

# Bounded Natural Functors with Covariance and Contravariance

Andreas Lochbihler and Joshua Schneider

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

Bounded natural functors (BNFs) provide a modular framework for the construction of (co)datatypes in higher-order logic. Their functorial operations, the mapper and relator, are restricted to a subset of the parameters, namely those where recursion can take place. For certain applications, such as free theorems, data refinement, quotients, and generalised rewriting, it is desirable that these operations do not ignore the other parameters. In this article, we formalise the generalisation  $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$  [2] that extends the mapper and relator to covariant and contravariant parameters. We show that (i)  $\text{BNF}_{\text{CCS}}$  are closed under functor composition and least and greatest fixpoints, (ii) subtypes inherit the  $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$  structure under conditions that generalise those for the BNF case, and (iii)  $\text{BNF}_{\text{CCS}}$  preserve quotients under mild conditions. These proofs are carried out for abstract  $\text{BNF}_{\text{CCS}}$  similar to the AFP entry BNF Operations [1]. In addition, we apply the  $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$  theory to several concrete functors.

For an informal description of the abstract proofs see [2].

# Contents

<b>1 Preliminaries</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2 Axiomatisation</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 First abstract $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$ . . . . .	5
2.1.1 Axioms and basic definitions . . . . .	5
2.1.2 Derived rules . . . . .	8
2.1.3 $F$ is a BNF . . . . .	9
2.1.4 Composition witness . . . . .	10
2.2 Second abstract $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$ . . . . .	11
2.2.1 Axioms and basic definitions . . . . .	11
2.2.2 Derived rules . . . . .	13
2.2.3 $G$ is a BNF . . . . .	14
2.2.4 Composition witness . . . . .	15
<b>3 Simple operations: demotion, merging, composition</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1 Composition in a live position . . . . .	16
3.2 Composition in a covariant position . . . . .	21
3.3 Composition in a contravariant position . . . . .	25
3.4 Composition in a fixed position . . . . .	30
<b>4 Least and greatest fixpoints</b>	<b>34</b>
4.1 Least fixpoint . . . . .	34
4.1.1 $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$ structure . . . . .	34
4.1.2 Parametricity laws . . . . .	38
4.2 Greatest fixpoints . . . . .	38
4.2.1 $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$ structure . . . . .	38
4.2.2 Parametricity laws . . . . .	43
<b>5 Subtypes</b>	<b>43</b>
5.1 $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$ structure . . . . .	43
5.2 Closedness under zippings . . . . .	47
5.3 Subtypes of BNFs without co- and contravariance . . . . .	50
<b>6 Quotient preservation</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>7 Concrete <math>\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}</math>s</b>	<b>52</b>
7.1 Function space . . . . .	52
7.2 Covariant powerset . . . . .	53
7.3 Bounded sets . . . . .	54
7.4 Contravariant powerset (sets as predicates) . . . . .	55
7.5 Filter . . . . .	56
7.6 Almost-everywhere equal sequences . . . . .	58

<b>8 Example: deterministic discrete system</b>	<b>59</b>
8.1 Definition and generalised mapper and relator . . . . .	59
8.2 Evenness of partial sums . . . . .	61
8.3 Composition . . . . .	61
8.4 Graph traversal: refinement and quotients . . . . .	62
8.5 Generalised rewriting . . . . .	63

# 1 Preliminaries

```

theory Preliminaries imports
  Main
begin

alias Grp = BNF-Def.Grp
alias vimage2p = BNF-Def.vimage2p

lemma Domainp-conversep: Domainp R-1-1 = Rangep R
  <proof>

lemma Grp-apply: Grp A f x y  $\longleftrightarrow$  y = f x  $\wedge$  x  $\in$  A
  <proof>

lemma conversep-Grp-id: (Grp A id)-1-1 = Grp A id
  <proof>

lemma eq-onp-compp-Grp: eq-onp P OO Grp A f = Grp (Collect P  $\cap$  A) f
  <proof>

consts relcompp-witness :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\times$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'b

specification (relcompp-witness)
  relcompp-witness1: (A OO B) (fst xy) (snd xy)  $\Longrightarrow$  A (fst xy) (relcompp-witness A B xy)
  relcompp-witness2: (A OO B) (fst xy) (snd xy)  $\Longrightarrow$  B (relcompp-witness A B xy)
  <proof>

lemmas relcompp-witness[of - - (x, y) for x y, simplified] = relcompp-witness1
  relcompp-witness2

hide-fact (open) relcompp-witness1 relcompp-witness2

lemma relcompp-witness-eq [simp]: relcompp-witness (=) (=) (x, x) = x
  <proof>

lemma Quotient-equiv-abs1: [ Quotient R Abs Rep T; R x y ]  $\Longrightarrow$  T x (Abs y)
  <proof>

lemma Quotient-equiv-abs2: [ Quotient R Abs Rep T; R x y ]  $\Longrightarrow$  T y (Abs x)
  <proof>

lemma Quotient-rep-equiv1: [ Quotient R Abs Rep T; T a b ]  $\Longrightarrow$  R a (Rep b)
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Quotient-rep-equiv2:  $\llbracket \text{Quotient } R \text{ Abs Rep } T; T a b \rrbracket \implies R (\text{Rep } b) a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

## 2 Axiomatisation

```
theory Axiomatised-BNF-CC imports
```

```
  Preliminaries
```

```
  HOL-Library.Rewrite
```

```
begin
```

```
  unbundle cardinal-syntax
```

This theory axiomatises two BNF<sub>CC</sub>s, which will be used to demonstrate the closedness of BNF<sub>CC</sub>s under various operations.

### 2.1 First abstract BNF<sub>CC</sub>

#### 2.1.1 Axioms and basic definitions

```
typeddecl ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F
```

F has each three live, co-, and contravariant parameters, and one fixed parameter.

