

The BKR Decision Procedure for Univariate Real Arithmetic

Katherine Cordwell, Yong Kiam Tan, and André Platzer

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize the univariate case of Ben-Or, Kozen, and Reif’s decision procedure for first-order real arithmetic [1] (the BKR algorithm). We also formalize the univariate case of Renegar’s variation [2] of the BKR algorithm. The two formalizations differ mathematically in minor ways (that have significant impact on the multivariate case), but are quite similar in proof structure. Both rely on sign-determination (finding the set of consistent sign assignments for a set of polynomials). The method used for sign-determination is similar to Tarski’s original quantifier elimination algorithm (it stores key information in a matrix equation), but with a reduction step to keep complexity low.

Remark

Note that theories `BKR_Decimal` and `Renegar_Decimal` inherit oracles `holds_by_evaluation` and `cancel_type_definition` from `Berlekamp_Zassenhaus`.

Contents

1 Kronecker Product	4
2 More DL Rank	5
3 Results on Invertibility	13
4 Setup	18
5 Base Case	18
6 Smashing	19
7 Reduction	20

8 Overall algorithm	21
9 Results with Sturm's Theorem	21
10 Setting up the construction: Definitions	22
11 Setting up the construction: Proofs	24
12 Base Case	30
13 Inductive Step	31
13.1 Lemmas on smashing subsets and signs	31
13.2 Well-defined subsets preserved when smashing	32
13.3 Well def signs preserved when smashing	32
13.4 Distinct signs preserved when smashing	32
13.5 Consistent sign assignments preserved when smashing	33
13.6 Main Results	33
14 Reduction Step Proofs	35
14.1 Showing sign conditions preserved when reducing	36
14.2 Showing matrix equation preserved when reducing	39
14.3 Showing matrix preserved	40
14.4 Showing invertibility preserved when reducing	41
14.5 Well def signs preserved when reducing	43
14.6 Distinct signs preserved when reducing	43
14.7 Well def subsets preserved when reducing	43
15 Overall Lemmas	44
15.1 Key properties preserved	44
15.1.1 Properties preserved when combining and reducing systems	44
15.1.2 For length 1 qs	45
15.1.3 For arbitrary qs	45
15.2 Some key results on consistent sign assignments	46
16 Algorithm	47
16.1 Parsing	47
16.2 Factoring	49
16.3 Auxiliary Polynomial	49
16.4 Setting Up the Procedure	50
16.5 Deciding Univariate Problems	50

17 Proofs	51
17.1 Parsing and Semantics	51
17.2 Factoring Lemmas	53
17.3 Auxiliary Polynomial Lemmas	56
17.4 Setting Up the Procedure: Lemmas	58
17.5 Decision Procedure: Main Lemmas	62
18 Base Case	63
19 Smashing	63
20 Reduction	64
21 Overall algorithm	64
22 Tarski Queries Changed	65
23 Matrix Equation	66
24 Base Case	72
25 Inductive Step	74
25.1 Lemmas on smashing subsets	74
25.2 Well-defined subsets preserved when smashing	75
25.3 Consistent Sign Assignments Preserved When Smashing	75
25.4 Main Results	75
26 Reduction Step Proofs	77
26.1 Showing sign conditions preserved when reducing	77
26.2 Showing matrix equation preserved when reducing	79
26.3 Showing matrix preserved	80
26.4 Showing invertibility preserved when reducing	81
26.5 Well def signs preserved when reducing	83
26.6 Distinct signs preserved when reducing	83
26.7 Well def subsets preserved when reducing	83
27 Overall Lemmas	84
27.1 Key properties preserved	84
27.1.1 Properties preserved when combining and reducing systems	84
27.1.2 For length 1 qs	85
27.1.3 For arbitrary qs	85
27.2 Some key results on consistent sign assignments	86

28 Algorithm	87
28.1 Proofs	88
theory More-Matrix	
imports Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix	
Jordan-Normal-Form.DL-Rank	
Jordan-Normal-Form.VS-Connect	
Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-Elimination	
begin	

1 Kronecker Product

```

definition kronecker-product :: 'a :: ring mat ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat where
    kronecker-product A B =
        (let ra = dim-row A; ca = dim-col A;
         rb = dim-row B; cb = dim-col B
        in
            mat (ra*rb) (ca*cb)
            (λ(i,j).
                A $$ (i div rb, j div cb) *
                B $$ (i mod rb, j mod cb)
            ))

```

lemma arith:

```

assumes d < a
assumes c < b
shows b*d+c < a*(b::nat)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma dim-kronecker[simp]:

```

dim-row (kronecker-product A B) = dim-row A * dim-row B
dim-col (kronecker-product A B) = dim-col A * dim-col B
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma kronecker-inverse-index:

```

assumes r < dim-row A s < dim-col A
assumes v < dim-row B w < dim-col B
shows kronecker-product A B $$ (dim-row B*r+v, dim-col B*s+w) = A $$ (r,s)
* B $$ (v,w)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma kronecker-distr-left:

```

assumes dim-row B = dim-row C dim-col B = dim-col C
shows kronecker-product A (B+C) = kronecker-product A B + kronecker-product
A C
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma kronecker-distr-right:

```

assumes dim-row B = dim-row C dim-col B = dim-col C
shows kronecker-product (B+C) A = kronecker-product B A + kronecker-product

```

$C A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *index-mat-mod[simp]*: $nr > 0 \ \& \ nc > 0 \implies \text{mat } nr \ nc \ f \ \$\$ (i \ \text{mod} \ nr, j \ \text{mod} \ nc) = f (i \ \text{mod} \ nr, j \ \text{mod} \ nc)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kronecker-assoc*:
shows $\text{kronecker-product } A (\text{kronecker-product } B C) = \text{kronecker-product} (\text{kronecker-product } A B) C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-sum-mod-div*:
 $(\sum ia = 0..n \cdot \sum ja = 0..m. f ia ja) =$
 $(\sum ia = 0..n \cdot f (ia \ \text{div} \ m) (ia \ \text{mod} \ m))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kronecker-of-mult*:
assumes $\text{dim-col } (A :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring mat}) = \text{dim-row } C$
assumes $\text{dim-col } B = \text{dim-row } D$
shows $\text{kronecker-product } A B * \text{kronecker-product } C D = \text{kronecker-product} (A * C) (B * D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inverts-mat-length*:
assumes $\text{square-mat } A \text{ inverts-mat } A B \text{ inverts-mat } B A$
shows $\text{dim-row } B = \text{dim-row } A \ \text{dim-col } B = \text{dim-col } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-mult-imp-mod-less*:
 $m \ \text{mod} \ i < i \ \text{if} \ m < n * i$ **for** $m \ n \ i :: \text{nat}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kronecker-one*:
shows $\text{kronecker-product} ((1_m x) :: 'a :: \text{ring-1 mat}) (1_m y) = 1_m (x * y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kronecker-invertible*:
assumes $\text{invertible-mat } (A :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 mat}) \text{ invertible-mat } B$
shows $\text{invertible-mat} (\text{kronecker-product } A B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2 More DL Rank

instantiation $\text{mat} :: (\text{conjugate}) \ \text{conjugate}$
begin

definition $\text{conjugate-mat} :: 'a :: \text{conjugate mat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mat}$

```

where conjugate m = mat (dim-row m) (dim-col m) ( $\lambda(i,j).$  conjugate (m  $\$$   $\$(i,j))$ )

lemma dim-row-conjugate[simp]: dim-row (conjugate m) = dim-row m
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dim-col-conjugate[simp]: dim-col (conjugate m) = dim-col m
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma carrier-vec-conjugate[simp]: m  $\in$  carrier-mat nr nc  $\Rightarrow$  conjugate m  $\in$ 
carrier-mat nr nc
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mat-index-conjugate[simp]:
  shows i  $<$  dim-row m  $\Rightarrow$  j  $<$  dim-col m  $\Rightarrow$  conjugate m  $\$$   $\$(i,j)$  = conjugate
(m  $\$$   $\$(i,j))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma row-conjugate[simp]: i  $<$  dim-row m  $\Rightarrow$  row (conjugate m) i = conjugate
(row m i)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma col-conjugate[simp]: i  $<$  dim-col m  $\Rightarrow$  col (conjugate m) i = conjugate
(col m i)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rows-conjugate: rows (conjugate m) = map conjugate (rows m)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cols-conjugate: cols (conjugate m) = map conjugate (cols m)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

abbreviation conjugate-transpose :: 'a::conjugate mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat
  where conjugate-transpose A  $\equiv$  conjugate (AT)

notation conjugate-transpose (( $\cdot$ )-H) [1000]

lemma transpose-conjugate:
  shows (conjugate A)T = AH
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma vec-module-col-helper:
  fixes A::('a :: field) mat
  shows (0v (dim-row A))  $\in$  LinearCombinations.module.span class-ring () carrier =

```

carrier-vec (*dim-row A*), *mult* = *undefined*, *one* = *undefined*, *zero* = 0_v (*dim-row A*), *add* = $(+)$, *smult* = (\cdot_v) (set (*cols A*))
{proof}

lemma *vec-module-col-helper2*:

fixes *A*:: ('*a* :: field) mat
shows $\bigwedge a x. x \in \text{LinearCombinations.module.span class-ring}$
 $\{\text{carrier} = \text{carrier-vec} (\text{dim-row } A), \text{mult} = \text{undefined}, \text{one} = \text{undefined},$
 $\text{zero} = 0_v (\text{dim-row } A), \text{add} = (+), \text{smult} = (\cdot_v)\}$
 $(\text{set} (\text{cols } A)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge a b v. (a + b) \cdot_v v = a \cdot_v v + b \cdot_v v) \implies$
 $a \cdot_v x$
 $\in \text{LinearCombinations.module.span class-ring}$
 $\{\text{carrier} = \text{carrier-vec} (\text{dim-row } A), \text{mult} = \text{undefined}, \text{one} = \text{undefined},$
 $\text{zero} = 0_v (\text{dim-row } A), \text{add} = (+), \text{smult} = (\cdot_v)\}$
 $(\text{set} (\text{cols } A))$

{proof}

lemma *vec-module-col*: module (class-ring :: '*a* :: field ring)

(module-vec TYPE('a)
(*dim-row A*)
 $\{\text{carrier} :=$
 $\text{LinearCombinations.module.span}$
 $\text{class-ring} (\text{module-vec TYPE('a)} (\text{dim-row } A)) (\text{set} (\text{cols } A))\})$

{proof}

lemma *vec-vs-col*: vectorspace (class-ring :: '*a* :: field ring)

(module-vec TYPE('a) (*dim-row A*)
 $\{\text{carrier} :=$
 $\text{LinearCombinations.module.span}$
 class-ring
 $(\text{module-vec TYPE('a)}$
 $(\text{dim-row } A))$
 $(\text{set} (\text{cols } A))\})$

{proof}

lemma *cols-mat-mul-map*:

shows *cols* (*A* * *B*) = *map* ($(*_v)$ *A*) (*cols B*)
{proof}

lemma *cols-mat-mul*:

shows *set* (*cols* (*A* * *B*)) = $(*_v)$ *A* ‘ *set* (*cols B*)
{proof}

lemma *set-obtain-sublist*:

assumes *S* \subseteq *set ls*
obtains *ss* **where** *distinct ss S = set ss*
{proof}

```

lemma mul-mat-of-cols:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr\ n$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge j. j < \text{length } cs \implies cs ! j \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  shows  $A * (\text{mat-of-cols } n\ cs) = \text{mat-of-cols } nr\ (\text{map } ((*_v)\ A)\ cs)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma helper:
  fixes  $x\ y\ z :: 'a :: \{\text{conjugatable-ring}, \text{comm-ring}\}$ 
  shows  $x * (y * z) = y * x * z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cscalar-prod-conjugate-transpose:
  fixes  $x\ y :: 'a :: \{\text{conjugatable-ring}, \text{comm-ring}\} \text{ vec}$ 
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr\ nc$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } nr$ 
  assumes  $y \in \text{carrier-vec } nc$ 
  shows  $x \cdot_c (A *_v y) = (A^H *_v x) \cdot_c y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mat-mul-conjugate-transpose-vec-eq-0:
  fixes  $v :: 'a :: \{\text{conjugatable-ordered-ring}, \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors}, \text{comm-ring}\}$ 
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr\ nc$ 
  assumes  $v \in \text{carrier-vec } nr$ 
  assumes  $A *_v (A^H *_v v) = \theta_v\ nr$ 
  shows  $A^H *_v v = \theta_v\ nc$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma row-mat-of-cols:
  assumes  $i < nr$ 
  shows  $\text{row}(\text{mat-of-cols } nr\ ls)\ i = \text{vec}(\text{length } ls)\ (\lambda j. (ls ! j)\ $i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mat-of-cols-cons-mat-vec:
  fixes  $v :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring vec}$ 
  assumes  $v \in \text{carrier-vec } (\text{length } ls)$ 
  assumes  $\text{dim-vec } a = nr$ 
  shows
     $\text{mat-of-cols } nr\ (a \# ls) *_v (vCons\ m\ v) =$ 
     $m \cdot_v a + \text{mat-of-cols } nr\ ls *_v v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma smult-vec-zero:
  fixes  $v :: 'a :: \text{ring vec}$ 
  shows  $\theta_v \cdot_v v = \theta_v\ (\text{dim-vec } v)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma helper2:

```

```

fixes A ::'a::comm-ring mat
fixes v ::'a vec
assumes v ∈ carrier-vec (length ss)
assumes ⋀x. x ∈ set ls ==> dim-vec x = nr
shows
  mat-of-cols nr ss *v v =
  mat-of-cols nr (ls @ ss) *v (0v (length ls) @v v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-of-cols-mult-mat-vec-permute-list:
fixes v ::'a::comm-ring list
assumes f permutes {..<length ss}
assumes length ss = length v
shows
  mat-of-cols nr (permute-list f ss) *v vec-of-list (permute-list f v) =
  mat-of-cols nr ss *v vec-of-list v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subindex-permutation:
assumes distinct ss set ss ⊆ {..<length ls}
obtains f where f permutes {..<length ls}
  permute-list f ls = map ((!) ls) (filter (λi. i ∉ set ss) [0..<length ls]) @ map
  ((!) ls) ss
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subindex-permutation2:
assumes distinct ss set ss ⊆ {..<length ls}
obtains f where f permutes {..<length ls}
  ls = permute-list f (map ((!) ls) (filter (λi. i ∉ set ss) [0..<length ls]) @ map
  ((!) ls) ss)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-list-subset-nths:
assumes distinct ss set ss ⊆ set ls
obtains ids where distinct ids set ids ⊆ {..<length ls} ss = map ((!) ls) ids
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma helper3:
fixes A ::'a::comm-ring mat
assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
assumes ss:distinct ss set ss ⊆ set (cols A)
assumes v ∈ carrier-vec (length ss)
obtains c where mat-of-cols nr ss *v v = A *v c dim-vec c = nc
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-mul-conjugate transpose-sub-vec-eq-0:
fixes A ::'a :: {conjugatable-ordered-ring, semiring-no-zero-divisors, comm-ring}
mat

```

```

assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr nc$ 
assumes  $\text{distinct } ss \text{ set } ss \subseteq \text{set}(\text{cols}(A^H))$ 
assumes  $v \in \text{carrier-vec}(\text{length } ss)$ 
assumes  $A *_v (\text{mat-of-cols } nc ss *_v v) = \theta_v \text{ nr}$ 
shows  $(\text{mat-of-cols } nc ss *_v v) = \theta_v \text{ nc}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Units-invertible:
fixes  $A :: 'a::semiring-1 \text{ mat}$ 
assumes  $A \in \text{Units}(\text{ring-mat } \text{TYPE('a)} n b)$ 
shows  $\text{invertible-mat } A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma invertible-Units:
fixes  $A :: 'a::semiring-1 \text{ mat}$ 
assumes  $\text{invertible-mat } A$ 
shows  $A \in \text{Units}(\text{ring-mat } \text{TYPE('a)} (\text{dim-row } A) b)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma invertible-det:
fixes  $A :: 'a::field \text{ mat}$ 
assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ 
shows  $\text{invertible-mat } A \longleftrightarrow \det A \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

context vec-space begin

lemma find-indices-distinct:
assumes  $\text{distinct } ss$ 
assumes  $i < \text{length } ss$ 
shows  $\text{find-indices}(ss ! i) ss = [i]$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lin-indpt-lin-comb-list:
assumes  $\text{distinct } ss$ 
assumes  $\text{lin-indpt}(\text{set } ss)$ 
assumes  $\text{set } ss \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
assumes  $\text{lincomb-list } f ss = \theta_v \text{ n}$ 
assumes  $i < \text{length } ss$ 
shows  $f i = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma span-mat-mul-subset:
assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n d$ 
assumes  $B \in \text{carrier-mat } d nc$ 
shows  $\text{span}(\text{set}(\text{cols}(A * B))) \subseteq \text{span}(\text{set}(\text{cols } A))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rank-mat-mul-right:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n d$ 
  assumes  $B \in \text{carrier-mat } d nc$ 
  shows  $\text{rank}(A * B) \leq \text{rank } A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sumlist-drop:
  assumes  $\bigwedge v. v \in \text{set } ls \implies \text{dim-vec } v = n$ 
  shows  $\text{sumlist } ls = \text{sumlist}(\text{filter}(\lambda v. v \neq 0_v n) ls)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lincomb-list-alt:
  shows  $\text{lincomb-list } c s =$ 
     $\text{sumlist}(\text{map2}(\lambda i j. i \cdot_v s ! j)(\text{map}(\lambda i. c i)[0..<\text{length } s])[0..<\text{length } s])$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lincomb-list-alt2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge v. v \in \text{set } s \implies \text{dim-vec } v = n$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } ls \implies i < \text{length } s$ 
  shows
     $\text{sumlist}(\text{map2}(\lambda i j. i \cdot_v s ! j)(\text{map}(\lambda i. c i) ls) ls) =$ 
     $\text{sumlist}(\text{map2}(\lambda i j. i \cdot_v s ! j)(\text{map}(\lambda i. c i)(\text{filter}(\lambda i. c i \neq 0) ls))(\text{filter}(\lambda i. c i \neq 0) ls))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma two-set:
  assumes  $\text{distinct } ls$ 
  assumes  $\text{set } ls = \text{set } [a,b]$ 
  assumes  $a \neq b$ 
  shows  $ls = [a,b] \vee ls = [b,a]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma filter-disj-inds:
  assumes  $i < \text{length } ls$   $j < \text{length } ls$   $i \neq j$ 
  shows  $\text{filter}(\lambda ia. ia \neq j \longrightarrow ia = i)[0..<\text{length } ls] = [i,j] \vee$ 
     $\text{filter}(\lambda ia. ia \neq i \longrightarrow ia = i)[0..<\text{length } ls] = [j,i]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lincomb-list-indpt-distinct:
  assumes  $\bigwedge v. v \in \text{set } ls \implies \text{dim-vec } v = n$ 
  assumes
     $\bigwedge c. \text{lincomb-list } c ls = 0_v n \implies (\forall i. i < (\text{length } ls) \longrightarrow c i = 0)$ 
  shows  $\text{distinct } ls$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale conjugatable-vec-space = vec-space f-ty n for

