

A Verified Imperative Implementation of B-Trees

Niels Mündler

Abstract

In this work, we use the interactive theorem prover Isabelle/HOL to verify an imperative implementation of the classical B-tree data structure [1]. The implementation supports set membership, insertion, deletion, iteration and range queries with efficient binary search for intra-node navigation. This is accomplished by first specifying the structure abstractly in the functional modeling language HOL and proving functional correctness. Using manual refinement, we derive an imperative implementation in Imperative/HOL. We show the validity of this refinement using the separation logic utilities from the Isabelle Refinement Framework [2]. The code can be exported to the programming languages SML, Scala and OCaml. This entry contains two developments:

- *B-Trees* This formalisation is discussed in greater detail in the corresponding Bachelor's Thesis[3].
- *B⁺-Trees* This formalisation also supports range queries and is discussed in a paper published at ICTAC 2022.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Definition of the B-Tree | 2 |
| 1.1 | Datatype definition | 2 |
| 1.2 | Inorder and Set | 3 |
| 1.3 | Height and Balancedness | 3 |
| 1.4 | Order | 4 |
| 1.5 | Auxiliary Lemmas | 4 |
| 2 | Maximum and minimum height | 7 |
| 2.1 | Definition of node/size | 7 |
| 2.2 | Maximum number of nodes for a given height | 8 |
| 2.3 | Maximum height for a given number of nodes | 8 |
| 3 | Set interpretation | 10 |
| 3.1 | Auxiliary functions | 10 |
| 3.2 | The split function locale | 11 |
| 3.3 | Membership | 11 |

| | | |
|--|--|-----------|
| 3.4 | Insertion | 11 |
| 3.5 | Deletion | 13 |
| 3.6 | Proofs of functional correctness | 15 |
| 3.7 | Set specification by inorder | 24 |
| 4 | Abstract split functions | 24 |
| 4.1 | Linear split | 24 |
| 4.2 | Binary split | 26 |
| 5 | Definition of the B-Plus-Tree | 27 |
| 5.1 | Datatype definition | 27 |
| 5.2 | Inorder and Set | 28 |
| 5.3 | Height and Balancedness | 29 |
| 5.4 | Order | 29 |
| 5.5 | Auxiliary Lemmas | 30 |
| 5.6 | Auxiliary functions | 36 |
| 5.7 | The split function locale | 36 |
| 6 | Abstract split functions | 37 |
| 6.1 | Linear split | 37 |
| 7 | Set interpretation | 38 |
| 7.1 | Membership | 40 |
| 7.2 | Insertion | 41 |
| 7.3 | Proofs of functional correctness | 43 |
| 7.4 | Deletion | 49 |
| 7.5 | Set specification by inorder | 57 |
| theory <i>BTree</i> | | |
| imports <i>Main HOL-Data-Structures.Sorted-Less HOL-Data-Structures.Cmp</i> | | |
| begin | | |
| hide-const (open) <i>Sorted-Less.sorted</i> | | |
| abbreviation <i>sorted-less</i> \equiv <i>Sorted-Less.sorted</i> | | |

1 Definition of the B-Tree

1.1 Datatype definition

B-trees can be considered to have all data stored interleaved as child nodes and separating elements (also keys or indices). We define them to either be a `Node` that holds a list of pairs of children and indices or be a completely empty `Leaf`.

```
datatype 'a btree = Leaf | Node ('a btree * 'a) list 'a btree
```

```

type-synonym 'a btree-list = ('a btree * 'a) list
type-synonym 'a btree-pair = ('a btree * 'a)

```

```

abbreviation subtrees where subtrees xs  $\equiv$  (map fst xs)
abbreviation separators where separators xs  $\equiv$  (map snd xs)

```

1.2 Inorder and Set

The set of B-tree elements is defined automatically.

```

thm btree.set
value set-btree (Node [(Leaf, (0::nat)), (Node [(Leaf, 1), (Leaf, 10)] Leaf, 12),
(Leaf, 30), (Leaf, 100)] Leaf)

```

The inorder view is defined with the help of the concat function.

```

fun inorder :: 'a btree  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  inorder Leaf = [] |
  inorder (Node ts t) = concat (map ( $\lambda$  (sub, sep). inorder sub @ [sep]) ts) @
inorder t

```

```

abbreviation inorder-pair  $\equiv$   $\lambda$ (sub, sep). inorder sub @ [sep]
abbreviation inorder-list ts  $\equiv$  concat (map inorder-pair ts)

```

```

thm inorder.simps

```

```

value inorder (Node [(Leaf, (0::nat)), (Node [(Leaf, 1), (Leaf, 10)] Leaf, 12),
(Leaf, 30), (Leaf, 100)] Leaf)

```

1.3 Height and Balancedness

```

class height =
  fixes height :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat

```

```

instantiation btree :: (type) height
begin

```

```

fun height-btree :: 'a btree  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  height Leaf = 0 |
  height (Node ts t) = Suc (Max (height ' (set (subtrees ts@[t])))

```

```

instance <proof>

```

```

end

```

Balancedness is defined in close accordance to the definition by Ernst

```

fun bal :: 'a btree  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  bal Leaf = True |
  bal (Node ts t) = (

```

```

    (∀ sub ∈ set (subtrees ts). height sub = height t) ∧
    (∀ sub ∈ set (subtrees ts). bal sub) ∧ bal t
  )

```

```

value height (Node [(Leaf, (0::nat)), (Node [(Leaf, 1), (Leaf, 10)] Leaf, 12), (Leaf,
30), (Leaf, 100)] Leaf)

```

1.4 Order

The order of a B-tree is defined just as in the original paper by Bayer.

```

fun order:: nat ⇒ 'a btree ⇒ bool where
  order k Leaf = True |
  order k (Node ts t) = (
    (length ts ≥ k) ∧
    (length ts ≤ 2*k) ∧
    (∀ sub ∈ set (subtrees ts). order k sub) ∧ order k t
  )

```

The special condition for the root is called *root_order*

```

fun root-order:: nat ⇒ 'a btree ⇒ bool where
  root-order k Leaf = True |
  root-order k (Node ts t) = (
    (length ts > 0) ∧
    (length ts ≤ 2*k) ∧
    (∀ s ∈ set (subtrees ts). order k s) ∧ order k t
  )

```

1.5 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *separators-split*:

```

set (separators (l@ (a,b)#r)) = set (separators l) ∪ set (separators r) ∪ {b}
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *subtrees-split*:

```

set (subtrees (l@ (a,b)#r)) = set (subtrees l) ∪ set (subtrees r) ∪ {a}
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *finite-set-ins-swap*:

```

assumes finite A
shows max a (Max (Set.insert b A)) = max b (Max (Set.insert a A))
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *finite-set-in-idem*:

```

assumes finite A
shows max a (Max (Set.insert a A)) = Max (Set.insert a A)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-Leaf*: $height\ t = 0 \longleftrightarrow t = Leaf$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-btree-order*:
 $height\ (Node\ (ls@[a])\ t) = height\ (Node\ (a\#ls)\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-btree-sub*:
 $height\ (Node\ ((sub,x)\#ls)\ t) = max\ (height\ (Node\ ls\ t))\ (Suc\ (height\ sub))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-btree-last*:
 $height\ (Node\ ((sub,x)\#ts)\ t) = max\ (height\ (Node\ ts\ sub))\ (Suc\ (height\ t))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-btree-inorder*: $set\ (inorder\ t) = set-btree\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *child-subset*: $p \in set\ t \implies set-btree\ (fst\ p) \subseteq set-btree\ (Node\ t\ n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *some-child-sub*:
 assumes $(sub,sep) \in set\ t$
 shows $sub \in set\ (subtrees\ t)$
 and $sep \in set\ (separators\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-all-subtrees-equal*: $bal\ (Node\ ts\ t) \implies (\forall s1 \in set\ (subtrees\ ts). \forall s2 \in set\ (subtrees\ ts). height\ s1 = height\ s2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fold-max-set*: $\forall x \in set\ t. x = f \implies fold\ max\ t\ f = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-bal-tree*: $bal\ (Node\ ts\ t) \implies height\ (Node\ ts\ t) = Suc\ (height\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-split-last*:
 assumes $bal\ (Node\ (ls@(sub,sep)\#rs)\ t)$

shows $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@rs) \ t)$
and $\text{height } (\text{Node } (ls@(sub,sep)\#rs) \ t) = \text{height } (\text{Node } (ls@rs) \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bal-split-right*:
assumes $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@rs) \ t)$
shows $\text{bal } (\text{Node } rs \ t)$
and $\text{height } (\text{Node } rs \ t) = \text{height } (\text{Node } (ls@rs) \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bal-split-left*:
assumes $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(a,b)\#rs) \ t)$
shows $\text{bal } (\text{Node } ls \ a)$
and $\text{height } (\text{Node } ls \ a) = \text{height } (\text{Node } (ls@(a,b)\#rs) \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bal-substitute*: $\llbracket \text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(a,b)\#rs) \ t); \text{height } t = \text{height } c; \text{bal } c \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(c,b)\#rs) \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bal-substitute-subtree*: $\llbracket \text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(a,b)\#rs) \ t); \text{height } a = \text{height } c; \text{bal } c \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(c,b)\#rs) \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bal-substitute-separator*: $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(a,b)\#rs) \ t) \implies \text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(a,c)\#rs) \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *order-impl-root-order*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{order } k \ t \rrbracket \implies \text{root-order } k \ t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-inorder-list-separators*: $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder-list } ts) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{separators } ts)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *sorted-inorder-separators*: $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{separators } ts)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-inorder-list-subtrees*:
 $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder-list } ts) \implies \forall \text{ sub} \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } \text{sub})$

<proof>

corollary *sorted-inorder-subtrees*: *sorted-less* (*inorder* (*Node ts t*)) $\implies \forall \text{ sub} \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } \text{sub})$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-inorder-list-induct-subtree*:
sorted-less (*inorder-list* (*ls@*(*sub,sep*)#*rs*)) $\implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } \text{sub})$
<proof>

corollary *sorted-inorder-induct-subtree*:
sorted-less (*inorder* (*Node* (*ls@*(*sub,sep*)#*rs*) *t*)) $\implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } \text{sub})$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-inorder-induct-last*: *sorted-less* (*inorder* (*Node ts t*)) $\implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } t)$
<proof>

end
theory *BTree-Height*
 imports *BTree*
begin

2 Maximum and minimum height

Textbooks usually provide some proofs relating the maximum and minimum height of the BTree for a given number of nodes. We therefore introduce this counting and show the respective proofs.

2.1 Definition of node/size

thm *BTree.btree.size*

value *size* (*Node* [(*Leaf*, (*0::nat*)), (*Node* [(*Leaf*, *1*), (*Leaf*, *10*)] *Leaf*, *12*), (*Leaf*, *30*), (*Leaf*, *100*)] *Leaf*)

The default size function does not suit our needs as it regards the length of the list in each node. We would like to count the number of nodes in the tree only, not regarding the number of keys.

fun *nodes::'a btree \Rightarrow nat* **where**
 nodes Leaf = *0* |
 nodes (*Node ts t*) = *1* + ($\sum t \leftarrow \text{subtrees } ts. \text{nodes } t$) + (*nodes t*)

value *nodes* (*Node* [(*Leaf*, (*0::nat*)), (*Node* [(*Leaf*, *1*), (*Leaf*, *10*)] *Leaf*, *12*), (*Leaf*, *30*), (*Leaf*, *100*)] *Leaf*)

2.2 Maximum number of nodes for a given height

lemma *sum-list-replicate*: $\text{sum-list } (\text{replicate } n \ c) = n * c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{bound } k \ h \equiv ((k+1) \wedge^h - 1)$

lemma *nodes-height-upper-bound*:
 $\llbracket \text{order } k \ t; \text{bal } t \rrbracket \implies \text{nodes } t * (2*k) \leq \text{bound } (2*k) \ (\text{height } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

To verify our lower bound is sharp, we compare it to the height of artificially constructed full trees.

fun *full-node*:: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{btree}$ **where**
 $\text{full-node } k \ c \ 0 = \text{Leaf}$
 $\text{full-node } k \ c \ (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{Node } (\text{replicate } (2*k) \ ((\text{full-node } k \ c \ n), c)) \ (\text{full-node } k \ c \ n))$

value $\text{let } k = (2::\text{nat}) \text{ in } \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{nodes } x * 2*k) \ (\text{map } (\text{full-node } k \ (1::\text{nat})) \ [0,1,2,3,4])$
value $\text{let } k = (2::\text{nat}) \text{ in } \text{map } (\lambda x. ((2*k + (1::\text{nat})) \wedge^x - 1)) \ [0,1,2,3,4]$

lemma *compow-comp-id*: $c > 0 \implies f \circ f = f \implies (f \wedge^c) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compow-id-point*: $f \ x = x \implies (f \wedge^c) \ x = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *height-full-node*: $\text{height } (\text{full-node } k \ a \ h) = h$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bal-full-node*: $\text{bal } (\text{full-node } k \ a \ h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *order-full-node*: $\text{order } k \ (\text{full-node } k \ a \ h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-btrees-sharp*: $\text{nodes } (\text{full-node } k \ a \ h) * (2*k) = \text{bound } (2*k) \ h$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upper-bound-sharp-node*:
 $t = \text{full-node } k \ a \ h \implies \text{height } t = h \wedge \text{order } k \ t \wedge \text{bal } t \wedge \text{bound } (2*k) \ h = \text{nodes } t * (2*k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Maximum height for a given number of nodes

lemma *nodes-height-lower-bound*:
 $\llbracket \text{order } k \ t; \text{bal } t \rrbracket \implies \text{bound } k \ (\text{height } t) \leq \text{nodes } t * k$

<proof>

To verify our upper bound is sharp, we compare it to the height of artificially constructed minimally filled (=slim) trees.

```
fun slim-node::nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a btree where
  slim-node k c 0 = Leaf|
  slim-node k c (Suc n) = (Node (replicate k ((slim-node k c n),c)) (slim-node k c n))
```

```
value let k = (2::nat) in map ( $\lambda x$ . nodes x * k) (map (slim-node k (1::nat)) [0,1,2,3,4])
```

```
value let k = (2::nat) in map ( $\lambda x$ . ((k+1::nat) $\frown$ (x)-1)) [0,1,2,3,4]
```

lemma height-slim-node: height (slim-node k a h) = h

<proof>

lemma bal-slim-node: bal (slim-node k a h)

<proof>

lemma order-slim-node: order k (slim-node k a h)

<proof>

lemma slim-nodes-sharp: nodes (slim-node k a h) * k = bound k h

<proof>

lemma lower-bound-sharp-node:

$t = \text{slim-node } k \ a \ h \implies \text{height } t = h \wedge \text{order } k \ t \wedge \text{bal } t \wedge \text{bound } k \ h = \text{nodes } t * k$

<proof>

Since BTrees have special roots, we need to show the overall nodes separately

lemma nodes-root-height-lower-bound:

assumes root-order k t

and bal t

shows $2*((k+1)\frown(\text{height } t - 1) - 1) + (\text{of_bool } (t \neq \text{Leaf})) * k \leq \text{nodes } t * k$

<proof>

lemma nodes-root-height-upper-bound:

assumes root-order k t

and bal t

shows $\text{nodes } t * (2*k) \leq (2*k+1)\frown(\text{height } t) - 1$

<proof>

lemma root-order-imp-divmuleq: root-order k t $\implies (\text{nodes } t * k) \text{ div } k = \text{nodes } t$

