-poly-less monom-hom.hom-0-iff*)
have *rw*: $(\sum i{\le}Suc\ b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i) = (monom\ (g\ (Suc\ b))\ (Suc\ b) + (\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i))$
by *auto*
have $(\sum i{\le}Suc\ b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)\ mod\ f$
= (*monom* (*g* (*Suc b*)) (*Suc b*) + $(\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i))\ mod\ f$ **using** *rw* **by** *presburger*
also have ... =((*monom* (*g* (*Suc b*)) (*Suc b*)) *mod f*) + $((\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)\ mod\ f)$
using *poly-mod-add-left* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *monom* (*g* (*Suc b*)) (*Suc b*) + $(\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$
using *hyp rw-monom* **by** *presburger*
also have ... = $(\sum i{\le}Suc\ b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$ **using** *rw* **by** *auto*
finally show *?case* **.**
qed

lemma *x-power-aq-minus-1-rw*:
fixes *x*::*nat*
assumes *x*: *x* > *1*
and *a*: *a* > *0*
and *b*: *b* > *0*
shows *x* ^ (*a* * *q*) − *1* = ((*x*^*a*) − *1*) * *sum* ((^) (*x*^*a*)) {..<*q*}
proof −
have *xa*: (*x* ^ *a*) > *0* **using** *x* **by** *auto*
have *int-rw1*: *int* (*x* ^ *a*) − *1* = *int* ((*x* ^ *a*) − *1*)
using *xa* **by** *linarith*
have *int-rw2*: *sum* ((^) (*int* (*x* ^ *a*))) {..<*q*} = *int* (*sum* ((^) ((*x* ^ *a*))) {..<*q*})
unfolding *int-sum* **by** *simp*
have *int* (*x* ^ *a*) ^ *q* = *int* (*Suc* ((*x* ^ *a*) ^ *q* − *1*)) **using** *xa* **by** *auto*

hence *int* ((*x* ^ *a*) ^ *q* − *1*) = *int* (*x* ^ *a*) ^ *q* − *1* **using** *xa* **by** *presburger*
also have ... = (*int* (*x* ^ *a*) − *1*) ∗ *sum* ((^) (*int* (*x* ^ *a*))) {..<*q*}
by (*rule power-diff-1-eq*)
also have ... = (*int* ((*x* ^ *a*) − *1*)) ∗ *int* (*sum* ((^) ((*x* ^ *a*))) {..<*q*})
unfolding *int-rw1 int-rw2* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *int* (((*x* ^ *a*) − *1*) ∗ (*sum* ((^) ((*x* ^ *a*))) {..<*q*})) **by** *auto*
finally have *aux*: *int* ((*x* ^ *a*) ^ *q* − *1*) = *int* (((*x* ^ *a*) − *1*) ∗ *sum* ((^) (*x* ^ *a*)) {..<*q*}) **.**
have *x* ^ (*a* ∗ *q*) − *1* = (*x*^*a*)^*q* − *1*
by (*simp add*: *power-mult*)
also have ... = ((*x*^*a*) − *1*) ∗ *sum* ((^) (*x*^*a*)) {..<*q*}
using *aux* **unfolding** *int-int-eq* **.**
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *dvd-power-minus-1-conv1*:
fixes *x*::*nat*
assumes *x*: *x* > *1*
and *a*: *a* > *0*
and *xa-dvd*: *x* ^ *a* − *1 dvd x*^*b* − *1*
and *b0*: *b* > *0*
shows *a dvd b*
proof −
define *r* **where** *r*[*simp*]: *r* = *b mod a*
define *q* **where** *q*[*simp*]: *q* = *b div a*
have *b*: *b* = *a* ∗ *q* + *r* **by** *auto*
have *ra*: *r* < *a* **by** (*simp add*: *a*)
hence *xr-less-xa*: *x* ^ *r* − *1* < *x* ^ *a* − *1*
using *x power-strict-increasing-iff diff-less-mono x* **by** *simp*
have *dvd*: *x* ^ *a* − *1 dvd x* ^ (*a* ∗ *q*) − *1*
using *x-power-aq-minus-1-rw*[*OF x a b0*] **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
have *x*^*b* − *1* = *x*^*b* − *x*^*r* + *x*^*r* − *1*
using *assms*(*1*) *assms*(*4*) **by** *auto*
also have ... = *x*^*r* ∗ (*x*^(*a*∗*q*) − *1*) + *x*^*r* − *1*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *b diff-mult-distrib2 mult.commute nat-mult-1-right power-add*)
finally have *x*^*b* − *1* = *x*^*r* ∗ (*x*^(*a*∗*q*) − *1*) + *x*^*r* − *1* **.**
hence *x* ^ *a* − *1 dvd x* ^ *r* ∗ (*x* ^ (*a* ∗ *q*) − *1*) + *x* ^ *r* − *1* **using** *xa-dvd* **by** *presburger*
hence *x*^*a* − *1 dvd x*^*r* − *1*
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *diff-add-inverse diff-commute dvd dvd-diff-nat dvd-trans dvd-triv-right*)
hence *r* = *0*
using *xr-less-xa*
by (*meson nat-dvd-not-less neq0-conv one-less-power x zero-less-diff*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dvd-power-minus-1-conv2*:
fixes *x*::*nat*
assumes *x*: *x* > *1*
and *a*: *a* > *0*
and *a-dvd-b*: *a dvd b*
and *b0*: *b* > *0*
shows *x* ^ *a* − *1 dvd x*^*b* − *1*
proof −
define *q* **where** *q*[*simp*]: *q* = *b div a*
have *b*: *b* = *a* ∗ *q* **using** *a-dvd-b* **by** *auto*
have *x*^*b* − *1* = ((*x* ^ *a*) − *1*) ∗ *sum* ((^) (*x* ^ *a*)) {..<*q*}
unfolding *b* **by** (*rule x-power-aq-minus-1-rw*[*OF x a b0*])
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
qed

corollary *dvd-power-minus-1-conv*:
fixes *x*::*nat*
assumes *x*: *x* > *1*
and *a*: *a* > *0*
and *b0*: *b* > *0*
shows *a dvd b* = (*x* ^ *a* − *1 dvd x*^*b* − *1*)
using *assms dvd-power-minus-1-conv1 dvd-power-minus-1-conv2* **by** *blast*

locale *poly-mod-type-irr* = *poly-mod-type m TYPE*('*a*::*prime-card*) **for** *m* +
fixes *f*::'*a*::{*prime-card*} *mod-ring poly*
assumes *irr-f*: *irreducible*$_d$ *f*
begin

definition *plus-irr* :: '*a mod-ring poly* ⇒'*a mod-ring poly* ⇒ '*a mod-ring poly*
where *plus-irr a b* = (*a* + *b*) *mod f*

definition *minus-irr* :: '*a mod-ring poly* ⇒'*a mod-ring poly* ⇒ '*a mod-ring poly*
where *minus-irr x y* ≡ (*x* − *y*) *mod f*

definition *uminus-irr* :: '*a mod-ring poly* ⇒'*a mod-ring poly*
where *uminus-irr x* = −*x*

definition *mult-irr* :: '*a mod-ring poly* ⇒'*a mod-ring poly* ⇒ '*a mod-ring poly*
where *mult-irr x y* = ((*x*∗*y*) *mod f*)

definition *carrier-irr* :: '*a mod-ring poly set*
where *carrier-irr* = {*x*. *degree x* < *degree f*}

definition *power-irr* :: '*a mod-ring poly* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ '*a mod-ring poly*

where *power-irr p n* = ((*p* ^ *n*) *mod f*)

definition *R* = (|*carrier* = *carrier-irr*, *monoid.mult* = *mult-irr*, *one* = *1*, *zero* = *0*, *add* = *plus-irr*|)

lemma *degree-f*[*simp*]: *degree f* > *0*
using *irr-f irreducible*$_d$*D*(*1*) **by** *blast*

lemma *element-in-carrier*: (*a* ∈ *carrier R*) = (*degree a* < *degree f*)
unfolding *R-def carrier-irr-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *f-dvd-ab*:
a = *0* ∨ *b* = *0* **if** *f dvd a* ∗ *b*
and *a*: *degree a* < *degree f*
and *b*: *degree b* < *degree f*
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume ¬ (*a* = *0* ∨ *b* = *0*)
then have *a* ≠ *0* **and** *b* ≠ *0*
by *simp-all*
with *a b* **have** ¬ *f dvd a* **and** ¬ *f dvd b*
by (*auto simp add*: *mod-poly-less dvd-eq-mod-eq-0*)
moreover from ‹*f dvd a* ∗ *b*› *irr-f* **have** *f dvd a* ∨ *f dvd b*
by *auto*
ultimately show *False*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *ab-mod-f0*:
a = *0* ∨ *b* = *0* **if** *a* ∗ *b mod f* = *0*
and *a*: *degree a* < *degree f*
and *b*: *degree b* < *degree f*
using *that f-dvd-ab* **by** *auto*

lemma *irreducible*$_d$*D2*:
fixes *p q* :: *'b*::{*comm-semiring-1*,*semiring-no-zero-divisors*} *poly*
assumes *irreducible*$_d$ *p*
and *degree q* < *degree p* **and** *degree q* ≠ *0*
shows ¬ *q dvd p*
using *assms irreducible*$_d$*-dvd-smult* **by** *force*

lemma *times-mod-f-1-imp-0*:
assumes *x*: *degree x* < *degree f*
and *x2*: ∀ *xa*. *x* ∗ *xa mod f* = *1* ⟶ ¬ *degree xa* < *degree f*
shows *x* = *0*
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume *x3*: *x* ≠ *0*
let *?u* = *fst* (*bezout-coefficients f x*)
let *?v* = *snd* (*bezout-coefficients f x*)

```
  have ?u * f + ?v * x = gcd f x using bezout-coefficients-fst-snd by auto
  also have ... = 1
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume g: gcd f x ≠ 1
    have degree (gcd f x) < degree f
      by (metis degree-0 dvd-eq-mod-eq-0 gcd-dvd1 gcd-dvd2 irr-f
          irreducible_dD(1) mod-poly-less nat-neq-iff x x3)
    have ¬ gcd f x dvd f
    proof (rule irreducible_dD2[OF irr-f])
      show degree (gcd f x) < degree f
        by (metis degree-0 dvd-eq-mod-eq-0 gcd-dvd1 gcd-dvd2 irr-f
            irreducible_dD(1) mod-poly-less nat-neq-iff x x3)
      show degree (gcd f x) ≠ 0
       by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) g degree-mod-less' gcd.bottom-left-bottom
gcd-eq-0-iff
          gcd-left-idem gcd-mod-left gr-implies-not0 x)
    qed
    moreover have gcd f x dvd f by auto
    ultimately show False by contradiction
  qed
  finally have ?v*x mod f = 1
    by (metis degree-1 degree-f mod-mult-self3 mod-poly-less)
  hence (x*(?v mod f)) mod f = 1
    by (simp add: mod-mult-right-eq mult.commute)
  moreover have degree (?v mod f) < degree f
    by (metis degree-0 degree-f degree-mod-less' not-gr-zero)
  ultimately show False using x2 by auto
qed

sublocale field-R: field R
proof −
  have *: ∃ y. degree y < degree f ∧ f dvd x + y if degree x < degree f
    for x :: 'a mod-ring poly
  proof −
    from that have degree (− x) < degree f
      by simp
    moreover have f dvd (x + − x)
      by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by blast
  qed
  have **: degree (x * y mod f) < degree f
    if degree x < degree f and degree y < degree f
    for x y :: 'a mod-ring poly
    using that by (cases x = 0 ∨ y = 0)
      (auto intro: degree-mod-less' dest: f-dvd-ab)
  show field R
     by standard (auto simp add: R-def carrier-irr-def plus-irr-def mult-irr-def
Units-def algebra-simps degree-add-less mod-poly-less mod-add-eq mult-poly-add-left
```

*mod-mult-left-eq mod-mult-right-eq mod-eq-0-iff-dvd ab-mod-f0 * ** dest*: *times-mod-f-1-imp-0*)
qed

lemma *zero-in-carrier*[*simp*]: *0* ∈ *carrier-irr* **unfolding** *carrier-irr-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *card-carrier-irr*[*simp*]: *card carrier-irr* = *CARD*('*a*) ^ (*degree f*)
proof −
  let *?A* = (*carrier-vec* (*degree f*):: '*a mod-ring vec set*)
  have *bij-A-carrier*: *bij-betw* (*Poly* ∘ *list-of-vec*) *?A carrier-irr*
  proof (*unfold bij-betw-def*, *rule conjI*)
    show *inj-on* (*Poly* ∘ *list-of-vec*) *?A* **by** (*rule inj-Poly-list-of-vec*)
    show (*Poly* ∘ *list-of-vec*) ‘ *?A* = *carrier-irr*
    proof (*unfold image-def o-def carrier-irr-def*, *auto*)
      fix *xa* **assume** *xa* ∈ *?A* **thus** *degree* (*Poly* (*list-of-vec xa*)) < *degree f*
        using *degree-Poly-list-of-vec irr-f* **by** *blast*
    next
      fix *x*::'*a mod-ring poly*
      assume *deg-x*: *degree x* < *degree f*
      let *?xa* = *vec-of-list* (*coeffs x* @ *replicate* (*degree f* − *length* (*coeffs x*)) *0*)
      show ∃ *xa*∈*carrier-vec* (*degree f*). *x* = *Poly* (*list-of-vec xa*)
        by (*rule bexI*[*of* - *?xa*], *unfold carrier-vec-def*, *insert deg-x*)
          (*auto simp add*: *degree-eq-length-coeffs*)
    qed
  qed
  have *CARD*('*a*) ^ (*degree f*) = *card ?A*
    by (*simp add*: *card-carrier-vec*)
  also have ... = *card carrier-irr* **using** *bij-A-carrier bij-betw-same-card* **by** *blast*
  finally show *?thesis* **..**
qed

lemma *finite-carrier-irr*[*simp*]: *finite* (*carrier-irr*)
proof −
  have *degree f* > *degree 0* **using** *degree-0* **by** *auto*
  hence *carrier-irr* ≠ {} **using** *degree-0* **unfolding** *carrier-irr-def*
    by *blast*
  moreover have *card carrier-irr* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
  ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *card-eq-0-iff* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *finite-carrier-R*[*simp*]: *finite* (*carrier R*) **unfolding** *R-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *finite-carrier-mult-of*[*simp*]: *finite* (*carrier* (*mult-of R*))
  unfolding *carrier-mult-of* **by** *auto*

lemma *constant-in-carrier*[*simp*]: [:*a*:] ∈ *carrier R*
  unfolding *R-def carrier-irr-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mod-in-carrier*[*simp*]: *a mod f* ∈ *carrier R*
  unfolding *R-def carrier-irr-def*

by (*auto*, *metis degree-0 degree-f degree-mod-less′ less-not-refl*)

lemma *order-irr*: *Coset.order* (*mult-of R*) = *CARD*('*a*)^*degree f* − *1*
by (*simp add*: *card-Diff-singleton Coset.order-def carrier-mult-of R-def*)

lemma *element-power-order-eq-1*:
assumes *x*: *x* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*)
shows *x* [^]$_{(mult\text{-}of\ R)}$ *Coset.order* (*mult-of R*) = **1**$_{(mult\text{-}of\ R)}$
by (*meson field-R.field-mult-group finite-carrier-mult-of group.pow-order-eq-1 x*)

corollary *element-power-order-eq-1′*:
assumes *x*: *x* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*)
shows*x* [^]$_{(mult\text{-}of\ R)}$ *CARD*('*a*)^*degree f* = *x*
proof −
have *x* [^]$_{(mult\text{-}of\ R)}$ *CARD*('*a*)^*degree f*
= *x* ⊗$_{(mult\text{-}of\ R)}$ *x* [^]$_{(mult\text{-}of\ R)}$ (*CARD*('*a*)^*degree f* − *1*)
by (*metis Diff-iff One-nat-def Suc-pred field-R.m-comm field-R.nat-pow-Suc field-R.nat-pow-closed*
mult-of-simps(*1*) *mult-of-simps*(*2*) *nat-pow-mult-of neq0-conv power-eq-0-iff x zero-less-card-finite*)
also have *x* ⊗$_{(mult\text{-}of\ R)}$ *x* [^]$_{(mult\text{-}of\ R)}$ (*CARD*('*a*)^*degree f* − *1*) = *x*
by (*metis carrier-mult-of element-power-order-eq-1 field-R.Units-closed field-R.field-Units*
field-R.r-one monoid.simps(*2*) *mult-mult-of mult-of-def order-irr x*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *pow-irr*[*simp*]: *x* [^]$_{(R)}$ *n*= *x*^*n mod f*
by (*induct n*, *auto simp add*: *mod-poly-less nat-pow-def R-def mult-of-def mult-irr-def*
carrier-irr-def mod-mult-right-eq mult.commute)

lemma *pow-irr-mult-of*[*simp*]: *x* [^]$_{(mult\text{-}of\ R)}$ *n*= *x*^*n mod f*
by (*induct n*, *auto simp add*: *mod-poly-less nat-pow-def R-def mult-of-def mult-irr-def*
carrier-irr-def mod-mult-right-eq mult.commute)

lemma *fermat-theorem-power-poly-R*[*simp*]: [:*a*:] [^]$_R$ *CARD*('*a*) ^ *n* = [:*a*:]
by (*auto simp add*: *Missing-Polynomial.poly-const-pow mod-poly-less*)

lemma *times-mod-expand*:
(*a* ⊗$_{(R)}$ *b*) = ((*a mod f*) ⊗$_{(R)}$ (*b mod f*))
by (*simp add*: *mod-mult-eq R-def mult-irr-def*)

lemma *mult-closed-power*:
assumes *x*: *x* ∈ *carrier R* **and** *y*: *y* ∈ *carrier R*
and *x* [^]$_{(R)}$ *CARD*('*a*) ^ *m′* = *x*

and $y\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m' = y$
shows $(x \otimes_{(R)} y)\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m' = (x \otimes_{(R)} y)$
using *assms assms field-R.nat-pow-distrib* **by** *auto*

lemma *add-closed-power*:
assumes *x1*: $x\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m' = x$
and *y1*: $y\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m' = y$
shows $(x \oplus_{(R)} y)\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m' = (x \oplus_{(R)} y)$
proof −
have $(x + y)\ \widehat{}\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m' = x\widehat{}(CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m') + y\ \widehat{}\ (CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m')$
by *auto*
hence $(x + y)\ \widehat{}\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m'\ mod\ f = (x\widehat{}(CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m') + y\ \widehat{}\ (CARD('a)$
$\widehat{}\ m'))\ mod\ f$ **by** *auto*
hence $(x \oplus_{(R)} y)\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m'$
$= (x\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ CARD('a)\widehat{}m') \oplus_{(R)} (y\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ CARD('a)\widehat{}m')$
by (*auto*, *unfold R-def plus-irr-def*, *auto simp add*: *mod-add-eq power-mod*)
also have $... = x \oplus_{(R)} y$ **unfolding** *x1 y1* **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *x-power-pm-minus-1*:
assumes *x*: $x \in carrier\ (mult\text{-}of\ R)$
and $x\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m' = x$
shows $x\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ (CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m' - 1) = \mathbf{1}_{(R)}$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *One-nat-def Suc-pred assms*(*2*) *carrier-mult-of field-R.Units-closed*

field-R.Units-l-cancel field-R.field-Units field-R.l-one field-R.m-rcancel field-R.nat-pow-Suc

field-R.nat-pow-closed field-R.one-closed field-R.r-null field-R.r-one x zero-less-card-finite

zero-less-power)

context
begin

private lemma *monom-a-1-P*:
assumes *m*: $monom\ 1\ 1 \in carrier\ R$
and *eq*: $monom\ 1\ 1\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ (CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m') = monom\ 1\ 1$
shows $monom\ a\ 1\ [\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ (CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ m') = monom\ a\ 1$
proof −
have $monom\ a\ 1 = [:a:] * (monom\ 1\ 1)$
by (*metis One-nat-def monom-0 monom-Suc mult.commute pCons-0-as-mult*)
also have $... = [:a:] \otimes_{(R)} (monom\ 1\ 1)$
by (*auto simp add*: *R-def mult-irr-def*)
(*metis One-nat-def assms*(*2*) *mod-mod-trivial mod-smult-left pow-irr*)
finally have *eq2*: $monom\ a\ 1 = [:a:] \otimes_R monom\ 1\ 1$ **.**
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *eq2*

by (*rule mult-closed-power*[*OF - m - eq*], *insert fermat-theorem-power-poly-R*, *auto*)
qed

private lemma *prod-monom-1-1*:
defines $P == (\lambda\ x\ n.\ (x[\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ (CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ n) = x))$
assumes *m*: *monom 1 1* ∈ *carrier R*
and *eq*: P (*monom 1 1*) *n*
shows P ((∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*::*nat*. *monom 1 1*) *mod f*) *n*
proof (*induct b*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **unfolding** *P-def*
by (*simp add*: *power-mod*)
next
case (*Suc b*)
let *?N* = (∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*. *monom 1 1*)
have *eq2*: (∏ *i* = *0*..<*Suc b*. *monom 1 1*) *mod f* = *monom 1 1* ⊗$_{(R)}$ (∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*. *monom 1 1*)
by (*metis field-R.m-comm field-R.nat-pow-Suc mod-in-carrier mod-mod-trivial pow-irr prod-pow times-mod-expand*)
also have ... = (*monom 1 1 mod f*) ⊗$_{(R)}$ ((∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*. *monom 1 1*) *mod f*)
by (*rule times-mod-expand*)
finally have *eq2*: (∏ *i* = *0*..<*Suc b*. *monom 1 1*) *mod f*
= (*monom 1 1 mod f*) ⊗$_{(R)}$ ((∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*. *monom 1 1*) *mod f*) **.**
show *?case*
unfolding *eq2 P-def*
proof (*rule mult-closed-power*)
show (*monom 1 1 mod f*) [^]$_R$ *CARD*('*a*) ^ *n* = *monom 1 1 mod f*
using *P-def element-in-carrier eq m mod-poly-less* **by** *force*
show ((∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*. *monom 1 1*) *mod f*) [^]$_R$ *CARD*('*a*) ^ *n* = (∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*. *monom 1 1*) *mod f*
using *P-def Suc.hyps* **by** *blast*
qed (*auto*)
qed

private lemma *monom-1-b*:
defines $P == (\lambda\ x\ n.\ (x[\widehat{}]_{(R)}\ (CARD('a)\ \widehat{}\ n) = x))$
assumes *m*: *monom 1 1* ∈ *carrier R*
and *monom-1-1*: P (*monom 1 1*) *m*′
and *b*: *b* < *degree f*
shows P (*monom 1 b*) *m*′
proof −
have *monom 1 b* = (∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*. *monom 1 1*)
by (*metis prod-pow x-pow-n*)
also have ... = (∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*. *monom 1 1*) *mod f*
by (*rule mod-poly-less*[*symmetric*], *auto*)
(*metis One-nat-def b degree-linear-power x-as-monom*)
finally have *eq2*: *monom 1 b* = (∏ *i* = *0*..<*b*. *monom 1 1*) *mod f* **.**

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *eq2 P-def*
by (*rule prod-monom-1-1*[*OF m monom-1-1*[*unfolded P-def*]])
qed

private lemma *monom-a-b*:
defines $P == (\lambda\ x\ n.\ (x[\widehat{\ }]_{(R)}\ (CARD('a)\ \widehat{\ }\ n) = x))$
assumes *m*: *monom 1 1* ∈ *carrier R*
and *m1*: *P* (*monom 1 1*) *m'*
and *b*: *b* < *degree f*
shows *P* (*monom a b*) *m'*
proof −
have *monom a b* = *smult a* (*monom 1 b*)
by (*simp add*: *smult-monom*)
also have ... = [:*a*:] ∗ (*monom 1 b*) **by** *auto*
also have ... = [:*a*:] $\otimes_{(R)}$ (*monom 1 b*)
unfolding *R-def mult-irr-def*
by (*simp add*: *b degree-monom-eq mod-poly-less*)
finally have *eq*: *monom a b* = [:*a*:] $\otimes_{(R)}$ (*monom 1 b*) **.**
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *eq P-def*
proof (*rule mult-closed-power*)
show [:*a*:] $[\widehat{\ }]_R$ *CARD*('*a*) $\widehat{\ }$ *m'* = [:*a*:] **by** (*rule fermat-theorem-power-poly-R*)
show *monom 1 b* $[\widehat{\ }]_R$ *CARD*('*a*) $\widehat{\ }$ *m'* = *monom 1 b*
unfolding *P-def* **by** (*rule monom-1-b*[*OF m m1*[*unfolded P-def*] *b*])
show *monom 1 b* ∈ *carrier R* **unfolding** *element-in-carrier* **using** *b*
by (*simp add*: *degree-monom-eq*)
qed (*auto*)
qed

private lemma *sum-monoms-P*:
defines $P == (\lambda\ x\ n.\ (x[\widehat{\ }]_{(R)}\ (CARD('a)\ \widehat{\ }\ n) = x))$
assumes *m*: *monom 1 1* ∈ *carrier R*
and *monom-1-1*: *P* (*monom 1 1*) *n*
and *b*: *b* < *degree f*
shows *P* ($(\sum i{\leq}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$) *n*
using *b*
proof (*induct b*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **unfolding** *P-def*
by (*simp add*: *poly-const-pow mod-poly-less monom-0*)
next
case (*Suc b*)
have *b*: *b* < *degree f* **using** *Suc.prems* **by** *auto*
have *rw*: ($\sum i{\leq}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i$) *mod f* = ($\sum i{\leq}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i$) **by** (*rule sum-monom-mod*[*OF b*])
have *rw2*: (*monom* (*g* (*Suc b*)) (*Suc b*) *mod f*) = *monom* (*g* (*Suc b*)) (*Suc b*)
by (*metis Suc.prems field-R.nat-pow-eone m monom-a-b pow-irr power-0 power-one-right*)

have *hyp*: $P\ (\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)\ n$ **using** *Suc.prems Suc.hyps* **by** *auto*
have $(\sum i{\le}Suc\ b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i) = monom\ (g\ (Suc\ b))\ (Suc\ b) + (\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$
by *simp*
also have $... = (monom\ (g\ (Suc\ b))\ (Suc\ b)\ mod\ f) + ((\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)\ mod\ f)$
using *rw rw2* **by** *argo*
also have $... = monom\ (g\ (Suc\ b))\ (Suc\ b) \oplus_R (\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$
unfolding *R-def plus-irr-def*
by (*simp add*: *poly-mod-add-left*)
finally have *eq*: $(\sum i{\le}Suc\ b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$
$= monom\ (g\ (Suc\ b))\ (Suc\ b) \oplus_R (\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$ **.**
show *?case* **unfolding** *eq P-def*
proof (*rule add-closed-power*)
show $monom\ (g\ (Suc\ b))\ (Suc\ b)\ [\widehat{\ }]_R\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{\ }\ n = monom\ (g\ (Suc\ b))\ (Suc\ b)$
by (*rule monom-a-b*[*OF m monom-1-1*[*unfolded P-def*] *Suc.prems*])
show $(\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)\ [\widehat{\ }]_R\ CARD('a)\ \widehat{\ }\ n = (\sum i{\le}b.\ monom\ (g\ i)\ i)$
using *hyp* **unfolding** *P-def* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *element-carrier-P*:
defines $P \equiv (\lambda\ x\ n.\ (x[\widehat{\ }]_{(R)}\ (CARD('a)\ \widehat{\ }\ n) = x))$
assumes *m*: *monom 1 1* $\in$ *carrier R*
and *monom-1-1*: $P\ (monom\ 1\ 1)\ m'$
and *a*: $a \in carrier\ R$
shows $P\ a\ m'$
proof −
have *degree-a*: $degree\ a < degree\ f$ **using** *a element-in-carrier* **by** *simp*
have $P\ (\sum i{\le}degree\ a.\ monom\ (poly.coeff\ a\ i)\ i)\ m'$
unfolding *P-def*
by (*rule sum-monoms-P*[*OF m monom-1-1*[*unfolded P-def*] *degree-a*])
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *poly-as-sum-of-monoms* **by** *simp*
qed
end

end

lemma *degree-divisor1*:
assumes *f*: *irreducible* $(f :: 'a :: prime\text{-}card\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly)$
and *d*: $degree\ f = d$
shows $f\ dvd\ (monom\ 1\ 1)\widehat{\ }(CARD('a)\widehat{\ }d) - monom\ 1\ 1$
proof −
interpret *poly-mod-type-irr CARD*('*a*) *f* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp add*: *f*)
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases d = 1*)
case *True*

```
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases monom 1 1 mod f = 0)
      case True
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis Suc-pred dvd-diff dvd-mult2 mod-eq-0-iff-dvd power.simps(2)
            zero-less-card-finite zero-less-power)
    next
      case False note mod-f-not0 = False
      have monom 1 (CARD('a)) mod f = monom 1 1 mod f
      proof −
        let ?g1 = (monom 1 (CARD('a))) mod f
        let ?g2 = (monom 1 1) mod f
        have deg-g1: degree ?g1 < degree f and deg-g2: degree ?g2 < degree f
       by (metis True card-UNIV-unit d degree-0 degree-mod-less' zero-less-card-finite
zero-neq-one)+
        have g2: ?g2 [^]_(mult-of R) CARD('a)^degree f = ?g2 ^ (CARD('a)^degree
f) mod f
          by (rule pow-irr-mult-of)
        have ?g2 [^]_(mult-of R) CARD('a)^degree f = ?g2
          by (rule element-power-order-eq-1', insert mod-f-not0 deg-g2,
              auto simp add: carrier-mult-of R-def carrier-irr-def )
        hence ?g2 ^ CARD('a) mod f = ?g2 mod f using True d by auto
      hence ?g1 mod f = ?g2 mod f by (metis mod-mod-trivial power-mod x-pow-n)
        thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
      thus ?thesis by (metis True mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly power-one-right x-pow-n)
    qed
  next
    case False
    have deg-f1: 1 < degree f
      using False d degree-f by linarith
    have monom 1 1 [^]_(mult-of R) CARD('a)^degree f = monom 1 1
      by (rule element-power-order-eq-1', insert deg-f1)
         (auto simp add: carrier-mult-of R-def carrier-irr-def degree-monom-eq)
    hence monom 1 1^CARD('a)^degree f mod f = monom 1 1 mod f
      using deg-f1 by (auto, metis mod-mod-trivial)
    thus ?thesis using d mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly by blast
  qed
qed


lemma degree-divisor2:
  assumes f: irreducible (f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly)
  and d: degree f = d
  and c-ge-1: 1 ≤ c and cd: c < d
shows ¬ f dvd monom 1 1 ^ CARD('a) ^ c − monom 1 1
proof (rule ccontr)
  interpret poly-mod-type-irr CARD('a) f by (unfold-locales, auto simp add: f)
  have field-R: field R
```

by (*simp add*: *field-R.field-axioms*)
assume ¬ ¬ *f dvd monom 1 1* ^ *CARD*('*a*) ^ *c* − *monom 1 1*
hence *f-dvd*: *f dvd monom 1 1* ^ *CARD*('*a*) ^ *c* − *monom 1 1* **by** *simp*
obtain *a* **where** *a-R*: *a* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*)
and *ord-a*: *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *a* = *order* (*mult-of R*)
and *gen*: *carrier* (*mult-of R*) = {*a* [^]$_R$ *i* |*i*. *i* ∈ (*UNIV*::*nat set*)}
using *field.finite-field-mult-group-has-gen2*[*OF field-R*] **by** *auto*
have *d-not1*: *d*>*1* **using** *c-ge-1 cd* **by** *auto*
have *monom-in-carrier*: *monom 1 1* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*)
using *d-not1* **unfolding** *carrier-mult-of R-def carrier-irr-def*
by (*simp add*: *d degree-monom-eq*)
then have *monom 1 1* ∉ {**0**$_R$}
by *auto*
then obtain *k* **where** *monom 1 1* = *a* ^ *k mod f*
using *gen monom-in-carrier* **by** *auto*
then have *k*: *a* [^]$_R$ *k* = *monom 1 1*
by *simp*
have *a-m-1*: *a* [^]$_R$ (*CARD*('*a*)^*c* − *1*) = **1**$_R$
proof (*rule x-power-pm-minus-1*[*OF a-R*])
let *?x* = *monom 1 1*::'*a mod-ring poly*
show *a* [^]$_R$ *CARD*('*a*) ^ *c* = *a*
proof (*rule element-carrier-P*)
show *?x* ∈ *carrier R*
by (*metis k mod-in-carrier pow-irr*)
have *?x* ^ *CARD*('*a*)^ *c mod f* = *?x mod f* **using** *f-dvd*
using *mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly* **by** *blast*
thus *?x* [^]$_R$ *CARD*('*a*)^ *c* = *?x*
by (*metis d d-not1 degree-monom-eq mod-poly-less one-neq-zero pow-irr*)
show *a* ∈ *carrier R* **using** *a-R* **unfolding** *carrier-mult-of* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
have *Group.group* (*mult-of R*)
by (*simp add*: *field-R.field-mult-group*)
moreover have *finite* (*carrier* (*mult-of R*)) **by** *auto*
moreover have *a* ∈ *carrier* (*mult-of R*) **by** (*rule a-R*)
moreover have *a* [^]$_{mult\text{-}of\ R}$ (*CARD*('*a*) ^ *c* − *1*) = **1**$_{mult\text{-}of\ R}$
using *a-m-1* **unfolding** *mult-of-def*
by (*auto*, *metis mult-of-def pow-irr-mult-of nat-pow-mult-of*)
ultimately have *ord-dvd*: *group.ord* (*mult-of R*) *a dvd* (*CARD*('*a*)^*c* − *1*)
by (*meson group.pow-eq-id*)
have *d dvd c*
proof (*rule dvd-power-minus-1-conv1*[*OF nontriv*])
show *0* < *d* **using** *cd* **by** *auto*
show *CARD*('*a*) ^ *d* − *1 dvd CARD*('*a*) ^ *c* − *1*
using *ord-dvd* **by** (*simp add*: *d ord-a order-irr*)
show *0* < *c* **using** *c-ge-1* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *False* **using** *c-ge-1 cd*
using *nat-dvd-not-less* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *degree-divisor*: **assumes** *irreducible* (*f* :: *'a* :: *prime-card mod-ring poly*) *degree f = d*
shows *f dvd* (*monom 1 1*)^(*CARD*(*'a*)^*d*) − *monom 1 1*
and *1* ≤ *c* ⟹ *c* < *d* ⟹ ¬ *f dvd* (*monom 1 1*)^(*CARD*(*'a*)^*c*) − *monom 1 1*
using *assms degree-divisor1 degree-divisor2* **by** *blast+*

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*(*'a* :: *prime-card*)
begin

function *dist-degree-factorize-main* ::
'a mod-ring poly ⇒ *'a mod-ring poly* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ (*nat* × *'a mod-ring poly*) *list*
⇒ (*nat* × *'a mod-ring poly*) *list* **where**
dist-degree-factorize-main v w d res = (*if v = 1 then res else if d + d > degree v*
then (*degree v*, *v*) # *res else let*
w = *w*^(*CARD*(*'a*)) *mod v*;
d = *Suc d*;
gd = *gcd* (*w* − *monom 1 1*) *v*
in if gd = 1 then dist-degree-factorize-main v w d res else
let v' = *v div gd in*
dist-degree-factorize-main v' (*w mod v'*) *d* ((*d*,*gd*) # *res*))
by *pat-completeness auto*

termination
proof (*relation measure* (λ (*v*,*w*,*d*,*res*). *Suc* (*degree v*) − *d*), *goal-cases*)
case (*3 v w d res x xa xb xc*)
have *xb dvd v* **unfolding** *3* **by** *auto*
hence *xc dvd v* **unfolding** *3* **by** (*metis dvd-def dvd-div-mult-self*)
from *divides-degree*[*OF this*] *3*
show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed *auto*

declare *dist-degree-factorize-main.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *dist-degree-factorize-main*: **assumes**
dist: *dist-degree-factorize-main v w d res = facts* **and**
w: *w* = (*monom 1 1*)^(*CARD*(*'a*)^*d*) *mod v* **and**
sf: *square-free u* **and**
mon: *monic u* **and**
prod: *u* = *v* ∗ *prod-list* (*map snd res*) **and**
deg: ⋀ *f*. *irreducible f* ⟹ *f dvd v* ⟹ *degree f* > *d* **and**
res: ⋀ *i f*. (*i*,*f*) ∈ *set res* ⟹ *i* ≠ *0* ∧ *degree f* ≠ *0* ∧ *monic f* ∧ (∀ *g*. *irreducible g* ⟶ *g dvd f* ⟶ *degree g* = *i*)
shows *u* = *prod-list* (*map snd facts*) ∧ (∀ *i f*. (*i*,*f*) ∈ *set facts* ⟶ *factors-of-same-degree i f*)
using *dist w prod res deg* **unfolding** *factors-of-same-degree-def*

```
proof (induct v w d res rule: dist-degree-factorize-main.induct)
  case (1 v w d res)
  note IH = 1(1−2)
  note result = 1(3)
  note w = 1(4)
  note u = 1(5)
  note res = 1(6)
  note fact = 1(7)
  note [simp] = dist-degree-factorize-main.simps[of - - d]
  let ?x = monom 1 1 :: 'a mod-ring poly
  show ?case
  proof (cases v = 1)
    case True
    thus ?thesis using result u mon res by auto
  next
    case False note v = this
    note IH = IH[OF this]
   have mon-prod: monic (prod-list (map snd res)) by (rule monic-prod-list, insert
res, auto)
    with mon[unfolded u] have mon-v: monic v by (simp add: coeff-degree-mult)
    with False have deg-v: degree v ≠ 0 by (simp add: monic-degree-0)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases degree v < d + d)
      case True
      with result False have facts: facts = (degree v, v) # res by simp
      show ?thesis
      proof (intro allI conjI impI)
        fix i f g
        assume ∗: (i,f) ∈ set facts irreducible g g dvd f
        show degree g = i
        proof (cases (i,f) ∈ set res)
          case True
          from res[OF this] ∗ show ?thesis by auto
        next
          case False
          with ∗ facts have id: i = degree v f = v by auto
          note ∗ = ∗(2−3)[unfolded id]
          from fact[OF ∗] have dg: d < degree g by auto
          from divides-degree[OF ∗(2)] mon-v have deg-gv: degree g ≤ degree v by
auto
          from ∗(2) obtain h where vgh: v = g ∗ h unfolding dvd-def by auto
           from arg-cong[OF this, of degree] mon-v have dvgh: degree v = degree g
+ degree h
            by (metis deg-v degree-mult-eq degree-mult-eq-0)
          with dg deg-gv dg True have deg-h: degree h < d by auto
          {
            assume degree h = 0
            with dvgh have degree g = degree v by simp
          }
```

```
        moreover
        {
          assume deg-h0: degree h ≠ 0
          hence ∃ k. irreducible_d k ∧ k dvd h
            using dvd-triv-left irreducible_d-factor by blast
          then obtain k where irr: irreducible k and k dvd h by auto
          from dvd-trans[OF this(2), of v] vgh have k dvd v by auto
          from fact[OF irr this] have dk: d < degree k .
          from divides-degree[OF ‹k dvd h›] deg-h0 have degree k ≤ degree h by
auto
          with deg-h have degree k < d by auto
          with dk have False by auto
        }
        ultimately have degree g = degree v by auto
        thus ?thesis unfolding id by auto
      qed
    qed (insert v mon-v deg-v u facts res, force+)
  next
    case False
    note IH = IH[OF this refl refl refl]
    let ?p = CARD('a)
    let ?w = w ^ ?p mod v
    let ?g = gcd (?w - ?x) v
    let ?v = v div ?g
    let ?d = Suc d
    from result[simplified] v False
    have result: (if ?g = 1 then dist-degree-factorize-main v ?w ?d res
          else dist-degree-factorize-main ?v (?w mod ?v) ?d ((?d, ?g) # res))
= facts
      by (auto simp: Let-def)
    from mon-v have mon-g: monic ?g by (metis deg-v degree-0 poly-gcd-monic)
    have ww: ?w = ?x ^ ?p ^ ?d mod v unfolding w
      by simp (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) One-nat-def mult.commute
power-Suc power-mod power-mult x-pow-n)
    have gv: ?g dvd v by auto
    hence gv': v div ?g dvd v
      by (metis dvd-def dvd-div-mult-self)
    {
      fix f
      assume irr: irreducible f and fv: f dvd v and degree f = ?d
      from degree-divisor(1)[OF this(1,3)]
      have f dvd ?x ^ ?p ^ ?d - ?x by auto
      hence f dvd (?x ^ ?p ^ ?d - ?x) mod v using fv by (rule dvd-mod)
      also have (?x ^ ?p ^ ?d - ?x) mod v = ?x ^ ?p ^ ?d mod v - ?x mod v
by (rule poly-mod-diff-left)
      also have ?x ^ ?p ^ ?d mod v = ?w mod v unfolding ww by auto
        also have ... - ?x mod v = (w ^ ?p mod v - ?x) mod v by (metis
poly-mod-diff-left)
      finally have f dvd (w^?p mod v - ?x) using fv by (rule dvd-mod-imp-dvd)
```

```
      with fv have f dvd ?g by auto
    } note deg-d-dvd-g = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ?g = 1)
      case True
       with result have dist: dist-degree-factorize-main v ?w ?d res = facts by
auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (rule IH(1)[OF True dist ww u res])
        fix f
        assume irr: irreducible f and fv: f dvd v
        from fact[OF this] have d < degree f .
        moreover have degree f ≠ ?d
        proof
          assume degree f = ?d
          from divides-degree[OF deg-d-dvd-g[OF irr fv this]] mon-v
          have degree f ≤ degree ?g by auto
          with irr have degree ?g ≠ 0 unfolding irreducible_d-def by auto
          with True show False by auto
        qed
        ultimately show ?d < degree f by auto
      qed
    next
      case False
      with result
      have result: dist-degree-factorize-main ?v (?w mod ?v) ?d ((?d, ?g) # res)
= facts
        by auto
     from False mon-g have deg-g: degree ?g ≠ 0 by (simp add: monic-degree-0)
      have www: ?w mod ?v = monom 1 1 ^ ?p ^ ?d mod ?v using gv'
        by (simp add: mod-mod-cancel ww)
      from square-free-factor[OF - sf, of v] u have sfv: square-free v by auto
      have u: u = ?v * prod-list (map snd ((?d, ?g) # res))
        unfolding u by simp
      show ?thesis
      proof (rule IH(2)[OF False refl result www u], goal-cases)
        case (1 i f)
        show ?case
        proof (cases (i,f) ∈ set res)
          case True
          from res[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
        next
          case False
          with 1 have id: i = ?d f = ?g by auto
          show ?thesis unfolding id
          proof (intro conjI impI allI)
            fix g
            assume *: irreducible g g dvd ?g
            hence gv: g dvd v using dvd-trans[of g ?g v] by simp
```

```
            from fact[OF *(1) this] have dg: d < degree g .
            {
              assume degree g > ?d
              from degree-divisor(2)[OF *(1) refl - this]
              have ndvd: ¬ g dvd ?x ^ ?p ^ ?d - ?x by auto
              from *(2) have g dvd ?w - ?x by simp
              from this[unfolded ww]
              have g dvd ?x ^ ?p ^ ?d mod v - ?x .
                with gv have g dvd (?x ^ ?p ^ ?d mod v - ?x) mod v by (metis
dvd-mod)
              also have (?x ^ ?p ^ ?d mod v - ?x) mod v = (?x ^ ?p ^ ?d - ?x)
mod v
                by (metis mod-diff-left-eq)
                    finally have g dvd ?x ^ ?p ^ ?d - ?x using gv by (rule
dvd-mod-imp-dvd)
              with ndvd have False by auto
            }
            with dg show degree g = ?d by presburger
          qed (insert mon-g deg-g, auto)
        qed
      next
        case (2 f)
        note irr = 2(1)
        from dvd-trans[OF 2(2) gv'] have fv: f dvd v .
        from fact[OF irr fv] have df: d < degree f degree f ≠ 0 by auto
        {
          assume degree f = ?d
          from deg-d-dvd-g[OF irr fv this] have fg: f dvd ?g .
          from gv have id: v = (v div ?g) * ?g by simp
          from sfv id have square-free (v div ?g * ?g) by simp
          from square-free-multD(1)[OF this 2(2) fg] have degree f = 0 .
          with df have False by auto
        }
        with df show ?d < degree f by presburger
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

definition distinct-degree-factorization
  :: 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ (nat × 'a mod-ring poly) list where
  distinct-degree-factorization f =
     (if degree f = 1 then [(1,f)] else dist-degree-factorize-main f (monom 1 1) 0
[])

lemma distinct-degree-factorization: assumes
  dist: distinct-degree-factorization f = facts and
  u: square-free f and
```

```
  mon: monic f
shows f = prod-list (map snd facts) ∧ (∀ i f. (i,f) ∈ set facts ⟶ factors-of-same-degree
i f)
proof −
  note dist = dist[unfolded distinct-degree-factorization-def]
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases degree f ≤ 1)
    case False
    hence degree f > 1 and dist: dist-degree-factorize-main f (monom 1 1) 0 [] =
facts
      using dist by auto
    hence ∗: monom 1 (Suc 0) = monom 1 (Suc 0) mod f
      by (simp add: degree-monom-eq mod-poly-less)
    show ?thesis
      by (rule dist-degree-factorize-main[OF dist - u mon], insert ∗, auto simp:
irreducible_d-def)
  next
    case True
    hence degree f = 0 ∨ degree f = 1 by auto
    thus ?thesis
    proof
      assume degree f = 0
      with mon have f: f = 1 using monic-degree-0 by blast
      hence facts = [] using dist unfolding dist-degree-factorize-main.simps[of -
- 0]
        by auto
      thus ?thesis using f by auto
    next
      assume deg: degree f = 1
      hence facts: facts = [(1,f)] using dist by auto
      show ?thesis unfolding facts factors-of-same-degree-def
      proof (intro conjI allI impI; clarsimp)
        fix g
        assume irreducible g g dvd f
          thus degree g = Suc 0 using deg divides-degree[of g f] by (auto simp:
irreducible_d-def)
      qed (insert mon deg, auto)
    qed
  qed
qed
end

end
```

8 A Combined Factorization Algorithm for Polynomials over GF(p)

8.1 Type Based Version

We combine Berlekamp's algorithm with the distinct degree factorization to obtain an efficient factorization algorithm for square-free polynomials in GF(p).

theory *Finite-Field-Factorization*
imports *Berlekamp-Type-Based*
Distinct-Degree-Factorization
begin

We prove soundness of the finite field factorization, indepedendent on whether distinct-degree-factorization is applied as preprocessing or not.

consts *use-distinct-degree-factorization* :: *bool*

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*('*a*::*prime-card*)
begin

definition *finite-field-factorization* :: '*a mod-ring poly* ⇒ '*a mod-ring* × '*a mod-ring poly list* **where**
finite-field-factorization f = (*if degree f* = *0 then* (*lead-coeff f*,[]) *else let*
a = *lead-coeff f*;
u = *smult* (*inverse a*) *f*;
gs = (*if use-distinct-degree-factorization then distinct-degree-factorization u else* [(*1*,*u*)]);
(*irr*,*hs*) = *List.partition* (λ (*i*,*f*). *degree f* = *i*) *gs*
in (*a*,*map snd irr* @ *concat* (*map* (λ (*i*,*g*). *berlekamp-monic-factorization i g*) *hs*)))

lemma *finite-field-factorization-explicit*:
fixes *f*::'*a mod-ring poly*
assumes *sf-f*: *square-free f*
and *us*: *finite-field-factorization f* = (*c*,*us*)
shows *f* = *smult c* (*prod-list us*) ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. *monic u* ∧ *irreducible u*)
proof (*cases degree f* = *0*)
case *False* **note** *f* = *this*
define *g* **where** *g* = *smult* (*inverse c*) *f*
obtain *gs* **where** *dist*: (*if use-distinct-degree-factorization then distinct-degree-factorization g else* [(*1*,*g*)]) = *gs* **by** *auto*
note *us* = *us*[*unfolded finite-field-factorization-def Let-def*]
from *us f* **have** *c*: *c* = *lead-coeff f* **by** *auto*
obtain *irr hs* **where** *part*: *List.partition* (λ (*i*, *f*). *degree f* = *i*) *gs* = (*irr*,*hs*) **by** *force*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of fst*] **have** *irr*: *irr* = *filter* (λ (*i*, *f*). *degree f* = *i*) *gs* **by** *auto*

from *us*[*folded c*, *folded g-def*, *unfolded dist part split*] *f*
have *us*: *us* = *map snd irr* @ *concat* (*map* (λ(*x*, *y*). *berlekamp-monic-factorization x y*) *hs*) **by** *auto*
from *f c* **have** *c0*: *c* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *False c0* **have** *deg-g*: *degree g* ≠ *0* **unfolding** *g-def* **by** *auto*
have *mon-g*: *monic g* **unfolding** *g-def*
by (*metis c c0 field-class.field-inverse lead-coeff-smult*)
from *sf-f* **have** *sf-g*: *square-free g* **unfolding** *g-def* **by** (*simp add*: *c0*)
from *c0* **have** *f*: *f* = *smult c g* **unfolding** *g-def* **by** *auto*
have *g* = *prod-list* (*map snd gs*) ∧ (∀ (*i*,*f*) ∈ *set gs*. *degree f* > *0* ∧ *monic f* ∧ (∀ *h*. *h dvd f* ⟶ *degree h* = *i* ⟶ *irreducible h*))
proof (*cases use-distinct-degree-factorization*)
case *True*
with *dist* **have** *distinct-degree-factorization g* = *gs* **by** *auto*
note *dist* = *distinct-degree-factorization*[*OF this sf-g mon-g*]
from *dist* **have** *g*: *g* = *prod-list* (*map snd gs*) **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*intro conjI*[*OF g*] *ballI*, *clarify*)
fix *i f*
assume (*i*,*f*) ∈ *set gs*
with *dist* **have** *factors-of-same-degree i f* **by** *auto*
from *factors-of-same-degreeD*[*OF this*]
show *degree f* > *0* ∧ *monic f* ∧ (∀ *h*. *h dvd f* ⟶ *degree h* = *i* ⟶ *irreducible h*) **by** *auto*
qed
next
case *False*
with *dist* **have** *gs*: *gs* = [(*1*,*g*)] **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *gs* **using** *deg-g mon-g linear-irreducible*$_d$[**where** *'a* = *'a mod-ring*] **by** *auto*
qed
hence *g-gs*: *g* = *prod-list* (*map snd gs*)
and *mon-gs*: ⋀ *i f*. (*i*, *f*) ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *monic f* ∧ *degree f* > *0*
and *irrI*: ⋀ *i f h* . (*i*, *f*) ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *h dvd f* ⟹ *degree h* = *i* ⟹ *irreducible h* **by** *auto*
have *g*: *g* = *prod-list* (*map snd irr*) ∗ *prod-list* (*map snd hs*) **unfolding** *g-gs*
using *prod-list-map-partition*[*OF part*] **.**
{
fix *f*
assume *f* ∈ *snd* ‘ *set irr*
from *this*[*unfolded irr*] **obtain** *i* **where** ∗: (*i*,*f*) ∈ *set gs degree f* = *i* **by** *auto*
have *f dvd f* **by** *auto*
from *irrI*[*OF* ∗(*1*) *this* ∗(*2*)] *mon-gs*[*OF* ∗(*1*)] **have** *monic f irreducible f* **by** *auto*
} note *irr* = *this*
let *?berl* = λ *hs*. *concat* (*map* (λ(*x*, *y*). *berlekamp-monic-factorization x y*) *hs*)
have *set hs* ⊆ *set gs* **using** *part* **by** *auto*
hence *prod-list* (*map snd hs*) = *prod-list* (*?berl hs*)
∧ (∀ *f* ∈ *set* (*?berl hs*). *monic f* ∧ *irreducible*$_d$ *f*)

proof (*induct hs*)
case (*Cons ih hs*)
obtain *i h* **where** *ih*: *ih* = (*i*,*h*) **by** *force*
have *?berl* (*Cons ih hs*) = *berlekamp-monic-factorization i h* @ *?berl hs* **unfolding** *ih* **by** *auto*
from *Cons*(*2*)[*unfolded ih*] **have** *mem*: (*i*,*h*) ∈ *set gs* **and** *sub*: *set hs* ⊆ *set gs* **by** *auto*
note *IH* = *Cons*(*1*)[*OF sub*]
from *mem* **have** *h* ∈ *set* (*map snd gs*) **by** *force*
from *square-free-factor*[*OF prod-list-dvd*[*OF this*], *folded g-gs*, *OF sf-g*] **have** *sf*: *square-free h* **.**
from *mon-gs*[*OF mem*] *irrI*[*OF mem*] **have** ∗: *degree h* > *0 monic h* ⋀ *g*. *g dvd h* ⟹ *degree g* = *i* ⟹ *irreducible g* **by** *auto*
from *berlekamp-monic-factorization*[*OF sf refl* ∗(*3*) ∗(*1*−*2*), *of i*]
have *berl*: *prod-list* (*berlekamp-monic-factorization i h*) = *h*
and *irr*: ⋀ *f*. *f* ∈ *set* (*berlekamp-monic-factorization i h*) ⟹ *monic f* ∧ *irreducible f* **by** *auto*
have *prod-list* (*map snd* (*Cons ih hs*)) = *h* ∗ *prod-list* (*map snd hs*) **unfolding** *ih* **by** *simp*
also have *prod-list* (*map snd hs*) = *prod-list* (*?berl hs*) **using** *IH* **by** *auto*
finally have *prod-list* (*map snd* (*Cons ih hs*)) = *prod-list* (*?berl* (*Cons ih hs*))
unfolding *ih* **using** *berl* **by** *auto*
thus *?case* **using** *IH irr* **unfolding** *ih* **by** *auto*
qed *auto*
with *g irr* **have** *main*: *g* = *prod-list us* ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *set us*. *monic u* ∧ *irreducible*$_d$ *u*) **unfolding** *us*
by *auto*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *f* **using** *sf-g* **by** *auto*
next
case *True*
with *us*[*unfolded finite-field-factorization-def*] **have** *c* = *lead-coeff f* **and** *us*: *us* = [] **by** *auto*
with *degree0-coeffs*[*OF True*] **have** *f*: *f* = [:*c*:] **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *us f* **by** (*auto simp*: *normalize-poly-def*)
qed

lemma *finite-field-factorization*:
fixes *f*::′*a mod-ring poly*
assumes *sf-f*: *square-free f*
and *us*: *finite-field-factorization f* = (*c*,*us*)
shows *unique-factorization Irr-Mon f* (*c*, *mset us*)
proof −
from *finite-field-factorization-explicit*[*OF sf-f us*]
have *fact*: *factorization Irr-Mon f* (*c*, *mset us*)
unfolding *factorization-def split Irr-Mon-def* **by** (*auto simp*: *prod-mset-prod-list*)
from *sf-f*[*unfolded square-free-def*] **have** *f* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *exactly-one-factorization*[*OF this*] *fact*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *unique-factorization-def* **by** *auto*
qed

end

Experiments revealed that preprocessing via distinct-degree-factorization slows down the factorization algorithm (statement for implementation in AFP 2017)

overloading *use-distinct-degree-factorization* ≡ *use-distinct-degree-factorization*
begin
definition *use-distinct-degree-factorization*
where [*code-unfold*]: *use-distinct-degree-factorization* = *False*
end
end

8.2 Record Based Version

theory *Finite-Field-Factorization-Record-Based*
imports
Finite-Field-Factorization
Matrix-Record-Based
Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based
HOL−Types-To-Sets.Types-To-Sets
Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix-IArray-Impl
Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-IArray-Impl
Polynomial-Interpolation.Improved-Code-Equations
Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-List
begin

hide-const(**open**) *monom coeff*

Whereas ⟦*square-free ?f*; *finite-field-factorization ?f* = (*?c*, *?us*)⟧ ⟹ *unique-factorization Irr-Mon ?f* (*?c*, *mset ?us*) provides a result for a polynomials over GF(p), we now develop a theorem which speaks about integer polynomials modulo p.

lemma (**in** *poly-mod-prime-type*) *finite-field-factorization-modulo-ring*:
assumes *g*: (*g* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) = *of-int-poly f*
and *sf*: *square-free-m f*
and *fact*: *finite-field-factorization g* = (*d*,*gs*)
and *c*: *c* = *to-int-mod-ring d*
and *fs*: *fs* = *map to-int-poly gs*
shows *unique-factorization-m f* (*c*, *mset fs*)
proof −
have [*transfer-rule*]: *MP-Rel f g* **unfolding** *g MP-Rel-def* **by** (*simp add*: *Mp-f-representative*)
have *sg*: *square-free g* **by** (*transfer*, *rule sf*)
have [*transfer-rule*]: *M-Rel c d* **unfolding** *M-Rel-def c* **by** (*rule M-to-int-mod-ring*)
have *fs-gs*[*transfer-rule*]: *list-all2 MP-Rel fs gs*
unfolding *fs list-all2-map1 MP-Rel-def*[*abs-def*] *Mp-to-int-poly* **by** (*simp add*: *list.rel-refl*)
have [*transfer-rule*]: *rel-mset MP-Rel* (*mset fs*) (*mset gs*)
using *fs-gs* **using** *rel-mset-def* **by** *blast*

have [*transfer-rule*]: *MF-Rel* (*c*,*mset fs*) (*d*,*mset gs*) **unfolding** *MF-Rel-def* **by** *transfer-prover*
from *finite-field-factorization*[*OF sg fact*]
have *uf*: *unique-factorization Irr-Mon g* (*d*,*mset gs*) **by** *auto*
from *uf*[*untransferred*] **show** *unique-factorization-m f* (*c*, *mset fs*) **.**
qed

We now have to implement *finite-field-factorization*.

context
fixes *p* :: *int*
and *ff-ops* :: *'i arith-ops-record*
begin

fun *power-poly-f-mod-i* :: (*'i list* ⇒ *'i list*) ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'i list* **where**
power-poly-f-mod-i modulus a n = (*if n* = *0 then modulus* (*one-poly-i ff-ops*)
else let (*d*,*r*) = *Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2*;
rec = *power-poly-f-mod-i modulus* (*modulus* (*times-poly-i ff-ops a a*)) *d in*
if r = *0 then rec else modulus* (*times-poly-i ff-ops rec a*))

declare *power-poly-f-mod-i.simps*[*simp del*]

fun *power-polys-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'i list list* **where**
power-polys-i mul-p u curr-p (*Suc i*) = *curr-p* #
power-polys-i mul-p u (*mod-field-poly-i ff-ops* (*times-poly-i ff-ops curr-p mul-p*) *u*) *i*
| *power-polys-i mul-p u curr-p 0* = []

lemma *length-power-polys-i*[*simp*]: *length* (*power-polys-i x y z n*) = *n*
by (*induct n arbitrary*: *x y z*, *auto*)

definition *berlekamp-mat-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i mat* **where**
berlekamp-mat-i u = (*let n* = *degree-i u*;
ze = *arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops*; *on* = *arith-ops-record.one ff-ops*;
mul-p = *power-poly-f-mod-i* (λ *v*. *mod-field-poly-i ff-ops v u*)
[*ze*, *on*] (*nat p*);
xks = *power-polys-i mul-p u* [*on*] *n*
in mat-of-rows-list n (*map* (λ *cs*. *cs* @ *replicate* (*n* − *length cs*) *ze*) *xks*))

definition *berlekamp-resulting-mat-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i mat* **where**
berlekamp-resulting-mat-i u = (*let Q* = *berlekamp-mat-i u*;
n = *dim-row Q*;
QI = *mat n n* (λ (*i*,*j*). *if i* = *j then arith-ops-record.minus ff-ops* (*Q* $$ (*i*,*j*)) (*arith-ops-record.one ff-ops*) *else Q* $$ (*i*,*j*))
in (*gauss-jordan-single-i ff-ops* (*transpose-mat QI*)))

definition *berlekamp-basis-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i list list* **where**
berlekamp-basis-i u = (*map* (*poly-of-list-i ff-ops o list-of-vec*)
(*find-base-vectors-i ff-ops* (*berlekamp-resulting-mat-i u*)))

primrec *berlekamp-factorization-main-i* :: *'i* ⇒ *'i* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'i list list* ⇒ *'i list list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'i list list* **where**
berlekamp-factorization-main-i ze on d divs (*v* # *vs*) *n* = (
if v = [*on*] *then berlekamp-factorization-main-i ze on d divs vs n else*
if length divs = *n then divs else*
let of-int = *arith-ops-record.of-int ff-ops*;
facts = *filter* (λ *w*. *w* ≠ [*on*])
[*gcd-poly-i ff-ops u* (*minus-poly-i ff-ops v* (*if s* = *0 then* [] *else* [*of-int* (*int s*)])) .
u ← *divs*, *s* ← [*0* ..< *nat p*]];
(*lin*,*nonlin*) = *List.partition* (λ *q*. *degree-i q* = *d*) *facts*
in lin @ *berlekamp-factorization-main-i ze on d nonlin vs* (*n* − *length lin*))
| *berlekamp-factorization-main-i ze on d divs* [] *n* = *divs*

definition *berlekamp-monic-factorization-i* :: *nat* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list list* **where**
berlekamp-monic-factorization-i d f = (*let*
vs = *berlekamp-basis-i f*
in berlekamp-factorization-main-i (*arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops*) (*arith-ops-record.one ff-ops*) *d* [*f*] *vs* (*length vs*))

partial-function (*tailrec*) *dist-degree-factorize-main-i* ::
'i ⇒ *'i* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ (*nat* × *'i list*) *list*
⇒ (*nat* × *'i list*) *list* **where**
[*code*]: *dist-degree-factorize-main-i ze on dv v w d res* = (*if v* = [*on*] *then res else if d* + *d* > *dv*
then (*dv*, *v*) # *res else let*
w = *power-poly-f-mod-i* (λ *f*. *mod-field-poly-i ff-ops f v*) *w* (*nat p*);
d = *Suc d*;
gd = *gcd-poly-i ff-ops* (*minus-poly-i ff-ops w* [*ze*,*on*]) *v*
in if gd = [*on*] *then dist-degree-factorize-main-i ze on dv v w d res else*
let v' = *div-field-poly-i ff-ops v gd*
in dist-degree-factorize-main-i ze on (*degree-i v'*) *v'* (*mod-field-poly-i ff-ops w v'*) *d* ((*d*,*gd*) # *res*))

definition *distinct-degree-factorization-i*
:: *'i list* ⇒ (*nat* × *'i list*) *list* **where**
distinct-degree-factorization-i f = (*let ze* = *arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops*;
on = *arith-ops-record.one ff-ops in if degree-i f* = *1 then* [(*1*,*f*)] *else*
dist-degree-factorize-main-i ze on (*degree-i f*) *f* [*ze*,*on*] *0* [])

definition *finite-field-factorization-i* :: *'i list* ⇒ *'i* × *'i list list* **where**
finite-field-factorization-i f = (*if degree-i f* = *0 then* (*lead-coeff-i ff-ops f*,[]) *else let*
a = *lead-coeff-i ff-ops f*;
u = *smult-i ff-ops* (*arith-ops-record.inverse ff-ops a*) *f*;
gs = (*if use-distinct-degree-factorization then distinct-degree-factorization-i u else* [(*1*,*u*)]);
(*irr*,*hs*) = *List.partition* (λ (*i*,*f*). *degree-i f* = *i*) *gs*
in (*a*,*map snd irr* @ *concat* (*map* (λ (*i*,*g*). *berlekamp-monic-factorization-i i g*)

hs)))
end

context *prime-field-gen*
begin

lemma *power-polys-i*: **assumes** *i*: $i < n$ **and** [*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel f f' poly-rel g g'*
and *h*: *poly-rel h h'*
shows *poly-rel* (*power-polys-i ff-ops g f h n* ! *i*) (*power-polys g' f' h' n* ! *i*)
using *i h*
proof (*induct n arbitrary*: *h h' i*)
case (*Suc n h h' i*) **note** $* = this$
note [*transfer-rule*] = $*(3)$
show *?case*
proof (*cases i*)
case *0*
with *Suc* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc j*)
with $*(2-)$ **have** $j < n$ **by** *auto*
note *IH* = $*(1)$[*OF this*]
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *Suc* **by** (*simp*, *rule IH*, *transfer-prover*)
qed
qed *simp*

lemma *power-poly-f-mod-i*: **assumes** *m*: (*poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel*) *m* (λ *x'*. *x' mod m'*)
shows *poly-rel f f'* $\Longrightarrow$ *poly-rel* (*power-poly-f-mod-i ff-ops m f n*) (*power-poly-f-mod m' f' n*)
proof −
from *m* **have** *m*: $\bigwedge$ *x x'*. *poly-rel x x'* $\Longrightarrow$ *poly-rel* (*m x*) (*x' mod m'*)
unfolding *rel-fun-def* **by** *auto*
show *poly-rel f f'* $\Longrightarrow$ *poly-rel* (*power-poly-f-mod-i ff-ops m f n*) (*power-poly-f-mod m' f' n*)
proof (*induct n arbitrary*: *f f' rule*: *less-induct*)
case (*less n f f'*)
note *f*[*transfer-rule*] = *less*(*2*)
show *?case*
proof (*cases n* = *0*)
case *True*
show *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *True power-poly-f-mod-i.simps power-poly-f-mod-binary*,
rule m[*OF poly-rel-one*])
next
case *False*
hence *n*: (*n* = *0*) = *False* **by** *simp*
obtain *q r* **where** *div*: *Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2* = (*q*,*r*) **by** *force*
from *this*[*unfolded Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def*] *n* **have** *q* < *n* **by** *auto*

```
    note IH = less(1)[OF this]
    have rec: poly-rel (power-poly-f-mod-i ff-ops m (m (times-poly-i ff-ops f f)) q)

      (power-poly-f-mod m' (f' * f' mod m') q)
      by (rule IH, rule m, transfer-prover)
    have other: poly-rel
      (m (times-poly-i ff-ops (power-poly-f-mod-i ff-ops m (m (times-poly-i ff-ops
f f)) q) f))
      (power-poly-f-mod m' (f' * f' mod m') q * f' mod m')
      by (rule m, rule poly-rel-times[unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format, OF rec f])
    show ?thesis unfolding power-poly-f-mod-i.simps[of - - - n] Let-def
     power-poly-f-mod-binary[of - - n] div split n if-False using rec other by auto
   qed
  qed
qed

lemma berlekamp-mat-i[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> mat-rel R)
  (berlekamp-mat-i p ff-ops) berlekamp-mat
proof (intro rel-funI)
  fix f f'
  let ?ze = arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops
  let ?on = arith-ops-record.one ff-ops
  assume f[transfer-rule]: poly-rel f f'
  have deg: degree-i f = degree f' by transfer-prover
  {
    fix i j
    assume i: i < degree f' and j: j < degree f'
    define cs where cs = (λcs :: 'i list. cs @ replicate (degree f' − length cs) ?ze)
    define cs' where cs' = (λcs :: 'a mod-ring poly. coeffs cs @ replicate (degree
f' − length (coeffs cs)) 0)
    define poly where poly = power-polys-i ff-ops
        (power-poly-f-mod-i ff-ops (λv. mod-field-poly-i ff-ops v f) [?ze, ?on] (nat
p)) f [?on]
        (degree f')
    define poly' where poly' = (power-polys (power-poly-f-mod f' [:0, 1:] (nat p))
f' 1 (degree f'))
    have ∗: poly-rel (power-poly-f-mod-i ff-ops (λv. mod-field-poly-i ff-ops v f) [?ze,
?on] (nat p))
      (power-poly-f-mod f' [:0, 1:] (nat p))
      by (rule power-poly-f-mod-i, transfer-prover, simp add: poly-rel-def one zero)
    have [transfer-rule]: poly-rel (poly ! i) (poly' ! i)
      unfolding poly-def poly'-def
      by (rule power-polys-i[OF i f ∗], simp add: poly-rel-def one)
    have ∗: list-all2 R (cs (poly ! i)) (cs' (poly' ! i))
      unfolding cs-def cs'-def by transfer-prover
    from list-all2-nthD[OF ∗[unfolded poly-rel-def], of j] j
    have R (cs (poly ! i) ! j) (cs' (poly' ! i) ! j) unfolding cs-def by auto
    hence R
        (mat-of-rows-list (degree f')
```

```
            (map (λcs. cs @ replicate (degree f' − length cs) ?ze)
              (power-polys-i ff-ops
                (power-poly-f-mod-i ff-ops (λv. mod-field-poly-i ff-ops v f) [?ze, ?on]
(nat p)) f [?on]
                  (degree f'))) $$
            (i, j))
          (mat-of-rows-list (degree f')
            (map (λcs. coeffs cs @ replicate (degree f' − length (coeffs cs)) 0)
              (power-polys (power-poly-f-mod f' [:0, 1:] (nat p)) f' 1 (degree f'))) $$
            (i, j))
    unfolding mat-of-rows-list-def length-map length-power-polys-i power-polys-works
      length-power-polys index-mat[OF i j] split
      unfolding poly-def cs-def poly'-def cs'-def using i
      by auto
  } note main = this
  show mat-rel R (berlekamp-mat-i p ff-ops f) (berlekamp-mat f')
    unfolding berlekamp-mat-i-def berlekamp-mat-def Let-def nat-p[symmetric] deg
    unfolding mat-rel-def
    by (intro conjI allI impI, insert main, auto)
qed

lemma berlekamp-resulting-mat-i[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> mat-rel R)
  (berlekamp-resulting-mat-i p ff-ops) berlekamp-resulting-mat
proof (intro rel-funI)
  fix f f'
  assume poly-rel f f'
  from berlekamp-mat-i[unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format, OF this]
  have bmi: mat-rel R (berlekamp-mat-i p ff-ops f) (berlekamp-mat f') .
  show mat-rel R (berlekamp-resulting-mat-i p ff-ops f) (berlekamp-resulting-mat
f')
    unfolding berlekamp-resulting-mat-def Let-def berlekamp-resulting-mat-i-def
    by (rule gauss-jordan-i[unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format],
   insert bmi, auto simp: mat-rel-def one intro!: minus[unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format])
qed

lemma berlekamp-basis-i[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> list-all2 poly-rel)
  (berlekamp-basis-i p ff-ops) berlekamp-basis
  unfolding berlekamp-basis-i-def[abs-def] berlekamp-basis-code[abs-def] o-def
  by transfer-prover

lemma berlekamp-factorization-main-i[transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ===> list-all2 poly-rel ===> list-all2 poly-rel ===> (=) ===> list-all2
poly-rel)
    (berlekamp-factorization-main-i p ff-ops (arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops)
      (arith-ops-record.one ff-ops))
    berlekamp-factorization-main
proof (intro rel-funI, clarify, goal-cases)
  case (1 - d xs xs' ys ys' - n)
  let ?ze = arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops
```

```
  let ?on = arith-ops-record.one ff-ops
  let ?of-int = arith-ops-record.of-int ff-ops
  from 1(2) 1(1) show ?case
  proof (induct ys ys' arbitrary: xs xs' n rule: list-all2-induct)
    case (Cons y ys y' ys' xs xs' n)
    note trans[transfer-rule] = Cons(1,2,4)
    obtain clar0 clar1 clar2 where clarify: ⋀ s u. gcd-poly-i ff-ops u
                        (minus-poly-i ff-ops y
                       (if s = 0 then [] else [?of-int (int s)])) = clar0 s u
        [0..<nat p] = clar1
        [?on] = clar2 by auto
    define facts where facts = concat (map (λu. concat
                       (map (λs. if gcd-poly-i ff-ops u
                                    (minus-poly-i ff-ops y (if s = 0 then [] else [?of-int
(int s)])) ≠
                                  [?on]
                                then [gcd-poly-i ff-ops u
                                     (minus-poly-i ff-ops y (if s = 0 then [] else [?of-int
(int s)]))]
                                else [])
                            [0..<nat p])) xs)
    define Facts where Facts = [w←concat
                       (map (λu. map (λs. gcd-poly-i ff-ops u
                                          (minus-poly-i ff-ops y
                                            (if s = 0 then [] else [?of-int (int s)])))
                                  [0..<nat p])
                             xs) .  w ≠ [?on]]
    have Facts: Facts = facts
      unfolding Facts-def facts-def clarify
    proof (induct xs)
      case (Cons x xs)
      show ?case by (simp add: Cons, induct clar1, auto)
    qed simp
    define facts' where facts' = concat
            (map (λu. concat
                       (map (λx. if gcd u (y' − [:of-nat x:]) ≠ 1
                                 then [gcd u (y' − [:of-int (int x):])] else [])
                         [0..<nat p]))
              xs')
    have id: ⋀ x. of-int (int x) = of-nat x [?on] = one-poly-i ff-ops
      by (auto simp: one-poly-i-def)
    have facts[transfer-rule]: list-all2 poly-rel facts facts'
      unfolding facts-def facts'-def
    apply (rule concat-transfer[unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format])
    apply (rule list.map-transfer[unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format, OF - trans(3)])
    apply (rule concat-transfer[unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format])
    apply (rule list-all2-map-map)
    proof (unfold id)
      fix f f' x
```

```
    assume [transfer-rule]: poly-rel f f' and x: x ∈ set [0..<nat p]
    hence *: 0 ≤ int x int x < p by auto
   from of-int[OF this] have rel[transfer-rule]: R (?of-int (int x)) (of-nat x) by
auto
    {
     assume 0 < x
     with * have *: 0 < int x int x < p by auto
     have (of-nat x :: 'a mod-ring) = of-int (int x) by simp
     also have ... ≠ 0 unfolding of-int-of-int-mod-ring using * unfolding p
      by (transfer', auto)
    }
    with rel have [transfer-rule]: poly-rel (if x = 0 then [] else [?of-int (int x)])
[:of-nat x:]
     unfolding poly-rel-def by (auto simp add: cCons-def p)
    show list-all2 poly-rel
        (if gcd-poly-i ff-ops f (minus-poly-i ff-ops y (if x = 0 then [] else [?of-int
(int x)])) ≠ one-poly-i ff-ops
        then [gcd-poly-i ff-ops f (minus-poly-i ff-ops y (if x = 0 then [] else [?of-int
(int x)]))]
         else [])
        (if gcd f' (y' − [:of-nat x:]) ≠ 1 then [gcd f' (y' − [:of-nat x:])] else [])
     by transfer-prover
  qed
  have id1: berlekamp-factorization-main-i p ff-ops ?ze ?on d xs (y # ys) n = (
   if y = [?on] then berlekamp-factorization-main-i p ff-ops ?ze ?on d xs ys n else
    if length xs = n then xs else
    (let fac = facts;
        (lin, nonlin) = List.partition (λq. degree-i q = d) fac
          in lin @ berlekamp-factorization-main-i p ff-ops ?ze ?on d nonlin ys (n
− length lin)))
    unfolding berlekamp-factorization-main-i.simps Facts[symmetric]
    by (simp add: o-def Facts-def Let-def)
  have id2: berlekamp-factorization-main d xs' (y' # ys') n = (
    if y' = 1 then berlekamp-factorization-main d xs' ys' n
    else if length xs' = n then xs' else
    (let fac = facts';
        (lin, nonlin) = List.partition (λq. degree q = d) fac
          in lin @ berlekamp-factorization-main d nonlin ys' (n − length lin)))
    by (simp add: o-def facts'-def nat-p)
  have len: length xs = length xs' by transfer-prover
  have id3: (y = [?on]) = (y' = 1)
  by (transfer-prover-start, transfer-step+, simp add: one-poly-i-def finite-field-ops-int-def)
  show ?case
  proof (cases y' = 1)
    case True
    hence id4: (y' = 1) = True by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding id1 id2 id3 id4 if-True
     by (rule Cons(3), transfer-prover)
  next
```

```
      case False
      hence id4: (y' = 1) = False by simp
      note id1 = id1[unfolded id3 id4 if-False]
      note id2 = id2[unfolded id4 if-False]
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases length xs' = n)
        case True
        thus ?thesis unfolding id1 id2 Let-def len using trans by simp
      next
        case False
        hence id: (length xs' = n) = False by simp
        have id': length [q←facts . degree-i q = d] = length [q←facts'. degree q =
d]
          by transfer-prover
       have [transfer-rule]: list-all2 poly-rel (berlekamp-factorization-main-i p ff-ops
?ze ?on d [x←facts . degree-i x ≠ d] ys
         (n − length [q←facts . degree-i q = d]))
         (berlekamp-factorization-main d [x←facts' . degree x ≠ d] ys'
         (n − length [q←facts' . degree q = d]))
          unfolding id'
          by (rule Cons(3), transfer-prover)
        show ?thesis unfolding id1 id2 Let-def len id if-False
          unfolding partition-filter-conv o-def split by transfer-prover
      qed
    qed
  qed simp
qed

lemma berlekamp-monic-factorization-i[transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ===> poly-rel ===> list-all2 poly-rel)
     (berlekamp-monic-factorization-i p ff-ops) berlekamp-monic-factorization
 unfolding berlekamp-monic-factorization-i-def[abs-def] berlekamp-monic-factorization-def[abs-def]
Let-def
  by transfer-prover

lemma dist-degree-factorize-main-i:
  poly-rel F f ⟹ poly-rel G g ⟹ list-all2 (rel-prod (=) poly-rel) Res res
   ⟹ list-all2 (rel-prod (=) poly-rel)
      (dist-degree-factorize-main-i p ff-ops
         (arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops) (arith-ops-record.one ff-ops) (degree-i F) F G
d Res)
      (dist-degree-factorize-main f g d res)
proof (induct f g d res arbitrary: F G Res rule: dist-degree-factorize-main.induct)
  case (1 v w d res V W Res)
  let ?ze = arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops
  let ?on = arith-ops-record.one ff-ops
  note simp = dist-degree-factorize-main.simps[of v w d]
    dist-degree-factorize-main-i.simps[of p ff-ops ?ze ?on degree-i V V W d]
  have v[transfer-rule]: poly-rel V v by (rule 1)
```

```
  have w[transfer-rule]: poly-rel W w by (rule 1)
  have res[transfer-rule]: list-all2 (rel-prod (=) poly-rel) Res res by (rule 1)
  have [transfer-rule]: poly-rel [?on] 1
    by (simp add: one poly-rel-def)
  have id1: (V = [?on]) = (v = 1) unfolding finite-field-ops-int-def by transfer-prover
  have id2: degree-i V = degree v by transfer-prover
  note simp = simp[unfolded id1 id2]
  note IH = 1(1,2)
  show ?case
  proof (cases v = 1)
    case True
    with res show ?thesis unfolding id2 simp by simp
  next
    case False
    with id1 have (v = 1) = False by auto
    note simp = simp[unfolded this if-False]
    note IH = IH[OF False]
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases degree v < d + d)
      case True
      thus ?thesis unfolding id2 simp using res v by auto
    next
      case False
      hence (degree v < d + d) = False by auto
      note simp = simp[unfolded this if-False]
      let ?P = power-poly-f-mod-i ff-ops (λf. mod-field-poly-i ff-ops f V) W (nat p)
      let ?G = gcd-poly-i ff-ops (minus-poly-i ff-ops ?P [?ze, ?on]) V
      let ?g = gcd (w ^ CARD('a) mod v - monom 1 1) v
      define G where G = ?G
      define g where g = ?g
      note simp = simp[unfolded Let-def, folded G-def g-def]
      note IH = IH[OF False refl refl refl]
      have [transfer-rule]: poly-rel [?ze,?on] (monom 1 1) unfolding poly-rel-def
        by (auto simp: coeffs-monom one zero)
      have id: w ^ CARD('a) mod v = power-poly-f-mod v w (nat p)
        unfolding power-poly-f-mod-def by (simp add: p)
      have P[transfer-rule]: poly-rel ?P (w ^ CARD('a) mod v) unfolding id
        by (rule power-poly-f-mod-i[OF - w], transfer-prover)
      have g[transfer-rule]: poly-rel G g unfolding G-def g-def by transfer-prover
      have id3: (G = [?on]) = (g = 1) by transfer-prover
      note simp = simp[unfolded id3]
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases g = 1)
        case True
        from IH(1)[OF this[unfolded g-def] v P res] True
        show ?thesis unfolding id2 simp by simp
      next
```

```
      case False
      have vg: poly-rel (div-field-poly-i ff-ops V G) (v div g) by transfer-prover
      have poly-rel (mod-field-poly-i ff-ops ?P
        (div-field-poly-i ff-ops V G)) (w ^ CARD('a) mod v mod (v div g)) by
transfer-prover
       note IH = IH(2)[OF False[unfolded g-def] refl vg[unfolded G-def g-def]
this[unfolded G-def g-def],
         folded g-def G-def]
      have list-all2 (rel-prod (=) poly-rel) ((Suc d, G) # Res) ((Suc d, g) # res)
        using g res by auto
      note IH = IH[OF this]
      from False have (g = 1) = False by simp
      note simp = simp[unfolded this if-False]
      show ?thesis unfolding id2 simp using IH by simp
    qed
  qed
 qed
qed

lemma distinct-degree-factorization-i[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> list-all2 (rel-prod
(=) poly-rel))
 (distinct-degree-factorization-i p ff-ops) distinct-degree-factorization
proof
 fix F f
 assume f[transfer-rule]: poly-rel F f
 have id: (degree-i F = 1) = (degree f = 1) by transfer-prover
 note d = distinct-degree-factorization-i-def distinct-degree-factorization-def
 let ?ze = arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops
 let ?on = arith-ops-record.one ff-ops
 show list-all2 (rel-prod (=) poly-rel) (distinct-degree-factorization-i p ff-ops F)
        (distinct-degree-factorization f)
 proof (cases degree f = 1)
   case True
   with id f show ?thesis unfolding d by auto
 next
   case False
   from False id have ?thesis = (list-all2 (rel-prod (=) poly-rel)
     (dist-degree-factorize-main-i p ff-ops ?ze ?on (degree-i F) F [?ze, ?on] 0 [])
    (dist-degree-factorize-main f (monom 1 1) 0 [])) unfolding d Let-def by simp

   also have ...
     by (rule dist-degree-factorize-main-i[OF f], auto simp: poly-rel-def
       coeffs-monom one zero)
   finally show ?thesis .
 qed
qed

lemma finite-field-factorization-i[transfer-rule]:
 (poly-rel ===> rel-prod R (list-all2 poly-rel))
```

```
    (finite-field-factorization-i p ff-ops) finite-field-factorization
  unfolding finite-field-factorization-i-def finite-field-factorization-def Let-def lead-coeff-i-def'
  by transfer-prover
```