```

```

f_ty::'a::conjugatable-ordered-field itself
and n
begin

lemma transpose-rank-mul-conjugate-transpose:
  fixes A :: 'a mat
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n nc
  shows vec-space.rank nc AH ≤ rank (A * AH)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma conjugate-transpose-rank-le:
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n nc
  shows vec-space.rank nc (AH) ≤ rank A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma conjugate-finsum:
  assumes f: f : U → carrier-vec n
  shows conjugate (finsum V f U) = finsum V (conjugate ∘ f) U
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-conjugate-le:
  assumes A:A ∈ carrier-mat n d
  shows rank (conjugate (A)) ≤ rank A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-conjugate:
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n d
  shows rank (conjugate A) = rank A
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma conjugate-transpose-rank:
  fixes A::'a::{conjugatable-ordered-field} mat
  shows vec-space.rank (dim-row A) A = vec-space.rank (dim-col A) (AH)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transpose-rank:
  fixes A::'a::{conjugatable-ordered-field} mat
  shows vec-space.rank (dim-row A) A = vec-space.rank (dim-col A) (AT)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-mat-mul-left:
  fixes A::'a::{conjugatable-ordered-field} mat
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n d
  assumes B ∈ carrier-mat d nc
  shows vec-space.rank n (A * B) ≤ vec-space.rank d B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3 Results on Invertibility

```

definition take-cols :: 'a mat ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a mat
  where take-cols A inds = mat-of-cols (dim-row A) (map ((!) (cols A)) (filter ((>) (dim-col A)) inds))

definition take-cols-var :: 'a mat ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a mat
  where take-cols-var A inds = mat-of-cols (dim-row A) (map ((!) (cols A)) (inds))

definition take-rows :: 'a mat ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a mat
  where take-rows A inds = mat-of-rows (dim-col A) (map ((!) (rows A)) (filter ((>) (dim-row A)) inds))

lemma cong1:
   $x = y \implies \text{mat-of-cols } n x = \text{mat-of-cols } n y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-filter:
  assumes  $j < \text{length } (\text{filter } P \text{ ls})$ 
  shows  $P ((\text{filter } P \text{ ls}) ! j)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma take-cols-mat-mul:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ n$ 
  assumes  $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ nc$ 
  shows  $A * \text{take-cols } B \text{ inds} = \text{take-cols } (A * B) \text{ inds}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma take-cols-carrier-mat:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc$ 
  obtains  $n$  where  $\text{take-cols } A \text{ inds} \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma take-cols-carrier-mat-strict:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } \text{inds} \implies i < nc$ 
  shows  $\text{take-cols } A \text{ inds} \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ (\text{length } \text{inds})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gauss-jordan-take-cols:
  assumes gauss-jordan A (take-cols A inds) = (C,D)
  shows D = take-cols C inds
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-col-take-cols:
  assumes  $\bigwedge j. j \in \text{set } \text{inds} \implies j < \text{dim-col } A$ 
  shows  $\text{dim-col } (\text{take-cols } A \text{ inds}) = \text{length } \text{inds}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dim-col-take-rows[simp]:
  shows dim-col (take-rows A inds) = dim-col A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cols-take-cols-subset:
  shows set (cols (take-cols A inds)) ⊆ set (cols A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-row-take-cols[simp]:
  shows dim-row (take-cols A ls) = dim-row A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-row-append-rows[simp]:
  shows dim-row (A @r B) = dim-row A + dim-row B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rows-inj:
  assumes dim-col A = dim-col B
  assumes rows A = rows B
  shows A = B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma append-rows-index:
  assumes dim-col A = dim-col B
  assumes i < dim-row A + dim-row B
  assumes j < dim-col A
  shows (A @r B) $$ (i,j) = (if i < dim-row A then A $$ (i,j) else B $$ (i-dim-row A,j))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma row-append-rows:
  assumes dim-col A = dim-col B
  assumes i < dim-row A + dim-row B
  shows row (A @r B) i = (if i < dim-row A then row A i else row B (i-dim-row A))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma append-rows-mat-mul:
  assumes dim-col A = dim-col B
  shows (A @r B) * C = A * C @r B * C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cardlt:
  shows card {i. i < (n::nat)} ≤ n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma row-echelon-form-zero-rows:
  assumes row-ech: row-echelon-form A
  assumes dim-asm: dim-col A ≥ dim-row A

```

```

shows take-rows A [0..<length (pivot-positions A)] @r 0_m (dim-row A - length
(pivot-positions A)) (dim-col A) = A
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-pivot-positions-dim-row:
assumes row-echelon-form A
shows length (pivot-positions A) ≤ dim-row A
⟨proof⟩

lemma rref-pivot-positions:
assumes row-echelon-form R
assumes R: R ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
shows ∀i j. (i,j) ∈ set (pivot-positions R) ⇒ i < nr ∧ j < nc
⟨proof⟩

lemma pivot-fun-monoton:
assumes pf: pivot-fun A f (dim-col A)
assumes dr: dim-row A = nr
shows ∀ i. i < nr ⇒ (∀ k. ((k < nr ∧ i < k) → f i ≤ f k))
⟨proof⟩

lemma pivot-positions-contains:
assumes row-ech: row-echelon-form A
assumes dim-h: dim-col A ≥ dim-row A
assumes pivot-fun A f (dim-col A)
shows ∀ i < (length (pivot-positions A)). (i, f i) ∈ set (pivot-positions A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pivot-positions-form-helper-1:
shows (a, b) ∈ set (pivot-positions-main-gen z A nr nc i j) ⇒ i ≤ a
⟨proof⟩

lemma pivot-positions-form-helper-2:
shows sorted-wrt (<) (map fst (pivot-positions-main-gen z A nr nc i j))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-pivot-positions:
shows sorted-wrt (<) (map fst (pivot-positions A))
⟨proof⟩

lemma pivot-positions-form:
assumes row-ech: row-echelon-form A
assumes dim-h: dim-col A ≥ dim-row A
shows ∀ i < (length (pivot-positions A)). fst ((pivot-positions A) ! i) = i
⟨proof⟩

lemma take-cols-pivot-eq:
assumes row-ech: row-echelon-form A
assumes dim-h: dim-col A ≥ dim-row A

```

```

shows take-cols A (map snd (pivot-positions A)) =
  1m (length (pivot-positions A)) @r
  0m (dim-row A - length (pivot-positions A)) (length (pivot-positions A))
⟨proof⟩

lemma rref-right-mul:
assumes row-echelon-form A
assumes dim-col A ≥ dim-row A
shows
  take-cols A (map snd (pivot-positions A)) * take-rows A [0..<length (pivot-positions
A)] = A
⟨proof⟩

context conjugatable-vec-space begin

lemma lin-indpt-id:
shows lin-indpt (set (cols (1m n)::'a vec list))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lin-indpt-take-cols-id:
shows lin-indpt (set (cols (take-cols (1m n) inds)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma cols-id-unit-vecs:
shows cols (1m d) = unit-vecs d
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-cols-id:
shows distinct (cols (1m d)::'a vec list)
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-map-nth:
assumes distinct ls
assumes distinct inds
assumes ⋀j. j ∈ set inds ⇒ j < length ls
shows distinct (map (!) ls) inds
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-take-cols-id:
assumes distinct inds
shows distinct (cols (take-cols (1m n) inds) :: 'a vec list)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-take-cols:
assumes distinct inds
shows rank (take-cols (1m n) inds) = length (filter ((>) n) inds)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-mul-left-invertible-mat:

```

```

fixes A::'a mat
assumes invertible-mat A
assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
assumes B ∈ carrier-mat n nc
shows rank (A * B) = rank B
⟨proof⟩

lemma invertible-take-cols-rank:
fixes A::'a mat
assumes invertible-mat A
assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
assumes distinct inds
shows rank (take-cols A inds) = length (filter ((>) n) inds)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-take-cols-leq:
assumes R:R ∈ carrier-mat n nc
shows rank (take-cols R ls) ≤ rank R
⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-take-cols-geq:
assumes R:R ∈ carrier-mat n nc
assumes t:take-cols R ls ∈ carrier-mat n r
assumes B:B ∈ carrier-mat r nc
assumes R = (take-cols R ls) * B
shows rank (take-cols R ls) ≥ rank R
⟨proof⟩

lemma rref-drop-pivots:
assumes row-ech: row-echelon-form R
assumes dims: R ∈ carrier-mat n nc
assumes order: nc ≥ n
shows rank (take-cols R (map snd (pivot-positions R))) = rank R
⟨proof⟩

lemma gjs-and-take-cols-var:
fixes A::'a mat
assumes A:A ∈ carrier-mat n nc
assumes order: nc ≥ n
shows (take-cols A (map snd (pivot-positions (gauss-jordan-single A)))) =
(take-cols-var A (map snd (pivot-positions (gauss-jordan-single A))))
⟨proof⟩

lemma gauss-jordan-single-rank:
fixes A::'a mat
assumes A:A ∈ carrier-mat n nc
assumes order: nc ≥ n
shows rank (take-cols A (map snd (pivot-positions (gauss-jordan-single A)))) =
rank A

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma lin-indpt-subset-cols:
  fixes A:: 'a mat
  fixes B:: 'a vec set
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  assumes inv: invertible-mat A
  assumes B ⊆ set (cols A)
  shows lin-indpt B
⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-invertible-subset-cols:
  fixes A:: 'a mat
  fixes B:: 'a vec list
  assumes inv: invertible-mat A
  assumes A-square: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  assumes set-sub: set (B) ⊆ set (cols A)
  assumes dist-B: distinct B
  shows rank (mat-of-cols n B) = length B
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory BKR-Algorithm
imports
  Sturm-Tarski.Sturm-Tarski
  More-Matrix

begin
```

4 Setup

```
definition retrieve-polys:: 'a list ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a list
  where retrieve-polys qss index-list = (map (nth qss) index-list)

definition construct-NofI:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ rat
  where construct-NofI p I = rat-of-int (changes-R-smods p ((pderiv p)*(prod-list I)))

definition construct-rhs-vector:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ nat list list ⇒ rat vec
  where construct-rhs-vector p qs Is = vec-of-list (map (λ I.(construct-NofI p (retrieve-polys qs I))) Is)
```

5 Base Case

```
definition base-case-info:: (rat mat × (nat list list × rat list list))
  where base-case-info =
```

```
((mat-of-rows-list 2 [[1,1], [1,-1]]), ([[],[0]], [[1],[-1]]))
```

```
definition base-case-solve-for-lhs:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec
where base-case-solve-for-lhs p q = (mult-mat-vec (mat-of-rows-list 2 [[1/2, 1/2], [1/2, -1/2]]) (construct-rhs-vector p [q] [[], [0]]))
```

```
thm gauss-jordan-compute-inverse
```

```
primrec matr-option:: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a::{'one, zero} mat option  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat
where matr-option dimen None = 1m dimen
| matr-option dimen (Some c) = c
```

```
definition mat-equal:: 'a:: field mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: field mat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where mat-equal A B = (dim-row A = dim-row B  $\wedge$  dim-col A = dim-col B  $\wedge$  (mat-to-list A) = (mat-to-list B))
```

```
definition mat-inverse-var :: 'a :: field mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat option where
mat-inverse-var A = (if dim-row A = dim-col A then
let one = 1m (dim-row A) in
(case gauss-jordan A one of
(B, C)  $\Rightarrow$  if (mat-equal B one) then Some C else None) else None)
```

```
definition solve-for-lhs:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat  $\Rightarrow$  rat
vec
where solve-for-lhs p qs subsets matr =
mult-mat-vec (matr-option (dim-row matr)) (mat-inverse-var matr)) (construct-rhs-vector
p qs subsets)
```

6 Smashing

```
definition subsets-smash::nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list
where subsets-smash n s1 s2 = concat (map ( $\lambda$  l1. map ( $\lambda$  l2. l1 @ (map ((+) n) l2)) s2) s1)
```

```
definition signs-smash::'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list
where signs-smash s1 s2 = concat (map ( $\lambda$  l1. map ( $\lambda$  l2. l1 @ l2) s2) s1)
```

```
definition smash-systems:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list
 $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$ 
rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat  $\Rightarrow$ 
real poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  (nat list list  $\times$  rat list list))
where smash-systems p qs1 qs2 subsets1 subsets2 signs1 signs2 mat1 mat2 =
(qs1 @ qs2, (kronecker-product mat1 mat2, (subsets-smash (length qs1) subsets1
subsets2, signs-smash signs1 signs2)))
```

```
fun combine-systems:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  (real poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  (nat list list  $\times$  rat
```

```

list list)))  $\Rightarrow$  (real poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  (nat list list  $\times$  rat list list)))
 $\Rightarrow$  (real poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  (nat list list  $\times$  rat list list)))
where combine-systems p (qs1, m1, sub1, sgn1) (qs2, m2, sub2, sgn2) =
(smash-systems p qs1 qs2 sub1 sub2 sgn1 sgn2 m1 m2)

```

7 Reduction

```

definition find-nonzeros-from-input-vec:: rat vec  $\Rightarrow$  nat list
where find-nonzeros-from-input-vec lhs-vec = filter ( $\lambda i.$  lhs-vec $  $i \neq 0$ ) [0..< dim-vec lhs-vec]

definition take-indices:: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where take-indices subsets indices = map ((!) subsets) indices

definition take-cols-from-matrix:: 'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat
where take-cols-from-matrix matr indices-to-keep =
mat-of-cols (dim-row matr) ((take-indices (cols matr) indices-to-keep):: 'a vec list)

definition take-rows-from-matrix:: 'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat
where take-rows-from-matrix matr indices-to-keep =
mat-of-rows (dim-col matr) ((take-indices (rows matr) indices-to-keep):: 'a vec list)

fun reduce-mat-cols:: 'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat
where reduce-mat-cols A lhs-vec = take-cols-from-matrix A (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec lhs-vec)

definition rows-to-keep:: ('a::field) mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
rows-to-keep A = map snd (pivot-positions (gauss-jordan-single (AT)))

fun reduction-step:: rat mat  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat  $\times$  (nat list list  $\times$  rat list list)
where reduction-step A signs subsets lhs-vec =
(let reduce-cols-A = (reduce-mat-cols A lhs-vec);
 rows-keep = rows-to-keep reduce-cols-A in
(take-rows-from-matrix reduce-cols-A rows-keep,
 (take-indices subsets rows-keep,
 take-indices signs (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec lhs-vec)))))

fun reduce-system:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  (real poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  (nat list list  $\times$  rat list list)))  $\Rightarrow$  (rat mat  $\times$  (nat list list  $\times$  rat list list))
where reduce-system p (qs,m,subs,signs) =
reduction-step m signs subs (solve-for-lhs p qs subs m)

```

8 Overall algorithm

```

fun calculate-data:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  (rat mat  $\times$  (nat list list  $\times$  rat list
list))
where
  calculate-data p qs =
  (let len = length qs in
   if len = 0 then
     ( $\lambda(a,b,c).(a,b,map (drop 1) c)) (reduce-system p ([1],base-case-info))$ )
   else if len  $\leq$  1 then reduce-system p (qs,base-case-info)
   else
     (let q1 = take (len div 2) qs; left = calculate-data p q1;
      q2 = drop (len div 2) qs; right = calculate-data p q2;
      comb = combine-systems p (q1,left) (q2,right) in
      reduce-system p comb
    )
  )
)

definition find-consistent-signs-at-roots:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list
where [code]:
  find-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs =
  (let (M,S, $\Sigma$ ) = calculate-data p qs in  $\Sigma$  )

lemma find-consistent-signs-at-roots-thm:
  shows find-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs = snd (snd (calculate-data p qs))
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Matrix-Equation-Construction

imports BKR-Algorithm
begin

```

9 Results with Sturm's Theorem

```

lemma relprime:
  fixes q::real poly
  assumes coprime p q
  assumes p  $\neq$  0
  assumes q  $\neq$  0
  shows changes-R-smoms p (pderiv p) = card {x. poly p x = 0  $\wedge$  poly q x > 0}
  + card {x. poly p x = 0  $\wedge$  poly q x < 0}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-eq-const-sum:
  fixes k:: real
  assumes finite A

```

```

shows  $k * \text{card } A = \text{sum } (\lambda x. k) A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma restate-tarski:
  fixes  $q::\text{real poly}$ 
  assumes coprime  $p q$ 
  assumes  $p \neq 0$ 
  assumes  $q \neq 0$ 
  shows changes-R-smoms  $p ((\text{pderiv } p) * q) = \text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p x = 0 \wedge \text{poly } q x > 0\} - \text{int}(\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p x = 0 \wedge \text{poly } q x < 0\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma restate-tarski2:
  fixes  $q::\text{real poly}$ 
  assumes  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows changes-R-smoms  $p ((\text{pderiv } p) * q) =$ 
     $\text{int}(\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p x = 0 \wedge \text{poly } q x > 0\}) -$ 
     $\text{int}(\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p x = 0 \wedge \text{poly } q x < 0\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-set-prod:
  fixes  $I:: \text{real poly set}$ 
  shows finite  $I \implies ((\forall q \in I. (\text{coprime } p q)) \longrightarrow (\text{coprime } p (\prod I)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-nonzero-set-prod:
  fixes  $I:: \text{real poly set}$ 
  shows nonzero-hyp: finite  $I \implies ((\forall q \in I. q \neq 0) \longrightarrow \prod I \neq 0)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

10 Setting up the construction: Definitions

```

definition characterize-root-list-p:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real list
  where characterize-root-list-p  $p \equiv \text{sorted-list-of-set}(\{x. \text{poly } p x = 0\}::\text{real set})$ 

```

```

lemma construct-NofI-prop:
  fixes  $p:: \text{real poly}$ 
  fixes  $I:: \text{real poly list}$ 
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows construct-NofI  $p I =$ 
     $\text{rat-of-int} (\text{int} (\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p x = 0 \wedge \text{poly } (\text{prod-list } I) x > 0\}) -$ 
     $\text{int} (\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p x = 0 \wedge \text{poly } (\text{prod-list } I) x < 0\}))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition construct-s-vector:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec
  where construct-s-vector  $p Is = \text{vec-of-list} (\text{map } (\lambda I. (\text{construct-NofI } p I)) Is)$ 

```

```

definition squash::'a::linordered-field  $\Rightarrow$  rat
  where squash  $x = (\text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } 1$ 
            $\quad \text{else if } x < 0 \text{ then } -1$ 
            $\quad \text{else } 0)$ 

definition signs-at::real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  rat list
  where signs-at  $qs x \equiv$ 
    map (squash  $\circ$  ( $\lambda q. \text{poly } q x$ ))  $qs$ 

definition characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  rat
list list
  where characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots  $p qs =$ 
    (remdups (map (signs-at  $qs$ ) (characterize-root-list-p  $p$ )))

definition consistent-sign-vec-copr::real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  rat list
  where consistent-sign-vec-copr  $qs x \equiv$ 
    map ( $\lambda q. \text{if } (\text{poly } q x > 0) \text{ then } (1:\text{rat}) \text{ else } (-1:\text{rat})$ )  $qs$ 

definition characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$ 
rat list list
  where characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr  $p qss =$ 
    (remdups (map (consistent-sign-vec-copr  $qss$ ) (characterize-root-list-p  $p$ )))

lemma csa-list-copr-rel:
  fixes  $p :: \text{real poly}$ 
  fixes  $qs :: \text{real poly list}$ 
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime:  $\forall q. ((\text{List.member } qs q) \longrightarrow (\text{coprime } p q))$ 
  shows characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots  $p qs = \text{characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr}$ 
 $p qs$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition list-constr:: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where list-constr  $L n \equiv \text{list-all } (\lambda x. x < n) L$ 

definition all-list-constr:: nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where all-list-constr  $L n \equiv (\forall x. \text{List.member } L x \longrightarrow \text{list-constr } x n)$ 

definition z:: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list  $\Rightarrow$  rat
  where  $z \text{ index-list sign-asg} \equiv (\text{prod-list } (\text{map } (\text{nth sign-asg})) \text{ index-list})$ 

definition mtx-row:: rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list
  where mtx-row  $\text{sign-list index-list} \equiv (\text{map } (z \text{ index-list})) \text{ sign-list}$ 