<proof>

lemma nodes-root-height-lower-bound-simp:

assumes root-order k t

and $bal\ t$
and $k > 0$
shows $(2*((k+1) \wedge (height\ t - 1) - 1)) \div k + (of_bool\ (t \neq Leaf)) \leq nodes\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nodes-root-height-upper-bound-simp*:
assumes *root-order* $k\ t$
and $bal\ t$
shows $nodes\ t \leq ((2*k+1) \wedge (height\ t) - 1) \div (2*k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $full_tree = full_node$

fun *slim-tree* **where**
 $slim_tree\ k\ c\ 0 = Leaf\ |$
 $slim_tree\ k\ c\ (Suc\ h) = Node\ [(slim_node\ k\ c\ h,\ c)]\ (slim_node\ k\ c\ h)$

lemma *lower-bound-sharp*:
 $k > 0 \implies t = slim_tree\ k\ a\ h \implies height\ t = h \wedge root_order\ k\ t \wedge bal\ t \wedge nodes\ t * k = 2*((k+1) \wedge (height\ t - 1) - 1) + (of_bool\ (t \neq Leaf))*k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upper-bound-sharp*:
 $k > 0 \implies t = full_tree\ k\ a\ h \implies height\ t = h \wedge root_order\ k\ t \wedge bal\ t \wedge ((2*k+1) \wedge (height\ t) - 1) = nodes\ t * (2*k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory *BTree-Set*
imports *BTree*
HOL-Data-Structures.Set-Specs
begin

3 Set interpretation

3.1 Auxiliary functions

fun *split-half*:: $('a\ btree \times 'a)\ list \Rightarrow (('a\ btree \times 'a)\ list \times ('a\ btree \times 'a)\ list)$ **where**
 $split_half\ xs = (take\ (length\ xs \div 2)\ xs,\ drop\ (length\ xs \div 2)\ xs)$

lemma *drop-not-empty*: $xs \neq [] \implies drop\ (length\ xs \div 2)\ xs \neq []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-half-not-empty*: $length\ xs \geq 1 \implies \exists ls\ sub\ sep\ rs.\ split_half\ xs = (ls, (sub, sep) \# rs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 The split function locale

Here, we abstract away the inner workings of the split function for B-tree operations.

```

locale split =
  fixes split :: ('a btree × 'a :: linorder) list ⇒ 'a ⇒ (('a btree × 'a) list × ('a btree × 'a) list)
  assumes split-req:
    [[split xs p = (ls, rs)]] ⇒ xs = ls @ rs
    [[split xs p = (ls @ [(sub, sep)], rs); sorted-less (separators xs)]] ⇒ sep < p
    [[split xs p = (ls, (sub, sep) # rs); sorted-less (separators xs)]] ⇒ p ≤ sep
begin

lemmas split-conc = split-req(1)
lemmas split-sorted = split-req(2,3)

```

```

lemma [termination-simp]: (ls, (sub, sep) # rs) = split ts y ⇒
  size sub < Suc (size-list (λx. Suc (size (fst x))) ts + size l)
  <proof>

```

```

fun invar-inorder where invar-inorder k t = (bal t ∧ root-order k t)

```

```

definition empty-btree = Leaf

```

3.3 Membership

```

fun isin :: 'a btree ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  isin (Leaf) y = False |
  isin (Node ts t) y = (
    case split ts y of (_, (sub, sep) # rs) ⇒ (
      if y = sep then
        True
      else
        isin sub y
    )
  | (_, []) ⇒ isin t y
)

```

3.4 Insertion

The insert function requires an auxiliary data structure and auxiliary invariant functions.

```

datatype 'b upi = Ti 'b btree | Upi 'b btree 'b 'b btree

```

```

fun order-upi where
  order-upi k (Ti sub) = order k sub |

```

$$\text{order-up}_i k (Up_i l a r) = (\text{order } k l \wedge \text{order } k r)$$

fun *root-order-up_i* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{root-order-up}_i k (T_i \text{ sub}) &= \text{root-order } k \text{ sub} \mid \\ \text{root-order-up}_i k (Up_i l a r) &= (\text{order } k l \wedge \text{order } k r) \end{aligned}$$

fun *height-up_i* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{height-up}_i (T_i t) &= \text{height } t \mid \\ \text{height-up}_i (Up_i l a r) &= \max (\text{height } l) (\text{height } r) \end{aligned}$$

fun *bal-up_i* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bal-up}_i (T_i t) &= \text{bal } t \mid \\ \text{bal-up}_i (Up_i l a r) &= (\text{height } l = \text{height } r \wedge \text{bal } l \wedge \text{bal } r) \end{aligned}$$

fun *inorder-up_i* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inorder-up}_i (T_i t) &= \text{inorder } t \mid \\ \text{inorder-up}_i (Up_i l a r) &= \text{inorder } l @ [a] @ \text{inorder } r \end{aligned}$$

The following function merges two nodes and returns separately split nodes if an overflow occurs

fun *node_i*:: *nat* \Rightarrow ('a btree \times 'a) list \Rightarrow 'a btree \Rightarrow 'a up_i **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{node}_i k \text{ ts } t &= (\\ &\text{if length ts} \leq 2*k \text{ then } T_i (\text{Node ts } t) \\ &\text{else } (\\ &\quad \text{case split-half ts of (ls, (sub,sep)\#rs)} \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \quad Up_i (\text{Node ls sub}) \text{ sep } (\text{Node rs } t) \\ &\quad) \\ &) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *nodei-ti-simp*: *node_i k ts t = T_i x \implies x = Node ts t*
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *ins*:: *nat* \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a btree \Rightarrow 'a up_i **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ins } k x \text{ Leaf} &= (Up_i \text{ Leaf } x \text{ Leaf}) \mid \\ \text{ins } k x (\text{Node ts } t) &= (\\ &\text{case split ts x of} \\ &\quad (ls, (sub,sep)\#rs) \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \quad (\text{if sep} = x \text{ then} \\ &\quad \quad \quad T_i (\text{Node ts } t) \\ &\quad \text{else} \\ &\quad \quad (\text{case ins } k x \text{ sub of} \\ &\quad \quad \quad Up_i l a r \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{node}_i k (ls @ (l,a)\#(r,sep)\#rs) t \mid \\ &\quad \quad \quad T_i a \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \quad \quad T_i (\text{Node (ls @ (a,sep) \# rs) } t))) \mid \\ &\quad (ls, []) \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \quad (\text{case ins } k x t \text{ of} \end{aligned}$$

```

    Upi l a r ⇒
      nodei k (ls@[l,a]) r |
    Ti a ⇒
      Ti (Node ls a)
  )
)

```

```

fun treei::'a upi ⇒ 'a btree where
  treei (Ti sub) = sub |
  treei (Upi l a r) = (Node [l,a] r)

```

```

fun insert::nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a btree ⇒ 'a btree where
  insert k x t = treei (ins k x t)

```

3.5 Deletion

The following deletion method is inspired by Bayer (70) and Fielding (80). Rather than stealing only a single node from the neighbour, the neighbour is fully merged with the potentially underflowing node. If the resulting node is still larger than allowed, the merged node is split again, using the rules known from insertion splits. If the resulting node has admissible size, it is simply kept in the tree.

```

fun rebalance-middle-tree where
  rebalance-middle-tree k ls Leaf sep rs Leaf = (
    Node (ls@(Leaf,sep)#rs) Leaf
  ) |
  rebalance-middle-tree k ls (Node mts mt) sep rs (Node tts tt) = (
    if length mts ≥ k ∧ length tts ≥ k then
      Node (ls@(Node mts mt,sep)#rs) (Node tts tt)
    else (
      case rs of [] ⇒ (
        case nodei k (mts@(mt,sep)#tts) tt of
          Ti u ⇒
            Node ls u |
          Upi l a r ⇒
            Node (ls@[l,a]) r |
        (Node rts rt,rsep)#rs ⇒ (
          case nodei k (mts@(mt,sep)#rts) rt of
            Ti u ⇒
              Node (ls@(u,rsep)#rs) (Node tts tt) |
            Upi l a r ⇒
              Node (ls@[l,a]#(r,rsep)#rs) (Node tts tt)
        )
      )
    ))

```

Deletion

All trees are merged with the right neighbour on underflow. Obviously for

the last tree this would not work since it has no right neighbour. Therefore this tree, as the only exception, is merged with the left neighbour. However since we it does not make a difference, we treat the situation as if the second to last tree underflowed.

```
fun rebalance-last-tree where
  rebalance-last-tree k ts t = (
    case last ts of (sub,sep)  $\Rightarrow$ 
      rebalance-middle-tree k (butlast ts) sub sep [] t
  )
```

Rather than deleting the minimal key from the right subtree, we remove the maximal key of the left subtree. This is due to the fact that the last tree can easily be accessed and the left neighbour is way easier to access than the right neighbour, it resides in the same pair as the separating element to be removed.

```
fun split-max where
  split-max k (Node ts t) = (case t of Leaf  $\Rightarrow$  (
    let (sub,sep) = last ts in
      (Node (butlast ts) sub, sep)
  )|
  -  $\Rightarrow$ 
    case split-max k t of (sub, sep)  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (rebalance-last-tree k ts sub, sep)
  )
```

```
fun del where
  del k x Leaf = Leaf |
  del k x (Node ts t) = (
    case split ts x of
      (ls,[])  $\Rightarrow$ 
        rebalance-last-tree k ls (del k x t)
    | (ls,(sub,sep)#rs)  $\Rightarrow$  (
      if sep  $\neq$  x then
        rebalance-middle-tree k ls (del k x sub) sep rs t
      else if sub = Leaf then
        Node (ls@rs) t
      else let (sub-s, max-s) = split-max k sub in
        rebalance-middle-tree k ls sub-s max-s rs t
    )
  )
```

```
fun reduce-root where
  reduce-root Leaf = Leaf |
  reduce-root (Node ts t) = (case ts of
    []  $\Rightarrow$  t |
    -  $\Rightarrow$  (Node ts t)
  )
```

fun *delete* **where** *delete* $k\ x\ t = \text{reduce-root}\ (\text{del}\ k\ x\ t)$

An invariant for intermediate states at deletion. In particular we allow for an underflow to 0 subtrees.

fun *almost-order* **where**
almost-order $k\ \text{Leaf} = \text{True} \mid$
almost-order $k\ (\text{Node}\ ts\ t) =$
 $(\text{length}\ ts \leq 2*k) \wedge$
 $(\forall s \in \text{set}\ (\text{subtrees}\ ts). \text{order}\ k\ s) \wedge$
 $\text{order}\ k\ t$
 $)$

A recursive property of the "spine" we want to walk along for splitting off the maximum of the left subtree.

fun *nonempty-lasttreebal* **where**
nonempty-lasttreebal $\text{Leaf} = \text{True} \mid$
nonempty-lasttreebal $(\text{Node}\ ts\ t) =$
 $(\exists ls\ tsub\ tsep. ts = (ls @ [(tsub, tsep)]) \wedge \text{height}\ tsub = \text{height}\ t) \wedge$
 $\text{nonempty-lasttreebal}\ t$
 $)$

3.6 Proofs of functional correctness

lemma *split-set*:

assumes *split* $ts\ z = (ls, (a, b) \# rs)$
shows $(a, b) \in \text{set}\ ts$
and $(x, y) \in \text{set}\ ls \implies (x, y) \in \text{set}\ ts$
and $(x, y) \in \text{set}\ rs \implies (x, y) \in \text{set}\ ts$
and $\text{set}\ ls \cup \text{set}\ rs \cup \{(a, b)\} = \text{set}\ ts$
and $\exists x \in \text{set}\ ts. b \in \text{Basic-BNFs.snds}\ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *split-length*:

split $ts\ x = (ls, rs) \implies \text{length}\ ls + \text{length}\ rs = \text{length}\ ts$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Isin proof

thm *isin-simps*

lemma *sorted-ConsD*: *sorted-less* $(y \# xs) \implies x \leq y \implies x \notin \text{set}\ xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-snocD*: *sorted-less* $(xs @ [y]) \implies y \leq x \implies x \notin \text{set}\ xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *isin-simps2* = *sorted-lems sorted-ConsD sorted-snocD*

lemma *isin-sorted: sorted-less* $(xs@a\#ys) \implies$
 $(x \in \text{set } (xs@a\#ys)) = (\text{if } x < a \text{ then } x \in \text{set } xs \text{ else } x \in \text{set } (a\#ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isin-sorted-split*:
assumes *sorted-less* $(\text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t))$
and *split* $ts \ x = (ls, rs)$
shows $x \in \text{set } (\text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) = (x \in \text{set } (\text{inorder-list } rs \ @ \ \text{inorder } t))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isin-sorted-split-right*:
assumes *split* $ts \ x = (ls, (sub, sep)\#rs)$
and *sorted-less* $(\text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t))$
and $sep \neq x$
shows $x \in \text{set } (\text{inorder-list } ((sub, sep)\#rs) \ @ \ \text{inorder } t) = (x \in \text{set } (\text{inorder } sub))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *isin-set-inorder: sorted-less* $(\text{inorder } t) \implies \text{isin } t \ x = (x \in \text{set } (\text{inorder } t))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *node_i-cases*: $\text{length } xs \leq k \vee (\exists ls \ sub \ sep \ rs. \text{split-half } xs = (ls, (sub, sep)\#rs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *root-order-tree_i*: $\text{root-order-up}_i \ (Suc \ k) \ t = \text{root-order } (Suc \ k) \ (\text{tree}_i \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *node_i-root-order*:
assumes $\text{length } ts > 0$
and $\text{length } ts \leq 4*k+1$
and $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{order } k \ x$
and $\text{order } k \ t$
shows $\text{root-order-up}_i \ k \ (\text{node}_i \ k \ ts \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *node_i-order-helper*:
assumes $\text{length } ts \geq k$
and $\text{length } ts \leq 4*k+1$
and $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{order } k \ x$