Since the implementation is sound, we can now combine it with the soundness result of the finite field factorization.

```
lemma finite-field-i-sound:
  assumes f': f' = of-int-poly-i ff-ops (Mp f)
  and berl-i: finite-field-factorization-i p ff-ops f' = (c',fs')
  and sq: square-free-m f
  and fs: fs = map (to-int-poly-i ff-ops) fs'
  and c: c = arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops c'
  shows unique-factorization-m f (c, mset fs)
    ∧ c ∈ {0 ..< p}
    ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. set (coeffs fi) ⊆ {0 ..< p})
proof −
  define f'' :: 'a mod-ring poly where f'' = of-int-poly (Mp f)
  have rel-f[transfer-rule]: poly-rel f' f''
    by (rule poly-rel-of-int-poly[OF f'], simp add: f''-def)
  interpret pff: idom-ops poly-ops ff-ops poly-rel
    by (rule idom-ops-poly)
  obtain c'' fs'' where berl: finite-field-factorization f'' = (c'',fs'') by force
  from rel-funD[OF finite-field-factorization-i rel-f, unfolded rel-prod-conv assms(2)
split berl]
  have rel[transfer-rule]: R c' c'' list-all2 poly-rel fs' fs'' by auto
  from to-int[OF rel(1)] have cc': c = to-int-mod-ring c'' unfolding c by simp
  have c: c ∈ {0 ..< p} unfolding cc'
   by (metis Divides.pos-mod-bound Divides.pos-mod-sign M-to-int-mod-ring atLeast-
LessThan-iff
      gr-implies-not-zero nat-le-0 nat-p not-le poly-mod.M-def zero-less-card-finite)
  {
    fix f
    assume f ∈ set fs'
     with rel(2) obtain f' where poly-rel f f'  unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth
set-conv-nth
      by auto
    hence is-poly ff-ops f using fun-cong[OF Domainp-is-poly, of f]
      unfolding Domainp-iff[abs-def] by auto
  }
  hence fs': Ball (set fs') (is-poly ff-ops) by auto
  define mon :: 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ bool where mon = monic
 have [transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ===> (=)) (monic-i ff-ops) mon unfolding mon-def

    by (rule poly-rel-monic)
  have len: length fs' = length fs'' by transfer-prover
  have fs': fs = map to-int-poly fs'' unfolding fs
  proof (rule nth-map-conv[OF len], intro allI impI)
    fix i
    assume i: i < length fs'
```

```
    obtain f g where id: fs' ! i = f fs'' ! i = g by auto
    from i rel(2)[unfolded list-all2-conv-all-nth[of - fs' fs'']] id
    have poly-rel f g by auto
    from to-int-poly-i[OF this] have to-int-poly-i ff-ops f = to-int-poly g .
    thus to-int-poly-i ff-ops (fs' ! i) = to-int-poly (fs'' ! i) unfolding id .
  qed
  have f: f'' = of-int-poly f unfolding poly-eq-iff f''-def
   by (simp add: to-int-mod-ring-hom.injectivity to-int-mod-ring-of-int-M Mp-coeff)
  have *: unique-factorization-m f (c, mset fs)
    using finite-field-factorization-modulo-ring[OF f sq berl cc' fs'] by auto
  have fs': (∀ fi∈set fs. set (coeffs fi) ⊆ {0..<p}) unfolding fs'
    using range-to-int-mod-ring[where 'a = 'a]
    by (auto simp: coeffs-to-int-poly p)
  with c fs *
  show ?thesis by blast
qed
end

definition finite-field-factorization-main :: int ⇒ 'i arith-ops-record ⇒ int poly ⇒
int × int poly list where
  finite-field-factorization-main p f-ops f ≡
    let (c',fs') = finite-field-factorization-i p f-ops (of-int-poly-i f-ops (poly-mod.Mp
p f))
      in (arith-ops-record.to-int f-ops c', map (to-int-poly-i f-ops) fs')

lemma(in prime-field-gen) finite-field-factorization-main:
  assumes res: finite-field-factorization-main p ff-ops f = (c,fs)
  and sq: square-free-m f
  shows unique-factorization-m f (c, mset fs)
    ∧ c ∈ {0 ..< p}
    ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. set (coeffs fi) ⊆ {0 ..< p})
proof −
  obtain c' fs' where
    res': finite-field-factorization-i p ff-ops (of-int-poly-i ff-ops (Mp f)) = (c', fs')
by force
  show ?thesis
    by (rule finite-field-i-sound[OF refl res' sq],
      insert res[unfolded finite-field-factorization-main-def res'], auto)
qed

definition finite-field-factorization-int :: int ⇒ int poly ⇒ int × int poly list
where
  finite-field-factorization-int p = (
    if p ≤ 65535
    then finite-field-factorization-main p (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int p))
    else if p ≤ 4294967295
    then finite-field-factorization-main p (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p))
    else finite-field-factorization-main p (finite-field-ops-integer (integer-of-int p)))
```

context *poly-mod-prime* **begin**
lemmas *finite-field-factorization-main-integer = prime-field-gen.finite-field-factorization-main*
[*OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops-integer*, *unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def*,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas *finite-field-factorization-main-uint32 = prime-field-gen.finite-field-factorization-main*
[*OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops32*, *unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def*,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas *finite-field-factorization-main-uint64 = prime-field-gen.finite-field-factorization-main*
[*OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops64*, *unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def*,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemma *finite-field-factorization-int*:
assumes *sq*: *poly-mod.square-free-m p f*
and *result*: *finite-field-factorization-int p f = (c,fs)*
shows *poly-mod.unique-factorization-m p f* (*c*, *mset fs*)
∧ *c* ∈ {*0* ..< *p*}
∧ (∀ *fi* ∈ *set fs*. *set* (*coeffs fi*) ⊆ {*0* ..< *p*})
using *finite-field-factorization-main-integer*[*OF - - sq*, *of c fs*]
finite-field-factorization-main-uint32[*OF - - sq*, *of c fs*]
finite-field-factorization-main-uint64[*OF - - sq*, *of c fs*]
result[*unfolded finite-field-factorization-int-def*]
by (*auto split*: *if-splits*)

end

end

9 Hensel Lifting

9.1 Properties about Factors

We define and prove properties of Hensel-lifting. Here, we show the result that Hensel-lifting can lift a factorization mod p to a factorization mod p^n. For the lifting we have proofs for both versions, the original linear Hensel-lifting or the quadratic approach from Zassenhaus. Via the linear version, we also show a uniqueness result, however only in the binary case, i.e., where $f = g \cdot h$. Uniqueness of the general case will later be shown in theory Berlekamp-Hensel by incorporating the factorization algorithm for finite fields algorithm.

theory *Hensel-Lifting*
imports
HOL−Computational-Algebra.Euclidean-Algorithm
Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based

Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
begin

lemma *uniqueness-poly-equality*:
fixes *f g* :: *'a* :: {*factorial-ring-gcd*,*semiring-gcd-mult-normalize*} *poly*
assumes *cop*: *coprime f g*
and *deg*: $B = 0 \vee$ *degree* $B <$ *degree f* $B' = 0 \vee$ *degree* $B' <$ *degree f*
and *f*: $f \neq 0$ **and** *eq*: $A * f + B * g = A' * f + B' * g$
shows $A = A'$ $B = B'$
proof −
from *eq* **have** ∗: $(A - A') * f = (B' - B) * g$ **by** (*simp add*: *field-simps*)
hence *f dvd* $(B' - B) * g$ **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** (*intro exI*[*of* - $A - A'$], *auto simp*: *field-simps*)
with *cop*[*simplified*] **have** *dvd*: *f dvd* $(B' - B)$
by (*simp add*: *coprime-dvd-mult-right-iff ac-simps*)
from *divides-degree*[*OF this*] **have** *degree f* ≤ *degree* $(B' - B) \vee B = B'$ **by** *auto*
with *degree-diff-le-max*[*of* B' B] *deg*
show $B = B'$ **by** *auto*
with ∗ *f* **show** $A = A'$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime-type*) *uniqueness-poly-equality* =
uniqueness-poly-equality[**where** *'a*=*'a mod-ring*, *untransferred*]
lemmas (**in** *poly-mod-prime*) *uniqueness-poly-equality* = *poly-mod-prime-type.uniqueness-poly-equality*
[*unfolded poly-mod-type-simps*, *internalize-sort 'a* :: *prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded remove-duplicate-premise*, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemma *pseudo-divmod-main-list-1-is-divmod-poly-one-main-list*:
pseudo-divmod-main-list (*1* :: *'a* :: *comm-ring-1*) *q f g n* = *divmod-poly-one-main-list q f g n*
by (*induct n arbitrary*: *q f g*, *auto simp*: *Let-def*)

lemma *pdivmod-monic-pseudo-divmod*: **assumes** *g*: *monic g* **shows** *pdivmod-monic f g* = *pseudo-divmod f g*
proof −
from *g* **have** *id*: (*coeffs g* = []) = *False* **by** *auto*
from *g* **have** *mon*: *hd* (*rev* (*coeffs g*)) = *1* **by** (*metis coeffs-eq-Nil hd-rev id last-coeffs-eq-coeff-degree*)
show *?thesis*
unfolding *pseudo-divmod-impl pseudo-divmod-list-def id if-False pdivmod-monic-def Let-def mon*
pseudo-divmod-main-list-1-is-divmod-poly-one-main-list **by** (*auto split*: *prod.splits*)
qed

lemma *pdivmod-monic*: **assumes** *g*: *monic g* **and** *res*: *pdivmod-monic f g* = (*q*, *r*)
shows $f = g * q + r$ $r = 0 \vee$ *degree r* < *degree g*
proof −
from *g* **have** *g0*: $g \neq 0$ **by** *auto*

from *pseudo-divmod*[*OF g0 res*[*unfolded pdivmod-monic-pseudo-divmod*[*OF g*]], *unfolded g*]
show $f = g * q + r\ r = 0 \vee degree\ r < degree\ g$ **by** *auto*
qed

definition *dupe-monic* :: *'a* :: *comm-ring-1* *poly* ⇒ *'a poly* ⇒ *'a poly* ⇒ *'a poly* ⇒ *'a poly* ⇒
'a poly * *'a poly* **where**
dupe-monic D H S T U = (*case pdivmod-monic* (*T* * *U*) *D of* (*q*,*r*) ⇒
(*S* * *U* + *H* * *q*, *r*))

lemma *dupe-monic*: **assumes** *1*: $D*S + H*T = 1$
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *dupe*: *dupe-monic D H S T U* = (*A*,*B*)
shows $A * D + B * H = U\ B = 0 \vee degree\ B < degree\ D$
proof −
obtain *Q R* **where** *div*: *pdivmod-monic* ((*T* * *U*)) *D* = (*Q*,*R*) **by** *force*
from *dupe*[*unfolded dupe-monic-def div split*]
have *A*: $A = (S * U + H * Q)$ **and** *B*: $B = R$ **by** *auto*
from *pdivmod-monic*[*OF mon div*] **have** *TU*: $T * U = D * Q + R$ **and**
deg: $R = 0 \vee degree\ R < degree\ D$ **by** *auto*
hence *R*: $R = T * U - D * Q$ **by** *simp*
have $A * D + B * H = (D * S + H * T) * U$ **unfolding** *A B R* **by** (*simp add*: *field-simps*)
also have ... = *U* **unfolding** *1* **by** *simp*
finally show *eq*: $A * D + B * H = U$ **.**
show $B = 0 \vee degree\ B < degree\ D$ **using** *deg* **unfolding** *B* **.**
qed

lemma *dupe-monic-unique*: **fixes** *D* :: *'a* :: {*factorial-ring-gcd*,*semiring-gcd-mult-normalize*} *poly*
assumes *1*: $D*S + H*T = 1$
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *dupe*: *dupe-monic D H S T U* = (*A*,*B*)
and *cop*: *coprime D H*
and *other*: $A' * D + B' * H = U\ B' = 0 \vee degree\ B' < degree\ D$
shows $A' = A\ B' = B$
proof −
from *dupe-monic*[*OF 1 mon dupe*] **have** *one*: $A * D + B * H = U\ B = 0 \vee degree\ B < degree\ D$ **by** *auto*
from *mon* **have** *D0*: $D \neq 0$ **by** *auto*
from *uniqueness-poly-equality*[*OF cop one*(*2*) *other*(*2*) *D0*, *of A A'*, *unfolded other*, *OF one*(*1*)]
show $A' = A\ B' = B$ **by** *auto*
qed

context *ring-ops*
begin
lemma *poly-rel-dupe-monic-i*: **assumes** *mon*: *monic D*

and *rel*: *poly-rel d D poly-rel h H poly-rel s S poly-rel t T poly-rel u U*
shows *rel-prod poly-rel poly-rel* (*dupe-monic-i ops d h s t u*) (*dupe-monic D H S T U*)
proof −
note *defs* = *dupe-monic-i-def dupe-monic-def*
note [*transfer-rule*] = *rel*
have [*transfer-rule*]: *rel-prod poly-rel poly-rel*
(*pdivmod-monic-i ops* (*times-poly-i ops t u*) *d*)
(*pdivmod-monic* (*T* ∗ *U*) *D*)
by (*rule poly-rel-pdivmod-monic*[*OF mon*], *transfer-prover*+)
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *defs* **by** *transfer-prover*
qed
end

context *mod-ring-gen*
begin

lemma *monic-of-int-poly*: *monic D* ⟹ *monic* (*of-int-poly* (*Mp D*) :: *'a mod-ring poly*)
using *Mp-f-representative Mp-to-int-poly monic-Mp* **by** *auto*

lemma *dupe-monic-i*: **assumes** *dupe-i*: *dupe-monic-i ff-ops d h s t u* = (*a*,*b*)
and *1*: *D*∗*S* + *H*∗*T* =*m* 1
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *A*: *A* = *to-int-poly-i ff-ops a*
and *B*: *B* = *to-int-poly-i ff-ops b*
and *d*: *Mp-rel-i d D*
and *h*: *Mp-rel-i h H*
and *s*: *Mp-rel-i s S*
and *t*: *Mp-rel-i t T*
and *u*: *Mp-rel-i u U*
shows
A ∗ *D* + *B* ∗ *H* =*m U*
B = *0* ∨ *degree B* < *degree D*
Mp-rel-i a A
Mp-rel-i b B
proof −
let *?I* = λ *f*. *of-int-poly* (*Mp f*) :: *'a mod-ring poly*
let *?i* = *to-int-poly-i ff-ops*
note *dd* = *Mp-rel-iD*[*OF d*]
note *hh* = *Mp-rel-iD*[*OF h*]
note *ss* = *Mp-rel-iD*[*OF s*]
note *tt* = *Mp-rel-iD*[*OF t*]
note *uu* = *Mp-rel-iD*[*OF u*]
obtain *A' B'* **where** *dupe*: *dupe-monic* (*?I D*) (*?I H*) (*?I S*) (*?I T*) (*?I U*) = (*A'*,*B'*) **by** *force*
from *poly-rel-dupe-monic-i*[*OF monic-of-int-poly*[*OF mon*] *dd*(*1*) *hh*(*1*) *ss*(*1*) *tt*(*1*) *uu*(*1*), *unfolded dupe-i dupe*]
have *a*: *poly-rel a A'* **and** *b*: *poly-rel b B'* **by** *auto*

show *aa*: *Mp-rel-i a A* **by** (*rule Mp-rel-iI'*[*OF a*, *folded A*])
show *bb*: *Mp-rel-i b B* **by** (*rule Mp-rel-iI'*[*OF b*, *folded B*])
note *Aa* = *Mp-rel-iD*[*OF aa*]
note *Bb* = *Mp-rel-iD*[*OF bb*]
from *poly-rel-inj*[*OF a Aa*(*1*)] *A* **have** *A*: *A'* = *?I A* **by** *simp*
from *poly-rel-inj*[*OF b Bb*(*1*)] *B* **have** *B*: *B'* = *?I B* **by** *simp*
note *Mp* = *dd*(*2*) *hh*(*2*) *ss*(*2*) *tt*(*2*) *uu*(*2*)
note [*transfer-rule*] = *Mp*
have (=) (*D* ∗ *S* + *H* ∗ *T* =*m* *1*) (*?I D* ∗ *?I S* + *?I H* ∗ *?I T* = *1*) **by** *transfer-prover*
with *1* **have** *11*: *?I D* ∗ *?I S* + *?I H* ∗ *?I T* = *1* **by** *simp*
from *dupe-monic*[*OF 11 monic-of-int-poly*[*OF mon*] *dupe*, *unfolded A B*]
have *res*: *?I A* ∗ *?I D* + *?I B* ∗ *?I H* = *?I U ?I B* = *0* ∨ *degree* (*?I B*) < *degree* (*?I D*) **by** *auto*
note [*transfer-rule*] = *Aa*(*2*) *Bb*(*2*)
have (=) (*A* ∗ *D* + *B* ∗ *H* =*m* *U*) (*?I A* ∗ *?I D* + *?I B* ∗ *?I H* = *?I U*)
(=) (*B* =*m* *0* ∨ *degree-m B* < *degree-m D*) (*?I B* = *0* ∨ *degree* (*?I B*) < *degree* (*?I D*)) **by** *transfer-prover+*
with *res* **have** ∗: *A* ∗ *D* + *B* ∗ *H* =*m* *U B* =*m* *0* ∨ *degree-m B* < *degree-m D* **by** *auto*
show *A* ∗ *D* + *B* ∗ *H* =*m* *U* **by** *fact*
have *B*: *Mp B* = *B* **using** *Mp-rel-i-Mp-to-int-poly-i assms*(*5*) *bb* **by** *blast*
from ∗(*2*) **show** *B* = *0* ∨ *degree B* < *degree D* **unfolding** *B* **using** *degree-m-le*[*of D*] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Mp-rel-i-of-int-poly-i*: **assumes** *Mp F* = *F*
shows *Mp-rel-i* (*of-int-poly-i ff-ops F*) *F*
by (*metis Mp-f-representative Mp-rel-iI' assms poly-rel-of-int-poly to-int-poly-i*)

lemma *dupe-monic-i-int*: **assumes** *dupe-i*: *dupe-monic-i-int ff-ops D H S T U* = (*A*,*B*)
and *1*: *D*∗*S* + *H*∗*T* =*m* *1*
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *norm*: *Mp D* = *D Mp H* = *H Mp S* = *S Mp T* = *T Mp U* = *U*
shows
A ∗ *D* + *B* ∗ *H* =*m* *U*
B = *0* ∨ *degree B* < *degree D*
Mp A = *A*
Mp B = *B*
proof −
let *?oi* = *of-int-poly-i ff-ops*
let *?ti* = *to-int-poly-i ff-ops*
note *rel* = *norm*[*THEN Mp-rel-i-of-int-poly-i*]
obtain *a b* **where** *dupe*: *dupe-monic-i ff-ops* (*?oi D*) (*?oi H*) (*?oi S*) (*?oi T*) (*?oi U*) = (*a*,*b*) **by** *force*
from *dupe-i*[*unfolded dupe-monic-i-int-def this Let-def*] **have** *AB*: *A* = *?ti a B* = *?ti b* **by** *auto*
from *dupe-monic-i*[*OF dupe 1 mon AB rel*] *Mp-rel-i-Mp-to-int-poly-i*

```
  show A * D + B * H =m U
    B = 0 ∨ degree B < degree D
    Mp A = A
    Mp B = B
    unfolding AB by auto
qed

end

definition dupe-monic-dynamic
  :: int ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly × int
poly where
  dupe-monic-dynamic p = (
    if p ≤ 65535
    then dupe-monic-i-int (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int p))
    else if p ≤ 4294967295
    then dupe-monic-i-int (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p))
    else dupe-monic-i-int (finite-field-ops-integer (integer-of-int p)))

context poly-mod-2
begin

lemma dupe-monic-i-int-finite-field-ops-integer: assumes
      dupe-i: dupe-monic-i-int (finite-field-ops-integer (integer-of-int m)) D H S T
U = (A,B)
  and 1: D*S + H*T =m 1
  and mon: monic D
  and norm: Mp D = D Mp H = H Mp S = S Mp T = T Mp U = U
shows
  A * D + B * H =m U
  B = 0 ∨ degree B < degree D
  Mp A = A
  Mp B = B
  using m1 mod-ring-gen.dupe-monic-i-int[OF
      mod-ring-locale.mod-ring-finite-field-ops-integer[unfolded mod-ring-locale-def],

      internalize-sort 'a :: nontriv, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise,

        cancel-type-definition, OF - assms] by auto

lemma dupe-monic-i-int-finite-field-ops32: assumes
      m: m ≤ 65535
  and dupe-i: dupe-monic-i-int (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int m)) D H S T U =
(A,B)
  and 1: D*S + H*T =m 1
  and mon: monic D
  and norm: Mp D = D Mp H = H Mp S = S Mp T = T Mp U = U
shows
  A * D + B * H =m U
```

B = 0 ∨ degree B < degree D
Mp A = A
Mp B = B
using *m1 mod-ring-gen.dupe-monic-i-int*[*OF*
mod-ring-locale.mod-ring-finite-field-ops32[*unfolded mod-ring-locale-def*],
internalize-sort 'a :: nontriv, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded remove-duplicate-premise*,

cancel-type-definition, *OF - assms*] **by** *auto*

lemma *dupe-monic-i-int-finite-field-ops64*: **assumes**
m: *m ≤ 4294967295*
and *dupe-i*: *dupe-monic-i-int* (*finite-field-ops64* (*uint64-of-int m*)) *D H S T U* = (*A*,*B*)
and *1*: *D*S + H*T =m 1*
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *norm*: *Mp D = D Mp H = H Mp S = S Mp T = T Mp U = U*
shows
*A * D + B * H =m U*
B = 0 ∨ degree B < degree D
Mp A = A
Mp B = B
using *m1 mod-ring-gen.dupe-monic-i-int*[*OF*
mod-ring-locale.mod-ring-finite-field-ops64[*unfolded mod-ring-locale-def*],
internalize-sort 'a :: nontriv, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded remove-duplicate-premise*,

cancel-type-definition, *OF - assms*] **by** *auto*

lemma *dupe-monic-dynamic*: **assumes** *dupe*: *dupe-monic-dynamic m D H S T U* = (*A*,*B*)
and *1*: *D*S + H*T =m 1*
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *norm*: *Mp D = D Mp H = H Mp S = S Mp T = T Mp U = U*
shows
*A * D + B * H =m U*
B = 0 ∨ degree B < degree D
Mp A = A
Mp B = B
using *dupe*
dupe-monic-i-int-finite-field-ops32[*OF - - 1 mon norm*, *of A B*]
dupe-monic-i-int-finite-field-ops64[*OF - - 1 mon norm*, *of A B*]
dupe-monic-i-int-finite-field-ops-integer[*OF - 1 mon norm*, *of A B*]
unfolding *dupe-monic-dynamic-def* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
end

context *poly-mod*
begin

definition *dupe-monic-int* :: *int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly*

⇒
int poly ∗ *int poly* **where**
dupe-monic-int D H S T U = (*case pdivmod-monic* (*Mp* (*T* ∗ *U*)) *D of* (*q*,*r*) ⇒
(*Mp* (*S* ∗ *U* + *H* ∗ *q*), *Mp r*))

end

declare *poly-mod.dupe-monic-int-def*[*code*]

Old direct proof on int poly. It does not permit to change implementation. This proof is still present, since we did not export the uniqueness part from the type-based uniqueness result ⟦*?D* ∗ *?S* + *?H* ∗ *?T* = *1*; *monic ?D*; *dupe-monic ?D ?H ?S ?T ?U* = (*?A*, *?B*); *comm-monoid-mult-class.coprime ?D ?H*; *?A′* ∗ *?D* + *?B′* ∗ *?H* = *?U*; *?B′* = *0* ∨ *degree ?B′* < *degree ?D*⟧ ⟹ *?A′* = *?A*

⟦*?D* ∗ *?S* + *?H* ∗ *?T* = *1*; *monic ?D*; *dupe-monic ?D ?H ?S ?T ?U* = (*?A*, *?B*); *comm-monoid-mult-class.coprime ?D ?H*; *?A′* ∗ *?D* + *?B′* ∗ *?H* = *?U*; *?B′* = *0* ∨ *degree ?B′* < *degree ?D*⟧ ⟹ *?B′* = *?B* via the various relations.

lemma (**in** *poly-mod-2*) *dupe-monic-int*: **assumes** *1*: *D*∗*S* + *H*∗*T* =*m* *1*
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *dupe*: *dupe-monic-int D H S T U* = (*A*,*B*)
shows *A* ∗ *D* + *B* ∗ *H* =*m* *U* *B* = *0* ∨ *degree B* < *degree D* *Mp A* = *A* *Mp B* = *B*
coprime-m D H ⟹ *A′* ∗ *D* + *B′* ∗ *H* =*m* *U* ⟹ *B′* = *0* ∨ *degree B′* < *degree D* ⟹ *Mp D* = *D*
⟹ *Mp A′* = *A′* ⟹ *Mp B′* = *B′* ⟹ *prime m*
⟹ *A′* = *A* ∧ *B′* = *B*
proof −
obtain *Q R* **where** *div*: *pdivmod-monic* (*Mp* (*T* ∗ *U*)) *D* = (*Q*,*R*) **by** *force*
from *dupe*[*unfolded dupe-monic-int-def div split*]
have *A*: *A* = *Mp* (*S* ∗ *U* + *H* ∗ *Q*) **and** *B*: *B* = *Mp R* **by** *auto*
from *pdivmod-monic*[*OF mon div*] **have** *TU*: *Mp* (*T* ∗ *U*) = *D* ∗ *Q* + *R* **and**
deg: *R* = *0* ∨ *degree R* < *degree D* **by** *auto*
hence *Mp R* = *Mp* (*Mp* (*T* ∗ *U*) − *D* ∗ *Q*) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* (*T* ∗ *U* − *Mp* (*Mp* (*Mp D* ∗ *Q*))) **unfolding** *Mp-Mp* **unfolding** *minus-Mp*
using *minus-Mp mult-Mp* **by** *metis*
also have ... = *Mp* (*T* ∗ *U* − *D* ∗ *Q*) **by** *simp*
finally have *r*: *Mp R* = *Mp* (*T* ∗ *U* − *D* ∗ *Q*) **by** *simp*
have *Mp* (*A* ∗ *D* + *B* ∗ *H*) = *Mp* (*Mp* (*A* ∗ *D*) + *Mp* (*B* ∗ *H*)) **by** *simp*
also have *Mp* (*A* ∗ *D*) = *Mp* ((*S* ∗ *U* + *H* ∗ *Q*) ∗ *D*) **unfolding** *A* **by** *simp*
also have *Mp* (*B* ∗ *H*) = *Mp* (*Mp R* ∗ *Mp H*) **unfolding** *B* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Mp* ((*T* ∗ *U* − *D* ∗ *Q*) ∗ *H*) **unfolding** *r* **by** *simp*
also have *Mp* (*Mp* ((*S* ∗ *U* + *H* ∗ *Q*) ∗ *D*) + *Mp* ((*T* ∗ *U* − *D* ∗ *Q*) ∗ *H*)) =
Mp ((*S* ∗ *U* + *H* ∗ *Q*) ∗ *D* + (*T* ∗ *U* − *D* ∗ *Q*) ∗ *H*) **by** *simp*
also have (*S* ∗ *U* + *H* ∗ *Q*) ∗ *D* + (*T* ∗ *U* − *D* ∗ *Q*) ∗ *H* = (*D* ∗ *S* + *H* ∗ *T*) ∗ *U*

by (*simp add*: *field-simps*)
also have *Mp* ... = *Mp* (*Mp* (*D* * *S* + *H* * *T*) * *U*) **by** *simp*
also have *Mp* (*D* * *S* + *H* * *T*) = *1* **using** *1* **by** *simp*
finally show *eq*: *A* * *D* + *B* * *H* =*m* *U* **by** *simp*
have *id*: *degree-m* (*Mp R*) = *degree-m R* **by** *simp*
have *id'*: *degree D* = *degree-m D* **using** *mon* **by** *simp*
show *degB*: *B* = *0* ∨ *degree B* < *degree D* **using** *deg* **unfolding** *B id id'*
using *degree-m-le*[*of R*] **by** (*cases R* = *0*, *auto*)
show *Mp*: *Mp A* = *A Mp B* = *B* **unfolding** *A B* **by** *auto*
assume *another*: *A'* * *D* + *B'* * *H* =*m* *U* **and** *degB'*: *B'* = *0* ∨ *degree B'* < *degree D*
and *norm*: *Mp A'* = *A' Mp B'* = *B'* **and** *cop*: *coprime-m D H* **and** *D*: *Mp D* = *D*
and *prime*: *prime m*
from *degB Mp D* **have** *degB*: *B* =*m* *0* ∨ *degree-m B* < *degree-m D* **by** *auto*
from *degB' Mp D norm* **have** *degB'*: *B'* =*m* *0* ∨ *degree-m B'* < *degree-m D* **by** *auto*
from *mon D* **have** *D0*: ¬ (*D* =*m* *0*) **by** *auto*
from *prime* **interpret** *poly-mod-prime m* **by** *unfold-locales*
from *another eq* **have** *A'* * *D* + *B'* * *H* =*m* *A* * *D* + *B* * *H* **by** *simp*
from *uniqueness-poly-equality*[*OF cop degB' degB D0 this*]
show *A'* = *A* ∧ *B'* = *B* **unfolding** *norm Mp* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *coprime-bezout-coefficients*:
assumes *cop*: *coprime f g*
and *ext*: *bezout-coefficients f g* = (*a*, *b*)
shows *a* * *f* + *b* * *g* = *1*
using *assms bezout-coefficients* [*of f g a b*]
by *simp*

lemma (**in** *poly-mod-prime-type*) *bezout-coefficients-mod-int*: **assumes** *f*: (*F* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) = *of-int-poly f*
and *g*: (*G* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) = *of-int-poly g*
and *cop*: *coprime-m f g*
and *fact*: *bezout-coefficients F G* = (*A*,*B*)
and *a*: *a* = *to-int-poly A*
and *b*: *b* = *to-int-poly B*
shows *f* * *a* + *g* * *b* =*m* *1*
proof −
have *f*[*transfer-rule*]: *MP-Rel f F* **unfolding** *f MP-Rel-def* **by** (*simp add*: *Mp-f-representative*)
have *g*[*transfer-rule*]: *MP-Rel g G* **unfolding** *g MP-Rel-def* **by** (*simp add*: *Mp-f-representative*)
have [*transfer-rule*]: *MP-Rel a A* **unfolding** *a MP-Rel-def* **by** (*rule Mp-to-int-poly*)
have [*transfer-rule*]: *MP-Rel b B* **unfolding** *b MP-Rel-def* **by** (*rule Mp-to-int-poly*)
from *cop* **have** *coprime F G* **using** *coprime-MP-Rel*[*unfolded rel-fun-def*] *f g* **by** *auto*
from *coprime-bezout-coefficients* [*OF this fact*]

have $A * F + B * G = 1$ **.**
from *this* [*untransferred*]
show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
qed

definition *bezout-coefficients-i* :: *'i arith-ops-record* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* ⇒ *'i list* × *'i list* **where**
bezout-coefficients-i ff-ops f g = *fst* (*euclid-ext-poly-i ff-ops f g*)

definition *euclid-ext-poly-mod-main* :: *int* ⇒ *'a arith-ops-record* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* × *int poly* **where**
euclid-ext-poly-mod-main p ff-ops f g = (*case bezout-coefficients-i ff-ops* (*of-int-poly-i ff-ops f*) (*of-int-poly-i ff-ops g*) *of*
(*a*,*b*) ⇒ (*to-int-poly-i ff-ops a*, *to-int-poly-i ff-ops b*))

definition *euclid-ext-poly-dynamic* :: *int* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* × *int poly* **where**
euclid-ext-poly-dynamic p = (
if p ≤ *65535*
then euclid-ext-poly-mod-main p (*finite-field-ops32* (*uint32-of-int p*))
else if p ≤ *4294967295*
then euclid-ext-poly-mod-main p (*finite-field-ops64* (*uint64-of-int p*))
else euclid-ext-poly-mod-main p (*finite-field-ops-integer* (*integer-of-int p*)))

context *prime-field-gen*
begin
lemma *bezout-coefficients-i*[*transfer-rule*]:
(*poly-rel* ===> *poly-rel* ===> *rel-prod poly-rel poly-rel*)
(*bezout-coefficients-i ff-ops*) *bezout-coefficients*
unfolding *bezout-coefficients-i-def bezout-coefficients-def*
by *transfer-prover*

lemma *bezout-coefficients-i-sound*: **assumes** *f*: $f' = $ *of-int-poly-i ff-ops f Mp f* = *f*
and *g*: $g' = $ *of-int-poly-i ff-ops g Mp g* = *g*
and *cop*: *coprime-m f g*
and *res*: *bezout-coefficients-i ff-ops f' g'* = (*a'*,*b'*)
and *a*: *a* = *to-int-poly-i ff-ops a'*
and *b*: *b* = *to-int-poly-i ff-ops b'*
shows $f * a + g * b =_m 1$
Mp a = *a Mp b* = *b*
proof −
from *f* **have** *f'*: $f' = $ *of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*Mp f*) **by** *simp*
define *f''* **where** $f'' \equiv$ *of-int-poly* (*Mp f*) :: *'a mod-ring poly*
have *f''*: $f'' = $ *of-int-poly f* **unfolding** *f''-def f* **by** *simp*
have *rel-f*[*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel f' f''*
by (*rule poly-rel-of-int-poly*[*OF f'*], *simp add*: *f'' f*)
from *g* **have** *g'*: $g' = $ *of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*Mp g*) **by** *simp*
define *g''* **where** $g'' \equiv$ *of-int-poly* (*Mp g*) :: *'a mod-ring poly*
have *g''*: $g'' = $ *of-int-poly g* **unfolding** *g''-def g* **by** *simp*

have *rel-g*[*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel g' g''*
by (*rule poly-rel-of-int-poly*[*OF g'*], *simp add*: *g'' g*)
obtain *a'' b''* **where** *eucl*: *bezout-coefficients f'' g'' = (a'',b'')* **by** *force*
from *bezout-coefficients-i*[*unfolded rel-fun-def rel-prod-conv*, *rule-format*, *OF rel-f rel-g*,
unfolded res split eucl]
have *rel*[*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel a' a'' poly-rel b' b''* **by** *auto*
with *to-int-poly-i* **have** *a*: *a = to-int-poly a''*
and *b*: *b = to-int-poly b''* **unfolding** *a b* **by** *auto*
from *bezout-coefficients-mod-int* [*OF f'' g'' cop eucl a b*]
show *f * a + g * b =m 1* **.**
show *Mp a = a Mp b = b* **unfolding** *a b* **by** (*auto simp*: *Mp-to-int-poly*)
qed

lemma *euclid-ext-poly-mod-main*: **assumes** *cop*: *coprime-m f g*
and *f*: *Mp f = f* **and** *g*: *Mp g = g*
and *res*: *euclid-ext-poly-mod-main m ff-ops f g = (a,b)*
shows *f * a + g * b =m 1*
Mp a = a Mp b = b
proof −
obtain *a' b'* **where** *res'*: *bezout-coefficients-i ff-ops* (*of-int-poly-i ff-ops f*)
(*of-int-poly-i ff-ops g*) = (*a'*, *b'*) **by** *force*
show *f * a + g * b =m 1*
Mp a = a Mp b = b
by (*insert bezout-coefficients-i-sound*[*OF refl f refl g cop res'*]
res [*unfolded euclid-ext-poly-mod-main-def res'*], *auto*)
qed

end

context *poly-mod-prime* **begin**

lemmas *euclid-ext-poly-mod-integer = prime-field-gen.euclid-ext-poly-mod-main*
[*OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops-integer*,
unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort*
'a :: prime-card, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded remove-duplicate-premise*, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas *euclid-ext-poly-mod-uint32 = prime-field-gen.euclid-ext-poly-mod-main*
[*OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops32*,
unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort*
'a :: prime-card, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded remove-duplicate-premise*, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas *euclid-ext-poly-mod-uint64 = prime-field-gen.euclid-ext-poly-mod-main*[*OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops64*,
unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort*
'a :: prime-card, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded remove-duplicate-premise*, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

```
lemma euclid-ext-poly-dynamic:
  assumes cop: coprime-m f g and f: Mp f = f and g: Mp g = g
    and res: euclid-ext-poly-dynamic p f g = (a,b)
  shows f * a + g * b =m 1
    Mp a = a Mp b = b
  using euclid-ext-poly-mod-integer[OF cop f g, of p a b]
    euclid-ext-poly-mod-uint32[OF - cop f g, of p a b]
    euclid-ext-poly-mod-uint64[OF - cop f g, of p a b]
    res[unfolded euclid-ext-poly-dynamic-def] by (auto split: if-splits)

end

lemma range-sum-prod: assumes xy: x ∈ {0..<q} (y :: int) ∈ {0..<p}
  shows x + q * y ∈ {0..<p * q}
proof −
  {
    fix x q :: int
    have x ∈ {0 ..< q} ⟷ 0 ≤ x ∧ x < q by auto
  } note id = this
  from xy have 0: 0 ≤ x + q * y by auto
  have x + q * y ≤ q − 1 + q * y using xy by simp
  also have q * y ≤ q * (p − 1) using xy by auto
  finally have x + q * y ≤ q − 1 + q * (p − 1) by auto
  also have ... = p * q − 1 by (simp add: field-simps)
  finally show ?thesis using 0 by auto
qed

context
  fixes C :: int poly
begin

context
  fixes p :: int and S T D1 H1 :: int poly
begin

fun linear-hensel-main where
  linear-hensel-main (Suc 0) = (D1,H1)
| linear-hensel-main (Suc n) = (
      let (D,H) = linear-hensel-main n;
        q = p ^ n;
        U = poly-mod.Mp p (sdiv-poly (C − D * H) q);  — H2 + H3
        (A,B) = poly-mod.dupe-monic-int p D1 H1 S T U
      in (D + smult q B, H + smult q A)) — H4
    | linear-hensel-main 0 = (D1,H1)

lemma linear-hensel-main: assumes 1: poly-mod.eq-m p (D1 * S + H1 * T) 1
  and equiv: poly-mod.eq-m p (D1 * H1) C
  and monD1: monic D1
```

```
  and normDH1: poly-mod.Mp p D1 = D1 poly-mod.Mp p H1 = H1
  and res: linear-hensel-main n = (D,H)
  and n: n ≠ 0
  and prime: prime p — p > 1 suffices if one does not need uniqueness
  and cop: poly-mod.coprime-m p D1 H1
  shows poly-mod.eq-m (p^n) (D * H) C
    ∧ monic D
    ∧ poly-mod.eq-m p D D1 ∧ poly-mod.eq-m p H H1
    ∧ poly-mod.Mp (p^n) D = D
    ∧ poly-mod.Mp (p^n) H = H ∧
    (poly-mod.eq-m (p^n) (D' * H') C ⟶
     poly-mod.eq-m p D' D1 ⟶
     poly-mod.eq-m p H' H1 ⟶
     poly-mod.Mp (p^n) D' = D' ⟶
     poly-mod.Mp (p^n) H' = H' ⟶ monic D' ⟶ D' = D ∧ H' = H)

  using res n
proof (induct n arbitrary: D H D' H')
  case (Suc n D' H' D'' H'')
  show ?case
  proof (cases n = 0)
    case True
    with Suc equiv monD1 normDH1 show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    hence n: n ≠ 0 by auto
    let ?q = p^n
    let ?pq = p * p^n
    from prime have p: p > 1 using prime-gt-1-int by force
    from n p have q: ?q > 1 by auto
    from n p have pq: ?pq > 1 by (metis power-gt1-lemma)
    interpret p: poly-mod-2 p using p unfolding poly-mod-2-def .
    interpret q: poly-mod-2 ?q using q unfolding poly-mod-2-def .
    interpret pq: poly-mod-2 ?pq using pq unfolding poly-mod-2-def .
    obtain D H where rec: linear-hensel-main n = (D,H) by force
    obtain V where V: sdiv-poly (C - D * H) ?q = V by force
    obtain U where U: p.Mp (sdiv-poly (C - D * H) ?q) = U by auto
    obtain A B where dupe: p.dupe-monic-int D1 H1 S T U = (A,B) by force
    note IH = Suc(1)[OF rec n]
    from IH
    have CDH: q.eq-m (D * H) C
      and monD: monic D
      and p-eq: p.eq-m D D1 p.eq-m H H1
      and norm: q.Mp D = D q.Mp H = H by auto
    from n obtain k where n: n = Suc k by (cases n, auto)
    have qq: ?q * ?q = ?pq * p^k unfolding n by simp
     from Suc(2)[unfolded n linear-hensel-main.simps, folded n, unfolded rec split
Let-def U dupe]
    have D': D' = D + smult ?q B and H': H' = H + smult ?q A by auto
```

note *dupe* = *p.dupe-monic-int*[*OF 1 monD1 dupe*]
from *CDH* **have** *q.Mp C − q.Mp* (*D ∗ H*) = *0* **by** *simp*
hence *q.Mp* (*q.Mp C − q.Mp* (*D ∗ H*)) = *0* **by** *simp*
hence *q.Mp* (*C − D∗H*) = *0* **by** *simp*
from *q.Mp-0-smult-sdiv-poly*[*OF this*] **have** *CDHq*: *smult ?q* (*sdiv-poly* (*C − D ∗ H*) *?q*) = *C − D ∗ H* **.**
have *ADBHU*: *p.eq-m* (*A ∗ D* + *B ∗ H*) *U* **using** *p-eq dupe*(*1*)
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *p.mult-Mp*(*2*) *poly-mod.plus-Mp*)
have *pq.Mp* (*D′ ∗ H′*) = *pq.Mp* ((*D* + *smult ?q B*) ∗ (*H* + *smult ?q A*))
unfolding *D′ H′* **by** *simp*
also have (*D* + *smult ?q B*) ∗ (*H* + *smult ?q A*) = (*D ∗ H* + *smult ?q* (*A ∗ D* + *B ∗ H*)) + *smult* (*?q ∗ ?q*) (*A ∗ B*)
by (*simp add*: *field-simps smult-distribs*)
also have *pq.Mp . . .* = *pq.Mp* (*D ∗ H* + *pq.Mp* (*smult ?q* (*A ∗ D* + *B ∗ H*)) + *pq.Mp* (*smult* (*?q ∗ ?q*) (*A ∗ B*)))
using *pq.plus-Mp* **by** *metis*
also have *pq.Mp* (*smult* (*?q ∗ ?q*) (*A ∗ B*)) = *0* **unfolding** *qq*
by (*metis pq.Mp-smult-m-0 smult-smult*)
finally have *DH′*: *pq.Mp* (*D′ ∗ H′*) = *pq.Mp* (*D ∗ H* + *pq.Mp* (*smult ?q* (*A ∗ D* + *B ∗ H*))) **by** *simp*
also have *pq.Mp* (*smult ?q* (*A ∗ D* + *B ∗ H*)) = *pq.Mp* (*smult ?q U*)
using *p.Mp-lift-modulus*[*OF ADBHU*, *of ?q*] **by** *simp*
also have *. . .* = *pq.Mp* (*C − D ∗ H*)
unfolding *arg-cong*[*OF CDHq*, *of pq.Mp*, *symmetric*] *U*[*symmetric*] *V*
by (*rule p.Mp-lift-modulus*[*of - - ?q*], *auto*)
also have *pq.Mp* (*D ∗ H* + *pq.Mp* (*C − D ∗ H*)) = *pq.Mp C* **by** *simp*
finally have *CDH*: *pq.eq-m C* (*D′ ∗ H′*) **by** *simp*

have *deg*: *degree D1* = *degree D* **using** *p-eq*(*1*) *monD1 monD*
by (*metis p.monic-degree-m*)
have *mon*: *monic D′* **unfolding** *D′* **using** *dupe*(*2*) *monD* **unfolding** *deg* **by** (*rule monic-smult-add-small*)
have *normD′*: *pq.Mp D′* = *D′*
unfolding *D′ pq.Mp-ident-iff poly-mod.Mp-coeff plus-poly.rep-eq coeff-smult*
proof
fix *i*
from *norm*(*1*) *dupe*(*4*) **have** *coeff D i* ∈ {*0..<?q*} *coeff B i* ∈ {*0..<p*}
unfolding *p.Mp-ident-iff q.Mp-ident-iff* **by** *auto*
thus *coeff D i* + *?q ∗ coeff B i* ∈ {*0..< ?pq*} **by** (*rule range-sum-prod*)
qed
have *normH′*: *pq.Mp H′* = *H′*
unfolding *H′ pq.Mp-ident-iff poly-mod.Mp-coeff plus-poly.rep-eq coeff-smult*
proof
fix *i*
from *norm*(*2*) *dupe*(*3*) **have** *coeff H i* ∈ {*0..<?q*} *coeff A i* ∈ {*0..<p*}
unfolding *p.Mp-ident-iff q.Mp-ident-iff* **by** *auto*
thus *coeff H i* + *?q ∗ coeff A i* ∈ {*0..< ?pq*} **by** (*rule range-sum-prod*)
qed
have *eq*: *p.eq-m D D′ p.eq-m H H′* **unfolding** *D′ H′ n*

```
    poly-eq-iff p.Mp-coeff p.M-def by (auto simp: field-simps)
  with p-eq have eq: p.eq-m D' D1 p.eq-m H' H1 by auto
  {
   assume CDH'': pq.eq-m C (D'' * H'')
    and DH1'': p.eq-m D1 D'' p.eq-m H1 H''
    and norm'': pq.Mp D'' = D'' pq.Mp H'' = H''
    and monD'': monic D''
   from q.Dp-Mp-eq[of D''] obtain d B' where D'': D'' = q.Mp d + smult ?q
B' by auto
   from q.Dp-Mp-eq[of H''] obtain h A' where H'': H'' = q.Mp h + smult ?q
A' by auto
   {
    fix A B
    assume *: pq.Mp (q.Mp A + smult ?q B) = q.Mp A + smult ?q B
    have p.Mp B = B unfolding p.Mp-ident-iff
    proof
     fix i
     from arg-cong[OF *, of λ f. coeff f i, unfolded pq.Mp-coeff pq.M-def]
    have coeff (q.Mp A + smult ?q B) i ∈ {0 ..< ?pq} using * pq.Mp-ident-iff
by blast
     hence sum: coeff (q.Mp A) i + ?q * coeff B i ∈ {0 ..< ?pq} by auto
     have q.Mp (q.Mp A) = q.Mp A by auto
    from this[unfolded q.Mp-ident-iff] have A: coeff (q.Mp A) i ∈ {0 ..< p^n}
by auto
     {
      assume coeff B i < 0 hence coeff B i ≤ −1 by auto
       from mult-left-mono[OF this, of ?q] q.m1 have ?q * coeff B i ≤ −?q
by simp
      with A sum have False by auto
     } hence coeff B i ≥ 0 by force
     moreover
     {
      assume coeff B i ≥ p
     from mult-left-mono[OF this, of ?q] q.m1 have ?q * coeff B i ≥ ?pq by
simp
      with A sum have False by auto
     } hence coeff B i < p by force
     ultimately show coeff B i ∈ {0 ..< p} by auto
    qed
   } note norm-convert = this
  from norm-convert[OF norm''(1)[unfolded D'']] have normB': p.Mp B' = B'
.
  from norm-convert[OF norm''(2)[unfolded H'']] have normA': p.Mp A' = A'
.
   let ?d = q.Mp d
   let ?h = q.Mp h
   {
    assume lt: degree ?d < degree B'
    hence eq: degree D'' = degree B' unfolding D'' using q.m1 p.m1
```

```
      by (subst degree-add-eq-right, auto)
    from lt have [simp]: coeff ?d (degree B') = 0 by (rule coeff-eq-0)
    from monD''[unfolded eq, unfolded D'', simplified] False q.m1 lt have False
      by (metis mod-mult-self1-is-0 poly-mod.M-def q.M-1 zero-neq-one)
  }
  hence deg-dB': degree ?d ≥ degree B' by presburger
  {
    assume eq: degree ?d = degree B' and B': B' ≠ 0
    let ?B = coeff B' (degree B')
    from normB'[unfolded p.Mp-ident-iff, rule-format, of degree B'] B'
    have ?B ∈ {0..<p} − {0} by simp
    hence bnds: ?B > 0 ?B < p by auto
    have degD'': degree D'' ≤ degree ?d unfolding D'' using eq by (simp add:
degree-add-le)
    have ?q * ?B ≥ 1 * 1 by (rule mult-mono, insert q.m1 bnds, auto)
    moreover have coeff D'' (degree ?d) = 1 + ?q * ?B using monD''
      unfolding D'' using eq
      by (metis D'' coeff-smult monD'' plus-poly.rep-eq poly-mod.Dp-Mp-eq
          poly-mod.degree-m-eq-monic poly-mod.plus-Mp(1)
          q.Mp-smult-m-0 q.m1 q.monic-Mp q.plus-Mp(2))
    ultimately have gt: coeff D'' (degree ?d) > 1 by auto
    hence coeff D'' (degree ?d) ≠ 0 by auto
    hence degree D'' ≥ degree ?d by (rule le-degree)
    with degree-add-le-max[of ?d smult ?q B', folded D'] eq
    have deg: degree D'' = degree ?d using degD'' by linarith
    from gt[folded this] have ¬ monic D'' by auto
    with monD'' have False by auto
  }
  with deg-dB' have deg-dB2: B' = 0 ∨ degree B' < degree ?d by fastforce
  have d: q.Mp D'' = ?d unfolding D''
    by (metis add.right-neutral poly-mod.Mp-smult-m-0 poly-mod.plus-Mp)
  have h: q.Mp H'' = ?h unfolding H''
    by (metis add.right-neutral poly-mod.Mp-smult-m-0 poly-mod.plus-Mp)
  from CDH'' have pq.Mp C = pq.Mp (D'' * H'') by simp
  from arg-cong[OF this, of q.Mp]
  have q.Mp C = q.Mp (D'' * H'')
    using p.m1 q.Mp-product-modulus by auto
  also have ... = q.Mp (q.Mp D'' * q.Mp H'') by simp
  also have ... = q.Mp (?d * ?h) unfolding d h by simp
  finally have eqC: q.eq-m (?d * ?h) C by auto
  have d1: p.eq-m ?d D1 unfolding d[symmetric] using DH1''
    using assms(4) n p.Mp-product-modulus p.m1 by auto
  have h1: p.eq-m ?h H1 unfolding h[symmetric] using DH1''
    using assms(5) n p.Mp-product-modulus p.m1 by auto
  have mond: monic (q.Mp d) using monD'' deg-dB2 unfolding D''
    using d q.monic-Mp[OF monD'] by simp
  from eqC d1 h1 mond IH[of q.Mp d q.Mp h] have IH: ?d = D ?h = H by
auto
  from deg-dB2[unfolded IH] have degB': B' = 0 ∨ degree B' < degree D by
```

```
auto
    from IH have D'': D'' = D + smult ?q B' and H'': H'' = H + smult ?q A'
      unfolding D'' H'' by auto
    have pq.Mp (D'' * H'') = pq.Mp (D' * H') using CDH'' CDH by simp
    also have pq.Mp (D'' * H'') = pq.Mp ((D + smult ?q B') * (H + smult ?q
A'))
      unfolding D'' H'' by simp
    also have (D + smult ?q B') * (H + smult ?q A') = (D * H + smult ?q (A'
* D + B' * H)) + smult (?q * ?q) (A' * B')
      by (simp add: field-simps smult-distribs)
    also have pq.Mp ... = pq.Mp (D * H + pq.Mp (smult ?q (A' * D + B' *
H)) + pq.Mp (smult (?q * ?q) (A' * B')))
      using pq.plus-Mp by metis
    also have pq.Mp (smult (?q * ?q) (A' * B')) = 0 unfolding qq
      by (metis pq.Mp-smult-m-0 smult-smult)
    finally have pq.Mp (D * H + pq.Mp (smult ?q (A' * D + B' * H)))
      = pq.Mp (D * H + pq.Mp (smult ?q (A * D + B * H))) unfolding DH'
by simp
    hence pq.Mp (smult ?q (A' * D + B' * H)) = pq.Mp (smult ?q (A * D + B
* H))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-left' poly-mod.minus-Mp(1)
poly-mod.plus-Mp(2))
    hence p.Mp (A' * D + B' * H) = p.Mp (A * D + B * H) unfolding
poly-eq-iff p.Mp-coeff pq.Mp-coeff coeff-smult
      by (insert p, auto simp: p.M-def pq.M-def)
    hence p.Mp (A' * D1 + B' * H1) = p.Mp (A * D1 + B * H1) using p-eq
      by (metis p.mult-Mp(2) poly-mod.plus-Mp)
    hence eq: p.eq-m (A' * D1 + B' * H1) U using dupe(1) by auto
    have degree D = degree D1 using monD monD1
      arg-cong[OF p-eq(1), of degree]
      p.degree-m-eq-monic[OF - p.m1] by auto
    hence B' = 0 ∨ degree B' < degree D1 using degB' by simp
    from dupe(5)[OF cop eq this normDH1(1) normA' normB' prime] have A'
= A B' = B by auto
    hence D'' = D' H'' = H' unfolding D'' H'' D' H' by auto
  }
  thus ?thesis using normD' normH' CDH mon eq by simp
 qed
qed simp
end
end

definition linear-hensel-binary :: int ⇒ nat ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒
int poly × int poly where
 linear-hensel-binary p n C D H = (let
   (S,T) = euclid-ext-poly-dynamic p D H
   in linear-hensel-main C p S T D H n)

lemma (in poly-mod-prime) unique-hensel-binary:
```

assumes *prime*: *prime p*
and *cop*: *coprime-m D H* **and** *eq*: *eq-m* (*D* ∗ *H*) *C*
and *normalized-input*: *Mp D* = *D Mp H* = *H*
and *monic-input*: *monic D*
and *n*: *n* ≠ *0*
shows ∃! (*D*′,*H*′). — *D*′, *H*′ are computed via *linear-hensel-binary*
poly-mod.eq-m (*p*^*n*) (*D*′ ∗ *H*′) *C* — the main result: equivalence mod *p*^*n*
∧ *monic D*′ — monic output
∧ *eq-m D D*′ ∧ *eq-m H H*′ — apply *'mod p'* on *D*′ and *H*′ yields *D* and *H* again
∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *D*′ = *D*′ ∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *H*′ = *H*′ — output is normalized
proof −
obtain *D*′ *H*′ **where** *hensel-result*: *linear-hensel-binary p n C D H* = (*D*′,*H*′) **by** *force*
from *m1* **have** *p*: *p* > *1* **.**
obtain *S T* **where** *ext*: *euclid-ext-poly-dynamic p D H* = (*S*,*T*) **by** *force*
obtain *D1 H1* **where** *main*: *linear-hensel-main C p S T D H n* = (*D1*,*H1*) **by** *force*
from *hensel-result*[*unfolded linear-hensel-binary-def ext split Let-def main*]
have *id*: *D1* = *D*′ *H1* = *H*′ **by** *auto*
note *eucl* = *euclid-ext-poly-dynamic* [*OF cop normalized-input ext*]
from *linear-hensel-main* [*OF eucl*(*1*)
eq monic-input normalized-input main [*unfolded id*] *n prime cop*]
show *?thesis* **by** (*intro ex1I*, *auto*)
qed

context
fixes *C* :: *int poly*
begin

lemma *hensel-step-main*: **assumes**
one-q: *poly-mod.eq-m q* (*D* ∗ *S* + *H* ∗ *T*) *1*
and *one-p*: *poly-mod.eq-m p* (*D1* ∗ *S1* + *H1* ∗ *T1*) *1*
and *CDHq*: *poly-mod.eq-m q C* (*D* ∗ *H*)
and *D1D*: *poly-mod.eq-m p D1 D*
and *H1H*: *poly-mod.eq-m p H1 H*
and *S1S*: *poly-mod.eq-m p S1 S*
and *T1T*: *poly-mod.eq-m p T1 T*
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *mon1*: *monic D1*
and *q*: *q* > *1*
and *p*: *p* > *1*
and *D1*: *poly-mod.Mp p D1* = *D1*
and *H1*: *poly-mod.Mp p H1* = *H1*
and *S1*: *poly-mod.Mp p S1* = *S1*
and *T1*: *poly-mod.Mp p T1* = *T1*
and *D*: *poly-mod.Mp q D* = *D*
and *H*: *poly-mod.Mp q H* = *H*

```
  and S: poly-mod.Mp q S = S
  and T: poly-mod.Mp q T = T
  and U1: U1 = poly-mod.Mp p (sdiv-poly (C − D * H) q)
  and dupe1: dupe-monic-dynamic p D1 H1 S1 T1 U1 = (A,B)
  and D': D' = D + smult q B
  and H': H' = H + smult q A
  and U2: U2 = poly-mod.Mp q (sdiv-poly (S*D' + T*H' − 1) p)
  and dupe2: dupe-monic-dynamic q D H S T U2 = (A',B')
  and rq: r = p * q
  and pq: p dvd q
  and S': S' = poly-mod.Mp r (S − smult p A')
  and T': T' = poly-mod.Mp r (T − smult p B')
shows poly-mod.eq-m r C (D' * H')
  poly-mod.Mp r D' = D'
  poly-mod.Mp r H' = H'
  poly-mod.Mp r S' = S'
  poly-mod.Mp r T' = T'
  poly-mod.eq-m r (D' * S' + H' * T') 1
  monic D'
  unfolding rq
proof −
  from pq obtain k where qp: q = p * k unfolding dvd-def by auto
  from arg-cong[OF qp, of sgn] q p have k0: k > 0 unfolding sgn-mult by (auto
simp: sgn-1-pos)
  from qp have qq: q * q = p * q * k by auto
  let ?r = p * q
  interpret poly-mod-2 p by (standard, insert p, auto)
  interpret q: poly-mod-2 q by (standard, insert q, auto)
  from p q have r: ?r > 1 by (simp add: less-1-mult)
  interpret r: poly-mod-2 ?r using r unfolding poly-mod-2-def .
  have Mp-conv: Mp (q.Mp x) = Mp x for x unfolding qp
    by (rule Mp-product-modulus[OF refl k0])
  from arg-cong[OF CDHq, of Mp, unfolded Mp-conv] have Mp C = Mp (Mp D
* Mp H)
    by simp
  also have Mp D = Mp D1 using D1D by simp
  also have Mp H = Mp H1 using H1H by simp
  finally have CDHp: eq-m C (D1 * H1) by simp
  have Mp U1 = U1 unfolding U1 by simp
  note dupe1 = dupe-monic-dynamic[OF dupe1 one-p mon1 D1 H1 S1 T1 this]
  have q.Mp U2 = U2 unfolding U2 by simp
  note dupe2 = q.dupe-monic-dynamic[OF dupe2 one-q mon D H S T this]
  from CDHq have q.Mp C − q.Mp (D * H) = 0 by simp
  hence q.Mp (q.Mp C − q.Mp (D * H)) = 0 by simp
  hence q.Mp (C − D*H) = 0 by simp
  from q.Mp-0-smult-sdiv-poly[OF this] have CDHq: smult q (sdiv-poly (C − D *
H) q) = C − D * H .
  {
    fix A B
```

have $Mp\ (A * D1 + B * H1) = Mp\ (Mp\ (A * D1) + Mp\ (B * H1))$ **by** *simp*
also have $Mp\ (A * D1) = Mp\ (A * Mp\ D1)$ **by** *simp*
also have $\ldots = Mp\ (A * D)$ **unfolding** *D1D* **by** *simp*
also have $Mp\ (B * H1) = Mp\ (B * Mp\ H1)$ **by** *simp*
also have $\ldots = Mp\ (B * H)$ **unfolding** *H1H* **by** *simp*
finally have $Mp\ (A * D1 + B * H1) = Mp\ (A * D + B * H)$ **by** *simp*
} note *D1H1* = *this*
have $r.Mp\ (D' * H') = r.Mp\ ((D + smult\ q\ B) * (H + smult\ q\ A))$
unfolding $D'\ H'$ **by** *simp*
also have $(D + smult\ q\ B) * (H + smult\ q\ A) = (D * H + smult\ q\ (A * D + B * H)) + smult\ (q * q)\ (A * B)$
by (*simp add*: *field-simps smult-distribs*)
also have $r.Mp\ \ldots = r.Mp\ (D * H + r.Mp\ (smult\ q\ (A * D + B * H)) + r.Mp\ (smult\ (q * q)\ (A * B)))$
using *r.plus-Mp* **by** *metis*
also have $r.Mp\ (smult\ (q * q)\ (A * B)) = 0$ **unfolding** *qq*
by (*metis r.Mp-smult-m-0 smult-smult*)
also have $r.Mp\ (smult\ q\ (A * D + B * H)) = r.Mp\ (smult\ q\ U1)$
proof (*rule Mp-lift-modulus*[*of* - - *q*])
show $Mp\ (A * D + B * H) = Mp\ U1$ **using** *dupe1*(*1*) **unfolding** *D1H1* **by** *simp*
qed
also have $\ldots = r.Mp\ (C - D * H)$
unfolding *arg-cong*[*OF CDHq*, *of r.Mp*, *symmetric*]
using *Mp-lift-modulus*[*of U1 sdiv-poly* $(C - D * H)$ *q q*] **unfolding** *U1*
by *simp*
also have $r.Mp\ (D * H + r.Mp\ (C - D * H) + 0) = r.Mp\ C$ **by** *simp*
finally show *CDH*: $r.eq\text{-}m\ C\ (D' * H')$ **by** *simp*
have $degree\ D1 = degree\ (Mp\ D1)$ **using** *mon1* **by** *simp*
also have $\ldots = degree\ D$ **unfolding** *D1D* **using** *mon* **by** *simp*
finally have *deg-eq*: $degree\ D1 = degree\ D$ **by** *simp*
show *mon*: $monic\ D'$ **unfolding** D' **using** *dupe1*(*2*) *mon* **unfolding** *deg-eq* **by** (*rule monic-smult-add-small*)
have $Mp\ (S * D' + T * H' - 1) = Mp\ (Mp\ (D * S + H * T) + (smult\ q\ (S * B + T * A) - 1))$
unfolding $D'\ H'$ *plus-Mp* **by** (*simp add*: *field-simps smult-distribs*)
also have $Mp\ (D * S + H * T) = Mp\ (Mp\ (D1 * Mp\ S) + Mp\ (H1 * Mp\ T))$ **using** *D1H1*[*of S T*] **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
also have $\ldots = 1$ **using** *one-p* **unfolding** *S1S*[*symmetric*] *T1T*[*symmetric*] **by** *simp*
also have $Mp\ (1 + (smult\ q\ (S * B + T * A) - 1)) = Mp\ (smult\ q\ (S * B + T * A))$ **by** *simp*
also have $\ldots = 0$ **unfolding** *qp* **by** (*metis Mp-smult-m-0 smult-smult*)
finally have $Mp\ (S * D' + T * H' - 1) = 0$ **.**
from *Mp-0-smult-sdiv-poly*[*OF this*]
have *SDTH*: $smult\ p\ (sdiv\text{-}poly\ (S * D' + T * H' - 1)\ p) = S * D' + T * H' - 1$ **.**
have *swap*: $q * p = p * q$ **by** *simp*
have $r.Mp\ (D' * S' + H' * T') =$

```
    r.Mp ((D + smult q B) * (S − smult p A′) + (H + smult q A) * (T − smult
p B′))
    unfolding D′ S′ H′ T′ rq using r.plus-Mp r.mult-Mp by metis
  also have ... = r.Mp ((D * S + H * T +
    smult q (B * S + A * T)) − smult p (A′ * D + B′ * H) − smult ?r (A * B′
+ B * A′))
    by (simp add: field-simps smult-distribs)
  also have ... = r.Mp ((D * S + H * T +
   smult q (B * S + A * T)) − r.Mp (smult p (A′ * D + B′ * H)) − r.Mp (smult
?r (A * B′ + B * A′)))
    using r.plus-Mp r.minus-Mp by metis
  also have r.Mp (smult ?r (A * B′ + B * A′)) = 0 by simp
  also have r.Mp (smult p (A′ * D + B′ * H)) = r.Mp (smult p U2)
    using q.Mp-lift-modulus[OF dupe2(1), of p] unfolding swap .
  also have ... = r.Mp (S * D′ + T * H′ − 1)
    unfolding arg-cong[OF SDTH, of r.Mp, symmetric]
    using q.Mp-lift-modulus[of U2 sdiv-poly (S * D′ + T * H′ − 1) p p]
    unfolding U2 swap by simp
  also have S * D′ + T * H′ − 1 = S * D + T * H + smult q (B * S + A *
T) − 1
    unfolding D′ H′ by (simp add: field-simps smult-distribs)
  also have r.Mp (D * S + H * T + smult q (B * S + A * T) −
     r.Mp (S * D + T * H + smult q (B * S + A * T) − 1) − 0)
       = 1 by simp
  finally show 1: r.eq-m (D′ * S′ + H′ * T′) 1 by simp
 show D′: r.Mp D′ = D′ unfolding D′ r.Mp-ident-iff poly-mod.Mp-coeff plus-poly.rep-eq
    coeff-smult
  proof
    fix n
    from D dupe1(4) have coeff D n ∈ {0..<q} coeff B n ∈ {0..<p}
      unfolding q.Mp-ident-iff Mp-ident-iff by auto
    thus coeff D n + q * coeff B n ∈ {0..<?r} by (metis range-sum-prod)
  qed
 show H′: r.Mp H′ = H′ unfolding H′ r.Mp-ident-iff poly-mod.Mp-coeff plus-poly.rep-eq
    coeff-smult
  proof
    fix n
    from H dupe1(3) have coeff H n ∈ {0..<q} coeff A n ∈ {0..<p}
      unfolding q.Mp-ident-iff Mp-ident-iff by auto
    thus coeff H n + q * coeff A n ∈ {0..<?r} by (metis range-sum-prod)
  qed
  show poly-mod.Mp ?r S′ = S′ poly-mod.Mp ?r T′ = T′
    unfolding S′ T′ rq by auto
qed

definition hensel-step where
  hensel-step p q S1 T1 D1 H1 S T D H = (
      let U = poly-mod.Mp p (sdiv-poly (C − D * H) q); — Z2 and Z3
        (A,B) = dupe-monic-dynamic p D1 H1 S1 T1 U;
```

```
    D' = D + smult q B; — Z4
    H' = H + smult q A;
    U' = poly-mod.Mp q (sdiv-poly (S*D' + T*H' - 1) p); — Z5 + Z6
    (A',B') = dupe-monic-dynamic q D H S T U';
    q' = p * q;
    S' = poly-mod.Mp q' (S - smult p A'); — Z7
    T' = poly-mod.Mp q' (T - smult p B')
  in (S',T',D',H'))
```