```

```

definition matrix-A:: rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat
where matrix-A sign-list subset-list =
  (mat-of-rows-list (length sign-list) (map ( $\lambda i . (mtx\text{-}row sign-list i)$ ) subset-list))

definition alt-matrix-A:: rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat
where alt-matrix-A signs subsets = (mat (length subsets) (length signs)
  ( $\lambda(i, j). z (subsets ! i) (signs ! j)$ ))

lemma alt-matrix-char: alt-matrix-A signs subsets = matrix-A signs subsets
⟨proof⟩

lemma subsets-are-rows:  $\forall i < (\text{length subsets}). \text{row} (\text{alt-matrix-A signs subsets}) i$ 
= vec (length signs) ( $\lambda j. z (subsets ! i) (signs ! j)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma signs-are-cols:  $\forall i < (\text{length signs}). \text{col} (\text{alt-matrix-A signs subsets}) i$  =
vec (length subsets) ( $\lambda j. z (subsets ! j) (signs ! i)$ )
⟨proof⟩

definition construct-lhs-vector:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec
where construct-lhs-vector p qs signs ≡
  vec-of-list (map ( $\lambda w. \text{rat-of-int} (\text{int} (\text{length} (\text{filter} (\lambda v. v = w) (\text{map} (\text{consistent-sign-vec-copr} qs) (\text{characterize-root-list-p } p)))))) signs)

definition satisfy-equation:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list
 $\Rightarrow$  bool
where satisfy-equation p qs subset-list sign-list =
  (mult-mat-vec (matrix-A sign-list subset-list) (construct-lhs-vector p qs
  sign-list)) = (construct-rhs-vector p qs subset-list))$ 
```

11 Setting up the construction: Proofs

```

lemma row-mat-of-rows-list:
assumes list-all ( $\lambda r. \text{length } r = nc$ ) rs
assumes i < length rs
shows row (mat-of-rows-list nc rs) i = vec-of-list (nth rs i)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mult-mat-vec-of-list:
assumes length ls = nc
assumes list-all ( $\lambda r. \text{length } r = nc$ ) rs
shows mat-of-rows-list nc rs *v vec-of-list ls =
  vec-of-list (map ( $\lambda r. \text{vec-of-list } r \cdot \text{vec-of-list } ls$ ) rs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mtx-row-length:

```

```
list-all ( $\lambda r. \text{length } r = \text{length } \textit{signs}$ ) ( $\text{map } (\text{mtx-row } \textit{signs}) \textit{ls}$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

```
thm construct-lhs-vector-def
thm poly-roots-finite
```

lemma construct-lhs-vector-clean:

```
assumes  $p \neq 0$ 
assumes  $i < \text{length } \textit{signs}$ 
shows ( $\text{construct-lhs-vector } p \textit{qs} \textit{signs}$ ) $  $i =$ 
 $\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p x = 0 \wedge ((\text{consistent-sign-vec-copr } \textit{qs} x) = (\text{nth } \textit{signs} i))\}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma construct-lhs-vector-cleaner:

```
assumes  $p \neq 0$ 
shows ( $\text{construct-lhs-vector } p \textit{qs} \textit{signs}$ ) =
 $\text{vec-of-list } (\text{map } (\lambda s. \text{rat-of-int } (\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p x = 0 \wedge ((\text{consistent-sign-vec-copr } \textit{qs} x) = s)\})) \textit{signs})$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma z-signs:

```
assumes list-all ( $\lambda i. i < \text{length } \textit{signs}$ )  $I$ 
assumes list-all ( $\lambda s. s = 1 \vee s = -1$ )  $\textit{signs}$ 
shows ( $z I \textit{signs} = 1$ )  $\vee$  ( $z I \textit{signs} = -1$ ) ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma z-lemma:

```
fixes  $I :: \text{nat list}$ 
fixes  $\textit{sign} :: \text{rat list}$ 
assumes consistent:  $\textit{sign} \in \text{set } (\text{characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr } p \textit{qs})$ 
assumes welldefined:  $\text{list-constr } I \text{ (length } \textit{qs})$ 
shows ( $z I \textit{sign} = 1$ )  $\vee$  ( $z I \textit{sign} = -1$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma in-set:

```
fixes  $p :: \text{real poly}$ 
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
fixes  $\textit{qs} :: \text{real poly list}$ 
fixes  $I :: \text{nat list}$ 
fixes  $\textit{sign} :: \text{rat list}$ 
fixes  $x :: \text{real}$ 
assumes root-p:  $x \in \{x. \text{poly } p x = 0\}$ 
assumes sign-fix:  $\textit{sign} = \text{consistent-sign-vec-copr } \textit{qs} x$ 
assumes welldefined:  $\text{list-constr } I \text{ (length } \textit{qs})$ 
shows  $\textit{sign} \in \text{set } (\text{characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr } p \textit{qs})$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma nonzero-product:
  fixes p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p≠0
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime-1: ∀ q. ((List.member qs q) —> (coprime p q))
  fixes I:: nat list
  fixes x:: real
  assumes root-p: x ∈ {x. poly p x = 0}
  assumes welldefined: list-constr I (length qs)
  shows (poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs I)) x > 0) ∨ (poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs I)) x < 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma horiz-vector-helper-pos-ind:
  fixes p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p≠0
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime-1: ∀ q. ((List.member qs q) —> (coprime p q))
  fixes I:: nat list
  fixes sign:: rat list
  fixes x:: real
  assumes root-p: x ∈ {x. poly p x = 0}
  assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec-copr qs x
  shows (list-constr I (length qs)) —> (poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs I)) x > 0)
  ←→ (z I sign = 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma horiz-vector-helper-pos:
  fixes p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p≠0
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime-1: ∀ q. ((List.member qs q) —> (coprime p q))
  fixes I:: nat list
  fixes sign:: rat list
  fixes x:: real
  assumes root-p: x ∈ {x. poly p x = 0}
  assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec-copr qs x
  assumes welldefined: list-constr I (length qs)
  shows (poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs I)) x > 0) ←→ (z I sign = 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma horiz-vector-helper-neg:
  fixes p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p≠0
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime-1: ∀ q. ((List.member qs q) —> (coprime p q))
  fixes I:: nat list

```

```

fixes sign:: rat list
fixes x:: real
assumes root-p:  $x \in \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$ 
assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec-copr qs x
assumes welldefined: list-constr I (length qs)
shows (poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs I)) x < 0)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (z I sign = -1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vec-of-list-dot-rewrite:
assumes length xs = length ys
shows vec-of-list xs • vec-of-list ys =
  sum-list (map2 (*) xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lhs-dot-rewrite:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs:: real poly list
fixes I:: nat list
fixes signs:: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
shows
  (vec-of-list (mtx-row signs I) • (construct-lhs-vector p qs signs)) =
  sum-list (map (λs. (z I s) * rat-of-int (card {x. poly p x = 0 ∧ consistent-sign-vec-copr qs x = s})) signs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-distinct-filter:
fixes f:: 'a ⇒ int
assumes distinct xs distinct ys
assumes set ys ⊆ set xs
assumes ∀x. x ∈ set xs – set ys  $\implies$  f x = 0
shows sum-list (map f xs) = sum-list (map f ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma construct-lhs-vector-drop-consistent:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs:: real poly list
fixes I:: nat list
fixes signs:: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes welldefined: list-constr I (length qs)
shows
  (vec-of-list (mtx-row signs I) • (construct-lhs-vector p qs signs)) =
  (vec-of-list (mtx-row (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) I) •
   (construct-lhs-vector p qs (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs)))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma matrix-equation-helper-step:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  fixes I:: nat list
  fixes signs:: rat list list
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes welldefined: list-constr I (length qs)
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime-1: ∀ q. ((List.member qs q) → (coprime p q))
  shows (vec-of-list (mtx-row signs I) • (construct-lhs-vector p qs signs)) =
    rat-of-int (card {x. poly p x = 0 ∧ poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs I)) x > 0})
  —
    rat-of-int (card {x. poly p x = 0 ∧ poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs I)) x < 0})
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma matrix-equation-main-step:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  fixes I:: nat list
  fixes signs:: rat list list
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes welldefined: list-constr I (length qs)
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime-1: ∀ q. ((List.member qs q) → (coprime p q))
  shows (vec-of-list (mtx-row signs I) • (construct-lhs-vector p qs signs)) =
    construct-NofI p (retrieve-polys qs I)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma map-vec-vec-of-list-eq-intro:
  assumes map f xs = map g ys
  shows map-vec f (vec-of-list xs) = map-vec g (vec-of-list ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem matrix-equation:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  fixes subsets:: nat list list
  fixes signs:: rat list list
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime: ∀ q. ((List.member qs q) → (coprime p q))

```

```

assumes welldefined: all-list-constr (subsets) (length qs)
shows satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
⟨proof⟩

definition roots:: real poly ⇒ real set
where roots p = {x. poly p x = 0}

definition sgn::'a::linordered-field ⇒ rat
where sgn x = (if x > 0 then 1
                  else if x < 0 then -1
                  else 0)

definition sgn-vec::real poly list ⇒ real ⇒ rat list
where sgn-vec qs x ≡ map (sgn ∘ (λq. poly q x)) qs

definition consistent-signs-at-roots:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ rat list set
where consistent-signs-at-roots p qs =
          (sgn-vec qs) ` (roots p)

lemma consistent-signs-at-roots-eq:
assumes p ≠ 0
shows consistent-signs-at-roots p qs =
          set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs)
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation w-vec:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ rat list list ⇒ rat vec
where w-vec ≡ construct-lhs-vector

abbreviation v-vec:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ nat list list ⇒ rat vec
where v-vec ≡ construct-rhs-vector

abbreviation M-mat:: rat list list ⇒ nat list list ⇒ rat mat
where M-mat ≡ matrix-A

theorem matrix-equation-pretty:
assumes p ≠ 0
assumes ⋀q. q ∈ set qs ⇒ coprime p q
assumes distinct signs
assumes consistent-signs-at-roots p qs ⊆ set signs
assumes ⋀l i. l ∈ set subsets ⇒ i ∈ set l ⇒ i < length qs
shows M-mat signs subsets *v w-vec p qs signs = v-vec p qs subsets
⟨proof⟩

end
theory BKR-Proofs
imports Matrix-Equation-Construction

begin

```

```

definition well-def-signs:: nat => rat list list => bool
  where well-def-signs num-polys sign-conds ≡ ∀ signs ∈ set(sign-conds). (length
  signs = num-polys)

definition satisfies-properties:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ nat list list ⇒ rat list
list ⇒ rat mat ⇒ bool
  where satisfies-properties p qs subsets signs matrix =
    ( all-list-constr subsets (length qs) ∧ well-def-signs (length qs) signs ∧ distinct
    signs
    ∧ satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs ∧ invertible-mat matrix ∧ matrix = matrix-A
    signs subsets
    ∧ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
    )

```

12 Base Case

```

lemma mat-base-case:
  shows matrix-A [[1],[-1]] [[],[0]] = (mat-of-rows-list 2 [[1, 1], [1, -1]])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-sgas:
  fixes q p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes rel-prime: coprime p q
  shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p [q]) ⊆ {[1], [-1]}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-sgas-alt:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  assumes len1: length qs = 1
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes rel-prime: ∀ q. (List.member qs q) → coprime p q
  shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ {[1], [-1]}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-satisfy-equation:
  fixes q p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes rel-prime: coprime p q
  shows satisfy-equation p [q] [[],[0]] [[1],[-1]]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-satisfy-equation-alt:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  assumes len1: length qs = 1
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0

```

```

assumes rel-prime:  $\forall q. (List.member qs q) \longrightarrow coprime p q$ 
shows satisfy-equation p qs [[], [0]] [[1], [-1]]
⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-matrix-eq:
  fixes q p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  assumes rel-prime: coprime p q
  shows (mult-mat-vec (mat-of-rows-list 2 [[1, 1], [1, -1]]) (construct-lhs-vector
p [q] [[1],[-1]]) =
  (construct-rhs-vector p [q] [[], [0]]))
⟨proof⟩

lemma less-two:
  shows  $j < Suc 0 \longleftrightarrow j = 0 \vee j = 1$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-mat-base-case:
  shows inverts-mat (mat-of-rows-list 2 [[1/2, 1/2], [1/2, -(1/2)]]::rat mat)
(mat-of-rows-list 2 [[1, 1], [1, -1]]::rat mat)
⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-mat-base-case-2:
  shows inverts-mat (mat-of-rows-list 2 [[1, 1], [1, -1]]::rat mat) (mat-of-rows-list
2 [[1/2, 1/2], [1/2, -(1/2)]]::rat mat)
⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-invertible-mat:
  shows invertible-mat (matrix-A [[1], [- 1]] [[], [0]])
⟨proof⟩

```

13 Inductive Step

13.1 Lemmas on smashing subsets and signs

```

lemma signs-smash-property:
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: 'a list list
  fixes a b:: nat
  shows  $\forall (elem :: 'a list). (elem \in (set (signs-smash signs1 signs2))) \longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists n m :: nat.$ 
     $elem = ((nth signs1 n) @ (nth signs2 m)))$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma signs-smash-property-set:
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: 'a list list
  fixes a b:: nat
  shows  $\forall (elem :: 'a list). (elem \in (set (signs-smash signs1 signs2))) \longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists (elem1::'a list). \exists (elem2::'a list).$ 
     $(elem1 \in set(signs1) \wedge elem2 \in set(signs2) \wedge elem = (elem1 @ elem2)))$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subsets-smash-property:
  fixes subsets1 subsets2 :: nat list list
  fixes n:: nat
  shows  $\forall$  (elem :: nat list). (List.member (subsets-smash n subsets1 subsets2) elem)  $\longrightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists$  (elem1::nat list).  $\exists$  (elem2::nat list).
      (elem1  $\in$  set(subsets1)  $\wedge$  elem2  $\in$  set(subsets2)  $\wedge$  elem = (elem1 @ ((map (+) n) elem2)))))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

13.2 Well-defined subsets preserved when smashing

```

lemma list-constr-append:
  list-constr a n  $\wedge$  list-constr b n  $\longrightarrow$  list-constr (a@b) n
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma well-def-step:
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes subsets1 subsets2 :: nat list list
  assumes well-def-subsets1: all-list-constr (subsets1) (length qs1)
  assumes well-def-subsets2: all-list-constr (subsets2) (length qs2)
  shows all-list-constr ((subsets-smash (length qs1) subsets1 subsets2))
    (length (qs1 @ qs2))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

13.3 Well def signs preserved when smashing

```

lemma well-def-signs-step:
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list
  assumes length qs1 > 0
  assumes length qs2 > 0
  assumes well-def1: well-def-signs (length qs1) signs1
  assumes well-def2: well-def-signs (length qs2) signs2
  shows well-def-signs (length (qs1@qs2)) (signs-smash signs1 signs2)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

13.4 Distinct signs preserved when smashing

```

lemma distinct-map-append:
  assumes distinct ls
  shows distinct (map ((@) xs) ls)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma length-eq-append:
  assumes length y = length b
  shows (x @ y = a @ b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x=a  $\wedge$  y = b
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma distinct-step:
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list
  assumes well-def1: well-def-signs n1 signs1
  assumes well-def2: well-def-signs n2 signs2
  assumes distinct1: distinct signs1
  assumes distinct2: distinct signs2
  shows distinct (signs-smash signs1 signs2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

13.5 Consistent sign assignments preserved when smashing

```

lemma subset-smash-signs:
  fixes a1 b1 a2 b2:: rat list list
  assumes sub1: set a1 ⊆ set a2
  assumes sub2: set b1 ⊆ set b2
  shows set (signs-smash a1 b1) ⊆ set (signs-smash a2 b2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subset-helper:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list
  shows ∀ x ∈ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p (qs1 @ qs2)).
    ∃ x1 ∈ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs1).
    ∃ x2 ∈ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs2).
    x = x1@x2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subset-step:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list
  assumes csa1: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs1) ⊆ set (signs1)
  assumes csa2: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs2) ⊆ set (signs2)

  shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p
    (qs1 @ qs2))
    ⊆ set (signs-smash signs1 signs2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

13.6 Main Results

```

lemma dim-row-mat-of-rows-list[simp]:
  shows dim-row (mat-of-rows-list nr ls) = length ls
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dim-col-mat-of-rows-list[simp]:
  shows dim-col (mat-of-rows-list nr ls) = nr
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dim-row-matrix-A[simp]:
  shows dim-row (matrix-A signs subsets) = length subsets
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-col-matrix-A[simp]:
  shows dim-col (matrix-A signs subsets) = length signs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-signs-smash:
  shows
    length (signs-smash signs1 signs2) = length signs1 * length signs2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-subsets-smash:
  shows
    length (subsets-smash n subs1 subs2) = length subs1 * length subs2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-eq-concat:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } ls \implies \text{length } x = n$ 
  assumes  $i < n * \text{length } ls$ 
  shows concat ls ! i = ls ! (i div n) ! (i mod n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma z-append:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies i < \text{length } as$ 
  shows z (xs @ (map ((+) (length as)) ys)) (as @ bs) = z xs as * z ys bs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-construction-is-kronecker-product:
  fixes qs1 :: real poly list
  fixes subs1 subs2 :: nat list list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list

  assumes  $\bigwedge l. l \in \text{set } subs1 \implies i \in \text{set } l \implies i < n1$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge j. j \in \text{set } signs1 \implies \text{length } j = n1$ 
  shows
    (matrix-A (signs-smash signs1 signs2) (subsets-smash n1 subs1 subs2)) =
    kronecker-product (matrix-A signs1 subs1) (matrix-A signs2 subs2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inductive-step:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes subsets1 subsets2 :: nat list list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list

```

```

assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
assumes nontriv1:  $\text{length } qs1 > 0$ 
assumes nontriv2:  $\text{length } qs2 > 0$ 
assumes pairwise-rel-prime1:  $\forall q. ((\text{List.member } qs1 q) \longrightarrow (\text{coprime } p q))$ 
assumes pairwise-rel-prime2:  $\forall q. ((\text{List.member } qs2 q) \longrightarrow (\text{coprime } p q))$ 
assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs ( $\text{length } qs1$ ) signs1
assumes welldefined-signs2: well-def-signs ( $\text{length } qs2$ ) signs2
assumes distinct-signs1: distinct signs1
assumes distinct-signs2: distinct signs2
assumes all-info1: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs1)  $\subseteq$  set(signs1)
assumes all-info2: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs2)  $\subseteq$  set(signs2)
assumes welldefined-subsets1: all-list-constr (subsets1) ( $\text{length } qs1$ )
assumes welldefined-subsets2: all-list-constr (subsets2) ( $\text{length } qs2$ )
assumes invertibleMtx1: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs1 subsets1)
assumes invertibleMtx2: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs2 subsets2)
shows satisfy-equation p (qs1 @ qs2) (subsets-smash ( $\text{length } qs1$ ) subsets1 subsets2) (signs-smash signs1 signs2)
     $\wedge$  invertible-mat (matrix-A (signs-smash signs1 signs2) (subsets-smash ( $\text{length } qs1$ ) subsets1 subsets2))
⟨proof⟩