and $order\ k\ t$
shows $case\ (node_i\ k\ ts\ t)\ of\ T_i\ t \Rightarrow order\ k\ t \mid - \Rightarrow True$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $node_i\text{-}order$:
assumes $length\ ts \geq k$
and $length\ ts \leq 4*k+1$
and $\forall x \in set\ (subtrees\ ts). order\ k\ x$
and $order\ k\ t$
shows $order\text{-}up_i\ k\ (node_i\ k\ ts\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ins\text{-}order$:
 $order\ k\ t \Longrightarrow order\text{-}up_i\ k\ (ins\ k\ x\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ins\text{-}root\text{-}order$:
assumes $root\text{-}order\ k\ t$
shows $root\text{-}order\text{-}up_i\ k\ (ins\ k\ x\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $height\text{-}list\text{-}split$: $height\text{-}up_i\ (Up_i\ (Node\ ls\ a)\ b\ (Node\ rs\ t)) = height\ (Node\ (ls@ (a,b)\#rs)\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $node_i\text{-}height$: $height\text{-}up_i\ (node_i\ k\ ts\ t) = height\ (Node\ ts\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bal\text{-}up_i\text{-}tree$: $bal\text{-}up_i\ t = bal\ (tree_i\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bal\text{-}list\text{-}split$: $bal\ (Node\ (ls@ (a,b)\#rs)\ t) \Longrightarrow bal\text{-}up_i\ (Up_i\ (Node\ ls\ a)\ b\ (Node\ rs\ t))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $node_i\text{-}bal$:
assumes $bal\ (Node\ ts\ t)$
shows $bal\text{-}up_i\ (node_i\ k\ ts\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-up_i-merge*: $\text{height-up}_i (Up_i \ l \ a \ r) = \text{height } t \implies \text{height } (\text{Node } (ls @ (t, x) \# rs) \ tt) = \text{height } (\text{Node } (ls @ (l, a) \# (r, x) \# rs) \ tt)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ins-height*: $\text{height-up}_i (\text{ins } k \ x \ t) = \text{height } t$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ins-bal*: $\text{bal } t \implies \text{bal-up}_i (\text{ins } k \ x \ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *node_i-inorder*: $\text{inorder-up}_i (\text{node}_i \ k \ ts \ t) = \text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *node_i-inorder-simps*:
 $\text{node}_i \ k \ ts \ t = T_i \ t' \implies \text{inorder } t' = \text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t)$
 $\text{node}_i \ k \ ts \ t = Up_i \ l \ a \ r \implies \text{inorder } l \ @ \ a \ \# \ \text{inorder } r = \text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ins-sorted-inorder*: $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } t) \implies (\text{inorder-up}_i (\text{ins } k \ (x :: ('a :: \text{linorder})) \ t)) = \text{ins-list } x \ (\text{inorder } t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ins-list-split*:
assumes $\text{split } ts \ x = (ls, rs)$
and $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t))$
shows $\text{ins-list } x \ (\text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) = \text{inorder-list } ls \ @ \ \text{ins-list } x \ (\text{inorder-list } rs \ @ \ \text{inorder } t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ins-list-split-right-general*:
assumes $\text{split } ts \ x = (ls, (sub, sep) \# rs)$
and $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder-list } ts)$
and $sep \neq x$
shows $\text{ins-list } x \ (\text{inorder-list } ((sub, sep) \# rs) \ @ \ zs) = \text{ins-list } x \ (\text{inorder } sub) \ @ \ sep \ \# \ \text{inorder-list } rs \ @ \ zs$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *ins-list-split-right*:
assumes $\text{split } ts \ x = (ls, (sub, sep) \# rs)$

and *sorted-less* (*inorder* (*Node ts t*))
and *sep* \neq *x*
shows *ins-list* *x* (*inorder-list* ((*sub,sep*)#*rs*) @ *inorder t*) = *ins-list* *x* (*inorder*
sub) @ *sep* # *inorder-list* *rs* @ *inorder t*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ins-list-idem-eq-isin*: *sorted-less xs* $\implies x \in \text{set } xs \longleftrightarrow (\text{ins-list } x \text{ } xs = xs)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ins-list-contains-idem*: $\llbracket \text{sorted-less } xs; x \in \text{set } xs \rrbracket \implies (\text{ins-list } x \text{ } xs = xs)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

declare *node_i.simps* [*simp del*]
declare *node_i-inorder* [*simp add*]

lemma *ins-inorder*: *sorted-less* (*inorder t*) $\implies (\text{inorder-up}_i (\text{ins } k \text{ } x \text{ } t)) = \text{ins-list}$
x (*inorder t*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

declare *node_i.simps* [*simp add*]
declare *node_i-inorder* [*simp del*]

thm *ins.induct*
thm *btree.induct*

lemma *tree_i-bal*: *bal-up_i u* $\implies \text{bal } (\text{tree}_i \text{ } u)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tree_i-order*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{root-order-up}_i k \text{ } u \rrbracket \implies \text{root-order } k (\text{tree}_i \text{ } u)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tree_i-inorder*: *inorder-up_i u* = *inorder* (*tree_i u*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *insert-bal*: *bal t* $\implies \text{bal } (\text{insert } k \text{ } x \text{ } t)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *insert-order*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{root-order } k \text{ } t \rrbracket \implies \text{root-order } k (\text{insert } k \text{ } x \text{ } t)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *insert-inorder*: *sorted-less* (*inorder t*) $\implies \text{inorder } (\text{insert } k \text{ } x \text{ } t) = \text{ins-list}$
x (*inorder t*)

<proof>

Deletion proofs

thm *list.simps*

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-height:*

assumes *height t = height sub*

and *case rs of (rsub,rsep) # list \Rightarrow height rsub = height t | [] \Rightarrow True*

shows *height (rebalance-middle-tree k ls sub sep rs t) = height (Node (ls@(sub,sep)#rs) t)*

<proof>

lemma *rebalance-last-tree-height:*

assumes *height t = height sub*

and *ts = list@[(sub,sep)]*

shows *height (rebalance-last-tree k ts t) = height (Node ts t)*

<proof>

lemma *split-max-height:*

assumes *split-max k t = (sub,sep)*

and *nonempty-lasttreebal t*

and *t \neq Leaf*

shows *height sub = height t*

<proof>

lemma *order-bal-nonempty-lasttreebal:* $\llbracket k > 0; \text{root-order } k \ t; \text{bal } t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{nonempty-lasttreebal } t$

<proof>

lemma *bal-sub-height:* $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@a\#rs) \ t) \Longrightarrow (\text{case } rs \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid (sub,sep)\#- \Rightarrow \text{height } sub = \text{height } t)$

<proof>

lemma *del-height:* $\llbracket k > 0; \text{root-order } k \ t; \text{bal } t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{height } (\text{del } k \ x \ t) = \text{height } t$

<proof>

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-inorder:*

assumes *height t = height sub*

and *case rs of (rsub,rsep) # list \Rightarrow height rsub = height t | [] \Rightarrow True*

shows *inorder (rebalance-middle-tree k ls sub sep rs t) = inorder (Node (ls@(sub,sep)#rs) t)*

<proof>

lemma *rebalance-last-tree-inorder*:

assumes $\text{height } t = \text{height } \text{sub}$

and $ts = \text{list}@[(\text{sub}, \text{sep})]$

shows $\text{inorder } (\text{rebalance-last-tree } k \text{ } ts \text{ } t) = \text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \text{ } t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *butlast-inorder-app-id*: $xs = xs' @ [(\text{sub}, \text{sep})] \implies \text{inorder-list } xs' @ \text{inorder}$

$\text{sub } @ [sep] = \text{inorder-list } xs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *split-max-inorder*:

assumes *nonempty-lasttreebal* t

and $t \neq \text{Leaf}$

shows $\text{inorder-pair } (\text{split-max } k \text{ } t) = \text{inorder } t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *height-bal-subtrees-merge*: $\llbracket \text{height } (\text{Node } as \text{ } a) = \text{height } (\text{Node } bs \text{ } b); \text{bal}$

$(\text{Node } as \text{ } a); \text{bal } (\text{Node } bs \text{ } b) \rrbracket$

$\implies \forall x \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } as) \cup \{a\}. \text{height } x = \text{height } b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bal-list-merge*:

assumes $\text{bal-up}_i (Up_i (\text{Node } as \text{ } a) \text{ } x (\text{Node } bs \text{ } b))$

shows $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (as@(\text{a}, x) \# bs) \text{ } b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *node_i-bal-up_i*:

assumes $\text{bal-up}_i (\text{node}_i \text{ } k \text{ } ts \text{ } t)$

shows $\text{bal } (\text{Node } ts \text{ } t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *node_i-bal-simp*: $\text{bal-up}_i (\text{node}_i \text{ } k \text{ } ts \text{ } t) = \text{bal } (\text{Node } ts \text{ } t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-bal*: $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(\text{sub}, \text{sep}) \# rs) \text{ } t) \implies \text{bal } (\text{rebalance-middle-tree}$

$k \text{ } ls \text{ } sub \text{ } sep \text{ } rs \text{ } t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-last-tree-bal*: $\llbracket \text{bal } (\text{Node } ts \text{ } t); ts \neq [] \rrbracket \implies \text{bal } (\text{rebalance-last-tree}$

$k \text{ } ts \text{ } t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *split-max-bal*:

assumes $\text{bal } t$

and $t \neq \text{Leaf}$

and *nonempty-lasttreebal* t

shows $bal\ (fst\ (split-max\ k\ t))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *del-bal*:
assumes $k > 0$
and $root-order\ k\ t$
and $bal\ t$
shows $bal\ (del\ k\ x\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-order*:
assumes $almost-order\ k\ sub$
and $\forall s \in set\ (subtrees\ (ls@rs)).\ order\ k\ s\ order\ k\ t$
and $case\ rs\ of\ (rsub,rsep)\ \#list \Rightarrow height\ rsub = height\ t\ |\ [] \Rightarrow True$
and $length\ (ls@(sub,sep)\#rs) \leq 2*k$
and $height\ sub = height\ t$
shows $almost-order\ k\ (rebalance-middle-tree\ k\ ls\ sub\ sep\ rs\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-last-order*:
assumes $almost-order\ k\ t$
and $\forall s \in set\ (subtrees\ (ls@(sub,sep)\#rs)).\ order\ k\ s$
and $rs = []$
and $length\ (ls@(sub,sep)\#rs) \leq 2*k$
and $height\ sub = height\ t$
shows $almost-order\ k\ (rebalance-middle-tree\ k\ ls\ sub\ sep\ rs\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-last-tree-order*:
assumes $ts = ls@[sub,sep]$
and $\forall s \in set\ (subtrees\ (ts)).\ order\ k\ s\ almost-order\ k\ t$
and $length\ ts \leq 2*k$
and $height\ sub = height\ t$
shows $almost-order\ k\ (rebalance-last-tree\ k\ ts\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-max-order*:
assumes $order\ k\ t$
and $t \neq Leaf$
and $nonempty-lasttreebal\ t$
shows $almost-order\ k\ (fst\ (split-max\ k\ t))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *del-order*:
assumes $k > 0$
and $root-order\ k\ t$

and *bal* *t*
shows *almost-order* *k* (*del* *k* *x* *t*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

thm *del-list-sorted*

lemma *del-list-split*:

assumes *split* *ts* *x* = (*ls*, *rs*)
and *sorted-less* (*inorder* (*Node* *ts* *t*))
shows *del-list* *x* (*inorder* (*Node* *ts* *t*)) = *inorder-list* *ls* @ *del-list* *x* (*inorder-list* *rs* @ *inorder* *t*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *del-list-split-right*:

assumes *split* *ts* *x* = (*ls*, (*sub*, *sep*) # *rs*)
and *sorted-less* (*inorder* (*Node* *ts* *t*))
and *sep* ≠ *x*
shows *del-list* *x* (*inorder-list* ((*sub*, *sep*) # *rs*) @ *inorder* *t*) = *del-list* *x* (*inorder* *sub*) @ *sep* # *inorder-list* *rs* @ *inorder* *t*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

thm *del-list-idem*

lemma *del-inorder*:

assumes *k* > 0
and *root-order* *k* *t*
and *bal* *t*
and *sorted-less* (*inorder* *t*)
shows *inorder* (*del* *k* *x* *t*) = *del-list* *x* (*inorder* *t*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-root-order*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{almost-order } k \ t \rrbracket \implies \text{root-order } k \ (\text{reduce-root } t)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-root-bal*: *bal* (*reduce-root* *t*) = *bal* *t*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-root-inorder*: *inorder* (*reduce-root* *t*) = *inorder* *t*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *delete-order*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{bal } t; \text{root-order } k \ t \rrbracket \implies \text{root-order } k \ (\text{delete } k \ x \ t)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *delete-bal*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{bal } t; \text{root-order } k \ t \rrbracket \implies \text{bal } (\text{delete } k \ x \ t)$
 <proof>

lemma *delete-inorder*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{bal } t; \text{root-order } k \ t; \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } t) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inorder } (\text{delete } k \ x \ t) = \text{del-list } x \ (\text{inorder } t)$
 <proof>

3.7 Set specification by inorder

interpretation *S-ordered*: *Set-by-Ordered* **where**
empty = *empty-btree* **and**
insert = *insert* (*Suc* *k*) **and**
delete = *delete* (*Suc* *k*) **and**
isin = *isin* **and**
inorder = *inorder* **and**
inv = *invar-inorder* (*Suc* *k*)
 <proof>

declare *node_i.simps*[*simp del*]

end

end
theory *BTree-Split*
imports *BTree-Set*
begin

4 Abstract split functions

4.1 Linear split

Finally we show that the split axioms are feasible by providing an example split function

fun *linear-split-help*:: $(-\times'a::\text{linorder}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow (-\times-) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ((-\times-) \text{ list} \times (-\times-) \text{ list})$ **where**
linear-split-help [] *x prev* = (*prev*, []) |
linear-split-help ((*sub*, *sep*)#*xs*) *x prev* = (if *sep* < *x* then *linear-split-help* *xs* *x* (*prev* @ [(*sub*, *sep*)]) else (*prev*, (*sub*,*sep*)#*xs*))

fun *linear-split*:: $(-\times'a::\text{linorder}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow ((-\times-) \text{ list} \times (-\times-) \text{ list})$ **where**
linear-split *xs* *x* = *linear-split-help* *xs* *x* []

Linear split is similar to well known functions, therefore a quick proof can be done.

lemma *linear-split-alt*: $\text{linear-split } xs \ x = (\text{takeWhile } (\lambda(-,s). \ s < x) \ xs, \text{dropWhile } (\lambda(-,s). \ s < x) \ xs)$
 <proof>

global-interpretation *btree-linear-search*: *split linear-split*

defines *btree-ls-isin* = *btree-linear-search.isin*
and *btree-ls-ins* = *btree-linear-search.ins*
and *btree-ls-insert* = *btree-linear-search.insert*
and *btree-ls-del* = *btree-linear-search.del*
and *btree-ls-delete* = *btree-linear-search.delete*
 <proof>

Some examples follow to show that the implementation works and the above lemmas make sense. The examples are visualized in the thesis.

abbreviation *btree_i* \equiv *btree-ls-insert*

abbreviation *btree_d* \equiv *btree-ls-delete*

value *let* $k=2::\text{nat}; \ x::\text{nat}$ *btree* = (Node [(Node [(Leaf, 3),(Leaf, 5),(Leaf, 6)] Leaf, 10)] (Node [(Leaf, 14), (Leaf, 20)] Leaf)) *in*
 root-order $k \ x$
value *let* $k=2::\text{nat}; \ x::\text{nat}$ *btree* = (Node [(Node [(Leaf, 3),(Leaf, 5),(Leaf, 6)] Leaf, 10)] (Node [(Leaf, 14), (Leaf, 20)] Leaf)) *in*
 bal x
value *let* $k=2::\text{nat}; \ x::\text{nat}$ *btree* = (Node [(Node [(Leaf, 3),(Leaf, 5),(Leaf, 6)] Leaf, 10)] (Node [(Leaf, 14), (Leaf, 20)] Leaf)) *in*
 sorted-less (*inorder* x)
value *let* $k=2::\text{nat}; \ x::\text{nat}$ *btree* = (Node [(Node [(Leaf, 3),(Leaf, 5),(Leaf, 6)] Leaf, 10)] (Node [(Leaf, 14), (Leaf, 20)] Leaf)) *in*
 x
value *let* $k=2::\text{nat}; \ x::\text{nat}$ *btree* = (Node [(Node [(Leaf, 3),(Leaf, 5),(Leaf, 6)] Leaf, 10)] (Node [(Leaf, 14), (Leaf, 20)] Leaf)) *in*
 btree_i $k \ 9 \ x$
value *let* $k=2::\text{nat}; \ x::\text{nat}$ *btree* = (Node [(Node [(Leaf, 3),(Leaf, 5),(Leaf, 6)] Leaf, 10)] (Node [(Leaf, 14), (Leaf, 20)] Leaf)) *in*
 btree_i $k \ 1 \ (\text{btree}_i \ k \ 9 \ x)$
value *let* $k=2::\text{nat}; \ x::\text{nat}$ *btree* = (Node [(Node [(Leaf, 3),(Leaf, 5),(Leaf, 6)] Leaf, 10)] (Node [(Leaf, 14), (Leaf, 20)] Leaf)) *in*
 btree_d $k \ 10 \ (\text{btree}_i \ k \ 1 \ (\text{btree}_i \ k \ 9 \ x))$
value *let* $k=2::\text{nat}; \ x::\text{nat}$ *btree* = (Node [(Node [(Leaf, 3),(Leaf, 5),(Leaf, 6)] Leaf, 10)] (Node [(Leaf, 14), (Leaf, 20)] Leaf)) *in*
 btree_d $k \ 3 \ (\text{btree}_d \ k \ 10 \ (\text{btree}_i \ k \ 1 \ (\text{btree}_i \ k \ 9 \ x)))$