definition *quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H = hensel-step q q S T D H S T D H*

lemma *quadratic-hensel-step-code*[*code*]:
quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H =
(*let dupe = dupe-monic-dynamic q D H S T*; — this will share the conversions of *D H S T*
*U = poly-mod.Mp q (sdiv-poly (C − D * H) q)*;
(A, B) = dupe U;
D' = D + Polynomial.smult q B;
H' = H + Polynomial.smult q A;
*U' = poly-mod.Mp q (sdiv-poly (S * D' + T * H' − 1) q)*;
(A', B') = dupe U';
*q' = q * q*;
S' = poly-mod.Mp q' (S − Polynomial.smult q A');
T' = poly-mod.Mp q' (T − Polynomial.smult q B')
in (S', T', D', H'))
unfolding *quadratic-hensel-step-def*[*unfolded hensel-step-def*] *Let-def* **..**

definition *simple-quadratic-hensel-step* **where** — do not compute new values S' and T'
simple-quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H = (
*let U = poly-mod.Mp q (sdiv-poly (C − D * H) q)*; — *Z2 + Z3*
(A,B) = dupe-monic-dynamic q D H S T U;
D' = D + smult q B; — *Z4*
H' = H + smult q A
in (D',H'))

lemma *hensel-step*: **assumes** *step*: *hensel-step p q S1 T1 D1 H1 S T D H = (S', T', D', H')*
and *one-p*: *poly-mod.eq-m p (D1 * S1 + H1 * T1) 1*
and *mon1*: *monic D1*
and *p*: *p > 1*
and *CDHq*: *poly-mod.eq-m q C (D * H)*
and *one-q*: *poly-mod.eq-m q (D * S + H * T) 1*
and *D1D*: *poly-mod.eq-m p D1 D*
and *H1H*: *poly-mod.eq-m p H1 H*
and *S1S*: *poly-mod.eq-m p S1 S*
and *T1T*: *poly-mod.eq-m p T1 T*
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *q*: *q > 1*

and *D1*: *poly-mod.Mp p D1 = D1*
and *H1*: *poly-mod.Mp p H1 = H1*
and *S1*: *poly-mod.Mp p S1 = S1*
and *T1*: *poly-mod.Mp p T1 = T1*
and *D*: *poly-mod.Mp q D = D*
and *H*: *poly-mod.Mp q H = H*
and *S*: *poly-mod.Mp q S = S*
and *T*: *poly-mod.Mp q T = T*
and *rq*: *r = p * q*
and *pq*: *p dvd q*
shows
*poly-mod.eq-m r C (D' * H')*
*poly-mod.eq-m r (D' * S' + H' * T') 1*
poly-mod.Mp r D' = D'
poly-mod.Mp r H' = H'
poly-mod.Mp r S' = S'
poly-mod.Mp r T' = T'
poly-mod.Mp p D1 = poly-mod.Mp p D'
poly-mod.Mp p H1 = poly-mod.Mp p H'
poly-mod.Mp p S1 = poly-mod.Mp p S'
poly-mod.Mp p T1 = poly-mod.Mp p T'
monic D'
proof −
define *U* **where** *U*: *U = poly-mod.Mp p (sdiv-poly (C − D * H) q)*
note *step = step*[*unfolded hensel-step-def Let-def*, *folded U*]
obtain *A B* **where** *dupe1*: *dupe-monic-dynamic p D1 H1 S1 T1 U = (A,B)* **by** *force*
note *step = step*[*unfolded dupe1 split*]
from *step* **have** *D'*: *D' = D + smult q B* **and** *H'*: *H' = H + smult q A*
by (*auto split*: *prod.splits*)
define *U'* **where** *U'*: *U' = poly-mod.Mp q (sdiv-poly (S * D' + T * H' − 1) p)*
obtain *A' B'* **where** *dupe2*: *dupe-monic-dynamic q D H S T U' = (A',B')* **by** *force*
from *step*[*folded D' H'*, *folded U'*, *unfolded dupe2 split*, *folded rq*]
have *S'*: *S' = poly-mod.Mp r (S − Polynomial.smult p A')* **and**
T': *T' = poly-mod.Mp r (T − Polynomial.smult p B')* **by** *auto*
from *hensel-step-main*[*OF one-q one-p CDHq D1D H1H S1S T1T mon mon1 q p D1 H1 S1 T1 D H S T U*
dupe1 D' H' U' dupe2 rq pq S' T']
show *poly-mod.eq-m r (D' * S' + H' * T') 1*
*poly-mod.eq-m r C (D' * H')*
poly-mod.Mp r D' = D'
poly-mod.Mp r H' = H'
poly-mod.Mp r S' = S'
poly-mod.Mp r T' = T'
monic D' **by** *auto*
from *pq* **obtain** *s* **where** *q*: *q = p * s* **by** (*metis dvdE*)
show *poly-mod.Mp p D1 = poly-mod.Mp p D'*

poly-mod.Mp p H1 = poly-mod.Mp p H′
unfolding *q D′ D1D H′ H1H*
by (*metis add.right-neutral poly-mod.Mp-smult-m-0 poly-mod.plus-Mp*(*2*) *smult-smult*)+

from ‹*q > 1*› **have** *q0*: *q > 0* **by** *auto*
show *poly-mod.Mp p S1 = poly-mod.Mp p S′*
poly-mod.Mp p T1 = poly-mod.Mp p T′
unfolding *S′ S1S T′ T1T poly-mod-2.Mp-product-modulus*[*OF poly-mod-2.intro*[*OF* ‹*p > 1*›] *rq q0*]
by (*metis group-add-class.diff-0-right poly-mod.Mp-smult-m-0 poly-mod.minus-Mp*(*2*))+

qed

lemma *quadratic-hensel-step*: **assumes** *step*: *quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H* = (*S′*, *T′*, *D′*, *H′*)
and *CDH*: *poly-mod.eq-m q C* (*D* ∗ *H*)
and *one*: *poly-mod.eq-m q* (*D* ∗ *S* + *H* ∗ *T*) *1*
and *D*: *poly-mod.Mp q D* = *D*
and *H*: *poly-mod.Mp q H* = *H*
and *S*: *poly-mod.Mp q S* = *S*
and *T*: *poly-mod.Mp q T* = *T*
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *q*: *q > 1*
and *rq*: *r* = *q* ∗ *q*
shows
poly-mod.eq-m r C (*D′* ∗ *H′*)
poly-mod.eq-m r (*D′* ∗ *S′* + *H′* ∗ *T′*) *1*
poly-mod.Mp r D′ = *D′*
poly-mod.Mp r H′ = *H′*
poly-mod.Mp r S′ = *S′*
poly-mod.Mp r T′ = *T′*
poly-mod.Mp q D = *poly-mod.Mp q D′*
poly-mod.Mp q H = *poly-mod.Mp q H′*
poly-mod.Mp q S = *poly-mod.Mp q S′*
poly-mod.Mp q T = *poly-mod.Mp q T′*
monic D′
proof (*atomize*(*full*), *goal-cases*)
case *1*
from *hensel-step*[*OF step*[*unfolded quadratic-hensel-step-def*] *one mon q CDH one refl refl refl refl mon q D H S T D H S T rq*]
show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

context
fixes *p* :: *int* **and** *S1 T1 D1 H1* :: *int poly*
begin
private lemma *decrease*[*termination-simp*]: ¬ *j* ≤ *1* ⟹ *odd j* ⟹ *Suc* (*j div 2*) < *j* **by** *presburger*

```
fun quadratic-hensel-loop where
  quadratic-hensel-loop (j :: nat) = (
      if j ≤ 1 then (p, S1, T1, D1, H1) else
      if even j then
          (case quadratic-hensel-loop (j div 2) of
            (q, S, T, D, H) ⇒
          let qq = q * q in
          (case quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H of — quadratic step
            (S', T', D', H') ⇒ (qq, S', T', D', H')))
      else — odd j
        (case quadratic-hensel-loop (j div 2 + 1) of
          (q, S, T, D, H) ⇒
          (case quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H of — quadratic step
            (S', T', D', H') ⇒
                let qq = q * q; pj = qq div p; down = poly-mod.Mp pj in
                  (pj, down S', down T', down D', down H'))))

definition quadratic-hensel-main j = (case quadratic-hensel-loop j of
    (qq, S, T, D, H) ⇒ (D, H))

declare quadratic-hensel-loop.simps[simp del]

— unroll the definition of hensel-loop so that in outermost iteration we can use
simple-hensel-step
lemma quadratic-hensel-main-code[code]: quadratic-hensel-main j = (
   if j ≤ 1 then (D1, H1)
      else if even j
      then (case quadratic-hensel-loop (j div 2) of
            (q, S, T, D, H) ⇒
              simple-quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H)
       else (case quadratic-hensel-loop (j div 2 + 1) of
            (q, S, T, D, H) ⇒
              (case simple-quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H of
                (D', H') ⇒ let down = poly-mod.Mp (q * q div p) in (down D', down
H'))))
  unfolding quadratic-hensel-loop.simps[of j] quadratic-hensel-main-def Let-def
  by (simp split: if-splits prod.splits option.splits sum.splits
      add: quadratic-hensel-step-code simple-quadratic-hensel-step-def Let-def)


context
  fixes j :: nat
  assumes 1: poly-mod.eq-m p (D1 * S1 + H1 * T1) 1
  and CDH1: poly-mod.eq-m p C (D1 * H1)
  and mon1: monic D1
  and p: p > 1
  and D1: poly-mod.Mp p D1 = D1
  and H1: poly-mod.Mp p H1 = H1
  and S1: poly-mod.Mp p S1 = S1
```

```
  and T1: poly-mod.Mp p T1 = T1
  and j: j ≥ 1
begin

lemma quadratic-hensel-loop:
  assumes quadratic-hensel-loop j = (q, S, T, D, H)
  shows (poly-mod.eq-m q C (D * H) ∧ monic D
    ∧ poly-mod.eq-m p D1 D ∧ poly-mod.eq-m p H1 H
    ∧ poly-mod.eq-m q (D * S + H * T) 1
    ∧ poly-mod.Mp q D = D ∧ poly-mod.Mp q H = H
    ∧ poly-mod.Mp q S = S ∧ poly-mod.Mp q T = T
    ∧ q = p^j)
  using j assms
proof (induct j arbitrary: q S T D H rule: less-induct)
  case (less j q' S' T' D' H')
  note res = less(3)
  interpret poly-mod-2 p using p by (rule poly-mod-2.intro)
  let ?hens = quadratic-hensel-loop
  note simp[simp] = quadratic-hensel-loop.simps[of j]
  show ?case
  proof (cases j = 1)
    case True
    show ?thesis using res simp unfolding True using CDH1 1 mon1 D1 H1 S1
T1 by auto
  next
    case False
    with less(2) have False: (j ≤ 1) = False by auto
     have mod-2: k ≥ 1 ⟹ poly-mod-2 (p^k) for k by (intro poly-mod-2.intro,
insert p, auto)
    {
      fix k D
      assume *: k ≥ 1 k ≤ j poly-mod.Mp (p ^ k) D = D
      from *(2) have {0..<p ^ k} ⊆ {0..<p ^ j} using p by auto
      hence poly-mod.Mp (p ^ j) D = D
        unfolding poly-mod-2.Mp-ident-iff[OF mod-2[OF less(2)]]
        using *(3)[unfolded poly-mod-2.Mp-ident-iff[OF mod-2[OF *(1)]]] by blast
    } note lift-norm = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases even j)
      case True
      let ?j2 = j div 2
      from False have lt: ?j2 < j 1 ≤ ?j2 by auto
      obtain q S T D H where rec: ?hens ?j2 = (q, S, T, D, H) by (cases ?hens
?j2, auto)
      note IH = less(1)[OF lt rec]
      from IH
      have *: poly-mod.eq-m q C (D * H)
        poly-mod.eq-m q (D * S + H * T) 1
        monic D
```

```
    eq-m D1 D
    eq-m H1 H
    poly-mod.Mp q D = D
    poly-mod.Mp q H = H
    poly-mod.Mp q S = S
    poly-mod.Mp q T = T
    q = p ^ ?j2
    by auto
  hence norm: poly-mod.Mp (p ^ j) D = D poly-mod.Mp (p ^ j) H = H
    poly-mod.Mp (p ^ j) S = S poly-mod.Mp (p ^ j) T = T
    using lift-norm[OF lt(2)] by auto
  from lt p have q: q > 1 unfolding * by simp
  let ?step = quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H
  obtain S2 T2 D2 H2 where step-res: ?step = (S2, T2, D2, H2) by (cases
?step, auto)
  note step = quadratic-hensel-step[OF step-res *(1,2,6-9,3) q refl]
  let ?qq = q * q
  {
    fix D D2
    assume poly-mod.Mp q D = poly-mod.Mp q D2
      from arg-cong[OF this, of Mp] Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp[of ?j2, OF - p, folded
*(10)] lt
    have Mp D = Mp D2 by simp
  } note shrink = this
  have **: poly-mod.eq-m ?qq C (D2 * H2)
    poly-mod.eq-m ?qq (D2 * S2 + H2 * T2) 1
    monic D2
    eq-m D1 D2
    eq-m H1 H2
    poly-mod.Mp ?qq D2 = D2
    poly-mod.Mp ?qq H2 = H2
    poly-mod.Mp ?qq S2 = S2
    poly-mod.Mp ?qq T2 = T2
    using step shrink[of H H2] shrink[of D D2] *(4-7) by auto
  note simp = simp False if-False rec split Let-def step-res option.simps
  from True have j: p ^ j = p ^ (2 * ?j2) by auto
  with *(10) have qq: q * q = p ^ j
    by (simp add: power-mult-distrib semiring-normalization-rules(30-))
  from res[unfolded simp] True have id': q' = ?qq S' = S2 T' = T2 D' = D2
H' = H2 by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding id' using ** by (auto simp: qq)
next
  case odd: False
  hence False': (even j) = False by auto
  let ?j2 = j div 2 + 1
  from False odd have lt: ?j2 < j 1 ≤ ?j2 by presburger+
  obtain q S T D H where rec: ?hens ?j2 = (q, S, T, D, H) by (cases ?hens
?j2, auto)
  note IH = less(1)[OF lt rec]
```

```
    note simp = simp False if-False rec sum.simps split Let-def False' option.simps
    from IH have *: poly-mod.eq-m q C (D * H)
        poly-mod.eq-m q (D * S + H * T) 1
        monic D
        eq-m D1 D
        eq-m H1 H
        poly-mod.Mp q D = D
        poly-mod.Mp q H = H
        poly-mod.Mp q S = S
        poly-mod.Mp q T = T
        q = p ^ ?j2
        by auto
    hence norm: poly-mod.Mp (p ^ j) D = D poly-mod.Mp (p ^ j) H = H
      using lift-norm[OF lt(2)] lt by auto
    from lt p have q: q > 1 unfolding *
      using mod-2 poly-mod-2.m1 by blast
    let ?step = quadratic-hensel-step q S T D H
    obtain S2 T2 D2 H2 where step-res: ?step = (S2, T2, D2, H2) by (cases
?step, auto)
    have dvd: q dvd q by auto
    note step = quadratic-hensel-step[OF step-res *(1,2,6-9,3) q refl]
    let ?qq = q * q
    {
      fix D D2
      assume poly-mod.Mp q D = poly-mod.Mp q D2
        from arg-cong[OF this, of Mp] Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp[of ?j2, OF - p, folded
*(10)] lt
      have Mp D = Mp D2 by simp
    } note shrink = this
    have **: poly-mod.eq-m ?qq C (D2 * H2)
      poly-mod.eq-m ?qq (D2 * S2 + H2 * T2) 1
      monic D2
      eq-m D1 D2
      eq-m H1 H2
      poly-mod.Mp ?qq D2 = D2
      poly-mod.Mp ?qq H2 = H2
      poly-mod.Mp ?qq S2 = S2
      poly-mod.Mp ?qq T2 = T2
      using step shrink[of H H2] shrink[of D D2] *(4-7) by auto
    note simp = simp False if-False rec split Let-def step-res option.simps
    from odd have j: Suc j = 2 * ?j2 by auto
    from arg-cong[OF this, of λ j. p ^ j div p]
     have pj: p ^ j = q * q div p and qq: q * q = p ^ j * p unfolding *(10)
using p
      by (simp add: power-mult-distrib semiring-normalization-rules(30-))+
    let ?pj = p ^ j
    from res[unfolded simp] pj
    have id:
      q' = p^j
```

```
      S' = poly-mod.Mp ?pj S2
      T' = poly-mod.Mp ?pj T2
      D' = poly-mod.Mp ?pj D2
      H' = poly-mod.Mp ?pj H2
      by auto
    interpret pj: poly-mod-2 ?pj by (rule mod-2[OF ‹1 ≤ j›])
    have norm: pj.Mp D' = D' pj.Mp H' = H'
      unfolding id by (auto simp: poly-mod.Mp-Mp)
    have mon: monic D' using pj.monic-Mp[OF step(11)] unfolding id .
    have id': Mp (pj.Mp D) = Mp D for D using ‹1 ≤ j›
      by (simp add: Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp p)
    have eq: eq-m D1 D2 ⟹ eq-m D1 (pj.Mp D2) for D1 D2
      unfolding id' by auto
    have id'': pj.Mp (poly-mod.Mp (q * q) D) = pj.Mp D for D
      unfolding qq by (rule pj.Mp-product-modulus[OF refl], insert p, auto)
    {
      fix D1 D2
      assume poly-mod.eq-m (q * q) D1 D2
      hence poly-mod.Mp (q * q) D1 = poly-mod.Mp (q * q) D2 by simp
      from arg-cong[OF this, of pj.Mp]
      have pj.Mp D1 = pj.Mp D2 unfolding id'' .
    } note eq' = this
    from eq'[OF step(1)] have eq1: pj.eq-m C (D' * H') unfolding id by simp
    from eq'[OF step(2)] have eq2: pj.eq-m (D' * S' + H' * T') 1
      unfolding id by (metis pj.mult-Mp pj.plus-Mp)
    from **(4-5) have eq3: eq-m D1 D' eq-m H1 H'
      unfolding id by (auto intro: eq)
    from norm mon eq1 eq2 eq3
    show ?thesis unfolding id by simp
  qed
 qed
qed

lemma quadratic-hensel-main: assumes res: quadratic-hensel-main j = (D,H)
 shows poly-mod.eq-m (p^j) C (D * H)
 monic D
 poly-mod.eq-m p D1 D
 poly-mod.eq-m p H1 H
 poly-mod.Mp (p^j) D = D
 poly-mod.Mp (p^j) H = H
proof (atomize(full), goal-cases)
 case 1
 let ?hen = quadratic-hensel-loop j
 from res obtain q S T where hen: ?hen = (q, S, T, D, H)
   by (cases ?hen, auto simp: quadratic-hensel-main-def)
 from quadratic-hensel-loop[OF hen] show ?case by auto
qed
end
end
```

end

datatype *'a factor-tree = Factor-Leaf 'a int poly | Factor-Node 'a 'a factor-tree 'a factor-tree*

fun *factor-node-info* :: *'a factor-tree ⇒ 'a* **where**
  factor-node-info (*Factor-Leaf i x*) = *i*
| *factor-node-info* (*Factor-Node i l r*) = *i*

fun *factors-of-factor-tree* :: *'a factor-tree ⇒ int poly multiset* **where**
  factors-of-factor-tree (*Factor-Leaf i x*) = {#*x*#}
| *factors-of-factor-tree* (*Factor-Node i l r*) = *factors-of-factor-tree l* + *factors-of-factor-tree r*

fun *product-factor-tree* :: *int ⇒ 'a factor-tree ⇒ int poly factor-tree* **where**
  product-factor-tree p (*Factor-Leaf i x*) = (*Factor-Leaf x x*)
| *product-factor-tree p* (*Factor-Node i l r*) = (*let*
    L = *product-factor-tree p l*;
    R = *product-factor-tree p r*;
    f = *factor-node-info L*;
    g = *factor-node-info R*;
    fg = *poly-mod.Mp p* (*f* ∗ *g*)
   in Factor-Node fg L R)

fun *sub-trees* :: *'a factor-tree ⇒ 'a factor-tree set* **where**
  sub-trees (*Factor-Leaf i x*) = {*Factor-Leaf i x*}
| *sub-trees* (*Factor-Node i l r*) = *insert* (*Factor-Node i l r*) (*sub-trees l* ∪ *sub-trees r*)

lemma *sub-trees-refl*[*simp*]: *t* ∈ *sub-trees t* **by** (*cases t*, *auto*)

lemma *product-factor-tree*: **assumes** ⋀ *x*. *x* ∈# *factors-of-factor-tree t* ⟹ *poly-mod.Mp p x* = *x*
  shows *u* ∈ *sub-trees* (*product-factor-tree p t*) ⟹ *factor-node-info u* = *f* ⟹
  poly-mod.Mp p f = *f* ∧ *f* = *poly-mod.Mp p* (*prod-mset* (*factors-of-factor-tree u*))
∧
  factors-of-factor-tree (*product-factor-tree p t*) = *factors-of-factor-tree t*
  using *assms*
proof (*induct t arbitrary*: *u f*)
  case (*Factor-Node i l r u f*)
  interpret *poly-mod p* **.**
  let *?L* = *product-factor-tree p l*
  let *?R* = *product-factor-tree p r*
  let *?f* = *factor-node-info ?L*
  let *?g* = *factor-node-info ?R*
  let *?fg* = *Mp* (*?f* ∗ *?g*)
  have *Mp ?f* = *?f* ∧ *?f* = *Mp* (*prod-mset* (*factors-of-factor-tree ?L*)) ∧
      (*factors-of-factor-tree ?L*) = (*factors-of-factor-tree l*)
      by (*rule Factor-Node*(*1*)[*OF sub-trees-refl refl*], *insert Factor-Node*(*5*), *auto*)

```
  hence IH1: ?f = Mp (prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree ?L))
      (factors-of-factor-tree ?L) = (factors-of-factor-tree l) by blast+
  have Mp ?g = ?g ∧ ?g = Mp (prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree ?R)) ∧
      (factors-of-factor-tree ?R) = (factors-of-factor-tree r)
      by (rule Factor-Node(2)[OF sub-trees-refl refl], insert Factor-Node(5), auto)
  hence IH2: ?g = Mp (prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree ?R))
      (factors-of-factor-tree ?R) = (factors-of-factor-tree r) by blast+
  have id: (factors-of-factor-tree (product-factor-tree p (Factor-Node i l r))) =
    (factors-of-factor-tree (Factor-Node i l r)) by (simp add: Let-def IH1 IH2)
  from Factor-Node(3) consider (root) u = Factor-Node ?fg ?L ?R
    | (l) u ∈ sub-trees ?L | (r) u ∈ sub-trees ?R
    by (auto simp: Let-def)
  thus ?case
  proof cases
    case root
    with Factor-Node have f: f = ?fg by auto
    show ?thesis unfolding f root id by (simp add: Let-def ac-simps IH1 IH2)
  next
    case l
    have Mp f = f ∧ f = Mp (prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree u))
      using Factor-Node(1)[OF l Factor-Node(4)] Factor-Node(5) by auto
    thus ?thesis unfolding id by blast
  next
    case r
    have Mp f = f ∧ f = Mp (prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree u))
      using Factor-Node(2)[OF r Factor-Node(4)] Factor-Node(5) by auto
    thus ?thesis unfolding id by blast
  qed
qed auto

fun create-factor-tree-simple :: int poly list ⇒ unit factor-tree where
  create-factor-tree-simple xs = (let n = length xs in if n ≤ 1 then Factor-Leaf ()
(hd xs)
    else let i = n div 2;
      xs1 = take i xs;
      xs2 = drop i xs
     in Factor-Node () (create-factor-tree-simple xs1) (create-factor-tree-simple xs2)
      )

declare create-factor-tree-simple.simps[simp del]

lemma create-factor-tree-simple: xs ≠ [] ⟹ factors-of-factor-tree (create-factor-tree-simple
xs) = mset xs
proof (induct xs rule: wf-induct[OF wf-measure[of length]])
  case (1 xs)
  from 1(2) have xs: length xs ≠ 0 by auto
  then consider (base) length xs = 1 | (step) length xs > 1 by linarith
  thus ?case
  proof cases
```

```
    case base
    then obtain x where xs: xs = [x] by (cases xs; cases tl xs; auto)
    thus ?thesis by (auto simp: create-factor-tree-simple.simps)
  next
    case step
    let ?i = length xs div 2
    let ?xs1 = take ?i xs
    let ?xs2 = drop ?i xs
    from step have xs1: (?xs1, xs) ∈ measure length ?xs1 ≠ [] by auto
    from step have xs2: (?xs2, xs) ∈ measure length ?xs2 ≠ [] by auto
   from step have id: create-factor-tree-simple xs = Factor-Node () (create-factor-tree-simple
(take ?i xs))
        (create-factor-tree-simple (drop ?i xs)) unfolding create-factor-tree-simple.simps[of
xs] Let-def by auto
    have xs: xs = ?xs1 @ ?xs2 by auto
    show ?thesis unfolding id arg-cong[OF xs, of mset] mset-append
      using 1(1)[rule-format, OF xs1] 1(1)[rule-format, OF xs2]
      by auto
  qed
qed
```

We define a better factorization tree which balances the trees according to their degree., cf. Modern Computer Algebra, Chapter 15.5 on Multifactor Hensel lifting.

```
fun partition-factors-main :: nat ⇒ ('a × nat) list ⇒ ('a × nat) list × ('a × nat)
list where
  partition-factors-main s [] = ([], [])
| partition-factors-main s ((f,d) # xs) = (if d ≤ s then case partition-factors-main
(s − d) xs of
     (l,r) ⇒ ((f,d) # l, r) else case partition-factors-main d xs of
     (l,r) ⇒ (l, (f,d) # r))

lemma partition-factors-main: partition-factors-main s xs = (a,b) ⟹ mset xs =
mset a + mset b
   by (induct s xs arbitrary: a b rule: partition-factors-main.induct, auto split:
if-splits prod.splits)

definition partition-factors :: ('a × nat) list ⇒ ('a × nat) list × ('a × nat) list
where
  partition-factors xs = (let n = sum-list (map snd xs) div 2 in
     case partition-factors-main n xs of
     ([], x # y # ys) ⇒ ([x], y # ys)
   | (x # y # ys, []) ⇒ ([x], y # ys)
   | pair ⇒ pair)

lemma partition-factors: partition-factors xs = (a,b) ⟹ mset xs = mset a + mset
b
  unfolding partition-factors-def Let-def
   by (cases partition-factors-main (sum-list (map snd xs) div 2) xs, auto split:
```

```
list.splits
    simp: partition-factors-main)

lemma partition-factors-length: assumes ¬ length xs ≤ 1 (a,b) = partition-factors
xs
  shows [termination-simp]: length a < length xs length b < length xs and a ≠ []
b ≠ []
proof −
  obtain ys zs where main: partition-factors-main (sum-list (map snd xs) div 2)
xs = (ys,zs) by force
  note res = assms(2)[unfolded partition-factors-def Let-def main split]
  from arg-cong[OF partition-factors-main[OF main], of size] have len: length xs
= length ys + length zs by auto
  with assms(1) have len2: length ys + length zs ≥ 2 by auto
  from res len2 have length a < length xs ∧ length b < length xs ∧ a ≠ [] ∧ b ≠
[] unfolding len
    by (cases ys; cases zs; cases tl ys; cases tl zs; auto)
  thus length a < length xs length b < length xs a ≠ [] b ≠ [] by blast+
qed

fun create-factor-tree-balanced :: (int poly × nat)list ⇒ unit factor-tree where
  create-factor-tree-balanced xs = (if length xs ≤ 1 then Factor-Leaf () (fst (hd xs))
else
     case partition-factors xs of (l,r) ⇒ Factor-Node ()
      (create-factor-tree-balanced l)
      (create-factor-tree-balanced r))

definition create-factor-tree :: int poly list ⇒ unit factor-tree where
  create-factor-tree xs = (let ys = map (λ f. (f, degree f)) xs;
     zs = rev (sort-key snd ys)
     in create-factor-tree-balanced zs)

lemma create-factor-tree-balanced: xs ≠ [] ⟹ factors-of-factor-tree (create-factor-tree-balanced
xs) = mset (map fst xs)
proof (induct xs rule: create-factor-tree-balanced.induct)
  case (1 xs)
  show ?case
  proof (cases length xs ≤ 1)
    case True
    with 1(3) obtain x where xs: xs = [x] by (cases xs; cases tl xs, auto)
    show ?thesis unfolding xs by auto
  next
    case False
    obtain a b where part: partition-factors xs = (a,b) by force
    note abp = this[symmetric]
    note nonempty = partition-factors-length(3−4)[OF False abp]
    note IH = 1(1)[OF False abp nonempty(1)] 1(2)[OF False abp nonempty(2)]
   show ?thesis unfolding create-factor-tree-balanced.simps[of xs] part split using
```

```
    False IH partition-factors[OF part] by auto
  qed
qed

lemma create-factor-tree: assumes xs ≠ []
  shows factors-of-factor-tree (create-factor-tree xs) = mset xs
proof −
  let ?xs = rev (sort-key snd (map (λf. (f, degree f)) xs))
  from assms have set xs ≠ {} by auto
  hence set ?xs ≠ {} by auto
  hence xs: ?xs ≠ [] by blast
  show ?thesis unfolding create-factor-tree-def Let-def create-factor-tree-balanced[OF
xs]
    by (auto, induct xs, auto)
qed

context
  fixes p :: int and n :: nat
begin

definition quadratic-hensel-binary :: int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ×
int poly where
  quadratic-hensel-binary C D H = (
    case euclid-ext-poly-dynamic p D H of
     (S,T) ⇒ quadratic-hensel-main C p S T D H n)

fun hensel-lifting-main :: int poly ⇒ int poly factor-tree ⇒ int poly list where
  hensel-lifting-main U (Factor-Leaf - -) = [U]
| hensel-lifting-main U (Factor-Node - l r) = (let
    v = factor-node-info l;
    w = factor-node-info r;
    (V,W) = quadratic-hensel-binary U v w
    in hensel-lifting-main V l @ hensel-lifting-main W r)

definition hensel-lifting-monic :: int poly ⇒ int poly list ⇒ int poly list where
  hensel-lifting-monic u vs = (if vs = [] then [] else let
     pn = p^n;
     C = poly-mod.Mp pn u;
     tree = product-factor-tree p (create-factor-tree vs)
     in hensel-lifting-main C tree)

definition hensel-lifting :: int poly ⇒ int poly list ⇒ int poly list where
  hensel-lifting f gs = (let lc = lead-coeff f;
     ilc = inverse-mod lc (p^n);
     g = smult ilc f
     in hensel-lifting-monic g gs)

end
```

context *poly-mod-prime* **begin**

context
fixes n :: *nat*
assumes *n*: $n \neq 0$
begin

abbreviation *hensel-binary* ≡ *quadratic-hensel-binary p n*

abbreviation *hensel-main* ≡ *hensel-lifting-main p n*

lemma *hensel-binary*:
assumes *cop*: *coprime-m D H* **and** *eq*: *eq-m C* (*D* ∗ *H*)
and *normalized-input*: *Mp D* = *D Mp H* = *H*
and *monic-input*: *monic D*
and *hensel-result*: *hensel-binary C D H* = (*D*′,*H*′)
shows *poly-mod.eq-m* (*p*^*n*) *C* (*D*′ ∗ *H*′) — the main result: equivalence mod *p*^*n*
∧ *monic D*′ — monic output
∧ *eq-m D D*′ ∧ *eq-m H H*′ — apply ‘*mod p*‘ on *D*′ and *H*′ yields *D* and *H* again
∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *D*′ = *D*′ ∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *H*′ = *H*′ — output is normalized
proof −
from *m1* **have** *p*: *p* > *1* **.**
obtain *S T* **where** *ext*: *euclid-ext-poly-dynamic p D H* = (*S*,*T*) **by** *force*
obtain *D1 H1* **where** *main*: *quadratic-hensel-main C p S T D H n* = (*D1*,*H1*) **by** *force*
note *hen* = *hensel-result*[*unfolded quadratic-hensel-binary-def ext split Let-def main*]
from *n* **have** *n*: *n* ≥ *1* **by** *simp*
note *eucl* = *euclid-ext-poly-dynamic*[*OF cop normalized-input ext*]
note *main* = *quadratic-hensel-main*[*OF eucl*(*1*) *eq monic-input p normalized-input eucl*(*2*−) *n main*]
show *?thesis* **using** *hen main* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *hensel-main*:
assumes *eq*: *eq-m C* (*prod-mset* (*factors-of-factor-tree Fs*))
and ⋀ *F*. *F* ∈# *factors-of-factor-tree Fs* ⟹ *Mp F* = *F* ∧ *monic F*
and *hensel-result*: *hensel-main C Fs* = *Gs*
and *C*: *monic C poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *C* = *C*
and *sf*: *square-free-m C*
and ⋀ *f t*. *t* ∈ *sub-trees Fs* ⟹ *factor-node-info t* = *f* ⟹ *f* = *Mp* (*prod-mset* (*factors-of-factor-tree t*))
shows *poly-mod.eq-m* (*p*^*n*) *C* (*prod-list Gs*) — the main result: equivalence mod *p*^*n*
∧ *factors-of-factor-tree Fs* = *mset* (*map Mp Gs*)
∧ (∀ *G*. *G* ∈ *set Gs* ⟶ *monic G* ∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *G* = *G*)

```
  using assms
proof (induct Fs arbitrary: C Gs)
  case (Factor-Leaf f fs C Gs)
  thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Factor-Node f l r C Gs) note * = this
  note simps = hensel-lifting-main.simps
  note IH1 = *(1)[rule-format]
  note IH2 = *(2)[rule-format]
  note res = *(5)[unfolded simps Let-def]
  note eq = *(3)
  note Fs = *(4)
  note C = *(6,7)
  note sf = *(8)
  note inv = *(9)
  interpret pn: poly-mod-2 p^n apply (unfold-locales) using m1 n by auto
  let ?Mp = pn.Mp
  define D where D ≡ prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree l)
  define H where H ≡ prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree r)
  let ?D = Mp D
  let ?H = Mp H
  let ?D' = factor-node-info l
  let ?H' = factor-node-info r
  obtain A B where hen: hensel-binary C ?D' ?H' = (A,B) by force
  note res = res[unfolded hen split]
  obtain AD where AD': AD = hensel-main A l by auto
  obtain BH where BH': BH = hensel-main B r by auto
  from inv[of l, OF - refl] have D': ?D' = ?D unfolding D-def by auto
  from inv[of r, OF - refl] have H': ?H' = ?H unfolding H-def by auto
  from eq[simplified]
  have eq': Mp C = Mp (?D * ?H) unfolding D-def H-def by simp
  from square-free-m-cong[OF sf, of ?D * ?H, OF eq']
  have sf': square-free-m (?D * ?H) .
  from poly-mod-prime.square-free-m-prod-imp-coprime-m[OF - this]
  have cop': coprime-m ?D ?H unfolding poly-mod-prime-def using prime .
  from eq' have eq': eq-m C (?D * ?H) by simp
  have monD: monic D unfolding D-def by (rule monic-prod-mset, insert Fs,
auto)
  from hensel-binary[OF - - - - - hen, unfolded D' H', OF cop' eq' Mp-Mp Mp-Mp
monic-Mp[OF monD]]
  have step: poly-mod.eq-m (p ^ n) C (A * B) ∧ monic A ∧ eq-m ?D A ∧
     eq-m ?H B ∧ ?Mp A = A ∧ ?Mp B = B .
  from res have Gs: Gs = AD @ BH by (simp add: AD' BH')
  have AD: eq-m A ?D ?Mp A = A eq-m A (prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree l))
    and monA: monic A
    using step by (auto simp: D-def)
  note sf-fact = square-free-m-factor[OF sf']
  from square-free-m-cong[OF sf-fact(1)] AD have sfA: square-free-m A by auto
  have IH1: poly-mod.eq-m (p ^ n) A (prod-list AD) ∧
```

```
    factors-of-factor-tree l = mset (map Mp AD) ∧
    (∀ G. G ∈ set AD ⟶ monic G ∧ ?Mp G = G)
    by (rule IH1[OF AD(3) Fs AD'[symmetric] monA AD(2) sfA inv], auto)
  have BH: eq-m B ?H pn.Mp B = B eq-m B (prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree r))
      using step by (auto simp: H-def)
  from step have pn.eq-m C (A * B) by simp
  hence ?Mp C = ?Mp (A * B) by simp
  with C AD(2) have pn.Mp C = pn.Mp (A * pn.Mp B) by simp
  from arg-cong[OF this, of lead-coeff] C
  have monic (pn.Mp (A * B)) by simp
  then have lead-coeff (pn.Mp A) * lead-coeff (pn.Mp B) = 1
   by (metis lead-coeff-mult leading-coeff-neq-0 local.step mult-cancel-right2 pn.degree-m-eq
pn.m1 poly-mod.M-def poly-mod.Mp-coeff)
  with monA AD(2) BH(2) have monB: monic B by simp
  from square-free-m-cong[OF sf-fact(2)] BH have sfB: square-free-m B by auto
  have IH2: poly-mod.eq-m (p ^ n) B (prod-list BH) ∧
      factors-of-factor-tree r = mset (map Mp BH) ∧
      (∀ G. G ∈ set BH ⟶ monic G ∧ ?Mp G = G)
    by (rule IH2[OF BH(3) Fs BH'[symmetric] monB BH(2) sfB inv], auto)
  from step have ?Mp C = ?Mp (?Mp A * ?Mp B) by auto
  also have ?Mp A = ?Mp (prod-list AD) using IH1 by auto
  also have ?Mp B = ?Mp (prod-list BH) using IH2 by auto
  finally have poly-mod.eq-m (p ^ n) C (prod-list AD * prod-list BH)
    by (auto simp: poly-mod.mult-Mp)
  thus ?case unfolding Gs using IH1 IH2 by auto
qed

lemma hensel-lifting-monic:
  assumes eq: poly-mod.eq-m p C (prod-list Fs)
  and Fs: ⋀ F. F ∈ set Fs ⟹ poly-mod.Mp p F = F ∧ monic F
  and res: hensel-lifting-monic p n C Fs = Gs
  and mon: monic (poly-mod.Mp (p^n) C)
  and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m p C
  shows poly-mod.eq-m (p^n) C (prod-list Gs)
    mset (map (poly-mod.Mp p) Gs) = mset Fs
    G ∈ set Gs ⟹ monic G ∧ poly-mod.Mp (p^n) G = G
proof −
  note res = res[unfolded hensel-lifting-monic-def Let-def]
  let ?Mp = poly-mod.Mp (p ^ n)
  let ?C = ?Mp C
  interpret poly-mod-prime p
    by (unfold-locales, insert n prime, auto)
  interpret pn: poly-mod-2 p^n using m1 n poly-mod-2.intro by auto
  from eq n have eq: eq-m (?Mp C) (prod-list Fs)
    using Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp eq m1 n by force
  have poly-mod.eq-m (p^n) C (prod-list Gs) ∧ mset (map (poly-mod.Mp p) Gs)
= mset Fs
    ∧ (G ∈ set Gs ⟶ monic G ∧ poly-mod.Mp (p^n) G = G)
  proof (cases Fs = [])
```

case *True*
with *res* **have** *Gs*: *Gs* = [] **by** *auto*
from *eq* **have** *Mp ?C* = *1* **unfolding** *True* **by** *simp*
hence *degree* (*Mp ?C*) = *0* **by** *simp*
with *degree-m-eq-monic*[*OF mon m1*] **have** *degree ?C* = *0* **by** *simp*
with *mon* **have** *?C* = *1* **using** *monic-degree-0* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *True Gs* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
let *?t* = *create-factor-tree Fs*
note *tree* = *create-factor-tree*[*OF False*]
from *False res* **have** *hen*: *hensel-main ?C* (*product-factor-tree p ?t*) = *Gs* **by** *auto*
have *tree1*: *x* ∈# *factors-of-factor-tree ?t* ⟹ *Mp x* = *x* **for** *x* **unfolding** *tree* **using** *Fs* **by** *auto*
from *product-factor-tree*[*OF tree1 sub-trees-refl refl*, *of ?t*]
have *id*: (*factors-of-factor-tree* (*product-factor-tree p ?t*)) =
(*factors-of-factor-tree ?t*) **by** *auto*
have *eq*: *eq-m ?C* (*prod-mset* (*factors-of-factor-tree* (*product-factor-tree p ?t*)))
unfolding *id tree* **using** *eq* **by** *auto*
have *id'*: *Mp C* = *Mp ?C* **using** *n* **by** (*simp add*: *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp m1*)
have *pn.eq-m ?C* (*prod-list Gs*) ∧ *mset Fs* = *mset* (*map Mp Gs*) ∧ (∀ *G*. *G* ∈ *set Gs* ⟶ *monic G* ∧ *pn.Mp G* = *G*)
by (*rule hensel-main*[*OF eq Fs hen mon pn.Mp-Mp square-free-m-cong*[*OF sf id'*], *unfolded id tree*],
insert product-factor-tree[*OF tree1*], *auto*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *poly-mod.eq-m* (*p*^*n*) *C* (*prod-list Gs*)
mset (*map* (*poly-mod.Mp p*) *Gs*) = *mset Fs*
G ∈ *set Gs* ⟹ *monic G* ∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *G* = *G* **by** *blast*+
qed

lemma *hensel-lifting*:
assumes *res*: *hensel-lifting p n f fs* = *gs* — result of hensel is fact. *gs*
and *cop*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff f*) *p*
and *sf*: *poly-mod.square-free-m p f*
and *fact*: *poly-mod.factorization-m p f* (*c*, *mset fs*) — input is fact. *fs mod p*
and *c*: *c* ∈ {*0*..<*p*}
and *norm*: (∀ *fi*∈*set fs*. *set* (*coeffs fi*) ⊆ {*0*..<*p*})
shows *poly-mod.factorization-m* (*p*^*n*) *f* (*lead-coeff f*, *mset gs*) — factorization mod *p*^*n*
sort (*map degree fs*) = *sort* (*map degree gs*) — degrees stay the same
⋀ *g*. *g* ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *monic g* ∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *g* = *g* ∧ — monic and normalized
irreducible-m g ∧ — irreducibility even mod *p*

degree-m g = degree g — mod p does not change degree of g
proof −
interpret *poly-mod-prime p* **using** *prime* **by** *unfold-locales*
interpret *q*: *poly-mod-2 p^n* **using** *m1 n* **unfolding** *poly-mod-2-def* **by** *auto*
from *fact* **have** *eq*: *eq-m f* (*smult c* (*prod-list fs*))
and *mon-fs*: (∀*fi*∈*set fs*. *monic* (*Mp fi*) ∧ *irreducible*$_d$-*m fi*)
unfolding *factorization-m-def* **by** *auto*
{
fix *f*
assume *f* ∈ *set fs*
with *mon-fs norm* **have** *set* (*coeffs f*) ⊆ {*0..<p*} **and** *monic* (*Mp f*) **by** *auto*
hence *monic f* **using** *Mp-ident-iff'* **by** *force*
} note *mon-fs' = this*
have *Mp-id*: ⋀ *f*. *Mp* (*q.Mp f*) = *Mp f* **by** (*simp add*: *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp m1 n*)
let *?lc = lead-coeff f*
let *?q = p ^ n*
define *ilc* **where** *ilc* ≡ *inverse-mod ?lc ?q*
define *F* **where** *F* ≡ *smult ilc f*
from *res*[*unfolded hensel-lifting-def Let-def*]
have *hen*: *hensel-lifting-monic p n F fs = gs*
unfolding *ilc-def F-def* **.**
from *m1 n cop* **have** *inv*: *q.M* (*ilc* ∗ *?lc*) = *1*
by (*auto simp add*: *q.M-def inverse-mod-pow ilc-def*)
hence *ilc0*: *ilc* ≠ *0* **by** (*cases ilc = 0*, *auto*)
{
fix *q*
assume *ilc* ∗ *?lc* = *?q* ∗ *q*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of q.M*] **have** *q.M* (*ilc* ∗ *?lc*) = *0*
unfolding *q.M-def* **by** *auto*
with *inv* **have** *False* **by** *auto*
} note *not-dvd = this*
have *mon*: *monic* (*q.Mp F*) **unfolding** *F-def q.Mp-coeff coeff-smult*
by (*subst q.degree-m-eq* [*OF - q.m1*]) (*auto simp*: *inv ilc0* [*symmetric*] *intro*: *not-dvd*)
have *q.Mp f = q.Mp* (*smult* (*q.M* (*?lc* ∗ *ilc*)) *f*) **using** *inv* **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
also have ... = *q.Mp* (*smult ?lc F*) **by** (*simp add*: *F-def*)
finally have *f*: *q.Mp f = q.Mp* (*smult ?lc F*) **.**
from *arg-cong*[*OF f*, *of Mp*]
have *f-p*: *Mp f = Mp* (*smult ?lc F*)
by (*simp add*: *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp n m1*)
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of square-free-m*, *unfolded Mp-square-free-m*] *sf*
have *square-free-m* (*smult ?lc F*) **by** *simp*
from *square-free-m-smultD*[*OF this*] **have** *sf*: *square-free-m F* **.**

define *c'* **where** *c'* ≡ *M* (*c* ∗ *ilc*)
from *factorization-m-smult*[*OF fact*, *of ilc*, *folded F-def*]
have *fact*: *factorization-m F* (*c'*, *mset fs*) **unfolding** *c'-def factorization-m-def*
by *auto*

```
  hence eq: eq-m F (smult c' (prod-list fs)) unfolding factorization-m-def by auto
   from factorization-m-lead-coeff[OF fact] monic-Mp[OF mon, unfolded Mp-id]
have M c' = 1
    by auto
  hence c': c' = 1 unfolding c'-def by auto
  with eq have eq: eq-m F (prod-list fs) by auto
  {
    fix f
    assume f ∈ set fs
    with mon-fs' norm have Mp f = f ∧ monic f unfolding Mp-ident-iff'
      by auto
  } note fs = this
  note hen = hensel-lifting-monic[OF eq fs hen mon sf]
  from hen(2) have gs-fs: mset (map Mp gs) = mset fs by auto
  have eq: q.eq-m f (smult ?lc (prod-list gs))
    unfolding f using arg-cong[OF hen(1), of λ f. q.Mp (smult ?lc f)] by simp
  {
    fix g
    assume g: g ∈ set gs
    from hen(3)[OF - g] have mon-g: monic g and Mp-g: q.Mp g = g by auto
    from g have Mp g ∈# mset (map Mp gs) by auto
     from this[unfolded gs-fs] obtain f where f: f ∈ set fs and fg: eq-m f g by
auto
    from mon-fs f fs have irr-f: irreducible_d-m f and mon-f: monic f and Mp-f:
Mp f = f by auto
    have deg: degree-m g = degree g
      by (rule degree-m-eq-monic[OF mon-g m1])
    from irr-f fg have irr-g: irreducible_d-m g
      unfolding irreducible_d-m-def dvdm-def by simp
    have q.irreducible_d-m g
    by (rule irreducible_d-lifting[OF n - irr-g], unfold deg, rule q.degree-m-eq-monic[OF
mon-g q.m1])
    note mon-g Mp-g deg irr-g this
  } note g = this
  {
    fix g
    assume g ∈ set gs
    from g[OF this]
     show monic g ∧ q.Mp g = g ∧ irreducible-m g ∧ degree-m g = degree g by
auto
  }
  show sort (map degree fs) = sort (map degree gs)
  proof (rule sort-key-eq-sort-key)
    have mset (map degree fs) = image-mset degree (mset fs) by auto
    also have ... = image-mset degree (mset (map Mp gs)) unfolding gs-fs ..
    also have ... = mset (map degree (map Mp gs)) unfolding mset-map ..
    also have map degree (map Mp gs) = map degree-m gs by auto
    also have ... = map degree gs using g(3) by auto
    finally show mset (map degree fs) = mset (map degree gs) .
```

qed *auto*
show *q.factorization-m f* (*lead-coeff f*, *mset gs*)
using *eq g* **unfolding** *q.factorization-m-def* **by** *auto*
qed

end

end
end

theory *Hensel-Lifting-Type-Based*
imports *Hensel-Lifting*
begin

9.2 Hensel Lifting in a Type-Based Setting

lemma *degree-smult-eq-iff*:
degree (*smult a p*) = *degree p* ⟷ *degree p* = *0* ∨ *a* ∗ *lead-coeff p* ≠ *0*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *coeff-smult degree-0 degree-smult-le le-antisym le-degree le-zero-eq leading-coeff-0-iff*)

lemma *degree-smult-eqI*[*intro*!]:
assumes *degree p* ≠ *0* ⟹ *a* ∗ *lead-coeff p* ≠ *0*
shows *degree* (*smult a p*) = *degree p*
using *assms degree-smult-eq-iff* **by** *auto*

lemma *degree-mult-eq2*:
assumes *lc*: *lead-coeff p* ∗ *lead-coeff q* ≠ *0*
shows *degree* (*p* ∗ *q*) = *degree p* + *degree q* (**is** - = *?r*)
proof(*intro antisym*[*OF degree-mult-le*] *le-degree*, *unfold coeff-mult*)
let *?f* = λ*i*. *coeff p i* ∗ *coeff q* (*?r* − *i*)
have (∑ *i*≤*?r*. *?f i*) = *sum ?f* {..*degree p*} + *sum ?f* {*Suc* (*degree p*)..*?r*}
by (*rule sum-up-index-split*)
also have *sum ?f* {*Suc* (*degree p*)..*?r*} = *0*
proof−
{ fix *x* **assume** *x* > *degree p*
then have *coeff p x* = *0* **by** (*rule coeff-eq-0*)
then have *?f x* = *0* **by** *auto*
}
then show *?thesis* **by** (*intro sum.neutral*, *auto*)
qed
also have *sum ?f* {..*degree p*} = *sum ?f* {..<*degree p*} + *?f* (*degree p*)
by(*fold lessThan-Suc-atMost*, *unfold sum.lessThan-Suc*, *auto*)
also have *sum ?f* {..<*degree p*} = *0*
proof−
{fix *x* **assume** *x* < *degree p*
then have *coeff q* (*?r* − *x*) = *0* **by** (*intro coeff-eq-0*, *auto*)
then have *?f x* = *0* **by** *auto*
}

```
    then show ?thesis by (intro sum.neutral, auto)
   qed
  finally show (∑ i≤?r. ?f i) ≠ 0 using assms by (auto simp:)
qed

lemma degree-mult-eq-left-unit:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly
  assumes unit: lead-coeff p dvd 1 and q0: q ≠ 0
  shows degree (p * q) = degree p + degree q
proof(intro degree-mult-eq2 notI)
  from unit obtain c where lead-coeff p * c = 1 by (elim dvdE,auto)
  then have c * lead-coeff p = 1 by (auto simp: ac-simps)
  moreover assume lead-coeff p * lead-coeff q = 0
   then have c * lead-coeff p * lead-coeff q = 0 by (auto simp: ac-simps)
  ultimately have lead-coeff q = 0 by auto
  with q0 show False by auto
qed

context ring-hom begin
lemma monic-degree-map-poly-hom: monic p ⟹ degree (map-poly hom p) = degree p
  by (auto intro: degree-map-poly)

lemma monic-map-poly-hom: monic p ⟹ monic (map-poly hom p)
  by (simp add: monic-degree-map-poly-hom)

end

lemma of-nat-zero:
  assumes CARD('a::nontriv) dvd n
  shows (of-nat n :: 'a mod-ring) = 0
  apply (transfer fixing: n) using assms by (presburger)

abbreviation rebase :: 'a :: nontriv mod-ring ⇒ 'b :: nontriv mod-ring (@- [100]100)
  where @x ≡ of-int (to-int-mod-ring x)

abbreviation rebase-poly :: 'a :: nontriv mod-ring poly ⇒ 'b :: nontriv mod-ring
poly (#- [100]100)
  where #x ≡ of-int-poly (to-int-poly x)

lemma rebase-self [simp]:
  @x = x
  by (simp add: of-int-of-int-mod-ring)

lemma map-poly-rebase [simp]:
  map-poly rebase p = #p
  by (induct p) simp-all

lemma rebase-poly-0: #0 = 0
```

by *simp*

lemma *rebase-poly-1*: *#1 = 1*
by *simp*

lemma *rebase-poly-pCons*[*simp*]: *#pCons a p = pCons (@a) (#p)*
by(*cases a = 0 ∧ p = 0*, *simp*, *fold map-poly-rebase*, *subst map-poly-pCons*, *auto*)

lemma *rebase-poly-self*[*simp*]: *#p = p* **by** (*induct p*, *auto*)

lemma *degree-rebase-poly-le*: *degree (#p) ≤ degree p*
by (*fold map-poly-rebase*, *subst degree-map-poly-le*, *auto*)

lemma(**in** *comm-ring-hom*) *degree-map-poly-unit*: **assumes** *lead-coeff p dvd 1*
shows *degree* (*map-poly hom p*) = *degree p*
using *hom-dvd-1*[*OF assms*] **by** (*auto intro*: *degree-map-poly*)

lemma *rebase-poly-eq-0-iff*:
(*#p* :: *'a* :: *nontriv mod-ring poly*) = *0* ⟷ (∀ *i*. (@*coeff p i* :: *'a mod-ring*) = *0*) (**is** *?l* ⟷ *?r*)
proof(*intro iffI*)
assume *?l*
then have *coeff* (*#p* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) *i* = *0* **for** *i* **by** *auto*
then show *?r* **by** *auto*
next
assume *?r*
then have *coeff* (*#p* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) *i* = *0* **for** *i* **by** *auto*
then show *?l* **by** (*intro poly-eqI*, *auto*)
qed

lemma *mod-mod-le*:
assumes *ab*: (*a*::*int*) ≤ *b* **and** *a0*: *0* < *a* **and** *c0*: *c* ≥ *0* **shows** (*c mod a*) *mod b* = *c mod a*
by (*meson Divides.pos-mod-bound Divides.pos-mod-sign a0 ab less-le-trans mod-pos-pos-trivial*)

locale *rebase-ge* =
fixes *ty1* :: *'a* :: *nontriv itself* **and** *ty2* :: *'b* :: *nontriv itself*
assumes *card*: *CARD*(*'a*) ≤ *CARD*(*'b*)
begin

lemma *ab*: *int CARD*(*'a*) ≤ *CARD*(*'b*) **using** *card* **by** *auto*

lemma *rebase-eq-0*[*simp*]:
shows (@(*x* :: *'a mod-ring*) :: *'b mod-ring*) = *0* ⟷ *x* = *0*
using *card* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *degree-rebase-poly-eq*[*simp*]:
shows *degree* (*#*(*p* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) :: *'b mod-ring poly*) = *degree p*
by (*subst degree-map-poly*; *simp*)

lemma *lead-coeff-rebase-poly*[*simp*]:
  lead-coeff (#(*p*::'*a mod-ring poly*) :: '*b mod-ring poly*) = @*lead-coeff p*
  by *simp*

lemma *to-int-mod-ring-rebase*: *to-int-mod-ring*(@(*x* :: '*a mod-ring*)::'*b mod-ring*)
= *to-int-mod-ring x*
  using *card* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *rebase-id*[*simp*]: @(@(*x*::'*a mod-ring*) :: '*b mod-ring*) = @*x*
  using *card* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *rebase-poly-id*[*simp*]: #(#(*p*::'*a mod-ring poly*) :: '*b mod-ring poly*) = #*p*
by (*induct p*, *auto*)

end

locale *rebase-dvd* =
  fixes *ty1* :: '*a* :: *nontriv itself* **and** *ty2* :: '*b* :: *nontriv itself*
  assumes *dvd*: *CARD*('*b*) *dvd CARD*('*a*)
begin

lemma *ab*: *CARD*('*a*) ≥ *CARD*('*b*) **by** (*rule dvd-imp-le*[*OF dvd*], *auto*)

lemma *rebase-id*[*simp*]: @(@(*x*::'*b mod-ring*) :: '*a mod-ring*) = *x* **using** *ab* **by**
(*transfer*, *auto*)

lemma *rebase-poly-id*[*simp*]: #(#(*p*::'*b mod-ring poly*) :: '*a mod-ring poly*) = *p* **by**
(*induct p*, *auto*)

lemma *rebase-of-nat*[*simp*]: (@(*of-nat n* :: '*a mod-ring*) :: '*b mod-ring*) = *of-nat n*
  apply *transfer* **apply** (*rule mod-mod-cancel*) **using** *dvd* **by** *presburger*

lemma *mod-1-lift-nat*:
  assumes (*of-int* (*int x*) :: '*a mod-ring*) = *1*
  shows (*of-int* (*int x*) :: '*b mod-ring*) = *1*
proof −
  from *assms* **have** *int x mod CARD*('*a*) = *1*
    by *transfer*
  then have *x mod CARD*('*a*) = *1*
    by (*simp add*: *of-nat-mod* [*symmetric*])
  then have *x mod CARD*('*b*) = *1*
    by (*metis dvd mod-mod-cancel one-mod-card*)
  then have *int x mod CARD*('*b*) = *1*
    by (*simp add*: *of-nat-mod* [*symmetric*])
  then show *?thesis*
    by *transfer*
qed

sublocale *comm-ring-hom rebase* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'b mod-ring*
proof
  fix *x y* :: *'a mod-ring*
  show *hom-add*: (@(*x*+*y*) :: *'b mod-ring*) = @*x* + @*y*
    by *transfer* (*simp add*: *mod-simps dvd mod-mod-cancel*)
  show (@(*x*∗*y*) :: *'b mod-ring*) = @*x* ∗ @*y*
    by *transfer* (*simp add*: *mod-simps dvd mod-mod-cancel*)
qed *auto*

lemma *of-nat-CARD-eq-0*[*simp*]: (*of-nat CARD*(*'a*) :: *'b mod-ring*) = *0*
  using *dvd* **by** (*transfer*, *presburger*)

interpretation *map-poly-hom*: *map-poly-comm-ring-hom rebase* :: *'a mod-ring* ⇒ *'b mod-ring*..

sublocale *poly*: *comm-ring-hom rebase-poly* :: *'a mod-ring poly* ⇒ *'b mod-ring poly*
  by (*fold map-poly-rebase*, *unfold-locales*)

lemma *poly-rebase*[*simp*]: @*poly p x* = *poly* (#(*p* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) :: *'b mod-ring poly*) (@(*x*::*'a mod-ring*) :: *'b mod-ring*)
  by (*fold map-poly-rebase poly-map-poly*, *rule*)

lemma *rebase-poly-smult*[*simp*]: (#(*smult a p* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) :: *'b mod-ring poly*) = *smult* (@*a*) (#*p*)
  by(*induct p*, *auto simp*: *hom-distribs*)

end

locale *rebase-mult* =
  fixes *ty1* :: *'a* :: *nontriv itself*
    and *ty2* :: *'b* :: *nontriv itself*
    and *ty3* :: *'d* :: *nontriv itself*
  assumes *d*: *CARD*(*'a*) = *CARD*(*'b*) ∗ *CARD*(*'d*)
begin

sublocale *rebase-dvd ty1 ty2* **using** *d* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto*)

lemma *rebase-mult-eq*[*simp*]: (*of-nat CARD*(*'d*) ∗ *a* :: *'a mod-ring*) = *of-nat CARD*(*'d*) ∗ *a'* ⟷ (@*a* :: *'b mod-ring*) = @*a'*
proof−
  from *dvd* **obtain** *d'* **where** *CARD*(*'a*) = *d'* ∗ *CARD*(*'b*) **by** (*elim dvdE*, *auto*)
  then show *?thesis* **by** (*transfer*, *auto simp*:*d*)
qed

lemma *rebase-poly-smult-eq*[*simp*]:
  fixes *a a'* :: *'a mod-ring poly*
  defines *d* ≡ *of-nat CARD*(*'d*) :: *'a mod-ring*
  shows *smult d a* = *smult d a'* ⟷ (#*a* :: *'b mod-ring poly*) = #*a'* (**is** *?l* ⟷

?r)
proof (*intro iffI*)
  assume *l*: *?l* **show** *?r*
  proof (*intro poly-eqI*)
    fix *n*
    from *l* **have** *coeff* (*smult d a*) *n* = *coeff* (*smult d a'*) *n* **by** *auto*
    then have *d* * *coeff a n* = *d* * *coeff a' n* **by** *auto*
    from *this*[*unfolded d-def rebase-mult-eq*]
    show *coeff* (#*a* :: *'b mod-ring poly*) *n* = *coeff* (#*a'*) *n* **by** *auto*
  qed
next
  assume *r*: *?r* **show** *?l*
  proof(*intro poly-eqI*)
    fix *n*
    from *r* **have** *coeff* (#*a* :: *'b mod-ring poly*) *n* = *coeff* (#*a'*) *n* **by** *auto*
    then have (@*coeff a n* :: *'b mod-ring*) = @*coeff a' n* **by** *auto*
    from *this*[*folded d-def rebase-mult-eq*]
    show *coeff* (*smult d a*) *n* = *coeff* (*smult d a'*) *n* **by** *auto*
  qed
qed

lemma *rebase-eq-0-imp-ex-mult*:
  (@(*a* :: *'a mod-ring*) :: *'b mod-ring*) = *0* ⟹ (∃ *c* :: *'d mod-ring*. *a* = *of-nat*
CARD(*'b*) * @*c*) (**is** *?l* ⟹ *?r*)
proof(*cases CARD*(*'a*) = *CARD*(*'b*))
  case *True* **then show** *?l* ⟹ *?r*
    by (*transfer*, *auto*)
next
  case *False*
  have [*simp*]: *int CARD*(*'b*) *mod int CARD*(*'a*) = *int CARD*(*'b*)
    by(*rule mod-pos-pos-trivial*, *insert ab False*, *auto*)
  {
    fix *a*
    assume *a*: *0* ≤ *a a* < *int CARD*(*'a*) **and** *mod*: *a mod int CARD*(*'b*) = *0*
    from *mod* **have** *int CARD*(*'b*) *dvd a* **by** *auto*
    then obtain *i* **where** *: *a* = *int CARD*(*'b*) * *i* **by** (*elim dvdE*, *auto*)
    from * *a* **have** *i* < *int CARD*(*'d*) **by** (*simp add*:*d*)
    moreover
      hence (*i mod int CARD*(*'a*)) = *i*
        by (*metis dual-order.order-iff-strict less-le-trans not-le of-nat-less-iff* * *a*(*1*)
a(*2*)
            mod-pos-pos-trivial mult-less-cancel-right1 nat-neq-iff nontriv of-nat-1)
      with * *a* **have** *a* = *int CARD*(*'b*) * (*i mod int CARD*(*'a*)) *mod int CARD*(*'a*)
        by (*auto simp*:*d*)
    moreover from * *a* **have** *0* ≤ *i*
    using *linordered-semiring-strict-class.mult-pos-neg of-nat-0-less-iff zero-less-card-finite*
      by (*simp add*: *zero-le-mult-iff*)
    ultimately have ∃ *i*≥*0*. *i* < *int CARD*(*'d*) ∧ *a* = *int CARD*(*'b*) * (*i mod int*
CARD(*'a*)) *mod int CARD*(*'a*)

```
    by (auto intro: exI[of - i])
  }
  then show ?l ⟹ ?r by (transfer, auto simp:d)
qed

lemma rebase-poly-eq-0-imp-ex-smult:
  (#(p :: 'a mod-ring poly) :: 'b mod-ring poly) = 0 ⟹
   (∃ p' :: 'd mod-ring poly. (p = 0 ⟷ p' = 0) ∧ degree p' ≤ degree p ∧ p = smult
(of-nat CARD('b)) (#p'))
  (is ?l ⟹ ?r)
proof(induct p)
  case 0
  then show ?case by (intro exI[of - 0],auto)
next
  case IH: (pCons a p)
  from IH(3) have (#p :: 'b mod-ring poly) = 0 by auto
  from IH(2)[OF this] obtain p' :: 'd mod-ring poly
  where ∗: p = 0 ⟷ p' = 0 degree p' ≤ degree p p = smult (of-nat CARD('b))
(#p') by (elim exE conjE)
  from IH have (@a :: 'b mod-ring) = 0 by auto
  from rebase-eq-0-imp-ex-mult[OF this]
  obtain a' :: 'd mod-ring where a': of-nat CARD('b) ∗ (@a') = a by auto
  from IH(1) have pCons a p ≠ 0 by auto
 moreover from ∗(1,2) have degree (pCons a' p') ≤ degree (pCons a p) by auto
  moreover from a' ∗(3)
  have pCons a p = smult (of-nat CARD('b)) (#pCons a' p') by auto
  ultimately show ?case by (intro exI[of - pCons a' p'], auto)
qed

end



lemma mod-mod-nat[simp]: a mod b mod (b ∗ c :: nat) = a mod b by (simp add:
Divides.mod-mult2-eq)

locale Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-base =
  fixes ty-p :: 'p :: nontriv itself
    and ty-q :: 'q :: nontriv itself
    and ty-pq :: 'pq :: nontriv itself
  assumes pq: CARD('pq) = CARD('p) ∗ CARD('q)
    and p-dvd-q: CARD('p) dvd CARD('q)
begin

sublocale rebase-q-to-p: rebase-dvd TYPE('q) TYPE('p) using p-dvd-q by (unfold-locales,
auto)
sublocale rebase-pq-to-p: rebase-mult TYPE('pq) TYPE('p) TYPE('q) using pq
by (unfold-locales, auto)
sublocale rebase-pq-to-q: rebase-mult TYPE('pq) TYPE('q) TYPE('p) using pq
```

by (*unfold-locales*, *auto*)

sublocale *rebase-p-to-q*: *rebase-ge TYPE('p) TYPE ('q)* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *insert p-dvd-q*, *simp add*: *dvd-imp-le*)
sublocale *rebase-p-to-pq*: *rebase-ge TYPE('p) TYPE ('pq)* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *simp add*: *pq*)
sublocale *rebase-q-to-pq*: *rebase-ge TYPE('q) TYPE ('pq)* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *simp add*: *pq*)

definition $p \equiv$ *if* (*ty-p* :: *'p itself*) = *ty-p then CARD('p) else undefined*
lemma *p*[*simp*]: $p \equiv$ *CARD('p)* **unfolding** *p-def* **by** *auto*

definition $q \equiv$ *if* (*ty-q* :: *'q itself*) = *ty-q then CARD('q) else undefined*
lemma *q*[*simp*]: $q =$ *CARD('q)* **unfolding** *q-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *p1*: *int p > 1*
  using *nontriv* [**where** *?'a = 'p*] *p* **by** *simp*
lemma *q1*: *int q > 1*
  using *nontriv* [**where** *?'a = 'q*] *q* **by** *simp*
lemma *q0*: *int q > 0*
  using *q1* **by** *auto*

lemma *pq2*[*simp*]: *CARD('pq) = p * q* **using** *pq* **by** *simp*

lemma *qq-eq-0*[*simp*]: (*of-nat CARD('q) * of-nat CARD('q)* :: *'pq mod-ring*) = *0*
proof−
  have (*of-nat* (*q * q*) :: *'pq mod-ring*) = *0* **by** (*rule of-nat-zero*, *auto simp*: *p-dvd-q*)
  then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *of-nat-q*[*simp*]: *of-nat q* :: *'q mod-ring* ≡ *0* **by** (*fold of-nat-card-eq-0*, *auto*)

lemma *rebase-rebase*[*simp*]: (@(@(*x*::*'pq mod-ring*) :: *'q mod-ring*) :: *'p mod-ring*) = @*x*
  using *p-dvd-q* **by** (*transfer*) (*simp add*: *mod-mod-cancel*)

lemma *rebase-rebase-poly*[*simp*]: (#(#(*f*::*'pq mod-ring poly*) :: *'q mod-ring poly*) :: *'p mod-ring poly*) = #*f*
  by (*induct f*, *auto*)

end

definition *dupe-monic* **where**
  dupe-monic D H S T U = (*case pdivmod-monic* (*T * U*) *D of* (*q*,*r*) ⇒ (*S * U + H * q*, *r*))

lemma *dupe-monic*:

fixes $D :: 'a :: prime\text{-}card\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly$
assumes *1*: $D*S + H*T = 1$
and *mon*: *monic D*
and *dupe*: *dupe-monic D H S T U* = (A,B)
shows $A * D + B * H = U\ B = 0 \vee degree\ B < degree\ D$
coprime D H $\Longrightarrow A' * D + B' * H = U \Longrightarrow B' = 0 \vee degree\ B' < degree\ D$
$\Longrightarrow A' = A \wedge B' = B$
proof −
obtain *q r* **where** *div*: *pdivmod-monic* $(T * U)\ D = (q,r)$ **by** *force*
from *dupe*[*unfolded dupe-monic-def div split*]
have *A*: $A = (S * U + H * q)$ **and** *B*: $B = r$ **by** *auto*
from *pdivmod-monic*[*OF mon div*] **have** *TU*: $T * U = D * q + r$ **and**
deg: $r = 0 \vee degree\ r < degree\ D$ **by** *auto*
hence *r*: $r = T * U - D * q$ **by** *simp*
have $A * D + B * H = (S * U + H * q) * D + (T * U - D * q) * H$ **unfolding** *A B r* **by** *simp*
also have ... = $(D * S + H * T) * U$ **by** (*simp add*: *field-simps*)
also have $D * S + H * T = 1$ **using** *1* **by** *simp*
finally show *eq*: $A * D + B * H = U$ **by** *simp*
show *degB*: $B = 0 \vee degree\ B < degree\ D$ **using** *deg* **unfolding** *B* **by** (*cases r = 0*, *auto*)
assume *another*: $A' * D + B' * H = U$ **and** *degB'*: $B' = 0 \vee degree\ B' < degree\ D$
and *cop*: *coprime D H*
from *degB* **have** *degB*: $B = 0 \vee degree\ B < degree\ D$ **by** *auto*
from *degB'* **have** *degB'*: $B' = 0 \vee degree\ B' < degree\ D$ **by** *auto*
from *mon* **have** *D0*: $D \neq 0$ **by** *auto*
from *another eq* **have** $A' * D + B' * H = A * D + B * H$ **by** *simp*
from *uniqueness-poly-equality*[*OF cop degB' degB D0 this*]
show $A' = A \wedge B' = B$ **by** *auto*
qed

locale *Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-main* = *Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-base p-ty q-ty pq-ty*
for *p-ty* :: *'p::nontriv itself*
and *q-ty* :: *'q::nontriv itself*
and *pq-ty* :: *'pq::nontriv itself* +
fixes *a b* :: *'p mod-ring poly* **and** *u* :: *'pq mod-ring poly* **and** *v w* :: *'q mod-ring poly*
assumes *uvw*: $(\#u :: 'q\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly) = v * w$
and *degu*: *degree u* = *degree v* + *degree w*
and *avbw*: $(a * \#v + b * \#w :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly) = 1$
and *monic-v*: *monic v*

and *bv*: *degree b* < *degree v*
begin

lemma *deg-v*: *degree* $(\#v :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly)$ = *degree v*
using *monic-v* **by** (*simp add*: *of-int-hom.monic-degree-map-poly-hom*)

lemma *u0*: $u \neq 0$ **using** *degu bv* **by** *auto*

lemma *ex-f*: $\exists f :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly.\ u = \#v * \#w + smult\ (of\text{-}nat\ q)\ (\#f)$
proof−
from *uvw* **have** $(\#(u - \#v * \#w) :: 'q\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly) = 0$ **by** (*auto simp*:*hom-distribs*)
from *rebase-pq-to-q.rebase-poly-eq-0-imp-ex-smult*[*OF this*]
obtain $f :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly$ **where** $u - \#v * \#w = smult\ (of\text{-}nat\ q)\ (\#f)$ **by** *force*
then have $u = \#v * \#w + smult\ (of\text{-}nat\ q)\ (\#f)$ **by** (*metis add-diff-cancel-left' add-diff-eq*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*intro exI*[*of - f*], *auto*)
qed

definition $f :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly \equiv SOME\ f.\ u = \#v * \#w + smult\ (of\text{-}nat\ q)\ (\#f)$