```

14 Reduction Step Proofs

definition get-matrix:: $(\text{rat mat} \times (\text{nat list list} \times \text{rat list list})) \Rightarrow \text{rat mat}$
where get-matrix data = fst(data)

definition get-subsets:: $(\text{rat mat} \times (\text{nat list list} \times \text{rat list list})) \Rightarrow \text{nat list list}$
where get-subsets data = fst(snd(data))

definition get-signs:: $(\text{rat mat} \times (\text{nat list list} \times \text{rat list list})) \Rightarrow \text{rat list list}$
where get-signs data = snd(snd(data))

definition reduction-signs:: $\text{real poly} \Rightarrow \text{real poly list} \Rightarrow \text{rat list list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list list}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{rat mat} \Rightarrow \text{rat list list}$
where reduction-signs p qs signs subsets matr =
 $(\text{take-indices signs (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec (solve-for-lhs p qs subsets matr)))})$

definition reduction-subsets:: $\text{real poly} \Rightarrow \text{real poly list} \Rightarrow \text{rat list list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list list}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{rat mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list list}$
where reduction-subsets p qs signs subsets matr =
 $(\text{take-indices subsets (rows-to-keep (reduce-mat-cols matr (solve-for-lhs p qs subsets matr))))})$

lemma reduction-signs-is-get-signs: reduction-signs p qs signs subsets m = get-signs
 $(\text{reduce-system p (qs, (m, (subsets, signs)))})$
⟨proof⟩

```
lemma reduction-subsets-is-get-subsets: reduction-subsets p qs signs subsets m =
get-subsets (reduce-system p (qs, (m, (subsets, signs))))  

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma find-zeros-from-vec-prop:  

fixes input-vec :: rat vec  

shows ∀ n < (dim-vec input-vec). ((input-vec $ n ≠ 0) ↔  

    List.member (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec input-vec) n)  

⟨proof⟩
```

14.1 Showing sign conditions preserved when reducing

```
lemma take-indices-lem:  

fixes p:: real poly  

fixes qs :: real poly list  

fixes arb-list :: 'a list list  

fixes index-list :: nat list  

fixes n:: nat  

assumes lest: n < length (take-indices arb-list index-list)  

assumes well-def: ∀ q.(List.member index-list q → q < length arb-list)  

shows ∃ k < length arb-list.  

    (take-indices arb-list index-list) ! n = arb-list ! k  

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma invertible-means-mult-id:  

fixes A:: 'a::field mat  

assumes assm: invertible-mat A  

shows matr-option (dim-row A)  

    (mat-inverse (A))*A = 1_m (dim-row A)  

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dim-invertible:  

fixes A:: 'a::field mat  

fixes n:: nat  

assumes assm: invertible-mat A  

assumes dim: A ∈ carrier-mat n n  

shows matr-option (dim-row A)  

    (mat-inverse (A)) ∈ carrier-mat n n  

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mult-assoc:  

fixes A B:: rat mat  

fixes v:: rat vec  

fixes n:: nat  

assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n  

assumes B ∈ carrier-mat n n  

assumes dim-vec v = n  

shows A *v (mult-mat-vec B v) = (A*B)*v v  

⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma size-of-mat:
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  shows (matrix-A signs subsets) ∈ carrier-mat (length subsets) (length signs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-of-lhs:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  shows dim-vec (construct-lhs-vector p qs signs) = length signs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-of-rhs:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  shows dim-vec (construct-rhs-vector p qs subsets) = length subsets
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma same-size:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  shows length subsets = length signs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-equal-list-lem:
  fixes A:: 'a::field mat
  fixes B:: 'a::field mat
  shows (dim-row A = dim-row B ∧ dim-col A = dim-col B ∧ mat-to-list A =
  mat-to-list B)
    ⟹ A = B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-inverse-same: mat-inverse-var A = mat-inverse A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma construct-lhs-matches-solve-for-lhs:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
  assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  shows (construct-lhs-vector p qs signs) = solve-for-lhs p qs subsets (matrix-A

```

```
signs subsets)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma reduction-signs-set-helper-lemma:
  fixes A:: rat list set
  fixes C:: rat vec
  fixes B:: rat list list
  assumes dim-vec C = length B
  assumes sub: A ⊆ set(B)
  assumes not-in-hyp: ∀ n < dim-vec C. C $ n = 0 → (nth B n) ∉ A
  shows A ⊆ set (take-indices B
    (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec C))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma reduction-signs-set-helper-lemma2:
  fixes A:: rat list set
  fixes C:: rat vec
  fixes B:: rat list list
  assumes dist: distinct B
  assumes eq-len: dim-vec C = length B
  assumes sub: A ⊆ set(B)
  assumes not-in-hyp: ∀ n < dim-vec C. C $ n ≠ 0 → (nth B n) ∈ A
  shows set (take-indices B
    (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec C)) ⊆ A
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma reduction-doesnt-break-things-signs:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
  assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(reduction-signs
p qs signs subsets (matrix-A signs subsets))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma reduction-deletes-bad-sign-conds:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
```

```

assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) = set(reduction-signs
p qs signs subsets (matrix-A signs subsets))
⟨proof⟩

theorem reduce-system-sign-conditions:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: nat list list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
shows set (get-signs (reduce-system p (qs, ((matrix-A signs subsets), (subsets,
signs))))) = set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs)
⟨proof⟩

```

14.2 Showing matrix equation preserved when reducing

```

lemma rows-to-keep-lem:
fixes A:: ('a::field) mat
shows ⋀ ell. ell ∈ set (rows-to-keep A) ⇒ ell < dim-row A
⟨proof⟩

lemma reduce-system-matrix-equation-preserved:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: nat list list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes welldefined-signs: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes welldefined-subsets: all-list-constr (subsets) (length qs)
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
assumes pairwise-rel-prime: ∀ q. ((List.member qs q) → (coprime p q))
shows satisfy-equation p qs (get-subsets (reduce-system p (qs, ((matrix-A signs
subsets), (subsets, signs))))))
(get-signs (reduce-system p (qs, ((matrix-A signs subsets), (subsets, signs))))) )
⟨proof⟩

```

14.3 Showing matrix preserved

```

lemma reduce-system-matrix-signs-helper-aux:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  fixes S:: nat list
  assumes well-def-h:  $\forall x. \text{List.member } S x \longrightarrow x < \text{length } signs$ 
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows alt-matrix-A (take-indices signs S) subsets = take-cols-from-matrix (alt-matrix-A
  signs subsets) S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduce-system-matrix-signs-helper:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  fixes S:: nat list
  assumes well-def-h:  $\forall x. \text{List.member } S x \longrightarrow x < \text{length } signs$ 
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows matrix-A (take-indices signs S) subsets = take-cols-from-matrix (matrix-A
  signs subsets) S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduce-system-matrix-subsets-helper-aux:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  fixes S:: nat list
  assumes inv:  $\text{length } subsets \geq \text{length } signs$ 
  assumes well-def-h:  $\forall x. \text{List.member } S x \longrightarrow x < \text{length } subsets$ 
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows alt-matrix-A signs (take-indices subsets S) = take-rows-from-matrix (alt-matrix-A
  signs subsets) S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduce-system-matrix-subsets-helper:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  fixes S:: nat list
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  assumes inv:  $\text{length } subsets \geq \text{length } signs$ 
  assumes well-def-h:  $\forall x. \text{List.member } S x \longrightarrow x < \text{length } subsets$ 

```

```

shows matrix-A signs (take-indices subsets S) = take-rows-from-matrix (matrix-A
signs subsets) S
⟨proof⟩

lemma reduce-system-matrix-match:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
  assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  shows matrix-A (get-signs (reduce-system p (qs, ((matrix-A signs subsets), (subsets,
signs))))) =
    (get-subsets (reduce-system p (qs, ((matrix-A signs subsets), (subsets, signs))))) =
    (get-matrix (reduce-system p (qs, ((matrix-A signs subsets), (subsets, signs))))) =
⟨proof⟩

```

14.4 Showing invertibility preserved when reducing

```

lemma well-def-find-zeros-from-lhs-vec:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes len-eq: length subsets = length signs
  assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
  shows (∀j. j ∈ set (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec
    (solve-for-lhs p qs subsets (matrix-A signs subsets))) ⇒
    j < length (cols (matrix-A signs subsets)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma take-cols-subsets-og-cols:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes len-eq: length subsets = length signs
  assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0

```

```

assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
shows set (take-indices (cols (matrix-A signs subsets)))
  (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec (solve-for-lhs p qs subsets (matrix-A signs
subsets))))
  ⊆ set (cols (matrix-A signs subsets))
⟨proof⟩

lemma reduction-doesnt-break-things-invertibility-step1:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes len-eq: length subsets = length signs
  assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
  shows vec-space.rank (length signs) (reduce-mat-cols (matrix-A signs subsets)
(solve-for-lhs p qs subsets (matrix-A signs subsets))) =
  (length (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec (solve-for-lhs p qs subsets (matrix-A signs
subsets))))
⟨proof⟩

lemma rechar-take-cols: take-cols-var A B = take-cols-from-matrix A B
⟨proof⟩

lemma rows-and-cols-transpose: rows M = cols MT
⟨proof⟩

lemma take-rows-and-take-cols: (take-rows-from-matrix M r) = (take-cols-from-matrix
MT r)T
⟨proof⟩

lemma reduction-doesnt-break-things-invertibility:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes len-eq: length subsets = length signs
  assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs) ⊆ set(signs)

```

```

assumes match: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
shows invertible-mat (get-matrix (reduce-system p (qs, ((matrix-A signs subsets),
(subsets, signs)))))  

⟨proof⟩

```

14.5 Well def signs preserved when reducing

```

lemma reduction-doesnt-break-length-signs:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes length-init : ∀ x∈ set(signs). length x = length qs
  assumes sat-eq: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
  assumes inv-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  shows ∀ x ∈ set(reduction-signs p qs signs subsets (matrix-A signs subsets)).
    length x = length qs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

14.6 Distinct signs preserved when reducing

```

lemma reduction-signs-are-distinct:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes sat-eq: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
  assumes inv-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  assumes distinct-init: distinct signs
  shows distinct (reduction-signs p qs signs subsets (matrix-A signs subsets))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

14.7 Well def subsets preserved when reducing

```

lemma reduction-doesnt-break-subsets:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: nat list list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes length-init : all-list-constr subsets (length qs)
  assumes sat-eq: satisfy-equation p qs subsets signs
  assumes inv-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A signs subsets)
  shows all-list-constr (reduction-subsets p qs signs subsets (matrix-A signs subsets)) (length qs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

15 Overall Lemmas

```
lemma combining-to-smash: combine-systems p (qs1, m1, (sub1, sgn1)) (qs2,
m2, (sub2, sgn2))
= smash-systems p qs1 qs2 sub1 sub2 sgn1 sgn2 m1 m2
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma getter-functions: calculate-data p qs = (get-matrix (calculate-data p qs),
(get-subsets (calculate-data p qs), get-signs (calculate-data p qs)))
⟨proof⟩
```

15.1 Key properties preserved

15.1.1 Properties preserved when combining and reducing systems

```
lemma combining-sys-satisfies-properties-helper:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 :: real poly list
  fixes qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes subsets1 subsets2 :: nat list list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list
  fixes matrix1 matrix2:: rat mat
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes nontriv1: length qs1 > 0
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime1: ∀ q. ((List.member qs1 q) → (coprime p q))
  assumes nontriv2: length qs2 > 0
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime2: ∀ q. ((List.member qs2 q) → (coprime p q))
  assumes satisfies-properties-sys1: satisfies-properties p qs1 subsets1 signs1 matrix1
  assumes satisfies-properties-sys2: satisfies-properties p qs2 subsets2 signs2 matrix2
  shows satisfies-properties p (qs1@qs2) (get-subsets (snd ((combine-systems p
(qs1,(matrix1, (subsets1, signs1))) (qs2,(matrix2, (subsets2, signs2)))))))
  (get-signs (snd ((combine-systems p (qs1,(matrix1, (subsets1, signs1))) (qs2,(matrix2,
(subsets2, signs2)))))))
  (get-matrix (snd ((combine-systems p (qs1,(matrix1, (subsets1, signs1))) (qs2,(matrix2,
(subsets2, signs2)))))))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma combining-sys-satisfies-properties:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 :: real poly list
  fixes qs2 :: real poly list
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes nontriv1: length qs1 > 0
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime1: ∀ q. ((List.member qs1 q) → (coprime p q))
  assumes nontriv2: length qs2 > 0
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime2: ∀ q. ((List.member qs2 q) → (coprime p q))
  assumes satisfies-properties-sys1: satisfies-properties p qs1 (get-subsets (calculate-data
```

```

 $p \text{ } qs1))$  (get-signs (calculate-data  $p \text{ } qs1))$  (get-matrix (calculate-data  $p \text{ } qs1))$ 
assumes satisfies-properties-sys2: satisfies-properties  $p \text{ } qs2$  (get-subsets (calculate-data
 $p \text{ } qs2))$  (get-signs (calculate-data  $p \text{ } qs2))$  (get-matrix (calculate-data  $p \text{ } qs2))$ 
shows satisfies-properties  $p \text{ } (qs1 @ qs2)$  (get-subsets (snd ((combine-systems  $p$ 
 $(qs1, calculate-data p \text{ } qs1) \text{ } (qs2, calculate-data p \text{ } qs2))))))
(i$ get-signs (snd ((combine-systems  $p$  ( $qs1, calculate-data p \text{ } qs1) \text{ } (qs2, calculate-data$ 
 $p \text{ } qs2)))))
(get-matrix (snd ((combine-systems  $p$  ( $qs1, calculate-data p \text{ } qs1) \text{ } (qs2, calculate-data$ 
 $p \text{ } qs2))))))
<proof>$$ 
```

lemma *reducing-sys-satisfies-properties*:

```

fixes  $p :: real \text{ } poly$ 
fixes  $qs :: real \text{ } poly \text{ } list$ 
fixes  $subsets :: nat \text{ } list \text{ } list$ 
fixes  $signs :: rat \text{ } list \text{ } list$ 
fixes  $matrix :: rat \text{ } mat$ 
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
assumes nontriv: length  $qs > 0$ 
assumes pairwise-rel-prime:  $\forall q. ((List.member \text{ } qs \text{ } q) \longrightarrow (coprime \text{ } p \text{ } q))$ 
assumes satisfies-properties-sys: satisfies-properties  $p \text{ } qs \text{ } subsets \text{ } signs \text{ } matrix$ 
shows satisfies-properties  $p \text{ } qs$  (get-subsets (reduce-system  $p$  ( $qs, matrix, subsets, signs$ )))
(get-signs (reduce-system  $p$  ( $qs, matrix, subsets, signs$ )))
(get-matrix (reduce-system  $p$  ( $qs, matrix, subsets, signs$ )))
<proof>
```

15.1.2 For length 1 qs

lemma *length-1-calculate-data-satisfies-properties*:

```

fixes  $p :: real \text{ } poly$ 
fixes  $qs :: real \text{ } poly \text{ } list$ 
fixes  $subsets :: nat \text{ } list \text{ } list$ 
fixes  $signs :: rat \text{ } list \text{ } list$ 
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
assumes len1: length  $qs = 1$ 
assumes pairwise-rel-prime:  $\forall q. ((List.member \text{ } qs \text{ } q) \longrightarrow (coprime \text{ } p \text{ } q))$ 
shows satisfies-properties  $p \text{ } qs$  (get-subsets (calculate-data  $p \text{ } qs$ )) (get-signs (calculate-data
 $p \text{ } qs$ )) (get-matrix (calculate-data  $p \text{ } qs$ )))
<proof>
```

15.1.3 For arbitrary qs

lemma *append-not-distinct-helper*: $(List.member \text{ } l1 \text{ } m \wedge List.member \text{ } l2 \text{ } m) \longrightarrow$
 $(distinct \text{ } (l1 @ l2) = False)$
<proof>

lemma *calculate-data-satisfies-properties*:

```

fixes  $p :: real \text{ } poly$ 
fixes  $qs :: real \text{ } poly \text{ } list$ 
fixes  $subsets :: nat \text{ } list \text{ } list$ 
```

```

fixes signs :: rat list list
shows ( $p \neq 0 \wedge (\text{length } qs > 0) \wedge (\forall q. ((\text{List.member } qs q) \rightarrow (\text{coprime } p q)))$ )
)
 $\longrightarrow \text{satisfies-properties } p \text{ } qs \text{ } (\text{get-subsets } (\text{calculate-data } p \text{ } qs)) \text{ } (\text{get-signs } (\text{calculate-data } p \text{ } qs)) \text{ } (\text{get-matrix } (\text{calculate-data } p \text{ } qs))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

15.2 Some key results on consistent sign assignments

```

lemma find-consistent-signs-at-roots-len1:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: nat list list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
assumes len1:  $\text{length } qs = 1$ 
assumes pairwise-rel-prime:  $\forall q. ((\text{List.member } qs q) \rightarrow (\text{coprime } p q))$ 
shows set (find-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) = set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma smaller-sys-are-good:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs1 :: real poly list
fixes qs2 :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: nat list list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
assumes nontriv1:  $\text{length } qs1 > 0$ 
assumes pairwise-rel-prime1:  $\forall q. ((\text{List.member } qs1 q) \rightarrow (\text{coprime } p q))$ 
assumes nontriv2:  $\text{length } qs2 > 0$ 
assumes pairwise-rel-prime2:  $\forall q. ((\text{List.member } qs2 q) \rightarrow (\text{coprime } p q))$ 
assumes set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs1) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs1)
assumes set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs2) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs2)
shows set(snd(snd(reduce-system p (combine-systems p (qs1, calculate-data p qs1) (qs2, calculate-data p qs2))))) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p (qs1 @ qs2))
⟨proof⟩

lemma find-consistent-signs-at-roots-1:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
shows ( $p \neq 0 \wedge \text{length } qs > 0 \wedge$ 
 $(\forall q. ((\text{List.member } qs q) \rightarrow (\text{coprime } p q))) \longrightarrow$ 
set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p qs))

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma find-consistent-signs-at-roots-0:
  fixes p:: real poly
  assumes p ≠ 0
  shows set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots p []) =
    set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr p [])
⟨proof⟩

lemma find-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  assumes p ≠ 0
  assumes ⋀q. q ∈ set qs ⟹ coprime p q
  shows set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-copr
p qs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma find-consistent-signs-at-roots:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  assumes p ≠ 0
  assumes ⋀q. q ∈ set qs ⟹ coprime p q
  shows set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots
p qs)
⟨proof⟩

theorem find-consistent-signs-at-roots-alt:
  assumes p ≠ 0
  assumes ⋀q. q ∈ set qs ⟹ coprime p q
  shows set (find-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) = consistent-signs-at-roots p qs
⟨proof⟩

end
theory BKR-Decision
  imports BKR-Algorithm
    Berlekamp-Zassenhaus.Factorize-Rat-Poly
    Algebraic-Numbers.Real-Roots
    BKR-Proofs
    HOL.Deriv
begin