For completeness, we also proved an explicit proof of the locale requirements.

lemma *some-child-sm*: $\text{linear-split-help } t \ y \ xs = (\text{ls}, (\text{sub}, \text{sep}) \# rs) \implies y \leq \text{sep}$
 <proof>

lemma *linear-split-append*: $\text{linear-split-help } xs \ p \ ys = (ls, rs) \implies ls @ rs = ys @ xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *linear-split-sm*: $\llbracket \text{linear-split-help } xs \ p \ ys = (ls, rs); \text{sorted-less } (\text{separators } (ys @ xs)); \forall sep \in \text{set } (\text{separators } ys). \ p > sep \rrbracket \implies \forall sep \in \text{set } (\text{separators } ls). \ p > sep$
 ⟨proof⟩

value *linear-split* $\llbracket ((\text{Leaf}::\text{nat} \ \text{btree}), \ 2) \rrbracket \ (1::\text{nat})$

lemma *linear-split-gr*:

$\llbracket \text{linear-split-help } xs \ p \ ys = (ls, rs); \text{sorted-less } (\text{separators } (ys @ xs)); \forall (sub, sep) \in \text{set } ys. \ p > sep \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{case } rs \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid (-, sep) \# - \Rightarrow p \leq sep)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *linear-split-req*:

assumes $\text{linear-split } xs \ p = (ls, (sub, sep) \# rs)$
and $\text{sorted-less } (\text{separators } xs)$
shows $p \leq sep$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *linear-split-req2*:

assumes $\text{linear-split } xs \ p = (ls @ [(sub, sep)], rs)$
and $\text{sorted-less } (\text{separators } xs)$
shows $sep < p$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *split linear-split*
 ⟨proof⟩

4.2 Binary split

It is possible to define a binary split predicate. However, even proving that it terminates is uncomfortable.

function (*sequential*) *binary-split-help*:: $(-\times'a::\text{linorder}) \ \text{list} \Rightarrow (-\times'a) \ \text{list} \Rightarrow (-\times'a) \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ((-\times-) \ \text{list} \times (-\times-) \ \text{list})$ **where**
 $\text{binary-split-help } ls \ [] \ rs \ x = (ls, rs) \mid$
 $\text{binary-split-help } ls \ as \ rs \ x = (\text{let } (mls, mrs) = \text{split-half as in } ($
 $\text{case } mrs \text{ of } (sub, sep) \# mrrs \Rightarrow ($
 $\text{if } x < sep \text{ then } \text{binary-split-help } ls \ mls \ (mrs @ rs) \ x$
 $\text{else if } x > sep \text{ then } \text{binary-split-help } (ls @ mls @ [(sub, sep)]) \ mrrs \ rs \ x$
 $\text{else } (ls @ mls, mrs @ rs)$
 $)$
 $)$
 $)$

$\langle proof \rangle$
termination
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *binary-split* **where**
binary-split *as* *x* = *binary-split-help* [] *as* [] *x*

We can show that it will return sublists that concatenate to the original list again but will not show that it fulfils sortedness properties.

lemma *binary-split-help* *as* *bs* *cs* *x* = (*ls*, *rs*) \implies (*as*@*bs*@*cs*) = (*ls*@*rs*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\llbracket sorted-less \ (separators \ (as@bs@cs)); \ binary-split-help \ as \ bs \ cs \ x = (ls,rs);$
 $\forall y \in set \ (separators \ as). \ y < x \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall y \in set \ (separators \ ls). \ y < x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory *BPlusTree*
imports *Main* *HOL-Data-Structures.Sorted-Less* *HOL-Data-Structures.Cmp*
HOL-Library.Multiset
begin

hide-const (**open**) *Sorted-Less.sorted*
abbreviation *sorted-less* \equiv *Sorted-Less.sorted*

5 Definition of the B-Plus-Tree

5.1 Datatype definition

B-Plus-Trees are basically B-Trees, that don't have empty Leafs but Leafs that contain the relevant data.

datatype *'a bplustree* = *Leaf* (*vals*: *'a* *list*) | *Node* (*keyvals*: (*'a bplustree* * *'a*) *list*)
(*lasttree*: *'a bplustree*)

type-synonym *'a bplustree-list* = (*'a bplustree* * *'a*) *list*

type-synonym *'a bplustree-pair* = (*'a bplustree* * *'a*)

abbreviation *subtrees* **where** *subtrees* *xs* \equiv (*map* *fst* *xs*)

abbreviation *separators* **where** *separators* *xs* \equiv (*map* *snd* *xs*)

5.2 Inorder and Set

The set of B-Plus-tree needs to be manually defined, regarding only the leaves. This overrides the default instantiation.

```
fun set-nodes :: 'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  set-nodes (Leaf ks) = {} |
  set-nodes (Node ts t) =  $\bigcup$ (set (map set-nodes (subtrees ts)))  $\cup$  (set (separators ts))  $\cup$  set-nodes t
```

```
fun set-leaves :: 'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  set-leaves (Leaf ks) = set ks |
  set-leaves (Node ts t) =  $\bigcup$ (set (map set-leaves (subtrees ts)))  $\cup$  set-leaves t
```

The inorder is a view of only internal separators

```
fun inorder :: 'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  inorder (Leaf ks) = [] |
  inorder (Node ts t) = concat (map ( $\lambda$  (sub, sep). inorder sub @ [sep]) ts) @
  inorder t
```

```
abbreviation inorder-list ts  $\equiv$  concat (map ( $\lambda$  (sub, sep). inorder sub @ [sep]) ts)
```

The leaves view considers only its leafs.

```
fun leaves :: 'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  leaves (Leaf ks) = ks |
  leaves (Node ts t) = concat (map leaves (subtrees ts)) @ leaves t
```

```
abbreviation leaves-list ts  $\equiv$  concat (map leaves (subtrees ts))
```

```
fun leaf-nodes where
  leaf-nodes (Leaf xs) = [Leaf xs] |
  leaf-nodes (Node ts t) = concat (map leaf-nodes (subtrees ts)) @ leaf-nodes t
```

```
abbreviation leaf-nodes-list ts  $\equiv$  concat (map leaf-nodes (subtrees ts))
```

And the elems view contains all elements of the tree

```
fun elems :: 'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  elems (Leaf ks) = ks |
  elems (Node ts t) = concat (map ( $\lambda$  (sub, sep). elems sub @ [sep]) ts) @ elems t
```

```
abbreviation elems-list ts  $\equiv$  concat (map ( $\lambda$  (sub, sep). elems sub @ [sep]) ts)
```

```
thm leaves.simps
thm inorder.simps
thm elems.simps
```

```
value leaves (Node [(Leaf [], (0::nat)), (Node [(Leaf [], 1), (Leaf [], 10)] (Leaf []),
12), ((Leaf [], 30), ((Leaf [], 100)] (Leaf []))
```

5.3 Height and Balancedness

```

class height =
  fixes height :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat

instantiation bplustree :: (type) height
begin

fun height-bplustree :: 'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  height (Leaf ks) = 0 |
  height (Node ts t) = Suc (Max (height ` (set (subtrees ts@[t])))

instance <proof>

end

```

Balancedness is defined in close accordance to the definition by Ernst

```

fun bal :: 'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  bal (Leaf ks) = True |
  bal (Node ts t) = (
    ( $\forall$  sub  $\in$  set (subtrees ts). height sub = height t)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  sub  $\in$  set (subtrees ts). bal sub)  $\wedge$  bal t
  )

value height (Node [(Leaf [], (0::nat)), (Node [(Leaf [], 1), (Leaf [], 10)] (Leaf []),
12), ((Leaf [], 30), ((Leaf [], 100)] (Leaf []))
value bal (Node [(Leaf [], (0::nat)), (Node [(Leaf [], 1), (Leaf [], 10)] (Leaf []),
12), ((Leaf [], 30), ((Leaf [], 100)] (Leaf []))

```

5.4 Order

The order of a B-tree is defined just as in the original paper by Bayer.

```

fun order :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  order k (Leaf ks) = ((length ks  $\geq$  k)  $\wedge$  (length ks  $\leq$  2*k)) |
  order k (Node ts t) = (
    (length ts  $\geq$  k)  $\wedge$ 
    (length ts  $\leq$  2*k)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  sub  $\in$  set (subtrees ts). order k sub)  $\wedge$  order k t
  )

```

The special condition for the root is called *root_order*

```

fun root-order :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  root-order k (Leaf ks) = (length ks  $\leq$  2*k) |
  root-order k (Node ts t) = (
    (length ts > 0)  $\wedge$ 
    (length ts  $\leq$  2*k)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  s  $\in$  set (subtrees ts). order k s)  $\wedge$  order k t
  )

```

5.5 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *separators-split*:

$set\ (separators\ (l@ (a,b)\#r)) = set\ (separators\ l) \cup set\ (separators\ r) \cup \{b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subtrees-split*:

$set\ (subtrees\ (l@ (a,b)\#r)) = set\ (subtrees\ l) \cup set\ (subtrees\ r) \cup \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-set-ins-swap*:

assumes *finite A*

shows $max\ a\ (Max\ (Set.insert\ b\ A)) = max\ b\ (Max\ (Set.insert\ a\ A))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-set-in-idem*:

assumes *finite A*

shows $max\ a\ (Max\ (Set.insert\ a\ A)) = Max\ (Set.insert\ a\ A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-Leaf*: $height\ t = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ ks.\ t = (Leaf\ ks))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-bplustree-order*:

$height\ (Node\ (ls@[a])\ t) = height\ (Node\ (a\#ls)\ t)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-bplustree-sub*:

$height\ (Node\ ((sub,x)\#ls)\ t) = max\ (height\ (Node\ ls\ t))\ (Suc\ (height\ sub))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-bplustree-last*:

$height\ (Node\ ((sub,x)\#ts)\ t) = max\ (height\ (Node\ ts\ sub))\ (Suc\ (height\ t))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-leaves-leaves*: $set\ (leaves\ t) = set-leaves\ t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-nodes-nodes*: $set\ (inorder\ t) = set-nodes\ t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *child-subset-leaves*: $p \in set\ t \implies set-leaves\ (fst\ p) \subseteq set-leaves\ (Node\ t\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *child-subset*: $p \in set\ t \implies set-nodes\ (fst\ p) \subseteq set-nodes\ (Node\ t\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *some-child-sub*:

assumes $(sub, sep) \in set\ t$
shows $sub \in set\ (subtrees\ t)$
and $sep \in set\ (separators\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-all-subtrees-equal*: $bal\ (Node\ ts\ t) \implies (\forall s1 \in set\ (subtrees\ ts). \forall s2 \in set\ (subtrees\ ts). height\ s1 = height\ s2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fold-max-set*: $\forall x \in set\ t. x = f \implies fold\ max\ t\ f = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-bal-tree*: $bal\ (Node\ ts\ t) \implies height\ (Node\ ts\ t) = Suc\ (height\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-split-last*:

assumes $bal\ (Node\ (ls@ (sub, sep) \# rs)\ t)$
shows $bal\ (Node\ (ls@rs)\ t)$
and $height\ (Node\ (ls@ (sub, sep) \# rs)\ t) = height\ (Node\ (ls@rs)\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-split-right*:

assumes $bal\ (Node\ (ls@rs)\ t)$
shows $bal\ (Node\ rs\ t)$
and $height\ (Node\ rs\ t) = height\ (Node\ (ls@rs)\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-split-left*:

assumes $bal\ (Node\ (ls@ (a, b) \# rs)\ t)$
shows $bal\ (Node\ ls\ a)$
and $height\ (Node\ ls\ a) = height\ (Node\ (ls@ (a, b) \# rs)\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-substitute*: $\llbracket bal\ (Node\ (ls@ (a, b) \# rs)\ t); height\ t = height\ c; bal\ c \rrbracket \implies bal\ (Node\ (ls@ (c, b) \# rs)\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-substitute-subtree*: $\llbracket bal\ (Node\ (ls@ (a, b) \# rs)\ t); height\ a = height\ c; bal$

$c \Vdash \text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(c,b)\#rs) \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bal-substitute-separator*: $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(a,b)\#rs) \ t) \implies \text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls@(a,c)\#rs) \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *order-impl-root-order*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{order } k \ t \rrbracket \implies \text{root-order } k \ t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-inorder-list-separators*: $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder-list } ts) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{separators } ts)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *sorted-inorder-separators*: $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{separators } ts)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-inorder-list-subtrees*:
 $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder-list } ts) \implies \forall \text{ sub} \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } \text{sub})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *sorted-inorder-subtrees*: $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) \implies \forall \text{ sub} \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } \text{sub})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-inorder-list-induct-subtree*:
 $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder-list } (ls@(\text{sub},\text{sep})\#rs)) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } \text{sub})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *sorted-inorder-induct-subtree*:
 $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } (\text{Node } (ls@(\text{sub},\text{sep})\#rs) \ t)) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } \text{sub})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-inorder-induct-last*: $\text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{inorder } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-leaves-list-subtrees*:
 $\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves-list } ts) \implies \forall \text{ sub} \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } \text{sub})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *sorted-leaves-subtrees*: $\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) \implies \forall \text{ sub} \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } \text{sub})$

<proof>

lemma *sorted-leaves-list-induct-subtree*:

$\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves-list } (ls@(\text{sub},\text{sep})\#rs)) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } \text{sub})$

<proof>

corollary *sorted-leaves-induct-subtree*:

$\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } (ls@(\text{sub},\text{sep})\#rs) \ t)) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } \text{sub})$

<proof>

lemma *sorted-leaves-induct-last*: $\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) \implies \text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } t)$

<proof>

Additional lemmas on the sortedness of the whole tree, which is correct alignment of navigation structure and leave data

fun *inbetween* **where**

$\text{inbetween } f \ l \ \text{Nil } t \ u = f \ l \ t \ u \mid$

$\text{inbetween } f \ l \ ((\text{sub},\text{sep})\#xs) \ t \ u = (f \ l \ \text{sub} \ \text{sep} \wedge \text{inbetween } f \ \text{sep} \ xs \ t \ u)$

thm *fold-cong*

lemma *cong-inbetween[fundef-cong]*:

$\llbracket a = b; xs = ys; \bigwedge l' \ u' \ \text{sub} \ \text{sep}. (\text{sub},\text{sep}) \in \text{set } ys \implies f \ l' \ \text{sub} \ u' = g \ l' \ \text{sub} \ u'; \bigwedge l' \ u'. f \ l' \ a \ u' = g \ l' \ b \ u' \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{inbetween } f \ l \ xs \ a \ u = \text{inbetween } g \ l \ ys \ b \ u$

<proof>

fun *aligned* :: 'a :: linorder \Rightarrow - **where**

$\text{aligned } l \ (\text{Leaf } ks) \ u = (l < u \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } ks. l < x \wedge x \leq u)) \mid$