lemma *u*: $u = \#v * \#w + smult\ (of\text{-}nat\ q)\ (\#f)$
using *ex-f*[*folded some-eq-ex*] *f-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *t-ex*: $\exists t :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly.\ degree\ (b * f - t * \#v) < degree\ v$
proof−
define v' **where** $v' \equiv \#v :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly$
from *monic-v*
have *1*: *lead-coeff* $v' = 1$ **by** (*simp add*: *v'-def deg-v*)
then have *4*: $v' \neq 0$ **by** *auto*
obtain $t\ rem :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly$
where *pseudo-divmod* $(b * f)\ v' = (t,rem)$ **by** *force*
from *pseudo-divmod*[*OF 4 this*, *folded*, *unfolded 1*]
have $b * f = v' * t + rem$ **and** *deg*: $rem = 0 \vee degree\ rem < degree\ v'$ **by** *auto*
then have $rem = b * f - t * v'$ **by**(*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
also have $... = b * f - \#(\#t :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly) * v'$ (**is** - = - − *?t* * *v'*) **by** *simp*
also have $... = b * f - ?t * \#v$
by (*unfold v'-def*, *rule*)
finally have $degree\ rem = degree\ ...$ **by** *auto*
with *deg bv* **have** $degree\ (b * f - ?t * \#v :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly) < degree\ v$ **by** (*auto simp*: *v'-def deg-v*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*rule exI*)
qed

definition t **where** $t \equiv SOME\ t :: 'p\ mod\text{-}ring\ poly.\ degree\ (b * f - t * \#v) < degree\ v$

definition $v' \equiv b * f - t * \#v$
definition $w' \equiv a * f + t * \#w$

lemma *f*: $w' * \#v + v' * \#w = f$ (**is** *?l* = -)
proof−

```
  have ?l = f * (a * #v + b * #w :: 'p mod-ring poly) by (simp add: v'-def w'-def
ring-distribs ac-simps)
  also
    from avbw have (#(a * #v + b * #w) :: 'p mod-ring poly) = 1 by auto
    then have (a * #v + b * #w :: 'p mod-ring poly) = 1 by auto
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma degv': degree v' < degree v by (unfold v'-def t-def, rule someI-ex, rule t-ex)

lemma degqf[simp]: degree (smult (of-nat CARD('q)) (#f :: 'pq mod-ring poly))
= degree (#f :: 'pq mod-ring poly)
proof (intro degree-smult-eqI)
  assume degree (#f :: 'pq mod-ring poly) ≠ 0
  then have f0: degree f ≠ 0 by simp
  moreover define l where l ≡ lead-coeff f
  ultimately have l0: l ≠ 0 by auto
  then show of-nat CARD('q) * lead-coeff (#f::'pq mod-ring poly) ≠ 0
  apply (unfold rebase-p-to-pq.lead-coeff-rebase-poly, fold l-def)
  apply (transfer)
  using q1 by (simp add: pq mod-mod-cancel)
qed

lemma degw': degree w' ≤ degree w
proof(rule ccontr)
  let ?f = #f :: 'pq mod-ring poly
  let ?qf = smult (of-nat q) (#f) :: 'pq mod-ring poly

  have degree (#w::'p mod-ring poly) ≤ degree w by (rule degree-rebase-poly-le)
  also assume ¬ degree w' ≤ degree w
  then have 1: degree w < degree w' by auto
  finally have 2: degree (#w :: 'p mod-ring poly) < degree w' by auto
  then have w'0: w' ≠ 0 by auto

  have 3: degree (#v * w') = degree (#v :: 'p mod-ring poly) + degree w'
      using monic-v[unfolded] by (intro degree-monic-mult[OF - w'0], auto simp:
deg-v)

  have degree f ≤ degree u
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume ¬?thesis
    then have *: degree u < degree f by auto
    with degu have 1: degree v + degree w < degree f by auto
    define lcf where lcf ≡ lead-coeff f
    with 1 have lcf0: lcf ≠ 0 by (unfold, auto)
    have degree f = degree ?qf by simp
    also have ... = degree (#v * #w + ?qf)
    proof(rule sym, rule degree-add-eq-right)
      from 1 degree-mult-le[of #v::'pq mod-ring poly #w]
```

```
    show degree (#v * #w :: 'pq mod-ring poly) < degree ?qf by simp
   qed
   also have ... < degree f using * u by auto
   finally show False by auto
  qed
  with degu have degree f ≤ degree v + degree w by auto
  also note f[symmetric]
  finally have degree (w' * #v + v' * #w) ≤ degree v + degree w.
  moreover have degree (w' * #v + v' * #w) = degree (w' * #v)
  proof(rule degree-add-eq-left)
   have degree (v' * #w) ≤ degree v' + degree (#w :: 'p mod-ring poly)
    by(rule degree-mult-le)
   also have ... < degree v + degree (#w :: 'p mod-ring poly) using degv' by auto
   also have ... < degree (#v :: 'p mod-ring poly) + degree w' using 2 by (auto
simp: deg-v)
   also have ... = degree (#v * w') using 3 by auto
   finally show degree (v' * #w) < degree (w' * #v) by (auto simp: ac-simps)
  qed
  ultimately have degree (w' * #v) ≤ degree v + degree w by auto
  moreover
   from 3 have degree (w' * #v) = degree w' + degree v by (auto simp: ac-simps
deg-v)
   with 1 have degree w + degree v < degree (w' * #v) by auto
  ultimately show False by auto
qed

abbreviation qv' ≡ smult (of-nat q) (#v') :: 'pq mod-ring poly
abbreviation qw' ≡ smult (of-nat q) (#w') :: 'pq mod-ring poly

abbreviation V ≡ #v + qv'
abbreviation W ≡ #w + qw'

lemma vV: v = #V by (auto simp: v'-def hom-distribs)

lemma wW: w = #W by (auto simp: w'-def hom-distribs)

lemma uVW: u = V * W
 by (subst u, fold f, simp add: ring-distribs add.left-cancel smult-add-right[symmetric]
hom-distribs)

lemma degV: degree V = degree v
 and lcV: lead-coeff V = @lead-coeff v
 and degW: degree W = degree w
proof−
 from p1 q1 have int p < int p * int q by auto
 from less-trans[OF - this]
 have 1: l < int p ⟹ l < int p * int q for l by auto
 have degree qv' = degree (#v' :: 'pq mod-ring poly)
 proof (rule degree-smult-eqI, safe, unfold rebase-p-to-pq.degree-rebase-poly-eq)
```

```
    define l where l ≡ lead-coeff v'
    assume degree v' > 0
    then have lead-coeff v' ≠ 0 by auto
    then have (@l :: 'pq mod-ring) ≠ 0 by (simp add: l-def)
    then have (of-nat q * @l :: 'pq mod-ring) ≠ 0
      apply (transfer fixing:q-ty) using p-dvd-q p1 q1 1 by auto
    moreover assume  of-nat q * coeff (#v') (degree v') = (0 :: 'pq mod-ring)
    ultimately show False by (auto simp: l-def)
  qed
  also from degv' have ... < degree (#v::'pq mod-ring poly) by simp
  finally have *: degree qv' < degree (#v :: 'pq mod-ring poly).
  from degree-add-eq-left[OF *]
  show **: degree V = degree v by (simp add: v'-def)

  from * have coeff qv' (degree v) = 0 by (intro coeff-eq-0, auto)
  then show lead-coeff V = @lead-coeff v by (unfold **, auto simp: v'-def)

  with u0 uVW have degree (V * W) = degree V + degree W
    by (intro degree-mult-eq-left-unit, auto simp: monic-v)
  from this[folded uVW, unfolded degu **] show degree W = degree w by auto
qed

end

locale Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-prime = Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-main ty-p ty-q ty-pq a b u v
w
  for ty-p :: 'p :: prime-card itself
  and ty-q :: 'q :: nontriv itself
  and ty-pq :: 'pq :: nontriv itself
  and a b u v w +
  assumes coprime: coprime (#v :: 'p mod-ring poly) (#w)

begin

lemma coprime-preserves: coprime (#V :: 'p mod-ring poly) (#W)
 apply (intro coprimeI,simp add: rebase-q-to-p.of-nat-CARD-eq-0[simplified] hom-distribs)
  using coprime by (elim coprimeE, auto)

lemma pre-unique:
  assumes f2: w'' * #v + v'' * #w = f
      and degv'': degree v'' < degree v
  shows v'' = v' ∧ w'' = w'
proof(intro conjI)
  from f f2
  have w' * #v + v' * #w = w'' * #v + v'' * #w by auto
  also have ... − w'' * #v = v'' * #w by auto
  also have ... − v' * #w = (v''− v') * #w by (auto simp: left-diff-distrib)
  finally have *: (w' − w'') * #v = (v''− v') * #w by (auto simp: left-diff-distrib)
  then have #v dvd (v'' − v') * #w by (auto intro: dvdI[of - - w' − w''] simp:
```

ac-simps)
with *coprime* **have** $\#v$ *dvd* $v'' - v'$
by (*simp add*: *coprime-dvd-mult-left-iff*)
moreover have *degree* $(v'' - v') <$ *degree* v **by** (*rule degree-diff-less*[*OF degv'' degv'*])
ultimately have $v'' - v' = 0$
by (*metis deg-v degree-0 gr-implies-not-zero poly-divides-conv0*)
then show $v'' = v'$ **by** *auto*
with $*$ **have** $(w' - w'') * \#v = 0$ **by** *auto*
with *bv* **have** $w' - w'' = 0$
by (*metis deg-v degree-0 gr-implies-not-zero mult-eq-0-iff*)
then show $w'' = w'$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *unique*:
assumes *vV2*: $v = \#V2$ **and** *wW2*: $w = \#W2$ **and** *uVW2*: $u = V2 * W2$
and *degV2*: *degree* $V2 =$ *degree* v **and** *degW2*: *degree* $W2 =$ *degree* w
and *lc*: *lead-coeff* $V2 =$ @*lead-coeff* v
shows $V2 = V$ $W2 = W$
proof−
from *vV2* **have** $(\#(V2 - \#v) :: 'q$ *mod-ring poly*$) = 0$ **by** (*auto simp*: *hom-distribs*)
from *rebase-pq-to-q.rebase-poly-eq-0-imp-ex-smult*[*OF this*]
obtain $v'' :: 'p$ *mod-ring poly*
where *deg*: *degree* $v'' \leq$ *degree* $(V2 - \#v)$
and v'': $V2 - \#v =$ *smult* (*of-nat CARD*($'q$)) $(\#v'')$ **by** (*elim exE conjE*)
then have *V2*: $V2 = \#v + ...$ **by** (*metis add-diff-cancel-left' diff-add-cancel*)

from *lc*[*unfolded degV2*, *unfolded V2*]
have *of-nat* $q *$ (@*coeff* v'' (*degree* v) $:: 'pq$ *mod-ring*$) =$ *of-nat* $q * 0$ **by** *auto*
from *this*[*unfolded q rebase-pq-to-p.rebase-mult-eq*]
have *coeff* v'' (*degree* v) $= 0$ **by** *simp*
moreover have *degree* $v'' \leq$ *degree* v **using** *deg degV2*
by (*metis degree-diff-le le-antisym nat-le-linear rebase-q-to-pq.degree-rebase-poly-eq*)
ultimately have *degv''*: *degree* $v'' <$ *degree* v
using *bv eq-zero-or-degree-less* **by** *fastforce*

from *wW2* **have** $(\#(W2 - \#w) :: 'q$ *mod-ring poly*$) = 0$ **by** (*auto simp*: *hom-distribs*)
from *rebase-pq-to-q.rebase-poly-eq-0-imp-ex-smult*[*OF this*] *pq*
obtain $w'' :: 'p$ *mod-ring poly* **where** w'': $W2 - \#w =$ *smult* (*of-nat q*) $(\#w'')$ **by** *force*
then have *W2*: $W2 = \#w + ...$ **by** (*metis add-diff-cancel-left' diff-add-cancel*)

have $u = \#v * \#w +$ *smult* (*of-nat q*) $(\#w'' * \#v + \#v'' * \#w) +$ *smult* (*of-nat* $(q * q)$) $(\#v'' * \#w'')$
by(*simp add*: *uVW2 V2 W2 ring-distribs smult-add-right ac-simps*)
also have *smult* (*of-nat* $(q * q)$) $(\#v'' * \#w'' :: 'pq$ *mod-ring poly*$) = 0$ **by** *simp*
finally have $u - \#v * \#w =$ *smult* (*of-nat q*) $(\#w'' * \#v + \#v'' * \#w)$ **by** *auto*

also have $u - \#v * \#w = smult\ (of\text{-}nat\ q)\ (\#f)$ **by** (*subst u*, *simp*)
finally have $w'' * \#v + v'' * \#w = f$ **by** (*simp add*: *hom-distribs*)
from *pre-unique*[*OF this degv''*]
have *pre*: $v'' = v'\ w'' = w'$ **by** *auto*
with *V2 W2* **show** $V2 = V\ W2 = W$ **by** *auto*
qed

end

definition
hensel-1 (*ty* ::'*p* :: *prime-card itself*)
(*u* :: '*pq* :: *nontriv mod-ring poly*) (*v* :: '*q* :: *nontriv mod-ring poly*) (*w* :: '*q mod-ring poly*) ≡
if v = 1 then (*1*,*u*) *else*
let (*s*, *t*) = *bezout-coefficients* (#*v* :: '*p mod-ring poly*) (#*w*) *in*
let (*a*, *b*) = *dupe-monic* (#*v*::'*p mod-ring poly*) (#*w*) *s t 1 in*
(*Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-main.V TYPE*('*q*) *b u v w*, *Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-main.W TYPE*('*q*) *a b u v w*)

lemma *hensel-1*:
fixes *u* :: '*pq* :: *nontriv mod-ring poly*
and *v w* :: '*q* :: *nontriv mod-ring poly*
assumes *CARD*('*pq*) = *CARD*('*p* :: *prime-card*) * *CARD*('*q*)
and *CARD*('*p*) *dvd CARD*('*q*)
and *uvw*: #*u* = *v* * *w*
and *degu*: *degree u* = *degree v* + *degree w*
and *monic*: *monic v*
and *coprime*: *coprime* (#*v* :: '*p mod-ring poly*) (#*w*)
and *out*: *hensel-1 TYPE*('*p*) *u v w* = (*V'*,*W'*)
shows *u* = *V'* * *W'* ∧ *v* = #*V'* ∧ *w* = #*W'* ∧ *degree V'* = *degree v* ∧ *degree W'* = *degree w* ∧
monic V' ∧ *coprime* (#*V'* :: '*p mod-ring poly*) (#*W'*) (**is** *?main*)
and (∀ *V'' W''*. *u* = *V''* * *W''* ⟶ *v* = #*V''* ⟶ *w* = #*W''* ⟶
degree V'' = *degree v* ⟶ *degree W''* = *degree w* ⟶ *lead-coeff V''* = @*lead-coeff v* ⟶
V'' = *V'* ∧ *W''* = *W'*) (**is** *?unique*)
proof−
from *monic*
have *degv*: *degree* (#*v* :: '*p mod-ring poly*) = *degree v*
by (*simp add*: *of-int-hom.monic-degree-map-poly-hom*)
from *monic*
have *monic2*: *monic* (#*v* :: '*p mod-ring poly*)
by (*auto simp*: *degv*)
obtain *s t* **where** *bezout*: *bezout-coefficients* (#*v* :: '*p mod-ring poly*) (#*w*) = (*s*, *t*)
by (*auto simp add*: *prod-eq-iff*)
then have *s* * #*v* + *t* * #*w* = *gcd* (#*v* :: '*p mod-ring poly*) (#*w*)
by (*rule bezout-coefficients*)
with *coprime* **have** *vswt*: #*v* * *s* + #*w* * *t* = *1*

```
    by (simp add: ac-simps)
  obtain a b where dupe: dupe-monic (#v) (#w) s t 1 = (a, b) by force
  from dupe-monic(1,2)[OF vswt monic2, where U=1, unfolded this]
  have avbw: a * #v + b * #w = 1 and degb: b = 0 ∨ degree b < degree (#v::'p
mod-ring poly) by auto
  have ?main ∧ ?unique
  proof (cases b = 0)
    case b0: True
    with avbw have a * #v = 1 by auto
    then have degree (#v :: 'p mod-ring poly) = 0
      by (metis degree-1 degree-mult-eq-0 mult-zero-left one-neq-zero)
    from this[unfolded degv] monic-degree-0[OF monic[unfolded]]
    have 1: v = 1 by auto
    with b0 out uvw have 2: V' = 1 W' = u
      by (unfold split hensel-1-def Let-def dupe) auto
   have 3: ?unique apply (simp add: 1 2) by (metis monic-degree-0 mult.left-neutral)
    with uvw degu show ?thesis unfolding 1 2 by auto
  next
    case b0: False
    with degb degv have degb: degree b < degree v by auto
    then have v1: v ≠ 1 by auto
    interpret Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-prime TYPE('p) TYPE('q) TYPE('pq) a b
      by (unfold-locales; fact assms degb avbw)
    show ?thesis
    proof (intro conjI)
      from out [unfolded hensel-1-def] v1
      have 1 [simp]: V' = V W' = W by (auto simp: bezout dupe)
      from uVW show u = V' * W' by auto
      from degV show [simp]: degree V' = degree v by simp
      from degW show [simp]: degree W' = degree w by simp
      from lcV have lead-coeff V' = @lead-coeff v by simp
      with monic-v show monic V' by (simp add:)
      from vV show v = #V' by simp
      from wW show w = #W' by simp
      from coprime-preserves show coprime (#V' :: 'p mod-ring poly) (#W') by
simp
      show 9: ?unique by (unfold 1, intro allI conjI impI; rule unique)
    qed
  qed
  then show ?main ?unique by (fact conjunct1, fact conjunct2)
qed

end
```

9.3 Result is Unique

We combine the finite field factorization algorithm with Hensel-lifting to obtain factorizations mod p^n. Moreover, we prove results on unique-factorizations in mod p^n which admit to extend the uniqueness result for binary Hensel-

lifting to the general case. As a consequence, our factorization algorithm will produce unique factorizations mod p^n.

theory *Berlekamp-Hensel*
imports
Finite-Field-Factorization-Record-Based
Hensel-Lifting
begin

hide-const *coeff monom*

definition *berlekamp-hensel* :: *int* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int poly list* **where**
berlekamp-hensel p n f = (*case finite-field-factorization-int p f of*
(-,*fs*) ⇒ *hensel-lifting p n f fs*)

Finite field factorization in combination with Hensel-lifting delivers factorization modulo p^k where factors are irreducible modulo p. Assumptions: input polynomial is square-free modulo p.

context *poly-mod-prime* **begin**

lemma *berlekamp-hensel-main*:
assumes *n*: *n* ≠ *0*
and *res*: *berlekamp-hensel p n f* = *gs*
and *cop*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff f*) *p*
and *sf*: *square-free-m f*
and *berl*: *finite-field-factorization-int p f* = (*c*,*fs*)
shows *poly-mod.factorization-m* (*p* ^ *n*) *f* (*lead-coeff f*, *mset gs*) — factorization mod *p*^*n*
and *sort* (*map degree fs*) = *sort* (*map degree gs*)
and ⋀ *g*. *g* ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *monic g* ∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *g* = *g* ∧ — monic and normalized
poly-mod.irreducible-m p g ∧ — irreducibility even mod *p*
poly-mod.degree-m p g = *degree g* — mod *p* does not change degree of *g*
proof −
from *res*[*unfolded berlekamp-hensel-def berl split*]
have *hen*: *hensel-lifting p n f fs* = *gs* **.**
note *bh* = *finite-field-factorization-int*[*OF sf berl*]
from *bh* **have** *poly-mod.factorization-m p f* (*c*, *mset fs*) *c* ∈ {*0*..<*p*} (∀ *fi*∈*set fs*. *set* (*coeffs fi*) ⊆ {*0*..<*p*})
by (*auto simp*: *poly-mod.unique-factorization-m-alt-def*)
note *hen* = *hensel-lifting*[*OF n hen cop sf*, *OF this*]
show *poly-mod.factorization-m* (*p* ^ *n*) *f* (*lead-coeff f*, *mset gs*)
sort (*map degree fs*) = *sort* (*map degree gs*)
⋀ *g*. *g* ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *monic g* ∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *g* = *g* ∧
poly-mod.irreducible-m p g ∧
poly-mod.degree-m p g = *degree g* **using** *hen* **by** *auto*
qed

theorem *berlekamp-hensel*:
assumes *cop*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff f*) *p*

and *sf*: *square-free-m f*
and *res*: *berlekamp-hensel p n f = gs*
and *n*: *n ≠ 0*
shows *poly-mod.factorization-m (p^n) f (lead-coeff f, mset gs)* — factorization mod *p^n*
and ⋀ *g*. *g ∈ set gs ⟹ poly-mod.Mp (p^n) g = g ∧ poly-mod.irreducible-m p g*
— normalized and *irreducible* even mod *p*
proof −
obtain *c fs* **where** *finite-field-factorization-int p f = (c,fs)* **by** *force*
from *berlekamp-hensel-main*[*OF n res cop sf this*]
show *poly-mod.factorization-m (p^n) f (lead-coeff f, mset gs)*
⋀ *g*. *g ∈ set gs ⟹ poly-mod.Mp (p^n) g = g ∧ poly-mod.irreducible-m p g* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *berlekamp-and-hensel-separated*:
assumes *cop*: *coprime (lead-coeff f) p*
and *sf*: *square-free-m f*
and *res*: *hensel-lifting p n f fs = gs*
and *berl*: *finite-field-factorization-int p f = (c,fs)*
and *n*: *n ≠ 0*
shows *berlekamp-hensel p n f = gs*
and *sort (map degree fs) = sort (map degree gs)*
proof −
show *berlekamp-hensel p n f = gs* **unfolding** *res*[*symmetric*]
berlekamp-hensel-def hensel-lifting-def berl split Let-def **..**
from *berlekamp-hensel-main*[*OF n this cop sf berl*] **show** *sort (map degree fs) = sort (map degree gs)*
by *auto*
qed

end

lemma *prime-cop-exp-poly-mod*:
assumes *prime*: *prime p* **and** *cop*: *coprime c p* **and** *n*: *n ≠ 0*
shows *poly-mod.M (p^n) c ∈ {1 ..< p^n}*
proof −
from *prime* **have** *p1*: *p > 1* **by** (*simp add*: *prime-int-iff*)
interpret *poly-mod-2 p^n* **unfolding** *poly-mod-2-def* **using** *p1 n* **by** *simp*
from *cop p1 m1* **have** *M c ≠ 0*
by (*auto simp add*: *M-def*)
moreover have *M c < p^n M c ≥ 0* **unfolding** *M-def* **using** *m1* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

context *poly-mod-2*
begin

context
fixes $p :: int$
assumes *prime*: $prime\ p$
begin

interpretation p: *poly-mod-prime* p **using** *prime* **by** *unfold-locales*

lemma *coprime-lead-coeff-factor*: **assumes** $coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ (f * g))\ p$
shows $coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ f)\ p\ coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ g)\ p$
proof −
{
fix $f\ g$
assume *cop*: $coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ (f * g))\ p$
from *this*[*unfolded lead-coeff-mult*]
have $coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ f)\ p$ **using** *prime*
by *simp*
}
from *this*[*OF assms*] *this*[*of g f*] *assms*
show $coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ f)\ p\ coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ g)\ p$ **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
qed

lemma *unique-factorization-m-factor*: **assumes** *uf*: $unique\text{-}factorization\text{-}m\ (f * g)$
(c,hs)
and *cop*: $coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ (f * g))\ p$
and *sf*: $p.square\text{-}free\text{-}m\ (f * g)$
and *n*: $n \neq 0$
and *m*: $m = p\hat{}n$
shows $\exists\ fs\ gs.\ unique\text{-}factorization\text{-}m\ f\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ f,fs)$
$\wedge\ unique\text{-}factorization\text{-}m\ g\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ g,gs)$
$\wedge\ Mf\ (c,hs) = Mf\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ f * lead\text{-}coeff\ g,\ fs + gs)$
$\wedge\ image\text{-}mset\ Mp\ fs = fs \wedge image\text{-}mset\ Mp\ gs = gs$
proof −
from *prime* **have** *p1*: $1 < p$ **by** (*simp add*: *prime-int-iff*)
interpret p: *poly-mod-2* p **by** (*standard*, *rule p1*)
note $sf = p.square\text{-}free\text{-}m\text{-}factor[OF\ sf]$
note $cop = coprime\text{-}lead\text{-}coeff\text{-}factor[OF\ cop]$
from *cop* **have** *copm*: $coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ f)\ m\ coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ g)\ m$
by (*simp-all add*: *m*)
have *df*: $degree\text{-}m\ f = degree\ f$
by (*rule degree-m-eq*[*OF - m1*], *insert copm*(*1*) *m1*, *auto*)
have *dg*: $degree\text{-}m\ g = degree\ g$
by (*rule degree-m-eq*[*OF - m1*], *insert copm*(*2*) *m1*, *auto*)
define *fs* **where** $fs \equiv mset\ (berlekamp\text{-}hensel\ p\ n\ f)$
define *gs* **where** $gs \equiv mset\ (berlekamp\text{-}hensel\ p\ n\ g)$
from $p.berlekamp\text{-}hensel[OF\ cop(1)\ sf(1)\ refl\ n,\ folded\ m]$
have *f*: $factorization\text{-}m\ f\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ f,fs)$
and *f-id*: $\bigwedge f.\ f \in\# fs \Longrightarrow Mp\ f = f$ **unfolding** *fs-def* **by** *auto*
from $p.berlekamp\text{-}hensel[OF\ cop(2)\ sf(2)\ refl\ n,\ folded\ m]$
have *g*: $factorization\text{-}m\ g\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ g,gs)$

and *g-id*: $\bigwedge f.\ f \in\# gs \Longrightarrow Mp\ f = f$ **unfolding** *gs-def* **by** *auto*
from *factorization-m-prod*[*OF f g*] *uf*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def*]
have *eq*: *Mf* (*lead-coeff f* ∗ *lead-coeff g*, *fs* + *gs*) = *Mf* (*c*,*hs*) **by** *blast*
have *uff*: *unique-factorization-m f* (*lead-coeff f*,*fs*)
proof (*rule unique-factorization-mI*[*OF f*])
fix *e ks*
assume *factorization-m f* (*e*,*ks*)
from *factorization-m-prod*[*OF this g*] *uf*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def*]
factorization-m-lead-coeff[*OF this*, *unfolded degree-m-eq-lead-coeff*[*OF df*]]
have *Mf* (*e* ∗ *lead-coeff g*, *ks* + *gs*) = *Mf* (*c*,*hs*) **and** *e*: *M* (*lead-coeff f*) = *M* *e* **by** *blast*+
from *this*[*folded eq*, *unfolded Mf-def split*]
have *ks*: *image-mset Mp ks* = *image-mset Mp fs* **by** *auto*
show *Mf* (*e*, *ks*) = *Mf* (*lead-coeff f*, *fs*) **unfolding** *Mf-def split ks e* **by** *simp*
qed
have *idf*: *image-mset Mp fs* = *fs* **using** *f-id* **by** (*induct fs*, *auto*)
have *idg*: *image-mset Mp gs* = *gs* **using** *g-id* **by** (*induct gs*, *auto*)
have *ufg*: *unique-factorization-m g* (*lead-coeff g*,*gs*)
proof (*rule unique-factorization-mI*[*OF g*])
fix *e ks*
assume *factorization-m g* (*e*,*ks*)
from *factorization-m-prod*[*OF f this*] *uf*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def*]
factorization-m-lead-coeff[*OF this*, *unfolded degree-m-eq-lead-coeff*[*OF dg*]]
have *Mf* (*lead-coeff f* ∗ *e*, *fs* + *ks*) = *Mf* (*c*,*hs*) **and** *e*: *M* (*lead-coeff g*) = *M* *e* **by** *blast*+
from *this*[*folded eq*, *unfolded Mf-def split*]
have *ks*: *image-mset Mp ks* = *image-mset Mp gs* **by** *auto*
show *Mf* (*e*, *ks*) = *Mf* (*lead-coeff g*, *gs*) **unfolding** *Mf-def split ks e* **by** *simp*
qed
from *uff ufg eq*[*symmetric*] *idf idg* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *unique-factorization-factorI*:
assumes *ufact*: *unique-factorization-m* (*f* ∗ *g*) *FG*
and *cop*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff* (*f* ∗ *g*)) *p*
and *sf*: *poly-mod.square-free-m p* (*f* ∗ *g*)
and *n*: *n* ≠ *0*
and *m*: *m* = *p*^*n*
shows *factorization-m f F* ⟹ *unique-factorization-m f F*
and *factorization-m g G* ⟹ *unique-factorization-m g G*
proof −
obtain *c fg* **where** *FG*: *FG* = (*c*,*fg*) **by** *force*
from *unique-factorization-m-factor*[*OF ufact*[*unfolded FG*] *cop sf n m*]
obtain *fs gs* **where** *ufact*: *unique-factorization-m f* (*lead-coeff f*, *fs*)
unique-factorization-m g (*lead-coeff g*, *gs*) **by** *auto*
from *ufact*(*1*) **show** *factorization-m f F* ⟹ *unique-factorization-m f F*
by (*metis unique-factorization-m-alt-def*)
from *ufact*(*2*) **show** *factorization-m g G* ⟹ *unique-factorization-m g G*
by (*metis unique-factorization-m-alt-def*)

qed

end

lemma *monic-Mp-prod-mset*: **assumes** *fs*: $\bigwedge f.\ f \in\# fs \Longrightarrow monic\ (Mp\ f)$
shows *monic* (*Mp* (*prod-mset fs*))
proof −
have *monic* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp fs*))
by (*rule monic-prod-mset*, *insert fs*, *auto*)
from *monic-Mp*[*OF this*] **have** *monic* (*Mp* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp fs*))) **.**
also have *Mp* (*prod-mset* (*image-mset Mp fs*)) = *Mp* (*prod-mset fs*) **by** (*rule Mp-prod-mset*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *degree-Mp-mult-monic*: **assumes** *monic f monic g*
shows *degree* (*Mp* (*f* ∗ *g*)) = *degree f* + *degree g*
by (*metis zero-neq-one assms degree-monic-mult leading-coeff-0-iff monic-degree-m monic-mult*)

lemma *factorization-m-degree*: **assumes** *factorization-m f* (*c*,*fs*)
and *0*: *Mp f* ≠ *0*
shows *degree-m f* = *sum-mset* (*image-mset degree-m fs*)
proof −
note *a* = *assms*[*unfolded factorization-m-def split*]
hence *deg*: *degree-m f* = *degree-m* (*smult c* (*prod-mset fs*))
and *fs*: $\bigwedge f.\ f \in\# fs \Longrightarrow monic\ (Mp\ f)$ **by** *auto*
define *gs* **where** *gs* ≡ *Mp* (*prod-mset fs*)
from *monic-Mp-prod-mset*[*OF fs*] **have** *mon-gs*: *monic gs* **unfolding** *gs-def* **.**
have *d*:*degree* (*Mp* (*Polynomial.smult c gs*)) = *degree gs*
proof −
have *f1*: *0* ≠ *c* **by** (*metis 0 Mp-0 a*(*1*) *smult-eq-0-iff*)
then have *M c* ≠ *0* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*) *0 assms*(*1*) *factorization-m-lead-coeff leading-coeff-0-iff*)
then show *degree* (*Mp* (*Polynomial.smult c gs*)) = *degree gs*
unfolding *monic-degree-m*[*OF mon-gs*,*symmetric*]
using *f1* **by** (*metis coeff-smult degree-m-eq degree-smult-eq m1 mon-gs monic-degree-m mult-cancel-left1 poly-mod.M-def*)
qed
note *deg*
also have *degree-m* (*smult c* (*prod-mset fs*)) = *degree-m* (*smult c gs*)
unfolding *gs-def* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *degree gs* **using** *d***.**
also have ... = *sum-mset* (*image-mset degree-m fs*) **unfolding** *gs-def*
using *fs*
proof (*induct fs*)
case (*add f fs*)
have *mon*: *monic* (*Mp f*) *monic* (*Mp* (*prod-mset fs*)) **using** *monic-Mp-prod-mset*[*of fs*]

add(2) **by** *auto*
have *degree* (*Mp* (*prod-mset* (*add-mset f fs*))) = *degree* (*Mp* (*Mp f* ∗ *Mp* (*prod-mset fs*)))
by (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
also have ... = *degree* (*Mp f*) + *degree* (*Mp* (*prod-mset fs*))
by (*rule degree-Mp-mult-monic*[*OF mon*])
also have *degree* (*Mp* (*prod-mset fs*)) = *sum-mset* (*image-mset degree-m fs*)
by (*rule add*(*1*), *insert add*(*2*), *auto*)
finally show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
qed *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *degree-m-mult-le*: *degree-m* (*f* ∗ *g*) ≤ *degree-m f* + *degree-m g*
using *degree-m-mult-le* **by** *auto*

lemma *degree-m-prod-mset-le*: *degree-m* (*prod-mset fs*) ≤ *sum-mset* (*image-mset degree-m fs*)
proof (*induct fs*)
case *empty*
show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*add f fs*)
then show *?case* **using** *degree-m-mult-le*[*of f prod-mset fs*] **by** *auto*
qed

end

context *poly-mod-prime*
begin

lemma *unique-factorization-m-factor-partition*: **assumes** *l0*: *l* ≠ *0*
and *uf*: *poly-mod.unique-factorization-m* (*p*^*l*) *f* (*lead-coeff f*, *mset gs*)
and *f*: *f* = *f1* ∗ *f2*
and *cop*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff f*) *p*
and *sf*: *square-free-m f*
and *part*: *List.partition* (λ*gi*. *gi dvdm f1*) *gs* = (*gs1*, *gs2*)
shows *poly-mod.unique-factorization-m* (*p*^*l*) *f1* (*lead-coeff f1*, *mset gs1*)
poly-mod.unique-factorization-m (*p*^*l*) *f2* (*lead-coeff f2*, *mset gs2*)
proof −
interpret *pl*: *poly-mod-2 p*^*l* **by** (*standard*, *insert m1 l0*, *auto*)
let *?I* = *image-mset pl.Mp*
note *Mp-pow* [*simp*] = *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp*[*OF l0 m1*]
have [*simp*]: *pl.Mp x dvdm u* = (*x dvdm u*) **for** *x u* **unfolding** *dvdm-def* **using** *Mp-pow*[*of x*]
by (*metis poly-mod.mult-Mp*(*1*))
have *gs-split*: *set gs* = *set gs1* ∪ *set gs2* **using** *part* **by** *auto*
from *pl.unique-factorization-m-factor*[*OF prime uf*[*unfolded f*] - - *l0 refl*, *folded*

f, *OF cop sf*]
obtain *hs1 hs2* **where** *uf'*: *pl.unique-factorization-m f1* (*lead-coeff f1*, *hs1*)
pl.unique-factorization-m f2 (*lead-coeff f2*, *hs2*)
and *gs-hs*: *?I* (*mset gs*) = *hs1* + *hs2*
unfolding *pl.Mf-def split* **by** *auto*
have *gs-gs*: *?I* (*mset gs*) = *?I* (*mset gs1*) + *?I* (*mset gs2*) **using** *part*
by (*auto*, *induct gs arbitrary*: *gs1 gs2*, *auto*)
with *gs-hs* **have** *gs-hs12*: *?I* (*mset gs1*) + *?I* (*mset gs2*) = *hs1* + *hs2* **by** *auto*
note *pl-dvdm-imp-p-dvdm* = *pl-dvdm-imp-p-dvdm*[*OF l0*]
note *fact* = *pl.unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization*[*OF uf*]
have *gs1*: *?I* (*mset gs1*) = {#*x* ∈# *?I* (*mset gs*). *x dvdm f1*#}
using *part* **by** (*auto*, *induct gs arbitrary*: *gs1 gs2*, *auto*)
also have ... = {#*x* ∈# *hs1*. *x dvdm f1*#} + {#*x* ∈# *hs2*. *x dvdm f1*#}
unfolding *gs-hs* **by** *simp*
also have {#*x* ∈# *hs2*. *x dvdm f1*#} = {#}
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume ¬ *?thesis*
then obtain *x* **where** *x*: *x* ∈# *hs2* **and** *dvd*: *x dvdm f1* **by** *fastforce*
from *x gs-hs* **have** *x* ∈# *?I* (*mset gs*) **by** *auto*
with *fact*[*unfolded pl.factorization-m-def*]
have *xx*: *pl.irreducible*$_d$*-m x monic x* **by** *auto*
from *square-free-m-prod-imp-coprime-m*[*OF sf*[*unfolded f*]]
have *cop-h-f*: *coprime-m f1 f2* **by** *auto*
from *pl.factorization-m-mem-dvdm*[*OF pl.unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization*[*OF uf'*(*2*)], *of x*] *x*
have *pl.dvdm x f2* **by** *auto*
hence *x dvdm f2* **by** (*rule pl-dvdm-imp-p-dvdm*)
from *cop-h-f*[*unfolded coprime-m-def*, *rule-format*, *OF dvd this*]
have *x dvdm 1* **by** *auto*
from *dvdm-imp-degree-le*[*OF this xx*(*2*) - *m1*] **have** *degree x* = *0* **by** *auto*
with *xx* **show** *False* **unfolding** *pl.irreducible*$_d$*-m-def* **by** *auto*
qed
also have {#*x* ∈# *hs1*. *x dvdm f1*#} = *hs1*
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume ¬ *?thesis*
from *filter-mset-inequality*[*OF this*]
obtain *x* **where** *x*: *x* ∈# *hs1* **and** *dvd*: ¬ *x dvdm f1* **by** *blast*
from *pl.factorization-m-mem-dvdm*[*OF pl.unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization*[*OF uf'*(*1*)],
of x] *x dvd*
have *pl.dvdm x f1* **by** *auto*
from *pl-dvdm-imp-p-dvdm*[*OF this*] *dvd* **show** *False* **by** *auto*
qed
finally have *gs-hs1*: *?I* (*mset gs1*) = *hs1* **by** *simp*
with *gs-hs12* **have** *?I* (*mset gs2*) = *hs2* **by** *auto*
with *uf' gs-hs1* **have** *pl.unique-factorization-m f1* (*lead-coeff f1*, *?I* (*mset gs1*))
pl.unique-factorization-m f2 (*lead-coeff f2*, *?I* (*mset gs2*)) **by** *auto*
thus *pl.unique-factorization-m f1* (*lead-coeff f1*, *mset gs1*)
pl.unique-factorization-m f2 (*lead-coeff f2*, *mset gs2*)

unfolding *pl.unique-factorization-m-def*
by (*auto simp*: *pl.Mf-def image-mset.compositionality o-def*)
qed

lemma *factorization-pn-to-factorization-p*: **assumes** *fact*: *poly-mod.factorization-m* (*p*^*n*) *C* (*c*,*fs*)
and *sf*: *square-free-m C*
and *n*: *n* ≠ *0*
shows *factorization-m C* (*c*,*fs*)
proof −
let *?q* = *p*^*n*
from *n m1* **have** *q*: *?q* > *1* **by** *simp*
interpret *q*: *poly-mod-2 ?q* **by** (*standard*, *insert q*, *auto*)
from *fact*[*unfolded q.factorization-m-def*]
have *eq*: *q.Mp C* = *q.Mp* (*Polynomial.smult c* (*prod-mset fs*))
and *irr*: ⋀ *f*. *f* ∈# *fs* ⟹ *q.irreducible*$_d$*-m f*
and *mon*: ⋀ *f*. *f* ∈# *fs* ⟹ *monic* (*q.Mp f*)
by *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF eq*, *of Mp*]
have *eq*: *eq-m C* (*smult c* (*prod-mset fs*))
by (*simp add*: *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp m1 n*)
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *factorization-m-def split*
proof (*rule conjI*[*OF eq*], *intro ballI conjI*)
fix *f*
assume *f*: *f* ∈# *fs*
from *mon*[*OF this*] **have** *mon-qf*: *monic* (*q.Mp f*) **.**
hence *lc*: *lead-coeff* (*q.Mp f*) = *1* **by** *auto*
from *mon-qf* **show** *mon-f*: *monic* (*Mp f*)
by (*metis Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp m1 monic-Mp n*)
from *irr*[*OF f*] **have** *irr*: *q.irreducible*$_d$*-m f* **.**
hence *q.degree-m f* ≠ *0* **unfolding** *q.irreducible*$_d$*-m-def* **by** *auto*
also have *q.degree-m f* = *degree-m f* **using** *mon*[*OF f*]
by (*metis Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp m1 monic-degree-m n*)
finally have *deg*: *degree-m f* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *f* **obtain** *gs* **where** *fs*: *fs* = {#*f*#} + *gs*
by (*metis mset-subset-eq-single subset-mset.add-diff-inverse*)
from *eq*[*unfolded fs*] **have** *Mp C* = *Mp* (*f* ∗ *smult c* (*prod-mset gs*)) **by** *auto*
from *square-free-m-factor*[*OF square-free-m-cong*[*OF sf this*]]
have *sf-f*: *square-free-m f* **by** *simp*
have *sf-Mf*: *square-free-m* (*q.Mp f*)
by (*rule square-free-m-cong*[*OF sf-f*], *auto simp*: *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp n m1*)
have *coprime* (*lead-coeff* (*q.Mp f*)) *p* **using** *mon*[*OF f*] *prime* **by** *simp*
from *berlekamp-hensel*[*OF this sf-Mf refl n*, *unfolded lc*] **obtain** *gs* **where**
qfact: *q.factorization-m* (*q.Mp f*) (*1*, *mset gs*)
and ⋀ *g*. *g* ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *irreducible-m g* **by** *blast*
hence *fact*: *q.Mp f* = *q.Mp* (*prod-list gs*)
and *gs*: ⋀ *g*. *g*∈ *set gs* ⟹ *irreducible*$_d$*-m g* ∧ *q.irreducible*$_d$*-m g* ∧ *monic* (*q.Mp g*)
unfolding *q.factorization-m-def* **by** *auto*

```
    from q.factorization-m-degree[OF qfact]
    have deg: q.degree-m (q.Mp f) = sum-mset (image-mset q.degree-m (mset gs))
      using mon-qf by fastforce
    from irr[unfolded q.irreducible_d-m-def]
    have sum-mset (image-mset q.degree-m (mset gs)) ≠ 0 by (fold deg, auto)
    then obtain g gs' where gs1: gs = g # gs' by (cases gs, auto)
    {
      assume gs' ≠ []
      then obtain h hs where gs2: gs' = h # hs by (cases gs', auto)
      from deg gs[unfolded q.irreducible_d-m-def]
      have small: q.degree-m g < q.degree-m f
        q.degree-m h + sum-mset (image-mset q.degree-m (mset hs)) < q.degree-m
f
        unfolding gs1 gs2 by auto
      have q.eq-m f (g * (h * prod-list hs))
        using fact unfolding gs1 gs2 by simp
      with irr[unfolded q.irreducible_d-m-def, THEN conjunct2, rule-format, of g h
* prod-list hs]
        small(1) have ¬ q.degree-m (h * prod-list hs) < q.degree-m f by auto
      hence q.degree-m f ≤ q.degree-m (h * prod-list hs) by simp
      also have ... = q.degree-m (prod-mset ({#h#} + mset hs)) by simp
      also have ... ≤ sum-mset (image-mset q.degree-m ({#h#} + mset hs))
        by (rule q.degree-m-prod-mset-le)
      also have ... < q.degree-m f using small(2) by simp
      finally have False by simp
    }
    hence gs1: gs = [g] unfolding gs1 by (cases gs', auto)
    with fact have q.Mp f = q.Mp g by auto
    from arg-cong[OF this, of Mp] have eq: Mp f = Mp g
      by (simp add: Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp m1 n)
    from gs[unfolded gs1] have g: irreducible_d-m g by auto
    with eq show irreducible_d-m f unfolding irreducible_d-m-def by auto
  qed
qed

lemma unique-monic-hensel-factorization:
  assumes ufact: unique-factorization-m C (1,Fs)
  and C: monic C square-free-m C
  and n: n ≠ 0
  shows ∃ Gs. poly-mod.unique-factorization-m (p^n) C (1, Gs)
  using ufact C
proof (induct Fs arbitrary: C rule: wf-induct[OF wf-measure[of size]])
  case (1 Fs C)
  let ?q = p^n
  from n m1 have q: ?q > 1 by simp
  interpret q: poly-mod-2 ?q by (standard, insert q, auto)
  note [simp] = Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp[OF n m1]
  note IH = 1(1)[rule-format]
  note ufact = 1(2)
```

```
  hence fact: factorization-m C (1, Fs) unfolding unique-factorization-m-alt-def
by auto
  note monC = 1(3)
  note sf = 1(4)
  let ?n = size Fs
  {
    fix d gs
    assume qfact: q.factorization-m C (d,gs)
    from q.factorization-m-lead-coeff[OF this] q.monic-Mp[OF monC]
    have d1: q.M d = 1 by auto

    from factorization-pn-to-factorization-p[OF qfact sf n]
    have factorization-m C (d,gs) .
    with ufact d1 have q.M d = 1 M d = 1 image-mset Mp gs = image-mset Mp
Fs
      unfolding unique-factorization-m-alt-def Mf-def by auto
  } note pre-unique = this
  show ?case
  proof (cases Fs)
    case empty
    with fact C have Mp C = 1 unfolding factorization-m-def by auto
    hence degree (Mp C) = 0 by simp
    with degree-m-eq-monic[OF monC m1] have degree C = 0 by simp
    with monC have C1: C = 1 using monic-degree-0 by blast
    with fact have fact: q.factorization-m C (1,{#})
      by (auto simp: q.factorization-m-def)
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule exI, rule q.unique-factorization-mI[OF fact])
      fix d gs
      assume fact: q.factorization-m C (d,gs)
      from pre-unique[OF this, unfolded empty]
      show q.Mf (d, gs) = q.Mf (1, {#}) by (auto simp: q.Mf-def)
    qed
  next
    case (add D H) note FDH = this
    let ?D = Mp D
    let ?H = Mp (prod-mset H)
    from fact have monFs: ⋀ F. F ∈# Fs ⟹ monic (Mp F)
      and prod: eq-m C (prod-mset Fs) unfolding factorization-m-def by auto
    hence monD: monic ?D unfolding FDH by auto
    from square-free-m-cong[OF sf, of D * prod-mset H] prod[unfolded FDH]
    have square-free-m (D * prod-mset H) by (auto simp: ac-simps)
    from square-free-m-prod-imp-coprime-m[OF this]
    have coprime-m D (prod-mset H) .
    hence cop': coprime-m ?D ?H unfolding coprime-m-def dvdm-def Mp-Mp by
simp
    from fact have eq': eq-m (?D * ?H) C
      unfolding FDH by (simp add: factorization-m-def ac-simps)
    note unique-hensel-binary[OF prime cop' eq' Mp-Mp Mp-Mp monD n]
```

from *ex1-implies-ex*[*OF this*] *this*
obtain *A B* **where** *CAB*: *q.eq-m* (*A* ∗ *B*) *C* **and** *monA*: *monic A* **and** *DA*: *eq-m ?D A*
and *HB*: *eq-m ?H B* **and** *norm*: *q.Mp A* = *A q.Mp B* = *B*
and *unique*: ⋀ *D′ H′*. *q.eq-m* (*D′* ∗ *H′*) *C* ⟹
monic D′ ⟹
eq-m (*Mp D*) *D′* ⟹ *eq-m* (*Mp* (*prod-mset H*)) *H′* ⟹ *q.Mp D′* = *D′* ⟹ *q.Mp H′* = *H′*
⟹ *D′* = *A* ∧ *H′* = *B* **by** *blast*
note *hensel-bin-wit* = *CAB monA DA HB norm*
from *monA* **have** *monA′*: *monic* (*q.Mp A*) **by** (*rule q.monic-Mp*)
from *q.monic-Mp*[*OF monC*] *CAB* **have** *monicP*:*monic* (*q.Mp* (*A* ∗ *B*)) **by** *auto*
have *f4*: ⋀*p*. *coeff* (*A* ∗ *p*) (*degree* (*A* ∗ *p*)) = *coeff p* (*degree p*)
by (*simp add*: *coeff-degree-mult monA*)
have *f2*: ⋀*p n i*. *coeff p n mod i* = *coeff* (*poly-mod.Mp i p*) *n*
using *poly-mod.M-def poly-mod.Mp-coeff* **by** *presburger*
hence *coeff B* (*degree B*) = *0* ∨ *monic B*
using *monicP f4* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*) *norm*(*2*) *q.degree-m-eq q.m1*)
hence *monB*: *monic B*
using *f4 monicP* **by** (*metis norm*(*2*) *leading-coeff-0-iff*)
from *monA monB* **have** *lcAB*: *lead-coeff* (*A* ∗ *B*) = *1* **by** (*rule monic-mult*)
hence *copAB*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff* (*A* ∗ *B*)) *p* **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF CAB*, *of Mp*]
have *CAB′*: *eq-m C* (*A* ∗ *B*) **by** *auto*
from *sf CAB′* **have** *sfAB*: *square-free-m* (*A* ∗ *B*) **using** *square-free-m-cong* **by** *blast*
from *CAB′ ufact* **have** *ufact*: *unique-factorization-m* (*A* ∗ *B*) (*1*, *Fs*)
using *unique-factorization-m-cong* **by** *blast*
have (*1* :: *nat*) ≠ *0 p* = *p* ^ *1* **by** *auto*
note *u-factor* = *unique-factorization-factorI*[*OF prime ufact copAB sfAB this*]
from *fact DA* **have** *irreducible*$_d$*-m D eq-m A D* **unfolding** *add factorization-m-def* **by** *auto*
hence *irreducible*$_d$*-m A* **using** *Mp-irreducible*$_d$*-m* **by** *fastforce*
from *irreducible*$_d$*-lifting*[*OF n - this*] **have** *irrA*: *q.irreducible*$_d$*-m A* **using** *monA*
by (*simp add*: *m1 poly-mod.degree-m-eq-monic q.m1*)

from *add* **have** *lenH*: (*H*,*Fs*) ∈ *measure size* **by** *auto*
from *HB fact* **have** *factB*: *factorization-m B* (*1*, *H*)
unfolding *FDH factorization-m-def* **by** *auto*
from *u-factor*(*2*)[*OF factB*] **have** *ufactB*: *unique-factorization-m B* (*1*, *H*) **.**

from *sfAB* **have** *sfB*: *square-free-m B* **by** (*rule square-free-m-factor*)
from *IH*[*OF lenH ufactB monB sfB*] **obtain** *Bs* **where**
IH2: *q.unique-factorization-m B* (*1*, *Bs*) **by** *auto*

from *CAB* **have** *q.Mp C* = *q.Mp* (*q.Mp A* ∗ *q.Mp B*) **by** *simp*
also have *q.Mp A* ∗ *q.Mp B* = *q.Mp A* ∗ *q.Mp* (*prod-mset Bs*)
using *IH2* **unfolding** *q.unique-factorization-m-alt-def q.factorization-m-def*

by *auto*
also have *q.Mp ... = q.Mp (A * prod-mset Bs)* **by** *simp*
finally have *factC*: *q.factorization-m C (1, {# A #} + Bs)* **using** *IH2 monA' irrA*
by (*auto simp*: *q.unique-factorization-m-alt-def q.factorization-m-def*)
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule exI, rule q.unique-factorization-mI*[*OF factC*])
fix *d gs*
assume *dgs*: *q.factorization-m C (d,gs)*
from *pre-unique*[*OF dgs, unfolded add*] **have** *d1*: *q.M d = 1* **and**
gs-fs: *image-mset Mp gs = {# Mp D #} + image-mset Mp H* **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
have $\forall$*f m p ma. image-mset f m ≠ add-mset (p::int poly) ma ∨*
(∃ mb pa. m = add-mset (pa::int poly) mb ∧ f pa = p ∧ image-mset f mb = ma)
by (*simp add*: *msed-map-invR*)
then obtain *g hs* **where** *gs*: *gs = {# g #} + hs* **and** *gD*: *Mp g = Mp D*
and *hsH*: *image-mset Mp hs = image-mset Mp H*
using *gs-fs* **by** (*metis add-mset-add-single union-commute*)
from *dgs*[*unfolded q.factorization-m-def split*]
have *eq*: *q.Mp C = q.Mp (smult d (prod-mset gs))*
and *irr-mon*: $\bigwedge$ *g. g∈#gs ⟹ q.irreducible*$_d$*-m g ∧ monic (q.Mp g)*
using *d1* **by** *auto*
note *eq*
also have *q.Mp (smult d (prod-mset gs)) = q.Mp (smult (q.M d) (prod-mset gs))*
by *simp*
also have *... = q.Mp (prod-mset gs)* **unfolding** *d1* **by** *simp*
finally have *eq*: *q.eq-m (q.Mp g * q.Mp (prod-mset hs)) C* **unfolding** *gs* **by** *simp*
from *gD* **have** *Dg*: *eq-m (Mp D) (q.Mp g)* **by** *simp*
have *Mp (prod-mset H) = Mp (prod-mset (image-mset Mp H))* **by** *simp*
also have *... = Mp (prod-mset hs)* **unfolding** *hsH*[*symmetric*] **by** *simp*
finally have *Hhs*: *eq-m (Mp (prod-mset H)) (q.Mp (prod-mset hs))* **by** *simp*
from *irr-mon*[*of g, unfolded gs*] **have** *mon-g*: *monic (q.Mp g)* **by** *auto*
from *unique*[*OF eq mon-g Dg Hhs q.Mp-Mp q.Mp-Mp*]
have *gA*: *q.Mp g = A* **and** *hsB*: *q.Mp (prod-mset hs) = B* **by** *auto*
have *q.factorization-m B (1, hs)* **unfolding** *q.factorization-m-def split*
by (*simp add*: *hsB norm irr-mon*[*unfolded gs*])
with *IH2* **have** *hsBs*: *q.Mf (1,hs) = q.Mf (1,Bs)* **unfolding** *q.unique-factorization-m-alt-def* **by** *blast*
show *q.Mf (d, gs) = q.Mf (1, {# A #} + Bs)*
using *gA hsBs d1* **unfolding** *gs q.Mf-def* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
qed

theorem *berlekamp-hensel-unique*:
assumes *cop*: *coprime (lead-coeff f) p*

and *sf*: *poly-mod.square-free-m p f*
and *res*: *berlekamp-hensel p n f = gs*
and *n*: *n ≠ 0*
shows *poly-mod.unique-factorization-m* (*p^n*) *f* (*lead-coeff f*, *mset gs*) — unique factorization mod *p^n*
⋀ *g*. *g* ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p^n*) *g* = *g* — normalized
proof −
let *?q* = *p^n*
interpret *q*: *poly-mod-2 ?q* **unfolding** *poly-mod-2-def* **using** *m1 n* **by** *simp*
from *berlekamp-hensel*[*OF assms*]
have *bh-fact*: *q.factorization-m f* (*lead-coeff f*, *mset gs*) **by** *auto*
from *berlekamp-hensel*[*OF assms*]
show ⋀ *g*. *g* ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p^n*) *g* = *g* **by** *blast*
from *prime* **have** *p1*: *p* > *1* **by** (*simp add*: *prime-int-iff*)
let *?lc* = *coeff f* (*degree f*)
define *ilc* **where** *ilc* ≡ *inverse-mod ?lc* (*p ^ n*)
from *cop p1 n* **have** *inv*: *q.M* (*ilc* ∗ *?lc*) = *1*
by (*auto simp add*: *q.M-def ilc-def inverse-mod-pow*)
hence *ilc0*: *ilc* ≠ *0* **by** (*cases ilc* = *0*, *auto*)
{
fix *q*
assume *ilc* ∗ *?lc* = *?q* ∗ *q*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of q.M*] **have** *q.M* (*ilc* ∗ *?lc*) = *0*
unfolding *q.M-def* **by** *auto*
with *inv* **have** *False* **by** *auto*
} note *not-dvd* = *this*
let *?in* = *q.Mp* (*smult ilc f*)
have *mon*: *monic ?in* **unfolding** *q.Mp-coeff coeff-smult*
by (*subst q.degree-m-eq*[*OF - q.m1*], *insert not-dvd*, *auto simp*: *inv ilc0*)
have *q.Mp f* = *q.Mp* (*smult* (*q.M* (*?lc* ∗ *ilc*)) *f*) **using** *inv* **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
also have ... = *q.Mp* (*smult ?lc* (*smult ilc f*)) **by** *simp*
finally have *f*: *q.Mp f* = *q.Mp* (*smult ?lc* (*smult ilc f*)) **.**
from *arg-cong*[*OF f*, *of Mp*]
have *Mp f* = *Mp* (*smult ?lc* (*smult ilc f*))
by (*simp add*: *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp n p1*)
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of square-free-m*, *unfolded Mp-square-free-m*] *sf*
have *square-free-m* (*smult* (*coeff f* (*degree f*)) (*smult ilc f*)) **by** *simp*
from *square-free-m-smultD*[*OF this*] **have** *sf*: *square-free-m* (*smult ilc f*) **.**
have *Mp-in*: *Mp ?in* = *Mp* (*smult ilc f*)
by (*simp add*: *Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp n p1*)
from *Mp-square-free-m*[*of ?in*, *unfolded Mp-in*] *sf* **have** *sf*: *square-free-m ?in*
unfolding *Mp-square-free-m* **by** *simp*
obtain *a b* **where** *finite-field-factorization-int p ?in* = (*a*,*b*) **by** *force*
from *finite-field-factorization-int*[*OF sf this*]
have *ufact*: *unique-factorization-m ?in* (*a*, *mset b*) **by** *auto*
from *unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization*[*OF this*]
have *fact*: *factorization-m ?in* (*a*, *mset b*) **.**
from *factorization-m-lead-coeff*[*OF this*] *monic-Mp*[*OF mon*]

have $M\ a = 1$ **by** *auto*
with *ufact* **have** *unique-factorization-m ?in* $(1, mset\ b)$
unfolding *unique-factorization-m-def Mf-def* **by** *auto*
from *unique-monic-hensel-factorization*[*OF this mon sf n*]
obtain *hs* **where** *q.unique-factorization-m ?in* $(1, hs)$ **by** *auto*
hence *unique*: *q.unique-factorization-m* $(smult\ ilc\ f)\ (1, hs)$
unfolding *unique-factorization-m-def Mf-def* **by** *auto*
from *q.factorization-m-smult*[*OF q.unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization*[*OF unique*], *of ?lc*]
have *q.factorization-m* $(smult\ (ilc * ?lc)\ f)\ (?lc, hs)$ **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
moreover have $q.Mp\ (smult\ (q.M\ (ilc * ?lc))\ f) = q.Mp\ f$ **unfolding** *inv* **by** *simp*
ultimately have *fact*: *q.factorization-m f* $(?lc, hs)$
unfolding *q.factorization-m-def* **by** *auto*
have *q.unique-factorization-m f* $(?lc, hs)$
proof (*rule q.unique-factorization-mI*[*OF fact*])
fix *d us*
assume *other-fact*: *q.factorization-m f* (d,us)
from *q.factorization-m-lead-coeff*[*OF this*] **have** *lc*: $q.M\ d = lead\text{-}coeff\ (q.Mp\ f)$ **..**
have *lc*: $q.M\ d = q.M\ ?lc$ **unfolding** *lc*
by (*metis bh-fact q.factorization-m-lead-coeff*)
from *q.factorization-m-smult*[*OF other-fact*, *of ilc*] *unique*
have *eq*: $q.Mf\ (d * ilc, us) = q.Mf\ (1, hs)$ **unfolding** *q.unique-factorization-m-def* **by** *auto*
thus $q.Mf\ (d, us) = q.Mf\ (?lc, hs)$ **using** *lc* **unfolding** *q.Mf-def* **by** *auto*
qed
with *bh-fact* **show** *q.unique-factorization-m f* $(lead\text{-}coeff\ f, mset\ gs)$
unfolding *q.unique-factorization-m-alt-def* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *hensel-lifting-unique*:
assumes *n*: $n \neq 0$
and *res*: *hensel-lifting p n f fs* $= gs$ — result of hensel is fact. *gs*
and *cop*: *coprime* $(lead\text{-}coeff\ f)\ p$
and *sf*: *poly-mod.square-free-m p f*
and *fact*: *poly-mod.factorization-m p f* $(c, mset\ fs)$ — input is fact. *fs mod p*
and *c*: $c \in \{0..<p\}$
and *norm*: $(\forall fi \in set\ fs.\ set\ (coeffs\ fi) \subseteq \{0..<p\})$
shows *poly-mod.unique-factorization-m* $(p\hat{}n)\ f\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ f, mset\ gs)$ — unique factorization mod $p\hat{}n$
$sort\ (map\ degree\ fs) = sort\ (map\ degree\ gs)$ — degrees stay the same
$\bigwedge g.\ g \in set\ gs \Longrightarrow monic\ g \wedge poly\text{-}mod.Mp\ (p\hat{}n)\ g = g \wedge$ — monic and normalized
poly-mod.irreducible-m p g $\wedge$ — irreducibility even mod *p*
poly-mod.degree-m p g $= degree\ g$ — mod *p* does not change degree of *g*

proof −
note *hensel* = *hensel-lifting*[*OF assms*]
show *sort* (*map degree fs*) = *sort* (*map degree gs*)
⋀ *g*. *g* ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *monic g* ∧ *poly-mod.Mp* (*p*^*n*) *g* = *g* ∧
poly-mod.irreducible-m p g ∧
poly-mod.degree-m p g = *degree g* **using** *hensel* **by** *auto*
from *berlekamp-hensel-unique*[*OF cop sf refl n*]
have *poly-mod.unique-factorization-m* (*p* ^*n*) *f* (*lead-coeff f*, *mset* (*berlekamp-hensel p n f*)) **by** *auto*
with *hensel*(*1*) **show** *poly-mod.unique-factorization-m* (*p*^*n*) *f* (*lead-coeff f*, *mset gs*)
by (*metis poly-mod.unique-factorization-m-alt-def*)
qed

end

end

10 Reconstructing Factors of Integer Polynomials

10.1 Square-Free Polynomials over Finite Fields and Integers

theory *Square-Free-Int-To-Square-Free-GFp*
imports
Subresultants.Subresultant-Gcd
Polynomial-Factorization.Rational-Factorization
Finite-Field
Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
begin

lemma *square-free-int-rat*: **assumes** *sf*: *square-free f*
shows *square-free* (*map-poly rat-of-int f*)
proof −
let *?r* = *map-poly rat-of-int*
from *sf*[*unfolded square-free-def*] **have** *f0*: *f* ≠ *0* ⋀ *q*. *degree q* ≠ *0* ⟹ ¬ *q* ∗ *q dvd f* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule square-freeI*)
show *?r f* ≠ *0* **using** *f0* **by** *auto*
fix *q*
assume *dq*: *degree q* > *0* **and** *dvd*: *q* ∗ *q dvd ?r f*
hence *q0*: *q* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
obtain *q'* *c* **where** *norm*: *rat-to-normalized-int-poly q* = (*c*,*q'*) **by** *force*
from *rat-to-normalized-int-poly*[*OF norm*] **have** *c0*: *c* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
note *q* = *rat-to-normalized-int-poly*(*1*)[*OF norm*]
from *dvd* **obtain** *k* **where** *rf*: *?r f* = *q* ∗ (*q* ∗ *k*) **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
from *rat-to-int-factor-explicit*[*OF this norm*] **obtain** *s* **where**

```
    f: f = q' * smult (content f) s by auto
  let ?s = smult (content f) s
  from arg-cong[OF f, of ?r] c0
  have ?r f = q * (smult (inverse c) (?r ?s))
    by (simp add: field-simps q hom-distribs)
  from arg-cong[OF this[unfolded rf], of λ f. f div q] q0
  have q * k = smult (inverse c) (?r ?s)
    by (metis nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left)
  from arg-cong[OF this, of smult c] have ?r ?s = q * smult c k using c0
    by (auto simp: field-simps)
  from rat-to-int-factor-explicit[OF this norm] obtain t where ?s = q' * t by
blast
  from f[unfolded this] sf[unfolded square-free-def] f0 have degree q' = 0 by
auto
  with rat-to-normalized-int-poly(4)[OF norm] dq show False by auto
 qed
qed

lemma content-free-unit:
 assumes content (p::'a::{idom,semiring-gcd} poly) = 1
 shows p dvd 1 ⟷ degree p = 0
 by (insert assms, auto dest!:degree0-coeffs simp: normalize-1-iff poly-dvd-1)

lemma square-free-imp-resultant-non-zero: assumes sf: square-free (f :: int poly)
 shows resultant f (pderiv f) ≠ 0
proof (cases degree f = 0)
 case True
 from degree0-coeffs[OF this] obtain c where f: f = [:c:] by auto
 with sf have c: c ≠ 0 unfolding square-free-def by auto
 show ?thesis unfolding f by simp
next
 case False note deg = this
 define pp where pp = primitive-part f
 define c where c = content f
 from sf have f0: f ≠ 0 unfolding square-free-def by auto
 hence c0: c ≠ 0 unfolding c-def by auto
 have f: f = smult c pp unfolding c-def pp-def unfolding content-times-primitive-part[of
f] ..
 from sf[unfolded f] c0 have sf': square-free pp by (metis dvd-smult smult-0-right
square-free-def)
 from deg[unfolded f] c0 have deg': ⋀ x. degree pp > 0 ∨ x by auto
 from content-primitive-part[OF f0] have cp: content pp = 1 unfolding pp-def
.
 let ?p' = pderiv pp
 {
  assume resultant pp ?p' = 0
  from this[unfolded resultant-0-gcd] have ¬ coprime pp ?p' by auto
  then obtain r where r: r dvd pp r dvd ?p' ¬ r dvd 1
   by (blast elim: not-coprimeE)
```

```
    from r(1) obtain k where pp = r * k ..
    from pos-zmult-eq-1-iff-lemma[OF arg-cong[OF this,
      of content, unfolded content-mult cp, symmetric]] content-ge-0-int[of r]
    have cr: content r = 1 by auto
    with r(3) content-free-unit have dr: degree r ≠ 0 by auto
    let ?r = map-poly rat-of-int
     from r(1) have dvd: ?r r dvd ?r pp unfolding dvd-def by (auto simp:
hom-distribs)
   from r(2) have ?r r dvd ?r ?p' apply (intro of-int-poly-hom.hom-dvd) by auto
    also have ?r ?p' = pderiv (?r pp) unfolding of-int-hom.map-poly-pderiv ..
    finally have dvd': ?r r dvd pderiv (?r pp) by auto
    from dr have dr': degree (?r r) ≠ 0 by simp
    from square-free-imp-separable[OF square-free-int-rat[OF sf']]
    have separable (?r pp) .
    hence cop: coprime (?r pp) (pderiv (?r pp)) unfolding separable-def .
    from f0 f have pp0: pp ≠ 0 by auto
    from dvd dvd' have ?r r dvd gcd (?r pp) (pderiv (?r pp)) by auto
     from divides-degree[OF this] pp0 have degree (?r r) ≤ degree (gcd (?r pp)
(pderiv (?r pp)))
      by auto
    with dr' have degree (gcd (?r pp) (pderiv (?r pp))) ≠ 0 by auto
    hence ¬ coprime (?r pp) (pderiv (?r pp)) by auto
    with cop have False by auto
  }
  hence resultant pp ?p' ≠ 0 by auto
  with resultant-smult-left[OF c0, of pp ?p', folded f] c0
  have resultant f ?p' ≠ 0 by auto
  with resultant-smult-right[OF c0, of f ?p', folded pderiv-smult f] c0
  show resultant f (pderiv f) ≠ 0 by auto
qed

lemma large-mod-0: assumes (n :: int) > 1 |k| < n k mod n = 0 shows k = 0
proof −
  from ‹k mod n = 0› have n dvd k
    by auto
  then obtain m where k = n * m ..
  with ‹n > 1› ‹|k| < n› show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: abs-mult)
qed

definition separable-bound :: int poly ⇒ int where
  separable-bound f = max (abs (resultant f (pderiv f)))
    (max (abs (lead-coeff f)) (abs (lead-coeff (pderiv f))))

lemma square-free-int-imp-resultant-non-zero-mod-ring: assumes sf: square-free f

  and large: int CARD('a) > separable-bound f
   shows resultant (map-poly of-int f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly) (pderiv
(map-poly of-int f)) ≠ 0
```

```
  ∧ map-poly of-int f ≠ (0 :: 'a mod-ring poly)
proof (intro conjI, rule notI)
  let ?i = of-int :: int ⇒ 'a mod-ring
  let ?m = of-int-poly :: - ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly
  let ?f = ?m f
  from sf[unfolded square-free-def] have f0: f ≠ 0 by auto
  hence lf: lead-coeff f ≠ 0 by auto
  {
    fix k :: int
    have C1: int CARD('a) > 1 using prime-card[where 'a = 'a] by (auto simp:
prime-nat-iff)
    assume abs k < CARD('a) and ?i k = 0
    hence k = 0 unfolding of-int-of-int-mod-ring
      by (transfer) (rule large-mod-0[OF C1])
  } note of-int-0 = this
  from square-free-imp-resultant-non-zero[OF sf]
  have non0: resultant f (pderiv f) ≠ 0 .
  {
    fix g :: int poly
    assume abs: abs (lead-coeff g) < CARD('a)
    have degree (?m g) = degree g by (rule degree-map-poly, insert of-int-0[OF
abs], auto)
  } note deg = this
  note large = large[unfolded separable-bound-def]
  from of-int-0[of lead-coeff f] large lf have ?i (lead-coeff f) ≠ 0 by auto
  thus f0: ?f ≠ 0 unfolding poly-eq-iff by auto
  assume 0: resultant ?f (pderiv ?f) = 0
  have resultant ?f (pderiv ?f) = ?i (resultant f (pderiv f))
    unfolding of-int-hom.map-poly-pderiv[symmetric]
    by (subst of-int-hom.resultant-map-poly(1)[OF deg deg], insert large, auto simp:
hom-distribs)
  from of-int-0[OF - this[symmetric, unfolded 0]] non0
  show False using large by auto
qed

lemma square-free-int-imp-separable-mod-ring: assumes sf: square-free f
  and large: int CARD('a) > separable-bound f
  shows separable (map-poly of-int f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly)
proof −
  define g where g = map-poly (of-int :: int ⇒ 'a mod-ring) f
  from square-free-int-imp-resultant-non-zero-mod-ring[OF sf large]
  have res: resultant g (pderiv g) ≠ 0 and g: g ≠ 0 unfolding g-def by auto
  from res[unfolded resultant-0-gcd] have degree (gcd g (pderiv g)) = 0 by auto
  from degree0-coeffs[OF this]
  have separable g unfolding separable-def
    by (metis degree-pCons-0 g gcd-eq-0-iff is-unit-gcd is-unit-iff-degree)
  thus ?thesis unfolding g-def .
qed
```

lemma *square-free-int-imp-square-free-mod-ring*: **assumes** *sf*: *square-free f*
and *large*: *int CARD('a) > separable-bound f*
shows *square-free* (*map-poly of-int f* :: *'a* :: *prime-card mod-ring poly*)
using *separable-imp-square-free*[*OF square-free-int-imp-separable-mod-ring*[*OF assms*]]
.

end

10.2 Finding a Suitable Prime

The Berlekamp-Zassenhaus algorithm demands for an input polynomial f to determine a prime p such that f is square-free mod p and such that p and the leading coefficient of f are coprime. To this end, we first prove that such a prime always exists, provided that f is square-free over the integers. Second, we provide a generic algorithm which searches for primes have a certain property P. Combining both results gives us the suitable prime for the Berlekamp-Zassenhaus algorithm.

theory *Suitable-Prime*
imports
Poly-Mod
Finite-Field-Record-Based
HOL−Types-To-Sets.Types-To-Sets
Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based
Polynomial-Record-Based
Square-Free-Int-To-Square-Free-GFp
begin

lemma *square-free-separable-GFp*: **fixes** *f* :: *'a* :: *prime-card mod-ring poly*
assumes *card*: *CARD('a) > degree f*
and *sf*: *square-free f*
shows *separable f*
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume ¬ *separable f*
with *square-free-separable-main*[*OF sf*]
obtain *g k* **where** ∗: *f* = *g* ∗ *k degree g* ≠ *0* **and** *g0*: *pderiv g* = *0* **by** *auto*
from *assms* **have** *f*: *f* ≠ *0* **unfolding** *square-free-def* **by** *auto*
have *degree f* = *degree g* + *degree k* **using** *f* **unfolding** ∗(*1*)
by (*subst degree-mult-eq*, *auto*)
with *card* **have** *card*: *degree g* < *CARD('a)* **by** *auto*
from ∗(*2*) **obtain** *n* **where** *deg*: *degree g* = *Suc n* **by** (*cases degree g*, *auto*)
from ∗(*2*) **have** *cg*: *coeff g* (*degree g*) ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *g0* **have** *coeff* (*pderiv g*) *n* = *0* **by** *auto*
from *this*[*unfolded coeff-pderiv*, *folded deg*] *cg*
have *of-nat* (*degree g*) = (*0* :: *'a mod-ring*) **by** *auto*
from *of-nat-0-mod-ring-dvd*[*OF this*] **have** *CARD('a) dvd degree g* .
with *card* **show** *False* **by** (*simp add*: *deg nat-dvd-not-less*)
qed

lemma *square-free-iff-separable-GFp*: **assumes** *degree f* < *CARD*('*a*)
shows *square-free* (*f* :: '*a* :: *prime-card mod-ring poly*) = *separable f*
using *separable-imp-square-free*[*of f*] *square-free-separable-GFp*[*OF assms*] **by** *auto*

definition *separable-impl-main* :: *int* ⇒ '*i arith-ops-record* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *bool*
where
separable-impl-main p ff-ops f = *separable-i ff-ops* (*of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*poly-mod.Mp p f*))

lemma (**in** *prime-field-gen*) *separable-impl*:
shows *separable-impl-main p ff-ops f* ⟹ *square-free-m f*
p > *degree-m f* ⟹ *p* > *separable-bound f* ⟹ *square-free f*
⟹ *separable-impl-main p ff-ops f* **unfolding** *separable-impl-main-def*
proof −
define *F* **where** *F*: (*F* :: '*a mod-ring poly*) = *of-int-poly* (*Mp f*)
let *?f'* = *of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*Mp f*)
define *f''* **where** *f''* ≡ *of-int-poly* (*Mp f*) :: '*a mod-ring poly*
have *rel-f*[*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel ?f' f''*
by (*rule poly-rel-of-int-poly*[*OF refl*], *simp add*: *f''-def*)
have *separable-i ff-ops ?f'* ⟷ *gcd f''* (*pderiv f''*) = *1*
unfolding *separable-i-def* **by** *transfer-prover*
also have ... ⟷ *coprime f''* (*pderiv f''*)
by (*auto simp add*: *gcd-eq-1-imp-coprime*)
finally have *id*: *separable-i ff-ops ?f'* ⟷ *separable f''* **unfolding** *separable-def coprime-iff-coprime* **.**
have *Mprel* [*transfer-rule*]: *MP-Rel* (*Mp f*) *F* **unfolding** *F MP-Rel-def*
by (*simp add*: *Mp-f-representative*)
have *square-free f''* = *square-free F* **unfolding** *f''-def F* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *square-free-m* (*Mp f*)
by (*transfer*, *simp*)
also have ... = *square-free-m f* **by** *simp*
finally have *id2*: *square-free f''* = *square-free-m f* **.**
from *separable-imp-square-free*[*of f''*]
show *separable-i ff-ops ?f'* ⟹ *square-free-m f*
unfolding *id id2* **by** *auto*
let *?m* = *map-poly* (*of-int* :: *int* ⇒ '*a mod-ring*)
let *?f* = *?m f*
assume *p* > *degree-m f* **and** *bnd*: *p* > *separable-bound f* **and** *sf*: *square-free f*
with *rel-funD*[*OF degree-MP-Rel Mprel*, *folded p*]
have *p* > *degree F* **by** *simp*
hence *CARD*('*a*) > *degree f''* **unfolding** *f''-def F p* **by** *simp*
from *square-free-iff-separable-GFp*[*OF this*]
have *separable-i ff-ops ?f'* = *square-free f''* **unfolding** *id id2* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *square-free F* **unfolding** *f''-def F* **by** *simp*
also have *F* = *?f* **unfolding** *F*
by (*rule poly-eqI*, (*subst coeff-map-poly*, *force*)+, *unfold Mp-coeff*,
auto simp: *M-def*, *transfer*, *auto simp*: *p*)
also have *square-free ?f* **using** *square-free-int-imp-square-free-mod-ring*[**where**