```

16 Algorithm

16.1 Parsing

```
datatype 'a fml =
```

```

And 'a fml 'a fml
| Or 'a fml 'a fml
| Gt 'a
| Geq 'a
| Lt 'a
| Leq 'a
| Eq 'a
| Neq 'a

primrec lookup-sem :: nat fml  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::linordered-field list)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  lookup-sem (And l r) ls = (lookup-sem l ls  $\wedge$  lookup-sem r ls)
  | lookup-sem (Or l r) ls = (lookup-sem l ls  $\vee$  lookup-sem r ls)
  | lookup-sem (Gt p) ls = (ls ! p > 0)
  | lookup-sem (Geq p) ls = (ls ! p  $\geq$  0)
  | lookup-sem (Lt p) ls = (ls ! p < 0)
  | lookup-sem (Leq p) ls = (ls ! p  $\leq$  0)
  | lookup-sem (Eq p) ls = (ls ! p = 0)
  | lookup-sem (Neq p) ls = (ls ! p  $\neq$  0)

primrec poly-list :: 'a fml  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where
  poly-list (And l r) = poly-list l @ poly-list r
  | poly-list (Or l r) = poly-list l @ poly-list r
  | poly-list (Gt p) = [p]
  | poly-list (Geq p) = [p]
  | poly-list (Lt p) = [p]
  | poly-list (Leq p) = [p]
  | poly-list (Eq p) = [p]
  | poly-list (Neq p) = [p]

primrec index-of-aux :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  index-of-aux [] y n = n
  | index-of-aux (x#xs) y n =
    (if x = y then n else index-of-aux xs y (n+1))

definition index-of :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  index-of xs y = index-of-aux xs y 0

definition convert :: 'a fml  $\Rightarrow$  (nat fml  $\times$  'a list)
where
  convert fml = (
    let ps = remdups (poly-list fml)
    in
    (map-fml (index-of ps) fml, ps)
  )

```

16.2 Factoring

definition *factorize-rat-poly-monic* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow (*rat* \times (*rat poly* \times *nat*) *list*)

where

```
factorize-rat-poly-monic p = (
  let (c,fs) = factorize-rat-poly p ;
    lcs = prod-list (map (\(f,i). (lead-coeff f) ^ i) fs) ;
    fs = map (\(f,i). (normalize f, i)) fs
  in
  (c * lcs,fs)
)
```

definition *factorize-polys* :: *rat poly list* \Rightarrow (*rat poly list* \times (*rat* \times (*nat* \times *nat*) *list*))

where

```
factorize-polys ps = (
  let fact-ps = map factorize-rat-poly-monic ps;
    factors = remdups (map fst (concat (map snd fact-ps))) ;
    data = map (\(c,fs). (c, map (\(f,pow). (index-of factors f, pow) ) fs))
  fact-ps
  in
  (factors,data)
)
```

definition *undo-factorize* :: *rat* \times (*nat* \times *nat*) *list* \Rightarrow *rat list* \Rightarrow *rat*

where

```
undo-factorize cfs signs =
squash
(case cfs of (c,fs) =>
(c * prod-list (map (\(f,pow). (signs ! f) ^ pow) fs)))
```

definition *undo-factorize-polys* :: (*rat* \times (*nat* \times *nat*) *list*) \Rightarrow *rat list* \Rightarrow *rat list*

where

```
undo-factorize-polys ls signs = map (\l. undo-factorize l signs) ls
```

16.3 Auxiliary Polynomial

definition *crb*:: *real poly* \Rightarrow *int* **where**

```
crb p = ceiling (2 + max-list-non-empty (map (\i. norm (coeff p i)) [0 ..< degree p])
/ norm (lead-coeff p))
```

definition *coprime-r* :: *real poly list* \Rightarrow *real poly*

where

```
coprime-r ps = pderiv (prod-list ps) * ([:- (crb (prod-list ps)),1:]) * ([:(crb (prod-list ps)),1:]])
```

16.4 Setting Up the Procedure

```

definition insertAt :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  insertAt n x ls = take n ls @ x # (drop n ls)

definition removeAt :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  removeAt n ls = take n ls @ (drop (n+1) ls)

definition find-sgas-aux:: real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list
  where find-sgas-aux in-list =
    concat (map ( $\lambda i.$ 
      map ( $\lambda v.$  insertAt i 0 v) (find-consistent-signs-at-roots (in-list ! i) (removeAt i
      in-list)))
    ) [0..<length in-list])

definition find-sgas :: real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list
  where
    find-sgas ps =
      let r = coprime-r ps in
        find-consistent-signs-at-roots r ps @ find-sgas-aux ps
    )

definition find-consistent-signs :: rat poly list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list
  where
    find-consistent-signs ps =
      let (fs,data) = factorize-polys ps;
        sgas = find-sgas (map (map-poly of-rat) fs);
        rsgas = map (undo-factorize-polys data) sgas
      in
        (if fs = [] then [(map ( $\lambda x.$  if poly x 0 < 0 then -1 else if poly x 0 = 0 then 0
        else 1) ps)] else rsgas)
    )
  
```

16.5 Deciding Univariate Problems

```

definition decide-universal :: rat poly fml  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where [code]:
    decide-universal fml =
      let (fml-struct,polys) = convert fml;
        conds = find-consistent-signs polys
      in
        list-all (lookup-sem fml-struct) conds
    )

definition decide-existential :: rat poly fml  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where [code]:
    decide-existential fml =
  
```

```

let (fml-struct, polys) = convert fml;
  conds = find-consistent-signs polys
in
  find (lookup-sem fml-struct) conds ≠ None
)

```

17 Proofs

17.1 Parsing and Semantics

```

primrec real-sem :: real poly fml ⇒ real ⇒ bool
where
  real-sem (And l r) x = (real-sem l x ∧ real-sem r x)
  | real-sem (Or l r) x = (real-sem l x ∨ real-sem r x)
  | real-sem (Gt p) x = (poly p x > 0)
  | real-sem (Geq p) x = (poly p x ≥ 0)
  | real-sem (Lt p) x = (poly p x < 0)
  | real-sem (Leq p) x = (poly p x ≤ 0)
  | real-sem (Eq p) x = (poly p x = 0)
  | real-sem (Neq p) x = (poly p x ≠ 0)

```

```

primrec fml-sem :: rat poly fml ⇒ real ⇒ bool
where
  fml-sem (And l r) x = (fml-sem l x ∧ fml-sem r x)
  | fml-sem (Or l r) x = (fml-sem l x ∨ fml-sem r x)
  | fml-sem (Gt p) x = (rpoly p x > 0)
  | fml-sem (Geq p) x = (rpoly p x ≥ 0)
  | fml-sem (Lt p) x = (rpoly p x < 0)
  | fml-sem (Leq p) x = (rpoly p x ≤ 0)
  | fml-sem (Eq p) x = (rpoly p x = 0)
  | fml-sem (Neq p) x = (rpoly p x ≠ 0)

```

```

lemma poly-list-set-fml:
shows set (poly-list fml) = set-fml fml
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma convert-semantics-lem:
assumes ⋀p. p ∈ set (poly-list fml) ==>
  ls ! (index-of ps p) = rpoly p x
shows fml-sem fml x = lookup-sem (map-fml (index-of ps) fml) ls
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma index-of-aux-more:
shows index-of-aux ls p n ≥ n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma index-of-aux-lookup:
assumes p ∈ set ls

```

```

shows (index-of-aux ls p n) - n < length ls
  ls ! ((index-of-aux ls p n) - n) = p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma index-of-lookup:
assumes p ∈ set ls
shows index-of ls p < length ls
  ls ! (index-of ls p) = p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma convert-semantics:
shows fml-sem fml x = lookup-sem (fst (convert fml)) (map (λp. rpoly p x) (snd (convert fml)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma convert-closed:
shows ∀i. i ∈ set-fml (fst (convert fml)) ⇒ i < length (snd (convert fml))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition sign-vec::rat poly list ⇒ real ⇒ rat list
where sign-vec qs x ≡
  map (squash ∘ (λp. rpoly p x)) qs

definition consistent-sign-vectors::rat poly list ⇒ real set ⇒ rat list set
where consistent-sign-vectors qs S = (sign-vec qs) ` S

lemma sign-vec-semantics:
assumes ∀i. i ∈ set-fml fml ⇒ i < length ls
shows lookup-sem fml (map (λp. rpoly p x) ls) = lookup-sem fml (sign-vec ls x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma universal-lookup-sem:
assumes ∀i. i ∈ set-fml fml ⇒ i < length qs
assumes set signs = consistent-sign-vectors qs UNIV
shows (∀x::real. lookup-sem fml (map (λp. rpoly p x) qs)) ↔
  list-all (lookup-sem fml) signs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma existential-lookup-sem:
assumes ∀i. i ∈ set-fml fml ⇒ i < length qs
assumes set signs = consistent-sign-vectors qs UNIV
shows (∃x::real. lookup-sem fml (map (λp. rpoly p x) qs)) ↔
  find (lookup-sem fml) signs ≠ None
  ⟨proof⟩

```

17.2 Factoring Lemmas

interpretation *of-rat-poly-hom*: *map-poly-comm-semiring-hom of-rat*⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *of-rat-poly-hom*: *map-poly-comm-ring-hom of-rat*⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *of-rat-poly-hom*: *map-poly-idom-hom of-rat*⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *finite-prod-map-of-rat-poly-hom*:

shows *poly* (*real-of-rat-poly* ($\prod_{(a,b) \in s} f a b$)) *y* = ($\prod_{(a,b) \in s} poly$ (*real-of-rat-poly* ($f a b$))) *y*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sign-vec-index-of*:

assumes *f* ∈ *set ftrs*
shows *sign-vec ftrs x* ! (*index-of ftrs f*) = *squash* (*rpoly f x*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *squash-idem*:

shows *squash* (*squash x*) = *squash x*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *squash-mult*:

shows *squash* ((*a*::*real*) * *b*) = *squash a* * *squash b*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *squash-prod-list*:

shows *squash* (*prod-list* (*ls*::*real list*)) = *prod-list* (*map squash ls*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *squash-pow*:

shows *squash* ((*x*::*real*) ^ (*y*::*nat*)) = (*squash x*) ^ *y*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *squash-real-of-rat*[*simp*]:

shows *squash* (*real-of-rat x*) = *squash x*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *factorize-rat-poly-monic-irreducible-monic*:

assumes *factorize-rat-poly-monic f* = (*c,fs*)
assumes (*fi,i*) ∈ *set fs*
shows *irreducible fi* ∧ *monic fi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *square-free-normalize*:

assumes *square-free p*
shows *square-free* (*normalize p*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *coprime-normalize*:

assumes *coprime a b*
shows *coprime* (*normalize a*) *b*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *undo-normalize*:

shows $a = \text{Polynomial.smult}(\text{unit-factor}(\text{lead-coeff } a))(\text{normalize } a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-smult-distr*:

assumes *distinct fs*
shows $(\prod_{(x,y) \in \text{set } fs} \text{Polynomial.smult}((f x y)::\text{rat})(g x y)) =$
 $\text{Polynomial.smult}(\prod_{(x,y) \in \text{set } fs} f x y)(\prod_{(x,y) \in \text{set } fs} g x y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *normalize-coprime-degree*:

assumes $\text{normalize}(f::\text{rat poly}) = \text{normalize } g$
assumes *coprime f g*
shows *degree f = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *factorize-rat-poly-monic-square-free-factorization*:

assumes $\text{res}: \text{factorize-rat-poly-monic } f = (c, fs)$
shows *square-free-factorization f (c,fs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *undo-factorize-correct*:

assumes *factorize-rat-poly-monic p = (c,fs)*
assumes $\bigwedge f p. (f,p) \in \text{set } fs \implies f \in \text{set } ftrs$
shows *undo-factorize (c, map (\lambda(f,pow). (index-of ftrs f, pow)) fs) (sign-vec ftrs x) = squash (rpoly p x)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-sign-vec[simp]*:

shows *length (sign-vec ps x) = length ps* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *factorize-polys-has-factors*:

assumes *factorize-polys ps = (ftrs,data)*
assumes $p \in \text{set } ps$
assumes *factorize-rat-poly-monic p = (c,fs)*
shows *set (map fst fs) ⊆ set ftrs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *factorize-polys-undo-factorize-polys*:

assumes *factorize-polys ps = (ftrs,data)*
shows *undo-factorize-polys data (sign-vec ftrs x) = sign-vec ps x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *factorize-polys-irreducible-monic*:

assumes *factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)*
shows *distinct fs $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{set } fs \implies \text{irreducible } f \wedge \text{monic } f$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma factorize-polys-square-free:
  assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
  shows  $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{set } fs \implies \text{square-free } f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-monic-coprime:
  assumes f: monic f irreducible (f::rat poly)
  assumes g: monic g irreducible (g::rat poly)
  assumes f ≠ g
  shows coprime f g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-polys-coprime:
  assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
  shows  $\bigwedge f g. f \in \text{set } fs \implies g \in \text{set } fs \implies f \neq g \implies \text{coprime } f g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-rat-poly-real-poly:
  assumes coprime p (q::rat poly)
  shows coprime (real-of-rat-poly p) ((real-of-rat-poly q)::real poly)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-rat-poly-iff-coprime-real-poly:
  shows coprime p (q::rat poly)  $\longleftrightarrow$  coprime (real-of-rat-poly p) ((real-of-rat-poly q)::real poly)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-polys-map-distinct:
  assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
  assumes fss = map real-of-rat-poly fs
  shows distinct fss
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-polys-map-square-free:
  assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
  assumes fss = map real-of-rat-poly fs
  shows  $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{set } fss \implies \text{square-free } f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-polys-map-coprime:
  assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
  assumes fss = map real-of-rat-poly fs
  shows  $\bigwedge f g. f \in \text{set } fss \implies g \in \text{set } fss \implies f \neq g \implies \text{coprime } f g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-prod-list:
  assumes  $\bigwedge p. p \in \text{set } ps \implies p \neq 0$ 
  assumes coprime (prod-list ps) (q::real poly)

```

```

shows  $\bigwedge p. p \in set ps \implies coprime p q$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-polys-square-free-prod-list:
assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
shows square-free (prod-list fs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-polys-map-square-free-prod-list:
assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
assumes fss = map real-of-rat-poly fs
shows square-free (prod-list fss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-polys-map-coprime-pderiv:
assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
assumes fss = map real-of-rat-poly fs
shows  $\bigwedge f. f \in set fss \implies coprime f (pderiv (prod-list fss))$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition pairwise-coprime-list:: rat poly list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where pairwise-coprime-list qs =
 $(\forall m < length qs. \forall n < length qs.$ 
 $m \neq n \longrightarrow coprime (qs ! n) (qs ! m))$ 

lemma coprime-factorize:
fixes qs:: rat poly list
shows pairwise-coprime-list (fst(factorize-polys qs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma squarefree-factorization-degree:
assumes square-free-factorization p (c,fs)
shows degree p = sum-list (map ( $\lambda(f,c). c * degree f$ ) fs)
⟨proof⟩

```

17.3 Auxiliary Polynomial Lemmas

```

definition roots-of-coprime-r:: real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  real set
where roots-of-coprime-r qs = {x. poly (coprime-r qs) x = 0}

lemma crb-lem-pos:
fixes x:: real
fixes p:: real poly
assumes x: poly p x = 0
assumes p: p ≠ 0
shows x < crb p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma crb-lem-neg:
  fixes x:: real
  fixes p:: real poly
  assumes x: poly p x = 0
  assumes p: p ≠ 0
  shows x > -crb p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-zero:
  shows ∀ x . poly (prod-list (qs:: rat poly list)) x = 0 ↔ (∃ q ∈ set (qs). poly q
x = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-r-zero1: poly (coprime-r qs) (crb (prod-list qs)) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-r-zero2: poly (coprime-r qs) (-crb (prod-list qs)) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-mult:
  fixes a:: real poly
  fixes b:: real poly
  fixes c:: real poly
  assumes algebraic-semidom-class.coprime a b
  assumes algebraic-semidom-class.coprime a c
  shows algebraic-semidom-class.coprime a (b*c)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-r-coprime-prop:
  fixes ps:: rat poly list
  assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
  assumes fss = map real-of-rat-poly fs
  shows ∏f. f ∈ set fss ⇒ coprime f (coprime-r fss)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-r-nonzero:
  fixes ps:: rat poly list
  assumes factorize-polys ps = (fs,data)
  assumes nonempty-fs: fs ≠ []
  assumes fss-is: fss = map real-of-rat-poly fs
  shows (coprime-r fss) ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Rolle-pderiv:
  fixes q:: real poly
  fixes x1 x2:: real

```

```

shows ( $x1 < x2 \wedge \text{poly } q \ x1 = 0 \wedge \text{poly } q \ x2 = 0$ )  $\longrightarrow (\exists w. \ x1 < w \wedge w < x2 \wedge \text{poly } (\text{pderiv } q) \ w = 0)$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma coprime-r-roots-prop:
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime:  $\forall q1 q2. (q1 \neq q2 \wedge (\text{List.member } qs \ q1) \wedge (\text{List.member } qs \ q2)) \longrightarrow \text{coprime } q1 \ q2$ 
  shows  $\forall x1. \forall x2. ((x1 < x2 \wedge (\exists q1 \in \text{set } (qs). (\text{poly } q1 \ x1) = 0) \wedge (\exists q2 \in \text{set } (qs). (\text{poly } q2 \ x2) = 0)) \longrightarrow (\exists q. \ x1 < q \wedge q < x2 \wedge \text{poly } (\text{coprime-r } qs) \ q = 0))$ 
{proof}

```

17.4 Setting Up the Procedure: Lemmas

```

definition has-no-zeros::rat list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where has-no-zeros l = ( $0 \notin \text{set } l$ )

```

```

lemma hnz-prop: has-no-zeros l  $\longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists k < \text{length } l. \ l ! k = 0)$ 
{proof}

```

```

definition cast-rat-list:: rat poly list  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list
  where cast-rat-list qs = map real-of-rat-poly qs

```

```

definition consistent-sign-vectors-r::real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  real set  $\Rightarrow$  rat list set
  where consistent-sign-vectors-r qs S = (signs-at qs) ` S

```

```

lemma consistent-sign-vectors-consistent-sign-vectors-r:
  shows consistent-sign-vectors-r (cast-rat-list qs) S = consistent-sign-vectors qs S
{proof}

```

```

lemma coprime-over-reals-coprime-over-rats:
  fixes qs:: rat poly list
  assumes csa-in: csa  $\in$  (consistent-sign-vectors qs UNIV)
  assumes p1p2:  $p1 \neq p2 \wedge p1 < \text{length } csa \wedge p2 < \text{length } csa \wedge csa ! p1 = 0 \wedge csa ! p2 = 0$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{algebraic-semidom-class.coprime } (\text{nth } qs \ p1) \ (\text{nth } qs \ p2)$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma zero-above:
  fixes qs:: rat poly list
  fixes x1:: real
  assumes hnz: has-no-zeros (sign-vec qs x1)
  shows ( $\forall x2 > x1. ((\text{sign-vec } qs \ x1) \neq (\text{sign-vec } qs \ x2)) \longrightarrow (\exists r::real. r > x1. (r \leq x2 \wedge (\exists q \in \text{set } (qs). \text{rpoly } q \ r = 0)))$ )
{proof}

```