$\text{aligned } l \ (\text{Node } ts \ t) \ u = (\text{inbetween } \text{aligned } l \ ts \ t \ u)$

lemma *sorted-less-merge*: $\text{sorted-less } (as@[a]) \implies \text{sorted-less } (a\#bs) \implies \text{sorted-less } (as@a\#bs)$

<proof>

thm *aligned.simps*

lemma *leaves-cases*: $x \in \text{set } (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) \implies (\exists (\text{sub},\text{sep}) \in \text{set } ts. x \in \text{set } (\text{leaves } \text{sub})) \vee x \in \text{set } (\text{leaves } t)$

<proof>

lemma *align-sub*: $\text{aligned } l \ (\text{Node } ts \ t) \ u \implies (\text{sub},\text{sep}) \in \text{set } ts \implies \exists l' \in \text{set } (\text{separators } ts) \cup \{l\}. \text{aligned } l' \ \text{sub} \ \text{sep}$

<proof>

lemma *align-last*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ts@[sub,sep])) } t) u \implies \text{aligned sep } t u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *align-last'*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node ts } t) u \implies \exists l' \in \text{set (separators ts)} \cup \{l\}.$
 $\text{aligned } l' t u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-sorted-inorder*: $\text{aligned } l t u \implies \text{sorted-less (l\#(inorder t)@[u])}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *separators-in-inorder-list*: $\text{set (separators ts)} \subseteq \text{set (inorder-list ts)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *separators-in-inorder*: $\text{set (separators ts)} \subseteq \text{set (inorder (Node ts t))}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-sorted-separators*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node ts } t) u \implies \text{sorted-less (l\#(separators ts)@[u])}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-leaves-inbetween*: $\text{aligned } l t u \implies \forall x \in \text{set (leaves } t). l < x \wedge x \leq u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-leaves-list-inbetween*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node ts } t) u \implies \forall x \in \text{set (leaves-list ts)}. l < x \wedge x \leq u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-split-left*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ls@[sub,sep]\#rs) } t) u \implies \text{aligned } l \text{ (Node ls sub) sep}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-split-right*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ls@[sub,sep]\#rs) } t) u \implies \text{aligned sep (Node rs } t) u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-subst*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ls@[sub',subl]\#(sub,subsep)\#rs) } t) u \implies \text{aligned subl subsub subsep} \implies$
 $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ls@[sub',subl]\#(subsub,subsep)\#rs) } t) u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-subst-empty*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node ((sub,subsep)\#rs) } t) u \implies \text{aligned } l \text{ subsub subsep} \implies$
 $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node ((subsub,subsep)\#rs) } t) u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-subst-last*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ts'@[sub',sep']) } t) u \implies \text{aligned sep'}$

$t' u \implies$
 $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ts'@[sub', sep']) t') } u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *Laligned* :: 'a :: linorder bplustree \Rightarrow - **where**
Laligned (Leaf ks) u = ($\forall x \in \text{set ks. } x \leq u$) |
Laligned (Node ts t) u = (case ts of [] \Rightarrow (*Laligned* t u) |
(sub,sep)#ts' \Rightarrow ((*Laligned* sub sep) \wedge inbetween aligned sep ts' t u))

lemma *Laligned-nonempty-Node*: *Laligned* (Node ((sub,sep)#ts') t) u =
((*Laligned* sub sep) \wedge inbetween aligned sep ts' t u)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-imp-Laligned*: aligned l t u \implies *Laligned* t u
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-split-left*: *Laligned* (Node (ls@(sub,sep)#rs) t) u \implies *Laligned*
(Node ls sub) sep
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-split-right*: *Laligned* (Node (ls@(sub,sep)#rs) t) u \implies aligned sep
(Node rs t) u
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Lalign-sub*: *Laligned* (Node ((a,b)#ts) t) u \implies (sub,sep) \in set ts $\implies \exists l'$
 \in set (separators ts) $\cup \{b\}$. aligned l' sub sep
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Lalign-last*: *Laligned* (Node (ts@[sub,sep]) t) u \implies aligned sep t u
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Lalign-last'*: *Laligned* (Node ((a,b)#ts) t) u $\implies \exists l' \in$ set (separators ts)
 $\cup \{b\}$. aligned l' t u
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Lalign-Llast*: *Laligned* (Node ts t) u \implies *Laligned* t u
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-sorted-inorder*: *Laligned* t u \implies sorted-less ((inorder t)@[u])
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-sorted-separators*: *Laligned* (Node ts t) u \implies sorted-less ((separators
ts)@[u])
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-leaves-inbetween*: *Laligned* t u $\implies \forall x \in$ set (leaves t). $x \leq u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-leaves-list-inbetween*: $Laligned\ (Node\ ts\ t)\ u \implies \forall x \in set\ (leaves-list\ ts). x \leq u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-subst-last*: $Laligned\ (Node\ (ts'@[sub', sep'])\ t)\ u \implies aligned\ sep'\ t'\ u \implies$
 $Laligned\ (Node\ (ts'@[sub', sep'])\ t')\ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-subst*: $Laligned\ (Node\ (ls@(sub', subl)\#(sub, subsep)\#rs)\ t)\ u \implies aligned\ subl\ subsub\ subsep \implies$
 $Laligned\ (Node\ (ls@(sub', subl)\#(subsub, subsep)\#rs)\ t)\ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *concat-leaf-nodes-leaves*: $(concat\ (map\ leaves\ (leaf-nodes\ t))) = leaves\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *leaf-nodes-not-empty*: $leaf-nodes\ t \neq []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory *BPlusTree-Split*
imports *BPlusTree*
begin

5.6 Auxiliary functions

fun *split-half*:: $- list \Rightarrow - list \times - list$ **where**
 $split-half\ xs = (take\ ((length\ xs + 1)\ div\ 2)\ xs, drop\ ((length\ xs + 1)\ div\ 2)\ xs)$

lemma *split-half-conc*: $split-half\ xs = (ls, rs) = (xs = ls@rs \wedge length\ ls = (length\ xs + 1)\ div\ 2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-not-empty*: $xs \neq [] \implies drop\ (length\ xs\ div\ 2)\ xs \neq []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-not-empty*: $xs \neq [] \implies take\ ((length\ xs + 1)\ div\ 2)\ xs \neq []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-half-not-empty*: $length\ xs \geq 1 \implies \exists ls\ a\ rs. split-half\ xs = (ls@[a], rs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.7 The split function locale

Here, we abstract away the inner workings of the split function for B-tree operations.

lemma *leaves-conc*: $leaves\ (Node\ (ls@rs)\ t) = leaves-list\ ls\ @\ leaves-list\ rs\ @\ leaves$

t
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *split-tree* =
fixes *split* :: ('a bplustree \times 'a :: {linorder, order-top}) list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow (('a bplustree \times 'a) list \times ('a bplustree \times 'a) list)
assumes *split-req*:
 $\llbracket split\ xs\ p = (ls, rs) \rrbracket \implies xs = ls @ rs$
 $\llbracket split\ xs\ p = (ls @ [(sub, sep)], rs); sorted-less\ (separators\ xs) \rrbracket \implies sep < p$
 $\llbracket split\ xs\ p = (ls, (sub, sep) \# rs); sorted-less\ (separators\ xs) \rrbracket \implies p \leq sep$
begin

lemmas *split-conc* = *split-req*(1)
lemmas *split-sorted* = *split-req*(2,3)

lemma [*termination-simp*]: $(ls, (sub, sep) \# rs) = split\ ts\ y \implies$
 $size\ sub < Suc\ (size-list\ (\lambda x. Suc\ (size\ (fst\ x)))\ ts\ +\ size\ l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *leaves-split*: $split\ ts\ x = (ls, rs) \implies leaves\ (Node\ ts\ t) = leaves-list\ ls @$
 $leaves-list\ rs @ leaves\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale *split-list* =
fixes *split-list* :: ('a :: {linorder, order-top}) list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a list \times 'a list
assumes *split-list-req*:
 $\llbracket split-list\ ks\ p = (kls, krs) \rrbracket \implies ks = kls @ krs$
 $\llbracket split-list\ ks\ p = (kls @ [sep], krs); sorted-less\ ks \rrbracket \implies sep < p$
 $\llbracket split-list\ ks\ p = (kls, (sep) \# krs); sorted-less\ ks \rrbracket \implies p \leq sep$

locale *split-full* = *split-tree*: *split-tree* *split* + *split-list* *split-list*
for *split*::
('a bplustree \times 'a :: {linorder, order-top}) list \Rightarrow 'a
 \Rightarrow ('a bplustree \times 'a) list \times ('a bplustree \times 'a) list
and *split-list*::
'a :: {linorder, order-top} list \Rightarrow 'a
 \Rightarrow 'a list \times 'a list

6 Abstract split functions

6.1 Linear split

Finally we show that the split axioms are feasible by providing an example split function

Linear split is similar to well known functions, therefore a quick proof can be done.

```
fun linear-split where linear-split xs x = (takeWhile ( $\lambda(-,s). s < x$ ) xs, dropWhile
( $\lambda(-,s). s < x$ ) xs)
fun linear-split-list where linear-split-list xs x = (takeWhile ( $\lambda s. s < x$ ) xs, drop-
While ( $\lambda s. s < x$ ) xs)
```

```
end
theory BPlusTree-Set
  imports
    BPlusTree-Split
    HOL-Data-Structures.Set-Specs
begin
```

7 Set interpretation

```
lemma insert-list-length[simp]:
  assumes sorted-less ks
  and set (insert-list k ks) = set ks  $\cup$  {k}
  and sorted-less ks  $\implies$  sorted-less (insert-list k ks)
  shows length (insert-list k ks) = length ks + (if k  $\in$  set ks then 0 else 1)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
lemma delete-list-length[simp]:
  assumes sorted-less ks
  and set (delete-list k ks) = set ks - {k}
  and sorted-less ks  $\implies$  sorted-less (delete-list k ks)
  shows length (delete-list k ks) = length ks - (if k  $\in$  set ks then 1 else 0)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ins-list-length[simp]:
  assumes sorted-less ks
  shows length (ins-list k ks) = length ks + (if k  $\in$  set ks then 0 else 1)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
lemma del-list-length[simp]:
  assumes sorted-less ks
  shows length (del-list k ks) = length ks - (if k  $\in$  set ks then 1 else 0)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```

locale split-set = split-tree: split-tree split
  for split::
    ('a bplustree × 'a::{linorder,order-top}) list ⇒ 'a
    ⇒ ('a bplustree × 'a) list × ('a bplustree × 'a) list +
  fixes isin-list :: 'a ⇒ ('a::{linorder,order-top}) list ⇒ bool
  and insert-list :: 'a ⇒ ('a::{linorder,order-top}) list ⇒ 'a list
  and delete-list :: 'a ⇒ ('a::{linorder,order-top}) list ⇒ 'a list
  assumes insert-list-req:

    sorted-less ks ⇒ isin-list x ks = (x ∈ set ks)
    sorted-less ks ⇒ insert-list x ks = ins-list x ks
    sorted-less ks ⇒ delete-list x ks = del-list x ks
begin

lemmas split-req = split-tree.split-req
lemmas split-conc = split-tree.split-req(1)
lemmas split-sorted = split-tree.split-req(2,3)

lemma insert-list-length[simp]:
  assumes sorted-less ks
  shows length (insert-list k ks) = length ks + (if k ∈ set ks then 0 else 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-insert-list[simp]:
  sorted-less ks ⇒ set (insert-list k ks) = set ks ∪ {k}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-insert-list[simp]:
  sorted-less ks ⇒ sorted-less (insert-list k ks)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-list-length[simp]:
  assumes sorted-less ks
  shows length (delete-list k ks) = length ks - (if k ∈ set ks then 1 else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-delete-list[simp]:
  sorted-less ks ⇒ set (delete-list k ks) = set ks - {k}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-delete-list[simp]:
  sorted-less ks ⇒ sorted-less (delete-list k ks)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition empty-bplustree = (Leaf [])

```

7.1 Membership

```

fun isin:: 'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  isin (Leaf ks) x = (isin-list x ks) |
  isin (Node ts t) x = (
    case split ts x of (_, (sub, sep) # rs)  $\Rightarrow$  (
      isin sub x
    )
    | (_, [])  $\Rightarrow$  isin t x
  )

```

Isin proof

thm isin-simps

lemma sorted-ConsD: sorted-less ($y \# xs$) $\implies x \leq y \implies x \notin \text{set } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sorted-snocD: sorted-less ($xs @ [y]$) $\implies y \leq x \implies x \notin \text{set } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas isin-simps2 = sorted-lems sorted-ConsD sorted-snocD

lemma isin-sorted: sorted-less ($xs @ a \# ys$) \implies
 $(x \in \text{set } (xs @ a \# ys)) = (\text{if } x < a \text{ then } x \in \text{set } xs \text{ else } x \in \text{set } (a \# ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma isin-sorted-split:

assumes Laligned (Node ts t) u
and sorted-less (leaves (Node ts t))
and split ts x = (ls, rs)
shows $x \in \text{set } (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) = (x \in \text{set } (\text{leaves-list } rs @ \text{leaves } t))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma isin-sorted-split-right:

assumes split ts x = (ls, (sub, sep) # rs)
and sorted-less (leaves (Node ts t))
and Laligned (Node ts t) u
shows $x \in \text{set } (\text{leaves-list } ((\text{sub}, \text{sep}) \# rs) @ \text{leaves } t) = (x \in \text{set } (\text{leaves } sub))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem isin-set-inorder:

assumes sorted-less (leaves t)
and aligned l t u
shows $\text{isin } t \ x = (x \in \text{set } (\text{leaves } t))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *isin-set-Linorder*:
assumes *sorted-less* (*leaves t*)
and *Laligned t u*
shows *isin t x = (x ∈ set (leaves t))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *isin-set-Linorder-top*:
assumes *sorted-less* (*leaves t*)
and *Laligned t top*
shows *isin t x = (x ∈ set (leaves t))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.2 Insertion

The insert function requires an auxiliary data structure and auxiliary invariant functions.

datatype *'b up_i* = *T_i 'b bplustree* | *Up_i 'b bplustree 'b 'b bplustree*

fun *order-up_i* **where**
order-up_i k (T_i sub) = order k sub |
order-up_i k (Up_i l a r) = (order k l ∧ order k r)

fun *root-order-up_i* **where**
root-order-up_i k (T_i sub) = root-order k sub |
root-order-up_i k (Up_i l a r) = (order k l ∧ order k r)

fun *height-up_i* **where**
height-up_i (T_i t) = height t |
height-up_i (Up_i l a r) = max (height l) (height r)

fun *bal-up_i* **where**
bal-up_i (T_i t) = bal t |
bal-up_i (Up_i l a r) = (height l = height r ∧ bal l ∧ bal r)

fun *inorder-up_i* **where**
inorder-up_i (T_i t) = inorder t |
inorder-up_i (Up_i l a r) = inorder l @ [a] @ inorder r

fun *leaves-up_i* **where**
leaves-up_i (T_i t) = leaves t |
leaves-up_i (Up_i l a r) = leaves l @ leaves r

fun *aligned-up_i* **where**
aligned-up_i l (T_i t) u = aligned l t u |
aligned-up_i l (Up_i lt a rt) u = (aligned l lt a ∧ aligned a rt u)

fun *Laligned-up_i* **where**

Laligned-up_i (*T_i t*) *u* = *Laligned t u* |

Laligned-up_i (*Up_i lt a rt*) *u* = (*Laligned lt a* ∧ *aligned a rt u*)