$'a = 'a$, *OF sf*] *bnd m* **by** *auto*
finally
show *separable-i ff-ops ?f'* **.**
qed

context *poly-mod-prime* **begin**

lemmas *separable-impl-integer = prime-field-gen.separable-impl*
[*OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops-integer*, *unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def*,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise,cancel-type-definition, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas *separable-impl-uint32 = prime-field-gen.separable-impl*
[*OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops32*, *unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def*,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise,cancel-type-definition, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas *separable-impl-uint64 = prime-field-gen.separable-impl*
[*OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops64*, *unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def*,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise,cancel-type-definition, *OF non-empty*]

end

definition *separable-impl* :: *int* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *bool* **where**
separable-impl p = (
if p ≤ *65535*
then separable-impl-main p (*finite-field-ops32* (*uint32-of-int p*))
else if p ≤ *4294967295*
then separable-impl-main p (*finite-field-ops64* (*uint64-of-int p*))
else separable-impl-main p (*finite-field-ops-integer* (*integer-of-int p*)))

lemma *square-free-mod-imp-square-free*: **assumes**
p: *prime p* **and** *sf*: *poly-mod.square-free-m p f*
and *cop*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff f*) *p*
shows *square-free f*
proof −
interpret *poly-mod p* **.**
from *sf*[*unfolded square-free-m-def*] **have** *f0*: *Mp f* ≠ *0* **and** *ndvd*: ⋀ *g. degree-m*
g > *0* ⟹ ¬ (*g* ∗ *g*) *dvdm f*
by *auto*
from *f0* **have** *ff0*: *f* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
show *square-free f* **unfolding** *square-free-def*
proof (*intro conjI*[*OF ff0*] *allI impI notI*)
fix *g*
assume *deg*: *degree g* > *0* **and** *dvd*: *g* ∗ *g dvd f*
then obtain *h* **where** *f*: *f* = *g* ∗ *g* ∗ *h* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of Mp*] **have** (*g* ∗ *g*) *dvdm f* **unfolding** *dvdm-def* **by**
auto

```
    with ndvd[of g] have deg0: degree-m g = 0 by auto
    hence g0: M (lead-coeff g) = 0 unfolding Mp-def using deg
      by (metis M-def deg0 p poly-mod.degree-m-eq prime-gt-1-int neq0-conv)
    from p have p0: p ≠ 0 by auto
    from arg-cong[OF f, of lead-coeff] have lead-coeff f = lead-coeff g * lead-coeff
g * lead-coeff h
      by (auto simp: lead-coeff-mult)
    hence lead-coeff g dvd lead-coeff f by auto
    with cop have cop: coprime (lead-coeff g) p
      by (auto elim: coprime-imp-coprime intro: dvd-trans)
    with p0 have coprime (lead-coeff g mod p) p by simp
    also have lead-coeff g mod p = 0
      using M-def g0 by simp
    finally show False using p
      unfolding prime-int-iff
      by (simp add: prime-int-iff)
  qed
qed

lemma(in poly-mod-prime) separable-impl:
  shows separable-impl p f ⟹ square-free-m f
    nat p > degree-m f ⟹ nat p > separable-bound f ⟹ square-free f
    ⟹ separable-impl p f
  using
    separable-impl-integer[of f]
    separable-impl-uint32[of f]
    separable-impl-uint64[of f]
  unfolding separable-impl-def by (auto split: if-splits)

lemma coprime-lead-coeff-large-prime: assumes prime: prime (p :: int)
  and large: p > abs (lead-coeff f)
  and f: f ≠ 0
  shows coprime (lead-coeff f) p
proof −
  {
    fix lc
    assume 0 < lc lc < p
    then have ¬ p dvd lc
      by (simp add: zdvd-not-zless)
    with ‹prime p› have coprime p lc
      by (auto intro: prime-imp-coprime)
    then have coprime lc p
      by (simp add: ac-simps)
  } note main = this
  define lc where lc = lead-coeff f
  from f have lc0: lc ≠ 0 unfolding lc-def by auto
  from large have large: p > abs lc unfolding lc-def by auto
  have coprime lc p
  proof (cases lc > 0)
```

```
    case True
    from large have p > lc by auto
    from main[OF True this] show ?thesis .
  next
    case False
    let ?mlc = - lc
    from large False lc0 have ?mlc > 0 p > ?mlc by auto
    from main[OF this] show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis unfolding lc-def by auto
qed

lemma prime-for-berlekamp-zassenhaus-exists: assumes sf: square-free f
  shows ∃ p. prime p ∧ (coprime (lead-coeff f) p ∧ separable-impl p f)
proof (rule ccontr)
  from assms have f0: f ≠ 0 unfolding square-free-def by auto
  define n where n = max (max (abs (lead-coeff f)) (degree f)) (separable-bound
f)
  assume contr: ¬ ?thesis
  {
    fix p :: int
    assume prime: prime p and n: p > n
    then interpret poly-mod-prime p by unfold-locales
     from n have large: p > abs (lead-coeff f) nat p > degree f nat p > separa-
ble-bound f
      unfolding n-def by auto
    from coprime-lead-coeff-large-prime[OF prime large(1) f0]
    have cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p by auto
    with prime contr have nsf: ¬ separable-impl p f by auto
    from large(2) have nat p > degree-m f using degree-m-le[of f] by auto
    from separable-impl(2)[OF this large(3) sf] nsf have False by auto
  }
  hence no-large-prime: ⋀ p. prime p ⟹ p > n ⟹ False by auto
  from bigger-prime[of nat n] obtain p where *: prime p p > nat n by auto
  define q where q ≡ int p
  from * have prime q q > n unfolding q-def by auto
  from no-large-prime[OF this]
  show False .
qed

definition next-primes :: nat ⇒ nat × nat list where
  next-primes n = (if n = 0 then next-candidates 0 else
    let (m,ps) = next-candidates n in (m,filter prime ps))

partial-function (tailrec) find-prime-main ::
  (nat ⇒ bool) ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list ⇒ nat where
  [code]: find-prime-main f np ps = (case ps of [] ⇒
    let (np',ps') = next-primes np
      in find-prime-main f np' ps'
```

```
  | (p # ps) ⇒ if f p then p else find-prime-main f np ps)

definition find-prime :: (nat ⇒ bool) ⇒ nat where
  find-prime f = find-prime-main f 0 []


lemma next-primes: assumes res: next-primes n = (m,ps)
  and n: candidate-invariant n
  shows candidate-invariant m sorted ps distinct ps n < m
  set ps = {i. prime i ∧ n ≤ i ∧ i < m}
proof −
  have candidate-invariant m ∧ sorted ps ∧ distinct ps ∧ n < m ∧
    set ps = {i. prime i ∧ n ≤ i ∧ i < m}
  proof (cases n = 0)
    case True
     with res[unfolded next-primes-def] have nc: next-candidates 0 = (m,ps) by
auto
    from this[unfolded next-candidates-def] have ps: ps = primes-1000 and m: m
= 1001 by auto
    have ps: set ps = {i. prime i ∧ n ≤ i ∧ i < m} unfolding m True ps
      by (auto simp: primes-1000)
    with next-candidates[OF nc n[unfolded True]] True
    show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
   with res[unfolded next-primes-def Let-def] obtain qs where nc: next-candidates
n = (m, qs)
      and ps: ps = filter prime qs by (cases next-candidates n, auto)
    have sorted qs ⟹ sorted ps unfolding ps using sorted-filter[of id qs prime]
by auto
    with next-candidates[OF nc n] show ?thesis unfolding ps by auto
  qed
  thus candidate-invariant m sorted ps distinct ps n < m
    set ps = {i. prime i ∧ n ≤ i ∧ i < m} by auto
qed

lemma find-prime: assumes ∃ n. prime n ∧ f n
  shows prime (find-prime f) ∧ f (find-prime f)
proof −
  from assms obtain n where fn: prime n f n by auto
  {
    fix i ps m
    assume candidate-invariant i
      and n ∈ set ps ∨ n ≥ i
      and m = (Suc n − i, length ps)
      and ⋀ p. p ∈ set ps ⟹ prime p
    hence prime (find-prime-main f i ps) ∧ f (find-prime-main f i ps)
    proof (induct m arbitrary: i ps rule: wf-induct[OF wf-measures[of [fst, snd]]])
      case (1 m i ps)
```

```
      note IH = 1(1)[rule-format]
      note can = 1(2)
      note n = 1(3)
      note m = 1(4)
      note ps = 1(5)
      note simps [simp] = find-prime-main.simps[of f i ps]
      show ?case
      proof (cases ps)
        case Nil
        with n have i-n: i ≤ n by auto
        obtain j qs where np: next-primes i = (j,qs) by force
        note j = next-primes[OF np can]
        from j(4) i-n m have meas: ((Suc n − j, length qs), m) ∈ measures [fst,
snd] by auto
        have n: n ∈ set qs ∨ j ≤ n unfolding j(5) using i-n fn by auto
       show ?thesis unfolding simps using IH[OF meas j(1) n refl] j(5) by (simp
add: Nil np)
      next
        case (Cons p qs)
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases f p)
          case True
          thus ?thesis unfolding simps using ps unfolding Cons by simp
        next
          case False
            have m: ((Suc n − i, length qs), m) ∈ measures [fst, snd] using m
unfolding Cons by simp
          have n: n ∈ set qs ∨ i ≤ n using False n fn by (auto simp: Cons)
          from IH[OF m can n refl ps]
          show ?thesis unfolding simps using Cons False by simp
        qed
      qed
    qed
  } note main = this
  have candidate-invariant 0 by (simp add: candidate-invariant-def)
  from main[OF this - refl, of Nil] show ?thesis unfolding find-prime-def by
auto
qed

definition suitable-prime-bz :: int poly ⇒ int where
  suitable-prime-bz f ≡ let lc = lead-coeff f in int (find-prime (λ n. let p = int n
in
      coprime lc p ∧ separable-impl p f))

lemma suitable-prime-bz: assumes sf: square-free f and p: p = suitable-prime-bz
f
  shows prime p coprime (lead-coeff f) p poly-mod.square-free-m p f
proof −
  let ?lc = lead-coeff f
```

from *prime-for-berlekamp-zassenhaus-exists*[*OF sf*, *unfolded Let-def*]
obtain *P* **where** ∗: *prime P* ∧ *coprime ?lc P* ∧ *separable-impl P f*
by *auto*
hence *prime* (*nat P*) **using** *prime-int-nat-transfer* **by** *blast*
with ∗ **have** ∃ *p*. *prime p* ∧ *coprime ?lc* (*int p*) ∧ *separable-impl p f*
by (*intro exI* [*of* - *nat P*]) (*auto dest*: *prime-gt-0-int*)
from *find-prime*[*OF this*]
have *prime*: *prime p* **and** *cop*: *coprime ?lc p* **and** *sf*: *separable-impl p f*
unfolding *p suitable-prime-bz-def Let-def* **by** *auto*
then interpret *poly-mod-prime p* **by** *unfold-locales*
from *prime cop separable-impl*(*1*)[*OF sf*]
show *prime p coprime ?lc p square-free-m f* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *square-free-heuristic* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int option* **where**
square-free-heuristic f = (*let lc* = *lead-coeff f in*
find (λ *p*. *coprime lc p* ∧ *separable-impl p f*) [*2*, *3*, *5*, *7*, *11*, *13*, *17*, *19*, *23*])

lemma *find-Some-D*: *find f xs* = *Some y* ⟹ *y* ∈ *set xs* ∧ *f y* **unfolding** *find-Some-iff*
by *auto*

lemma *square-free-heuristic*: **assumes** *square-free-heuristic f* = *Some p*
shows *coprime* (*lead-coeff f*) *p* ∧ *separable-impl p f* ∧ *prime p*
proof −
from *find-Some-D*[*OF assms*[*unfolded square-free-heuristic-def Let-def*]]
show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

end

10.3 Maximal Degree during Reconstruction

We define a function which computes an upper bound on the degree of a factor for which we have to reconstruct the integer values of the coefficients. This degree will determine how large the second parameter of the factor-bound will be.

In essence, if the Berlekamp-factorization will produce n factors with degrees $d_1, \ldots, d_n$, then our bound will be the sum of the $\frac{n}{2}$ largest degrees. The reason is that we will combine at most $\frac{n}{2}$ factors before reconstruction.

Soundness of the bound is proven, as well as a monotonicity property.

theory *Degree-Bound*
imports *Containers.Set-Impl*
HOL−*Library.Multiset*
Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial
Efficient−*Mergesort.Efficient-Sort*
begin

definition *max-factor-degree* :: *nat list* ⇒ *nat* **where**

```
  max-factor-degree degs = (let
    ds = sort degs
    in sum-list (drop (length ds div 2) ds))

definition degree-bound where degree-bound vs = max-factor-degree (map degree
vs)

lemma insort-middle: sort (xs @ x # ys) = insort x (sort (xs @ ys))
  by (metis append.assoc sort-append-Cons-swap sort-snoc)

lemma sum-list-insort[simp]:
  sum-list (insort (d :: 'a :: {comm-monoid-add,linorder}) xs) = d + sum-list xs
proof (induct xs)
  case (Cons x xs)
  thus ?case by (cases d ≤ x, auto simp: ac-simps)
qed simp

lemma half-largest-elements-mono: sum-list (drop (length ds div 2) (sort ds))
    ≤ sum-list (drop (Suc (length ds) div 2) (insort (d :: nat) (sort ds)))
proof −
  define n  where n  = length ds div 2
  define m  where m  = Suc (length ds) div 2
  define xs where xs = sort ds
  have xs: sorted xs unfolding xs-def by auto
  have nm: m ∈ {n, Suc n} unfolding n-def m-def by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding n-def[symmetric] m-def[symmetric] xs-def[symmetric]
    using nm xs
  proof (induct xs arbitrary: n m d)
    case (Cons x xs n m d)
    show ?case
    proof (cases n)
      case 0
      with Cons(2) have m: m = 0 ∨ m = 1 by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases d ≤ x)
        case True
        hence ins: insort d (x # xs) = d # x # xs by auto
        show ?thesis unfolding ins 0 using True m by auto
      next
        case False
        hence ins: insort d (x # xs) = x # insort d xs by auto
        show ?thesis unfolding ins 0 using False m by auto
      qed
    next
      case (Suc nn)
       with Cons(2) obtain mm where m: m = Suc mm and mm: mm ∈ {nn,
Suc nn} by auto
      from Cons(3) have sort: sorted xs by (simp)
      note IH = Cons(1)[OF mm]
```

```
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases d ≤ x)
        case True
        with Cons(3) have ins: insort d (x # xs) = d # insort x xs
          by (cases xs, auto)
        show ?thesis unfolding ins Suc m using IH[OF sort] by auto
      next
        case False
        hence ins: insort d (x # xs) = x # insort d xs by auto
        show ?thesis unfolding ins Suc m using IH[OF sort] Cons(3) by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed auto
qed

lemma max-factor-degree-mono:
  max-factor-degree (map degree (fold remove1 ws vs)) ≤ max-factor-degree (map
degree vs)
  unfolding max-factor-degree-def Let-def length-sort length-map
proof (induct ws arbitrary: vs)
  case (Cons w ws vs)
  show ?case
  proof (cases w ∈ set vs)
    case False
    hence remove1 w vs = vs by (rule remove1-idem)
    thus ?thesis using Cons[of vs] by auto
  next
    case True
    then obtain bef aft where vs: vs = bef @ w # aft and rem1: remove1 w vs
= bef @ aft
      by (metis remove1.simps(2) remove1-append split-list-first)
    let ?exp = λ ws vs. sum-list (drop (length (fold remove1 ws vs) div 2)
      (sort (map degree (fold remove1 ws vs))))
    let ?bnd = λ vs. sum-list (drop (length vs div 2) (sort (map degree vs)))
    let ?bd = λ vs. sum-list (drop (length vs div 2) (sort vs))
    define ba where ba = bef @ aft
    define ds where ds = map degree ba
    define d  where d  = degree w
    have ?exp (w # ws) vs = ?exp ws (bef @ aft) by (auto simp: rem1)
    also have ... ≤ ?bnd ba unfolding ba-def by (rule Cons)
    also have ... = ?bd ds unfolding ds-def by simp
    also have ... ≤ sum-list (drop (Suc (length ds) div 2) (insort d (sort ds)))
      by (rule half-largest-elements-mono)
     also have ... = ?bnd vs unfolding vs ds-def d-def by (simp add: ba-def
insort-middle)
    finally show ?exp (w # ws) vs ≤ ?bnd vs by simp
  qed
qed auto
```

lemma *mset-sub-decompose*: *mset ds* $\subseteq\#$ *mset bs* + *as* $\Longrightarrow$ *length ds* < *length bs* $\Longrightarrow$ $\exists$ *b1 b b2*.
bs = *b1* @ *b* # *b2* $\wedge$ *mset ds* $\subseteq\#$ *mset* (*b1* @ *b2*) + *as*
proof (*induct ds arbitrary*: *bs as*)
case *Nil*
hence *bs* = [] @ *hd bs* # *tl bs* **by** *auto*
thus *?case* **by** *fastforce*
next
case (*Cons d ds bs as*)
have *d* $\in\#$ *mset* (*d* # *ds*) **by** *auto*
with *Cons*(*2*) **have** *d*: *d* $\in\#$ *mset bs* + *as* **by** (*rule mset-subset-eqD*)
hence *d* $\in$ *set bs* $\vee$ *d* $\in\#$ *as* **by** *auto*
thus *?case*
proof
assume *d* $\in$ *set bs*
from *this*[*unfolded in-set-conv-decomp*] **obtain** *b1 b2* **where** *bs*: *bs* = *b1* @ *d* # *b2* **by** *auto*
from *Cons*(*2*) *Cons*(*3*)
have *mset ds* $\subseteq\#$ *mset* (*b1* @ *b2*) + *as length ds* < *length* (*b1* @ *b2*) **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps bs*)
from *Cons*(*1*)[*OF this*] **obtain** *b1'* *b* *b2'* **where** *split*: *b1* @ *b2* = *b1'* @ *b* # *b2'*
and *sub*: *mset ds* $\subseteq\#$ *mset* (*b1'* @ *b2'*) + *as* **by** *auto*
from *split*[*unfolded append-eq-append-conv2*]
obtain *us* **where** *b1* = *b1'* @ *us* $\wedge$ *us* @ *b2* = *b* # *b2'* $\vee$ *b1* @ *us* = *b1'* $\wedge$ *b2* = *us* @ *b* # *b2'* **..**
thus *?thesis*
proof
assume *b1* @ *us* = *b1'* $\wedge$ *b2* = *us* @ *b* # *b2'*
hence $*$: *b1* @ *us* = *b1'* *b2* = *us* @ *b* # *b2'* **by** *auto*
hence *bs*: *bs* = (*b1* @ *d* # *us*) @ *b* # *b2'* **unfolding** *bs* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
by (*intro exI conjI*, *rule bs*, *insert* $*$ *sub*, *auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
next
assume *b1* = *b1'* @ *us* $\wedge$ *us* @ *b2* = *b* # *b2'*
hence $*$: *b1* = *b1'* @ *us us* @ *b2* = *b* # *b2'* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases us*)
case *Nil*
with $*$ **have** $*$: *b1* = *b1'* *b2* = *b* # *b2'* **by** *auto*
hence *bs*: *bs* = (*b1'* @ [*d*]) @ *b* # *b2'* **unfolding** *bs* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis*
by (*intro exI conjI*, *rule bs*, *insert* $*$ *sub*, *auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
next
case (*Cons u vs*)
with $*$ **have** $*$: *b1* = *b1'* @ *b* # *vs vs* @ *b2* = *b2'* **by** *auto*
hence *bs*: *bs* = *b1'* @ *b* # (*vs* @ *d* # *b2*) **unfolding** *bs* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
by (*intro exI conjI*, *rule bs*, *insert* $*$ *sub*, *auto simp*: *ac-simps*)

```
    qed
  qed
 next
  define as' where as' = as - {#d#}
  assume d ∈# as
  hence as': as = {#d#} + as' unfolding as'-def by auto
  from Cons(2)[unfolded as'] Cons(3) have mset ds ⊆# mset bs + as' length ds
< length bs
    by (auto simp: ac-simps)
  from Cons(1)[OF this] obtain b1 b b2 where bs: bs = b1 @ b # b2 and
    sub: mset ds ⊆# mset (b1 @ b2) + as' by auto
  show ?thesis
    by (intro exI conjI, rule bs, insert sub, auto simp: as' ac-simps)
 qed
qed


lemma max-factor-degree-aux: fixes es :: nat list
 assumes sub: mset ds ⊆# mset es
  and len: length ds + length ds ≤ length es and sort: sorted es
 shows sum-list ds ≤ sum-list (drop (length es div 2) es)
proof -
 define bef where bef = take (length es div 2) es
 define aft where aft = drop (length es div 2) es
 have es: es = bef @ aft unfolding bef-def aft-def by auto
  from len have len: length ds ≤ length bef length ds ≤ length aft unfolding
bef-def aft-def
  by auto
 from sub have sub: mset ds ⊆# mset bef + mset aft unfolding es by auto
 from sort have sort: sorted (bef @ aft) unfolding es .
 show ?thesis unfolding aft-def[symmetric] using sub len sort
 proof (induct ds arbitrary: bef aft)
  case (Cons d ds bef aft)
  have d ∈# mset (d # ds) by auto
  with Cons(2) have d ∈# mset bef + mset aft by (rule mset-subset-eqD)
  hence d ∈ set bef ∨ d ∈ set aft by auto
  thus ?case
  proof
   assume d ∈ set aft
   from this[unfolded in-set-conv-decomp] obtain a1 a2 where aft: aft = a1 @
d # a2 by auto
    from Cons(4) have len-a: length ds ≤ length (a1 @ a2) unfolding aft by
auto
    from Cons(2)[unfolded aft] Cons(3)
     have mset ds ⊆# mset bef + (mset (a1 @ a2)) length ds < length bef by
auto
    from mset-sub-decompose[OF this]
    obtain b b1 b2
      where bef: bef = b1 @ b # b2 and sub: mset ds ⊆# (mset (b1 @ b2) +
```

mset (*a1* @ *a2*)) **by** *auto*
from *Cons*(*3*) **have** *len-b*: *length ds* ≤ *length* (*b1* @ *b2*) **unfolding** *bef* **by** *auto*
from *Cons*(*5*)[*unfolded bef aft*] **have** *sort*: *sorted* ((*b1* @ *b2*) @ (*a1* @ *a2*))
unfolding *sorted-append* **by** *auto*
note *IH* = *Cons*(*1*)[*OF sub len-b len-a sort*]
show *?thesis* **using** *IH* **unfolding** *aft* **by** *simp*
next
assume *d* ∈ *set bef*
from *this*[*unfolded in-set-conv-decomp*] **obtain** *b1 b2* **where** *bef*: *bef* = *b1* @ *d* # *b2* **by** *auto*
from *Cons*(*3*) **have** *len-b*: *length ds* ≤ *length* (*b1* @ *b2*) **unfolding** *bef* **by** *auto*
from *Cons*(*2*)[*unfolded bef*] *Cons*(*4*)
have *mset ds* ⊆# *mset aft* + (*mset* (*b1* @ *b2*)) *length ds* < *length aft* **by** (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
from *mset-sub-decompose*[*OF this*]
obtain *a a1 a2*
where *aft*: *aft* = *a1* @ *a* # *a2* **and** *sub*: *mset ds* ⊆# (*mset* (*b1* @ *b2*) + *mset* (*a1* @ *a2*))
by (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
from *Cons*(*4*) **have** *len-a*: *length ds* ≤ *length* (*a1* @ *a2*) **unfolding** *aft* **by** *auto*
from *Cons*(*5*)[*unfolded bef aft*] **have** *sort*: *sorted* ((*b1* @ *b2*) @ (*a1* @ *a2*)) **and** *ad*: *d* ≤ *a*
unfolding *sorted-append* **by** *auto*
note *IH* = *Cons*(*1*)[*OF sub len-b len-a sort*]
show *?thesis* **using** *IH ad* **unfolding** *aft* **by** *simp*
qed
qed *auto*
qed

lemma *max-factor-degree*: **assumes** *sub*: *mset ws* ⊆# *mset vs*
and *len*: *length ws* + *length ws* ≤ *length vs*
shows *degree* (*prod-list ws*) ≤ *max-factor-degree* (*map degree vs*)
proof −
define *ds* **where** *ds* ≡ *map degree ws*
define *es* **where** *es* ≡ *sort* (*map degree vs*)
from *sub len* **have** *sub*: *mset ds* ⊆# *mset es* **and** *len*: *length ds* + *length ds* ≤ *length es*
and *es*: *sorted es*
unfolding *ds-def es-def*
by (*auto simp*: *image-mset-subseteq-mono*)
have *degree* (*prod-list ws*) ≤ *sum-list* (*map degree ws*) **by** (*rule degree-prod-list-le*)
also have . . . ≤ *max-factor-degree* (*map degree vs*)
unfolding *max-factor-degree-def Let-def ds-def*[*symmetric*] *es-def*[*symmetric*]
using *sub len es* **by** (*rule max-factor-degree-aux*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *degree-bound*: **assumes** *sub*: *mset ws* $\subseteq\#$ *mset vs*
and *len*: *length ws* + *length ws* $\leq$ *length vs*
shows *degree* (*prod-list ws*) $\leq$ *degree-bound vs*
using *max-factor-degree*[*OF sub len*] **unfolding** *degree-bound-def* **by** *auto*

end

10.4 Mahler Measure

This part contains a definition of the Mahler measure, it contains Landau's inequality and the Graeffe-transformation. We also assemble a heuristic to approximate the Mahler's measure.

theory *Mahler-Measure*
imports
Sqrt-Babylonian.Sqrt-Babylonian
Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based
Polynomial-Factorization.Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra-Factorized
Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Multiset
begin

context *comm-monoid-list* **begin**
lemma *induct-gen-abs*:
assumes $\bigwedge$ *a r*. *a*$\in$*set lst* $\Longrightarrow$ *P* (*f* (*h a*) *r*) (*f* (*g a*) *r*)
$\bigwedge$ *x y z*. *P x y* $\Longrightarrow$ *P y z* $\Longrightarrow$ *P x z*
P (*F* (*map g lst*)) (*F* (*map g lst*))
shows *P* (*F* (*map h lst*)) (*F* (*map g lst*))
using *assms* **proof**(*induct lst arbitrary*:*P*)
case (*Cons a as P*)
have *inl*:*a*$\in$*set* (*a*#*as*) **by** *auto*
let *?uf* = λ *v w*. *P* (*f* (*g a*) *v*) (*f* (*g a*) *w*)
have *p-suc*:*?uf* (*F* (*map g as*)) (*F* (*map g as*))
using *Cons.prems*(*3*) **by** *auto*
{ fix *r aa* **assume** *aa* $\in$ *set as* **hence** *ins*:*aa* $\in$ *set* (*a*#*as*) **by** *auto*
have *P* (*f* (*g a*) (*f* (*h aa*) *r*)) (*f* (*g a*) (*f* (*g aa*) *r*))
using *Cons.prems*(*1*)[*of aa f r* (*g a*),*OF ins*]
by (*auto simp*: *assoc commute left-commute*)
} note *h* = *this*
from *Cons.hyps*(*1*)[*of ?uf*, *OF h Cons.prems*(*2*)[*simplified*] *p-suc*]
have *e1*:*P* (*f* (*g a*) (*F* (*map h as*))) (*f* (*g a*) (*F* (*map g as*))) **by** *simp*
have *e2*:*P* (*f* (*h a*) (*F* (*map h as*))) (*f* (*g a*) (*F* (*map h as*)))
using *Cons.prems*(*1*)[*OF inl*] **by** *blast*
from *Cons*(*3*)[*OF e2 e1*] **show** *?case* **by** *auto* **next**
qed *auto*
end

lemma *prod-induct-gen*:
assumes $\bigwedge$ *a r*. *f* (*h a* $*$ *r* :: *'a* :: {*comm-monoid-mult*}) = *f* (*g a* $*$ *r*)
shows *f* ($\prod$ *v*$\leftarrow$*lst*. *h v*) = *f* ($\prod$ *v*$\leftarrow$*lst*. *g v*)

proof − **let** *?P x y* = *f x* = *f y*
show *?thesis* **using** *comm-monoid-mult-class.prod-list.induct-gen-abs*[*of - ?P*,*OF assms*] **by** *auto*
qed

abbreviation *complex-of-int*::*int* ⇒ *complex* **where**
complex-of-int ≡ *of-int*

definition *l2norm-list* :: *int list* ⇒ *int* **where**
l2norm-list lst = ⌊*sqrt* (*sum-list* (*map* (λ *a*. *a* ∗ *a*) *lst*))⌋

abbreviation *l2norm* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int* **where**
l2norm p ≡ *l2norm-list* (*coeffs p*)

abbreviation *norm2 p* ≡ $\sum$ *a*←*coeffs p*. (*cmod a*)^2

abbreviation *l2norm-complex* **where**
l2norm-complex p ≡ *sqrt* (*norm2 p*)

abbreviation *height* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int* **where**
height p ≡ *max-list* (*map* (*nat* ∘ *abs*) (*coeffs p*))

definition *complex-roots-complex* **where**
complex-roots-complex (*p*::*complex poly*) = (*SOME as*. *smult* (*coeff p* (*degree p*)) ($\prod$ *a*←*as*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) = *p* ∧ *length as* = *degree p*)

lemma *complex-roots*:
smult (*lead-coeff p*) ($\prod$ *a*←*complex-roots-complex p*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) = *p*
length (*complex-roots-complex p*) = *degree p*
using *someI-ex*[*OF fundamental-theorem-algebra-factorized*]
unfolding *complex-roots-complex-def* **by** *simp-all*

lemma *complex-roots-c* [*simp*]:
complex-roots-complex [:*c*:] = []
using *complex-roots*(*2*) [*of* [:*c*:]] **by** *simp*

declare *complex-roots*(*2*)[*simp*]

lemma *complex-roots-1* [*simp*]:
complex-roots-complex 1 = []
using *complex-roots-c* [*of 1*] **by** (*simp add*: *pCons-one*)

lemma *linear-term-irreducible*$_d$[*simp*]: *irreducible*$_d$ [: *a*, *1*:]
by (*rule linear-irreducible*$_d$, *simp*)

definition *complex-roots-int* **where**
complex-roots-int (*p*::*int poly*) = *complex-roots-complex* (*map-poly of-int p*)

lemma *complex-roots-int*:

smult (*lead-coeff p*) (∏ *a*←*complex-roots-int p*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) = *map-poly of-int p*
length (*complex-roots-int p*) = *degree p*
proof −
show *smult* (*lead-coeff p*) (∏ *a*←*complex-roots-int p*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) = *map-poly of-int p*
length (*complex-roots-int p*) = *degree p*
using *complex-roots*[*of map-poly of-int p*] **unfolding** *complex-roots-int-def* **by** *auto*
qed

The measure for polynomials, after K. Mahler

definition *mahler-measure-poly* **where**
mahler-measure-poly p = *cmod* (*lead-coeff p*) ∗ (∏ *a*←*complex-roots-complex p*. (*max 1* (*cmod a*)))

definition *mahler-measure* **where**
mahler-measure p = *mahler-measure-poly* (*map-poly complex-of-int p*)

definition *mahler-measure-monic* **where**
mahler-measure-monic p = (∏ *a*←*complex-roots-complex p*. (*max 1* (*cmod a*)))

lemma *mahler-measure-poly-via-monic* :
mahler-measure-poly p = *cmod* (*lead-coeff p*) ∗ *mahler-measure-monic p*
unfolding *mahler-measure-poly-def mahler-measure-monic-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *smult-inj*[*simp*]: **assumes** (*a*::′*a*::*idom*) ≠ *0* **shows** *inj* (*smult a*)
proof−
interpret *map-poly-inj-zero-hom* (∗) *a* **using** *assms* **by** (*unfold-locales*, *auto*)
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *smult-as-map-poly* **by** (*rule inj-f*)
qed

definition *reconstruct-poly*::′*a*::*idom* ⇒ ′*a list* ⇒ ′*a poly* **where**
reconstruct-poly c roots = *smult c* (∏ *a*←*roots*. [:− *a*, *1*:])

lemma *reconstruct-is-original-poly*:
reconstruct-poly (*lead-coeff p*) (*complex-roots-complex p*) = *p*
using *complex-roots*(*1*) **by** (*simp add*: *reconstruct-poly-def*)

lemma *reconstruct-with-type-conversion*:
smult (*lead-coeff* (*map-poly of-int f*)) (*prod-list* (*map* (λ *a*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) (*complex-roots-int f*)))
= *map-poly of-int f*
unfolding *complex-roots-int-def complex-roots*(*1*) **by** *simp*

lemma *reconstruct-prod*:
shows *reconstruct-poly* (*a*::*complex*) *as* ∗ *reconstruct-poly b bs*
= *reconstruct-poly* (*a* ∗ *b*) (*as* @ *bs*)
unfolding *reconstruct-poly-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *linear-term-inj*[*simplified*,*simp*]: *inj* (λ *a*. [:− *a*, *1*::′*a*::*idom*:])
unfolding *inj-on-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *reconstruct-poly-monic-defines-mset*:
assumes (∏ *a*←*as*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) = (∏ *a*←*bs*. [:− *a*, *1*::′*a*::*field*:])
shows *mset as* = *mset bs*
proof −
let *?as* = *mset* (*map* (λ *a*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) *as*)
let *?bs* = *mset* (*map* (λ *a*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) *bs*)
have *eq-smult*:*prod-mset ?as* = *prod-mset ?bs* **using** *assms* **by** (*metis prod-mset-prod-list*)
have *irr*:⋀ *as*::′*a list*. *set-mset* (*mset* (*map* (λ *a*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) *as*)) ⊆ {*q*. *irreducible* *q* ∧ *monic q*}
by (*auto intro*!: *linear-term-irreducible*$_d$[*of* −-::′*a*, *simplified*])
from *monic-factorization-unique-mset*[*OF eq-smult irr irr*]
show *?thesis* **apply** (*subst inj-eq*[*OF multiset.inj-map*,*symmetric*]) **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *reconstruct-poly-defines-mset-of-argument*:
assumes (*a*::′*a*::*field*) ≠ *0*
reconstruct-poly a as = *reconstruct-poly a bs*
shows *mset as* = *mset bs*
proof −
have *eq-smult*:*smult a* (∏ *a*←*as*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) = *smult a* (∏ *a*←*bs*. [:− *a*, *1*:])
using *assms*(*2*) **by** (*auto simp*:*reconstruct-poly-def*)
from *reconstruct-poly-monic-defines-mset*[*OF Fun.injD*[*OF smult-inj*[*OF assms*(*1*)] *eq-smult*]]
show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *complex-roots-complex-prod* [*simp*]:
assumes *f* ≠ *0 g* ≠ *0*
shows *mset* (*complex-roots-complex* (*f* ∗ *g*))
= *mset* (*complex-roots-complex f*) + *mset* (*complex-roots-complex g*)
proof −
let *?p* = *f* ∗ *g*
let *?lc v* = (*lead-coeff* (*v*:: *complex poly*))
have *nonzero-prod*:*?lc ?p* ≠ *0* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
from *reconstruct-prod*[*of ?lc f complex-roots-complex f ?lc g complex-roots-complex g*]
have *reconstruct-poly* (*?lc ?p*) (*complex-roots-complex ?p*)
= *reconstruct-poly* (*?lc ?p*) (*complex-roots-complex f* @ *complex-roots-complex g*)
unfolding *lead-coeff-mult*[*symmetric*] *reconstruct-is-original-poly* **by** *auto*
from *reconstruct-poly-defines-mset-of-argument*[*OF nonzero-prod this*]
show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *mset-mult-add*:
assumes *mset* (*a*::′*a*::*field list*) = *mset b* + *mset c*

shows *prod-list a = prod-list b * prod-list c*
unfolding *prod-mset-prod-list*[*symmetric*]
using *prod-mset-Un*[*of mset b mset c*,*unfolded assms*[*symmetric*]]**.**

lemma *mset-mult-add-2*:
assumes *mset a = mset b + mset c*
shows *prod-list (map i a::'b::field list) = prod-list (map i b) * prod-list (map i c)*
proof −
have *r*:*mset (map i a) = mset (map i b) + mset (map i c)* **using** *assms*
by (*metis map-append mset-append mset-map*)
show *?thesis* **using** *mset-mult-add*[*OF r*] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *measure-mono-eq-prod*:
assumes *f ≠ 0 g ≠ 0*
shows *mahler-measure-monic (f * g) = mahler-measure-monic f * mahler-measure-monic g*
unfolding *mahler-measure-monic-def*
using *mset-mult-add-2*[*OF complex-roots-complex-prod*[*OF assms*],*of λ a. max 1 (cmod a)*] **by** *simp*

lemma *mahler-measure-poly-0*[*simp*]: *mahler-measure-poly 0 = 0* **unfolding** *mahler-measure-poly-via-monic* **by** *auto*

lemma *measure-eq-prod*:
*mahler-measure-poly (f * g) = mahler-measure-poly f * mahler-measure-poly g*
proof −
consider *f = 0 | g = 0 | (both) f ≠ 0 g ≠ 0* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **proof**(*cases*)
case *both* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *mahler-measure-poly-via-monic norm-mult lead-coeff-mult*
by (*auto simp*: *measure-mono-eq-prod*[*OF both*])
qed (*simp-all*)
qed

lemma *prod-cmod*[*simp*]:
cmod (∏ a←lst. f a) = (∏ a←lst. cmod (f a))
by(*induct lst*,*auto simp*:*real-normed-div-algebra-class.norm-mult*)

lemma *lead-coeff-of-prod*[*simp*]:
lead-coeff (∏ a←lst. f a::'a::idom poly) = (∏ a←lst. lead-coeff (f a))
by(*induct lst*,*auto simp*:*lead-coeff-mult*)

lemma *ineq-about-squares*:**assumes** *x ≤ (y::real)* **shows** *x ≤ c^2 + y* **using** *assms*
by (*simp add*: *add.commute add-increasing2*)

lemma *first-coeff-le-tail*:*(cmod (lead-coeff g))^2 ≤ (∑ a←coeffs g. (cmod a)^2)*
proof(*induct g*)
case (*pCons a p*)

```
    thus ?case proof(cases p = 0) case False
      show ?thesis using pCons unfolding lead-coeff-pCons(1)[OF False]
        by(cases a = 0,simp-all add:ineq-about-squares)
    qed simp
qed simp


lemma square-prod-cmod[simp]:
  (cmod (a * b))^2 = cmod a ^ 2 * cmod b ^ 2
by (simp add: norm-mult power-mult-distrib)

lemma sum-coeffs-smult-cmod:
  (∑ a←coeffs (smult v p). (cmod a)^2) = (cmod v)^2 * (∑ a←coeffs p. (cmod
a)^2)
  (is ?l = ?r)
proof −
   have ?l = (∑ a←coeffs p. (cmod v)^2 * (cmod a)^2) by(cases v=0;induct
p,auto)
  thus ?thesis by (auto simp:sum-list-const-mult)
qed

abbreviation linH a ≡ if (cmod a > 1) then [:− 1,cnj a:] else [:− a,1:]

lemma coeffs-cong-1[simp]: cCons a v = cCons b v ⟷ a = b unfolding cCons-def
by auto

lemma strip-while-singleton[simp]:
  strip-while ((=) 0) [v * a] = cCons (v * a) [] unfolding cCons-def strip-while-def
by auto

lemma coeffs-times-linterm:
 shows coeffs (pCons 0 (smult a p) + smult b p) = strip-while (HOL.eq (0::'a::{comm-ring-1}))
     (map (λ(c,d).b*d+c*a) (zip (0 # coeffs p) (coeffs p @ [0]))) proof −
{fix v
have coeffs (smult b p + pCons (a* v) (smult a p)) = strip-while (HOL.eq 0) (map
(λ(c,d).b*d+c*a) (zip ([v] @ coeffs p) (coeffs p @ [0])))
proof(induct p arbitrary:v) case (pCons pa ps) thus ?case by auto qed auto
}
from this[of 0] show ?thesis by (simp add: add.commute)
qed

lemma filter-distr-rev[simp]:
  shows filter f (rev lst) = rev (filter f lst)
by(induct lst;auto)

lemma strip-while-filter:
  shows filter ((≠) 0) (strip-while ((=) 0) (lst::'a::zero list)) = filter ((≠) 0) lst
proof − {fix lst::'a list
   have filter ((≠) 0) (dropWhile ((=) 0) lst) = filter ((≠) 0) lst by (induct
```

lst;*auto*)
hence (*filter* ((≠) *0*) (*strip-while* ((=) *0*) (*rev lst*))) = *filter* ((≠) *0*) (*rev lst*)
unfolding *strip-while-def* **by**(*simp*)**}**
from *this*[*of rev lst*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *sum-stripwhile*[*simp*]:
assumes *f 0 = 0*
shows (∑ *a*←*strip-while* ((=) *0*) *lst*. *f a*) = (∑ *a*←*lst*. *f a*)
proof −
{fix *lst*
have (∑ *a*←*filter* ((≠) *0*) *lst*. *f a*) = (∑ *a*←*lst*. *f a*) **by**(*induct lst*,*auto simp*:*assms*)**}**
note *f*=*this*
have *sum-list* (*map f* (*filter* ((≠) *0*) (*strip-while* ((=) *0*) *lst*)))
= *sum-list* (*map f* (*filter* ((≠) *0*) *lst*))
using *strip-while-filter*[*of lst*] **by**(*simp*)
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *f*.
qed

lemma *complex-split* : *Complex a b* = *c* ⟷ (*a* = *Re c* ∧ *b* = *Im c*)
using *complex-surj* **by** *auto*

lemma *norm-times-const*:(∑ *y*←*lst*. (*cmod* (*a* ∗ *y*))^2) = (*cmod a*)^2 ∗ (∑ *y*←*lst*. (*cmod y*)^2)
by(*induct lst*,*auto simp*:*ring-distribs*)

fun *bisumTail* **where**
bisumTail f (*Cons a* (*Cons b bs*)) = *f a b* + *bisumTail f* (*Cons b bs*) |
bisumTail f (*Cons a Nil*) = *f a 0* |
bisumTail f Nil = *f 1 0*
fun *bisum* **where**
bisum f (*Cons a as*) = *f 0 a* + *bisumTail f* (*Cons a as*) |
bisum f Nil = *f 0 0*

lemma *bisumTail-is-map-zip*:
(∑ *x*←*zip* (*v* # *l1*) (*l1* @ [*0*]). *f x*) = *bisumTail* (λ*x y* .*f* (*x*,*y*)) (*v*#*l1*)
by(*induct l1 arbitrary*:*v*,*auto*)

lemma *bisum-is-map-zip*:
(∑ *x*←*zip* (*0* # *l1*) (*l1* @ [*0*]). *f x*) = *bisum* (λ*x y*. *f* (*x*,*y*)) *l1*
using *bisumTail-is-map-zip*[*of f hd l1 tl l1*] **by**(*cases l1*,*auto*)
lemma *map-zip-is-bisum*:
bisum f l1 = (∑ (*x*,*y*)←*zip* (*0* # *l1*) (*l1* @ [*0*]). *f x y*)
using *bisum-is-map-zip*[*of* λ(*x*,*y*). *f x y*] **by** *auto*

lemma *bisum-outside* :
(*bisum* (λ *x y*. *f1 x* − *f2 x y* + *f3 y*) *lst* :: *'a* :: *field*)
= *sum-list* (*map f1 lst*) + *f1 0* − *bisum f2 lst* + *sum-list* (*map f3 lst*) + *f3 0*

proof(*cases lst*)
case (*Cons a lst*) **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *map-zip-is-bisum Cons* **by**(*induct lst arbitrary*:*a*,*auto*)
qed *auto*

lemma *Landau-lemma*:
($\sum$ *a*←*coeffs* ($\prod$ *a*←*lst*. [:− *a*, *1*:]). (*cmod a*)^2) = ($\sum$ *a*←*coeffs* ($\prod$ *a*←*lst*. *linH a*). (*cmod a*)^2)
(**is** *norm2 ?l* = *norm2 ?r*)
proof −
have *a*:$\bigwedge$ *a*. (*cmod a*)^2 = *Re* (*a* ∗ *cnj a*) **using** *complex-norm-square*
unfolding *complex-split complex-of-real-def* **by** *simp*
have *b*:$\bigwedge$ *x a y*. (*cmod* (*x* − *a* ∗ *y*))^*2*
= (*cmod x*)^2 − *Re* (*a* ∗ *y* ∗ *cnj x* + *x* ∗ *cnj* (*a* ∗ *y*)) + (*cmod* (*a* ∗ *y*))^*2*
unfolding *left-diff-distrib right-diff-distrib a complex-cnj-diff* **by** *simp*
have *c*:$\bigwedge$ *y a x*. (*cmod* (*cnj a* ∗ *x* − *y*))^2
= (*cmod* (*a* ∗ *x*))^2 − *Re* (*a* ∗ *y* ∗ *cnj x* + *x* ∗ *cnj* (*a* ∗ *y*)) + (*cmod y*)^*2*
unfolding *left-diff-distrib right-diff-distrib a complex-cnj-diff*
by (*simp add*: *mult.assoc mult.left-commute*)
{ fix *f1 a*
have *norm2* ([:− *a*, *1* :] ∗ *f1*) = *bisum* (λ*x y*. *cmod* (*x* − *a* ∗ *y*)^*2*) (*coeffs f1*)
by(*simp add*: *bisum-is-map-zip*[*of* - *coeffs f1*] *coeffs-times-linterm*[*of 1* - −*a*,*simplified*])
also have ... = *norm2 f1* + *cmod a*^*2*∗*norm2 f1*
− *bisum* (λ*x y*. *Re* (*a* ∗ *y* ∗ *cnj x* + *x* ∗ *cnj* (*a* ∗ *y*))) (*coeffs f1*)
unfolding *b bisum-outside norm-times-const* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *bisum* (λ*x y*. *cmod* (*cnj a* ∗ *x* − *y*)^*2*) (*coeffs f1*)
unfolding *c bisum-outside norm-times-const* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *norm2* ([:− *1*, *cnj a* :] ∗ *f1*)
using *coeffs-times-linterm*[*of cnj a* - −*1*]
by(*simp add*: *bisum-is-map-zip*[*of* - *coeffs f1*] *mult.commute*)
finally have *norm2* ([:− *a*, *1* :] ∗ *f1*) = ...**}**
hence *h*:$\bigwedge$ *a f1*. *norm2* ([:− *a*, *1* :] ∗ *f1*) = *norm2* (*linH a* ∗ *f1*) **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **by**(*rule prod-induct-gen*[*OF h*])
qed

lemma *Landau-inequality*:
mahler-measure-poly f ≤ *l2norm-complex f*
proof −
let *?f* = *reconstruct-poly* (*lead-coeff f*) (*complex-roots-complex f*)
let *?roots* = (*complex-roots-complex f*)
let *?g* = $\prod$ *a*←*?roots*. *linH a*

have *max*:$\bigwedge$*a*. *cmod* (*if 1* < *cmod a then cnj a else 1*) = *max 1* (*cmod a*)
by *simp*
have $\bigwedge$*a*. *1* < *cmod a* ⟹ *a* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
hence $\bigwedge$*a*. *lead-coeff* (*linH a*) = (*if* (*cmod a* > *1*) *then cnj a else 1*) **by**(*auto*

simp:*if-split*)
hence *lead-coeff-g*:*cmod* (*lead-coeff ?g*) = (∏ *a*←*?roots*. *max 1* (*cmod a*)) **by**(*auto simp*:*max*)

have *norm2 f* = (∑ *a*←*coeffs ?f*. (*cmod a*)^2) **unfolding** *reconstruct-is-original-poly*..
also have ... = *cmod* (*lead-coeff f*)^2 * (∑ *a*←*coeffs* (∏ *a*←*?roots*. [:− *a*, *1*:]). (*cmod a*)^2)
unfolding *reconstruct-poly-def* **using** *sum-coeffs-smult-cmod*.
finally have *fg-norm*:*norm2 f* = *cmod* (*lead-coeff f*)^2 * (∑ *a*←*coeffs ?g*. (*cmod a*)^2)
unfolding *Landau-lemma* **by** *auto*

have (*cmod* (*lead-coeff ?g*))^2 ≤ (∑ *a*←*coeffs ?g*. (*cmod a*)^2)
using *first-coeff-le-tail* **by** *blast*
from *ordered-comm-semiring-class.comm-mult-left-mono*[*OF this*]
have (*cmod* (*lead-coeff f*) * *cmod* (*lead-coeff ?g*))^2 ≤ (∑ *a*←*coeffs f*. (*cmod a*)^2)
unfolding *fg-norm* **by** (*simp add*:*power-mult-distrib*)
hence *cmod* (*lead-coeff f*) * (∏ *a*←*?roots*. *max 1* (*cmod a*)) ≤ *sqrt* (*norm2 f*)
using *NthRoot.real-le-rsqrt lead-coeff-g* **by** *auto*
thus *mahler-measure-poly f* ≤ *sqrt* (*norm2 f*)
using *reconstruct-with-type-conversion*[*unfolded complex-roots-int-def*]
by (*simp add*: *mahler-measure-poly-via-monic mahler-measure-monic-def complex-roots-int-def*)
qed

lemma *prod-list-ge1*:
assumes *Ball* (*set x*) (λ (*a*::*real*). *a* ≥ *1*)
shows *prod-list x* ≥ *1*
using *assms* **proof**(*induct x*)
case (*Cons a as*)
have ∀ *a*∈*set as*. *1* ≤ *a* *1* ≤ *a* **using** *Cons*(*2*) **by** *auto*
thus *?case* **using** *Cons.hyps mult-mono'* **by** *fastforce*
qed *auto*

lemma *mahler-measure-monic-ge-1*: *mahler-measure-monic p* ≥ *1*
unfolding *mahler-measure-monic-def* **by**(*rule prod-list-ge1*,*simp*)

lemma *mahler-measure-monic-ge-0*: *mahler-measure-monic p* ≥ *0*
using *mahler-measure-monic-ge-1 le-numeral-extra*(*1*) *order-trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *mahler-measure-ge-0*: *0* ≤ *mahler-measure h* **unfolding** *mahler-measure-def mahler-measure-poly-via-monic*
by (*simp add*: *mahler-measure-monic-ge-0*)

lemma *mahler-measure-constant*[*simp*]: *mahler-measure-poly* [:*c*:] = *cmod c*
proof −
have *main*: *complex-roots-complex* [:*c*:] = [] **unfolding** *complex-roots-complex-def*
by (*rule some-equality*, *auto*)

```
  show ?thesis unfolding mahler-measure-poly-def main by auto
qed

lemma mahler-measure-factor[simplified,simp]: mahler-measure-poly [:− a, 1:] =
max 1 (cmod a)
proof −
 have main: complex-roots-complex [:− a, 1:] = [a] unfolding complex-roots-complex-def
  proof (rule some-equality, auto, goal-cases)
    case (1 as)
    thus ?case by (cases as, auto)
  qed
  show ?thesis unfolding mahler-measure-poly-def main by auto
qed

lemma mahler-measure-poly-explicit: mahler-measure-poly (smult c (∏ a←as. [:−
a, 1:]))
  = cmod c ∗ (∏ a←as. (max 1 (cmod a)))
proof (cases c = 0)
  case True
  thus ?thesis by auto
next
  case False note c = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (induct as)
    case (Cons a as)
    have mahler-measure-poly (smult c (∏ a←a # as. [:− a, 1:]))
      = mahler-measure-poly (smult c (∏ a←as. [:− a, 1:]) ∗ [: −a, 1 :])
      by (rule arg-cong[of - - mahler-measure-poly], unfold list.simps prod-list.Cons
mult-smult-left, simp)
   also have ... = mahler-measure-poly (smult c (∏ a←as. [:− a, 1:])) ∗ mahler-measure-poly
([:− a, 1:])
      (is - = ?l ∗ ?r) by (rule measure-eq-prod)
    also have ?l = cmod c ∗ (∏ a←as. max 1 (cmod a)) unfolding Cons by simp
    also have ?r = max 1 (cmod a) by simp
    finally show ?case by simp
  next
    case Nil
    show ?case by simp
  qed
qed

lemma mahler-measure-poly-ge-1:
  assumes h ≠ 0
  shows (1::real) ≤ mahler-measure h
proof −
  have rc: |real-of-int i| = of-int |i| for i by simp
  from assms have cmod (lead-coeff (map-poly complex-of-int h)) > 0 by simp
  hence cmod (lead-coeff (map-poly complex-of-int h)) ≥ 1
    by(cases lead-coeff h = 0, auto simp del: leading-coeff-0-iff)
```

```
  from mult-mono[OF this mahler-measure-monic-ge-1 norm-ge-zero]
  show ?thesis unfolding mahler-measure-def mahler-measure-poly-via-monic
    by auto
qed

lemma mahler-measure-dvd: assumes f ≠ 0 and h dvd f
  shows mahler-measure h ≤ mahler-measure f
proof −
  from assms obtain g where f: f = g * h unfolding dvd-def by auto
  from f assms have g0: g ≠ 0 by auto
  hence mg: mahler-measure g ≥ 1 by (rule mahler-measure-poly-ge-1)
  have 1 * mahler-measure h ≤ mahler-measure f
    unfolding mahler-measure-def f measure-eq-prod
      of-int-poly-hom.hom-mult unfolding mahler-measure-def[symmetric]
    by (rule mult-right-mono[OF mg mahler-measure-ge-0])
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed


definition graeffe-poly :: 'a ⇒ 'a :: comm-ring-1 list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a poly where
  graeffe-poly c as m = smult (c ^ (2^m)) (∏ a←as. [:− (a ^ (2^m)), 1:])


context
  fixes f :: complex poly and c as
  assumes f: f = smult c (∏ a←as. [:− a, 1:])
begin
lemma mahler-graeffe: mahler-measure-poly (graeffe-poly c as m) = (mahler-measure-poly
f) ^ (2^m)
proof −
  have graeffe: graeffe-poly c as m = smult (c ^ 2 ^ m) (∏ a←(map (λ a. a ^ 2 ^
m) as). [:− a, 1:])
    unfolding graeffe-poly-def
    by (rule arg-cong[of - - smult (c ^ 2 ^ m)], induct as, auto)
  {
    fix n :: nat
    assume n: n > 0
    have id: max 1 (cmod a ^ n) = max 1 (cmod a) ^ n for a
    proof (cases cmod a ≤ 1)
      case True
      hence cmod a ^ n ≤ 1 by (simp add: power-le-one)
      with True show ?thesis by (simp add: max-def)
    qed (auto simp: max-def)
    have (∏ x←as. max 1 (cmod x ^ n)) = (∏ a←as. max 1 (cmod a)) ^ n
      by (induct as, auto simp: field-simps n id)
  }
  thus ?thesis unfolding f mahler-measure-poly-explicit graeffe
    by (auto simp: o-def field-simps norm-power)
qed
```

end

fun *drop-half* :: *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* **where**
  drop-half (*x* # *y* # *ys*) = *x* # *drop-half ys*
| *drop-half xs* = *xs*

fun *alternate* :: *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* × *'a list* **where**
  alternate (*x* # *y* # *ys*) = (*case alternate ys of* (*evn*, *od*) ⇒ (*x* # *evn*, *y* # *od*))
| *alternate xs* = (*xs*,[])

definition *poly-square-subst* :: *'a* :: *comm-ring-1 poly* ⇒ *'a poly* **where**
  poly-square-subst f = *poly-of-list* (*drop-half* (*coeffs f*))

definition *poly-even-odd* :: *'a* :: *comm-ring-1 poly* ⇒ *'a poly* × *'a poly* **where**
  poly-even-odd f = (*case alternate* (*coeffs f*) *of* (*evn*,*od*) ⇒ (*poly-of-list evn*, *poly-of-list od*))

lemma *poly-square-subst-coeff*: *coeff* (*poly-square-subst f*) *i* = *coeff f* (2 ∗ *i*)
proof −
  have *id*: *coeff f* (2 ∗ *i*) = *coeff* (*Poly* (*coeffs f*)) (2 ∗ *i*) **by** *simp*
  obtain *xs* **where** *xs*: *coeffs f* = *xs* **by** *auto*
  show *?thesis* **unfolding** *poly-square-subst-def poly-of-list-def coeff-Poly-eq id xs*
  proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i rule*: *drop-half.induct*)
    case (*1 x y ys i*) **thus** *?case* **by** (*cases i*, *auto*)
  next
    case (*2-2 x i*) **thus** *?case* **by** (*cases i*, *auto*)
  qed *auto*
qed

lemma *poly-even-odd-coeff*: **assumes** *poly-even-odd f* = (*ev*,*od*)
  shows *coeff ev i* = *coeff f* (2 ∗ *i*) *coeff od i* = *coeff f* (2 ∗ *i* + 1)
proof −
  have *id*: ⋀ *i*. *coeff f i* = *coeff* (*Poly* (*coeffs f*)) *i* **by** *simp*
  obtain *xs* **where** *xs*: *coeffs f* = *xs* **by** *auto*
  from *assms*[*unfolded poly-even-odd-def*]
  have *ev-od*: *ev* = *Poly* (*fst* (*alternate xs*)) *od* = *Poly* (*snd* (*alternate xs*))
    by (*auto simp*: *xs split*: *prod.splits*)
  have *coeff ev i* = *coeff f* (2 ∗ *i*) ∧ *coeff od i* = *coeff f* (2 ∗ *i* + 1)
    unfolding *poly-of-list-def coeff-Poly-eq id xs ev-od*
  proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *i rule*: *alternate.induct*)
    case (*1 x y ys i*) **thus** *?case* **by** (*cases alternate ys*; *cases i*, *auto*)
  next
    case (*2-2 x i*) **thus** *?case* **by** (*cases i*, *auto*)
  qed *auto*
  thus *coeff ev i* = *coeff f* (2 ∗ *i*) *coeff od i* = *coeff f* (2 ∗ *i* + 1) **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *poly-square-subst*: *poly-square-subst* (*f* ∘$_p$ (*monom 1 2*)) = *f*

by (*rule poly-eqI*, *unfold poly-square-subst-coeff*, *subst coeff-pcompose-x-pow-n*, *auto*)

lemma *poly-even-odd*: **assumes** *poly-even-odd f* = (*g*,*h*)
shows *f* = *g* ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2* + *monom 1 1* ∗ (*h* ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2*)
proof −
note *id* = *poly-even-odd-coeff*[*OF assms*]
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule poly-eqI*, *unfold coeff-add coeff-monom-mult*)
fix *n* :: *nat*
obtain *m i* **where** *mi*: *m* = *n div 2 i* = *n mod 2* **by** *auto*
have *nmi*: *n* = *2* ∗ *m* + *i i* < *2 0* < (*2* :: *nat*) *1* < (*2* :: *nat*) **unfolding** *mi* **by** *auto*
have (*2* :: *nat*) ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
show *coeff f n* = *coeff* (*g* ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2*) *n* + (*if 1* ≤ *n then 1* ∗ *coeff* (*h* ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2*) (*n* − *1*) *else 0*)
proof (*cases i* = *1*)
case *True*
hence *id1*: *2* ∗ *m* + *i* − *1* = *2* ∗ *m* + *0* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *nmi id id1 coeff-pcompose-monom*[*OF nmi*(*2*)] *coeff-pcompose-monom*[*OF nmi*(*3*)]
unfolding *True* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
with *nmi* **have** *i0*: *i* = *0* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases m*)
case (*Suc k*)
hence *id1*: *2* ∗ *m* + *i* − *1* = *2* ∗ *k* + *1* **using** *i0* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *nmi id coeff-pcompose-monom*[*OF nmi*(*2*)] *coeff-pcompose-monom*[*OF nmi*(*4*)] *id1* **unfolding** *Suc i0* **by** *auto*
next
case *0*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *nmi id coeff-pcompose-monom*[*OF nmi*(*2*)] **unfolding** *i0 0* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
qed
qed

context
fixes *f* :: *'a* :: *idom poly*
begin

lemma *graeffe-0*: *f* = *smult c* (∏ *a*←*as*. [:− *a*, *1*:]) ⟹ *graeffe-poly c as 0* = *f*
unfolding *graeffe-poly-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *graeffe-recursion*: **assumes** *graeffe-poly c as m* = *f*
shows *graeffe-poly c as* (*Suc m*) = *smult* ((−*1*) ^ (*degree f*)) (*poly-square-subst* (*f*

$* f \circ_p [:0,-1:]))$
proof −
let *?g* = *graeffe-poly c as m*
have $f * f \circ_p [:0,-1:] =$ *?g* $*$ *?g* $\circ_p [:0,-1:]$ **unfolding** *assms* **by** *simp*
also have *?g* $\circ_p [:0,-1:] =$ *smult* $((-1) \hat{} \text{length } as)$ (*smult* $(c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m)$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$))
unfolding *graeffe-poly-def*
proof (*induct as*)
case (*Cons a as*)
have *?case* = ((*smult* $(c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m)$ ($[:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:] \circ_p [:0, -1:]$) $*$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:]$) $\circ_p [:0, -1:]$) =
smult $(-1 * (-1) \hat{} \text{length } as)$
(*smult* $(c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m)$ ($[:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:] *$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$))))))
unfolding *list.simps prod-list.Cons pcompose-smult pcompose-mult* **by** *simp*
also have *smult* $(c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m)$ ($[:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:] \circ_p [:0, -1:]$) $*$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:]$) $\circ_p [:0, -1:]$)
= *smult* $(c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m)$ (($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:]$) $\circ_p [:0, -1:]$) $*$ ($[:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:] \circ_p [:0, -1:]$
unfolding *mult-smult-left* **by** *simp*
also have *smult* $(c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m)$ (($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:]$) $\circ_p [:0, -1:]$) =
smult $((-1) \hat{} \text{length } as)$ (*smult* $(c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m)$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$))
unfolding *pcompose-smult*[*symmetric*] *Cons* **..**
also have $[:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:] \circ_p [:0, -1:] =$ *smult* (-1) $[:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$ **by** *simp*
finally have *id*: *?case* = (*smult* $((-1) \hat{} \text{length } as)$ (*smult* $(c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m)$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$)) $*$ *smult* (-1) $[:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:] =$
smult $(-1 * (-1) \hat{} \text{length } as)$ (*smult* $(c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m)$ ($[:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:] *$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$)))) **by** *simp*
obtain *c d* **where** *id′*: ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$) = *c* $[:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:] = d$ **by** *auto*
show *?case* **unfolding** *id* **unfolding** *id′* **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
qed *simp*
finally have $f * f \circ_p [:0, -1:] =$
smult $((-1) \hat{} \text{length } as * (c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m * c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m))$
(($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:]$) $*$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$))
unfolding *graeffe-poly-def* **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
also have $c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m * c \hat{} 2 \hat{} m = c \hat{} 2 \hat{} (Suc\ m)$ **by** (*simp add*: *semiring-normalization-rules*(*36*))
also have ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:]$) $*$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$) =
($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} (Suc\ m)), 1:]$) $\circ_p$ *monom 1 2*
proof (*induct as*)
case (*Cons a as*)
have *id*: (*monom 1 2* :: *'a poly*) = $[:0,0,1:]$
by (*metis monom-altdef pCons-0-as-mult power2-eq-square smult-1-left*)
have ($\prod a \leftarrow a \# as. [:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:]$) $*$ ($\prod a \leftarrow a \# as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$)
= ($[:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:] * [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$) $*$ (($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:-(a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m), 1:]$) $*$ ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:a \hat{} 2 \hat{} m, 1:]$))
(**is** - = *?a* $*$ *?b*)
unfolding *list.simps prod-list.Cons* **by** (*simp only*: *ac-simps*)

also have *?b* = (∏ *a*←*as*. [:− (*a* ^ *2* ^ *Suc m*), *1*:]) ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2* **unfolding** *Cons* **by** *simp*
also have *?a* = [: − (*a* ^ *2* ^ (*Suc m*)), *0* , *1*:] **by** (*simp add*: *semiring-normalization-rules*(*36*))
also have ... = [: − (*a* ^ *2* ^ (*Suc m*)), *1*:] ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2* **by** (*simp add*: *id*)
also have [: − (*a* ^ *2* ^ (*Suc m*)), *1*:] ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2* ∗ (∏ *a*←*as*. [:− (*a* ^ *2* ^ *Suc m*), *1*:]) ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2* =
(∏ *a*←*a* # *as*. [:− (*a* ^ *2* ^ *Suc m*), *1*:]) ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2* **unfolding** *pcompose-mult*[*symmetric*] **by** *simp*
finally show *?case* **.**
qed *simp*
finally have *f* ∗ *f* ∘$_p$ [:*0*, − *1*:] = (*smult* ((− *1*) ^ *length as*) (*graeffe-poly c as* (*Suc m*)) ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2*)
unfolding *graeffe-poly-def pcompose-smult* **by** *simp*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of* λ *f*. *smult* ((− *1*) ^ *length as*) (*poly-square-subst f*), *unfolded poly-square-subst*]
have *graeffe-poly c as* (*Suc m*) = *smult* ((− *1*) ^ *length as*) (*poly-square-subst* (*f* ∗ *f* ∘$_p$ [:*0*, − *1*:])) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *smult* ((− *1*) ^ *degree f*) (*poly-square-subst* (*f* ∗ *f* ∘$_p$ [:*0*, − *1*:]))

proof (*cases f* = *0*)
case *True*
thus *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp*: *poly-square-subst-def*)
next
case *False*
with *assms* **have** *c0*: *c* ≠ *0* **unfolding** *graeffe-poly-def* **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF assms*, *of degree*]
have *degree f* = *degree* (*smult* (*c* ^ *2* ^ *m*) (∏ *a*←*as*. [:− (*a* ^ *2* ^ *m*), *1*:])) **unfolding** *graeffe-poly-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *degree* (∏ *a*←*as*. [:− (*a* ^ *2* ^ *m*), *1*:]) **unfolding** *degree-smult-eq* **using** *c0* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *length as* **unfolding** *degree-linear-factors* **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed
end

definition *graeffe-one-step* :: *'a* ⇒ *'a* :: *idom poly* ⇒ *'a poly* **where**
graeffe-one-step c f = *smult c* (*poly-square-subst* (*f* ∗ *f* ∘$_p$ [:*0*,−*1*:]))

lemma *graeffe-one-step-code*[*code*]: **fixes** *c* :: *'a* :: *idom*
shows *graeffe-one-step c f* = (*case poly-even-odd f of* (*g*,*h*)
⇒ *smult c* (*g* ∗ *g* − *monom 1 1* ∗ *h* ∗ *h*))
proof −
obtain *g h* **where** *eo*: *poly-even-odd f* = (*g*,*h*) **by** *force*
from *poly-even-odd*[*OF eo*] **have** *fgh*: *f* = *g* ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2* + *monom 1 1* ∗ *h* ∘$_p$ *monom 1 2* **by** *auto*
have *m2*: *monom* (*1* :: *'a*) *2* = [:*0*,*0*,*1*:] *monom* (*1* :: *'a*) *1* = [:*0*,*1*:]

```
    unfolding coeffs-eq-iff coeffs-monom
    by (auto simp add: numeral-2-eq-2)
  show ?thesis unfolding eo split graeffe-one-step-def
  proof (rule arg-cong[of - - smult c])
    let ?g = g ∘p monom 1 2
    let ?h = h ∘p monom 1 2
    let ?x = monom (1 :: 'a) 1
    have 2: 2 = Suc (Suc 0) by simp
    have f * f ∘p [:0, - 1:] = (g ∘p monom 1 2 + monom 1 1 * h ∘p monom 1
2) *
      (g ∘p monom 1 2 + monom 1 1 * h ∘p monom 1 2) ∘p [:0, - 1:] unfolding
fgh by simp
    also have (g ∘p monom 1 2 + monom 1 1 * h ∘p monom 1 2) ∘p [:0, - 1:]
      = g ∘p (monom 1 2 ∘p [:0, - 1:]) + monom 1 1 ∘p [:0, - 1:] * h ∘p (monom
1 2 ∘p [:0, - 1:])
      unfolding pcompose-add pcompose-mult pcompose-assoc by simp
    also have monom (1 :: 'a) 2 ∘p [:0, - 1:] = monom 1 2 unfolding m2 by
auto
    also have ?x ∘p [:0, - 1:] = [:0, −1:] unfolding m2 by auto
    also have [:0, - 1:] * h ∘p monom 1 2 = (−?x) * ?h unfolding m2 by simp
    also have (?g + ?x * ?h) * (?g + (− ?x) * ?h) = (?g * ?g − (?x * ?x) * ?h
* ?h)
      by (auto simp: field-simps)
   also have ?x * ?x = ?x ∘p monom 1 2 unfolding mult-monom by (insert m2,
simp add: 2)
    also have (?g * ?g − ... * ?h * ?h) = (g * g − ?x * h * h) ∘p monom 1 2
      unfolding pcompose-diff pcompose-mult by auto
    finally have poly-square-subst (f * f ∘p [:0, - 1:])
      = poly-square-subst ((g * g − ?x * h * h) ∘p monom 1 2) by simp
    also have ... = g * g − ?x * h * h unfolding poly-square-subst by simp
    finally show poly-square-subst (f * f ∘p [:0, - 1:]) = g * g − ?x * h * h .
  qed
qed

fun graeffe-poly-impl-main :: 'a ⇒ 'a :: idom poly ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a poly where
  graeffe-poly-impl-main c f 0 = f
| graeffe-poly-impl-main c f (Suc m) = graeffe-one-step c (graeffe-poly-impl-main
c f m)

lemma graeffe-poly-impl-main: assumes f = smult c (∏ a←as. [:− a, 1:])
  shows graeffe-poly-impl-main ((−1)^degree f) f m = graeffe-poly c as m
proof (induct m)
  case 0
  show ?case using graeffe-0[OF assms] by simp
next
  case (Suc m)
  have [simp]: degree (graeffe-poly c as m) = degree f unfolding graeffe-poly-def
degree-smult-eq assms
    degree-linear-factors by auto
```

```
  from arg-cong[OF Suc, of degree]
  show ?case unfolding graeffe-recursion[OF Suc[symmetric]]
    by (simp add: graeffe-one-step-def)
qed

definition graeffe-poly-impl :: 'a :: idom poly ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a poly where
  graeffe-poly-impl f = graeffe-poly-impl-main ((−1)^(degree f)) f

lemma graeffe-poly-impl: assumes f = smult c (∏ a←as. [:− a, 1:])
  shows graeffe-poly-impl f m = graeffe-poly c as m
  using graeffe-poly-impl-main[OF assms] unfolding graeffe-poly-impl-def .

lemma drop-half-map: drop-half (map f xs) = map f (drop-half xs)
  by (induct xs rule: drop-half.induct, auto)

lemma (in inj-comm-ring-hom) map-poly-poly-square-subst:
  map-poly hom (poly-square-subst f) = poly-square-subst (map-poly hom f)
 unfolding poly-square-subst-def coeffs-map-poly-hom drop-half-map poly-of-list-def
  by (rule poly-eqI, auto simp: nth-default-map-eq)

context inj-idom-hom
begin

lemma graeffe-poly-impl-hom:
  map-poly hom (graeffe-poly-impl f m) = graeffe-poly-impl (map-poly hom f) m
proof −
  interpret mh: map-poly-inj-idom-hom..
  obtain c where c: (((− 1) ^ degree f) :: 'a) = c by auto
  have c': (((− 1) ^ degree f) :: 'b) = hom c unfolding c[symmetric] by (simp
add:hom-distribs)
  show ?thesis unfolding graeffe-poly-impl-def degree-map-poly-hom c c'
  apply (induct m arbitrary: f; simp)
 by (unfold graeffe-one-step-def hom-distribs map-poly-poly-square-subst map-poly-pcompose,simp)
qed
end

lemma graeffe-poly-impl-mahler: mahler-measure (graeffe-poly-impl f m) = mahler-measure
f ^ 2 ^ m
proof −
  let ?c = complex-of-int
  let ?cc = map-poly ?c
  let ?f = ?cc f
  note eq = complex-roots(1)[of ?f]
  interpret inj-idom-hom complex-of-int by (standard, auto)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding mahler-measure-def mahler-graeffe[OF eq[symmetric], symmetric]
    graeffe-poly-impl[OF eq[symmetric], symmetric] by (simp add: of-int-hom.graeffe-poly-impl-hom)
qed
```

definition *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation* :: *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int*
where
mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation kk dd f = (*let*
no = *sum-list* (*map* (λ *a*. *a* ∗ *a*) (*coeffs f*))
in root-int-floor kk (*dd* ∗ *no*))

lemma *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation-core*:
assumes *g*: *g* = *graeffe-poly-impl f k*
shows *mahler-measure f* ≤ *root* (2 ^ *Suc k*) (*real-of-int* (∑ *a*←*coeffs g*. *a* ∗ *a*))
proof −
have *mahler-measure f* = *root* (2^*k*) (*mahler-measure f* ^ (2^*k*))
by (*simp add*: *real-root-power-cancel mahler-measure-ge-0*)
also have ... = *root* (2^*k*) (*mahler-measure g*)
unfolding *graeffe-poly-impl-mahler g* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *root* (2^*k*) (*root* 2 (((*mahler-measure g*)^2)))
by (*simp add*: *real-root-power-cancel mahler-measure-ge-0*)
also have ... = *root* (2^*Suc k*) (((*mahler-measure g*)^2))
by (*metis power-Suc2 real-root-mult-exp*)
also have ... ≤ *root* (2 ^ *Suc k*) (*real-of-int* (∑ *a*←*coeffs g*. *a* ∗ *a*))
proof (*rule real-root-le-mono*, *force*)
have *square-mono*: 0 ≤ (*x* :: *real*) ⟹ *x* ≤ *y* ⟹ *x* ∗ *x* ≤ *y* ∗ *y* **for** *x y*
by (*simp add*: *mult-mono′*)
obtain *gs* **where** *gs*: *coeffs g* = *gs* **by** *auto*
have (*mahler-measure g*)^2 ≤ *real-of-int* |∑ *a*←*coeffs g*. *a* ∗ *a*|
using *square-mono*[*OF mahler-measure-ge-0 Landau-inequality*[*of of-int-poly g*, *folded mahler-measure-def*]]
by (*auto simp*: *power2-eq-square coeffs-map-poly o-def of-int-hom.hom-sum-list*)
also have |∑ *a*←*coeffs g*. *a* ∗ *a*| = (∑ *a*←*coeffs g*. *a* ∗ *a*) **unfolding** *gs*
by (*induct gs*, *auto*)
finally show (*mahler-measure g*)^2 ≤ *real-of-int* (∑ *a*←*coeffs g*. *a* ∗ *a*) .
qed
finally show *mahler-measure f* ≤ *root* (2 ^ *Suc k*) (*real-of-int* (∑ *a*←*coeffs g*. *a* ∗ *a*)) .
qed

lemma *Landau-inequality-mahler-measure*: *mahler-measure f* ≤ *sqrt* (*real-of-int* (∑ *a*←*coeffs f*. *a* ∗ *a*))
by (*rule order.trans*[*OF mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation-core*[*OF refl*, *of* - *0*]],
auto simp: *graeffe-poly-impl-def sqrt-def*)

lemma *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation*:
assumes *g*: *g* = *graeffe-poly-impl f k dd* = *d*^(2^(*Suc k*)) *kk* = 2^(*Suc k*)
shows ⌊*real d* ∗ *mahler-measure f*⌋ ≤ *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation kk dd g*
proof −
have *id1*: *real-of-int* (*int* (*d* ^ 2 ^ *Suc k*)) = (*real d*) ^ 2 ^ *Suc k* **by** *simp*
have *id2*: *root* (2 ^ *Suc k*) (*real d* ^ 2 ^ *Suc k*) = *real d*
by (*simp add*: *real-root-power-cancel*)