```

lemma zero-below:
  fixes qs:: rat poly list
  fixes x1:: real
  assumes hnz: has-no-zeros (sign-vec qs x1)
  shows  $\forall x2 < x1. ((\text{sign-vec } qs \ x1) \neq (\text{sign-vec } qs \ x2)) \rightarrow$ 
     $(\exists (r:\text{real}) < x1. (r \geq x2 \wedge (\exists q \in \text{set}(qs). r\text{poly } q \ r = 0)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-list-lemma:
  fixes l:: real list
  fixes a b:: real
  fixes n:: nat
  assumes a < b
  assumes  $(n + 1) < \text{length } l$ 
  assumes strict-sort: sorted-wrt (<) l
  assumes lt-a:  $(l ! n) < a$ 
  assumes b-lt:  $b < (l ! (n+1))$ 
  shows  $\neg(\exists (x:\text{real}). (\text{List.member } l \ x \wedge a \leq x \wedge x \leq b))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma roots-of-coprime-r-location-property:
  fixes qs:: rat poly list
  fixes sga:: rat list
  fixes zer-list
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime: pairwise-coprime-list qs
  assumes all-squarefree:  $\bigwedge q. q \in \text{set } qs \implies \text{rsquarefree } q$ 
  assumes x1-prop: sga = sign-vec qs x1
  assumes hnz: has-no-zeros sga
  assumes zer-list-prop: zer-list = sorted-list-of-set { $(x:\text{real}). (\exists q \in \text{set}(qs). (r\text{poly } q \ x = 0))$ }
  shows zer-list ≠ [] →  $((x1 < (\text{zer-list} ! 0)) \vee (x1 > (\text{zer-list} ! (\text{length } \text{zer-list} - 1)) \vee$ 
     $(\exists n < (\text{length } \text{zer-list} - 1). x1 > (\text{zer-list} ! n) \wedge x1 < (\text{zer-list} ! (n+1)))))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma roots-of-coprime-r-capture-sgas-without-zeros:
  fixes qs:: rat poly list
  fixes sga:: rat list
  assumes pairwise-rel-prime: pairwise-coprime-list qs
  assumes all-squarefree:  $\bigwedge q. q \in \text{set } qs \implies \text{rsquarefree } q$ 
  assumes ex-x1: sga = sign-vec qs x1
  assumes hnz: has-no-zeros sga
  shows  $(\exists w \in (\text{roots-of-coprime-r } (\text{cast-rat-list } qs)). \text{sga} = (\text{sign-vec } qs \ w))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma find-csas-lemma-nozeros:

```

```

fixes qs:: rat poly list
assumes fs: factorize-polys qs = (fs,data)
assumes fs ≠ []
shows (csa ∈ (consistent-sign-vectors fs UNIV) ∧ has-no-zeros csa) ←→
    csa ∈ set (find-consistent-signs-at-roots (coprime-r (cast-rat-list fs)) (cast-rat-list
fs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma range-eq:
fixes a
fixes b
shows a = b → range a = range b
⟨proof⟩

lemma removeAt-distinct:
shows distinct fss ⇒ distinct (removeAt i fss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma consistent-signs-atw:
assumes ⋀p. p ∈ set fs ⇒ poly p x ≠ 0
shows consistent-sign-vec-copr fs x = signs-at fs x
⟨proof⟩

lemma characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots-rw:
assumes p ≠ 0
assumes copr: ⋀q. q ∈ set fs ⇒ coprime p q
shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p fs) =
    consistent-sign-vectors-r fs ({}x. poly p x = 0)
⟨proof⟩

lemma signs-at-insert:
assumes i ≤ length qs
shows insertAt i (squash (poly p x)) (signs-at qs x) =
    signs-at (insertAt i p qs) x
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-removeAt:
assumes i < length ls
shows length (removeAt i ls) = length ls - 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma insertAt-removeAt:
assumes i < length ls
shows insertAt i (ls!i) (removeAt i ls) = ls
⟨proof⟩

lemma insertAt-nth:
assumes i ≤ length ls

```

```

shows insertAt i n ls ! i = n
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-signs-at[simp]:
shows length (signs-at qs x) = length qs
⟨proof⟩

lemma find-csa-at-index:
assumes i < length fss
assumes  $\bigwedge p q. p \in \text{set } fss \implies q \in \text{set } fss \implies p \neq q \implies \text{coprime } p q$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge p. p \in \text{set } fss \implies p \neq 0$ 
assumes distinct fss
shows
set (map ( $\lambda v.$  insertAt i 0 v) (find-consistent-signs-at-roots (fss ! i) (removeAt i fss))) =
{v ∈ consistent-sign-vectors-r fss UNIV. v ! i = 0}
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-set-concat-map:
assumes i < length ls
assumes x ∈ set (f (ls ! i))
shows x ∈ set (concat (map f ls))
⟨proof⟩

lemma find-csas-lemma-onezero-gen:
fixes qs:: rat poly list
assumes fs: factorize-polys qs = (fs,data)
assumes fss: fss = cast-rat-list fs
shows (csa ∈ (consistent-sign-vectors-r fss UNIV)  $\wedge \neg(\text{has-no-zeros csa})$ )
 $\longleftrightarrow$  csa ∈ set (find-sgas-aux fss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mem-append: List.member (l1@l2) m  $\longleftrightarrow$  (List.member l1 m  $\vee$  List.member l2 m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma same-sign-cond-rationals-reals:
fixes qs:: rat poly list
assumes lenh: length (fst(factorize-polys qs)) > 0
shows set(find-sgas (map (map-poly of-rat) (fst(factorize-polys qs)))) = consistent-sign-vectors (fst(factorize-polys qs)) UNIV
⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-polys-undo-factorize-polys-set:
assumes factorize-polys ps = (ftrs,data)
assumes sgas = find-sgas (map (map-poly of-rat) ftrs)
assumes sgas-set: set (sgas) = consistent-sign-vectors ftrs UNIV
shows set (map (undo-factorize-polys data) sgas) = consistent-sign-vectors ps UNIV

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma main-step-aux1:
  fixes qs:: rat poly list
  assumes empty: (fst(factorize-polys qs)) = []
  shows set (find-consistent-signs qs) = consistent-sign-vectors qs UNIV
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma main-step-aux2:
  fixes qs:: rat poly list
  assumes lenh: length (fst(factorize-polys qs)) > 0
  shows set (find-consistent-signs qs) = consistent-sign-vectors qs UNIV
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma main-step:
  fixes qs:: rat poly list
  shows set (find-consistent-signs qs) = consistent-sign-vectors qs UNIV
⟨proof⟩
```

17.5 Decision Procedure: Main Lemmas

```
lemma decide-univ-lem-helper:
  assumes (fml-struct, polys) = convert fml
  shows (forall x::real. lookup-sem fml-struct (map (λp. rpoly p x) polys)) ↔
    (decide-universal fml)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma decide-exis-lem-helper:
  assumes (fml-struct, polys) = convert fml
  shows (exists x::real. lookup-sem fml-struct (map (λp. rpoly p x) polys)) ↔
    (decide-existential fml)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem decision-procedure:
  shows (forall x::real. fml-sem fml x) ↔ (decide-universal fml)
  exists x::real. fml-sem fml x ↔ (decide-existential fml)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
theory Renegar-Algorithm
  imports BKR-Algorithm
begin
```

```
definition construct-NofI-R:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ real poly list ⇒ rat
  where construct-NofI-R p I1 I2 =
    let new-p = sum-list (map (λx. x^2) (p # I1)) in
      rat-of-int (changes-R-smoms new-p ((pderiv new-p)*(prod-list I2)))
```

```

definition construct-rhs-vector-R:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list * nat list)
list  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec
where construct-rhs-vector-R p qs Is =
vec-of-list (map ( $\lambda$ (I1,I2).
(construct-NofI-R p (retrieve-polys qs I1) (retrieve-polys qs I2))) Is)

```

18 Base Case

```

definition base-case-info-R:: (rat mat  $\times$  ((nat list * nat list) list  $\times$  rat list list))
where base-case-info-R =
((mat-of-rows-list 3 [[1,1,1], [0,1,0], [1,0,-1]]),([([],[]),([0],[]),([], [0])], [[1],[0],[-1]]))

```

```

definition base-case-solve-for-lhs:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec
where base-case-solve-for-lhs p q = (mult-mat-vec (mat-of-rows-list 3 [[1/2,
-1/2, 1/2], [0, 1, 0], [1/2, -1/2, -1/2]]) (construct-rhs-vector-R p [q] [([],[]),([0],[]),([], [0])]))

```

```

definition solve-for-lhs-R:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list * nat list) list  $\Rightarrow$ 
rat mat  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec
where solve-for-lhs-R p qs subsets matr =
mult-mat-vec (matr-option (dim-row matr) (mat-inverse-var matr)) (construct-rhs-vector-R
p qs subsets)

```

19 Smashing

```

definition subsets-smash-R::nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list*nat list) list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list*nat list) list
 $\Rightarrow$  (nat list*nat list) list
where subsets-smash-R n s1 s2 = concat (map ( $\lambda$ l1. map ( $\lambda$  l2. (((fst l1) @
(map ((+) n) (fst l2))), (snd l1) @ (map ((+) n) (snd l2)))) s2) s1)

```

```

definition smash-systems-R:: ('a::zero) poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::zero) poly list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::zero) poly
list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list * nat list) list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list * nat list) list  $\Rightarrow$ 
rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat  $\Rightarrow$ 
('a::zero) poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  ((nat list * nat list) list  $\times$  rat list list))
where smash-systems-R p qs1 qs2 subsets1 subsets2 signs1 signs2 mat1 mat2 =
(qs1@qs2, (kronecker-product mat1 mat2, (subsets-smash-R (length qs1) subsets1 subsets2, signs-smash signs1 signs2)))

```

```

fun combine-systems-R:: ('a::zero) poly  $\Rightarrow$  (('a::zero) poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  ((nat
list * nat list) list  $\times$  rat list list)))  $\Rightarrow$  (('a::zero) poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  ((nat list *
nat list) list  $\times$  rat list list)))
 $\Rightarrow$  (('a::zero) poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  ((nat list * nat list) list  $\times$  rat list list)))
where combine-systems-R p (qs1, m1, sub1, sgn1) (qs2, m2, sub2, sgn2) =
(smash-systems-R p qs1 qs2 sub1 sub2 sgn1 sgn2 m1 m2)

```

20 Reduction

```

fun reduction-step-R:: rat mat  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list*nat list) list  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec
 $\Rightarrow$  rat mat  $\times$  ((nat list*nat list) list  $\times$  rat list list)
where reduction-step-R A signs subsets lhs-vec =
  (let reduce-cols-A = (reduce-mat-cols A lhs-vec);
   rows-keep = rows-to-keep reduce-cols-A in
   (take-rows-from-matrix reduce-cols-A rows-keep,
    (take-indices subsets rows-keep,
     take-indices signs (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec lhs-vec)))))

fun reduce-system-R:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  (real poly list  $\times$  (rat mat  $\times$  ((nat list*nat list)
list  $\times$  rat list list)))  $\Rightarrow$  (rat mat  $\times$  ((nat list*nat list) list  $\times$  rat list list))
where reduce-system-R p (qs,m,subs,signs) =
  reduction-step-R m signs subs (solve-for-lhs-R p qs subs m)

```

21 Overall algorithm

```

fun calculate-data-R:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  (rat mat  $\times$  ((nat list*nat list)
list  $\times$  rat list list))
where
calculate-data-R p qs =
( let len = length qs in
  if len = 0 then
    ( $\lambda(a,b,c).(a,b,map (drop 1) c))$  (reduce-system-R p ([1],base-case-info-R))
  else if len  $\leq$  1 then reduce-system-R p (qs,base-case-info-R)
  else
    (let q1 = take (len div 2) qs; left = calculate-data-R p q1;
     q2 = drop (len div 2) qs; right = calculate-data-R p q2;
     comb = combine-systems-R p (q1,left) (q2,right) in
     reduce-system-R p comb
    )
  )
)

```

definition find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R:: real poly \Rightarrow real poly list \Rightarrow rat list list
where [code]:

find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R p qs =
(let (M,S, Σ) = calculate-data-R p qs in Σ)

lemma find-consistent-signs-at-roots-thm-R:

shows find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R p qs = snd (snd (calculate-data-R p qs))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory Renegar-Proofs

imports Renegar-Algorithm
BKR-Proofs

begin

22 Tarski Queries Changed

```

lemma construct-NofI-R-relation:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes I1:: real poly list
  fixes I2:: real poly list
  shows construct-NofI-R p I1 I2 =
    construct-NofI (sum-list (map power2 (p # I1))) I2
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-map-power2:
  shows sum-list (map power2 ls) ≥ (0::real poly)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-map-power2-poly:
  shows poly (sum-list (map power2 (ls::real poly list))) x ≥ (0::real)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma construct-NofI-R-prop-helper:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes I1:: real poly list
  fixes I2:: real poly list
  assumes nonzero: p≠0
  shows construct-NofI-R p I1 I2 =
    rat-of-int (int (card {x. poly (sum-list (map (λx. x^2) (p # I1))) x = 0 ∧ poly
    (prod-list I2) x > 0})) −
    int (card {x. poly (sum-list (map (λx. x^2) (p # I1))) x = 0 ∧ poly (prod-list
    I2) x < 0}))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zer-iff:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes ls:: real poly list
  shows poly (sum-list (map (λx. x^2) ls)) x = 0 ←→ (∀ i ∈ set ls. poly i x = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma construct-NofI-prop-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes I1:: real poly list
  fixes I2:: real poly list
  assumes nonzero: p≠0
  shows construct-NofI-R p I1 I2 =
    rat-of-int (int (card {x. poly p x = 0 ∧ (∀ q ∈ set I1. poly q x = 0) ∧ poly
    (prod-list I2) x > 0})) −
    int (card {x. poly p x = 0 ∧ (∀ q ∈ set I1. poly q x = 0) ∧ poly (prod-list I2)
    x < 0}))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

23 Matrix Equation

```

definition map-sgas:: rat list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list
  where map-sgas l = map ( $\lambda r.$  ( $1 - r^2$ )) l

definition z-R:: (nat list*nat list)  $\Rightarrow$  rat list  $\Rightarrow$  rat
  where z-R index-list sign-asg  $\equiv$  (prod-list (map (nth (map-sgas sign-asg)) (fst(index-list))))*(prod-list
  (map (nth sign-asg) (snd(index-list)))))

definition mtx-row-R:: rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list * nat list)  $\Rightarrow$  rat list
  where mtx-row-R sign-list index-list  $\equiv$  (map ((z-R index-list)) sign-list)

definition matrix-A-R:: rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list * nat list) list  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat
  where matrix-A-R sign-list subset-list =
    (mat-of-rows-list (length sign-list) (map ( $\lambda i.$  (mtx-row-R sign-list i)) subset-list))

definition all-list-constr-R:: (nat list*nat list) list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where all-list-constr-R L n  $\equiv$  ( $\forall x.$  List.member L x  $\longrightarrow$  (list-constr (fst x) n  $\wedge$ 
  list-constr (snd x) n))

definition alt-matrix-A-R:: rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list*nat list) list  $\Rightarrow$  rat mat
  where alt-matrix-A-R signs subsets = (mat (length subsets) (length signs)
  ( $\lambda(i, j).$  z-R (subsets ! i) (signs ! j)))

lemma alt-matrix-char-R: alt-matrix-A-R signs subsets = matrix-A-R signs subsets
  proof

lemma subsets-are-rows-R:  $\forall i < (\text{length subsets}).$  row (alt-matrix-A-R signs subsets) i =
  vec (length signs) ( $\lambda j.$  z-R (subsets ! i) (signs ! j))
  proof

lemma signs-are-cols-R:  $\forall i < (\text{length signs}).$  col (alt-matrix-A-R signs subsets) i =
  vec (length subsets) ( $\lambda j.$  z-R (subsets ! j) (signs ! i))
  proof

definition consistent-sign-vec::real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  rat list
  where consistent-sign-vec qs x  $\equiv$ 
    map ( $\lambda q.$  if (poly q x > 0) then (1::rat) else (if (poly q x = 0) then (0::rat)
    else (-1::rat))) qs

definition construct-lhs-vector-R:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  rat
  vec
  where construct-lhs-vector-R p qs signs  $\equiv$ 
    vec-of-list (map ( $\lambda w.$  rat-of-int (int (length (filter ( $\lambda v.$  v = w) (map (consistent-sign-vec
    qs) (characterize-root-list-p p)))))) signs)

```

```

definition satisfy-equation-R:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list*nat list) list  

 $\Rightarrow$  rat list list  $\Rightarrow$  bool  

where satisfy-equation-R p qs subset-list sign-list =  

    (mult-mat-vec (matrix-A-R sign-list subset-list) (construct-lhs-vector-R p qs sign-list) = (construct-rhs-vector-R p qs subset-list))

```



```

lemma construct-lhs-vector-clean-R:  

assumes p  $\neq 0$   

assumes i < length signs  

shows (construct-lhs-vector-R p qs signs) $ i =  

    card {x. poly p x = 0  $\wedge$  ((consistent-sign-vec qs x) = (nth signs i))}  

<proof>

```



```

lemma construct-lhs-vector-cleaner-R:  

assumes p  $\neq 0$   

shows (construct-lhs-vector-R p qs signs) =  

    vec-of-list (map ( $\lambda s.$  rat-of-int (card {x. poly p x = 0  $\wedge$  ((consistent-sign-vec qs x) = s}))) signs)  

<proof>

```



```

lemma z-signs-R2:  

fixes I:: nat list  

fixes signs:: rat list  

assumes lf: list-all ( $\lambda i.$  i < length signs) I  

assumes la: list-all ( $\lambda s.$  s = 1  $\vee$  s = 0  $\vee$  s = -1) signs  

shows (prod-list (map (nth signs) I)) = 1  $\vee$   

    (prod-list (map (nth signs) I)) = 0  $\vee$   

    (prod-list (map (nth signs) I)) = -1 <proof>

```



```

lemma z-signs-R1:  

fixes I:: nat list  

fixes signs:: rat list  

assumes lf: list-all ( $\lambda i.$  i < length signs) I  

assumes la: list-all ( $\lambda s.$  s = 1  $\vee$  s = 0  $\vee$  s = -1) signs  

shows (prod-list (map (nth (map-sgas signs)) I)) = 1  $\vee$   

    (prod-list (map (nth (map-sgas signs)) I)) = 0 <proof>

```



```

lemma z-signs-R:  

fixes I:: (nat list * nat list)  

fixes signs:: rat list  

assumes lf: list-all ( $\lambda i.$  i < length signs) (fst(I))  

assumes ls: list-all ( $\lambda i.$  i < length signs) (snd(I))  

assumes la: list-all ( $\lambda s.$  s = 1  $\vee$  s = 0  $\vee$  s = -1) signs  

shows (z-R I signs = 1)  $\vee$  (z-R I signs = 0)  $\vee$  (z-R I signs = -1)  

<proof>