The following function merges two nodes and returns separately split nodes if an overflow occurs

fun *node_i*:: *nat* ⇒ ('*a bplustree* × '*a*) *list* ⇒ '*a bplustree* ⇒ '*a up_i* **where**

node_i k ts t = (

if *length ts* ≤ 2*k then *T_i (Node ts t)*

else (

case *split-half ts of (ls, rs)* ⇒

case *last ls of (sub, sep)* ⇒

Up_i (Node (butlast ls) sub) sep (Node rs t)

)

)

fun *Lnode_i*:: *nat* ⇒ '*a list* ⇒ '*a up_i* **where**

Lnode_i k ts = (

if *length ts* ≤ 2*k then *T_i (Leaf ts)*

else (

case *split-half ts of (ls, rs)* ⇒

Up_i (Leaf ls) (last ls) (Leaf rs)

)

)

fun *ins*:: *nat* ⇒ '*a* ⇒ '*a bplustree* ⇒ '*a up_i* **where**

ins k x (Leaf ks) = *Lnode_i k (insert-list x ks)* |

ins k x (Node ts t) = (

case *split ts x of*

(*ls, (sub, sep) # rs*) ⇒

(case *ins k x sub of*

Up_i l a r ⇒

node_i k (ls@(l, a) # (r, sep) # rs) t |

T_i a ⇒

T_i (Node (ls@(a, sep) # rs) t)) |

(*ls, []*) ⇒

(case *ins k x t of*

Up_i l a r ⇒

node_i k (ls@[l, a]) r |

T_i a ⇒

T_i (Node ls a)

)

)

fun *tree_i*:: '*a up_i* ⇒ '*a bplustree* **where**

tree_i (T_i sub) = *sub* |

$tree_i (Up_i l a r) = (Node [(l,a)] r)$

fun $insert::nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ bplustree} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ bplustree}$ **where**
 $insert\ k\ x\ t = tree_i (ins\ k\ x\ t)$

7.3 Proofs of functional correctness

lemma $node_i\text{-ti-simp}$: $node_i\ k\ ts\ t = T_i\ x \Longrightarrow x = Node\ ts\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Lnode_i\text{-ti-simp}$: $Lnode_i\ k\ ts = T_i\ x \Longrightarrow x = Leaf\ ts$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $split\text{-set}$:
assumes $split\ ts\ z = (ls, (a,b) \# rs)$
shows $(a,b) \in set\ ts$
and $(x,y) \in set\ ls \Longrightarrow (x,y) \in set\ ts$
and $(x,y) \in set\ rs \Longrightarrow (x,y) \in set\ ts$
and $set\ ls \cup set\ rs \cup \{(a,b)\} = set\ ts$
and $\exists x \in set\ ts. b \in Basic\text{-BNFs.snds}\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $split\text{-length}$:
 $split\ ts\ x = (ls, rs) \Longrightarrow length\ ls + length\ rs = length\ ts$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $node_i\text{-cases}$: $length\ xs \leq k \vee (\exists ls\ sub\ sep\ rs. split\text{-half}\ xs = (ls@[sub,sep],rs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Lnode_i\text{-cases}$: $length\ xs \leq k \vee (\exists ls\ sep\ rs. split\text{-half}\ xs = (ls@[sep],rs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $root\text{-order-tree}_i$: $root\text{-order-up}_i (Suc\ k)\ t = root\text{-order}\ (Suc\ k)\ (tree_i\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $length\text{-take-left}$: $length\ (take\ ((length\ ts + 1) \div 2)\ ts) = (length\ ts + 1) \div 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $node_i\text{-root-order}$:
assumes $length\ ts > 0$
and $length\ ts \leq 4*k+1$
and $\forall x \in set\ (subtrees\ ts). order\ k\ x$
and $order\ k\ t$
shows $root\text{-order-up}_i\ k\ (node_i\ k\ ts\ t)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *node_i-order-helper*:
 assumes *length ts* $\geq k$
 and *length ts* $\leq 4*k+1$
 and $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{ order } k \ x$
 and *order k t*
 shows *case (node_i k ts t) of T_i t \Rightarrow order k t | - \Rightarrow True*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *node_i-order*:
 assumes *length ts* $\geq k$
 and *length ts* $\leq 4*k+1$
 and $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{ order } k \ x$
 and *order k t*
 shows *order-up_i k (node_i k ts t)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Lnode_i-root-order*:
 assumes *length ts* > 0
 and *length ts* $\leq 4*k$
 shows *root-order-up_i k (Lnode_i k ts)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Lnode_i-order-helper*:
 assumes *length ts* $\geq k$
 and *length ts* $\leq 4*k+1$
 shows *case (Lnode_i k ts) of T_i t \Rightarrow order k t | - \Rightarrow True*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Lnode_i-order*:
 assumes *length ts* $\geq k$
 and *length ts* $\leq 4*k$
 shows *order-up_i k (Lnode_i k ts)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ins-order*:
 k > 0 \Rightarrow sorted-less (leaves t) \Rightarrow order k t \Rightarrow order-up_i k (ins k x t)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ins-root-order*:
 assumes *k > 0 sorted-less (leaves t) root-order k t*
 shows *root-order-up_i k (ins k x t)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-list-split*: $height_up_i (Up_i (Node\ ls\ a)\ b\ (Node\ rs\ t)) = height\ (Node\ (ls@ (a,b)\#rs)\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *node_i-height*: $height_up_i (node_i\ k\ ts\ t) = height\ (Node\ ts\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Lnode_i-height*: $height_up_i (Lnode_i\ k\ xs) = height\ (Leaf\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-up_i-tree*: $bal_up_i\ t = bal\ (tree_i\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bal-list-split*: $bal\ (Node\ (ls@ (a,b)\#rs)\ t) \implies bal_up_i (Up_i (Node\ ls\ a)\ b\ (Node\ rs\ t))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *node_i-bal*:
 assumes $bal\ (Node\ ts\ t)$
 shows $bal_up_i (node_i\ k\ ts\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *node_i-aligned*:
 assumes $aligned\ l\ (Node\ ts\ t)\ u$
 shows $aligned_up_i\ l\ (node_i\ k\ ts\ t)\ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *node_i-Laligned*:
 assumes $Laligned\ (Node\ ts\ t)\ u$
 shows $Laligned_up_i (node_i\ k\ ts\ t)\ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-right-side*: $length\ xs > 1 \implies length\ (drop\ ((length\ xs + 1)\ div\ 2)\ xs) > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Lnode_i-aligned*:
 assumes $aligned\ l\ (Leaf\ ks)\ u$
 and $sorted_less\ ks$
 and $k > 0$
 shows $aligned_up_i\ l\ (Lnode_i\ k\ ks)\ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *height-up_i-merge*: $\text{height-up}_i (Up_i \ l \ a \ r) = \text{height } t \implies \text{height } (\text{Node } (ls @ (t, x) \# rs) \ tt) = \text{height } (\text{Node } (ls @ (l, a) \# (r, x) \# rs) \ tt)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ins-height*: $\text{height-up}_i (\text{ins } k \ x \ t) = \text{height } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ins-bal*: $\text{bal } t \implies \text{bal-up}_i (\text{ins } k \ x \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *node_i-leaves*: $\text{leaves-up}_i (\text{node}_i \ k \ ts \ t) = \text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *node_i-leaves-simps*:
 $\text{node}_i \ k \ ts \ t = T_i \ t' \implies \text{leaves } t' = \text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)$
 $\text{node}_i \ k \ ts \ t = Up_i \ l \ a \ r \implies \text{leaves } l @ \text{leaves } r = \text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Lnode_i-leaves*: $\text{leaves-up}_i (\text{Lnode}_i \ k \ xs) = \text{leaves } (\text{Leaf } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *Lnode_i-leaves-simps*:
 $\text{Lnode}_i \ k \ xs = T_i \ t \implies \text{leaves } t = \text{leaves } (\text{Leaf } xs)$
 $\text{Lnode}_i \ k \ xs = Up_i \ l \ a \ r \implies \text{leaves } l @ \text{leaves } r = \text{leaves } (\text{Leaf } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ins-list-split*:
assumes *Laligned* $(\text{Node } ts \ t) \ u$
and *sorted-less* $(\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t))$
and *split* $ts \ x = (ls, rs)$
shows *ins-list* $x (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) = \text{leaves-list } ls @ \text{ins-list } x (\text{leaves-list } rs @ \text{leaves } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ins-list-split-right*:
assumes *split* $ts \ x = (ls, (sub, sep) \# rs)$
and *sorted-less* $(\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t))$
and *Laligned* $(\text{Node } ts \ t) \ u$
shows *ins-list* $x (\text{leaves-list } ((sub, sep) \# rs) @ \text{leaves } t) = \text{ins-list } x (\text{leaves } sub) @ \text{leaves-list } rs @ \text{leaves } t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ins-list-idem-eq-isin*: $\text{sorted-less } xs \implies x \in \text{set } xs \longleftrightarrow (\text{ins-list } x \text{ } xs = xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ins-list-contains-idem*: $\llbracket \text{sorted-less } xs; x \in \text{set } xs \rrbracket \implies (\text{ins-list } x \text{ } xs = xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aligned-insert-list*: $\text{sorted-less } ks \implies l < x \implies x \leq u \implies \text{aligned } l \text{ (Leaf } ks) \text{ } u \implies \text{aligned } l \text{ (Leaf (insert-list } x \text{ } ks)) } u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *align-subst-two*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ts@[sub,sep])) } t) \text{ } u \implies \text{aligned sep } lt \text{ } a \implies \text{aligned } a \text{ } rt \text{ } u \implies \text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ts@[sub,sep],(lt,a))] } rt) \text{ } u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *align-subst-three*: $\text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ls@[subl,sepl]\#(subr,sepr)\#rs) } t) \text{ } u \implies \text{aligned sepl } lt \text{ } a \implies \text{aligned } a \text{ } rt \text{ } sepr \implies \text{aligned } l \text{ (Node (ls@[subl,sepl]\#(lt,a)\#(rt,sepr)\#rs) } t) \text{ } u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *node_i.simps* [simp del]
declare *node_i-leaves* [simp add]

lemma *ins-inorder*:
assumes $k > 0$
and $\text{aligned } l \text{ } t \text{ } u$
and $\text{sorted-less (leaves } t)$
and $\text{root-order } k \text{ } t$
and $l < x \text{ } x \leq u$
shows $(\text{leaves-up}_i (\text{ins } k \text{ } x \text{ } t)) = \text{ins-list } x \text{ (leaves } t) \wedge \text{aligned-up}_i l (\text{ins } k \text{ } x \text{ } t) \text{ } u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *node_i.simps* [simp add]
declare *node_i-leaves* [simp del]

lemma *Laligned-insert-list*: $\text{sorted-less } ks \implies x \leq u \implies \text{Laligned (Leaf } ks) \text{ } u \implies \text{Laligned (Leaf (insert-list } x \text{ } ks)) } u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Lalign-subst-two*: $\text{Laligned (Node (ts@[sub,sep])) } t) \text{ } u \implies \text{aligned sep } lt \text{ } a \implies \text{aligned } a \text{ } rt \text{ } u \implies \text{Laligned (Node (ts@[sub,sep],(lt,a))] } rt) \text{ } u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Lalign-subst-three*: $\text{Laligned (Node (ls@[subl,sepl]\#(subr,sepr)\#rs) } t) \text{ } u \implies \text{aligned sepl } lt \text{ } a \implies \text{aligned } a \text{ } rt \text{ } sepr \implies \text{Laligned (Node (ls@[subl,sepl]\#(lt,a)\#(rt,sepr)\#rs) } t) \text{ } u$

$t) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Lnode_i$ - $Laligned$:
assumes $Laligned \ (Leaf \ ks) \ u$
and $sorted-less \ ks$
and $k > 0$
shows $Laligned-up_i \ (Lnode_i \ k \ ks) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare $node_i.simps \ [simp \ del]$
declare $node_i-leaves \ [simp \ add]$

lemma ins - $Linorder$:
assumes $k > 0$
and $Laligned \ t \ u$
and $sorted-less \ (leaves \ t)$
and $root-order \ k \ t$
and $x \leq u$
shows $(leaves-up_i \ (ins \ k \ x \ t)) = ins-list \ x \ (leaves \ t) \wedge Laligned-up_i \ (ins \ k \ x \ t) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare $node_i.simps \ [simp \ add]$
declare $node_i-leaves \ [simp \ del]$

thm $ins.induct$
thm $bplustree.induct$

lemma $tree_i$ - bal : $bal-up_i \ u \implies bal \ (tree_i \ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tree_i$ - $order$: $\llbracket k > 0; root-order-up_i \ k \ u \rrbracket \implies root-order \ k \ (tree_i \ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tree_i$ - $inorder$: $inorder-up_i \ u = inorder \ (tree_i \ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tree_i$ - $leaves$: $leaves-up_i \ u = leaves \ (tree_i \ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tree_i$ - $aligned$: $aligned-up_i \ l \ a \ u \implies aligned \ l \ (tree_i \ a) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tree_i$ - $Laligned$: $Laligned-up_i \ a \ u \implies Laligned \ (tree_i \ a) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insert-bal*: $\text{bal } t \implies \text{bal } (\text{insert } k \ x \ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insert-order*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } t); \text{root-order } k \ t \rrbracket \implies \text{root-order } k \ (\text{insert } k \ x \ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insert-inorder*:
assumes $k > 0$ *root-order* $k \ t$ *sorted-less* $(\text{leaves } t)$ *aligned* $l \ t \ u \ l < x \ x \leq u$
shows $\text{leaves } (\text{insert } k \ x \ t) = \text{ins-list } x \ (\text{leaves } t)$
and *aligned* $l \ (\text{insert } k \ x \ t) \ u$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insert-Linorder*:
assumes $k > 0$ *root-order* $k \ t$ *sorted-less* $(\text{leaves } t)$ *Laligned* $t \ u \ x \leq u$
shows $\text{leaves } (\text{insert } k \ x \ t) = \text{ins-list } x \ (\text{leaves } t)$
and *Laligned* $(\text{insert } k \ x \ t) \ u$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *insert-Linorder-top*:
assumes $k > 0$ *root-order* $k \ t$ *sorted-less* $(\text{leaves } t)$ *Laligned* $t \ \text{top}$
shows $\text{leaves } (\text{insert } k \ x \ t) = \text{ins-list } x \ (\text{leaves } t)$
and *Laligned* $(\text{insert } k \ x \ t) \ \text{top}$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.4 Deletion

The following deletion method is inspired by Bauer (70) and Fielding (?). Rather than stealing only a single node from the neighbour, the neighbour is fully merged with the potentially underflowing node. If the resulting node is still larger than allowed, the merged node is split again, using the rules known from insertion splits. If the resulting node has admissable size, it is simply kept in the tree.