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation-def Let-def root-int-floor of-int-mult g(2−3)*
by (*rule floor-mono*, *unfold real-root-mult id1 id2*, *rule mult-left-mono*, *rule mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation-core*[*OF g(1)*], *auto*)
qed

context
fixes *bnd* :: *nat*
begin

function *mahler-approximation-main* :: *nat* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *int* **where**
mahler-approximation-main dd c g mm k kk = (*let mmm* = *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation kk dd g*;
new-mm = (*if k* = *0 then mmm else min mm mmm*)
in (*if k* ≥ *bnd then new-mm else*
— abort after *bnd* iterations of Graeffe transformation
mahler-approximation-main (*dd* ∗ *dd*) *c* (*graeffe-one-step c g*) *new-mm* (*Suc k*) (*2* ∗ *kk*)))
by *pat-completeness auto*

termination by (*relation measure* (λ (*dd*,*c*,*f*,*mm*,*k*,*kk*). *Suc bnd* − *k*), *auto*)
declare *mahler-approximation-main.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *mahler-approximation-main*: **assumes** *k* ≠ *0* ⟹ ⌊*real d* ∗ *mahler-measure f*⌋ ≤ *mm*
and *c* = (−*1*)^(*degree f*)
and *g* = *graeffe-poly-impl-main c f k dd* = *d*^(*2*^(*Suc k*)) *kk* = *2*^(*Suc k*)
shows ⌊*real d* ∗ *mahler-measure f*⌋ ≤ *mahler-approximation-main dd c g mm k kk*
using *assms*
proof (*induct c g mm k kk rule*: *mahler-approximation-main.induct*)
case (*1 dd c g mm k kk*)
let *?df* = ⌊*real d* ∗ *mahler-measure f*⌋
note *dd* = *1*(*5*)
note *kk* = *1*(*6*)
note *g* = *1*(*4*)
note *c* = *1*(*3*)
note *mm* = *1*(*2*)
note *IH* = *1*(*1*)
note *mahl* = *mahler-approximation-main.simps*[*of dd c g mm k kk*]
define *mmm* **where** *mmm* = *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation kk dd g*
define *new-mm* **where** *new-mm* = (*if k* = *0 then mmm else min mm mmm*)
let *?cond* = *bnd* ≤ *k*
have *id*: *mahler-approximation-main dd c g mm k kk* = (*if ?cond then new-mm*
else mahler-approximation-main (*dd* ∗ *dd*) *c* (*graeffe-one-step c g*) *new-mm* (*Suc k*) (*2* ∗ *kk*))
unfolding *mahl mmm-def*[*symmetric*] *Let-def new-mm-def*[*symmetric*] **by** *simp*
have *gg*: *g* = (*graeffe-poly-impl f k*) **unfolding** *g graeffe-poly-impl-def c* **..**

from *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation*[*OF gg dd kk*, *folded mmm-def*]
have *mmm*: *?df* ≤ *mmm* **.**
with *mm* **have** *new-mm*: *?df* ≤ *new-mm* **unfolding** *new-mm-def* **by** *auto*
show *?case*
proof (*cases ?cond*)
case *True*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id* **using** *True new-mm* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
hence *id*: *mahler-approximation-main dd c g mm k kk* =
mahler-approximation-main (*dd* ∗ *dd*) *c* (*graeffe-one-step c g*) *new-mm* (*Suc k*) (*2* ∗ *kk*)
unfolding *id* **by** *auto*
have *id′*: *graeffe-one-step c g* = *graeffe-poly-impl-main c f* (*Suc k*)
unfolding *g* **by** *simp*
have *dd* ∗ *dd* = *d* ^ *2* ^ *Suc* (*Suc k*) *2* ∗ *kk* = *2* ^ *Suc* (*Suc k*) **unfolding** *dd kk*
semiring-normalization-rules(*26*) **by** *auto*
from *IH*[*OF mmm-def new-mm-def False new-mm c id′ this*]
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id* **.**
qed
qed

definition *mahler-approximation* :: *nat* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int* **where**
mahler-approximation d f = *mahler-approximation-main* (*d* ∗ *d*) ((−*1*)^(*degree f*)) *f* (−*1*) *0 2*

lemma *mahler-approximation*: ⌊*real d* ∗ *mahler-measure f*⌋ ≤ *mahler-approximation d f*
unfolding *mahler-approximation-def*
by (*rule mahler-approximation-main*, *auto simp*: *semiring-normalization-rules*(*29*))

end

end

10.5 The Mignotte Bound

theory *Factor-Bound*
imports
Mahler-Measure
Polynomial-Factorization.Gauss-Lemma
Subresultants.Coeff-Int
begin

lemma *binomial-mono-left*: *n* ≤ *N* ⟹ *n choose k* ≤ *N choose k*
proof (*induct n arbitrary*: *k N*)
case (*0 k N*)
thus *?case* **by** (*cases k*, *auto*)

```
next
  case (Suc n k N) note IH = this
  show ?case
  proof (cases k)
    case (Suc kk)
    from IH obtain NN where N: N = Suc NN and le: n ≤ NN by (cases N,
auto)
    show ?thesis unfolding N Suc using IH(1)[OF le]
      by (simp add: add-le-mono)
  qed auto
qed

definition choose-int where choose-int m n = (if n < 0 then 0 else m choose (nat
n))

lemma choose-int-suc[simp]:
  choose-int (Suc n) i = choose-int n (i−1) + choose-int n i
proof(cases nat i)
  case 0 thus ?thesis by (simp add:choose-int-def) next
  case (Suc v) hence nat (i − 1) = v i≠0 by simp-all
    thus ?thesis unfolding choose-int-def Suc by simp
qed

lemma sum-le-1-prod: assumes d: 1 ≤ d and c: 1 ≤ c
  shows c + d ≤ 1 + c ∗ (d :: real)
proof −
  from d c have (c − 1) ∗ (d − 1) ≥ 0 by auto
  thus ?thesis by (auto simp: field-simps)
qed

lemma mignotte-helper-coeff-int: cmod (coeff-int (∏ a←lst. [:− a, 1:]) i)
    ≤ choose-int (length lst − 1) i ∗ (∏ a←lst. (max 1 (cmod a)))
    + choose-int (length lst − 1) (i − 1)
proof(induct lst arbitrary:i)
  case Nil thus ?case by (auto simp:coeff-int-def choose-int-def)
  case (Cons v xs i)
  show ?case
  proof (cases xs = [])
    case True
    show ?thesis unfolding True
      by (cases nat i, cases nat (i − 1), auto simp: coeff-int-def choose-int-def)
  next
    case False
    hence id: length (v # xs) − 1 = Suc (length xs − 1) by auto
    have id': choose-int (length xs) i = choose-int (Suc (length xs − 1)) i for i
      using False by (cases xs, auto)
    let ?r = (∏ a←xs. [:− a, 1:])
    let ?mv = (∏ a←xs. (max 1 (cmod a)))
    let ?c1 = real (choose-int (length xs − 1) (i − 1 − 1))
```

let *?c2* = *real* (*choose-int* (*length* (*v* # *xs*) − *1*) *i* − *choose-int* (*length xs* − *1*) *i*)
let *?m xs n* = *choose-int* (*length xs* − *1*) *n* ∗ (∏ *a*←*xs*. (*max 1* (*cmod a*)))
have *le1*:*1* ≤ *max 1* (*cmod v*) **by** *auto*
have *le2*:*cmod v* ≤ *max 1* (*cmod v*) **by** *auto*
have *mv-ge-1*:*1* ≤ *?mv* **by** (*rule prod-list-ge1*, *auto*)
obtain *a b c d* **where** *abcd* :
a = *real* (*choose-int* (*length xs* − *1*) *i*)
b = *real* (*choose-int* (*length xs* − *1*) (*i* − *1*))
c = (∏ *a*←*xs*. *max 1* (*cmod a*))
d = *cmod v* **by** *auto*
{
have *c1*: *c* ≥ *1* **unfolding** *abcd* **by** (*rule mv-ge-1*)
have *b*: *b* = *0* ∨ *b* ≥ *1* **unfolding** *abcd* **by** *auto*
have *a*: *a* = *0* ∨ *a* ≥ *1* **unfolding** *abcd* **by** *auto*
hence *a0*: *a* ≥ *0* **by** *auto*
have *acd*: *a* ∗ (*c* ∗ *d*) ≤ *a* ∗ (*c* ∗ *max 1 d*) **using** *a0 c1*
by (*simp add*: *mult-left-mono*)
from *b* **have** *b* ∗ (*c* + *d*) ≤ *b* ∗ (*1* + (*c* ∗ *max 1 d*))
proof
assume *b* ≥ *1*
hence *?thesis* = (*c* + *d* ≤ *1* + *c* ∗ *max 1 d*) **by** *simp*
also have ...
proof (*cases d* ≥ *1*)
case *False*
hence *id*: *max 1 d* = *1* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **using** *False* **unfolding** *id* **by** *simp*
next
case *True*
hence *id*: *max 1 d* = *d* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **using** *True c1* **unfolding** *id* **by** (*rule sum-le-1-prod*)
qed
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed *auto*
with *acd* **have** *b* ∗ *c* + (*b* ∗ *d* + *a* ∗ (*c* ∗ *d*)) ≤ *b* + (*a* ∗ (*c* ∗ *max 1 d*) + *b* ∗ (*c* ∗ *max 1 d*))
by (*auto simp*: *field-simps*)
} note *abcd-main* = *this*
have *cmod* (*coeff-int* ([:− *v*, *1*:] ∗ *?r*) *i*) ≤ *cmod* (*coeff-int ?r* (*i* − *1*)) + *cmod* (*coeff-int* (*smult v ?r*) *i*)
using *norm-triangle-ineq4* **by** *auto*
also have ... ≤ *?m xs* (*i* − *1*) + (*choose-int* (*length xs* − *1*) (*i* − *1* − *1*)) + *cmod* (*coeff-int* (*smult v ?r*) *i*)
using *Cons*[*of i*−*1*] **by** *auto*
also have *choose-int* (*length xs* − *1*) (*i* − *1*) = *choose-int* (*length* (*v* # *xs*) − *1*) *i* − *choose-int* (*length xs* − *1*) *i*
unfolding *id choose-int-suc* **by** *auto*
also have *?c2* ∗ (∏ *a*←*xs*. *max 1* (*cmod a*)) + *?c1* +
cmod (*coeff-int* (*smult v* (∏ *a*←*xs*. [:− *a*, *1*:])) *i*) ≤

```
      ?c2 * (∏ a←xs. max 1 (cmod a)) + ?c1 + cmod v * (
        real (choose-int (length xs − 1) i) * (∏ a←xs. max 1 (cmod a)) +
        real (choose-int (length xs − 1) (i − 1)))
    using mult-mono'[OF order-refl Cons, of cmod v i, simplified] by (auto simp:
norm-mult)
    also have ... ≤ ?m (v # xs) i + (choose-int (length xs) (i − 1)) using
abcd-main[unfolded abcd]
     by (simp add: field-simps id')
   finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

lemma mignotte-helper-coeff-int': cmod (coeff-int (∏ a←lst. [:− a, 1:]) i)
   ≤ ((length lst − 1) choose i) * (∏ a←lst. (max 1 (cmod a)))
   + min i 1 * ((length lst − 1) choose (nat (i − 1)))
 by (rule order.trans[OF mignotte-helper-coeff-int], auto simp: choose-int-def min-def)

lemma mignotte-helper-coeff:
  cmod (coeff h i) ≤ (degree h − 1 choose i) * mahler-measure-poly h
     + min i 1 * (degree h − 1 choose (i − 1)) * cmod (lead-coeff h)
proof −
  let ?r = complex-roots-complex h
  have cmod (coeff h i) = cmod (coeff (smult (lead-coeff h) (∏ a←?r. [:− a, 1:]))
i)
    unfolding complex-roots by auto
   also have ... = cmod (lead-coeff h) * cmod (coeff (∏ a←?r. [:− a, 1:]) i)
by(simp add:norm-mult)
 also have ... ≤ cmod (lead-coeff h) * ((degree h − 1 choose i) * mahler-measure-monic
h +
    (min i 1 * ((degree h − 1) choose nat (int i − 1))))
    unfolding mahler-measure-monic-def
    by (rule mult-left-mono, insert mignotte-helper-coeff-int'[of ?r i], auto)
   also have ... = (degree h − 1 choose i) * mahler-measure-poly h + cmod
(lead-coeff h) * (
    min i 1 * ((degree h − 1) choose nat (int i − 1)))
    unfolding mahler-measure-poly-via-monic by (simp add: field-simps)
  also have nat (int i − 1) = i − 1 by (cases i, auto)
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: ac-simps split: if-splits)
qed

lemma mignotte-coeff-helper:
  abs (coeff h i) ≤
   (degree h − 1 choose i) * mahler-measure h +
   (min i 1 * (degree h − 1 choose (i − 1)) * abs (lead-coeff h))
  unfolding mahler-measure-def
  using mignotte-helper-coeff[of of-int-poly h i]
  by auto

lemma cmod-through-lead-coeff[simp]:
```

cmod (*lead-coeff* (*of-int-poly h*)) = *abs* (*lead-coeff h*)
by *simp*

lemma *choose-approx*: $n \leq N \Longrightarrow n$ *choose* $k \leq N$ *choose* (N *div* 2)
by (*rule order.trans*[*OF binomial-mono-left binomial-maximum*])

For Mignotte's factor bound, we currently do not support queries for individual coefficients, as we do not have a combined factor bound algorithm.

definition *mignotte-bound* :: *int poly* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *int* **where**
mignotte-bound f d = (*let* $d' = d - 1$; *d2* = d' *div* 2; *binom* = (d' *choose d2*) *in*
(*mahler-approximation* 2 *binom f* + *binom* ∗ *abs* (*lead-coeff f*)))

lemma *mignotte-bound-main*:
assumes $f \neq 0$ *g dvd f degree g* ≤ n
shows |*coeff g k*| ≤ ⌊*real* ($n - 1$ *choose k*) ∗ *mahler-measure f*⌋ +
int (*min k* 1 ∗ ($n - 1$ *choose* ($k - 1$))) ∗ |*lead-coeff f*|
proof−
let *?bnd* = 2
let *?n* = ($n - 1$) *choose k*
let *?n′* = *min k* 1 ∗ (($n - 1$) *choose* ($k - 1$))
let *?approx* = *mahler-approximation ?bnd ?n f*
obtain *h* **where** *gh*:*g* ∗ *h* = *f* **using** *assms* **by** (*metis dvdE*)
have *nz*:*g*≠*0 h*≠*0* **using** *gh assms*(*1*) **by** *auto*
have *g1*:(*1*::*real*) ≤ *mahler-measure h* **using** *mahler-measure-poly-ge-1 gh assms*(*1*)
by *auto*
note *g0* = *mahler-measure-ge-0*
have *to-n*: (*degree g* − *1 choose k*) ≤ *real ?n*
using *binomial-mono-left*[*of degree g* − *1 n* − *1 k*] *assms*(*3*) **by** *auto*
have *to-n′*: *min k* 1 ∗ (*degree g* − *1 choose* ($k - 1$)) ≤ *real ?n′*
using *binomial-mono-left*[*of degree g* − *1 n* − *1 k* − *1*] *assms*(*3*)
by (*simp add*: *min-def*)
have |*coeff g k*| ≤ (*degree g* − *1 choose k*) ∗ *mahler-measure g*
+ (*real* (*min k* 1 ∗ (*degree g* − *1 choose* ($k - 1$))) ∗ |*lead-coeff g*|)
using *mignotte-coeff-helper*[*of g k*] **by** *simp*
also have ... ≤ *?n* ∗ *mahler-measure f* + *real ?n′* ∗ |*lead-coeff f*|
proof (*rule add-mono*[*OF mult-mono*[*OF to-n*] *mult-mono*[*OF to-n′*]])
have *mahler-measure g* ≤ *mahler-measure g* ∗ *mahler-measure h* **using** *g1*
g0[*of g*]
using *mahler-measure-poly-ge-1 nz*(*1*) **by** *force*
thus *mahler-measure g* ≤ *mahler-measure f*
using *measure-eq-prod*[*of of-int-poly g of-int-poly h*]
unfolding *mahler-measure-def gh*[*symmetric*] **by** (*auto simp*: *hom-distribs*)
have ∗: *lead-coeff f* = *lead-coeff g* ∗ *lead-coeff h*
unfolding *arg-cong*[*OF gh*, *of lead-coeff*, *symmetric*] **by** (*rule lead-coeff-mult*)
have |*lead-coeff h*| ≠ *0* **using** *nz*(*2*) **by** *auto*
hence *lh*: |*lead-coeff h*| ≥ *1* **by** *linarith*
have |*lead-coeff f*| = |*lead-coeff g*| ∗ |*lead-coeff h*| **unfolding** ∗ **by** (*rule abs-mult*)
also have ... ≥ |*lead-coeff g*| ∗ *1*
by (*rule mult-mono*, *insert lh*, *auto*)

```
    finally have |lead-coeff g| ≤ |lead-coeff f| by simp
    thus real-of-int |lead-coeff g| ≤ real-of-int |lead-coeff f| by simp
  qed (auto simp: g0)
  finally have |coeff g k| ≤ ?n * mahler-measure f + real-of-int (?n' * |lead-coeff
f|) by simp
  from floor-mono[OF this, folded floor-add-int]
  have |coeff g k| ≤ floor (?n * mahler-measure f) + ?n' * |lead-coeff f| by linarith
  thus ?thesis unfolding mignotte-bound-def Let-def using mahler-approximation[of
?n f ?bnd] by auto
qed

lemma Mignotte-bound:
  shows of-int |coeff g k| ≤ (degree g choose k) * mahler-measure g
proof (cases k ≤ degree g ∧ g ≠ 0)
  case False
  hence coeff g k = 0 using le-degree by (cases g = 0, auto)
  thus ?thesis using mahler-measure-ge-0[of g] by auto
next
  case kg: True
  hence g: g ≠ 0 g dvd g by auto
  from mignotte-bound-main[OF g le-refl, of k]
  have real-of-int |coeff g k|
    ≤ of-int ⌊real (degree g − 1 choose k) * mahler-measure g⌋ +
      of-int (int (min k 1 * (degree g − 1 choose (k − 1))) * |lead-coeff g|) by
linarith
  also have ... ≤ real (degree g − 1 choose k) * mahler-measure g
    + real (min k 1 * (degree g − 1 choose (k − 1))) * (of-int |lead-coeff g| * 1)
    by (rule add-mono, force, auto)
  also have ... ≤ real (degree g − 1 choose k) * mahler-measure g
    + real (min k 1 * (degree g − 1 choose (k − 1))) * mahler-measure g
    by (rule add-left-mono[OF mult-left-mono],
    unfold mahler-measure-def mahler-measure-poly-def,
    rule mult-mono, auto intro!: prod-list-ge1)
  also have ... =
    (real ((degree g − 1 choose k) + (min k 1 * (degree g − 1 choose (k − 1)))))
* mahler-measure g
    by (auto simp: field-simps)
  also have (degree g − 1 choose k) + (min k 1 * (degree g − 1 choose (k − 1)))
= degree g choose k
  proof (cases k = 0)
    case False
    then obtain kk where k: k = Suc kk by (cases k, auto)
    with kg obtain gg where g: degree g = Suc gg by (cases degree g, auto)
    show ?thesis unfolding k g by auto
  qed auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma mignotte-bound:
```

assumes $f \neq 0$ *g dvd f degree* $g \leq n$
shows $|coeff\ g\ k| \leq mignotte\text{-}bound\ f\ n$
proof −
let *?bnd* = *2*
let *?n* = $(n - 1)$ *choose* $((n - 1)$ *div 2*$)$
have *to-n*: $(n - 1\ choose\ k) \leq real\ ?n$ **for** k
using *choose-approx*[*OF le-refl*] **by** *auto*
from *mignotte-bound-main*[*OF assms*, *of k*]
have $|coeff\ g\ k| \leq$
$\lfloor real\ (n - 1\ choose\ k) * mahler\text{-}measure\ f \rfloor +$
$int\ (min\ k\ 1 * (n - 1\ choose\ (k - 1))) * |lead\text{-}coeff\ f|$ **.**
also have ... $\leq \lfloor real\ (n - 1\ choose\ k) * mahler\text{-}measure\ f \rfloor +$
$int\ ((n - 1\ choose\ (k - 1))) * |lead\text{-}coeff\ f|$
by (*rule add-left-mono*[*OF mult-right-mono*], *cases k*, *auto*)
also have ... $\leq$ *mignotte-bound f n*
unfolding *mignotte-bound-def Let-def*
by (*rule add-mono*[*OF order.trans*[*OF floor-mono*[*OF mult-right-mono*]
mahler-approximation[*of ?n f ?bnd*]] *mult-right-mono*], *insert to-n mahler-measure-ge-0*, *auto*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

As indicated before, at the moment the only available factor bound is Mignotte's one. As future work one might use a combined bound.

definition *factor-bound* :: *int poly* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *int* **where**
factor-bound = *mignotte-bound*

lemma *factor-bound*: **assumes** $f \neq 0$ *g dvd f degree* $g \leq n$
shows $|coeff\ g\ k| \leq factor\text{-}bound\ f\ n$
unfolding *factor-bound-def* **by** (*rule mignotte-bound*[*OF assms*])

We further prove a result for factor bounds and scalar multiplication.

lemma *factor-bound-ge-0*: $f \neq 0 \Longrightarrow factor\text{-}bound\ f\ n \geq 0$
using *factor-bound*[*of f 1 n 0*] **by** *auto*

lemma *factor-bound-smult*: **assumes** *f*: $f \neq 0$ **and** *d*: $d \neq 0$
and *dvd*: *g dvd smult d f* **and** *deg*: *degree* $g \leq n$
shows $|coeff\ g\ k| \leq |d| * factor\text{-}bound\ f\ n$
proof −
let *?nf* = *primitive-part f* **let** *?cf* = *content f*
let *?ng* = *primitive-part g* **let** *?cg* = *content g*
from *content-dvd-contentI*[*OF dvd*] **have** *?cg dvd abs d* ∗ *?cf*
unfolding *content-smult-int* **.**
hence *dvd-c*: *?cg dvd d* ∗ *?cf* **using** *d*
by (*metis abs-content-int abs-mult dvd-abs-iff*)
from *primitive-part-dvd-primitive-partI*[*OF dvd*] **have** *?ng dvd smult* (*sgn d*) *?nf*
unfolding *primitive-part-smult-int* **.**
hence *dvd-n*: *?ng dvd ?nf* **using** *d*
by (*metis content-eq-zero-iff dvd dvd-smult-int f mult-eq-0-iff content-times-primitive-part smult-smult*)

define *gc* **where** *gc* = *gcd ?cf ?cg*
define *cg* **where** *cg* = *?cg div gc*
from *dvd d f* **have** *g*: *g* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *f* **have** *cf*: *?cf* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *g* **have** *cg*: *?cg* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
hence *gc*: *gc* ≠ *0* **unfolding** *gc-def* **by** *auto*
have *cg-dvd*: *cg dvd ?cg* **unfolding** *cg-def gc-def* **using** *g* **by** (*simp add*: *div-dvd-iff-mult*)
have *cg-id*: *?cg* = *cg* ∗ *gc* **unfolding** *gc-def cg-def* **using** *g cf* **by** *simp*
from *dvd-smult-int*[*OF d dvd*] **have** *ngf*: *?ng dvd f* **.**
have *gcf*: |*gc*| *dvd content f* **unfolding** *gc-def* **by** *auto*
have *dvd-f*: *smult gc ?ng dvd f*
proof (*rule dvd-content-dvd*,
unfold content-smult-int content-primitive-part[*OF g*]
primitive-part-smult-int primitive-part-idemp)
show |*gc*| ∗ *1 dvd content f* **using** *gcf* **by** *auto*
show *smult* (*sgn gc*) (*primitive-part g*) *dvd primitive-part f*
using *dvd-n cf gc* **using** *zsgn-def* **by** *force*
qed
have *cg dvd d* **using** *dvd-c* **unfolding** *gc-def cg-def* **using** *cf cg d*
by (*simp add*: *div-dvd-iff-mult dvd-gcd-mult*)
then obtain *h* **where** *dcg*: *d* = *cg* ∗ *h* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
with *d* **have** *h* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
hence *h1*: |*h*| ≥ *1* **by** *simp*
have *degree* (*smult gc* (*primitive-part g*)) = *degree g*
using *gc* **by** *auto*
from *factor-bound*[*OF f dvd-f*, *unfolded this*, *OF deg*, *of k*, *unfolded coeff-smult*]
have *le*: |*gc* ∗ *coeff ?ng k*| ≤ *factor-bound f n* **.**
note *f0* = *factor-bound-ge-0*[*OF f*, *of n*]
from *mult-left-mono*[*OF le*, *of abs cg*]
have |*cg* ∗ *gc* ∗ *coeff ?ng k*| ≤ |*cg*| ∗ *factor-bound f n*
unfolding *abs-mult*[*symmetric*] **by** *simp*
also have *cg* ∗ *gc* ∗ *coeff ?ng k* = *coeff* (*smult ?cg ?ng*) *k* **unfolding** *cg-id* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *coeff g k* **unfolding** *content-times-primitive-part* **by** *simp*
finally have |*coeff g k*| ≤ *1* ∗ (|*cg*| ∗ *factor-bound f n*) **by** *simp*
also have ... ≤ |*h*| ∗ (|*cg*| ∗ *factor-bound f n*)
by (*rule mult-right-mono*[*OF h1*], *insert f0*, *auto*)
also have ... = (|*cg* ∗ *h*|) ∗ *factor-bound f n* **by** (*simp add*: *abs-mult*)
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *dcg* **.**
qed

end

10.6 Iteration of Subsets of Factors

theory *Sublist-Iteration*
imports
Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Multiset
Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-List

HOL−Library.IArray
begin

Misc lemmas **lemma** *mem-snd-map*: $(\exists x.\ (x,\ y) \in S) \longleftrightarrow y \in snd\ `\ S$ **by** *force*

lemma *filter-upt*: **assumes** $l \leq m\ m < n$ **shows** *filter* $((\leq)\ m)\ [l..<n] = [m..<n]$
proof(*insert assms*, *induct n*)
case *0* **then show** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc n*) **then show** *?case* **by** (*cases* $m = n$, *auto*)
qed

lemma *upt-append*: $i < j \Longrightarrow j < k \Longrightarrow [i..<j]@[j..<k] = [i..<k]$
proof(*induct k arbitrary*: *j*)
case *0* **then show** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc k*) **then show** *?case* **by** (*cases* $j = k$, *auto*)
qed

lemma *IArray-sub*[*simp*]: (!!) *as* = (!) (*IArray.list-of as*) **by** *auto*
declare *IArray.sub-def*[*simp del*]

Following lemmas in this section are for *subseqs*

lemma *subseqs-Cons*[*simp*]: *subseqs* (*x*#*xs*) = *map* (*Cons x*) (*subseqs xs*) @ *subseqs xs*
by (*simp add*: *Let-def*)

declare *subseqs.simps*(*2*) [*simp del*]

lemma *singleton-mem-set-subseqs* [*simp*]: $[x] \in set\ (subseqs\ xs) \longleftrightarrow x \in set\ xs$ **by** (*induct xs*, *auto*)

lemma *Cons-mem-set-subseqsD*: $y\#ys \in set\ (subseqs\ xs) \Longrightarrow y \in set\ xs$ **by** (*induct xs*, *auto*)

lemma *subseqs-subset*: $ys \in set\ (subseqs\ xs) \Longrightarrow set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs$
by (*metis Pow-iff image-eqI subseqs-powset*)

lemma *Cons-mem-set-subseqs-Cons*:
$y\#ys \in set\ (subseqs\ (x\#xs)) \longleftrightarrow (y = x \wedge ys \in set\ (subseqs\ xs)) \vee y\#ys \in set\ (subseqs\ xs)$
by *auto*

lemma *sorted-subseqs-sorted*:
sorted xs $\Longrightarrow$ *ys* $\in$ *set* (*subseqs xs*) $\Longrightarrow$ *sorted ys*
proof(*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*
next

case *Cons* **thus** *?case* **using** *subseqs-subset* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *subseqs-of-subseq*: *ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*) ⟹ *set* (*subseqs ys*) ⊆ *set* (*subseqs xs*)
proof(*induct xs arbitrary*: *ys*)
case *Nil* **then show** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case *IHx*: (*Cons x xs*)
from *IHx.prems* **show** *?case*
proof(*induct ys*)
case *Nil* **then show** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case *IHy*: (*Cons y ys*)
from *IHy.prems*[*unfolded subseqs-Cons*]
consider *y* = *x ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*) | *y* # *ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*) **by** *auto*
then show *?case*
proof(*cases*)
case *1* **with** *IHx.hyps* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *2* **from** *IHx.hyps*[*OF this*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *mem-set-subseqs-append*: *xs* ∈ *set* (*subseqs ys*) ⟹ *xs* ∈ *set* (*subseqs* (*zs* @ *ys*))
by (*induct zs*, *auto*)

lemma *Cons-mem-set-subseqs-append*:
x ∈ *set ys* ⟹ *xs* ∈ *set* (*subseqs zs*) ⟹ *x*#*xs* ∈ *set* (*subseqs* (*ys*@*zs*))
proof(*induct ys*)
case *Nil* **then show** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case *IH*: (*Cons y ys*)
then consider *x* = *y* | *x* ∈ *set ys* **by** *auto*
then show *?case*
proof(*cases*)
case *1* **with** *IH* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto intro*: *mem-set-subseqs-append*)
next
case *2* **from** *IH.hyps*[*OF this IH.prems*(*2*)] **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *Cons-mem-set-subseqs-sorted*:
sorted xs ⟹ *y*#*ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*) ⟹ *y*#*ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs* (*filter* (λ*x*. *y* ≤ *x*) *xs*))
by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp*: *Let-def*)

lemma *subseqs-map*[*simp*]: *subseqs* (*map f xs*) = *map* (*map f*) (*subseqs xs*) **by** (*induct xs*, *auto*)

lemma *subseqs-of-indices*: *map* (*map* (*nth xs*)) (*subseqs* [*0*..<*length xs*]) = *subseqs xs*
proof (*induct xs*)
  case *Nil* **then show** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
  case (*Cons x xs*)
  from *this*[*symmetric*]
  have *subseqs xs* = *map* (*map* ((!) (*x*#*xs*))) (*subseqs* [*Suc 0*..<*Suc* (*length xs*)])
    by (*fold map-Suc-upt*, *simp*)
  then show *?case* **by** (*unfold length-Cons upt-conv-Cons*[*OF zero-less-Suc*], *simp*)
qed

Specification **definition** *subseq-of-length n xs ys* ≡ *ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*) ∧ *length ys* = *n*

lemma *subseq-of-lengthI*[*intro*]:
  assumes *ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*) *length ys* = *n*
  shows *subseq-of-length n xs ys*
by (*insert assms*, *unfold subseq-of-length-def*, *auto*)

lemma *subseq-of-lengthD*[*dest*]:
  assumes *subseq-of-length n xs ys*
  shows *ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*) *length ys* = *n*
  by (*insert assms*, *unfold subseq-of-length-def*, *auto*)

lemma *subseq-of-length0*[*simp*]: *subseq-of-length 0 xs ys* ⟷ *ys* = [] **by** *auto*

lemma *subseq-of-length-Nil*[*simp*]: *subseq-of-length n* [] *ys* ⟷ *n* = *0* ∧ *ys* = []
  by (*auto simp*: *subseq-of-length-def*)

lemma *subseq-of-length-Suc-upt*:
  subseq-of-length (*Suc n*) [*0*..<*m*] *xs* ⟷
   (*if n* = *0 then length xs* = *Suc 0* ∧ *hd xs* < *m*
    else hd xs < *hd* (*tl xs*) ∧ *subseq-of-length n* [*0*..<*m*] (*tl xs*)) (**is** *?l* ⟷ *?r*)
proof(*cases n*)
  case *0*
  show *?thesis*
  proof(*intro iffI*)
    assume *l*: *?l*
    with *0* **have** *1*: *length xs* = *Suc 0* **by** *auto*
    then have *xs*: *xs* = [*hd xs*] **by** (*metis length-0-conv length-Suc-conv list.sel*(*1*))
    with *l* **have** [*hd xs*] ∈ *set* (*subseqs* [*0*..<*m*]) **by** *auto*
    with *1* **show** *?r* **by** (*unfold 0*, *auto*)
  next
    assume *?r*

```
    with 0 have 1: length xs = Suc 0 and 2: hd xs < m by auto
    then have xs: xs = [hd xs] by (metis length-0-conv length-Suc-conv list.sel(1))
    from 2 show ?l by (subst xs, auto simp: 0)
  qed
next
  case n: (Suc n')
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro iffI)
    assume ?l
    with n have 1: length xs = Suc (Suc n') and 2: xs ∈ set (subseqs [0..<m])
by auto
    from 1[unfolded length-Suc-conv]
    obtain x y ys where xs: xs = x#y#ys and n': length ys = n' by auto
    have sorted xs by(rule sorted-subseqs-sorted[OF - 2], auto)
    from this[unfolded xs] have x ≤ y by auto
    moreover
      from 2 have distinct xs by (rule subseqs-distinctD, auto)
      from this[unfolded xs] have x ≠ y by auto
    ultimately have x < y by auto
    moreover
        from 2 have y#ys ∈ set (subseqs [0..<m]) by (unfold xs, auto dest:
Cons-in-subseqsD)
      with n n' have subseq-of-length n [0..<m] (y#ys) by auto
    ultimately show ?r by (auto simp: xs)
  next
    assume r: ?r
    with n have len: length xs = Suc (Suc n')
     and ∗: hd xs < hd (tl xs) tl xs ∈ set (subseqs [0..<m]) by auto
    from len[unfolded length-Suc-conv] obtain x y ys
    where xs: xs = x#y#ys and n': length ys = n' by auto
    with ∗ have xy: x < y and yys: y#ys ∈ set (subseqs [0..<m]) by auto
    from Cons-mem-set-subseqs-sorted[OF - yys]
    have y#ys ∈ set (subseqs (filter ((≤) y) [0..<m])) by auto
    also from Cons-mem-set-subseqsD[OF yys] have ym: y < m by auto
      then have filter ((≤) y) [0..<m] = [y..<m] by (auto intro: filter-upt)
    finally have y#ys ∈ set (subseqs [y..<m]) by auto
    with xy have x#y#ys ∈ set (subseqs (x#[y..<m])) by auto
    also from xy have ... ⊆ set (subseqs ([0..<y] @ [y..<m]))
       by (intro subseqs-of-subseq Cons-mem-set-subseqs-append, auto intro: sub-
seqs-refl)
   also from xy ym have [0..<y] @ [y..<m] = [0..<m] by (auto intro: upt-append)
    finally have xs ∈ set (subseqs [0..<m]) by (unfold xs)
    with len[folded n] show ?l by auto
  qed
qed

lemma subseqs-of-length-of-indices:
  { ys. subseq-of-length n xs ys } = { map (nth xs) is | is. subseq-of-length n
[0..<length xs] is }
```

by(*unfold subseq-of-length-def*, *subst subseqs-of-indices*[*symmetric*], *auto*)

lemma *subseqs-of-length-Suc-Cons*:
{ *ys*. *subseq-of-length* (*Suc n*) (*x*#*xs*) *ys* } =
Cons x ‘ { *ys*. *subseq-of-length n xs ys* } ∪ { *ys*. *subseq-of-length* (*Suc n*) *xs ys* }
by (*unfold subseq-of-length-def*, *auto*)

datatype (*'a*,*'b*,*'state*)*subseqs-impl* = *Sublists-Impl*
(*create-subseqs*: *'b* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ (*'b* × *'a list*)*list* × *'state*)
(*next-subseqs*: *'state* ⇒ (*'b* × *'a list*)*list* × *'state*)

locale *subseqs-impl* =
fixes *f* :: *'a* ⇒ *'b* ⇒ *'b*
and *sl-impl* :: (*'a*,*'b*,*'state*)*subseqs-impl*
begin

definition *S* :: *'b* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ (*'b* × *'a list*)*set* **where**
S base elements n = { (*foldr f ys base*, *ys*) | *ys*. *subseq-of-length n elements ys* }

end

locale *correct-subseqs-impl* = *subseqs-impl f sl-impl*
for *f* :: *'a* ⇒ *'b* ⇒ *'b*
and *sl-impl* :: (*'a*,*'b*,*'state*)*subseqs-impl* +
fixes *invariant* :: *'b* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'state* ⇒ *bool*
assumes *create-subseqs*: *create-subseqs sl-impl base elements n* = (*out*, *state*) ⟹ *invariant base elements n state* ∧ *set out* = *S base elements n*
and *next-subseqs*:
invariant base elements n state ⟹
next-subseqs sl-impl state = (*out*, *state'*) ⟹
invariant base elements (*Suc n*) *state'* ∧ *set out* = *S base elements* (*Suc n*)

Basic Implementation **fun** *subseqs-i-n-main* :: (*'a* ⇒ *'b* ⇒ *'b*) ⇒ *'b* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ (*'b* × *'a list*) *list* **where**
subseqs-i-n-main f b xs i n = (*if i* = *0 then* [(*b*,[])] *else if i* = *n then* [(*foldr f xs b*, *xs*)]
else case xs of
(*y* # *ys*) ⇒ *map* (λ (*c*,*zs*) ⇒ (*c*,*y* # *zs*)) (*subseqs-i-n-main f* (*f y b*) *ys* (*i* − *1*) (*n* − *1*))
@ *subseqs-i-n-main f b ys i* (*n* − *1*))
declare *subseqs-i-n-main.simps*[*simp del*]

definition *subseqs-length* :: (*'a* ⇒ *'b* ⇒ *'b*) ⇒ *'b* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ (*'b* × *'a list*) *list* **where**
subseqs-length f b i xs = (
let n = *length xs in if i* > *n then* [] *else subseqs-i-n-main f b xs i n*)

lemma *subseqs-length*: **assumes** *f-ac*: ⋀ *x y z*. *f x* (*f y z*) = *f y* (*f x z*)

```
  shows set (subseqs-length f a n xs) =
  { (foldr f ys a, ys) | ys. ys ∈ set (subseqs xs) ∧ length ys = n}
proof −
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases length xs < n)
    case True
    thus ?thesis unfolding subseqs-length-def Let-def
      using length-subseqs[of xs] subseqs-length-simple-False by auto
  next
    case False
    hence id: (length xs < n) = False and n ≤ length xs by auto
    from this(2) show ?thesis unfolding subseqs-length-def Let-def id if-False
    proof (induct xs arbitrary: n a rule: length-induct[rule-format])
      case (1 xs n a)
      note n = 1(2)
      note IH = 1(1)
      note simp[simp] = subseqs-i-n-main.simps[of f - xs n]
      show ?case
      proof (cases n = 0)
        case True
        thus ?thesis unfolding simp by simp
      next
        case False note 0 = this
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases n = length xs)
          case True
          have ?thesis = ({(foldr f xs a, xs)} = (λ ys. (foldr f ys a, ys)) ‘ {ys. ys ∈
set (subseqs xs) ∧ length ys = length xs})
            unfolding simp using 0 True by auto
          from this[unfolded full-list-subseqs] show ?thesis by auto
        next
          case False
          with n have n: n < length xs by auto
          from 0 obtain m where m: n = Suc m by (cases n, auto)
          from n 0 obtain y ys where xs: xs = y # ys by (cases xs, auto)
          from n m xs have le: m ≤ length ys n ≤ length ys by auto
          from xs have lt: length ys < length xs by auto
          have sub: set (subseqs-i-n-main f a xs n (length xs)) =
            (λ(c, zs). (c, y # zs)) ‘ set (subseqs-i-n-main f (f y a) ys m (length ys))
∪
            set (subseqs-i-n-main f a ys n (length ys))
            unfolding simp using 0 False by (simp add: xs m)
          have fold: ⋀ ys. foldr f ys (f y a) = f y (foldr f ys a)
            by (induct-tac ys, auto simp: f-ac)
          show ?thesis unfolding sub IH[OF lt le(1)] IH[OF lt le(2)]
            unfolding m xs by (auto simp: Let-def fold)
        qed
      qed
    qed
```

qed
qed

definition *basic-subseqs-impl* :: ('*a* ⇒ '*b* ⇒ '*b*) ⇒ ('*a*, '*b*, '*b* × '*a list* × *nat*)*subseqs-impl*
where
basic-subseqs-impl f = *Sublists-Impl*
(λ *a xs n*. (*subseqs-length f a n xs*, (*a*,*xs*,*n*)))
(λ (*a*,*xs*,*n*). (*subseqs-length f a* (*Suc n*) *xs*, (*a*,*xs*,*Suc n*)))

lemma *basic-subseqs-impl*: **assumes** *f-ac*: ⋀ *x y z*. *f x* (*f y z*) = *f y* (*f x z*)
shows *correct-subseqs-impl f* (*basic-subseqs-impl f*)
(λ *a xs n triple*. (*a*,*xs*,*n*) = *triple*)
by (*unfold-locales*; *unfold subseqs-impl.S-def basic-subseqs-impl-def subseq-of-length-def*,
insert subseqs-length[*of f*, *OF f-ac*], *auto*)

Improved Implementation **datatype** ('*a*,'*b*,'*state*) *subseqs-foldr-impl* = *Sublists-Foldr-Impl*
(*subseqs-foldr*: '*b* ⇒ '*a list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ '*b list* × '*state*)
(*next-subseqs-foldr*: '*state* ⇒ '*b list* × '*state*)

locale *subseqs-foldr-impl* =
fixes *f* :: '*a* ⇒ '*b* ⇒ '*b*
and *impl* :: ('*a*,'*b*,'*state*) *subseqs-foldr-impl*
begin
definition *S* **where** *S base elements n* ≡ { *foldr f ys base* | *ys*. *subseq-of-length n elements ys* }
end

locale *correct-subseqs-foldr-impl* = *subseqs-foldr-impl f impl*
for *f* **and** *impl* :: ('*a*,'*b*,'*state*) *subseqs-foldr-impl* +
fixes *invariant* :: '*b* ⇒ '*a list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ '*state* ⇒ *bool*
assumes *subseqs-foldr*:
subseqs-foldr impl base elements n = (*out*, *state*) ⟹
invariant base elements n state ∧ *set out* = *S base elements n*
and *next-subseqs-foldr*:
next-subseqs-foldr impl state = (*out*, *state'*) ⟹ *invariant base elements n state* ⟹
invariant base elements (*Suc n*) *state'* ∧ *set out* = *S base elements* (*Suc n*)

locale *my-subseqs* =
fixes *f* :: '*a* ⇒ '*b* ⇒ '*b*
begin

context fixes *head* :: '*a* **and** *tail* :: '*a iarray*
begin

fun *next-subseqs1* **and** *next-subseqs2*
where *next-subseqs1 ret0 ret1* [] = (*ret0*, (*head*, *tail*, *ret1*))
| *next-subseqs1 ret0 ret1* ((*i*,*v*)#*prevs*) = *next-subseqs2* (*f head v* # *ret0*) *ret1*

prevs v [0..<i]
| *next-subseqs2 ret0 ret1 prevs v [] = next-subseqs1 ret0 ret1 prevs*
| *next-subseqs2 ret0 ret1 prevs v (j#js) =*
(let v' = f (tail !! j) v in next-subseqs2 (v' # ret0) ((j,v') # ret1) prevs v js)

definition *next-subseqs2-set v js* ≡ { *(j, f (tail !! j) v) | j. j ∈ set js* }

definition *out-subseqs2-set v js* ≡ { *f (tail !! j) v | j. j ∈ set js* }

definition *next-subseqs1-set prevs* ≡ ⋃ { *next-subseqs2-set v [0..<i] | v i. (i,v) ∈ set prevs* }

definition *out-subseqs1-set prevs* ≡
(f head ∘ snd) ' set prevs ∪ (⋃ { *out-subseqs2-set v [0..<i] | v i. (i,v) ∈ set prevs* })

fun *next-subseqs1-spec* **where**
next-subseqs1-spec out nexts prevs (out', (head',tail',nexts')) ⟷
set nexts' = set nexts ∪ *next-subseqs1-set prevs* ∧
set out' = set out ∪ *out-subseqs1-set prevs*

fun *next-subseqs2-spec* **where**
next-subseqs2-spec out nexts prevs v js (out', (head',tail',nexts')) ⟷
set nexts' = set nexts ∪ *next-subseqs1-set prevs* ∪ *next-subseqs2-set v js* ∧
set out' = set out ∪ *out-subseqs1-set prevs* ∪ *out-subseqs2-set v js*

lemma *next-subseqs2-Cons*:
next-subseqs2-set v (j#js) = insert (j, f (tail!!j) v) (next-subseqs2-set v js)
by (*auto simp*: *next-subseqs2-set-def*)

lemma *out-subseqs2-Cons*:
out-subseqs2-set v (j#js) = insert (f (tail!!j) v) (out-subseqs2-set v js)
by (*auto simp*: *out-subseqs2-set-def*)

lemma *next-subseqs1-set-as-next-subseqs2-set*:
next-subseqs1-set ((i,v) # prevs) = next-subseqs1-set prevs ∪ *next-subseqs2-set v [0..<i]*
by (*auto simp*: *next-subseqs1-set-def*)

lemma *out-subseqs1-set-as-out-subseqs2-set*:
out-subseqs1-set ((i,v) # prevs) =
{ f head v } ∪ *out-subseqs1-set prevs* ∪ *out-subseqs2-set v [0..<i]*
by (*auto simp*: *out-subseqs1-set-def*)

lemma *next-subseqs1-spec*:
shows ⋀*out nexts. next-subseqs1-spec out nexts prevs (next-subseqs1 out nexts prevs)*
and ⋀*out nexts. next-subseqs2-spec out nexts prevs v js (next-subseqs2 out nexts prevs v js)*

```
proof(induct rule: next-subseqs1-next-subseqs2.induct)
  case (1 ret0 ret1)
  then show ?case by (simp add: next-subseqs1-set-def out-subseqs1-set-def)
next
  case (2 ret0 ret1 i v prevs)
  show ?case
  proof(cases next-subseqs1 out nexts ((i, v) # prevs))
    case split: (fields out' head' tail' nexts')
   have next-subseqs2-spec (f head v # out) nexts prevs v [0..<i] (out', (head',tail',nexts'))
      by (fold split, unfold next-subseqs1.simps, rule 2)
    then show ?thesis
      apply (unfold next-subseqs2-spec.simps split)
    by (auto simp: next-subseqs1-set-as-next-subseqs2-set out-subseqs1-set-as-out-subseqs2-set)
  qed
next
  case (3 ret0 ret1 prevs v)
  show ?case
  proof (cases next-subseqs1 out nexts prevs)
    case split: (fields out' head' tail' nexts')
     from 3[of out nexts] show ?thesis by(simp add: split next-subseqs2-set-def
out-subseqs2-set-def)
  qed
next
  case (4 ret0 ret1 prevs v j js)
  define tj where tj = tail !! j
  define nexts'' where nexts'' = (j, f tj v) # nexts
  define out'' where out'' = (f tj v) # out
  let ?n = next-subseqs2 out'' nexts'' prevs v js
  show ?case
  proof (cases ?n)
    case split: (fields out' head' tail' nexts')
    show ?thesis
      apply (unfold next-subseqs2.simps Let-def)
      apply (fold tj-def)
      apply (fold out''-def nexts''-def)
    apply (unfold split next-subseqs2-spec.simps next-subseqs2-Cons out-subseqs2-Cons)
      using 4[OF refl, of out'' nexts'', unfolded split]
      apply (auto simp: tj-def nexts''-def out''-def)
      done
  qed
qed

end

fun next-subseqs where next-subseqs (head,tail,prevs) = next-subseqs1 head tail []
[] prevs

fun create-subseqs
where create-subseqs base elements 0 = (
```

```
      if elements = [] then ([base],(undefined, IArray [], []))
      else let head = hd elements; tail = IArray (tl elements) in
        ([base], (head, tail, [(IArray.length tail, base)])))
  |  create-subseqs base elements (Suc n) =
      next-subseqs (snd (create-subseqs base elements n))

definition impl where impl = Sublists-Foldr-Impl create-subseqs next-subseqs

sublocale subseqs-foldr-impl f impl .

definition set-prevs where set-prevs base tail n ≡
  { (i, foldr f (map ((!) tail) is) base) | i is.
   subseq-of-length n [0..<length tail] is ∧ i = (if n = 0 then length tail else hd is)
}

lemma snd-set-prevs:
  snd ‘ (set-prevs base tail n) = (λas. foldr f as base) ‘ { as. subseq-of-length n tail
as }
  by (subst subseqs-of-length-of-indices, auto simp: set-prevs-def image-Collect)


fun invariant where invariant base elements n (head,tail,prevs) =
  (if elements = [] then prevs = []
   else head = hd elements ∧ tail = IArray (tl elements) ∧ set prevs = set-prevs
base (tl elements) n)


lemma next-subseq-preserve:
  assumes next-subseqs (head,tail,prevs) = (out, (head',tail',prevs'))
  shows head' = head tail' = tail
proof−
  define P :: 'b list × - × - × (nat × 'b) list ⇒ bool
  where P ≡ λ (out, (head',tail',prevs')). head' = head ∧ tail' = tail
  { fix ret0 ret1 v js
    have ∗: P (next-subseqs1 head tail ret0 ret1 prevs)
     and  P (next-subseqs2 head tail ret0 ret1 prevs v js)
   by(induct rule: next-subseqs1-next-subseqs2.induct, simp add: P-def, auto simp:
Let-def)
  }
  from this(1)[unfolded P-def, of [] [], folded next-subseqs.simps] assms
  show head' = head tail' = tail by auto
qed

lemma next-subseqs-spec:
  assumes nxt: next-subseqs (head,tail,prevs) = (out, (head',tail',prevs'))
  shows set prevs' = { (j, f (tail !! j) v) | v i j. (i,v) ∈ set prevs ∧ j < i } (is ?g1)
    and set out = (f head ∘ snd) ‘ set prevs ∪ snd ‘ set prevs' (is ?g2)
proof−
  note next-subseqs1-spec(1)[of head tail Nil Nil prevs]
```

```
  note this[unfolded nxt[simplified]]
  note this[unfolded next-subseqs1-spec.simps]
  note this[unfolded next-subseqs1-set-def out-subseqs1-set-def]
  note ∗ = this[unfolded next-subseqs2-set-def out-subseqs2-set-def]
  then show g1: ?g1 by auto
  also have snd ‘ ... = (⋃ {{(f (tail !! j) v) | j. j < i} | v i. (i, v) ∈ set prevs})
    by (unfold image-Collect, auto)
  finally have ∗∗: snd ‘ set prevs′ = ....
  with conjunct2[OF ∗] show ?g2 by simp
qed

lemma next-subseq-prevs:
  assumes nxt: next-subseqs (head,tail,prevs) = (out, (head′,tail′,prevs′))
      and inv-prevs: set prevs = set-prevs base (IArray.list-of tail) n
  shows set prevs′ = set-prevs base (IArray.list-of tail) (Suc n) (is ?l = ?r)
proof(intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix t
  assume r: t ∈ ?r
  from this[unfolded set-prevs-def] obtain iis
  where t: t = (hd iis, foldr f (map ((!!) tail) iis) base)
    and sl: subseq-of-length (Suc n) [0..<IArray.length tail] iis by auto
  from sl have length iis > 0 by auto
  then obtain i is where iis: iis = i#is by (meson list.set-cases nth-mem)
  define v where v = foldr f (map ((!!) tail) is) base
  note sl[unfolded subseq-of-length-Suc-upt]
  note nxt = next-subseqs-spec[OF nxt]
  show t ∈ ?l
  proof(cases n = 0)
    case True
    from sl[unfolded subseq-of-length-Suc-upt] t
   show ?thesis by (unfold nxt[unfolded inv-prevs] True set-prevs-def length-Suc-conv,
auto)
  next
    case [simp]: False
    from sl[unfolded subseq-of-length-Suc-upt iis,simplified]
    have i: i < hd is and is: subseq-of-length n [0..<IArray.length tail] is by auto
    then have ∗: (hd is, v) ∈ set-prevs base (IArray.list-of tail) n
      by (unfold set-prevs-def, auto intro!: exI[of - is] simp: v-def)
    with i have (i, f (tail !! i) v) ∈ {(j, f (tail !! j) v) | j. j < hd is} by auto
    with t[unfolded iis] have t ∈ ... by (auto simp: v-def)
    with ∗ show ?thesis by (unfold nxt[unfolded inv-prevs], auto)
  qed
next
  fix t
  assume l: t ∈ ?l
  from l[unfolded next-subseqs-spec(1)[OF nxt]]
  obtain j v i
  where t: t = (j, f (tail!!j) v)
    and j: j < i
```

```
    and iv: (i,v) ∈ set prevs by auto
  from iv[unfolded inv-prevs set-prevs-def, simplified]
  obtain is
  where v: v = foldr f (map ((!!) tail) is) base
    and is: subseq-of-length n [0..<IArray.length tail] is
    and i: if n = 0 then i = IArray.length tail else i = hd is by auto
  from is j i have jis: subseq-of-length (Suc n) [0..<IArray.length tail] (j#is)
    by (unfold subseq-of-length-Suc-upt, auto)
  then show t ∈ ?r by (auto intro!: exI[of - j#is] simp: set-prevs-def t v)
qed

lemma invariant-next-subseqs:
  assumes inv: invariant base elements n state
      and nxt: next-subseqs state = (out, state')
  shows invariant base elements (Suc n) state'
proof(cases elements = [])
  case True with inv nxt show ?thesis by(cases state, auto)
next
  case False with inv nxt show ?thesis
  proof (cases state)
    case state: (fields head tail prevs)
    note inv = inv[unfolded state]
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases state')
      case state': (fields head' tail' prevs')
      note nxt = nxt[unfolded state state']
      note [simp] = next-subseq-preserve[OF nxt]
      from False inv
      have set prevs = set-prevs base (IArray.list-of tail) n by auto
      from False next-subseq-prevs[OF nxt this] inv
      show ?thesis by(auto simp: state')
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma out-next-subseqs:
  assumes inv: invariant base elements n state
      and nxt: next-subseqs state = (out, state')
  shows set out = S base elements (Suc n)
proof (cases state)
  case state: (fields head tail prevs)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases elements = [])
    case True
    with inv nxt show ?thesis by (auto simp: state S-def)
  next
    case elements: False
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases state')
```

```
      case state': (fields head' tail' prevs')
      from elements inv[unfolded state,simplified]
      have head = hd elements
       and tail = IArray (tl elements)
       and prevs: set prevs = set-prevs base (tl elements) n by auto
       with elements have elements2: elements = head # IArray.list-of tail  by
auto
      let ?f = λas. (foldr f as base)
      have set out = ?f ‘ {ys. subseq-of-length (Suc n) elements ys}
      proof−
          from invariant-next-subseqs[OF inv nxt, unfolded state' invariant.simps
if-not-P[OF elements]]
        have tail': tail' = IArray (tl elements)
         and prevs': set prevs' = set-prevs base (tl elements) (Suc n) by auto
        note next-subseqs-spec(2)[OF nxt[unfolded state state'], unfolded this]
        note this[folded image-comp, unfolded snd-set-prevs]
        also note prevs
        also note snd-set-prevs
        also have f head ‘ ?f ‘ { as. subseq-of-length n (tl elements) as } =
          ?f ‘ Cons head ‘ { as. subseq-of-length n (tl elements) as } by (auto simp:
image-def)
        also note image-Un[symmetric]
        also have
          ((#) head ‘ {as. subseq-of-length n (tl elements) as} ∪
           {as. subseq-of-length (Suc n) (tl elements) as}) =
           {as. subseq-of-length (Suc n) elements as}
        by (unfold subseqs-of-length-Suc-Cons elements2, auto)
        finally show ?thesis.
      qed
      then show ?thesis by (auto simp: S-def)
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma create-subseqs:
  create-subseqs base elements n = (out, state) ⟹
   invariant base elements n state ∧ set out = S base elements n
proof(induct n arbitrary: out state)
 case 0 then show ?case by (cases elements, cases state, auto simp: S-def Let-def
set-prevs-def)
next
  case (Suc n) show ?case
  proof (cases create-subseqs base elements n)
    case 1: (fields out'' head tail prevs)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases next-subseqs (head, tail, prevs))
      case (fields out' head' tail' prevs')
      note 2 = this[unfolded next-subseq-preserve[OF this]]
      from Suc(2)[unfolded create-subseqs.simps 1 snd-conv 2]
```

have *3*: *out'* = *out state* = (*head*,*tail*,*prevs'*) **by** *auto*
from *Suc*(*1*)[*OF 1*]
have *inv*: *invariant base elements n* (*head*, *tail*, *prevs*) **by** *auto*
from *out-next-subseqs*[*OF inv 2*] *invariant-next-subseqs*[*OF inv 2*]
show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp*: *3*)
qed
qed
qed

sublocale *correct-subseqs-foldr-impl f impl invariant*
by (*unfold-locales*; *auto simp*: *impl-def invariant-next-subseqs out-next-subseqs create-subseqs*)

lemma *impl-correct*: *correct-subseqs-foldr-impl f impl invariant* **..**
end

lemmas [*code*] =
my-subseqs.next-subseqs.simps
my-subseqs.next-subseqs1.simps
my-subseqs.next-subseqs2.simps
my-subseqs.create-subseqs.simps
my-subseqs.impl-def

end

10.7 Reconstruction of Integer Factorization

We implemented Zassenhaus reconstruction-algorithm, i.e., given a factorization of f mod p^n, the aim is to reconstruct a factorization of f over the integers.

theory *Reconstruction*
imports
Berlekamp-Hensel
Polynomial-Factorization.Gauss-Lemma
Polynomial-Factorization.Dvd-Int-Poly
Polynomial-Factorization.Gcd-Rat-Poly
Degree-Bound
Factor-Bound
Sublist-Iteration
Poly-Mod
begin

hide-const *coeff monom*

Misc lemmas **lemma** *foldr-of-Cons*[*simp*]: *foldr Cons xs ys* = *xs* @ *ys* **by** (*induct xs*, *auto*)

lemma *foldr-map-prod*[*simp*]:

foldr (λ*x*. *map-prod* (*f x*) (*g x*)) *xs base* = (*foldr f xs* (*fst base*), *foldr g xs* (*snd base*))
by (*induct xs*, *auto*)

The main part **context** *poly-mod*
begin

definition *inv-Mp* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* **where**
inv-Mp = *map-poly inv-M*

definition *mul-const* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *int* **where**
mul-const p c = (*coeff p 0* ∗ *c*) *mod m*

fun *prod-list-m* :: *int poly list* ⇒ *int poly* **where**
prod-list-m (*f* # *fs*) = *Mp* (*f* ∗ *prod-list-m fs*)
| *prod-list-m* [] = *1*

context
fixes *sl-impl* :: (*int poly*, *int* × *int poly list*, *'state*)*subseqs-foldr-impl*
and *m2* :: *int*
begin
definition *inv-M2* :: *int* ⇒ *int* **where**
inv-M2 = (λ *x*. *if x* ≤ *m2 then x else x* − *m*)

definition *inv-Mp2* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* **where**
inv-Mp2 = *map-poly inv-M2*

partial-function (*tailrec*) *reconstruction* :: *'state* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int poly*
⇒ *int* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *int poly list* ⇒ *int poly list*
⇒ (*int* × (*int poly list*)) *list* ⇒ *int poly list* **where**
reconstruction state u luu lu d r vs res cands = (*case cands of Nil*
⇒ *let d'* = *Suc d*
in if d' + *d'* > *r then* (*u* # *res*) *else*
(*case next-subseqs-foldr sl-impl state of* (*cands*,*state'*) ⇒
reconstruction state' u luu lu d' r vs res cands)
| (*lv'*,*ws*) # *cands'* ⇒ *let*
lv = *inv-M2 lv'* — *lv* is last coefficient of *vb* below
in if lv dvd coeff luu 0 then let
vb = *inv-Mp2* (*Mp* (*smult lu* (*prod-list-m ws*)))
in if vb dvd luu then
let pp-vb = *primitive-part vb*;
u' = *u div pp-vb*;
r' = *r* − *length ws*;
res' = *pp-vb* # *res*
in if d + *d* > *r'*
then u' # *res'*
else let
lu' = *lead-coeff u'*;
vs' = *fold remove1 ws vs*;

```
        (cands'', state') = subseqs-foldr sl-impl (lu',[]) vs' d
      in reconstruction state' u' (smult lu' u') lu' d r' vs' res' cands''
    else reconstruction state u luu lu d r vs res cands'
    else reconstruction state u luu lu d r vs res cands')
  end
end


declare poly-mod.reconstruction.simps[code]
declare poly-mod.prod-list-m.simps[code]
declare poly-mod.mul-const-def[code]
declare poly-mod.inv-M2-def[code]
declare poly-mod.inv-Mp2-def[code-unfold]
declare poly-mod.inv-Mp-def[code-unfold]

definition zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic ::
  (int poly, int × int poly list, 'state) subseqs-foldr-impl
  ⇒ int poly list ⇒ int ⇒ nat ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly list where
  zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic sl-impl vs p n f = (let
    lf = lead-coeff f;
    pn = p^n;
    (-, state) = subseqs-foldr sl-impl (lf,[]) vs 0
   in
    poly-mod.reconstruction pn sl-impl (pn div 2) state f (smult lf f) lf 0 (length
vs) vs [] [])

lemma coeff-mult-0: coeff (f * g) 0 = coeff f 0 * coeff g 0
  by (metis poly-0-coeff-0 poly-mult)

lemma lead-coeff-factor: assumes u: u = v * (w :: 'a ::idom poly)
  shows smult (lead-coeff u) u = (smult (lead-coeff w) v) * (smult (lead-coeff v)
w)
  lead-coeff (smult (lead-coeff w) v) = lead-coeff u lead-coeff (smult (lead-coeff v)
w) = lead-coeff u
  unfolding u by (auto simp: lead-coeff-mult lead-coeff-smult)

lemma not-irreducible_d-lead-coeff-factors: assumes ¬ irreducible_d (u :: 'a :: idom
poly) degree u ≠ 0
  shows ∃ f g. smult (lead-coeff u) u = f * g ∧ lead-coeff f = lead-coeff u ∧
lead-coeff g = lead-coeff u
  ∧ degree f < degree u ∧ degree g < degree u
proof −
  from assms[unfolded irreducible_d-def, simplified]
  obtain v w where deg: degree v < degree u degree w < degree u and u: u = v
* w by auto
  define f where f = smult (lead-coeff w) v
  define g where g = smult (lead-coeff v) w
  note lf = lead-coeff-factor[OF u, folded f-def g-def]
  show ?thesis
```

proof (*intro exI conjI*, (*rule lf*)+)
show *degree f* < *degree u degree g* < *degree u* **unfolding** *f-def g-def* **using** *deg u* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *mset-subseqs-size*: *mset* ‘ {*ys*. *ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*) ∧ *length ys* = *n*} = {*ws*. *ws* ⊆# *mset xs* ∧ *size ws* = *n*}
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *n*)
case (*Cons x xs n*)
show *?case* (**is** *?l* = *?r*)
proof (*cases n*)
case *0*
thus *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp*: *Let-def*)
next
case (*Suc m*)
have *?r* = {*ws*. *ws* ⊆# *mset* (*x* # *xs*)} ∩ {*ps*. *size ps* = *n*} **by** *auto*
also have {*ws*. *ws* ⊆# *mset* (*x* # *xs*)} = {*ps*. *ps* ⊆# *mset xs*} ∪ ((λ *ps*. *ps* + {#*x*#}) ‘ {*ps*. *ps* ⊆# *mset xs*})
by (*simp add*: *multiset-subset-insert*)
also have ... ∩ {*ps*. *size ps* = *n*} = {*ps*. *ps* ⊆# *mset xs* ∧ *size ps* = *n*}
∪ ((λ *ps*. *ps* + {#*x*#}) ‘ {*ps*. *ps* ⊆# *mset xs* ∧ *size ps* = *m*}) **unfolding** *Suc* **by** *auto*
finally have *id*: *?r* =
{*ps*. *ps* ⊆# *mset xs* ∧ *size ps* = *n*} ∪ (λ*ps*. *ps* + {#*x*#}) ‘ {*ps*. *ps* ⊆# *mset xs* ∧ *size ps* = *m*} **.**
have *?l* = *mset* ‘ {*ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*). *length ys* = *Suc m*}
∪ *mset* ‘ {*ys* ∈ (#) *x* ‘ *set* (*subseqs xs*). *length ys* = *Suc m*}
unfolding *Suc* **by** (*auto simp*: *Let-def*)
also have *mset* ‘ {*ys* ∈ (#) *x* ‘ *set* (*subseqs xs*). *length ys* = *Suc m*}
= (λ*ps*. *ps* + {#*x*#}) ‘ *mset* ‘ {*ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*). *length ys* = *m*} **by** *force*
finally have *id′*: *?l* = *mset* ‘ {*ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*). *length ys* = *Suc m*} ∪
(λ*ps*. *ps* + {#*x*#}) ‘ *mset* ‘ {*ys* ∈ *set* (*subseqs xs*). *length ys* = *m*} **.**
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id id′ Cons*[*symmetric*] **unfolding** *Suc* **by** *simp*
qed
qed *auto*

context *poly-mod-2*
begin
lemma *prod-list-m*[*simp*]: *prod-list-m fs* = *Mp* (*prod-list fs*)
by (*induct fs*, *auto*)

lemma *inv-Mp-coeff*: *coeff* (*inv-Mp f*) *n* = *inv-M* (*coeff f n*)
unfolding *inv-Mp-def*
by (*rule coeff-map-poly*, *insert m1*, *auto simp*: *inv-M-def*)

lemma *Mp-inv-Mp-id*[*simp*]: *Mp* (*inv-Mp f*) = *Mp f*
unfolding *poly-eq-iff Mp-coeff inv-Mp-coeff* **by** *simp*

lemma *inv-Mp-rev*: **assumes** *bnd*: $\bigwedge n.\ 2 * abs\ (coeff\ f\ n) < m$
shows *inv-Mp* (*Mp f*) = *f*
proof (*rule poly-eqI*)
fix *n*
define *c* **where** *c* = *coeff f n*
from *bnd*[*of n*, *folded c-def*] **have** *bnd*: *2* ∗ *abs c* < *m* **by** *auto*
show *coeff* (*inv-Mp* (*Mp f*)) *n* = *coeff f n* **unfolding** *inv-Mp-coeff Mp-coeff c-def*[*symmetric*]
using *inv-M-rev*[*OF bnd*] **.**
qed

lemma *mul-const-commute-below*: *mul-const x* (*mul-const y z*) = *mul-const y* (*mul-const x z*)
unfolding *mul-const-def* **by** (*metis mod-mult-right-eq mult.left-commute*)

context
fixes *p n*
and *sl-impl* :: (*int poly*, *int* × *int poly list*, *'state*)*subseqs-foldr-impl*
and *sli* :: *int* × *int poly list* ⇒ *int poly list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *'state* ⇒ *bool*
assumes *prime*: *prime p*
and *m*: *m* = *p*^*n*
and *n*: *n* ≠ *0*
and *sl-impl*: *correct-subseqs-foldr-impl* (λ*x*. *map-prod* (*mul-const x*) (*Cons x*)) *sl-impl sli*
begin
private definition *test-dvd-exec lu u ws* = (¬ *inv-Mp* (*Mp* (*smult lu* (*prod-mset ws*))) *dvd smult lu u*)

private definition *test-dvd u ws* = (∀ *v l*. *v dvd u* ⟶ *0* < *degree v* ⟶ *degree v* < *degree u*
⟶ ¬ *v* =*m smult l* (*prod-mset ws*))

private definition *large-m u vs* = (∀ *v n*. *v dvd u* ⟶ *degree v* ≤ *degree-bound vs* ⟶ *2* ∗ *abs* (*coeff v n*) < *m*)

lemma *large-m-factor*: *large-m u vs* ⟹ *v dvd u* ⟹ *large-m v vs*
unfolding *large-m-def* **using** *dvd-trans* **by** *auto*

lemma *test-dvd-factor*: **assumes** *u*: *u* ≠ *0* **and** *test*: *test-dvd u ws* **and** *vu*: *v dvd u*
shows *test-dvd v ws*
proof −
from *vu* **obtain** *w* **where** *uv*: *u* = *v* ∗ *w* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
from *u* **have** *deg*: *degree u* = *degree v* + *degree w* **unfolding** *uv*
by (*subst degree-mult-eq*, *auto*)
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *test-dvd-def*
proof (*intro allI impI*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 f l*)

```
    from 1(1) have fu: f dvd u unfolding uv by auto
    from 1(3) have deg: degree f < degree u unfolding deg by auto
    from test[unfolded test-dvd-def, rule-format, OF fu 1(2) deg]
    show ?case .
  qed
qed

lemma coprime-exp-mod: coprime lu p ⟹ prime p ⟹ n ≠ 0 ⟹ lu mod p ^ n
≠ 0
  by (auto simp add: abs-of-pos prime-gt-0-int)

interpretation correct-subseqs-foldr-impl λx. map-prod (mul-const x) (Cons x)
sl-impl sli by fact

lemma reconstruction: assumes
    res: reconstruction sl-impl m2 state u (smult lu u) lu d r vs res cands = fs
  and f: f = u * prod-list res
  and meas: meas = (r − d, cands)
  and dr: d + d ≤ r
  and r: r = length vs
  and cands: set cands ⊆ S (lu,[]) vs d
  and d0: d = 0 ⟹ cands = []
  and lu: lu = lead-coeff u
  and factors: unique-factorization-m u (lu,mset vs)
  and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m p u
  and cop: coprime lu p
  and norm: ⋀ v. v ∈ set vs ⟹ Mp v = v
  and tests: ⋀ ws. ws ⊆# mset vs ⟹ ws ≠ {#} ⟹
    size ws < d ∨ size ws = d ∧ ws ∉ (mset o snd) ` set cands
    ⟹ test-dvd u ws
  and irr: ⋀ f. f ∈ set res ⟹ irreducible_d f
  and deg: degree u > 0
  and cands-ne: cands ≠ [] ⟹ d < r
  and large: ∀ v n. v dvd smult lu u ⟶ degree v ≤ degree-bound vs
    ⟶ 2 * abs (coeff v n) < m
  and f0: f ≠ 0
  and state: sli (lu,[]) vs d state
  and m2: m2 = m div 2
  shows f = prod-list fs ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. irreducible_d fi)
proof −
  from large have large: large-m (smult lu u) vs unfolding large-m-def by auto
  interpret p: poly-mod-prime p using prime by unfold-locales
  define R where R ≡ measures [
    λ (n :: nat,cds :: (int × int poly list) list). n,
    λ (n,cds). length cds]
  have wf: wf R unfolding R-def by simp
  have mset-snd-S: ⋀ vs lu d. (mset ∘ snd) ` S (lu,[]) vs d =
    { ws. ws ⊆# mset vs ∧ size ws = d}
    by (fold mset-subseqs-size image-comp, unfold S-def image-Collect, auto)
```