```

```

lemma z-lemma-R:
  fixes I:: nat list * nat list
  fixes sign:: rat list
  assumes consistent: sign ∈ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs)
  assumes welldefined1: list-constr (fst I) (length qs)
  assumes welldefined2: list-constr (snd I) (length qs)
  shows (z-R I sign = 1) ∨ (z-R I sign = 0) ∨ (z-R I sign = -1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-set-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  fixes sign:: rat list
  fixes x:: real
  assumes root-p: x ∈ {x. poly p x = 0}
  assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec qs x
  shows sign ∈ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma consistent-signs-prop-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  fixes sign:: rat list
  fixes x:: real
  assumes root-p: x ∈ {x. poly p x = 0}
  assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec qs x
  shows list-all (λs. s = 1 ∨ s = 0 ∨ s = -1) sign
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma horiz-vector-helper-pos-ind-R1:
  fixes p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  fixes I:: nat list
  fixes sign:: rat list
  fixes x:: real
  assumes root-p: x ∈ {x. poly p x = 0}
  assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec qs x
  assumes asm: list-constr I (length qs)
  shows (prod-list (map (nth (map-sgas sign)) I)) = 1 ←→
    (forall p ∈ set (retrieve-polys qs I). poly p x = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma csv-length-same-as-qlist:
  fixes p:: real poly

```

```

assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
fixes qs:: real poly list
fixes sign:: rat list
fixes x:: real
assumes root-p:  $x \in \{x. \text{poly } p \text{ } x = 0\}$ 
assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec qs x
shows length sign = length qs
⟨proof⟩

lemma horiz-vector-helper-zer-ind-R2:
fixes p:: real poly
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
fixes qs:: real poly list
fixes I:: nat list
fixes sign:: rat list
fixes x:: real
assumes root-p:  $x \in \{x. \text{poly } p \text{ } x = 0\}$ 
assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec qs x
assumes asm: list-constr I (length qs)
shows (prod-list (map (nth sign) I)) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs I))) x = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma horiz-vector-helper-pos-ind-R2:
fixes p:: real poly
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
fixes qs:: real poly list
fixes I:: nat list
fixes sign:: rat list
fixes x:: real
assumes root-p:  $x \in \{x. \text{poly } p \text{ } x = 0\}$ 
assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec qs x
assumes asm: list-constr I (length qs)
shows (prod-list (map (nth sign) I)) = 1  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs I))) x > 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma horiz-vector-helper-pos-ind-R:
fixes p:: real poly
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
fixes qs:: real poly list
fixes I:: nat list * nat list
fixes sign:: rat list
fixes x:: real
assumes root-p:  $x \in \{x. \text{poly } p \text{ } x = 0\}$ 
assumes sign-fix: sign = consistent-sign-vec qs x
assumes asm1: list-constr (fst I) (length qs)
assumes asm2: list-constr (snd I) (length qs)
shows (( $\forall p \in \text{set}(\text{retrieve-polys qs } (\text{fst } I))$ . poly p x = 0)  $\wedge$  (poly (prod-list

```

$(\text{retrieve-polys } qs (\text{snd } I)) \ x > 0) \longleftrightarrow (z\text{-}R \ I \ \text{sign} = 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *horiz-vector-helper-pos-R*:

fixes p : real poly
assumes nonzero: $p \neq 0$
fixes qs : real poly list
fixes I : nat list*nat list
fixes $sign$: rat list
fixes x : real
assumes root-p: $x \in \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
assumes sign-fix: $sign = \text{consistent-sign-vec } qs \ x$
assumes welldefined1: list-constr (fst I) (length qs)
assumes welldefined2: list-constr (snd I) (length qs)
shows $((\forall p \in \text{set } (\text{retrieve-polys } qs (\text{fst } I)). \text{poly } p \ x = 0) \wedge (\text{poly } (\text{prod-list } (\text{retrieve-polys } qs (\text{snd } I))) \ x > 0)) \longleftrightarrow (z\text{-}R \ I \ \text{sign} = 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *horiz-vector-helper-neg-R*:

fixes p : real poly
assumes nonzero: $p \neq 0$
fixes qs : real poly list
fixes I : nat list*nat list
fixes $sign$: rat list
fixes x : real
assumes root-p: $x \in \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
assumes sign-fix: $sign = \text{consistent-sign-vec } qs \ x$
assumes welldefined1: list-constr (fst I) (length qs)
assumes welldefined2: list-constr (snd I) (length qs)
shows $((\forall p \in \text{set } (\text{retrieve-polys } qs (\text{fst } I)). \text{poly } p \ x = 0) \wedge (\text{poly } (\text{prod-list } (\text{retrieve-polys } qs (\text{snd } I))) \ x < 0)) \longleftrightarrow (z\text{-}R \ I \ \text{sign} = -1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lhs-dot-rewrite*:

fixes p : real poly
fixes qs : real poly list
fixes I : nat list*nat list
fixes $signs$: rat list list
assumes nonzero: $p \neq 0$
shows

$$(\text{vec-of-list } (\text{mtx-row-}R \ signs \ I) \cdot (\text{construct-lhs-vector-}R \ p \ qs \ signs)) =$$

$$\text{sum-list } (\text{map } (\lambda s. (z\text{-}R \ I \ s) * \text{rat-of-int } (\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0 \wedge \text{consistent-sign-vec } qs \ x = s\})) \ signs)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *construct-lhs-vector-drop-consistent-R*:

fixes p : real poly
fixes qs : real poly list

```

fixes I:: nat list*nat list
fixes signs:: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p≠0
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes welldefined1: list-constr (fst I) (length qs)
assumes welldefined2: list-constr (snd I) (length qs)
shows
  (vec-of-list (mtx-row-R signs I) · (construct-lhs-vector-R p qs signs)) =
    (vec-of-list (mtx-row-R (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) I) ·
      (construct-lhs-vector-R p qs (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-equation-helper-step-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs:: real poly list
fixes I:: nat list*nat list
fixes signs:: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p≠0
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes welldefined1: list-constr (fst I) (length qs)
assumes welldefined2: list-constr (snd I) (length qs)
shows (vec-of-list (mtx-row-R signs I) · (construct-lhs-vector-R p qs signs)) =
  rat-of-int (card {x. poly p x = 0 ∧ (∀ p ∈ set (retrieve-polys qs (fst I)). poly p x
= 0) ∧ poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs (snd I))) x > 0}) −
  rat-of-int (card {x. poly p x = 0 ∧ (∀ p ∈ set (retrieve-polys qs (fst I)). poly p x
= 0) ∧ poly (prod-list (retrieve-polys qs (snd I))) x < 0})
⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-equation-main-step-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs:: real poly list
fixes I:: nat list*nat list
fixes signs:: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p≠0
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes welldefined1: list-constr (fst I) (length qs)
assumes welldefined2: list-constr (snd I) (length qs)
shows (vec-of-list (mtx-row-R signs I) ·
  (construct-lhs-vector-R p qs signs)) =
  construct-NofI-R p (retrieve-polys qs (fst I)) (retrieve-polys qs (snd I))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mtx-row-length-R:
  list-all (λr. length r = length signs) (map (mtx-row-R signs) ls)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem matrix-equation-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  fixes subsets:: (nat list*nat list) list
  fixes signs:: rat list list
  assumes nonzero: p≠0
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes welldefined: all-list-constr-R (subsets) (length qs)
  shows satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma consistent-signs-at-roots-eq:
  assumes p ≠ 0
  shows consistent-signs-at-roots p qs =
    set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

abbreviation w-vec-R:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ rat list list ⇒ rat vec
where w-vec-R ≡ construct-lhs-vector-R

abbreviation v-vec-R:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ (nat list*nat list) list ⇒ rat vec
where v-vec-R ≡ construct-rhs-vector-R

abbreviation M-mat-R:: rat list list ⇒ (nat list*nat list) list ⇒ rat mat
where M-mat-R ≡ matrix-A-R

```

theorem matrix-equation-pretty:
  fixes subsets:: (nat list*nat list) list
  assumes p≠0
  assumes distinct signs
  assumes consistent-signs-at-roots p qs ⊆ set signs
  assumes ∧ a b i. (a, b) ∈ set (subsets) ⇒ (i ∈ set a ∨ i ∈ set b) ⇒ i < length qs
  shows M-mat-R signs subsets *v w-vec-R p qs signs = v-vec-R p qs subsets
  ⟨proof⟩

```

24 Base Case

```

definition satisfies-properties-R:: real poly ⇒ real poly list ⇒ (nat list*nat list)
list ⇒ rat list list ⇒ rat mat ⇒ bool
where satisfies-properties-R p qs subsets signs matrix =
( all-list-constr-R subsets (length qs) ∧ well-def-signs (length qs) signs ∧ distinct
signs
  ∧ satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs ∧ invertible-mat matrix ∧ matrix =

```

```

matrix-A-R signs subsets
  ∧ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  )

lemma mat-base-case-R:
  shows matrix-A-R [[1],[0],[-1]] [([], []),([0], []),([], [0])] = (mat-of-rows-list 3
[[1,1,1], [0,1,0], [1,0,-1]])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-sgas-R:
  fixes q p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p [q]) ⊆ {[1],[0], [-1]}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-sgas-alt-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  assumes len1: length qs = 1
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ {[1], [0], [-1]}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-satisfy-equation-R:
  fixes q p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  shows satisfy-equation-R p [q] [([], []),([0], []),([], [0])] [[1],[0],[-1]]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-satisfy-equation-alt-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs:: real poly list
  assumes len1: length qs = 1
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  shows satisfy-equation-R p qs [([], []),([0], []),([], [0])] [[1],[0],[-1]]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-matrix-eq:
  fixes q p:: real poly
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  shows (mult-mat-vec (mat-of-rows-list 3 [[1,1,1], [0,1,0], [1,0,-1]])) (construct-lhs-vector-R
p [q] [[1],[0],[-1]]) =
  (construct-rhs-vector-R p [q] [([], []),([0], []),([], [0])]))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less-three: (n::nat) < Suc (Suc (Suc 0)) ←→ n = 0 ∨ n = 1 ∨ n = 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-mat-base-case-R:

```

```

shows inverts-mat (mat-of-rows-list 3 [[1/2, -1/2, 1/2], [0, 1, 0], [1/2, -1/2,
-1/2]]::rat mat) (mat-of-rows-list 3 [[1,1,1], [0,1,0], [1,0,-1]]::rat mat)
⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-mat-base-case-2-R:
shows inverts-mat (mat-of-rows-list 3 [[1,1,1], [0,1,0], [1,0,-1]]::rat mat) (mat-of-rows-list
3 [[1/2, -1/2, 1/2], [0, 1, 0], [1/2, -1/2, -1/2]]:: rat mat)
⟨proof⟩

lemma base-case-invertible-mat-R:
shows invertible-mat (matrix-A-R [[1],[0], [- 1]] [[[], []],([0], []),([], [0])])
⟨proof⟩

```

25 Inductive Step

25.1 Lemmas on smashing subsets

```

definition subsets-first-component-list::(nat list*nat list) list ⇒ nat list list
where subsets-first-component-list I = map (λI. (fst I)) I

```

```

definition subsets-second-component-list::(nat list*nat list) list ⇒ nat list list
where subsets-second-component-list I = map (λI. (snd I)) I

```

```

definition smash-list-list::('a list*'a list) list ⇒ ('a list*'a list) list ⇒ ('a list*'a
list) list
where smash-list-list s1 s2 = concat (map (λl1. map (λl2. (fst l1 @ fst l2, snd
l1 @ snd l2)) s2) s1)

```

```

lemma smash-list-list-property-set:
fixes l1 l2 :: ('a list*'a list) list
fixes a b:: nat
shows ∀ (elem :: ('a list*'a list)). (elem ∈ (set (smash-list-list l1 l2)) →
(∃ (elem1:: ('a list*'a list)). ∃ (elem2:: ('a list*'a list)).
(elem1 ∈ set(l1) ∧ elem2 ∈ set(l2) ∧ elem = (fst elem1@ fst elem2, snd
elem1 @ snd elem2))))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subsets-smash-property-R:
fixes subsets1 subsets2 :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes n:: nat
shows ∀ (elem :: nat list*nat list). (List.member (subsets-smash-R n subsets1
subsets2) elem) →
(∃ (elem1::nat list*nat list). ∃ (elem2::nat list*nat list).
(elem1 ∈ set(subsets1) ∧ elem2 ∈ set(subsets2) ∧ elem = ((fst elem1) @
(map ((+) n) (fst elem2)), (snd elem1) @ (map ((+) n) (snd elem2)))))
⟨proof⟩

```

25.2 Well-defined subsets preserved when smashing

```

lemma well-def-step-R:
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes subsets1 subsets2 :: (nat list*nat list) list
  assumes well-def-subsets1: all-list-constr-R (subsets1) (length qs1)
  assumes well-def-subsets2: all-list-constr-R (subsets2) (length qs2)
  shows all-list-constr-R ((subsets-smash-R (length qs1) subsets1 subsets2))
    (length (qs1 @ qs2))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

25.3 Consistent Sign Assignments Preserved When Smashing

```

lemma subset-helper-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list
  shows ∀ x ∈ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p (qs1 @ qs2)).
    ∃ x1 ∈ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs1).
    ∃ x2 ∈ set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs2).
    x = x1@x2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subset-step-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list
  assumes csa1: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs1) ⊆ set (signs1)
  assumes csa2: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs2) ⊆ set (signs2)
  shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p
    (qs1 @ qs2))
    ⊆ set (signs-smash signs1 signs2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

25.4 Main Results

```

lemma dim-row-matrix-A-R[simp]:
  shows dim-row (matrix-A-R signs subsets) = length subsets
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dim-col-matrix-A-R[simp]:
  shows dim-col (matrix-A-R signs subsets) = length signs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma length-subsets-smash-R:
  shows
    length (subsets-smash-R n subs1 subs2) = length subs1 * length subs2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma z-append-R:
  fixes xs::(nat list * nat list)
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set}(\text{fst } xs) \implies i < \text{length } as$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set}(\text{snd } xs) \implies i < \text{length } as$ 
  shows  $z\text{-}R ((\text{fst } xs) @ (\text{map } ((+) (\text{length } as)) (\text{fst } ys)), (\text{snd } xs) @ (\text{map } ((+) (\text{length } as)) (\text{snd } ys))) (as @ bs) = z\text{-}R xs as * z\text{-}R ys bs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma matrix-construction-is-kronecker-product-R:
  fixes qs1 :: real poly list
  fixes subs1 subs2 :: (nat list*nat list) list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list

  assumes  $\bigwedge l. l \in \text{set } subs1 \implies (i \in \text{set}(\text{fst } l) \vee i \in \text{set}(\text{snd } l)) \implies i < n1$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge j. j \in \text{set } signs1 \implies \text{length } j = n1$ 
  shows (matrix-A-R (signs-smash signs1 signs2) (subsets-smash-R n1 subs1 subs2))
  =
    kronecker-product (matrix-A-R signs1 subs1) (matrix-A-R signs2 subs2)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inductive-step-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes subsets1 subsets2 :: (nat list*nat list) list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  assumes nontriv1:  $\text{length } qs1 > 0$ 
  assumes nontriv2:  $\text{length } qs2 > 0$ 
  assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs ( $\text{length } qs1$ ) signs1
  assumes welldefined-signs2: well-def-signs ( $\text{length } qs2$ ) signs2
  assumes distinct-signs1: distinct signs1
  assumes distinct-signs2: distinct signs2
  assumes all-info1: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs1)  $\subseteq$  set(signs1)
  assumes all-info2: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs2)  $\subseteq$  set(signs2)
  assumes welldefined-subsets1: all-list-constr-R (subsets1) ( $\text{length } qs1$ )
  assumes welldefined-subsets2: all-list-constr-R (subsets2) ( $\text{length } qs2$ )
  assumes invertibleMtx1: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs1 subsets1)
  assumes invertibleMtx2: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs2 subsets2)
  shows satisfy-equation-R p (qs1@qs2) (subsets-smash-R ( $\text{length } qs1$ ) subsets1
  subsets2) (signs-smash signs1 signs2)
     $\wedge$  invertible-mat (matrix-A-R (signs-smash signs1 signs2) (subsets-smash-R
  ( $\text{length } qs1$ ) subsets1 subsets2))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

26 Reduction Step Proofs

definition *get-matrix-R*:: $(rat\ mat \times ((nat\ list * nat\ list)\ list \times rat\ list\ list)) \Rightarrow rat\ mat$
where *get-matrix-R* *data* = *fst*(*data*)

definition *get-subsets-R*:: $(rat\ mat \times ((nat\ list * nat\ list)\ list \times rat\ list\ list)) \Rightarrow (nat\ list * nat\ list)\ list$
where *get-subsets-R* *data* = *fst*(*snd*(*data*))

definition *get-signs-R*:: $(rat\ mat \times ((nat\ list * nat\ list)\ list \times rat\ list\ list)) \Rightarrow rat\ list\ list$
where *get-signs-R* *data* = *snd*(*snd*(*data*))

definition *reduction-signs-R*:: $real\ poly \Rightarrow real\ poly\ list \Rightarrow rat\ list\ list \Rightarrow (nat\ list * nat\ list)\ list \Rightarrow rat\ mat \Rightarrow rat\ list\ list$
where *reduction-signs-R* *p qs signs subsets matr* =
 $(take-indices\ signs\ (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec\ (solve-for-lhs-R\ p\ qs\ subsets\ matr)))$

definition *reduction-subsets-R*:: $real\ poly \Rightarrow real\ poly\ list \Rightarrow rat\ list\ list \Rightarrow (nat\ list * nat\ list)\ list \Rightarrow rat\ mat \Rightarrow (nat\ list * nat\ list)\ list$
where *reduction-subsets-R* *p qs signs subsets matr* =
 $(take-indices\ subsets\ (rows-to-keep\ (reduce-mat-cols\ matr\ (solve-for-lhs-R\ p\ qs\ subsets\ matr))))$

lemma *reduction-signs-is-get-signs-R*: *reduction-signs-R p qs signs subsets m* =
get-signs-R (reduce-system-R p (qs, (m, (subsets, signs))))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduction-subsets-is-get-subsets-R*: *reduction-subsets-R p qs signs subsets m* =
get-subsets-R (reduce-system-R p (qs, (m, (subsets, signs))))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

26.1 Showing sign conditions preserved when reducing

lemma *take-indices-lem-R*:
fixes *p*:: *real poly*
fixes *qs* :: *real poly list*
fixes *arb-list* :: $('a\ list * 'a\ list)\ list$
fixes *index-list* :: *nat list*
fixes *n*:: *nat*
assumes *lest*: $n < length\ (take-indices\ arb-list\ index-list)$
assumes *well-def*: $\forall q. (List.member\ index-list\ q \longrightarrow q < length\ arb-list)$
shows $\exists k < length\ arb-list.$
 $(take-indices\ arb-list\ index-list) ! n = arb-list ! k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-of-mat-R*:

```

fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
shows (matrix-A-R signs subsets) ∈ carrier-mat (length subsets) (length signs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma size-of-lhs-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes signs :: rat list list
shows dim-vec (construct-lhs-vector-R p qs signs) = length signs
⟨proof⟩

lemma size-of-rhs-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
shows dim-vec (construct-rhs-vector-R p qs subsets) = length subsets
⟨proof⟩

lemma same-size-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
shows length subsets = length signs
⟨proof⟩

lemma construct-lhs-matches-solve-for-lhs-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
shows (construct-lhs-vector-R p qs signs) = solve-for-lhs-R p qs subsets (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
⟨proof⟩

lemma reduction-doesnt-break-things-signs-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)