fun *rebalance-middle-tree* **where**
rebalance-middle-tree $k \ ls \ (\text{Leaf } ms) \ \text{sep } rs \ (\text{Leaf } ts) = ($\text{if } \text{length } ms \geq k \wedge \text{length } ts \geq k \text{ then } \text{Node } (ls@(\text{Leaf } ms, \text{sep})\#rs) \ (\text{Leaf } ts)$
 else ($\text{case } rs \ \text{of } [] \Rightarrow ($\text{case } \text{Lnode}_i \ k \ (ms@ts) \ \text{of } T_i \ u \Rightarrow \text{Node } ls \ u \mid \text{Up}_i \ l \ a \ r \Rightarrow \text{Node } (ls@[(l,a)] \ r) \mid (\text{Leaf } rrs, rsep)\#rs \Rightarrow ($\text{case } \text{Lnode}_i \ k \ (ms@rrs) \ \text{of } T_i \ u \Rightarrow$$$$

```

    Node (ls@(u,rsep)#rs) (Leaf ts) |
    Upi l a r ⇒
    Node (ls@(l,a)#(r,rsep)#rs) (Leaf ts))
)) |
rebalance-middle-tree k ls (Node mts mt) sep rs (Node tts tt) = (
if length mts ≥ k ∧ length tts ≥ k then
    Node (ls@(Node mts mt,sep)#rs) (Node tts tt)
else (
    case rs of [] ⇒ (
        case nodei k (mts@(mt,sep)#tts) tt of
        Ti u ⇒
        Node ls u |
        Upi l a r ⇒
        Node (ls@[(l,a)]) r) |
    (Node rts rt,rsep)#rs ⇒ (
        case nodei k (mts@(mt,sep)#rts) rt of
        Ti u ⇒
        Node (ls@(u,rsep)#rs) (Node tts tt) |
        Upi l a r ⇒
        Node (ls@(l,a)#(r,rsep)#rs) (Node tts tt))
))

```

All trees are merged with the right neighbour on underflow. Obviously for the last tree this would not work since it has no right neighbour. Therefore this tree, as the only exception, is merged with the left neighbour. However since we it does not make a difference, we treat the situation as if the second to last tree underflowed.

```

fun rebalance-last-tree where
    rebalance-last-tree k ts t = (
    case last ts of (sub,sep) ⇒
        rebalance-middle-tree k (butlast ts) sub sep [] t
    )

```

Rather than deleting the minimal key from the right subtree, we remove the maximal key of the left subtree. This is due to the fact that the last tree can easily be accessed and the left neighbour is way easier to access than the right neighbour, it resides in the same pair as the separating element to be removed.

```

fun del where
    del k x (Leaf xs) = (Leaf (delete-list x xs)) |
    del k x (Node ts t) = (
    case split ts x of
    (ls,[]) ⇒
        rebalance-last-tree k ls (del k x t)
    | (ls,(sub,sep)#rs) ⇒ (
        rebalance-middle-tree k ls (del k x sub) sep rs t
    )
    )

```

```

fun reduce-root where
  reduce-root (Leaf xs) = (Leaf xs) |
  reduce-root (Node ts t) = (case ts of
    []  $\Rightarrow$  t |
    -  $\Rightarrow$  (Node ts t)
  )

```

```

fun delete where delete k x t = reduce-root (del k x t)

```

An invariant for intermediate states at deletion. In particular we allow for an underflow to 0 subtrees.

```

fun almost-order where
  almost-order k (Leaf xs) = (length xs  $\leq$  2*k) |
  almost-order k (Node ts t) = (
    (length ts  $\leq$  2*k)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall s \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } ts). \text{ order } k s$ )  $\wedge$ 
    order k t
  )

```

Deletion proofs

```

thm list.simps

```

lemma rebalance-middle-tree-height:

```

assumes height t = height sub
and case rs of (rsub,rsep) # list  $\Rightarrow$  height rsub = height t | []  $\Rightarrow$  True
shows height (rebalance-middle-tree k ls sub sep rs t) = height (Node (ls@ (sub,sep) # rs)
t)
<proof>

```

lemma rebalance-last-tree-height:

```

assumes height t = height sub
and ts = list@[ (sub,sep) ]
shows height (rebalance-last-tree k ts t) = height (Node ts t)
<proof>

```

```

lemma bal-sub-height: bal (Node (ls@a#rs) t)  $\implies$  (case rs of []  $\Rightarrow$  True | (sub,sep) # -
 $\Rightarrow$  height sub = height t)
<proof>

```

```

lemma del-height:  $\llbracket k > 0; \text{ root-order } k t; \text{ bal } t \rrbracket \implies \text{ height } (\text{del } k x t) = \text{ height } t$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-inorder*:

assumes $\text{height } t = \text{height } \text{sub}$

and $\text{case } rs \text{ of } (rsub, rsep) \# \text{list} \Rightarrow \text{height } rsub = \text{height } t \mid [] \Rightarrow \text{True}$

shows $\text{leaves } (\text{rebalance-middle-tree } k \text{ ls sub sep rs } t) = \text{leaves } (\text{Node } (ls @ (sub, sep) \# rs) \text{ } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-last-tree-inorder*:

assumes $\text{height } t = \text{height } \text{sub}$

and $ts = \text{list} @ [(sub, sep)]$

shows $\text{leaves } (\text{rebalance-last-tree } k \text{ ts } t) = \text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \text{ } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *butlast-inorder-app-id*: $xs = xs' @ [(sub, sep)] \Longrightarrow \text{inorder-list } xs' @ \text{inorder } \text{sub} @ [sep] = \text{inorder-list } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *height-bal-subtrees-merge*: $\llbracket \text{height } (\text{Node } as \text{ } a) = \text{height } (\text{Node } bs \text{ } b); \text{bal } (\text{Node } as \text{ } a); \text{bal } (\text{Node } bs \text{ } b) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \forall x \in \text{set } (\text{subtrees } as) \cup \{a\}. \text{height } x = \text{height } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bal-list-merge*:

assumes $\text{bal-up}_i (Up_i (\text{Node } as \text{ } a) \text{ } x (\text{Node } bs \text{ } b))$

shows $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (as @ (a, x) \# bs) \text{ } b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *node_i-bal-up_i*:

assumes $\text{bal-up}_i (\text{node}_i \text{ } k \text{ ts } t)$

shows $\text{bal } (\text{Node } ts \text{ } t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *node_i-bal-simp*: $\text{bal-up}_i (\text{node}_i \text{ } k \text{ ts } t) = \text{bal } (\text{Node } ts \text{ } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-bal*:

assumes $\text{bal } (\text{Node } (ls @ (sub, sep) \# rs) \text{ } t)$

shows $\text{bal } (\text{rebalance-middle-tree } k \text{ ls sub sep rs } t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-last-tree-bal*: $\llbracket \text{bal } (\text{Node } ts \text{ } t); ts \neq [] \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{bal } (\text{rebalance-last-tree } k \text{ ts } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Leaf-merge-aligned*: $\text{aligned } l (\text{Leaf } ms) \text{ } m \Longrightarrow \text{aligned } m (\text{Leaf } rs) \text{ } r \Longrightarrow$

aligned l (Leaf (ms@rs)) r
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Node-merge-aligned:*
inbetween aligned l mts mt sep \implies
inbetween aligned sep tts tt u \implies
inbetween aligned l (mts @ (mt, sep) # tts) tt u
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aligned-subst-last-merge:* *aligned l (Node (ts'@[sub', sep'],(sub,sep))) t) u*
 \implies *aligned sep' t' u \implies*
aligned l (Node (ts'@[sub', sep'])) t') u
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aligned-subst-last-merge-two:* *aligned l (Node (ts@[sub',sep'],(sub,sep))) t)*
u \implies aligned sep' lt a \implies aligned a rt u \implies aligned l (Node (ts@[sub',sep'],(lt,a)))
rt) u
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aligned-subst-merge:* *aligned l (Node (ls@(lsub, lsep)#(sub,sep)#(rsub,rsep)#rs)*
t) u \implies aligned lsep sub' rsep \implies
aligned l (Node (ls@(lsub, lsep)#(sub', rsep)#rs) t) u
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aligned-subst-merge-two:* *aligned l (Node (ls@(lsub, lsep)#(sub,sep)#(rsub,rsep)#rs)*
t) u \implies aligned lsep sub' a \implies
aligned a rsub' rsep \implies aligned l (Node (ls@(lsub, lsep)#(sub',a)#(rsub', rsep)#rs)
t) u
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-aligned:*
assumes *aligned l (Node (ls@(sub,sep)#rs) t) u*
and *height t = height sub*
and *sorted-less (leaves (Node (ls@(sub,sep)#rs) t))*
and *k > 0*
and *case rs of (rsub,rsep) # list \Rightarrow height rsub = height t | [] \Rightarrow True*
shows *aligned l (rebalance-middle-tree k ls sub sep rs t) u*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Node-merge-Laligned:*
Laligned (Node mts mt) sep \implies
inbetween aligned sep tts tt u \implies
Laligned (Node (mts @ (mt, sep) # tts) tt) u
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Laligned-subst-last-merge:* *Laligned (Node (ts'@[sub', sep'],(sub,sep))) t)*
u \implies aligned sep' t' u \implies
Laligned (Node (ts'@[sub', sep'])) t') u
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Laligned-subst-last-merge-two*: $Laligned \ (Node \ (ts@[sub',sep'],(sub,sep)))$
 $t) \ u \implies aligned \ sep' \ lt \ a \implies aligned \ a \ rt \ u \implies Laligned \ (Node \ (ts@[sub',sep'],(lt,a)))$
 $rt) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-subst-merge*: $Laligned \ (Node \ (ls@(lsub, lsep) \# (sub,sep) \# (rsub,rsep) \# rs))$
 $t) \ u \implies aligned \ lsep \ sub' \ rsep \implies$
 $Laligned \ (Node \ (ls@(lsub, lsep) \# (sub', rsep) \# rs) \ t) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Laligned-subst-merge-two*: $Laligned \ (Node \ (ls@(lsub, lsep) \# (sub,sep) \# (rsub,rsep) \# rs))$
 $t) \ u \implies aligned \ lsep \ sub' \ a \implies$
 $aligned \ a \ rsub' \ rsep \implies Laligned \ (Node \ (ls@(lsub, lsep) \# (sub',a) \# (rsub', rsep) \# rs))$
 $t) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *xs-front*: $xs \ @ \ [(a,b)] = (x,y) \# xs' \implies xs \ @ \ [(a,b),(c,d)] = (z,zz) \# xs'' \implies$
 $(x,y) = (z,zz)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-Laligned*:
assumes $Laligned \ (Node \ (ls@(sub,sep) \# rs) \ t) \ u$
and $height \ t = height \ sub$
and $sorted-less \ (leaves \ (Node \ (ls@(sub,sep) \# rs) \ t))$
and $k > 0$
and $case \ rs \ of \ (rsub,rsep) \ \# \ list \Rightarrow height \ rsub = height \ t \mid [] \Rightarrow True$
shows $Laligned \ (rebalance-middle-tree \ k \ ls \ sub \ sep \ rs \ t) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-last-tree-aligned*:
assumes $aligned \ l \ (Node \ (ls@[sub,sep])) \ t) \ u$
and $height \ t = height \ sub$
and $sorted-less \ (leaves \ (Node \ (ls@[sub,sep])) \ t)$
and $k > 0$
shows $aligned \ l \ (rebalance-last-tree \ k \ (ls@[sub,sep])) \ t) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-last-tree-Laligned*:
assumes $Laligned \ (Node \ (ls@[sub,sep])) \ t) \ u$
and $height \ t = height \ sub$
and $sorted-less \ (leaves \ (Node \ (ls@[sub,sep])) \ t)$
and $k > 0$
shows $Laligned \ (rebalance-last-tree \ k \ (ls@[sub,sep])) \ t) \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *del-bal*:
assumes $k > 0$
and $root-order \ k \ t$

and $bal\ t$
shows $bal\ (del\ k\ x\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-order:*

assumes $almost_order\ k\ sub$
and $\forall s \in set\ (subtrees\ (ls@rs)).\ order\ k\ s\ order\ k\ t$
and $case\ rs\ of\ (rsub,rsep)\ \# \ list \Rightarrow height\ rsub = height\ t \mid [] \Rightarrow True$
and $length\ (ls@(sub,sep)\#rs) \leq 2*k$
and $height\ sub = height\ t$
shows $almost_order\ k\ (rebalance_middle_tree\ k\ ls\ sub\ sep\ rs\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-middle-tree-last-order:*

assumes $almost_order\ k\ t$
and $\forall s \in set\ (subtrees\ (ls@(sub,sep)\#rs)).\ order\ k\ s$
and $rs = []$
and $length\ (ls@(sub,sep)\#rs) \leq 2*k$
and $height\ sub = height\ t$
shows $almost_order\ k\ (rebalance_middle_tree\ k\ ls\ sub\ sep\ rs\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rebalance-last-tree-order:*

assumes $ts = ls@[(sub,sep)]$
and $\forall s \in set\ (subtrees\ (ts)).\ order\ k\ s\ almost_order\ k\ t$
and $length\ ts \leq 2*k$
and $height\ sub = height\ t$
shows $almost_order\ k\ (rebalance_last_tree\ k\ ts\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *del-order:*

assumes $k > 0$
and $root_order\ k\ t$
and $bal\ t$
and $sorted\ (leaves\ t)$
shows $almost_order\ k\ (del\ k\ x\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *del-list-sorted*

lemma *del-list-split:*

assumes $Laligned\ (Node\ ts\ t)\ u$
and $sorted_less\ (leaves\ (Node\ ts\ t))$

and $\text{split } ts \ x = (ls, rs)$
shows $\text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) = \text{leaves-list } ls \ @ \ \text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves-list } rs \ @ \ \text{leaves } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *del-list-split-aligned:*

assumes $\text{aligned } l \ (\text{Node } ts \ t) \ u$
and $\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t))$
and $\text{split } ts \ x = (ls, rs)$
shows $\text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t)) = \text{leaves-list } ls \ @ \ \text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves-list } rs \ @ \ \text{leaves } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *del-list-split-right:*

assumes $\text{Laligned } (\text{Node } ts \ t) \ u$
and $\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t))$
and $\text{split } ts \ x = (ls, (\text{sub}, \text{sep}) \# rs)$
shows $\text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves-list } ((\text{sub}, \text{sep}) \# rs) \ @ \ \text{leaves } t) = \text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves } \text{sub}) \ @ \ \text{leaves-list } rs \ @ \ \text{leaves } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *del-list-split-right-aligned:*

assumes $\text{aligned } l \ (\text{Node } ts \ t) \ u$
and $\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } (\text{Node } ts \ t))$
and $\text{split } ts \ x = (ls, (\text{sub}, \text{sep}) \# rs)$
shows $\text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves-list } ((\text{sub}, \text{sep}) \# rs) \ @ \ \text{leaves } t) = \text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves } \text{sub}) \ @ \ \text{leaves-list } rs \ @ \ \text{leaves } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm *del-list-idem*

lemma *del-inorder:*

assumes $k > 0$
and $\text{root-order } k \ t$
and $\text{bal } t$
and $\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } t)$
and $\text{aligned } l \ t \ u$
and $l < x \ x \leq u$
shows $\text{leaves } (\text{del } k \ x \ t) = \text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves } t) \wedge \text{aligned } l \ (\text{del } k \ x \ t) \ u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *del-Linorder:*

assumes $k > 0$
and $\text{root-order } k \ t$
and $\text{bal } t$
and $\text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } t)$
and $\text{Laligned } t \ u$

and $x \leq u$
shows $\text{leaves } (\text{del } k \ x \ t) = \text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves } t) \wedge \text{Laligned } (\text{del } k \ x \ t) \ u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-root-order*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{almost-order } k \ t \rrbracket \implies \text{root-order } k \ (\text{reduce-root } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-root-bal*: $\text{bal } (\text{reduce-root } t) = \text{bal } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-root-inorder*: $\text{leaves } (\text{reduce-root } t) = \text{leaves } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduce-root-Laligned*: $\text{Laligned } (\text{reduce-root } t) \ u = \text{Laligned } t \ u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *delete-order*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{bal } t; \text{root-order } k \ t; \text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } t) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{root-order } k \ (\text{delete } k \ x \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *delete-bal*: $\llbracket k > 0; \text{bal } t; \text{root-order } k \ t \rrbracket \implies \text{bal } (\text{delete } k \ x \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *delete-Linorder*:
assumes $k > 0 \ \text{root-order } k \ t \ \text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } t) \ \text{Laligned } t \ u \ \text{bal } t \ x \leq u$
shows $\text{leaves } (\text{delete } k \ x \ t) = \text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves } t)$
and $\text{Laligned } (\text{delete } k \ x \ t) \ u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *delete-Linorder-top*:
assumes $k > 0 \ \text{root-order } k \ t \ \text{sorted-less } (\text{leaves } t) \ \text{Laligned } t \ \text{top} \ \text{bal } t$
shows $\text{leaves } (\text{delete } k \ x \ t) = \text{del-list } x \ (\text{leaves } t)$
and $\text{Laligned } (\text{delete } k \ x \ t) \ \text{top}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.5 Set specification by inorder

fun *invar-leaves* **where** $\text{invar-leaves } k \ t = ($
 $\text{bal } t \wedge$
 $\text{root-order } k \ t \wedge$
 $\text{Laligned } t \ \text{top}$
 $)$

interpretation *S-ordered*: *Set-by-Ordered* **where**
 $\text{empty} = \text{empty-bplustree}$ **and**
 $\text{insert} = \text{insert } (\text{Suc } k)$ **and**