have *inv-M2*[*simp*]: *inv-M2 m2* = *inv-M* **unfolding** *inv-M2-def m2 inv-M-def*
by (*intro ext*, *auto*)
have *inv-Mp2*[*simp*]: *inv-Mp2 m2* = *inv-Mp* **unfolding** *inv-Mp2-def inv-Mp-def*
by *simp*
have *p-Mp*[*simp*]: $\bigwedge f$. *p.Mp* (*Mp f*) = *p.Mp f* **using** *m p.m1 n Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp*
by *blast*
{
fix *u lu vs*
assume *eq*: *Mp u* = *Mp* (*smult lu* (*prod-mset vs*)) **and** *cop*: *coprime lu p* **and**
size: *size vs* ≠ *0*
and *mi*: $\bigwedge$ *v*. *v* ∈# *vs* ⟹ *irreducible*$_d$-*m v* ∧ *monic v*
from *cop p.m1* **have** *lu0*: *lu* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *size* **have** *vs* ≠ {#} **by** *auto*
then obtain *v vs*′ **where** *vs-v*: *vs* = *vs*′ + {#*v*#} **by** (*cases vs*, *auto*)
have *mon*: *monic* (*prod-mset vs*)
by (*rule monic-prod-mset*, *insert mi*, *auto*)
hence *vs0*: *prod-mset vs* ≠ *0* **by** (*metis coeff-0 zero-neq-one*)
from *mon* **have** *l-vs*: *lead-coeff* (*prod-mset vs*) = *1* **.**
have *deg-ws*: *degree-m* (*smult lu* (*prod-mset vs*)) = *degree* (*smult lu* (*prod-mset*
vs))
by (*rule degree-m-eq*[*OF - m1*], *unfold lead-coeff-smult*,
insert cop n p.m1 l-vs, *auto simp*: *m*)
with *eq* **have** *degree-m u* = *degree* (*smult lu* (*prod-mset vs*)) **by** *auto*
also have ... = *degree* (*prod-mset vs*′ ∗ *v*) **unfolding** *degree-smult-eq vs-v*
using *lu0* **by** (*simp add*:*ac-simps*)
also have ... = *degree* (*prod-mset vs*′) + *degree v*
by (*rule degree-mult-eq*, *insert vs0*[*unfolded vs-v*], *auto*)
also have ... ≥ *degree v* **by** *simp*
finally have *deg-v*: *degree v* ≤ *degree-m u* **.**
from *mi*[*unfolded vs-v*, *of v*] **have** *irreducible*$_d$-*m v* **by** *auto*
hence *0* < *degree-m v* **unfolding** *irreducible*$_d$-*m-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... ≤ *degree v* **by** (*rule degree-m-le*)
also have ... ≤ *degree-m u* **by** (*rule deg-v*)
also have ... ≤ *degree u* **by** (*rule degree-m-le*)
finally have *degree u* > *0* **by** *auto*
} **note** *deg-non-zero* = *this*
{
fix *u* :: *int poly* **and** *vs* :: *int poly list* **and** *d* :: *nat*
assume *deg-u*: *degree u* > *0*
and *cop*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff u*) *p*
and *uf*: *unique-factorization-m u* (*lead-coeff u*, *mset vs*)
and *sf*: *p.square-free-m u*
and *norm*: $\bigwedge$ *v*. *v* ∈ *set vs* ⟹ *Mp v* = *v*
and *d*: *size* (*mset vs*) < *d* + *d*
and *tests*: $\bigwedge$ *ws*. *ws* ⊆# *mset vs* ⟹ *ws* ≠ {#} ⟹ *size ws* < *d* ⟹ *test-dvd*
u ws
from *deg-u* **have** *u0*: *u* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
have *irreducible*$_d$ *u*
proof (*rule irreducible*$_d$*I*[*OF deg-u*])

fix $q\ q' :: int\ poly$
assume *deg*: $degree\ q > 0\ degree\ q < degree\ u\ degree\ q' > 0\ degree\ q' < degree\ u$
and *uq*: $u = q * q'$
then have *qu*: $q\ dvd\ u$ **and** *q'u*: $q'\ dvd\ u$ **by** *auto*
from *u0* **have** *deg-u*: $degree\ u = degree\ q + degree\ q'$ **unfolding** *uq*
by (*subst degree-mult-eq*, *auto*)
from *coprime-lead-coeff-factor*[*OF prime cop*[*unfolded uq*]]
have *cop-q*: $coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ q)\ p\ coprime\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ q')\ p$ **by** *auto*
from *unique-factorization-m-factor*[*OF prime uf*[*unfolded uq*] - - *n m*, *folded uq*,
OF cop sf]
obtain *fs gs l* **where** *uf-q*: *unique-factorization-m q* (*lead-coeff q*, *fs*)
and *uf-q'*: *unique-factorization-m q'* (*lead-coeff q'*, *gs*)
and *Mf-eq*: $Mf\ (l, mset\ vs) = Mf\ (lead\text{-}coeff\ q * lead\text{-}coeff\ q', fs + gs)$
and *fs-id*: *image-mset Mp fs* = *fs*
and *gs-id*: *image-mset Mp gs* = *gs* **by** *auto*
from *Mf-eq fs-id gs-id* **have** *image-mset Mp* (*mset vs*) = *fs* + *gs*
unfolding *Mf-def* **by** *auto*
also have *image-mset Mp* (*mset vs*) = *mset vs* **using** *norm* **by** (*induct vs*, *auto*)
finally have *eq*: *mset vs* = *fs* + *gs* **by** *simp*
from *uf-q*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def factorization-m-def split*]
have *q-eq*: *q* =*m smult* (*lead-coeff q*) (*prod-mset fs*) **by** *auto*
have *degree-m q* = *degree q*
by (*rule degree-m-eq*[*OF - m1*], *insert cop-q*(*1*) *n p.m1*, *unfold m*,
auto simp:)
with *q-eq* **have** *degm-q*: *degree q* = *degree* (*Mp* (*smult* (*lead-coeff q*) (*prod-mset fs*))) **by** *auto*
with *deg* **have** *fs-nempty*: *fs* ≠ {#}
by (*cases fs*; *cases lead-coeff q* = *0*; *auto simp*: *Mp-def*)
from *uf-q'*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def factorization-m-def split*]
have *q'-eq*: *q'* =*m smult* (*lead-coeff q'*) (*prod-mset gs*) **by** *auto*
have *degree-m q'* = *degree q'*
by (*rule degree-m-eq*[*OF - m1*], *insert cop-q*(*2*) *n p.m1*, *unfold m*,
auto simp:)
with *q'-eq* **have** *degm-q'*: *degree q'* = *degree* (*Mp* (*smult* (*lead-coeff q'*) (*prod-mset gs*))) **by** *auto*
with *deg* **have** *gs-nempty*: *gs* ≠ {#}
by (*cases gs*; *cases lead-coeff q'* = *0*; *auto simp*: *Mp-def*)

from *eq* **have** *size*: *size fs* + *size gs* = *size* (*mset vs*) **by** *auto*
with *d* **have** *choice*: *size fs* < *d* ∨ *size gs* < *d* **by** *auto*
from *choice* **show** *False*
proof
assume *fs*: *size fs* < *d*
from *eq* **have** *sub*: *fs* ⊆# *mset vs* **using** *mset-subset-eq-add-left*[*of fs gs*] **by** *auto*
have *test-dvd u fs*

```
        by (rule tests[OF sub fs-nempty, unfolded Nil], insert fs, auto)
       from this[unfolded test-dvd-def] uq deg q-eq show False by auto
     next
       assume gs: size gs < d
       from eq have sub: gs ⊆# mset vs using mset-subset-eq-add-left[of fs gs] by
auto
       have test-dvd u gs
         by (rule tests[OF sub gs-nempty, unfolded Nil], insert gs, auto)
       from this[unfolded test-dvd-def] uq deg q'-eq show False unfolding uq by
auto
     qed
   qed
 } note irreducible_d-via-tests = this
 show ?thesis using assms(1−16) large state
 proof (induct meas arbitrary: u lu d r vs res cands state rule: wf-induct[OF wf])
   case (1 meas u lu d r vs res cands state)
   note IH = 1(1)[rule-format]
   note res = 1(2)[unfolded reconstruction.simps[where cands = cands]]
   note f = 1(3)
   note meas = 1(4)
   note dr = 1(5)
   note r = 1(6)
   note cands = 1(7)
   note d0 = 1(8)
   note lu = 1(9)
   note factors = 1(10)
   note sf = 1(11)
   note cop = 1(12)
   note norm = 1(13)
   note tests = 1(14)
   note irr = 1(15)
   note deg-u = 1(16)
   note cands-empty = 1(17)
   note large = 1(18)
   note state = 1(19)
   from unique-factorization-m-zero[OF factors]
   have Mlu0: M lu ≠ 0 by auto
   from Mlu0 have lu0: lu ≠ 0 by auto
   from this[unfolded lu] have u0: u ≠ 0 by auto
   from unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization[OF factors]
   have fact: factorization-m u (lu,mset vs) by auto
   from this[unfolded factorization-m-def split] norm
   have vs: u =m smult lu (prod-list vs) and
     vs-mi: ⋀ f. f∈#mset vs ⟹ irreducible_d-m f ∧ monic f by auto
   let ?luu = smult lu u
   show ?case
   proof (cases cands)
     case Nil
     note res = res[unfolded this]
```

```
      let ?d' = Suc d
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases r < ?d' + ?d')
        case True
        with res have fs: fs = u # res by (simp add: Let-def)
        from True[unfolded r] have size: size (mset vs) < ?d' + ?d' by auto
        have irreducible_d u
         by (rule irreducible_d-via-tests[OF deg-u cop[unfolded lu] factors(1)[unfolded
lu]
          sf norm size tests], auto simp: Nil)
        with fs f irr show ?thesis by simp
      next
        case False
        with dr have dr: ?d' + ?d' ≤ r and dr': ?d' < r by auto
      obtain state' cands' where sln: next-subseqs-foldr sl-impl state = (cands',state')
by force
       from next-subseqs-foldr[OF sln state] have state': sli (lu,[]) vs (Suc d) state'
          and cands': set cands' = S (lu,[]) vs (Suc d) by auto
        let ?new = subseqs-length mul-const lu ?d' vs
         have R: ((r − Suc d, cands'), meas) ∈ R unfolding meas R-def using
False by auto
        from res False sln
        have fact: reconstruction sl-impl m2 state' u ?luu lu ?d' r vs res cands' = fs
by auto
        show ?thesis
         proof (rule IH[OF R fact f refl dr r - - lu factors sf cop norm - irr deg-u
dr' large state'], goal-cases)
          case (4 ws)
          show ?case
          proof (cases size ws = Suc d)
            case False
            with 4 have size ws < Suc d by auto
            thus ?thesis by (intro tests[OF 4(1−2)], unfold Nil, auto)
          next
            case True
           from 4(3)[unfolded cands' mset-snd-S] True 4(1) show ?thesis by auto
          qed
        qed (auto simp: cands')
      qed
    next
      case (Cons c cds)
      with d0 have d0: d > 0 by auto
      obtain lv' ws where c: c = (lv',ws) by force
      let ?lv = inv-M lv'
      define vb where vb ≡ inv-Mp (Mp (smult lu (prod-list ws)))
      note res = res[unfolded Cons c list.simps split]
      from cands[unfolded Cons c S-def] have ws: ws ∈ set (subseqs vs) length ws
= d
        and lv'': lv' = foldr mul-const ws lu by auto
```

```
    from subseqs-sub-mset[OF ws(1)] have ws-vs: mset ws ⊆# mset vs set ws ⊆
set vs
      using set-mset-mono subseqs-length-simple-False by auto fastforce
    have mon-ws: monic (prod-mset (mset ws))
      by (rule monic-prod-mset, insert ws-vs vs-mi, auto)
    have l-ws: lead-coeff (prod-mset (mset ws)) = 1 using mon-ws .
    have lv': M lv' = M (coeff (smult lu (prod-list ws)) 0)
      unfolding lv'' coeff-smult
      by (induct ws arbitrary: lu, auto simp: mul-const-def M-def coeff-mult-0)
        (metis mod-mult-right-eq mult.left-commute)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ?lv dvd coeff ?luu 0 ∧ vb dvd ?luu)
      case False
      have ndvd: ¬ vb dvd ?luu
      proof
        assume dvd: vb dvd ?luu
        hence coeff vb 0 dvd coeff ?luu 0 by (metis coeff-mult-0 dvd-def)
        with dvd False have ?lv ≠ coeff vb 0 by auto
        also have lv' = M lv' using ws(2) d0 unfolding lv''
          by (cases ws, force, simp add: M-def mul-const-def)
         also have inv-M (M lv') = coeff vb 0 unfolding vb-def inv-Mp-coeff
Mp-coeff lv' by simp
        finally show False by simp
      qed
      from False res
      have res: reconstruction sl-impl m2 state u ?luu lu d r vs res cds = fs
        unfolding vb-def Let-def by auto
      have R: ((r − d, cds), meas) ∈ R unfolding meas Cons R-def by auto
      from cands have cands: set cds ⊆ S (lu,[]) vs d
        unfolding Cons by auto
      show ?thesis
     proof (rule IH[OF R res f refl dr r cands - lu factors sf cop norm - irr deg-u
- large state], goal-cases)
        case (3 ws')
        show ?case
        proof (cases ws' = mset ws)
          case False
          show ?thesis
            by (rule tests[OF 3(1−2)], insert 3(3) False, force simp: Cons c)
        next
          case True
          have test: test-dvd-exec lu u ws'
             unfolding True test-dvd-exec-def using ndvd unfolding vb-def by
simp
          show ?thesis unfolding test-dvd-def
          proof (intro allI impI notI, goal-cases)
            case (1 v l)
            note deg-v = 1(2−3)
           from 1(1) obtain w where u: u = v * w unfolding dvd-def by auto
```

```
            from u0 have deg: degree u = degree v + degree w unfolding u
              by (subst degree-mult-eq, auto)
            define v' where v' = smult (lead-coeff w) v
            define w' where w' = smult (lead-coeff v) w
            let ?ws = smult (lead-coeff w * l) (prod-mset ws')
            from arg-cong[OF 1(4), of λ f. Mp (smult (lead-coeff w) f)]
            have v'-ws': Mp v' = Mp ?ws unfolding v'-def
              by simp
            from lead-coeff-factor[OF u, folded v'-def w'-def]
            have prod: ?luu = v' * w' and lc: lead-coeff v' = lu and lead-coeff w'
= lu
              unfolding lu by auto
           with lu0 have lc0: lead-coeff v ≠ 0 lead-coeff w ≠ 0 unfolding v'-def
w'-def by auto
            from deg-v have deg-w: 0 < degree w degree w < degree u unfolding
deg by auto
            from deg-v deg-w lc0
             have deg: 0 < degree v' degree v' < degree u 0 < degree w' degree w'
< degree u
              unfolding v'-def w'-def by auto
            from prod have v-dvd: v' dvd ?luu by auto
            with test[unfolded test-dvd-exec-def]
            have neq: v' ≠ inv-Mp (Mp (smult lu (prod-mset ws'))) by auto
            have deg-m-v': degree-m v' = degree v'
              by (rule degree-m-eq[OF - m1], unfold lc m,
              insert cop prime n coprime-exp-mod, auto)
            with v'-ws' have degree v' = degree-m ?ws by simp
            also have ... ≤ degree-m (prod-mset ws') by (rule degree-m-smult-le)
            also have ... = degree-m (prod-list ws) unfolding True by simp
            also have ... ≤ degree (prod-list ws) by (rule degree-m-le)
            also have ... ≤ degree-bound vs
              using ws-vs(1) ws(2) dr[unfolded r] degree-bound by auto
            finally have degree v' ≤ degree-bound vs .
           from inv-Mp-rev[OF large[unfolded large-m-def, rule-format, OF v-dvd
this]]
            have inv: inv-Mp (Mp v') = v' by simp
            from arg-cong[OF v'-ws', of inv-Mp, unfolded inv]
            have v': v' = inv-Mp (Mp ?ws) by auto
            have deg-ws: degree-m ?ws = degree ?ws
            proof (rule degree-m-eq[OF - m1],
              unfold lead-coeff-smult True l-ws, rule)
              assume lead-coeff w * l * 1 mod m = 0
              hence 0: M (lead-coeff w * l) = 0 unfolding M-def by simp
               have Mp ?ws = Mp (smult (M (lead-coeff w * l)) (prod-mset ws'))
by simp
              also have ... = 0 unfolding 0 by simp
              finally have Mp ?ws = 0 by simp
              hence v' = 0 unfolding v' by (simp add: inv-Mp-def)
              with deg show False by auto
```

```
            qed
            from arg-cong[OF v', of λ f. lead-coeff (Mp f), simplified]
            have M lu = M (lead-coeff v') using lc by simp
            also have ... = lead-coeff (Mp v')
              by (rule degree-m-eq-lead-coeff[OF deg-m-v', symmetric])
            also have ... = lead-coeff (Mp ?ws)
              using arg-cong[OF v', of λ f. lead-coeff (Mp f)] by simp
            also have ... = M (lead-coeff ?ws)
              by (rule degree-m-eq-lead-coeff[OF deg-ws])
            also have ... = M (lead-coeff w * l) unfolding lead-coeff-smult True
l-ws by simp
            finally have id: M lu = M (lead-coeff w * l) .
            note v'
           also have Mp ?ws = Mp (smult (M (lead-coeff w * l)) (prod-mset ws'))
by simp
          also have ... = Mp (smult lu (prod-mset ws')) unfolding id[symmetric]
by simp
            finally show False using neq by simp
          qed
        qed
      qed (insert d0 Cons cands-empty, auto)
    next
      case True
      define pp-vb where pp-vb ≡ primitive-part vb
      define u' where u' ≡ u div pp-vb
      define lu' where lu' ≡ lead-coeff u'
      let ?luu' = smult lu' u'
      define vs' where vs' ≡ fold remove1 ws vs
     obtain state' cands' where slc: subseqs-foldr sl-impl (lu',[]) vs' d = (cands',
state') by force
      from subseqs-foldr[OF slc] have state': sli (lu',[]) vs' d state'
        and cands': set cands' = S (lu',[]) vs' d by auto
      let ?res' = pp-vb # res
      let ?r' = r - length ws
      note defs = vb-def pp-vb-def u'-def lu'-def vs'-def slc
      from fold-remove1-mset[OF subseqs-sub-mset[OF ws(1)]]
      have vs-split: mset vs = mset vs' + mset ws unfolding vs'-def by auto
       hence vs'-diff: mset vs' = mset vs - mset ws and ws-sub: mset ws ⊆#
mset vs by auto
      from arg-cong[OF vs-split, of size]
      have r': ?r' = length vs' unfolding defs r by simp
      from arg-cong[OF vs-split, of prod-mset]
      have prod-vs: prod-list vs = prod-list vs' * prod-list ws by simp
       from arg-cong[OF vs-split, of set-mset] have set-vs: set vs = set vs' ∪ set
ws by auto
      note inv = inverse-mod-coprime-exp[OF m prime n]
      note p-inv = p.inverse-mod-coprime[OF prime]
      from True res slc
       have res: (if ?r' < d + d then u' # ?res' else reconstruction sl-impl m2
```

state'
u' ?luu' lu' d ?r' vs' ?res' cands') = fs
unfolding *Let-def defs* **by** *auto*
from *True* **have** *dvd*: *vb dvd ?luu* **by** *simp*
from *dvd-smult-int*[*OF lu0 this*] **have** *ppu*: *pp-vb dvd u* **unfolding** *defs* **by** *simp*
hence *u*: *u* = *pp-vb* ∗ *u'* **unfolding** *u'-def*
by (*metis dvdE mult-eq-0-iff nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left*)
hence *uu'*: *u' dvd u* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
have *f*: *f* = *u'* ∗ *prod-list ?res'* **using** *f u* **by** *auto*
let *?fact* = *smult lu* (*prod-mset* (*mset ws*))
have *Mp-vb*: *Mp vb* = *Mp* (*smult lu* (*prod-list ws*)) **unfolding** *vb-def* **by** *simp*
have *pp-vb-vb*: *smult* (*content vb*) *pp-vb* = *vb* **unfolding** *pp-vb-def* **by** (*rule content-times-primitive-part*)
{
have *smult* (*content vb*) *u* = (*smult* (*content vb*) *pp-vb*) ∗ *u'* **unfolding** *u* **by** *simp*
also have *smult* (*content vb*) *pp-vb* = *vb* **by** *fact*
finally have *smult* (*content vb*) *u* = *vb* ∗ *u'* **by** *simp*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of Mp*]
have *Mp* (*Mp vb* ∗ *u'*) = *Mp* (*smult* (*content vb*) *u*) **by** *simp*
hence *Mp* (*smult* (*content vb*) *u*) = *Mp* (*?fact* ∗ *u'*) **unfolding** *Mp-vb* **by** *simp*
} note *prod* = *this*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of p.Mp*]
have *prod'*: *p.Mp* (*smult* (*content vb*) *u*) = *p.Mp* (*?fact* ∗ *u'*) **by** *simp*
from *dvd* **have** *lead-coeff vb dvd lead-coeff* (*smult lu u*)
by (*metis dvd-def lead-coeff-mult*)
hence *ldvd*: *lead-coeff vb dvd lu* ∗ *lu* **unfolding** *lead-coeff-smult lu* **by** *simp*
from *cop* **have** *cop-lu*: *coprime* (*lu* ∗ *lu*) *p*
by *simp*
from *coprime-divisors* [*OF ldvd dvd-refl*] *cop-lu*
have *cop-lvb*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff vb*) *p* **by** *simp*
then have *cop-vb*: *coprime* (*content vb*) *p*
by (*auto intro*: *coprime-divisors*[*OF content-dvd-coeff dvd-refl*])
from *u* **have** *u' dvd u* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
hence *lead-coeff u' dvd lu* **unfolding** *lu* **by** (*metis dvd-def lead-coeff-mult*)
from *coprime-divisors*[*OF this dvd-refl*] *cop*
have *coprime* (*lead-coeff u'*) *p* **by** *simp*
hence *coprime* (*lu* ∗ *lead-coeff u'*) *p* **and** *cop-lu'*: *coprime lu' p*
using *cop* **by** (*auto simp*: *lu'-def*)
hence *cop'*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff* (*?fact* ∗ *u'*)) *p*
unfolding *lead-coeff-mult lead-coeff-smult l-ws* **by** *simp*
have *p.square-free-m* (*smult* (*content vb*) *u*) **using** *cop-vb sf p-inv*
by (*auto intro*!: *p.square-free-m-smultI*)
from *p.square-free-m-cong*[*OF this prod'*]
have *sf'*: *p.square-free-m* (*?fact* ∗ *u'*) **by** *simp*
from *p.square-free-m-factor*[*OF this*]

have *sf-u'*: *p.square-free-m u'* **by** *simp*
have *unique-factorization-m* (*smult* (*content vb*) *u*) (*lu* ∗ *content vb*, *mset vs*)
using *cop-vb factors inv* **by** (*auto intro*: *unique-factorization-m-smult*)
from *unique-factorization-m-cong*[*OF this prod*]
have *uf*: *unique-factorization-m* (*?fact* ∗ *u'*) (*lu* ∗ *content vb*, *mset vs*) **.**
{
from *unique-factorization-m-factor*[*OF prime uf cop' sf' n m*]
obtain *fs gs* **where** *uf1*: *unique-factorization-m ?fact* (*lu*, *fs*)
and *uf2*: *unique-factorization-m u'* (*lu'*, *gs*)
and *eq*: *Mf* (*lu* ∗ *content vb*, *mset vs*) = *Mf* (*lu* ∗ *lead-coeff u'*, *fs* + *gs*)
unfolding *lead-coeff-smult l-ws lu'-def*
by *auto*
have *factorization-m ?fact* (*lu*, *mset ws*)
unfolding *factorization-m-def split* **using** *set-vs vs-mi norm* **by** *auto*
with *uf1*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def*] **have** *Mf* (*lu*,*mset ws*) = *Mf* (*lu*, *fs*)
by *blast*
hence *fs-ws*: *image-mset Mp fs* = *image-mset Mp* (*mset ws*) **unfolding** *Mf-def split* **by** *auto*
from *eq*[*unfolded Mf-def split*]
have *image-mset Mp* (*mset vs*) = *image-mset Mp fs* + *image-mset Mp gs* **by** *auto*
from *this*[*unfolded fs-ws vs-split*] **have** *gs*: *image-mset Mp gs* = *image-mset Mp* (*mset vs'*)
by (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
from *uf1* **have** *uf1*: *unique-factorization-m ?fact* (*lu*, *mset ws*)
unfolding *unique-factorization-m-def Mf-def split fs-ws* **by** *simp*
from *uf2* **have** *uf2*: *unique-factorization-m u'* (*lu'*, *mset vs'*)
unfolding *unique-factorization-m-def Mf-def split gs* **by** *simp*
note *uf1 uf2*
}
hence *factors*: *unique-factorization-m u'* (*lu'*, *mset vs'*)
unique-factorization-m ?fact (*lu*, *mset ws*) **by** *auto*
have *lu'*: *lu'* = *lead-coeff u'* **unfolding** *lu'-def* **by** *simp*
have *vb0*: *vb* ≠ *0* **using** *dvd lu0 u0* **by** *auto*
from *ws*(*2*) **have** *size-ws*: *size* (*mset ws*) = *d* **by** *auto*
with *d0* **have** *size-ws0*: *size* (*mset ws*) ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
then obtain *w ws'* **where** *ws-w*: *ws* = *w* # *ws'* **by** (*cases ws*, *auto*)
from *Mp-vb* **have** *Mp-vb'*: *Mp vb* = *Mp* (*smult lu* (*prod-mset* (*mset ws*))) **by** *auto*
have *deg-vb*: *degree vb* > *0*
by (*rule deg-non-zero*[*OF Mp-vb' cop size-ws0 vs-mi*], *insert vs-split*, *auto*)
also have *degree vb* = *degree pp-vb* **using** *arg-cong*[*OF pp-vb-vb*, *of degree*]
unfolding *degree-smult-eq* **using** *vb0* **by** *auto*
finally have *deg-pp*: *degree pp-vb* > *0* **by** *auto*
hence *pp-vb0*: *pp-vb* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *factors*(*1*)[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def factorization-m-def*]
have *eq-u'*: *Mp u'* = *Mp* (*smult lu'* (*prod-mset* (*mset vs'*))) **by** *auto*

from *r'*[*unfolded ws*(*2*)] *dr* **have** *length vs'* + *d* = *r* **by** *auto*
from *this cands-empty*[*unfolded Cons*] **have** *size* (*mset vs'*) ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *deg-non-zero*[*OF eq-u' cop-lu' this vs-mi*]
have *deg-u'*: *degree u'* > *0* **unfolding** *vs-split* **by** *auto*
have *irr-pp*: *irreducible*$_d$ *pp-vb*
proof (*rule irreducible*$_d$*I*[*OF deg-pp*])
fix *q r* :: *int poly*
assume *deg-q*: *degree q* > *0 degree q* < *degree pp-vb*
and *deg-r*: *degree r* > *0 degree r* < *degree pp-vb*
and *pp-qr*: *pp-vb* = *q* ∗ *r*
then have *qvb*: *q dvd pp-vb* **by** *auto*
from *dvd-trans*[*OF qvb ppu*] **have** *qu*: *q dvd u* **.**
have *degree pp-vb* = *degree q* + *degree r* **unfolding** *pp-qr*
by (*subst degree-mult-eq*, *insert pp-qr pp-vb0*, *auto*)
have *uf*: *unique-factorization-m* (*smult* (*content vb*) *pp-vb*) (*lu*, *mset ws*)
unfolding *pp-vb-vb*
by (*rule unique-factorization-m-cong*[*OF factors*(*2*)], *insert Mp-vb*, *auto*)
from *unique-factorization-m-smultD*[*OF uf inv*] *cop-vb*
have *uf*: *unique-factorization-m pp-vb* (*lu* ∗ *inverse-mod* (*content vb*) *m*, *mset ws*) **by** *auto*
from *ppu* **have** *lead-coeff pp-vb dvd lu* **unfolding** *lu* **by** (*metis dvd-def lead-coeff-mult*)
from *coprime-divisors*[*OF this dvd-refl*] *cop*
have *cop-pp*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff pp-vb*) *p* **by** *simp*
from *coprime-lead-coeff-factor*[*OF prime cop-pp*[*unfolded pp-qr*]]
have *cop-qr*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff q*) *p coprime* (*lead-coeff r*) *p* **by** *auto*
from *p.square-free-m-factor*[*OF sf*[*unfolded u*]]
have *sf-pp*: *p.square-free-m pp-vb* **by** *simp*
from *unique-factorization-m-factor*[*OF prime uf*[*unfolded pp-qr*] - - *n m*, *folded pp-qr*, *OF cop-pp sf-pp*]
obtain *fs gs l* **where** *uf-q*: *unique-factorization-m q* (*lead-coeff q*, *fs*)
and *uf-r*: *unique-factorization-m r* (*lead-coeff r*, *gs*)
and *Mf-eq*: *Mf* (*l*, *mset ws*) = *Mf* (*lead-coeff q* ∗ *lead-coeff r*, *fs* + *gs*)
and *fs-id*: *image-mset Mp fs* = *fs*
and *gs-id*: *image-mset Mp gs* = *gs* **by** *auto*
from *Mf-eq* **have** *image-mset Mp* (*mset ws*) = *image-mset Mp fs* + *image-mset Mp gs*
unfolding *Mf-def* **by** *auto*
also have *image-mset Mp* (*mset ws*) = *mset ws* **using** *norm ws-vs*(*2*) **by** (*induct ws*, *auto*)
finally have *eq*: *mset ws* = *image-mset Mp fs* + *image-mset Mp gs* **by** *simp*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of size*, *unfolded size-ws*] **have** *size*: *size fs* + *size gs* = *d* **by** *auto*
from *uf-q*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def factorization-m-def split*]
have *q-eq*: *q* =*m smult* (*lead-coeff q*) (*prod-mset fs*) **by** *auto*
have *degree-m q* = *degree q*
by (*rule degree-m-eq*[*OF - m1*], *insert cop-qr*(*1*) *n p.m1*, *unfold m*, *auto simp*:)

with *q-eq* **have** *degm-q*: *degree q = degree* (*Mp* (*smult* (*lead-coeff q*) (*prod-mset fs*))) **by** *auto*
with *deg-q* **have** *fs-nempty*: *fs* ≠ {#}
by (*cases fs*; *cases lead-coeff q = 0*; *auto simp*: *Mp-def*)
from *uf-r*[*unfolded unique-factorization-m-alt-def factorization-m-def split*]
have *r-eq*: *r* =*m smult* (*lead-coeff r*) (*prod-mset gs*) **by** *auto*
have *degree-m r = degree r*
by (*rule degree-m-eq*[*OF - m1*], *insert cop-qr*(*2*) *n p.m1*, *unfold m*, *auto simp*:)
with *r-eq* **have** *degm-r*: *degree r = degree* (*Mp* (*smult* (*lead-coeff r*) (*prod-mset gs*))) **by** *auto*
with *deg-r* **have** *gs-nempty*: *gs* ≠ {#}
by (*cases gs*; *cases lead-coeff r = 0*; *auto simp*: *Mp-def*)
from *gs-nempty* **have** *size gs* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
with *size* **have** *size-fs*: *size fs < d* **by** *linarith*
note ∗ = *tests*[*unfolded test-dvd-def*, *rule-format*, *OF - fs-nempty - qu*, *of lead-coeff q*]
from *ppu* **have** *degree pp-vb* ≤ *degree u*
using *dvd-imp-degree-le u0* **by** *blast*
with *deg-q q-eq size-fs*
have ¬ *fs* ⊆# *mset vs* **by** (*auto dest*!:∗)
thus *False* **unfolding** *vs-split eq fs-id gs-id* **using** *mset-subset-eq-add-left*[*of fs mset vs′ + gs*]
by (*auto simp*: *ac-simps*)
qed
{
fix *ws′*
assume ∗: *ws′* ⊆# *mset vs′ ws′* ≠ {#}
size ws′ < d ∨ *size ws′ = d* ∧ *ws′* ∉ (*mset* ∘ *snd*) ‘ *set cands′*
from ∗(*1*) **have** *ws′* ⊆# *mset vs* **unfolding** *vs-split*
by (*simp add*: *subset-mset.add-increasing2*)
from *tests*[*OF this* ∗(*2*)] ∗(*3*)[*unfolded cands′ mset-snd-S*] ∗(*1*)
have *test-dvd u ws′* **by** *auto*
from *test-dvd-factor*[*OF u0 this*[*unfolded lu*] *uu′*]
have *test-dvd u′ ws′* **.**
} **note** *tests′ = this*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases ?r′ < d + d*)
case *True*
with *res* **have** *res*: *fs = u′* # *?res′* **by** *auto*
from *True r′* **have** *size*: *size* (*mset vs′*) *< d + d* **by** *auto*
have *irreducible*$_d$ *u′*
by (*rule irreducible*$_d$*-via-tests*[*OF deg-u′ cop-lu′*[*unfolded lu′*] *factors*(*1*)[*unfolded lu′*]
sf-u′ norm size tests′], *insert set-vs*, *auto*)
with *f res irr irr-pp* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
have *res*: *reconstruction sl-impl m2 state′ u′ ?luu′ lu′ d ?r′ vs′ ?res′ cands′*

```
= fs
          using False res by auto
        from False have dr: d + d ≤ ?r' by auto
         from False dr r r' d0 ws Cons have le: ?r' − d < r − d by (cases ws,
auto)
        hence R: ((?r' − d, cands'), meas) ∈ R unfolding meas R-def by simp
        have dr': d < ?r' using le False ws(2) by linarith
        have luu': lu' dvd lu using ‹lead-coeff u' dvd lu› unfolding lu' .
        have large-m (smult lu' u') vs
          by (rule large-m-factor[OF large dvd-dvd-smult], insert uu' luu')
        moreover have degree-bound vs' ≤ degree-bound vs
          unfolding vs'-def degree-bound-def by (rule max-factor-degree-mono)
        ultimately have large': large-m (smult lu' u') vs' unfolding large-m-def
by auto
        show ?thesis
           by (rule IH[OF R res f refl dr r' - - lu' factors(1) sf-u' cop-lu' norm
tests' - deg-u'
          dr' large' state'], insert irr irr-pp d0 Cons set-vs, auto simp: cands')
       qed
     qed
   qed
  qed
qed
end
end


definition zassenhaus-reconstruction ::
  int poly list ⇒ int ⇒ nat ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly list where
  zassenhaus-reconstruction vs p n f = (let
    mul = poly-mod.mul-const (p^n);
    sl-impl = my-subseqs.impl (λx. map-prod (mul x) (Cons x))
    in zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic sl-impl vs p n f)

context
  fixes p n f hs
  assumes prime: prime p
  and cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p
  and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m p f
  and deg: degree f > 0
  and bh: berlekamp-hensel p n f = hs
  and bnd: 2 * |lead-coeff f| * factor-bound f (degree-bound hs) < p ^ n
begin

private lemma n: n ≠ 0
proof
  assume n: n = 0
  hence pn: p^n = 1 by auto
  let ?f = smult (lead-coeff f) f
```

let *?d* = *degree-bound hs*
have *f*: *f* ≠ *0* **using** *deg* **by** *auto*
hence *lead-coeff f* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
hence *lf*: *abs* (*lead-coeff f*) > *0* **by** *auto*
obtain *c d* **where** *c*: *factor-bound f* (*degree-bound hs*) = *c abs* (*lead-coeff f*) = *d* **by** *auto*
{
assume ∗: *1* ≤ *c 2* ∗ *d* ∗ *c* < *1 0* < *d*
hence *1* ≤ *d* **by** *auto*
from *mult-mono*[*OF this* ∗(*1*)] ∗ **have** *1* ≤ *d* ∗ *c* **by** *auto*
hence *2* ∗ *d* ∗ *c* ≥ *2* **by** *auto*
with ∗ **have** *False* **by** *auto*
} note *tedious* = *this*
have *1* ≤ *factor-bound f ?d*
using *factor-bound*[*OF f*, *of 1 ?d 0*] **by** *auto*
also have ... = *0* **using** *bnd* **unfolding** *pn*
using *factor-bound-ge-0*[*of f degree-bound hs*, *OF f*] *lf* **unfolding** *c*
by (*cases c* ≥ *1*; *insert tedious*, *auto*)
finally show *False* **by** *simp*
qed

interpretation *p*: *poly-mod-prime p* **using** *prime* **by** *unfold-locales*

lemma *zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic*:
assumes *sl-impl*: *correct-subseqs-foldr-impl* (λ*v*. *map-prod* (*poly-mod.mul-const* (*p*^*n*) *v*) (*Cons v*)) *sl-impl sli*
and *res*: *zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic sl-impl hs p n f* = *fs*
shows *f* = *prod-list fs* ∧ (∀ *fi* ∈ *set fs*. *irreducible*$_d$ *fi*)
proof −
let *?lc* = *lead-coeff f*
let *?ff* = *smult ?lc f*
let *?q* = *p*^*n*
have *p1*: *p* > *1* **using** *prime* **unfolding** *prime-int-iff* **by** *simp*
interpret *poly-mod-2 p*^*n* **using** *p1 n* **unfolding** *poly-mod-2-def* **by** *simp*
obtain *cands state* **where** *slc*: *subseqs-foldr sl-impl* (*lead-coeff f*, []) *hs 0* = (*cands*, *state*) **by** *force*
interpret *correct-subseqs-foldr-impl* λ*x*. *map-prod* (*mul-const x*) (*Cons x*) *sl-impl sli* **by** *fact*
from *subseqs-foldr*[*OF slc*] **have** *state*: *sli* (*lead-coeff f*, []) *hs 0 state* **by** *auto*
from *res*[*unfolded zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic-def bh split Let-def slc fst-conv*]
have *res*: *reconstruction sl-impl* (*?q div 2*) *state f ?ff ?lc 0* (*length hs*) *hs* [] [] = *fs* **by** *auto*
from *p.berlekamp-hensel-unique*[*OF cop sf bh n*]
have *ufact*: *unique-factorization-m f* (*?lc*, *mset hs*) **by** *simp*
note *bh* = *p.berlekamp-hensel*[*OF cop sf bh n*]
from *deg* **have** *f0*: *f* ≠ *0* **and** *lf0*: *?lc* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
hence *ff0*: *?ff* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
have *bnd*: ∀ *g k*. *g dvd ?ff* ⟶ *degree g* ≤ *degree-bound hs* ⟶ *2* ∗ |*coeff g k*| < *p* ^ *n*

```
  proof (intro allI impI, goal-cases)
    case (1 g k)
    from factor-bound-smult[OF f0 lf0 1, of k]
    have |coeff g k| ≤ |?lc| * factor-bound f (degree-bound hs) .
    hence 2 * |coeff g k| ≤ 2 * |?lc| * factor-bound f (degree-bound hs) by auto
    also have ... < p^n using bnd .
    finally show ?case .
  qed
  note bh' = bh[unfolded factorization-m-def split]
  have deg-f: degree-m f = degree f
    using cop unique-factorization-m-zero [OF ufact] n
    by (auto simp add: M-def intro: degree-m-eq [OF - m1])
  have mon-hs: monic (prod-list hs) using bh' by (auto intro: monic-prod-list)
  have Mlc: M ?lc ∈ {1 ..< p^n}
    by (rule prime-cop-exp-poly-mod[OF prime cop n])
  hence ?lc ≠ 0 by auto
  hence f0: f ≠ 0 by auto
  have degm: degree-m (smult ?lc (prod-list hs)) = degree (smult ?lc (prod-list hs))

    by (rule degree-m-eq[OF - m1], insert n bh mon-hs Mlc, auto simp: M-def)
  from reconstruction[OF prime refl n sl-impl res - refl - refl - refl refl ufact sf
      cop - - - deg - bnd f0] bh(2) state
  show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma zassenhaus-reconstruction-irreducible_d:
  assumes res: zassenhaus-reconstruction hs p n f = fs
  shows f = prod-list fs ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. irreducible_d fi)
  by (rule zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic[OF my-subseqs.impl-correct
      res[unfolded zassenhaus-reconstruction-def Let-def]])

corollary zassenhaus-reconstruction:
  assumes pr: primitive f
  assumes res: zassenhaus-reconstruction hs p n f = fs
  shows f = prod-list fs ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. irreducible fi)
  using zassenhaus-reconstruction-irreducible_d[OF res] pr
    irreducible-primitive-connect[OF primitive-prod-list]
    by auto
end

end

theory Code-Abort-Gcd
imports
  HOL−Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial
begin
```

Dummy code-setup for *Gcd* and *Lcm* in the presence of Container.

```
definition dummy-Gcd where dummy-Gcd x = Gcd x
```

definition *dummy-Lcm* **where** *dummy-Lcm x = Lcm x*
declare [[*code abort*: *dummy-Gcd*]]

lemma *dummy-Gcd-Lcm*: *Gcd x = dummy-Gcd x Lcm x = dummy-Lcm x*
unfolding *dummy-Gcd-def dummy-Lcm-def* **by** *auto*

lemmas *dummy-Gcd-Lcm-poly* [*code*] = *dummy-Gcd-Lcm*
[**where** *?'a* = *'a* :: {*factorial-ring-gcd*,*semiring-gcd-mult-normalize*} *poly*]
lemmas *dummy-Gcd-Lcm-int* [*code*] = *dummy-Gcd-Lcm* [**where** *?'a* = *int*]
lemmas *dummy-Gcd-Lcm-nat* [*code*] = *dummy-Gcd-Lcm* [**where** *?'a* = *nat*]

declare [[*code abort*: *Euclidean-Algorithm.Gcd Euclidean-Algorithm.Lcm*]]

end

11 The Polynomial Factorization Algorithm

11.1 Factoring Square-Free Integer Polynomials

We combine all previous results, i.e., Berlekamp's algorithm, Hensel-lifting, the reconstruction of Zassenhaus, Mignotte-bounds, etc., to eventually assemble the factorization algorithm for integer polynomials.

theory *Berlekamp-Zassenhaus*
imports
Berlekamp-Hensel
Polynomial-Factorization.Gauss-Lemma
Polynomial-Factorization.Dvd-Int-Poly
Reconstruction
Suitable-Prime
Degree-Bound
Code-Abort-Gcd
begin

context
begin
private partial-function (*tailrec*) *find-exponent-main* :: *int* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *nat* **where**
[*code*]: *find-exponent-main p pm m bnd* = (*if pm* > *bnd then m*
else find-exponent-main p (*pm* ∗ *p*) (*Suc m*) *bnd*)

definition *find-exponent* :: *int* ⇒ *int* ⇒ *nat* **where**
find-exponent p bnd = *find-exponent-main p p 1 bnd*

lemma *find-exponent*: **assumes** *p*: *p* > *1*
shows *p* ^ *find-exponent p bnd* > *bnd find-exponent p bnd* ≠ *0*
proof −
{
fix *m* **and** *n*

```
    assume n = nat (1 + bnd - p^m) and m ≥ 1
    hence bnd < p ^ find-exponent-main p (p^m) m bnd ∧ find-exponent-main p
(p^m) m bnd ≥ 1
    proof (induct n arbitrary: m rule: less-induct)
      case (less n m)
      note simp = find-exponent-main.simps[of p p^m]
      show ?case
      proof (cases bnd < p ^ m)
        case True
        thus ?thesis using less unfolding simp by simp
      next
        case False
        hence id: find-exponent-main p (p ^ m) m bnd = find-exponent-main p (p
^ Suc m) (Suc m) bnd
          unfolding simp by (simp add: ac-simps)
        show ?thesis unfolding id
          by (rule less(1)[OF - refl], unfold less(2), insert False p, auto)
      qed
    qed
  }
  from this[OF refl, of 1]
  show p ^ find-exponent p bnd > bnd find-exponent p bnd ≠ 0
    unfolding find-exponent-def by auto
qed

end

definition berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization :: int poly ⇒ int poly list where
  berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization f = (let
    — find suitable prime
    p = suitable-prime-bz f;
    — compute finite field factorization
    (-, fs) = finite-field-factorization-int p f;
    — determine maximal degree that we can build by multiplying at most half of
the factors
    max-deg = degree-bound fs;
    — determine a number large enough to represent all coefficients of every
    — factor of lc * f that has at most degree most max-deg
    bnd = 2 * |lead-coeff f| * factor-bound f max-deg;
    — determine k such that p^k > bnd
    k = find-exponent p bnd;
    — perform hensel lifting to lift factorization to mod p^k
    vs = hensel-lifting p k f fs
    — reconstruct integer factors
   in zassenhaus-reconstruction vs p k f)

theorem berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-irreducible_d:
  assumes res: berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization f = fs
  and sf: square-free f
```

and *deg*: *degree f > 0*
shows *f = prod-list fs ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. irreducible$_d$ fi)*
proof −
let *?lc = lead-coeff f*
define *p* **where** *p ≡ suitable-prime-bz f*
obtain *c gs* **where** *berl*: *finite-field-factorization-int p f = (c,gs)* **by** *force*
let *?degs = map degree gs*
note *res = res*[*unfolded berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-def Let-def*, *folded p-def*,
unfolded berl split, *folded*]
from *suitable-prime-bz*[*OF sf refl*]
have *prime*: *prime p* **and** *cop*: *coprime ?lc p* **and** *sf*: *poly-mod.square-free-m p f*
unfolding *p-def* **by** *auto*
from *prime* **interpret** *poly-mod-prime p* **by** *unfold-locales*
define *n* **where** *n = find-exponent p (2 ∗ abs ?lc ∗ factor-bound f (degree-bound gs))*
note *n = find-exponent*[*OF m1*, *of 2 ∗ abs ?lc ∗ factor-bound f (degree-bound gs)*,
folded n-def]
note *bh = berlekamp-and-hensel-separated*[*OF cop sf refl berl n*(*2*)]
have *db*: *degree-bound (berlekamp-hensel p n f) = degree-bound gs* **unfolding** *bh degree-bound-def max-factor-degree-def* **by** *simp*
note *res = res*[*folded n-def bh*(*1*)]
show *?thesis*
by (*rule zassenhaus-reconstruction-irreducible$_d$*[*OF prime cop sf deg refl - res*], *insert n db*, *auto*)
qed

corollary *berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-irreducible*:
assumes *res*: *berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization f = fs*
and *sf*: *square-free f*
and *pr*: *primitive f*
and *deg*: *degree f > 0*
shows *f = prod-list fs ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. irreducible fi)*
using *pr irreducible-primitive-connect*[*OF primitive-prod-list*]
berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-irreducible$_d$[*OF res sf deg*] **by** *auto*

end

11.2 A fast coprimality approximation

We adapt the integer polynomial gcd algorithm so that it first tests whether f and g are coprime modulo a few primes. If so, we are immediately done.

theory *Gcd-Finite-Field-Impl*
imports
Suitable-Prime
Code-Abort-Gcd
HOL−Library.Code-Target-Int
begin

definition *coprime-approx-main* :: *int* ⇒ *'i arith-ops-record* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* ⇒ *bool* **where**
coprime-approx-main p ff-ops f g = (*gcd-poly-i ff-ops* (*of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*poly-mod.Mp p f*))
(*of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*poly-mod.Mp p g*)) = *one-poly-i ff-ops*)

lemma (**in** *prime-field-gen*) *coprime-approx-main*:
shows *coprime-approx-main p ff-ops f g* ⟹ *coprime-m f g*
proof −
define *F* **where** *F*: (*F* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) = *of-int-poly* (*Mp f*)
define *G* **where** *G*: (*G* :: *'a mod-ring poly*) = *of-int-poly* (*Mp g*) **let** *?f'* = *of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*Mp f*)
let *?g'* = *of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*Mp g*)
define *f''* **where** *f''* ≡ *of-int-poly* (*Mp f*) :: *'a mod-ring poly*
define *g''* **where** *g''* ≡ *of-int-poly* (*Mp g*) :: *'a mod-ring poly*
have *rel-f*[*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel ?f' f''*
by (*rule poly-rel-of-int-poly*[*OF refl*], *simp add*: *f''-def*)
have *rel-f*[*transfer-rule*]: *poly-rel ?g' g''*
by (*rule poly-rel-of-int-poly*[*OF refl*], *simp add*: *g''-def*)
have *id*: (*gcd-poly-i ff-ops* (*of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*Mp f*)) (*of-int-poly-i ff-ops* (*Mp g*)) = *one-poly-i ff-ops*)
= *coprime f'' g''* (**is** *?P* ⟷ *?Q*)
proof −
have *?P* ⟷ *gcd f'' g'' = 1*
unfolding *separable-i-def* **by** *transfer-prover*
also have ... ⟷ *?Q*
by (*simp add*: *coprime-iff-gcd-eq-1*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed
have *fF*: *MP-Rel* (*Mp f*) *F* **unfolding** *F MP-Rel-def*
by (*simp add*: *Mp-f-representative*)
have *gG*: *MP-Rel* (*Mp g*) *G* **unfolding** *G MP-Rel-def*
by (*simp add*: *Mp-f-representative*)
have *coprime f'' g''* = *coprime F G* **unfolding** *f''-def F g''-def G* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *coprime-m* (*Mp f*) (*Mp g*)
using *coprime-MP-Rel*[*unfolded rel-fun-def*, *rule-format*, *OF fF gG*] **by** *simp*
also have ... = *coprime-m f g* **unfolding** *coprime-m-def dvdm-def* **by** *simp*
finally have *id2*: *coprime f'' g''* = *coprime-m f g* **.**
show *coprime-approx-main p ff-ops f g* ⟹ *coprime-m f g* **unfolding** *coprime-approx-main-def id id2* **by** *auto*
qed

context *poly-mod-prime* **begin**

lemmas *coprime-approx-main-uint32* = *prime-field-gen.coprime-approx-main*[*OF*

prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops32, *unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def poly-mod-type-simps*, *internalize-sort 'a* :: *prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded*

remove-duplicate-premise, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

lemmas *coprime-approx-main-uint64* = *prime-field-gen.coprime-approx-main*[*OF*

prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops64, *unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def*
poly-mod-type-simps, *internalize-sort* 'a :: *prime-card*, *OF type-to-set*, *unfolded*
remove-duplicate-premise, *cancel-type-definition*, *OF non-empty*]

end

lemma *coprime-mod-imp-coprime*: **assumes**
p: *prime p* **and**
cop-m: *poly-mod.coprime-m p f g* **and**
cop: *coprime* (*lead-coeff f*) *p* ∨ *coprime* (*lead-coeff g*) *p* **and**
cnt: *content f* = *1* ∨ *content g* = *1*
shows *coprime f g*
proof −
interpret *poly-mod-prime p* **by** (*standard*, *rule p*)
from *cop-m*[*unfolded coprime-m-def*] **have** *cop-m*: ⋀ *h*. *h dvdm f* ⟹ *h dvdm g*
⟹ *h dvdm 1* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule coprimeI*)
fix *h*
assume *dvd*: *h dvd f h dvd g*
hence *h dvdm f h dvdm g* **unfolding** *dvdm-def dvd-def* **by** *auto*
from *cop-m*[*OF this*] **obtain** *k* **where** *unit*: *Mp* (*h* ∗ *Mp k*) = *1* **unfolding**
dvdm-def **by** *auto*
from *content-dvd-contentI*[*OF dvd*(*1*)] *content-dvd-contentI*[*OF dvd*(*2*)] *cnt*
have *cnt*: *content h* = *1* **by** *auto*
let *?k* = *Mp k*
from *unit* **have** *h0*: *h* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *unit* **have** *k0*: *?k* ≠ *0* **by** *fastforce*
from *p* **have** *p0*: *p* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *dvd* **have** *lead-coeff h dvd lead-coeff f lead-coeff h dvd lead-coeff g*
by (*metis dvd-def lead-coeff-mult*)+
with *cop* **have** *coph*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff h*) *p*
by (*meson dvd-trans not-coprime-iff-common-factor*)
let *?k* = *Mp k*
from *arg-cong*[*OF unit*, *of degree*] **have** *degm0*: *degree-m* (*h* ∗ *?k*) = *0* **by** *simp*
have *lead-coeff ?k* ∈ {*0* ..< *p*} **unfolding** *Mp-coeff M-def* **using** *m1* **by** *simp*
with *k0* **have** *lk*: *lead-coeff ?k* ≥ *1 lead-coeff ?k* < *p*
by (*auto simp add*: *int-one-le-iff-zero-less order.not-eq-order-implies-strict*)
have *id*: *lead-coeff* (*h* ∗ *?k*) = *lead-coeff h* ∗ *lead-coeff ?k* **unfolding** *lead-coeff-mult*
..
from *coph prime lk* **have** *coprime* (*lead-coeff h* ∗ *lead-coeff ?k*) *p*
by (*simp add*: *ac-simps prime-imp-coprime zdvd-not-zless*)
with *id* **have** *cop-prod*: *coprime* (*lead-coeff* (*h* ∗ *?k*)) *p* **by** *simp*
from *h0 k0* **have** *lc0*: *lead-coeff* (*h* ∗ *?k*) ≠ *0*
unfolding *lead-coeff-mult* **by** *auto*

```
    from p have lcp: lead-coeff (h * ?k) mod p ≠ 0
      using M-1 M-def cop-prod by auto
    have deg-eq: degree-m (h * ?k) = degree (h * Mp k)
      by (rule degree-m-eq[OF - m1], insert lcp)
    from this[unfolded degm0] have degree (h * Mp k) = 0 by simp
    with degree-mult-eq[OF h0 k0] have deg0: degree h = 0 by auto
    from degree0-coeffs[OF this] obtain h0 where h: h = [:h0:] by auto
    have content h = abs h0 unfolding content-def h by (cases h0 = 0, auto)
    hence abs h0 = 1 using cnt by auto
    hence h0 ∈ {−1,1} by auto
    hence h = 1 ∨ h = −1 unfolding h by (auto)
    thus is-unit h by auto
  qed
qed
```

We did not try to optimize the set of chosen primes. They have just been picked randomly from a list of primes.

```
definition gcd-primes32 :: int list where
  gcd-primes32 = [383, 1409, 19213, 22003, 41999]

lemma gcd-primes32: p ∈ set gcd-primes32 ⟹ prime p ∧ p ≤ 65535
proof −
  have list-all (λ p. prime p ∧ p ≤ 65535) gcd-primes32 by eval
  thus p ∈ set gcd-primes32 ⟹ prime p ∧ p ≤ 65535 by (auto simp: list-all-iff)
qed

definition gcd-primes64 :: int list where
  gcd-primes64 = [383, 21984191, 50329901, 80329901, 219849193]

lemma gcd-primes64: p ∈ set gcd-primes64 ⟹ prime p ∧ p ≤ 4294967295
proof −
  have list-all (λ p. prime p ∧ p ≤ 4294967295) gcd-primes64 by eval
   thus p ∈ set gcd-primes64 ⟹ prime p ∧ p ≤ 4294967295 by (auto simp:
list-all-iff)
qed

definition coprime-heuristic :: int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ bool where
  coprime-heuristic f g = (let lcf = lead-coeff f; lcg = lead-coeff g in
   find (λ p. (coprime lcf p ∨ coprime lcg p) ∧ coprime-approx-main p (finite-field-ops64
(uint64-of-int p)) f g)
    gcd-primes64 ≠ None)

lemma coprime-heuristic: assumes coprime-heuristic f g
  and content f = 1 ∨ content g = 1
  shows coprime f g
proof (cases find (λp. (coprime (lead-coeff f) p ∨ coprime (lead-coeff g) p) ∧
          coprime-approx-main p (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p)) f g)
   gcd-primes64)
  case (Some p)
```

```
  from find-Some-D[OF Some] gcd-primes64 have p: prime p and small: p ≤
4294967295
    and cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p ∨ coprime (lead-coeff g) p
    and copp: coprime-approx-main p (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p)) f g by
auto
  interpret poly-mod-prime p using p by unfold-locales
  from coprime-approx-main-uint64[OF small copp] have poly-mod.coprime-m p f
g by auto
  from coprime-mod-imp-coprime[OF p this cop assms(2)] show coprime f g .
qed (insert assms(1)[unfolded coprime-heuristic-def], auto simp: Let-def)

definition gcd-int-poly :: int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly where
  gcd-int-poly f g =
    (if f = 0 then normalize g
     else if g = 0 then normalize f
          else let
            cf = Polynomial.content f;
            cg = Polynomial.content g;
            ct = gcd cf cg;
            ff = map-poly (λ x. x div cf) f;
            gg = map-poly (λ x. x div cg) g
           in if coprime-heuristic ff gg then [:ct:] else smult ct (gcd-poly-code-aux ff
gg))

lemma gcd-int-poly-code[code-unfold]: gcd = gcd-int-poly
proof (intro ext)
  fix f g :: int poly
  let ?ff = primitive-part f
  let ?gg = primitive-part g
  note d = gcd-int-poly-def gcd-poly-code gcd-poly-code-def
  show gcd f g = gcd-int-poly f g
  proof (cases f = 0 ∨ g = 0 ∨ ¬ coprime-heuristic ?ff ?gg)
    case True
    thus ?thesis unfolding d by (auto simp: Let-def primitive-part-def)
  next
    case False
    hence cop: coprime-heuristic ?ff ?gg by simp
    from False have f ≠ 0 by auto
    from content-primitive-part[OF this] coprime-heuristic[OF cop]
    have id: gcd ?ff ?gg = 1 by auto
    show ?thesis unfolding gcd-poly-decompose[of f g] unfolding gcd-int-poly-def
Let-def id
      using False by (auto simp: primitive-part-def)
  qed
qed

end

theory Square-Free-Factorization-Int
```

imports
Square-Free-Int-To-Square-Free-GFp
Suitable-Prime
Code-Abort-Gcd
Gcd-Finite-Field-Impl
begin

definition *yun-wrel* :: *int poly* ⇒ *rat* ⇒ *rat poly* ⇒ *bool* **where**
yun-wrel F c f = (*map-poly rat-of-int F* = *smult c f*)

definition *yun-rel* :: *int poly* ⇒ *rat* ⇒ *rat poly* ⇒ *bool* **where**
yun-rel F c f = (*yun-wrel F c f*
∧ *content F* = *1* ∧ *lead-coeff F* > *0* ∧ *monic f*)

definition *yun-erel* :: *int poly* ⇒ *rat poly* ⇒ *bool* **where**
yun-erel F f = (∃ *c*. *yun-rel F c f*)

lemma *yun-wrelD*: **assumes** *yun-wrel F c f*
shows *map-poly rat-of-int F* = *smult c f*
using *assms* **unfolding** *yun-wrel-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *yun-relD*: **assumes** *yun-rel F c f*
shows *yun-wrel F c f map-poly rat-of-int F* = *smult c f*
degree F = *degree f F* ≠ *0 lead-coeff F* > *0 monic f*
f = *1* ⟷ *F* = *1 content F* = *1*
proof −
note ∗ = *assms*[*unfolded yun-rel-def yun-wrel-def*, *simplified*]
then have *degree* (*map-poly rat-of-int F*) = *degree f* **by** *auto*
then show *deg*: *degree F* = *degree f* **by** *simp*
show *F* ≠ *0 lead-coeff F* > *0 monic f content F* = *1*
map-poly rat-of-int F = *smult c f*
yun-wrel F c f **using** ∗ **by** (*auto simp*: *yun-wrel-def*)
{
assume *f* = *1*
with *deg* **have** *degree F* = *0* **by** *auto*
from *degree0-coeffs*[*OF this*] **obtain** *c* **where** *F*: *F* = [:*c*:] **and** *c*: *c* = *lead-coeff F* **by** *auto*
from *c* ∗ **have** *c0*: *c* > *0* **by** *auto*
hence *cF*: *content F* = *c* **unfolding** *F content-def* **by** *auto*
with ∗ **have** *c* = *1* **by** *auto*
with *F* **have** *F* = *1* **by** *simp*
}
moreover
{
assume *F* = *1*
with *deg* **have** *degree f* = *0* **by** *auto*
with ‹*monic f*› **have** *f* = *1*
using *monic-degree-0* **by** *blast*
}

ultimately show $(f = 1) \longleftrightarrow (F = 1)$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *yun-erel-1-eq*: **assumes** *yun-erel F f*
shows $(F = 1) \longleftrightarrow (f = 1)$
proof −
from *assms*[*unfolded yun-erel-def*] **obtain** *c* **where** *yun-rel F c f* **by** *auto*
from *yun-relD*[*OF this*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *yun-rel-1*[*simp*]: *yun-rel 1 1 1*
by (*auto simp*: *yun-rel-def yun-wrel-def content-def*)

lemma *yun-erel-1*[*simp*]: *yun-erel 1 1* **unfolding** *yun-erel-def* **using** *yun-rel-1* **by** *blast*

lemma *yun-rel-mult*: *yun-rel F c f* $\Longrightarrow$ *yun-rel G d g* $\Longrightarrow$ *yun-rel* $(F * G)$ $(c * d)$ $(f * g)$
unfolding *yun-rel-def yun-wrel-def content-mult lead-coeff-mult*
by (*auto simp*: *monic-mult hom-distribs*)

lemma *yun-erel-mult*: *yun-erel F f* $\Longrightarrow$ *yun-erel G g* $\Longrightarrow$ *yun-erel* $(F * G)$ $(f * g)$

unfolding *yun-erel-def* **using** *yun-rel-mult*[*of F - f G - g*] **by** *blast*

lemma *yun-rel-pow*: **assumes** *yun-rel F c f*
shows *yun-rel* $(F\hat{}n)$ $(c\hat{}n)$ $(f\hat{}n)$
by (*induct n*, *insert assms yun-rel-mult*, *auto*)

lemma *yun-erel-pow*: *yun-erel F f* $\Longrightarrow$ *yun-erel* $(F\hat{}n)$ $(f\hat{}n)$
using *yun-rel-pow* **unfolding** *yun-erel-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *yun-wrel-pderiv*: **assumes** *yun-wrel F c f*
shows *yun-wrel* $(pderiv\ F)$ *c* $(pderiv\ f)$
by (*unfold yun-wrel-def*, *simp add*: *yun-wrelD*[*OF assms*] *pderiv-smult hom-distribs*)

lemma *yun-wrel-minus*: **assumes** *yun-wrel F c f yun-wrel G c g*
shows *yun-wrel* $(F - G)$ *c* $(f - g)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *yun-wrel-def* **by** (*auto simp*: *smult-diff-right hom-distribs*)

lemma *yun-wrel-div*: **assumes** *f*: *yun-wrel F c f* **and** *g*: *yun-wrel G d g*
and *dvd*: *G dvd F g dvd f*
and *G0*: $G \neq 0$
shows *yun-wrel* $(F\ div\ G)$ $(c\ /\ d)$ $(f\ div\ g)$
proof −
let *?r* = *rat-of-int*
let *?rp* = *map-poly ?r*
from *dvd* **obtain** *H h* **where** *fgh*: $F = G * H\ f = g * h$ **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*

from *G0 yun-wrelD*[*OF g*] **have** *g0*: $g \neq 0$ **and** *d0*: $d \neq 0$ **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF fgh*(*1*), *of* λ *x*. *x div G*] **have** *H*: $H = F$ *div* G **using** *G0* **by** *simp*
from *arg-cong*[*OF fgh*(*1*), *of ?rp*] **have** *?rp F* = *?rp G* ∗ *?rp H* **by** (*auto simp*: *hom-distribs*)
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of* λ *x*. *x div ?rp G*] *G0* **have** *id*: *?rp H* = *?rp F div ?rp G* **by** *auto*
have *?rp* (*F div G*) = *?rp F div ?rp G* **unfolding** *H*[*symmetric*] *id* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *smult c f div smult d g* **using** *f g* **unfolding** *yun-wrel-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *smult* (*c* / *d*) (*f div g*) **unfolding** *div-smult-right div-smult-left*
by (*simp add*: *field-simps*)
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *yun-wrel-def* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *yun-rel-div*: **assumes** *f*: *yun-rel F c f* **and** *g*: *yun-rel G d g*
and *dvd*: *G dvd F g dvd f*
shows *yun-rel* (*F div G*) (*c* / *d*) (*f div g*)
proof −
note *ff* = *yun-relD*[*OF f*]
note *gg* = *yun-relD*[*OF g*]
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *yun-rel-def*
proof (*intro conjI*)
from *yun-wrel-div*[*OF ff*(*1*) *gg*(*1*) *dvd gg*(*4*)]
show *yun-wrel* (*F div G*) (*c* / *d*) (*f div g*) **by** *auto*
from *dvd* **have** *fg*: *f* = *g* ∗ (*f div g*) **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF fg*, *of monic*] *ff*(*6*) *gg*(*6*)
show *monic* (*f div g*) **using** *monic-factor* **by** *blast*
from *dvd* **have** *FG*: *F* = *G* ∗ (*F div G*) **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF FG*, *of content*, *unfolded content-mult*] *ff*(*8*) *gg*(*8*)
show *content* (*F div G*) = *1* **by** *simp*
from *arg-cong*[*OF FG*, *of lead-coeff*, *unfolded lead-coeff-mult*] *ff*(*5*) *gg*(*5*)
show *lead-coeff* (*F div G*) > *0* **by** (*simp add*: *zero-less-mult-iff*)
qed
qed

lemma *yun-wrel-gcd*: **assumes** *yun-wrel F c′ f yun-wrel G c g* **and** *c*: $c' \neq 0$ $c \neq 0$
and *d*: *d* = *rat-of-int* (*lead-coeff* (*gcd F G*)) $d \neq 0$
shows *yun-wrel* (*gcd F G*) *d* (*gcd f g*)
proof −
let *?r* = *rat-of-int*
let *?rp* = *map-poly ?r*
have *smult d* (*gcd f g*) = *smult d* (*gcd* (*smult c′ f*) (*smult c g*))
by (*simp add*: *c gcd-smult-left gcd-smult-right*)
also have ... = *smult d* (*gcd* (*?rp F*) (*?rp G*)) **using** *assms*(*1*−*2*)[*unfolded yun-wrel-def*] **by** *simp*

```
  also have ... = smult (d * inverse d) (?rp (gcd F G))
    unfolding gcd-rat-to-gcd-int d by simp
  also have d * inverse d = 1 using d by auto
  finally show ?thesis  unfolding yun-wrel-def by simp
qed

lemma yun-rel-gcd: assumes f: yun-rel F c f and g: yun-wrel G c' g  and c': c'
≠ 0
  and d: d = rat-of-int (lead-coeff (gcd F G))
shows yun-rel (gcd F G) d (gcd f g)
  unfolding yun-rel-def
proof (intro conjI)
  note ff = yun-relD[OF f]
  from ff have c0: c ≠ 0 by auto
  from ff d have d0: d ≠ 0 by auto
  from yun-wrel-gcd[OF ff(1) g c0 c' d d0]
  show yun-wrel (gcd F G) d (gcd f g) by auto
  from ff have gcd f g ≠ 0 by auto
  thus monic (gcd f g) by (simp add: poly-gcd-monic)
  obtain H where H: gcd F G = H by auto
  obtain lc where lc: coeff H (degree H) = lc by auto
  from ff have gcd F G ≠ 0 by auto
  hence H ≠ 0 lc ≠ 0 unfolding H[symmetric] lc[symmetric] by auto
  thus 0 < lead-coeff (gcd F G) unfolding
    arg-cong[OF normalize-gcd[of F G], of lead-coeff, symmetric]
    unfolding normalize-poly-eq-map-poly H
    by (auto, subst Polynomial.coeff-map-poly, auto,
    subst Polynomial.degree-map-poly, auto simp: sgn-if)
  have H dvd F unfolding H[symmetric] by auto
  then obtain K where F: F = H * K unfolding dvd-def by auto
  from arg-cong[OF this, of content, unfolded content-mult ff(8)]
    content-ge-0-int[of H] have content H = 1
    by (auto simp add: zmult-eq-1-iff)
  thus content (gcd F G) = 1 unfolding H .
qed



lemma yun-factorization-main-int: assumes f: f = p div gcd p (pderiv p)
    and g = pderiv p div gcd p (pderiv p) monic p
    and yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd f g i hs = res
    and yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd F G i Hs = Res
    and yun-rel F c f yun-wrel G c g list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) Hs hs
  shows list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) Res res
proof −
  let ?P = λ f g. ∀ i hs res F G Hs Res c.
    yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd f g i hs = res
    ⟶ yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd F G i Hs = Res
    ⟶ yun-rel F c f ⟶ yun-wrel G c g ⟶ list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) Hs hs
```

```
    ⟶ list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) Res res
  note simps = yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main.simps
  note rel = yun-relD
  let ?rel = λ F f. map-poly rat-of-int F = smult (rat-of-int (lead-coeff F)) f
  show ?thesis
  proof (induct rule: yun-factorization-induct[of ?P, rule-format, OF - - assms])
    case (1 f g i hs res F G Hs Res c)
    from rel[OF 1(4)] 1(1) have f = 1 F = 1 by auto
    from 1(2−3)[unfolded simps[of - 1] this] have res = hs Res = Hs by auto
    with 1(6) show ?case by simp
  next
    case (2 f g i hs res F G Hs Res c)
    define d where d = g − pderiv f
    define a where a = gcd f d
    define D where D = G − pderiv F
    define A where A = gcd F D
    note f = 2(5)
    note g = 2(6)
    note hs = 2(7)
    note f1 = 2(1)
    from f1 rel[OF f] have ∗: (f = 1) = False (F = 1) = False and c: c ≠ 0 by
auto
    note res = 2(3)[unfolded simps[of - f] ∗ if-False Let-def, folded d-def a-def]
    note Res = 2(4)[unfolded simps[of - F] ∗ if-False Let-def, folded D-def A-def]
    note IH = 2(2)[folded d-def a-def, OF res Res]
    obtain c′ where c′: c′ = rat-of-int (lead-coeff (gcd F D)) by auto
    show ?case
    proof (rule IH)
      from yun-wrel-minus[OF g yun-wrel-pderiv[OF rel(1)[OF f]]]
      have d: yun-wrel D c d unfolding D-def d-def .
      have a: yun-rel A c′ a unfolding A-def a-def
        by (rule yun-rel-gcd[OF f d c c′])
      hence yun-erel A a unfolding yun-erel-def by auto
      thus list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) ((A, i) # Hs) ((a, i) # hs)
        using hs by auto
      have A0: A ≠ 0 by (rule rel(4)[OF a])
      have A dvd D a dvd d unfolding A-def a-def by auto
      from yun-wrel-div[OF d rel(1)[OF a] this A0]
      show yun-wrel (D div A) (c / c′) (d div a) .
      have A dvd F a dvd f unfolding A-def a-def by auto
      from yun-rel-div[OF f a this]
      show yun-rel (F div A) (c / c′) (f div a) .
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma yun-monic-factorization-int-yun-rel: assumes
    res: yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd f = res
    and Res: yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd F = Res
```

```
    and f: yun-rel F c f
  shows list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) Res res
proof −
  note ff = yun-relD[OF f]
  let ?g = gcd f (pderiv f)
  let ?yf = yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd (f div ?g) (pderiv f div ?g) 0 []
  let ?G = gcd F (pderiv F)
  let ?yF = yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd (F div ?G) (pderiv F div ?G) 0 []

  obtain r R where r: ?yf = r and R: ?yF = R by blast
  from res[unfolded yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization-def Let-def r]
  have res: res = [(a, i)←r . a ≠ 1] by simp
  from Res[unfolded yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization-def Let-def R]
  have Res: Res = [(A, i)←R . A ≠ 1] by simp
  from yun-wrel-pderiv[OF ff(1)] have f': yun-wrel (pderiv F) c (pderiv f) .
  from ff have c: c ≠ 0 by auto
  from yun-rel-gcd[OF f f' c refl] obtain d where g: yun-rel ?G d ?g ..
  from yun-rel-div[OF f g] have 1: yun-rel (F div ?G) (c / d) (f div ?g) by auto
  from yun-wrel-div[OF f' yun-relD(1)[OF g] - - yun-relD(4)[OF g]]
  have 2: yun-wrel (pderiv F div ?G) (c / d) (pderiv f div ?g) by auto
  from yun-factorization-main-int[OF refl refl ff(6) r R 1 2]
  have list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) R r by simp
  thus ?thesis unfolding res Res
    by (induct R r rule: list-all2-induct, auto dest: yun-erel-1-eq)
qed

lemma yun-rel-same-right: assumes yun-rel f c G yun-rel g d G
  shows f = g
proof −
  note f = yun-relD[OF assms(1)]
  note g = yun-relD[OF assms(2)]
  let ?r = rat-of-int
  let ?rp = map-poly ?r
  from g have d: d ≠ 0 by auto
  obtain a b where quot: quotient-of (c / d) = (a,b) by force
  from quotient-of-nonzero[of c/d, unfolded quot] have b: b ≠ 0 by simp
  note f(2)
   also have smult c G = smult (c / d) (smult d G) using d by (auto simp:
field-simps)
  also have smult d G = ?rp g using g(2) by simp
  also have cd: c / d = (?r a / ?r b) using quotient-of-div[OF quot] .
  finally have fg: ?rp f = smult (?r a / ?r b) (?rp g) by simp
  from f have c ≠ 0 by auto
  with cd d have a: a ≠ 0 by auto
  from arg-cong[OF fg, of λ x. smult (?r b) x]
  have smult (?r b) (?rp f) = smult (?r a) (?rp g) using b by auto
  hence ?rp (smult b f) = ?rp (smult a g) by (auto simp: hom-distribs)
  then have fg: [:b:] * f = [:a:] * g by auto
  from arg-cong[OF this, of content, unfolded content-mult f(8) g(8)]
```