```

```

assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(reduction-signs-R p
qs signs subsets (matrix-A-R signs subsets))
⟨proof⟩

lemma reduction-deletes-bad-sign-conds-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
shows set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) = set(reduction-signs-R p
qs signs subsets (matrix-A-R signs subsets))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem reduce-system-sign-conditions-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
shows set (get-signs-R (reduce-system-R p (qs, ((matrix-A-R signs subsets),
(subsets, signs)))))) = set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs)
⟨proof⟩

```

26.2 Showing matrix equation preserved when reducing

```

lemma reduce-system-matrix-equation-preserved-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes welldefined-signs: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes welldefined-subsets: all-list-constr-R (subsets) (length qs)
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)

```

```

assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
assumes invertible-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
shows satisfy-equation-R p qs (get-subsets-R (reduce-system-R p (qs, ((matrix-A-R
signs subsets), (subsets, signs)))))

(get-signs-R (reduce-system-R p (qs, ((matrix-A-R signs subsets), (subsets, signs)))))

⟨proof⟩

```

26.3 Showing matrix preserved

```

lemma reduce-system-matrix-signs-helper-aux-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  fixes S:: nat list
  assumes well-def-h:  $\forall x. \text{List.member } S x \longrightarrow x < \text{length } signs$ 
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows alt-matrix-A-R (take-indices signs S) subsets = take-cols-from-matrix
(alt-matrix-A-R signs subsets) S
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reduce-system-matrix-signs-helper-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  fixes S:: nat list
  assumes well-def-h:  $\forall x. \text{List.member } S x \longrightarrow x < \text{length } signs$ 
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows matrix-A-R (take-indices signs S) subsets = take-cols-from-matrix (matrix-A-R
signs subsets) S
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reduce-system-matrix-subsets-helper-aux-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: (nat list* nat list) list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  fixes S:: nat list
  assumes inv: length subsets  $\geq$  length signs
  assumes well-def-h:  $\forall x. \text{List.member } S x \longrightarrow x < \text{length subsets}$ 
  assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows alt-matrix-A-R signs (take-indices subsets S) = take-rows-from-matrix
(alt-matrix-A-R signs subsets) S
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reduce-system-matrix-subsets-helper-R:

```

```

fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
fixes S:: nat list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes inv: length subsets ≥ length signs
assumes well-def-h: ∀ x. List.member S x → x < length subsets
shows matrix-A-R signs (take-indices subsets S) = take-rows-from-matrix (matrix-A-R
signs subsets) S
⟨proof⟩

lemma reduce-system-matrix-match-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
shows matrix-A-R (get-signs-R (reduce-system-R p (qs, ((matrix-A-R signs sub-
sets), (subsets, signs))))) = (get-subsets-R (reduce-system-R p (qs, ((matrix-A-R signs subsets), (subsets,
signs)))))) = (get-matrix-R (reduce-system-R p (qs, ((matrix-A-R signs subsets), (subsets, signs))))) )
⟨proof⟩

```

26.4 Showing invertibility preserved when reducing

thm conjugatable-vec-space.gauss-jordan-single-rank

thm vec-space.full-rank-lin-indpt

```

lemma well-def-find-zeros-from-lhs-vec-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes len-eq: length subsets = length signs
assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs

```

```

shows ( $\bigwedge j. j \in \text{set}(\text{find-nonzeros-from-input-vec}(solve-for-lhs-R p qs \text{subsets}(\text{matrix-A-R signs subsets}))) \implies$ 
 $j < \text{length}(\text{cols}(\text{matrix-A-R signs subsets}))$ )

```

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

```

lemma take-cols-subsets-og-cols-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes len-eq: length subsets = length signs
  assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
  shows set (take-indices (cols (matrix-A-R signs subsets)))
    (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec (solve-for-lhs-R p qs subsets (matrix-A-R
    signs subsets))))
    ⊆ set (cols (matrix-A-R signs subsets))

```

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

```

lemma reduction-doesnt-break-things-invertibility-step1-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
  fixes signs :: rat list list
  assumes len-eq: length subsets = length signs
  assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
  assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
  assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
  assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
  shows vec-space.rank (length signs) (reduce-mat-cols (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
  (solve-for-lhs-R p qs subsets (matrix-A-R signs subsets))) =
    (length (find-nonzeros-from-input-vec (solve-for-lhs-R p qs subsets (matrix-A-R
    signs subsets))))

```

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

```

lemma reduction-doesnt-break-things-invertibility-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
  fixes signs :: rat list list

```

```

assumes len-eq: length subsets = length signs
assumes inv: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
assumes welldefined-signs1: well-def-signs (length qs) signs
assumes distinct-signs: distinct signs
assumes all-info: set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p qs) ⊆ set(signs)
assumes match: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
shows invertible-mat (get-matrix-R (reduce-system-R p (qs, ((matrix-A-R signs subsets), (subsets, signs))))))
⟨proof⟩

```

26.5 Well def signs preserved when reducing

```

lemma reduction-doesnt-break-length-signs-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes length-init :  $\forall x \in \text{set(signs)}. \text{length } x = \text{length } qs$ 
assumes sat-eq: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
assumes inv-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
shows  $\forall x \in \text{set(reduction-signs-R p qs signs subsets)} (\text{matrix-A-R signs subsets}))$ .

```

length x = length qs
 ⟨proof⟩

26.6 Distinct signs preserved when reducing

```

lemma reduction-signs-are-distinct-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes sat-eq: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
assumes inv-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)
assumes distinct-init: distinct signs
shows distinct (reduction-signs-R p qs signs subsets) (matrix-A-R signs subsets))
⟨proof⟩

```

26.7 Well def subsets preserved when reducing

```

lemma reduction-doesnt-break-subsets-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list* nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero:  $p \neq 0$ 
assumes length-init : all-list-constr-R subsets (length qs)
assumes sat-eq: satisfy-equation-R p qs subsets signs
assumes inv-mat: invertible-mat (matrix-A-R signs subsets)

```

```

shows all-list-constr-R (reduction-subsets-R p qs signs subsets (matrix-A-R signs
subsets)) (length qs)
⟨proof⟩

```

27 Overall Lemmas

```

lemma combining-to-smash-R: combine-systems-R p (qs1, m1, (sub1, sgn1))
(qs2, m2, (sub2, sgn2))
= smash-systems-R p qs1 qs2 sub1 sub2 sgn1 sgn2 m1 m2
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma getter-functions-R: calculate-data-R p qs = (get-matrix-R (calculate-data-R
p qs), (get-subsets-R (calculate-data-R p qs), get-signs-R (calculate-data-R p qs)))
⟨proof⟩

```

27.1 Key properties preserved

27.1.1 Properties preserved when combining and reducing systems

```

lemma combining-sys-satisfies-properties-helper-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 :: real poly list
  fixes qs2 :: real poly list
  fixes subsets1 subsets2 :: (nat list * nat list) list
  fixes signs1 signs2 :: rat list list
  fixes matrix1 matrix2:: rat mat
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes nontriv1: length qs1 > 0
  assumes nontriv2: length qs2 > 0
  assumes satisfies-properties-sys1: satisfies-properties-R p qs1 subsets1 signs1 ma-
trix1
  assumes satisfies-properties-sys2: satisfies-properties-R p qs2 subsets2 signs2 ma-
trix2
  shows satisfies-properties-R p (qs1@qs2) (get-subsets-R (snd ((combine-systems-R
p (qs1,(matrix1, (subsets1, signs1)))) (qs2,(matrix2, (subsets2, signs2)))))))
  (get-signs-R (snd ((combine-systems-R p (qs1,(matrix1, (subsets1, signs1)))) (qs2,(matrix2,
(subsets2, signs2)))))))
  (get-matrix-R (snd ((combine-systems-R p (qs1,(matrix1, (subsets1, signs1)))) (qs2,(matrix2,
(subsets2, signs2)))))))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma combining-sys-satisfies-properties-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs1 :: real poly list
  fixes qs2 :: real poly list
  assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
  assumes nontriv1: length qs1 > 0
  assumes nontriv2: length qs2 > 0

```

```

assumes satisfies-properties-sys1: satisfies-properties-R p qs1 (get-subsets-R (calculate-data-R
p qs1)) (get-signs-R (calculate-data-R p qs1)) (get-matrix-R (calculate-data-R p
qs1))
assumes satisfies-properties-sys2: satisfies-properties-R p qs2 (get-subsets-R (calculate-data-R
p qs2)) (get-signs-R (calculate-data-R p qs2)) (get-matrix-R (calculate-data-R p
qs2))
shows satisfies-properties-R p (qs1@qs2) (get-subsets-R (snd ((combine-systems-R
p (qs1,calculate-data-R p qs1) (qs2,calculate-data-R p qs2)))))
(get-signs-R (snd ((combine-systems-R p (qs1,calculate-data-R p qs1) (qs2,calculate-data-R
p qs2)))))
(get-matrix-R (snd ((combine-systems-R p (qs1,calculate-data-R p qs1) (qs2,calculate-data-R
p qs2)))))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reducing-sys-satisfies-properties-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
fixes matrix:: rat mat
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes nontriv: length qs > 0
assumes satisfies-properties-sys: satisfies-properties-R p qs subsets signs matrix
shows satisfies-properties-R p qs (get-subsets-R (reduce-system-R p (qs,matrix,subsets,signs)))
(get-signs-R (reduce-system-R p (qs,matrix,subsets,signs)))
(get-matrix-R (reduce-system-R p (qs,matrix,subsets,signs)))
⟨proof⟩

```

27.1.2 For length 1 qs

```

lemma length-1-calculate-data-satisfies-properties-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes len1: length qs = 1
shows satisfies-properties-R p qs (get-subsets-R (calculate-data-R p qs)) (get-signs-R
(calculate-data-R p qs)) (get-matrix-R (calculate-data-R p qs))
⟨proof⟩

```

27.1.3 For arbitrary qs

```

lemma calculate-data-satisfies-properties-R:
fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
shows (p ≠ 0 ∧ (length qs > 0))

```

$\longrightarrow \text{satisfies-properties-R } p \text{ } qs \text{ } (\text{get-subsets-R } (\text{calculate-data-R } p \text{ } qs)) \text{ } (\text{get-signs-R } (\text{calculate-data-R } p \text{ } qs)) \text{ } (\text{get-matrix-R } (\text{calculate-data-R } p \text{ } qs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.2 Some key results on consistent sign assignments

lemma *find-consistent-signs-at-roots-len1-R*:

```

fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes len1: length qs = 1
shows set (find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R p qs) = set (characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots  

p qs)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *smaller-sys-are-good-R*:

```

fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs1 :: real poly list
fixes qs2 :: real poly list
fixes subsets :: (nat list*nat list) list
fixes signs :: rat list list
assumes nonzero: p ≠ 0
assumes nontriv1: length qs1 > 0
assumes nontriv2: length qs2 > 0
assumes set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R p qs1) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots  

p qs1)
assumes set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R p qs2) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots  

p qs2)
shows set(snd(snd(reduce-system-R p (combine-systems-R p (qs1, calculate-data-R  

p qs1) (qs2, calculate-data-R p qs2)))))  

= set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p (qs1@qs2))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *find-consistent-signs-at-roots-1-R*:

```

fixes p:: real poly
fixes qs :: real poly list
shows (p ≠ 0 ∧ length qs > 0) —>  

set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R p qs) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots  

p qs)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *find-consistent-signs-at-roots-0-R*:

```

fixes p:: real poly
assumes p ≠ 0
shows set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R p []) =  

set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots p [])
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R:
  fixes p:: real poly
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  assumes p ≠ 0
  shows set(find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R p qs) = set(characterize-consistent-signs-at-roots
p qs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

theory Renegar-Decision
imports Renegar-Proofs
 BKR-Decision
begin

28 Algorithm

definition consistent-sign-vectors-R::real poly list ⇒ real set ⇒ rat list set
where consistent-sign-vectors-R qs S = (consistent-sign-vec qs) ‘ S

primrec prod-list-var:: ('a::idom) list ⇒ ('a::idom)
where prod-list-var [] = 1
 | prod-list-var (h#T) = (if h = 0 then (prod-list-var T) else (h* prod-list-var T))

primrec check-all-const-deg:: real poly list ⇒ bool
where check-all-const-deg [] = True
 | check-all-const-deg (h#T) = (if degree h = 0 then (check-all-const-deg T) else False)

definition poly-f :: real poly list ⇒ real poly
where
 poly-f ps =
 (if (check-all-const-deg ps = True) then [:0, 1:] else
 (pderiv (prod-list-var ps)) * (prod-list-var ps)* ([:-(crb (prod-list-var ps)),1:]) *
 ([: (crb (prod-list-var ps)),1:]))

definition find-consistent-signs-R :: real poly list ⇒ rat list list
where
 find-consistent-signs-R ps = find-consistent-signs-at-roots-R (poly-f ps) ps

definition decide-universal-R :: real poly fml ⇒ bool
where [code]:
 decide-universal-R fml =
 let (fml-struct, polys) = convert fml;
 conds = find-consistent-signs-R polys
 in
 list-all (lookup-sem fml-struct) conds
)

```

definition decide-existential-R :: real poly fml  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where [code]:
  decide-existential-R fml = (
    let (fml-struct, polys) = convert fml;
    cons = find-consistent-signs-R polys
  in
    find (lookup-sem fml-struct) cons  $\neq$  None
  )

```

28.1 Proofs

```

definition roots-of-poly-f :: real poly list  $\Rightarrow$  real set
where roots-of-poly-f qs = {x. poly (poly-f qs) x = 0}

```

```

lemma prod-list-var-nonzero:
  shows prod-list-var qs  $\neq$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma q-dvd-prod-list-var-prop:
  assumes q  $\in$  set qs
  assumes q  $\neq$  0
  shows q dvd prod-list-var qs ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma check-all-const-deg-prop:
  shows check-all-const-deg l = True  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall p \in \text{set}(l)$ . degree p = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-f-nonzero:
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  shows (poly-f qs)  $\neq$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-f-roots-prop-1:
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  assumes non-const: check-all-const-deg qs = False
  shows  $\forall x_1. \forall x_2. ((x_1 < x_2 \wedge (\exists q_1 \in \text{set}(qs). q_1 \neq 0 \wedge (\text{poly } q_1 x_1) = 0)) \wedge$ 
   $(\exists q_2 \in \text{set}(qs). q_2 \neq 0 \wedge (\text{poly } q_2 x_2) = 0)) \longrightarrow (\exists q. x_1 < q \wedge q < x_2 \wedge \text{poly}$ 
   $(\text{poly-f } qs) q = 0)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma main-step-aux1-R:
  fixes qs :: real poly list
  assumes non-const: check-all-const-deg qs = True
  shows set (find-consistent-signs-R qs) = consistent-sign-vectors-R qs UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sorted-list-lemma-var:

```

```

fixes l:: real list
fixes x:: real
assumes length l > 1
assumes strict-sort: sorted-wrt (<) l
assumes x-not-in:  $\neg (\text{List.member } l \ x)$ 
assumes lt-a:  $x > (l ! 0)$ 
assumes b-lt:  $x < (l ! (\text{length } l - 1))$ 
shows ( $\exists n. n < \text{length } l - 1 \wedge x > l ! n \wedge x < l !(n+1)$ ) ⟨proof⟩

lemma all-sample-points-prop:
assumes is-not-const: check-all-const-deg qs = False
assumes s-is: S = (characterize-root-list-p (pderiv (prod-list-var qs) * (prod-list-var qs) * ([:- (crb (prod-list-var qs)), 1:]]) * ([:(crb (prod-list-var qs)), 1:]))))
shows consistent-sign-vectors-R qs UNIV = consistent-sign-vectors-R qs (set S)
⟨proof⟩

lemma main-step-aux2-R:
fixes qs:: real poly list
assumes is-not-const: check-all-const-deg qs = False
shows set (find-consistent-signs-R qs) = consistent-sign-vectors-R qs UNIV
⟨proof⟩

lemma main-step-R:
fixes qs:: real poly list
shows set (find-consistent-signs-R qs) = consistent-sign-vectors-R qs UNIV
⟨proof⟩

lemma consistent-sign-vec-semantics-R:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set-fml fml} \implies i < \text{length ls}$ 
shows lookup-sem fml (map (λp. poly p x) ls) = lookup-sem fml (consistent-sign-vec ls x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma universal-lookup-sem-R:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set-fml fml} \implies i < \text{length qs}$ 
assumes set signs = consistent-sign-vectors-R qs UNIV
shows ( $\forall x:\text{real}. \text{lookup-sem fml} (\text{map} (\lambda p. \text{poly } p x) \ qs) \longleftrightarrow \text{list-all} (\text{lookup-sem fml}) \text{ signs}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma existential-lookup-sem-R:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set-fml fml} \implies i < \text{length qs}$ 
assumes set signs = consistent-sign-vectors-R qs UNIV
shows ( $\exists x:\text{real}. \text{lookup-sem fml} (\text{map} (\lambda p. \text{poly } p x) \ qs) \longleftrightarrow \text{find} (\text{lookup-sem fml}) \text{ signs} \neq \text{None}$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma decide-univ-lem-helper-R:
  fixes fml:: real poly fml
  assumes (fml-struct, polys) = convert fml
  shows ( $\forall x:\text{real}.$  lookup-sem fml-struct (map ( $\lambda p.$  poly p x) polys))  $\longleftrightarrow$  (decide-universal-R fml)
  (proof)

lemma decide-exis-lem-helper-R:
  fixes fml:: real poly fml
  assumes (fml-struct, polys) = convert fml
  shows ( $\exists x:\text{real}.$  lookup-sem fml-struct (map ( $\lambda p.$  poly p x) polys))  $\longleftrightarrow$  (decide-existential-R fml)
  (proof)

lemma convert-semantics-lem-R:
  assumes  $\bigwedge p.$   $p \in \text{set}(\text{poly-list } fml) \implies$ 
    ls ! (index-of ps p) = poly p x
  shows real-sem fml x = lookup-sem (map-fml (index-of ps) fml) ls
  (proof)

lemma convert-semantics-R:
  shows real-sem fml x = lookup-sem (fst (convert fml)) (map ( $\lambda p.$  poly p x) (snd (convert fml)))
  (proof)

theorem decision-procedure-R:
  shows ( $\forall x:\text{real}.$  real-sem fml x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (decide-universal-R fml)
   $\exists x:\text{real}.$  real-sem fml x  $\longleftrightarrow$  (decide-existential-R fml)
  (proof)

end

```

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grants Nos. DGE1252522 and DGE1745016. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. This research was also sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CNS-1739629, the AFOSR under grant number FA9550-16-1-0288, and A*STAR, Singapore. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of any sponsoring institution, the U.S. government or any other entity.

References

- [1] M. Ben-Or, D. Kozen, and J. H. Reif. The complexity of elementary algebra and geometry. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 32(2):251–264, 1986.
- [2] J. Renegar. On the computational complexity and geometry of the first-order theory of the reals, part III: Quantifier elimination. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 13(3):329–352, 1992.