```

delete = delete (Suc k) and
isin = isin and
inorder = leaves and
inv = invar-leaves (Suc k)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare nodei.simps[simp del]

```

```

end

```

```

lemma sorted-ConsD: sorted-less (y # xs)  $\implies$   $x \leq y \implies x \notin \text{set } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sorted-snocD: sorted-less (xs @ [y])  $\implies$   $y \leq x \implies x \notin \text{set } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas isin-simps2 = sorted-lems sorted-ConsD sorted-snocD

```

```

lemma isin-sorted: sorted-less (xs@a#ys)  $\implies$ 
( $x \in \text{set } (xs@a\#ys)$ ) = ( $\text{if } x < a \text{ then } x \in \text{set } xs \text{ else } x \in \text{set } (a\#ys)$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

context split-list
begin

```

```

fun isin-list :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  isin-list x ks = (case split-list ks x of
    (ls, Nil)  $\Rightarrow$  False |
    (ls, sep#rs)  $\Rightarrow$  sep = x
  )

```

```

fun insert-list where
  insert-list x ks = (case split-list ks x of
    (ls, Nil)  $\Rightarrow$  ls@[x] |
    (ls, sep#rs)  $\Rightarrow$  if sep = x then ks else ls@x#sep#rs
  )

```

```

fun delete-list where
  delete-list x ks = (case split-list ks x of
    (ls, Nil)  $\Rightarrow$  ks |
    (ls, sep#rs)  $\Rightarrow$  if sep = x then ls@rs else ks
  )

```

```

lemmas split-list-conc = split-list-req(1)

```

lemmas *split-list-sorted* = *split-list-req*(2,3)

lemma *isin-sorted-split-list*:
assumes *sorted-less xs*
 and *split-list xs x = (ls, rs)*
 shows $(x \in \text{set } xs) = (x \in \text{set } rs)$
<proof>

lemma *isin-sorted-split-list-right*:
 assumes *split-list ts x = (ls, sep#rs)*
 and *sorted-less ts*
 shows $x \in \text{set } (sep\#rs) = (x = sep)$
<proof>

theorem *isin-list-set*:
 assumes *sorted-less xs*
 shows *isin-list x xs = (x ∈ set xs)*
<proof>

lemma *insert-sorted-split-list*:
assumes *sorted-less xs*
 and *split-list xs x = (ls, rs)*
 shows *ins-list x xs = ls @ ins-list x rs*
<proof>

lemma *insert-sorted-split-list-right*:
 assumes *split-list ts x = (ls, sep#rs)*
 and *sorted-less ts*
 and $x \neq sep$
 shows *ins-list x (sep#rs) = (x#sep#rs)*
<proof>

theorem *insert-list-set*:
 assumes *sorted-less xs*
 shows *insert-list x xs = ins-list x xs*
<proof>

lemma *delete-sorted-split-list*:
assumes *sorted-less xs*
 and *split-list xs x = (ls, rs)*
 shows *del-list x xs = ls @ del-list x rs*
<proof>

lemma *delete-sorted-split-list-right*:

```

assumes split-list ts x = (ls, sep#rs)
and sorted-less ts
and x ≠ sep
shows del-list x (sep#rs) = sep#rs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem delete-list-set:
assumes sorted-less xs
shows delete-list x xs = del-list x xs
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

context split-full
begin

```

```

sublocale split-set split isin-list insert-list delete-list
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

end
theory BPlusTree-Range
imports BPlusTree
         HOL-Data-Structures.Set-Specs
         HOL-Library.Sublist
         BPlusTree-Split
begin

```

Lrange describes all elements in a set that are greater or equal to *l*, a lower bounded range (with no upper bound)

```

definition Lrange where
  Lrange l X = {x ∈ X. x ≥ l}

```

```

definition lrange-filter l = filter (λx. x ≥ l)

```

```

lemma lrange-filter-iff-Lrange: set (lrange-filter l xs) = Lrange l (set xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun lrange-list where
  lrange-list l (x#xs) = (if x ≥ l then (x#xs) else lrange-list l xs) |
  lrange-list l [] = []

```

```

lemma sorted-leq-lrange: sorted-wrt (≤) xs ⇒ lrange-list (l::'a::linorder) xs =
lrange-filter l xs
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *sorted-less-lrange*: *sorted-less xs* \implies *lrange-list* (*l*::'*a*::*linorder*) *xs* = *lrange-filter* *l xs*

<proof>

lemma *lrange-list-sorted*: *sorted-less (xs@x#ys)* \implies
lrange-list l (xs@x#ys) =
 (*if l < x then (lrange-list l xs)@x#ys else lrange-list l (x#ys)*)
<proof>

lemma *lrange-filter-sorted*: *sorted-less (xs@x#ys)* \implies
lrange-filter l (xs@x#ys) =
 (*if l < x then (lrange-filter l xs)@x#ys else lrange-filter l (x#ys)*)
<proof>

lemma *lrange-suffix*: *suffix (lrange-list l xs) xs*
<proof>

locale *split-range* = *split-tree split*
for *split*::
 ('*a bplustree* \times '*a*::{*linorder*,*order-top*} *list* \Rightarrow '*a*
 \Rightarrow ('*a bplustree* \times '*a*) *list* \times ('*a bplustree* \times '*a*) *list* +
fixes *lrange-list* :: '*a* \Rightarrow ('*a*::{*linorder*,*order-top*} *list* \Rightarrow '*a list*
assumes *lrange-list-req*:

sorted-less ks \implies *lrange-list l ks* = *lrange-filter l ks*

begin

fun *lrange*:: '*a bplustree* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*a list* **where**
lrange (Leaf ks) x = (*lrange-list x ks*) |
lrange (Node ts t) x = (
 case *split ts x* of (*-, (sub, sep) # rs*) \Rightarrow (
lrange sub x @ leaves-list rs @ leaves t
)
 | (*-, []*) \Rightarrow *lrange t x*
)

lrange proof

lemma *lrange-sorted-split*:
assumes *Laligned (Node ts t) u*
and *sorted-less (leaves (Node ts t))*
and *split ts x = (ls, rs)*
shows *lrange-filter x (leaves (Node ts t)) = lrange-filter x (leaves-list rs @ leaves t)*
<proof>

lemma *lrange-sorted-split-right*:

```

assumes split ts x = (ls, (sub,sep)#rs)
and sorted-less (leaves (Node ts t))
and Laligned (Node ts t) u
shows lrange-filter x (leaves-list ((sub,sep)#rs) @ leaves t) = lrange-filter x
(leaves sub)@leaves-list rs@leaves t
<proof>

```

```

theorem lrange-set:
assumes sorted-less (leaves t)
and aligned l t u
shows lrange t x = lrange-filter x (leaves t)
<proof>

```

Now the alternative explanation that first obtains the correct leaf node and in a second step obtains the correct element from the leaf node.

```

fun leaf-nodes-lrange:: 'a bplustree  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a bplustree list where
  leaf-nodes-lrange (Leaf ks) x = [Leaf ks] |
  leaf-nodes-lrange (Node ts t) x = (
    case split ts x of (_,(sub,sep)#rs)  $\Rightarrow$  (
      leaf-nodes-lrange sub x @ leaf-nodes-list rs @ leaf-nodes t
    )
    | (_,[])  $\Rightarrow$  leaf-nodes-lrange t x
  )

```

lrange proof

```

lemma concat-leaf-nodes-leaves-list: (concat (map leaves (leaf-nodes-list ts))) =
leaves-list ts
<proof>

```

```

theorem leaf-nodes-lrange-set:
assumes sorted-less (leaves t)
and aligned l t u
shows suffix (lrange-filter x (leaves t)) (concat (map leaves (leaf-nodes-lrange t
x)))
<proof>

```

```

lemma leaf-nodes-lrange-not-empty:  $\exists ks list. leaf-nodes-lrange t x = (Leaf ks)\#list$ 
 $\wedge (Leaf ks) \in set (leaf-nodes t)$ 
<proof>

```

Note that, conveniently, this argument is purely syntactic, we do not need to show that this has anything to do with linear orders

```

lemma leaf-nodes-lrange-pre-lrange: leaf-nodes-lrange t x = (Leaf ks)\#list  $\implies$ 
lrange-list x ks @ (concat (map leaves list)) = lrange t x
<proof>

```

We finally obtain a function that is way easier to reason about in the imperative setting

```

fun concat-leaf-nodes-lrange where
  concat-leaf-nodes-lrange t x = (case leaf-nodes-lrange t x of (Leaf ks)#list =>
    lrange-list x ks @ (concat (map leaves list)))

lemma concat-leaf-nodes-lrange-lrange: concat-leaf-nodes-lrange t x = lrange t x
  <proof>

end

context split-list
begin

definition lrange-split where
  lrange-split l xs = (case split-list xs l of (ls,rs) => rs)

lemma lrange-filter-split:
  assumes sorted-less xs
  and split-list xs l = (ls,rs)
  shows lrange-list l xs = rs
  find-theorems split-list
  <proof>

lemma lrange-split-req:
  assumes sorted-less xs
  shows lrange-split l xs = lrange-filter l xs
  <proof>

end

context split-full
begin

sublocale split-range split lrange-split
  <proof>

end

end
theory BPlusTree-SplitCE
imports
  BPlusTree-Set
  BPlusTree-Range
begin

global-interpretation bplustree-linear-search-list: split-list linear-split-list
defines bplustree-ls-isin-list = bplustree-linear-search-list.isin-list
and bplustree-ls-insert-list = bplustree-linear-search-list.insert-list
and bplustree-ls-delete-list = bplustree-linear-search-list.delete-list
and bplustree-ls-lrange-list = bplustree-linear-search-list.lrange-split

```

```

    <proof>

declare bplustree-linear-search-list.isin-list.simps[code]
declare bplustree-linear-search-list.insert-list.simps[code]
declare bplustree-linear-search-list.delete-list.simps[code]


global-interpretation bplustree-linear-search:
    split-full linear-split linear-split-list

    defines bplustree-ls-isin = bplustree-linear-search.isin
    and bplustree-ls-ins = bplustree-linear-search.ins
    and bplustree-ls-insert = bplustree-linear-search.insert
    and bplustree-ls-del = bplustree-linear-search.del
    and bplustree-ls-delete = bplustree-linear-search.delete
    and bplustree-ls-lrange = bplustree-linear-search.lrange
    <proof>

lemma [code]: bplustree-ls-isin (Leaf ks) x = bplustree-ls-isin-list x ks
    <proof>
declare bplustree-linear-search.isin.simps(2)[code]

lemma [code]: bplustree-ls-ins k x (Leaf ks) =
    bplustree-linear-search.Lnodei k (bplustree-ls-insert-list x ks)
    <proof>
declare bplustree-linear-search.ins.simps(2)[code]

lemma [code]: bplustree-ls-del k x (Leaf ks) =
    Leaf (bplustree-ls-delete-list x ks)
    <proof>
declare bplustree-linear-search.del.simps(2)[code]

find-theorems bplustree-ls-isin

Some examples follow to show that the implementation works and the above
lemmas make sense. The examples are visualized in the thesis.

abbreviation bplustreeq  $\equiv$  bplustree-ls-isin
abbreviation bplustreei  $\equiv$  bplustree-ls-insert
abbreviation bplustreed  $\equiv$  bplustree-ls-delete


definition uint8-max  $\equiv$   $2^8 - 1 :: \text{nat}$ 
declare uint8-max-def[simp]

typedef uint8 = {n :: nat. n  $\leq$  uint8-max}
    <proof>

setup-lifting type-definition-uint8

```



```

instantiation uint8 :: linorder
begin

lift-definition less-eq-uint8 :: uint8  $\Rightarrow$  uint8  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  is (less-eq::nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition less-uint8 :: uint8  $\Rightarrow$  uint8  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  is (less::nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

instance
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end

instantiation uint8 :: order-top
begin

lift-definition top-uint8 :: uint8 is uint8-max::nat
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

instance
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end

instantiation uint8 :: numeral
begin

lift-definition one-uint8 :: uint8 is 1::nat
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition plus-uint8 :: uint8  $\Rightarrow$  uint8  $\Rightarrow$  uint8
  is  $\lambda a\ b.$  min (a + b) uint8-max
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

instance  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end

instantiation uint8 :: equal
begin

lift-definition equal-uint8 :: uint8  $\Rightarrow$  uint8  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  is (=)  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

instance  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end

value uint8-max

```

```

value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [21,22,23]))) in
  root-order k x
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [21,22,23]))) in
  bal x
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [50,55,56]))) in
  sorted-less (leaves x)
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [50,55,56]))) in
  Laligned x top
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [50,55,56]))) in
  x
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [50,55,56]))) in
  bplustreeq x 4
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [50,55,56]))) in
  bplustreeq x 20
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [50,55,56]))) in
  bplustreei k 9 x
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [50,55,56]))) in
  bplustreei k 1 (bplustreei k 9 x)
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [50,55,56]))) in
  bplustreed k 10 (bplustreei k 1 (bplustreei k 9 x))
value let k=2::nat; x::uint8 bplustree = (Node [(Node [(Leaf [1,2], 2),(Leaf [3,4],
4),(Leaf [5,6,7], 8)] (Leaf [9,10]), 10)] (Node [(Leaf [11,12,13,14], 14), (Leaf
[15,17], 20)] (Leaf [50,55,56]))) in
  bplustreed k 3 (bplustreed k 10 (bplustreei k 1 (bplustreei k 9 x)))

```

end

References

- [1] Rudolf Bayer and Edward M. McCreight. Organization and maintenance of large ordered indices. *Acta Informatica*, 1:173–189, 1972. doi:[10.1007/BF00288683](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00288683). URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00288683>.
- [2] Peter Lammich. The imperative refinement framework. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, August 2016. ISSN 2150-914x. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Refine__Imperative__HOL.html, Formal proof development.
- [3] Niels Mündler. A verified imperative implementation of b-trees. Bachelor’s thesis, Technische Universität München, München, 2021. URL <https://mediatum.ub.tum.de/1596550>.