have *content* [: *b* :] = *content* [: *a* :] **by** *simp*
hence *abs*: *abs a* = *abs b* **unfolding** *content-def* **using** *b a* **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF fg*, *of* λ *x*. *lead-coeff x* > *0*, *unfolded lead-coeff-mult*] *f*(*5*) *g*(*5*)
a b
have (*a* > *0*) = (*b* > *0*) **by** (*simp add*: *zero-less-mult-iff*)
with *a b abs* **have** *a* = *b* **by** *auto*
with *arg-cong*[*OF fg*, *of* λ *x*. *x div* [:*b*:]] *b* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left pCons-eq-0-iff*)
qed

definition *square-free-factorization-int-main* :: *int poly* ⇒ (*int poly* × *nat*) *list*
where
square-free-factorization-int-main f = (*case square-free-heuristic f of None* ⇒
yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd f | *Some p* ⇒ [(*f*,*0*)])

lemma *square-free-factorization-int-main*: **assumes** *res*: *square-free-factorization-int-main*
f = *fs*
and *ct*: *content f* = *1* **and** *lc*: *lead-coeff f* > *0*
and *deg*: *degree f* ≠ *0*
shows *square-free-factorization f* (*1*,*fs*) ∧ (∀ *fi i*. (*fi*, *i*) ∈ *set fs* ⟶ *content fi* =
1 ∧ *lead-coeff fi* > *0*) ∧
distinct (*map snd fs*)
proof (*cases square-free-heuristic f*)
case *None*
from *lc* **have** *f0*: *f* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *res None* **have** *fs*: *yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd f* = *fs*
unfolding *square-free-factorization-int-main-def* **by** *auto*
let *?r* = *rat-of-int*
let *?rp* = *map-poly ?r*
define *G* **where** *G* = *smult* (*inverse* (*lead-coeff* (*?rp f*))) (*?rp f*)
have *?rp f* ≠ *0* **using** *f0* **by** *auto*
hence *mon*: *monic G* **unfolding** *G-def coeff-smult* **by** *simp*
obtain *Fs* **where** *Fs*: *yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd G* = *Fs* **by** *blast*
from *lc* **have** *lg*: *lead-coeff* (*?rp f*) ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
let *?c* = *lead-coeff* (*?rp f*)
define *c* **where** *c* = *?c*
have *rp*: *?rp f* = *smult c G* **unfolding** *G-def c-def* **by** (*simp add*: *field-simps*)
have *in-rel*: *yun-rel f c G* **unfolding** *yun-rel-def yun-wrel-def*
using *rp mon lc ct* **by** *auto*
from *yun-monic-factorization-int-yun-rel*[*OF Fs fs in-rel*]
have *out-rel*: *list-all2* (*rel-prod yun-erel* (=)) *fs Fs* **by** *auto*
from *yun-monic-factorization*[*OF Fs mon*]
have *square-free-factorization G* (*1*, *Fs*) **and** *dist*: *distinct* (*map snd Fs*) **by** *auto*
note *sff* = *square-free-factorizationD*[*OF this*(*1*)]
from *out-rel* **have** *map snd fs* = *map snd Fs* **by** (*induct fs Fs rule*: *list-all2-induct*,
auto)
with *dist* **have** *dist'*: *distinct* (*map snd fs*) **by** *auto*

have *main*: *square-free-factorization f* (*1*, *fs*) ∧ (∀ *fi i*. (*fi*, *i*) ∈ *set fs* ⟶ *content fi* = *1* ∧ *lead-coeff fi* > *0*)
unfolding *square-free-factorization-def split*
proof (*intro conjI allI impI*)
from *ct* **have** *f* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
thus *f* = *0* ⟹ *1* = *0 f* = *0* ⟹ *fs* = [] **by** *auto*
from *dist'* **show** *distinct fs* **by** (*simp add*: *distinct-map*)
{
fix *a i*
assume *a*: (*a*,*i*) ∈ *set fs*
with *out-rel* **obtain** *bj* **where** *bj* ∈ *set Fs* **and** *rel-prod yun-erel* (=) (*a*,*i*) *bj*
unfolding *list-all2-conv-all-nth set-conv-nth* **by** *fastforce*
then obtain *b* **where** *b*: (*b*,*i*) ∈ *set Fs* **and** *ab*: *yun-erel a b* **by** (*cases bj*, *auto simp*: *rel-prod.simps*)
from *sff*(*2*)[*OF b*] **have** *b'*: *square-free b degree b* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *ab* **obtain** *c* **where** *rel*: *yun-rel a c b* **unfolding** *yun-erel-def* **by** *auto*
note *aa* = *yun-relD*[*OF this*]
from *aa* **have** *c0*: *c* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *b' aa*(*3*) **show** *degree a* > *0* **by** *simp*
from *square-free-smult*[*OF c0 b'*(*1*), *folded aa*(*2*)]
show *square-free a* **unfolding** *square-free-def* **by** (*force simp*: *dvd-def hom-distribs*)
show *cnt*: *content a* = *1* **and** *lc*: *lead-coeff a* > *0* **using** *aa* **by** *auto*
fix *A I*
assume *A*: (*A*,*I*) ∈ *set fs* **and** *diff*: (*a*,*i*) ≠ (*A*,*I*)
from *a*[*unfolded set-conv-nth*] **obtain** *k* **where** *k*: *fs* ! *k* = (*a*,*i*) *k* < *length fs* **by** *auto*
from *A*[*unfolded set-conv-nth*] **obtain** *K* **where** *K*: *fs* ! *K* = (*A*,*I*) *K* < *length fs* **by** *auto*
from *diff k K* **have** *kK*: *k* ≠ *K* **by** *auto*
from *dist'*[*unfolded distinct-conv-nth length-map*, *rule-format*, *OF k*(*2*) *K*(*2*) *kK*]
have *iI*: *i* ≠ *I* **using** *k K* **by** *simp*
from *A out-rel* **obtain** *Bj* **where** *Bj* ∈ *set Fs* **and** *rel-prod yun-erel* (=) (*A*,*I*) *Bj*
unfolding *list-all2-conv-all-nth set-conv-nth* **by** *fastforce*
then obtain *B* **where** *B*: (*B*,*I*) ∈ *set Fs* **and** *AB*: *yun-erel A B* **by** (*cases Bj*, *auto simp*: *rel-prod.simps*)
then obtain *C* **where** *Rel*: *yun-rel A C B* **unfolding** *yun-erel-def* **by** *auto*
note *AA* = *yun-relD*[*OF this*]
from *iI* **have** (*b*,*i*) ≠ (*B*,*I*) **by** *auto*
from *sff*(*3*)[*OF b B this*] **have** *cop*: *coprime b B* **by** *simp*
from *AA* **have** *C*: *C* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *yun-rel-gcd*[*OF rel AA*(*1*) *C refl*] **obtain** *c* **where** *yun-rel* (*gcd a A*) *c* (*gcd b B*) **by** *auto*
note *rel* = *yun-relD*[*OF this*]
from *rel*(*2*) *cop* **have** *?rp* (*gcd a A*) = [: *c* :] **by** *simp*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of degree*] **have** *degree* (*gcd a A*) = *0* **by** *simp*
from *degree0-coeffs*[*OF this*] **obtain** *c* **where** *gcd*: *gcd a A* = [: *c* :] **by** *auto*
from *rel*(*8*) *rel*(*5*) **show** *Rings.coprime a A*

```
      by (auto intro!: gcd-eq-1-imp-coprime simp add: gcd)
    }
    let ?prod = λ fs. (∏(a, i)∈set fs. a ^ Suc i)
    let ?pr = λ fs. (∏(a, i)←fs. a ^ Suc i)
    define pr where pr = ?prod fs
   from ‹distinct fs› have pfs: ?prod fs = ?pr fs by (rule prod.distinct-set-conv-list)
   from ‹distinct Fs› have pFs: ?prod Fs = ?pr Fs by (rule prod.distinct-set-conv-list)
    from out-rel have yun-erel (?prod fs) (?prod Fs) unfolding pfs pFs
    proof (induct fs Fs rule: list-all2-induct)
      case (Cons ai fs Ai Fs)
      obtain a i where ai: ai = (a,i) by force
      from Cons(1) ai obtain A where Ai: Ai = (A,i)
        and rel: yun-erel a A by (cases Ai, auto simp: rel-prod.simps)
     show ?case unfolding ai Ai using yun-erel-mult[OF yun-erel-pow[OF rel, of
Suc i] Cons(3)]
        by auto
    qed simp
    also have ?prod Fs = G using sff(1) by simp
    finally obtain d where rel: yun-rel pr d G unfolding yun-erel-def pr-def by
auto
    with in-rel have f = pr by (rule yun-rel-same-right)
    thus f = smult 1 (?prod fs) unfolding pr-def by simp
  qed
  from main dist' show ?thesis by auto
next
  case (Some p)
   from res[unfolded square-free-factorization-int-main-def Some] have fs: fs =
[(f,0)] by auto
  from lc have f0: f ≠ 0 by auto
   from square-free-heuristic[OF Some] poly-mod-prime.separable-impl(1)[of p f]
square-free-mod-imp-square-free[of p f] deg
  show ?thesis unfolding fs
    by (auto simp: ct lc square-free-factorization-def f0 poly-mod-prime-def)
qed

definition square-free-factorization-int' :: int poly ⇒ int × (int poly × nat)list
where
  square-free-factorization-int' f = (if degree f = 0
    then (lead-coeff f,[]) else (let — content factorization
      c = content f;
      d = (sgn (lead-coeff f) * c);
      g = sdiv-poly f d
      — and square-free factorization
    in (d, square-free-factorization-int-main g)))


lemma square-free-factorization-int': assumes res: square-free-factorization-int' f
= (d, fs)
  shows square-free-factorization f (d,fs)
```

```
    (fi, i) ∈ set fs ⟹ content fi = 1 ∧ lead-coeff fi > 0
    distinct (map snd fs)
proof −
  note res = res[unfolded square-free-factorization-int'-def Let-def]
  have square-free-factorization f (d,fs)
    ∧ ((fi, i) ∈ set fs ⟶ content fi = 1 ∧ lead-coeff fi > 0)
    ∧ distinct (map snd fs)
  proof (cases degree f = 0)
    case True
    from degree0-coeffs[OF True] obtain c where f: f = [: c :] by auto
    thus ?thesis using res by (simp add: square-free-factorization-def)
  next
    case False
    let ?s = sgn (lead-coeff f)
    have s: ?s ∈ {−1,1} using False unfolding sgn-if by auto
    define g where g = smult ?s f
    let ?d = ?s ∗ content f
    have content g = content ([:?s:] ∗ f) unfolding g-def by simp
    also have ... = content [:?s:] ∗ content f unfolding content-mult by simp
    also have content [:?s:] = 1 using s by (auto simp: content-def)
    finally have cg: content g = content f by simp
    from False res
    have d: d = ?d and fs: fs = square-free-factorization-int-main (sdiv-poly f ?d)
by auto
    let ?g = primitive-part g
    define ng where ng = primitive-part g
    note fs
     also have sdiv-poly f ?d = sdiv-poly g (content g) unfolding cg unfolding
g-def
       by (rule poly-eqI, unfold coeff-sdiv-poly coeff-smult, insert s, auto simp:
div-minus-right)
    finally have fs: square-free-factorization-int-main ng = fs
      unfolding primitive-part-alt-def ng-def by simp
    have lead-coeff f ≠ 0 using False by auto
    hence lg: lead-coeff g > 0 unfolding g-def lead-coeff-smult
     by (meson linorder-neqE-linordered-idom sgn-greater sgn-less zero-less-mult-iff)
    hence g0: g ≠ 0 by auto
    from g0 have content g ≠ 0 by simp
     from arg-cong[OF content-times-primitive-part[of g], of lead-coeff, unfolded
lead-coeff-smult]
      lg content-ge-0-int[of g] have lg': lead-coeff ng > 0 unfolding ng-def
      by (metis ‹content g ≠ 0› dual-order.antisym dual-order.strict-implies-order
zero-less-mult-iff)
     from content-primitive-part[OF g0] have c-ng: content ng = 1 unfolding
ng-def .
   have degree ng = degree f using ‹content [:sgn (lead-coeff f):] = 1› g-def ng-def
     by (auto simp add: sgn-eq-0-iff)
    with False have degree ng ≠ 0 by auto
    note main = square-free-factorization-int-main[OF fs c-ng lg' this]
```

```
    show ?thesis
    proof (intro conjI impI)
      {
        assume (fi, i) ∈ set fs
        with main show content fi = 1 0 < lead-coeff fi by auto
      }
      have d0: d ≠ 0 using ‹content [:?s:] = 1› d by (auto simp:sgn-eq-0-iff)
      have smult d ng = smult ?s (smult (content g) (primitive-part g))
        unfolding ng-def d cg by simp
    also have smult (content g) (primitive-part g) = g using content-times-primitive-part
.
      also have smult ?s g = f unfolding g-def using s by auto
      finally have id: smult d ng = f .
      from main have square-free-factorization ng (1, fs) by auto
      from square-free-factorization-smult[OF d0 this]
      show square-free-factorization f (d,fs) unfolding id by simp
      show distinct (map snd fs) using main by auto
    qed
  qed
  thus  square-free-factorization f (d,fs)
    (fi, i) ∈ set fs ⟹ content fi = 1 ∧ lead-coeff fi > 0 distinct (map snd fs) by
auto
qed


definition x-split :: 'a :: semiring-0 poly ⇒ nat × 'a poly where
  x-split f = (let fs = coeffs f; zs = takeWhile ((=) 0) fs
     in case zs of [] ⇒ (0,f) | - ⇒ (length zs, poly-of-list (dropWhile ((=) 0) fs)))

lemma x-split: assumes x-split f = (n, g)
  shows f = monom 1 n * g n ≠ 0 ∨ f ≠ 0 ⟹ ¬ monom 1 1 dvd g
proof −
  define zs where zs = takeWhile ((=) 0) (coeffs f)
  note res = assms[unfolded zs-def[symmetric] x-split-def Let-def]
  have f = monom 1 n * g ∧ ((n ≠ 0 ∨ f ≠ 0) ⟶ ¬ (monom 1 1 dvd g)) (is -
∧ (- ⟶ ¬ (?x dvd -)))
  proof (cases f = 0)
    case True
    with res have n = 0 g = 0 unfolding zs-def by auto
    thus ?thesis using True by auto
  next
    case False note f = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases zs = [])
      case True
    hence choice: coeff f 0 ≠ 0 using f unfolding zs-def coeff-f-0-code poly-compare-0-code
      by (cases coeffs f, auto)
     have dvd: ?x dvd h ⟷ coeff h 0 = 0 for h by (simp add: monom-1-dvd-iff')
     from True choice res f show ?thesis unfolding dvd by auto
```

```
    next
      case False
      define ys where ys = dropWhile ((=) 0) (coeffs f)
      have dvd: ?x dvd h ⟷ coeff h 0 = 0 for h by (simp add: monom-1-dvd-iff')
       from res False have n: n = length zs and g: g = poly-of-list ys unfolding
ys-def
        by (cases zs, auto)+
      obtain xx where xx: coeffs f = xx by auto
      have coeffs f = zs @ ys unfolding zs-def ys-def by auto
      also have zs = replicate n 0 unfolding zs-def n xx by (induct xx, auto)
      finally have ff: coeffs f = replicate n 0 @ ys by auto
      from f have lead-coeff f ≠ 0 by auto
      then have nz: coeffs f ≠ [] last (coeffs f) ≠ 0
        by (simp-all add: last-coeffs-eq-coeff-degree)
      have ys: ys ≠ [] using nz[unfolded ff] by auto
      with ys-def have hd: hd ys ≠ 0 by (metis (full-types) hd-dropWhile)
     hence coeff (poly-of-list ys) 0 ≠ 0 unfolding poly-of-list-def coeff-Poly using
ys by (cases ys, auto)
      moreover have coeffs (Poly ys) = ys
        by (simp add: ys-def strip-while-dropWhile-commute)
      then have coeffs (monom-mult n (Poly ys)) = replicate n 0 @ ys
      by (simp add: coeffs-eq-iff monom-mult-def [symmetric] ff ys monom-mult-code)
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding dvd g
        by (auto simp add: coeffs-eq-iff monom-mult-def [symmetric] ff)
    qed
  qed
  thus f = monom 1 n * g n ≠ 0 ∨ f ≠ 0 ⟹ ¬ monom 1 1 dvd g by auto
qed


definition square-free-factorization-int :: int poly ⇒ int × (int poly × nat)list
where
  square-free-factorization-int f = (case x-split f of (n,g) — extract x^n
    ⇒ case square-free-factorization-int' g of (d,fs)
    ⇒ if n = 0 then (d,fs) else (d, (monom 1 1, n − 1) # fs))

lemma square-free-factorization-int: assumes res: square-free-factorization-int f
= (d, fs)
  shows square-free-factorization f (d,fs)
    (fi, i) ∈ set fs ⟹ primitive fi ∧ lead-coeff fi > 0
proof −
  obtain n g where xs: x-split f = (n,g) by force
  obtain c hs where sf: square-free-factorization-int' g = (c,hs) by force
  from res[unfolded square-free-factorization-int-def xs sf split]
  have d: d = c and fs: fs = (if n = 0 then hs else (monom 1 1, n − 1) # hs)
by (cases n, auto)
  note sff = square-free-factorization-int'(1−2)[OF sf]
  note xs = x-split[OF xs]
  let ?x = monom 1 1 :: int poly
```

```
  have x: primitive ?x ∧ lead-coeff ?x = 1 ∧ degree ?x = 1
    by (auto simp add: degree-monom-eq content-def monom-Suc)
  thus (fi, i) ∈ set fs ⟹ primitive fi ∧ lead-coeff fi > 0 using sff(2) unfolding
fs
    by (cases n, auto)
  show square-free-factorization f (d,fs)
  proof (cases n)
    case 0
    with d fs sff xs show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case (Suc m)
    with xs have fg: f = monom 1 (Suc m) * g and dvd: ¬ ?x dvd g by auto
    from Suc have fs: fs = (?x,m) # hs unfolding fs by auto
    have degx: degree ?x = 1 by code-simp
   from irreducible_d-square-free[OF linear-irreducible_d[OF this]] have sfx: square-free
?x by auto
    have fg: f = ?x ^ n * g unfolding fg Suc by (metis x-pow-n)
    have eq0: ?x ^ n * g = 0 ⟷ g = 0 by simp
    note sf = square-free-factorizationD[OF sff(1)]
    {
      fix a i
      assume ai: (a,i) ∈ set hs
      with sf(4) have g0: g ≠ 0 by auto
      from split-list[OF ai] obtain ys zs where hs: hs = ys @ (a,i) # zs by auto
      have a dvd g unfolding square-free-factorization-prod-list[OF sff(1)] hs
        by (rule dvd-smult, simp add: ac-simps)
      moreover have ¬ ?x dvd g using xs[unfolded Suc] by auto
      ultimately have dvd: ¬ ?x dvd a using dvd-trans by blast
      from sf(2)[OF ai] have a ≠ 0 by auto
      have 1 = gcd ?x a
      proof (rule gcdI)
        fix d
        assume d: d dvd ?x d dvd a
       from content-dvd-contentI[OF d(1)] x have cnt: is-unit (content d) by auto
        show is-unit d
        proof (cases degree d = 1)
          case False
          with divides-degree[OF d(1), unfolded degx] have degree d = 0 by auto
          from degree0-coeffs[OF this] obtain c where dc: d = [:c:] by auto
          from cnt[unfolded dc] have is-unit c by (auto simp: content-def, cases c
= 0, auto)
          hence d * d = 1 unfolding dc by (cases c = -1; cases c = 1, auto)
          thus is-unit d by (metis dvd-triv-right)
        next
          case True
          from d(1) obtain e where xde: ?x = d * e unfolding dvd-def by auto
          from arg-cong[OF this, of degree] degx have degree d + degree e = 1
            by (metis True add.right-neutral degree-0 degree-mult-eq one-neq-zero)
          with True have degree e = 0 by auto
```

from *degree0-coeffs*[*OF this*] *xde* **obtain** *e* **where** *xde*: *?x* = [:*e*:] ∗ *d* **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of content*, *unfolded content-mult*] *x*
have *content* [:*e*:] ∗ *content d* = *1* **by** *auto*
also have *content* [:*e* :] = *abs e* **by** (*auto simp*: *content-def*, *cases e* = *0*, *auto*)
finally have |*e*| ∗ *content d* = *1* **.**
from *pos-zmult-eq-1-iff-lemma*[*OF this*] **have** *e* ∗ *e* = *1* **by** (*cases e* = *1*; *cases e* = −*1*, *auto*)
with *arg-cong*[*OF xde*, *of smult e*] **have** *d* = *?x* ∗ [:*e*:] **by** *auto*
hence *?x dvd d* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *blast*
with *d*(*2*) **have** *?x dvd a* **by** (*metis dvd-trans*)
with *dvd* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed *auto*
hence *coprime ?x a*
by (*simp add*: *gcd-eq-1-imp-coprime*)
note *this dvd*
} **note** *hs-dvd-x* = *this*
from *hs-dvd-x*[*of ?x m*]
have *nmem*: (*?x*,*m*) ∉ *set hs* **by** *auto*
hence *eq*: *?x* ^ *n* ∗ *g* = *smult c* (∏ (*a*, *i*)∈*set fs*. *a* ^ *Suc i*)
unfolding *sf*(*1*) **unfolding** *fs Suc* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *fg d* **unfolding** *square-free-factorization-def split eq0* **unfolding** *eq*
proof (*intro conjI allI impI*, *rule refl*)
fix *a i*
assume *ai*: (*a*,*i*) ∈ *set fs*
thus *square-free a degree a* > *0* **using** *sf*(*2*) *sfx degx* **unfolding** *fs* **by** *auto*
fix *b j*
assume *bj*: (*b*,*j*) ∈ *set fs* **and** *diff*: (*a*,*i*) ≠ (*b*,*j*)
consider (*hs-hs*) (*a*,*i*) ∈ *set hs* (*b*,*j*) ∈ *set hs*
| (*hs-x*) (*a*,*i*) ∈ *set hs b* = *?x*
| (*x-hs*) (*b*,*j*) ∈ *set hs a* = *?x*
using *ai bj diff* **unfolding** *fs* **by** *auto*
then show *Rings.coprime a b*
proof *cases*
case *hs-hs*
from *sf*(*3*)[*OF this diff*] **show** *?thesis* **.**
next
case *hs-x*
from *hs-dvd-x*(*1*)[*OF hs-x*(*1*)] **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *hs-x*(*2*) **by** (*simp add*: *ac-simps*)
next
case *x-hs*
from *hs-dvd-x*(*1*)[*OF x-hs*(*1*)] **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *x-hs*(*2*) **by** *simp*
qed
next
show *g* = *0* ⟹ *c* = *0* **using** *sf*(*4*) **by** *auto*

show $g = 0 \Longrightarrow fs = []$ **using** *sf*(*4*) *xs Suc* **by** *auto*
show *distinct fs* **using** *sf*(*5*) *nmem* **unfolding** *fs* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
qed

end

11.3 Factoring Arbitrary Integer Polynomials

We combine the factorization algorithm for square-free integer polynomials with a square-free factorization algorithm to a factorization algorithm for integer polynomials which does not make any assumptions.

theory *Factorize-Int-Poly*
imports
Berlekamp-Zassenhaus
Square-Free-Factorization-Int
begin

hide-const *coeff monom*
lifting-forget *poly.lifting*

typedef *int-poly-factorization-algorithm* = {*alg*.
$\forall$ (*f* :: *int poly*) *fs*. *square-free f* $\longrightarrow$ *degree f* > *0* $\longrightarrow$ *alg f* = *fs* $\longrightarrow$
(*f* = *prod-list fs* $\wedge$ ($\forall$ *fi* $\in$ *set fs*. *irreducible*$_d$ *fi*))}
by (*rule exI*[*of* - *berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization*],
insert berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-irreducible$_d$, *auto*)

setup-lifting *type-definition-int-poly-factorization-algorithm*

lift-definition *int-poly-factorization-algorithm* :: *int-poly-factorization-algorithm* $\Rightarrow$
(*int poly* $\Rightarrow$ *int poly list*) **is** λ *x*. *x* **.**

lemma *int-poly-factorization-algorithm-irreducible*$_d$:
assumes *int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg f* = *fs*
and *square-free f*
and *degree f* > *0*
shows *f* = *prod-list fs* $\wedge$ ($\forall$ *fi* $\in$ *set fs*. *irreducible*$_d$ *fi*)
using *assms* **by** (*transfer*, *auto*)

corollary *int-poly-factorization-algorithm-irreducible*:
assumes *res*: *int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg f* = *fs*
and *sf*: *square-free f*
and *deg*: *degree f* > *0*
and *pr*: *primitive f*
shows *f* = *prod-list fs* $\wedge$ ($\forall$ *fi* $\in$ *set fs*. *irreducible fi* $\wedge$ *degree fi* > *0* $\wedge$ *primitive fi*)
proof (*intro conjI ballI*)

note $* = \textit{int-poly-factorization-algorithm-irreducible}_d[\textit{OF res sf deg}]$
from $*$ **show** f: $f = \textit{prod-list fs}$ **by** *auto*
fix *fi* **assume** *fi*: $\textit{fi} \in \textit{set fs}$
with $\textit{primitive-prod-list}[\textit{OF pr}[\textit{unfolded f}]]$ **show** *primitive fi* **by** *auto*
from $\textit{irreducible-primitive-connect}[\textit{OF this}] * \textit{pr}[\textit{unfolded f}]$ *fi*
show *irreducible fi* **by** *auto*
from $*$ *fi* **show** $\textit{degree fi} > 0$ **by** (*auto*)
qed

lemma *irreducible-imp-square-free*:
assumes *irr*: *irreducible* (p::$'a$::*idom poly*) **shows** *square-free p*
proof(*intro square-freeI*)
from *irr* **show** *p0*: $p \neq 0$ **by** *auto*
fix *a* **assume** $a * a$ *dvd p*
then obtain *b* **where** *paab*: $p = a * (a * b)$ **by** (*elim dvdE*, *auto*)
assume $\textit{degree } a > 0$
then have *a1*: $\neg$ *a dvd 1* **by** (*auto simp*: *poly-dvd-1*)
then have *ab1*: $\neg$ $a * b$ *dvd 1* **using** *dvd-mult-left* **by** *auto*
from *paab irr a1 ab1* **show** *False* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *not-mem-set-dropWhileD*: $x \notin \textit{set } (\textit{dropWhile } P \textit{ xs}) \Longrightarrow x \in \textit{set xs} \Longrightarrow P\ x$
by (*metis dropWhile-append3 in-set-conv-decomp*)

lemma *primitive-reflect-poly*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \textit{comm-semiring-1 poly}$
shows $\textit{primitive } (\textit{reflect-poly } f) = \textit{primitive } f$
proof−
have $(\forall\ a \in \textit{set } (\textit{coeffs } f).\ x \textit{ dvd } a) \longleftrightarrow (\forall\, a \in \textit{set } (\textit{dropWhile } ((=)\ 0)\ (\textit{coeffs } f)).\ x \textit{ dvd } a)$ **for** *x*
by (*auto dest*: *not-mem-set-dropWhileD set-dropWhileD*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp*: *primitive-def coeffs-reflect-poly*)
qed

lemma *gcd-list-sub*:
assumes *set xs* $\subseteq$ *set ys* **shows** *gcd-list ys dvd gcd-list xs*
by (*metis Gcd-fin.subset assms semiring-gcd-class.gcd-dvd1*)

lemma *content-reflect-poly*:
$\textit{content } (\textit{reflect-poly } f) = \textit{content } f$ (**is** *?l* = *?r*)
proof−
have *l*: $\textit{?l} = \textit{gcd-list } (\textit{dropWhile } ((=)\ 0)\ (\textit{coeffs } f))$ (**is** - = *gcd-list ?xs*)
by (*simp add*: *content-def reflect-poly-def*)
have $\textit{set ?xs} \subseteq \textit{set } (\textit{coeffs } f)$ **by** (*auto dest*: *set-dropWhileD*)
from *gcd-list-sub*[*OF this*]
have *?r dvd gcd-list ?xs* **by** (*simp add*: *content-def*)

with *l* **have** *rl*: *?r dvd ?l* **by** *auto*
have *set* (*coeffs f*) ⊆ *set* (*0* # *?xs*) **by** (*auto dest*: *not-mem-set-dropWhileD*)
from *gcd-list-sub*[*OF this*]
have *gcd-list ?xs dvd ?r* **by** (*simp add*: *content-def*)
with *l* **have** *lr*: *?l dvd ?r* **by** *auto*
from *rl lr* **show** *?l* = *?r* **by** (*simp add*: *associated-eqI*)
qed

lemma *coeff-primitive-part*: *content f* ∗ *coeff* (*primitive-part f*) *i* = *coeff f i*
using *arg-cong*[*OF content-times-primitive-part*[*of f*], *of* λ*f*. *coeff f* -, *unfolded coeff-smult*].

lemma *smult-cancel*[*simp*]:
fixes *c* :: *'a* :: *idom*
shows *smult c f* = *smult c g* ⟷ *c* = *0* ∨ *f* = *g*
proof−
have *l*: *smult c f* = [:*c*:] ∗ *f* **by** *simp*
have *r*: *smult c g* = [:*c*:] ∗ *g* **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *l r mult-cancel-left* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *primitive-part-reflect-poly*:
fixes *f* :: *'a* :: {*semiring-gcd*,*idom*} *poly*
shows *primitive-part* (*reflect-poly f*) = *reflect-poly* (*primitive-part f*) (**is** *?l* = *?r*)
using *content-times-primitive-part*[*of reflect-poly f*]
proof−
note *content-reflect-poly*[*of f*, *symmetric*]
also have *smult* (*content* (*reflect-poly f*)) *?l* = *reflect-poly f* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *reflect-poly* (*smult* (*content f*) (*primitive-part f*)) **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *reflect-poly-smult smult-cancel* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *reflect-poly-eq-zero*[*simp*]:
reflect-poly f = *0* ⟷ *f* = *0*
proof
assume *reflect-poly f* = *0*
then have *coeff* (*reflect-poly f*) *0* = *0* **by** *simp*
then have *lead-coeff f* = *0* **by** *simp*
then show *f* = *0* **by** *simp*
qed *simp*

lemma *irreducible*$_d$-*reflect-poly-main*:
fixes *f* :: *'a* :: {*idom*, *semiring-gcd*} *poly*
assumes *nz*: *coeff f 0* ≠ *0*
and *irr*: *irreducible*$_d$ (*reflect-poly f*)
shows *irreducible*$_d$ *f*
proof

```
  let ?r = reflect-poly
  from irr degree-reflect-poly-eq[OF nz] show degree f > 0 by auto
  fix g h
  assume deg: degree g < degree f degree h < degree f and fgh: f = g * h
  from arg-cong[OF fgh, of λ f. coeff f 0] nz
  have nz': coeff g 0 ≠ 0 by (auto simp: coeff-mult-0)
  note rfgh = arg-cong[OF fgh, of reflect-poly, unfolded reflect-poly-mult[of g h]]
 from deg degree-reflect-poly-le[of g] degree-reflect-poly-le[of h] degree-reflect-poly-eq[OF
nz]
  have degree (?r h) < degree (?r f) degree (?r g) < degree (?r f) by auto
  with irr rfgh show False by auto
qed

lemma irreducible_d-reflect-poly:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {idom, semiring-gcd} poly
  assumes nz: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
  shows irreducible_d (reflect-poly f) = irreducible_d f
proof
  assume irreducible_d (reflect-poly f)
  from irreducible_d-reflect-poly-main[OF nz this] show irreducible_d f .
next
  from nz have nzr: coeff (reflect-poly f) 0 ≠ 0 by auto
  assume irreducible_d f
  with nz have irreducible_d (reflect-poly (reflect-poly f)) by simp
  from irreducible_d-reflect-poly-main[OF nzr this]
  show irreducible_d (reflect-poly f) .
qed

lemma irreducible-reflect-poly:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {idom,semiring-gcd} poly
  assumes nz: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
  shows irreducible (reflect-poly f) = irreducible f (is ?l = ?r)
proof (cases degree f = 0)
  case True then obtain f0 where f = [:f0:] by (auto dest: degree0-coeffs)
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case deg: False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases primitive f)
    case False
    with deg irreducible-imp-primitive[of f] irreducible-imp-primitive[of reflect-poly
f] nz
    show ?thesis unfolding primitive-reflect-poly by auto
  next
    case cf: True
    let ?r = reflect-poly
    from nz have nz': coeff (?r f) 0 ≠ 0 by auto
    let ?ir = irreducible_d
    from irreducible_d-reflect-poly[OF nz] irreducible_d-reflect-poly[OF nz'] nz
```

```
    have ?ir f ⟷ ?ir (reflect-poly f) by auto
    also have ... ⟷ irreducible (reflect-poly f)
      by (rule irreducible-primitive-connect, unfold primitive-reflect-poly, fact cf)
    finally show ?thesis
      by (unfold irreducible-primitive-connect[OF cf], auto)
  qed
qed


lemma reflect-poly-dvd: (f :: 'a :: idom poly) dvd g ⟹ reflect-poly f dvd reflect-poly
g
  unfolding dvd-def by (auto simp: reflect-poly-mult)

lemma square-free-reflect-poly: fixes f :: 'a :: idom poly
  assumes sf: square-free f
  and nz: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
shows square-free (reflect-poly f) unfolding square-free-def
proof (intro allI conjI impI notI)
  let ?r = reflect-poly
  from sf[unfolded square-free-def]
  have f0: f ≠ 0 and sf: ⋀ q. 0 < degree q ⟹ q * q dvd f ⟹ False by auto
  from f0 nz show ?r f = 0 ⟹ False by auto
  fix q
  assume 0: 0 < degree q and dvd: q * q dvd ?r f
  from dvd have q dvd ?r f by auto
  then obtain x where id: ?r f = q * x by fastforce
  {
    assume coeff q 0 = 0
    hence coeff (?r f) 0 = 0 using id by (auto simp: coeff-mult)
    with nz have False by auto
  }
  hence nzq: coeff q 0 ≠ 0 by auto
  from dvd have ?r (q * q) dvd ?r (?r f) by (rule reflect-poly-dvd)
  also have ?r (?r f) = f using nz by auto
  also have ?r (q * q) = ?r q * ?r q by (rule reflect-poly-mult)
  finally have ?r q * ?r q dvd f .
  from sf[OF - this] 0 nzq show False by simp
qed

lemma gcd-reflect-poly: fixes f :: 'a :: {factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}
poly
  assumes nz: coeff f 0 ≠ 0 coeff g 0 ≠ 0
  shows gcd (reflect-poly f) (reflect-poly g) = normalize (reflect-poly (gcd f g))
proof (rule sym, rule gcdI)
  have gcd f g dvd f by auto
  from reflect-poly-dvd[OF this]
  show normalize (reflect-poly (gcd f g)) dvd reflect-poly f by simp
  have gcd f g dvd g by auto
  from reflect-poly-dvd[OF this]
```

show *normalize* (*reflect-poly* (*gcd f g*)) *dvd reflect-poly g* **by** *simp*
show *normalize* (*normalize* (*reflect-poly* (*gcd f g*))) = *normalize* (*reflect-poly* (*gcd f g*)) **by** *auto*
fix *h*
assume *hf*: *h dvd reflect-poly f* **and** *hg*: *h dvd reflect-poly g*
from *hf* **obtain** *k* **where** *reflect-poly f* = *h* ∗ *k* **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
from *arg-cong*[*OF this*, *of* λ *f*. *coeff f 0*, *unfolded coeff-mult-0*] *nz*(*1*) **have** *h*: *coeff h 0* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *reflect-poly-dvd*[*OF hf*] *reflect-poly-dvd*[*OF hg*]
have *reflect-poly h dvd f reflect-poly h dvd g* **using** *nz* **by** *auto*
hence *reflect-poly h dvd gcd f g* **by** *auto*
from *reflect-poly-dvd*[*OF this*] *h* **have** *h dvd reflect-poly* (*gcd f g*) **by** *auto*
thus *h dvd normalize* (*reflect-poly* (*gcd f g*)) **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *linear-primitive-irreducible*:
fixes *f* :: *'a* :: {*comm-semiring-1*,*semiring-no-zero-divisors*} *poly*
assumes *deg*: *degree f* = *1* **and** *cf*: *primitive f*
shows *irreducible f*
proof (*intro irreducibleI*)
fix *a b* **assume** *fab*: *f* = *a* ∗ *b*
with *deg* **have** *a0*: *a* ≠ *0* **and** *b0*: *b* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*
from *deg*[*unfolded fab*] *degree-mult-eq*[*OF this*] **have** *degree a* = *0* ∨ *degree b* = *0* **by** *auto*
then show *a dvd 1* ∨ *b dvd 1*
proof
assume *degree a* = *0*
then obtain *a0* **where** *a*: *a* = [:*a0*:] **by** (*auto dest*:*degree0-coeffs*)
with *fab* **have** *c* ∈ *set* (*coeffs f*) ⟹ *a0 dvd c* **for** *c* **by** (*cases a0* = *0*, *auto simp*: *coeffs-smult*)
with *cf* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto dest*: *primitiveD simp*: *a*)
next
assume *degree b* = *0*
then obtain *b0* **where** *b*: *b* = [:*b0*:] **by** (*auto dest*:*degree0-coeffs*)
with *fab* **have** *c* ∈ *set* (*coeffs f*) ⟹ *b0 dvd c* **for** *c* **by** (*cases b0* = *0*, *auto simp*: *coeffs-smult*)
with *cf* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto dest*: *primitiveD simp*: *b*)
qed
qed (*insert deg*, *auto simp*: *poly-dvd-1*)

lemma *square-free-factorization-last-coeff-nz*:
assumes *sff*: *square-free-factorization f* (*a*, *fs*)
and *mem*: (*fi*,*i*) ∈ *set fs*
and *nz*: *coeff f 0* ≠ *0*
shows *coeff fi 0* ≠ *0*
proof
assume *fi*: *coeff fi 0* = *0*
note *sff-list* = *square-free-factorization-prod-list*[*OF sff*]
note *sff* = *square-free-factorizationD*[*OF sff*]

from *sff-list* **have** *coeff f 0 = a * coeff* ($\prod$ (*a*, *i*)←*fs*. *a* ^ *Suc i*) *0* **by** *simp*
with *split-list*[*OF mem*] *fi* **have** *coeff f 0 = 0*
by (*auto simp*: *coeff-mult*)
with *nz* **show** *False* **by** *simp*
qed

context
fixes *alg* :: *int-poly-factorization-algorithm*
begin

definition *main-int-poly-factorization* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int poly list* **where**
main-int-poly-factorization f = (*let df* = *degree f*
in if df = *1 then* [*f*] *else*
if abs (*coeff f 0*) < *abs* (*coeff f df*) — take reciprocal polynomial, if $f(0) < lc(f)$
then map reflect-poly (*int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg* (*reflect-poly f*))
else int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg f)

definition *internal-int-poly-factorization* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int* × (*int poly* × *nat*) *list* **where**
internal-int-poly-factorization f = (
case square-free-factorization-int f of
(*a*,*gis*) ⇒ (*a*, [(*h*,*i*) . (*g*,*i*) ← *gis*, *h* ← *main-int-poly-factorization g*])
)

lemma *internal-int-poly-factorization-code*[*code*]: *internal-int-poly-factorization f* = (
case square-free-factorization-int f of (*a*,*gis*) ⇒
(*a*, *concat* (*map* (λ (*g*,*i*). (*map* (λ *f*. (*f*,*i*)) (*main-int-poly-factorization g*))) *gis*)))
unfolding *internal-int-poly-factorization-def* **by** *auto*

definition *factorize-int-last-nz-poly* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int* × (*int poly* × *nat*) *list* **where**
factorize-int-last-nz-poly f = (*let df* = *degree f*
in if df = *0 then* (*coeff f 0*, []) *else if df* = *1 then* (*content f*,[(*primitive-part f*,*0*)]) *else*
internal-int-poly-factorization f)

definition *factorize-int-poly-generic* :: *int poly* ⇒ *int* × (*int poly* × *nat*) *list* **where**
factorize-int-poly-generic f = (*case x-split f of* (*n*,*g*) — extract $x \hat{} n$
⇒ *if g* = *0 then* (*0*,[]) *else case factorize-int-last-nz-poly g of* (*a*,*fs*)
⇒ *if n* = *0 then* (*a*,*fs*) *else* (*a*, (*monom 1 1*, *n* − *1*) # *fs*))

lemma *factorize-int-poly-0*[*simp*]: *factorize-int-poly-generic 0* = (*0*,[])
unfolding *factorize-int-poly-generic-def x-split-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *main-int-poly-factorization*:
assumes *res*: *main-int-poly-factorization f = fs*
and *sf*: *square-free f*
and *df*: *degree f > 0*
and *nz*: *coeff f 0 ≠ 0*
shows *f = prod-list fs* ∧ (∀ *fi* ∈ *set fs*. *irreducible*$_d$ *fi*)
proof (*cases degree f = 1*)
case *True*
with *res*[*unfolded main-int-poly-factorization-def Let-def*]
have *fs = [f]* **by** *auto*
with *True* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
hence ∗: (*if degree f = 1 then t* :: *int poly list else e*) *= e* **for** *t e* **by** *auto*
note *res = res*[*unfolded main-int-poly-factorization-def Let-def* ∗]
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases abs* (*coeff f 0*) *< abs* (*coeff f* (*degree f*)))
case *False*
with *res* **have** *int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg f = fs* **by** *auto*
from *int-poly-factorization-algorithm-irreducible*$_d$[*OF this sf df*] **show** *?thesis* **.**
next
case *True*
let *?f = reflect-poly f*
from *square-free-reflect-poly*[*OF sf nz*] **have** *sf*: *square-free ?f* **.**
from *nz df* **have** *df*: *degree ?f > 0* **by** *simp*
from *True res* **obtain** *gs* **where** *fs*: *fs = map reflect-poly gs*
and *gs*: *int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg* (*reflect-poly f*) *= gs*
by *auto*
from *int-poly-factorization-algorithm-irreducible*$_d$[*OF gs sf df*]
have *id*: *reflect-poly ?f = reflect-poly* (*prod-list gs*) *?f = prod-list gs*
and *irr*: ⋀ *gi*. *gi* ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *irreducible*$_d$ *gi* **by** *auto*
from *id*(*1*) **have** *f-fs*: *f = prod-list fs* **unfolding** *fs* **using** *nz*
by (*simp add*: *reflect-poly-prod-list*)
{
fix *fi*
assume *fi* ∈ *set fs*
from *this*[*unfolded fs*] **obtain** *gi* **where** *gi*: *gi* ∈ *set gs* **and** *fi*: *fi = reflect-poly gi* **by** *auto*
{
assume *coeff gi 0 = 0*
with *id*(*2*) *split-list*[*OF gi*] **have** *coeff ?f 0 = 0*
by (*auto simp*: *coeff-mult*)
with *nz* **have** *False* **by** *auto*
}
hence *nzg*: *coeff gi 0 ≠ 0* **by** *auto*
from *irreducible*$_d$*-reflect-poly*[*OF nzg*] *irr*[*OF gi*] **have** *irreducible*$_d$ *fi* **unfolding** *fi* **by** *simp*
}

```
    with f-fs show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

lemma internal-int-poly-factorization-mem:
  assumes f: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
  and res: internal-int-poly-factorization f = (c,fs)
  and mem: (fi,i) ∈ set fs
  shows irreducible fi irreducible_d fi and primitive fi and degree fi ≠ 0
proof −
  obtain a psi where a-psi: square-free-factorization-int f = (a, psi)
    by force
  from square-free-factorization-int[OF this]
  have sff: square-free-factorization f (a, psi)
    and cnt: ⋀ fi i. (fi, i) ∈ set psi ⟹ primitive fi by blast+
  from square-free-factorization-last-coeff-nz[OF sff - f]
  have nz-fi: ⋀ fi i. (fi, i) ∈ set psi ⟹ coeff fi 0 ≠ 0 by auto
  note res = res[unfolded internal-int-poly-factorization-def a-psi Let-def split]
 obtain fact where fact: fact = (λ (q,i :: nat). (map (λ f. (f,i)) (main-int-poly-factorization
q))) by auto
  from res[unfolded split Let-def]
  have c: c = a and fs: fs = concat (map fact psi)
    unfolding fact by auto
  note sff' = square-free-factorizationD[OF sff]
  from mem[unfolded fs, simplified] obtain d j where psi: (d,j) ∈ set psi
     and fi: (fi, i) ∈ set (fact (d,j)) by auto
  obtain hs where d: main-int-poly-factorization d = hs by force
  from fi[unfolded d split fact] have fi: fi ∈ set hs by auto
  from main-int-poly-factorization[OF d - - nz-fi[OF psi]] sff'(2)[OF psi] cnt[OF
psi]
  have main: d = prod-list hs ⋀ fi. fi ∈ set hs ⟹ irreducible_d fi by auto
  from main split-list[OF fi] have content fi dvd content d by auto
  with cnt[OF psi] show cnt: primitive fi by simp
  from main(2)[OF fi] show irr: irreducible_d fi .
  show irreducible fi
    using irreducible-primitive-connect[OF cnt] irr by blast
  from irr show degree fi ≠ 0 by auto
qed

lemma internal-int-poly-factorization:
  assumes f: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
  and res: internal-int-poly-factorization f = (c,fs)
  shows square-free-factorization f (c,fs)
proof −
  obtain a psi where a-psi: square-free-factorization-int f = (a, psi)
    by force
  from square-free-factorization-int[OF this]
  have sff: square-free-factorization f (a, psi)
    and pr: ⋀ fi i. (fi, i) ∈ set psi ⟹ primitive fi by blast+
```

```
 obtain fact where fact: fact = (λ (q,i :: nat). (map (λ f. (f,i)) (main-int-poly-factorization
q))) by auto
  from res[unfolded split Let-def]
  have c: c = a and fs: fs = concat (map fact psi)
    unfolding fact internal-int-poly-factorization-def a-psi by auto
  note sff' = square-free-factorizationD[OF sff]
  show ?thesis unfolding square-free-factorization-def split
  proof (intro conjI impI allI)
    show f = 0 ⟹ c = 0 f = 0 ⟹ fs = [] using sff'(4) unfolding c fs by auto
    {
      fix a i
      assume (a,i) ∈ set fs
      from irreducible-imp-square-free internal-int-poly-factorization-mem[OF f res
this]
      show square-free a degree a > 0 by auto
    }
    from square-free-factorization-last-coeff-nz[OF sff - f]
    have nz: ⋀ fi i. (fi, i) ∈ set psi ⟹ coeff fi 0 ≠ 0 by auto
    have eq: f = smult c (∏ (a, i)←fs. a ^ Suc i) unfolding
      prod.distinct-set-conv-list[OF sff'(5)]
      sff'(1) c
    proof (rule arg-cong[where f = smult a], unfold fs, insert sff'(2) nz, induct
psi)
      case (Cons pi psi)
      obtain p i where pi: pi = (p,i) by force
      obtain gs where gs: main-int-poly-factorization p = gs by auto
      from Cons(2)[of p i] have p: square-free p degree p > 0 unfolding pi by
auto
      from Cons(3)[of p i] have nz: coeff p 0 ≠ 0 unfolding pi by auto
      from main-int-poly-factorization[OF gs p nz] have pgs: p = prod-list gs by
auto
      have fact: fact (p,i) = map (λ g. (g,i)) gs unfolding fact split gs by auto
      have cong: ⋀ x y X Y. x = X ⟹ y = Y ⟹ x * y = X * Y by auto
        show ?case unfolding pi list.simps prod-list.Cons split fact concat.simps
prod-list.append
          map-append
      proof (rule cong)
        show p ^ Suc i = (∏ (a, i)←map (λg. (g, i)) gs. a ^ Suc i) unfolding pgs
          by (induct gs, auto simp: ac-simps power-mult-distrib)
       show (∏ (a, i)←psi. a ^ Suc i) = (∏ (a, i)←concat (map fact psi). a ^ Suc
i)
          by (rule Cons(1), insert Cons(2−3), auto)
      qed
    qed simp
    {
      fix i j l fi
      assume *: j < length psi l < length (fact (psi ! j)) fact (psi ! j) ! l = (fi, i)
      from * have psi: psi ! j ∈ set psi by auto
      obtain d k where dk: psi ! j = (d,k) by force
```

```
    with ∗ have psij: psi ! j = (d,i) unfolding fact split by auto
     from sff'(2)[OF psi[unfolded psij]] have d: square-free d degree d > 0 by
auto
    from nz[OF psi[unfolded psij]] have d0: coeff d 0 ≠ 0 .
    from ∗ psij fact
     have bz: main-int-poly-factorization d = map fst (fact (psi ! j)) by (auto
simp: o-def)
    from main-int-poly-factorization[OF bz d d0] pr[OF psi[unfolded dk]]
    have dhs: d = prod-list (map fst (fact (psi ! j))) by auto
    from ∗ have mem: fi ∈ set (map fst (fact (psi ! j)))
      by (metis fst-conv image-eqI nth-mem set-map)
    from mem dhs psij d have ∃ d. fi ∈ set (map fst (fact (psi ! j))) ∧
      d = prod-list (map fst (fact (psi ! j))) ∧
      psi ! j = (d, i) ∧
      square-free d by blast
  } note deconstruct = this
  {
    fix k K fi i Fi I
    assume k: k < length fs K < length fs and f: fs ! k = (fi, i) fs ! K = (Fi, I)
    and diff: k ≠ K
    from nth-concat-diff[OF k[unfolded fs] diff, folded fs, unfolded length-map]
      obtain j l J L where diff: (j, l) ≠ (J, L)
        and j: j < length psi J < length psi
        and l: l < length (map fact psi ! j) L < length (map fact psi ! J)
       and fs: fs ! k = map fact psi ! j ! l fs ! K = map fact psi ! J ! L by blast+
    hence psij: psi ! j ∈ set psi by auto
    from j have id: map fact psi ! j = fact (psi ! j) map fact psi ! J = fact (psi
! J) by auto
    note l = l[unfolded id] note fs = fs[unfolded id]
    from j have psi: psi ! j ∈ set psi psi ! J ∈ set psi by auto
    from deconstruct[OF j(1) l(1) fs(1)[unfolded f, symmetric]]
    obtain d where mem: fi ∈ set (map fst (fact (psi ! j)))
      and d: d = prod-list (map fst (fact (psi ! j))) psi ! j = (d, i) square-free d
by blast
    from deconstruct[OF j(2) l(2) fs(2)[unfolded f, symmetric]]
    obtain D where Mem: Fi ∈ set (map fst (fact (psi ! J)))
      and D: D = prod-list (map fst (fact (psi ! J))) psi ! J = (D, I) square-free
D by blast
    from pr[OF psij[unfolded d(2)]] have cnt: primitive d .
    have coprime fi Fi
    proof (cases J = j)
      case False
      from sff'(5) False j have (d,i) ≠ (D,I)
        unfolding distinct-conv-nth d(2)[symmetric] D(2)[symmetric] by auto
      from sff'(3)[OF psi[unfolded d(2) D(2)] this]
      have cop: coprime d D by auto
      from prod-list-dvd[OF mem, folded d(1)] have fid: fi dvd d by auto
      from prod-list-dvd[OF Mem, folded D(1)] have FiD: Fi dvd D by auto
      from coprime-divisors[OF fid FiD] cop show ?thesis by simp
```

```
    next
      case True note id = this
      from id diff have diff: l ≠ L by auto
      obtain bz where bz: bz = map fst (fact (psi ! j)) by auto
      from fs[unfolded f] l
      have fi: fi = bz ! l Fi = bz ! L
        unfolding id bz by (metis fst-conv nth-map)+
      from d[folded bz] have sf: square-free (prod-list bz) by auto
      from d[folded bz] cnt have cnt: content (prod-list bz) = 1 by auto
      from l have l: l < length bz L < length bz unfolding bz id by auto
      from l fi have fi ∈ set bz by auto
      from content-dvd-1[OF cnt prod-list-dvd[OF this]] have cnt: content fi = 1
.
      obtain g where g: g = gcd fi Fi by auto
      have g': g dvd fi g dvd Fi unfolding g by auto
      define bef where bef = take l bz
      define aft where aft = drop (Suc l) bz
       from id-take-nth-drop[OF l(1)] l have bz: bz = bef @ fi # aft and bef:
length bef = l
        unfolding bef-def aft-def fi by auto
      with l diff have mem: Fi ∈ set (bef @ aft) unfolding fi(2) by (auto simp:
nth-append)
      from split-list[OF this] obtain Bef Aft where ba: bef @ aft = Bef @ Fi #
Aft by auto
      have prod-list bz = fi * prod-list (bef @ aft) unfolding bz by simp
      also have prod-list (bef @ aft) = Fi * prod-list (Bef @ Aft) unfolding ba
by auto
      finally have fi * Fi dvd prod-list bz by auto
      with g' have g * g dvd prod-list bz by (meson dvd-trans mult-dvd-mono)
      with sf[unfolded square-free-def] have deg: degree g = 0 by auto
      from content-dvd-1[OF cnt g'(1)] have cnt: content g = 1 .
      from degree0-coeffs[OF deg] obtain c where gc: g = [: c :] by auto
      from cnt[unfolded gc content-def, simplified] have abs c = 1
        by (cases c = 0, auto)
      with g gc have gcd fi Fi ∈ {1,-1} by fastforce
      thus coprime fi Fi
        by (auto intro!: gcd-eq-1-imp-coprime)
          (metis dvd-minus-iff dvd-refl is-unit-gcd-iff one-neq-neg-one)
    qed
  } note cop = this
  show dist: distinct fs unfolding distinct-conv-nth
  proof (intro impI allI)
    fix k K
    assume k: k < length fs K < length fs and diff: k ≠ K
    obtain fi i Fi I where f: fs ! k = (fi,i) fs ! K = (Fi,I) by force+
    from cop[OF k f diff] have cop: coprime fi Fi .
    from k(1) f(1) have (fi,i) ∈ set fs unfolding set-conv-nth by force
    from internal-int-poly-factorization-mem[OF assms(1) res this] have degree
fi > 0 by auto
```

```
    hence ¬ is-unit fi by (simp add: poly-dvd-1)
    with cop coprime-id-is-unit[of fi] have fi ≠ Fi by auto
    thus fs ! k ≠ fs ! K unfolding f by auto
   qed
   show f = smult c (∏(a, i)∈set fs. a ^ Suc i) unfolding eq
    prod.distinct-set-conv-list[OF dist] by simp
   fix fi i Fi I
   assume mem: (fi, i) ∈ set fs (Fi,I) ∈ set fs and diff: (fi, i) ≠ (Fi, I)
   then obtain k K where k: k < length fs K < length fs
    and f: fs ! k = (fi, i) fs ! K = (Fi, I) unfolding set-conv-nth by auto
   with diff have diff: k ≠ K by auto
   from cop[OF k f diff] show Rings.coprime fi Fi by auto
  qed
qed

lemma factorize-int-last-nz-poly: assumes res: factorize-int-last-nz-poly f = (c,fs)
   and nz: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
shows square-free-factorization f (c,fs)
  (fi,i) ∈ set fs ⟹ irreducible fi
  (fi,i) ∈ set fs ⟹ degree fi ≠ 0
proof (atomize(full))
  from nz have lz: lead-coeff f ≠ 0 by auto
  note res = res[unfolded factorize-int-last-nz-poly-def Let-def]
  consider (0) degree f = 0
   | (1) degree f = 1
   | (2) degree f > 1 by linarith
  then show square-free-factorization f (c,fs) ∧ ((fi,i) ∈ set fs ⟶ irreducible fi)
∧ ((fi,i) ∈ set fs ⟶ degree fi ≠ 0)
  proof cases
   case 0
   from degree0-coeffs[OF 0] obtain a where f: f = [:a:] by auto
   from res show ?thesis unfolding square-free-factorization-def f by auto
  next
   case 1
   then have irr: irreducible (primitive-part f)
    by (auto intro!: linear-primitive-irreducible content-primitive-part)
   from irreducible-imp-square-free[OF irr] have sf: square-free (primitive-part f)
.
   from 1 have f0: f ≠ 0 by auto
    from res irr sf f0 show ?thesis unfolding square-free-factorization-def by
(auto simp: 1)
  next
   case 2
   with res have internal-int-poly-factorization f = (c,fs) by auto
  from internal-int-poly-factorization[OF nz this] internal-int-poly-factorization-mem[OF
nz this]
   show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
```

lemma *factorize-int-poly*: **assumes** *res*: *factorize-int-poly-generic f* = (*c*,*fs*)
shows *square-free-factorization f* (*c*,*fs*)
(*fi*,*i*) ∈ *set fs* ⟹ *irreducible fi*
(*fi*,*i*) ∈ *set fs* ⟹ *degree fi* ≠ *0*
proof (*atomize*(*full*))
obtain *n g* **where** *xs*: *x-split f* = (*n*,*g*) **by** *force*
obtain *d hs* **where** *fact*: *factorize-int-last-nz-poly g* = (*d*,*hs*) **by** *force*
from *res*[*unfolded factorize-int-poly-generic-def xs split fact*]
have *res*: (*if g* = *0 then* (*0*, []) *else if n* = *0 then* (*d*, *hs*) *else* (*d*, (*monom 1 1*, *n* − *1*) # *hs*)) = (*c*, *fs*) **.**
note *xs* = *x-split*[*OF xs*]
show *square-free-factorization f* (*c*,*fs*) ∧ ((*fi*,*i*) ∈ *set fs* ⟶ *irreducible fi*) ∧ ((*fi*,*i*) ∈ *set fs* ⟶ *degree fi* ≠ *0*)
proof (*cases g* = *0*)
case *True*
hence *f* = *0 c* = *0 fs* = [] **using** *res xs* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *square-free-factorization-def* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
with *xs* **have** ¬ *monom 1 1 dvd g* **by** *auto*
hence *coeff g 0* ≠ *0* **by** (*simp add*: *monom-1-dvd-iff'*)
note *fact* = *factorize-int-last-nz-poly*[*OF fact this*]
let *?x* = *monom 1 1* :: *int poly*
have *x*: *content ?x* = *1* ∧ *lead-coeff ?x* = *1* ∧ *degree ?x* = *1*
by (*auto simp add*: *degree-monom-eq monom-Suc content-def*)
from *res False* **have** *res*: (*if n* = *0 then* (*d*, *hs*) *else* (*d*, (*?x*, *n* − *1*) # *hs*)) = (*c*, *fs*) **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases n*)
case *0*
with *res xs* **have** *id*: *fs* = *hs c* = *d f* = *g* **by** *auto*
from *fact* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *id* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc m*)
with *res* **have** *id*: *c* = *d fs* = (*?x*,*m*) # *hs* **by** *auto*
from *Suc xs* **have** *fg*: *f* = *monom 1* (*Suc m*) ∗ *g* **and** *dvd*: ¬ *?x dvd g* **by** *auto*
from *x linear-primitive-irreducible*[*of ?x*] **have** *irr*: *irreducible ?x* **by** *auto*
from *irreducible-imp-square-free*[*OF this*] **have** *sfx*: *square-free ?x* **.**
from *irr fact* **have** *one*: (*fi*, *i*) ∈ *set fs* ⟶ *irreducible fi* ∧ *degree fi* ≠ *0* **unfolding** *id* **by** (*auto simp*: *degree-monom-eq*)
have *fg*: *f* = *?x* ^ *n* ∗ *g* **unfolding** *fg Suc* **by** (*metis x-pow-n*)
from *x* **have** *degx*: *degree ?x* = *1* **by** *simp*
note *sf* = *square-free-factorizationD*[*OF fact*(*1*)]
{
fix *a i*
assume *ai*: (*a*,*i*) ∈ *set hs*
with *sf*(*4*) **have** *g0*: *g* ≠ *0* **by** *auto*

```
      from split-list[OF ai] obtain ys zs where hs: hs = ys @ (a,i) # zs by auto
       have a dvd g unfolding square-free-factorization-prod-list[OF fact(1)] hs
         by (rule dvd-smult, simp add: ac-simps)
       moreover have ¬ ?x dvd g using xs[unfolded Suc] by auto
       ultimately have dvd: ¬ ?x dvd a using dvd-trans by blast
       from sf(2)[OF ai] have a ≠ 0 by auto
       have 1 = gcd ?x a
       proof (rule gcdI)
         fix d
         assume d: d dvd ?x d dvd a
          from content-dvd-contentI[OF d(1)] x have cnt: is-unit (content d) by
auto
         show is-unit d
         proof (cases degree d = 1)
           case False
           with divides-degree[OF d(1), unfolded degx] have degree d = 0 by auto
           from degree0-coeffs[OF this] obtain c where dc: d = [:c:] by auto
            from cnt[unfolded dc] have is-unit c by (auto simp: content-def, cases
c = 0, auto)
            hence d * d = 1 unfolding dc by (auto, cases c = −1; cases c = 1,
auto)
           thus is-unit d by (metis dvd-triv-right)
         next
           case True
          from d(1) obtain e where xde: ?x = d * e unfolding dvd-def by auto
           from arg-cong[OF this, of degree] degx have degree d + degree e = 1
             by (metis True add.right-neutral degree-0 degree-mult-eq one-neq-zero)
           with True have degree e = 0 by auto
          from degree0-coeffs[OF this] xde obtain e where xde: ?x = [:e:] * d by
auto
           from arg-cong[OF this, of content, unfolded content-mult] x
           have content [:e:] * content d = 1 by auto
            also have content [:e :] = abs e by (auto simp: content-def, cases e =
0, auto)
           finally have |e| * content d = 1 .
            from pos-zmult-eq-1-iff-lemma[OF this] have e * e = 1 by (cases e =
1; cases e = −1, auto)
           with arg-cong[OF xde, of smult e] have d = ?x * [:e:] by auto
           hence ?x dvd d unfolding dvd-def by blast
           with d(2) have ?x dvd a by (metis dvd-trans)
           with dvd show ?thesis by auto
         qed
       qed auto
       hence coprime ?x a
         by (simp add: gcd-eq-1-imp-coprime)
       note this dvd
     } note hs-dvd-x = this
     from hs-dvd-x[of ?x m]
     have nmem: (?x,m) ∉ set hs by auto
```

```
    hence eq: ?x ^ n * g = smult d (∏(a, i)∈set fs. a ^ Suc i)
      unfolding sf(1) unfolding id Suc by simp
    have eq0: ?x ^ n * g = 0 ⟷ g = 0 by simp
  have square-free-factorization f (d,fs) unfolding fg id(1) square-free-factorization-def
split eq0 unfolding eq
    proof (intro conjI allI impI, rule refl)
      fix a i
      assume ai: (a,i) ∈ set fs
     thus square-free a degree a > 0 using sf(2) sfx degx unfolding id by auto
      fix b j
      assume bj: (b,j) ∈ set fs and diff: (a,i) ≠ (b,j)
      consider (hs-hs) (a,i) ∈ set hs (b,j) ∈ set hs
        | (hs-x) (a,i) ∈ set hs b = ?x
        | (x-hs) (b,j) ∈ set hs a = ?x
        using ai bj diff unfolding id by auto
      thus Rings.coprime a b
      proof cases
        case hs-hs
        from sf(3)[OF this diff] show ?thesis .
      next
        case hs-x
        from hs-dvd-x(1)[OF hs-x(1)] show ?thesis unfolding hs-x(2)
          by (simp add: ac-simps)
      next
        case x-hs
        from hs-dvd-x(1)[OF x-hs(1)] show ?thesis unfolding x-hs(2)
          by simp
      qed
    next
      show g = 0 ⟹ d = 0 using sf(4) by auto
      show g = 0 ⟹ fs = [] using sf(4) xs Suc by auto
      show distinct fs using sf(5) nmem unfolding id by auto
    qed
    thus ?thesis using one unfolding id by auto
  qed
 qed
qed
end

lift-definition berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-algorithm :: int-poly-factorization-algorithm
 is berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization
 using berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-irreducible_d by blast

abbreviation factorize-int-poly where
 factorize-int-poly ≡ factorize-int-poly-generic berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-algorithm

end
```

11.4 Factoring Rational Polynomials

We combine the factorization algorithm for integer polynomials with Gauss Lemma to a factorization algorithm for rational polynomials.

theory *Factorize-Rat-Poly*
imports
Factorize-Int-Poly
begin

interpretation *content-hom*: *monoid-mult-hom*
content::'*a*::{*factorial-semiring*, *semiring-gcd*, *normalization-semidom-multiplicative*}
poly ⇒ -
by (*unfold-locales*, *auto simp*: *content-mult*)

lemma *prod-dvd-1-imp-all-dvd-1*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *prod f X dvd 1* **and** *x* ∈ *X* **shows** *f x dvd 1*
proof (*insert assms*, *induct rule*:*finite-induct*)
case *IH*: (*insert x' X*)
show *?case*
proof (*cases x* = *x'*)
case *True*
with *IH* **show** *?thesis* **using** *dvd-trans*[*of f x' f x'* ∗ - *1*]
by (*metis dvd-triv-left prod.insert*)
next
case *False*
then show *?thesis* **using** *IH* **by** (*auto intro*!: *IH*(*3*) *dvd-trans*[*of prod f X* - ∗
prod f X 1])
qed
qed *simp*

context
fixes *alg* :: *int-poly-factorization-algorithm*
begin
definition *factorize-rat-poly-generic* :: *rat poly* ⇒ *rat* × (*rat poly* × *nat*) *list* **where**
factorize-rat-poly-generic f = (*case rat-to-normalized-int-poly f of*
(*c*,*g*) ⇒ *case factorize-int-poly-generic alg g of* (*d*,*fs*) ⇒ (*c* ∗ *rat-of-int d*,
map (λ (*fi*,*i*). (*map-poly rat-of-int fi*, *i*)) *fs*))

lemma *factorize-rat-poly-0*[*simp*]: *factorize-rat-poly-generic 0* = (*0*,[])
unfolding *factorize-rat-poly-generic-def rat-to-normalized-int-poly-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *factorize-rat-poly*:
assumes *res*: *factorize-rat-poly-generic f* = (*c*,*fs*)
shows *square-free-factorization f* (*c*,*fs*)
and (*fi*,*i*) ∈ *set fs* ⟹ *irreducible fi*
proof(*atomize*(*full*), *cases f*=*0*, *goal-cases*)
case *1* **with** *res* **show** *?case* **by** (*auto simp*: *square-free-factorization-def*)
next

case *2* **show** *?case*
proof (*unfold square-free-factorization-def split*, *intro conjI impI allI*)
let *?r* = *rat-of-int*
let *?rp* = *map-poly ?r*
obtain *d g* **where** *ri*: *rat-to-normalized-int-poly f* = (*d*,*g*) **by** *force*
obtain *e gs* **where** *fi*: *factorize-int-poly-generic alg g* = (*e*,*gs*) **by** *force*
from *res*[*unfolded factorize-rat-poly-generic-def ri fi split*]
have *c*: *c* = *d* ∗ *?r e* **and** *fs*: *fs* = *map* (λ (*fi*,*i*). (*?rp fi*, *i*)) *gs* **by** *auto*
from *factorize-int-poly*[*OF fi*]
have *irr*: (*fi*, *i*) ∈ *set gs* ⟹ *irreducible fi* ∧ *content fi* = *1* **for** *fi i*
using *irreducible-imp-primitive*[*of fi*] **by** *auto*
note *sff* = *factorize-int-poly*(*1*)[*OF fi*]
note *sff′* = *square-free-factorizationD*[*OF sff*]
{
fix *n f*
have *?rp* (*f* ^ *n*) = (*?rp f*) ^ *n*
by (*induct n*, *auto simp*: *hom-distribs*)
} **note** *exp* = *this*
show *dist*: *distinct fs* **using** *sff′*(*5*) **unfolding** *fs distinct-map inj-on-def* **by** *auto*
interpret *mh*: *map-poly-inj-idom-hom rat-of-int*..
have *f* = *smult d* (*?rp g*) **using** *rat-to-normalized-int-poly*[*OF ri*] **by** *auto*
also have ... = *smult d* (*?rp* (*smult e* (∏(*a*, *i*)∈*set gs*. *a* ^ *Suc i*))) **using** *sff′*(*1*) **by** *simp*
also have ... = *smult c* (*?rp* (∏(*a*, *i*)∈*set gs*. *a* ^ *Suc i*)) **unfolding** *c* **by** (*simp add*: *hom-distribs*)
also have *?rp* (∏(*a*, *i*)∈*set gs*. *a* ^ *Suc i*) = (∏(*a*, *i*)∈*set fs*. *a* ^ *Suc i*)
unfolding *prod.distinct-set-conv-list*[*OF sff′*(*5*)] *prod.distinct-set-conv-list*[*OF dist*]
unfolding *fs*
by (*insert exp*, *auto intro*!: *arg-cong*[*of - - λx*. *prod-list* (*map x gs*)] *simp*: *hom-distribs of-int-poly-hom.hom-prod-list*)
finally show *f*: *f* = *smult c* (∏(*a*, *i*)∈*set fs*. *a* ^ *Suc i*) **by** *auto*
{
fix *a i*
assume *ai*: (*a*,*i*) ∈ *set fs*
from *ai* **obtain** *A* **where** *a*: *a* = *?rp A* **and** *A*: (*A*,*i*) ∈ *set gs* **unfolding** *fs* **by** *auto*
fix *b j*
assume (*b*,*j*) ∈ *set fs* **and** *diff*: (*a*,*i*) ≠ (*b*,*j*)
from *this*(*1*) **obtain** *B* **where** *b*: *b* = *?rp B* **and** *B*: (*B*,*j*) ∈ *set gs* **unfolding** *fs* **by** *auto*
from *diff*[*unfolded a b*] **have** (*A*,*i*) ≠ (*B*,*j*) **by** *auto*
from *sff′*(*3*)[*OF A B this*]
show *Rings.coprime a b*
by (*auto simp add*: *coprime-iff-gcd-eq-1 gcd-rat-to-gcd-int a b*)
}
{
fix *fi i*

```
    assume (fi,i) ∈ set fs
    then obtain gi where fi: fi = ?rp gi and gi: (gi,i) ∈ set gs unfolding fs
by auto
    from irr[OF gi] have cf-gi: primitive gi by auto
    then have primitive (?rp gi) by (auto simp: content-field-poly)
  note [simp] = irreducible-primitive-connect[OF cf-gi] irreducible-primitive-connect[OF
this]
    show irreducible fi
    using irr[OF gi] fi irreducible_d-int-rat[of gi,simplified] by auto
    then show degree fi > 0 square-free fi unfolding fi
      by (auto intro: irreducible-imp-square-free)
  }
  {
  assume f = 0 with ri have *: d = 1 g = 0 unfolding rat-to-normalized-int-poly-def
by auto
    with sff'(4)[OF *(2)] show c = 0 fs = [] unfolding c fs by auto
  }
 qed
qed

end

abbreviation factorize-rat-poly where
 factorize-rat-poly ≡ factorize-rat-poly-generic berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-algorithm


end
```

References

[1] G. Barthe, B. Grégoire, S. Heraud, F. Olmedo, and S. Z. Béguelin. Verified indifferentiable hashing into elliptic curves. In *POST 2012*, volume 7215 of *LNCS*, pages 209–228, 2012.

[2] E. R. Berlekamp. Factoring polynomials over finite fields. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 46:1853–1859, 1967.

[3] D. G. Cantor and H. Zassenhaus. A new algorithm for factoring polynomials over finite fields. *Math. Comput.*, 36(154):587–592, 1981.

[4] J. R. Cowles and R. Gamboa. Unique factorization in ACL2: Euclidean domains. In *Proceedings of the sixth international workshop on the ACL2 theorem prover and its applications*, pages 21–27. ACM, 2006.

[5] B. Huffman and O. Kunčar. Lifting and transfer: A modular design for quotients in Isabelle/HOL. In *CPP 2013*, volume 8307 of *LNCS*, pages 131–146, 2013.

[6] B. Kirkels. *Irreducibility Certificates for Polynomials with Integer Coefficients*. PhD thesis, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen, 2004.

[7] D. E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume II: Seminumerical Algorithms, 2nd Edition*. Addison-Wesley, 1981.

[8] H. Kobayashi, H. Suzuki, and Y. Ono. Formalization of Hensel's lemma. In *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics: Emerging Trends Proceedings*, volume 1, pages 114–118, 2005.

[9] O. Kunčar and A. Popescu. From types to sets by local type definitions in higher-order logic. In *ITP 2016*, volume 9807 of *LNCS*, pages 200–218, 2016.

[10] É. Martin-Dorel, G. Hanrot, M. Mayero, and L. Théry. Formally verified certificate checkers for hardest-to-round computation. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 54(1):1–29, 2015.

[11] T. Nipkow, L. Paulson, and M. Wenzel. *Isabelle/HOL – A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic*, volume 2283 of *LNCS*. Springer, 2002.

[12] R. Thiemann and A. Yamada. Algebraic numbers in Isabelle/HOL. In *ITP 2016*, volume 9807 of *LNCS*, pages 391–408, 2016.

[13] H. Zassenhaus. On Hensel factorization, I. *Journal of Number Theory*, 1(3):291–311, 1969.