```
consts
```

```

rel-F :: ('l1  $\Rightarrow$  'l1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('l2  $\Rightarrow$  'l2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('l3  $\Rightarrow$  'l3'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
('co1  $\Rightarrow$  'co1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('co2  $\Rightarrow$  'co2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('co3  $\Rightarrow$  'co3'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
('contra1  $\Rightarrow$  'contra1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('contra2  $\Rightarrow$  'contra2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
('contra3  $\Rightarrow$  'contra3'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F  $\Rightarrow$ 
('l1', 'l2', 'l3', 'co1', 'co2', 'co3', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'contra3', 'f) F  $\Rightarrow$  bool
map-F :: ('l1  $\Rightarrow$  'l1')  $\Rightarrow$  ('l2  $\Rightarrow$  'l2')  $\Rightarrow$  ('l3  $\Rightarrow$  'l3')  $\Rightarrow$ 
('co1  $\Rightarrow$  'co1')  $\Rightarrow$  ('co2  $\Rightarrow$  'co2')  $\Rightarrow$  ('co3  $\Rightarrow$  'co3')  $\Rightarrow$ 
('contra1'  $\Rightarrow$  'contra1')  $\Rightarrow$  ('contra2'  $\Rightarrow$  'contra2')  $\Rightarrow$  ('contra3'  $\Rightarrow$  'contra3')  $\Rightarrow$ 
('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F  $\Rightarrow$ 
('l1', 'l2', 'l3', 'co1', 'co2', 'co3', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'contra3', 'f) F

```

```
axiomatization where
```

```
rel-F-mono [mono]:
```

```

 $\bigwedge L1 L1' L2 L2' L3 L3' Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'$ 
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3'.
 $\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; L3 \leq L3'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Co3 \leq Co3';$ 
  Contra1'  $\leq$  Contra1; Contra2'  $\leq$  Contra2; Contra3'  $\leq$  Contra3 \implies
  rel-F L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3  $\leq$ 
  rel-F L1' L2' L3' Co1' Co2' Co3' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' and
  rel-F-eq: rel-F (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) = (=) and
  rel-F-conversep:  $\bigwedge L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3$ .

```

$\text{rel-}F L1^{-1-1} L2^{-1-1} L3^{-1-1} Co1^{-1-1} Co2^{-1-1} Co3^{-1-1} Contra1^{-1-1} Contra2^{-1-1} Contra3^{-1-1} =$   
 $(\text{rel-}F L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3)^{-1-1} \text{ and}$   
 $\text{map-}F\text{-id0: } \text{map-}F id id id id id id id id = id \text{ and}$   
 $\text{map-}F\text{-comp: } \bigwedge l1 l1' l2 l2' l3 l3' co1 co1' co2 co2' co3 co3'$   
 $\quad contra1 contra1' contra2 contra2' contra3 contra3'.$   
 $\text{map-}F l1 l2 l3 co1 co2 co3 contra1 contra2 contra3 \circ$   
 $\quad map-F l1' l2' l3' co1' co2' co3' contra1' contra2' contra3' =$   
 $\quad map-F (l1 \circ l1') (l2 \circ l2') (l3 \circ l3') (co1 \circ co1') (co2 \circ co2') (co3 \circ co3')$   
 $\quad (contra1' \circ contra1) (contra2' \circ contra2) (contra3' \circ contra3) \text{ and}$   
 $\text{map-}F\text{-parametric: }$   
 $\bigwedge L1 L1' L2 L2' L3 L3' Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'$   
 $\quad Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3'.$   
 $\text{rel-fun (rel-fun } L1 L1') (\text{rel-fun (rel-fun } L2 L2') (\text{rel-fun (rel-fun } L3 L3')$   
 $\quad (\text{rel-fun (rel-fun } Co1 Co1') (\text{rel-fun (rel-fun } Co2 Co2') (\text{rel-fun (rel-fun } Co3$   
 $Co3'))$   
 $\quad (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra1' Contra1) (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra2' Contra2)$   
 $\quad (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra3' Contra3)$   
 $\quad (rel-fun (rel-F L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3)$   
 $\quad (rel-F L1' L2' L3' Co1' Co2' Co3' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'))))))))$   
 $\text{map-}F \text{ map-}F$

**definition**  $\text{rel-}F\text{-pos-distr-cond} :: ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co3 \Rightarrow 'co3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co3' \Rightarrow 'co3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra3 \Rightarrow 'contra3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra3' \Rightarrow 'contra3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times 'l3 \times 'l3' \times 'l3'' \times 'f) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{rel-}F\text{-pos-distr-cond } Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'$   
 $\quad Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' - \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$   
 $\quad (L2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L2' :: 'l2' \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$   
 $\quad (L3 :: 'l3 \Rightarrow 'l3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L3' :: 'l3' \Rightarrow 'l3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$   
 $(\text{rel-}F L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 ::$   
 $\quad (-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F \Rightarrow \neg) OO$   
 $\quad \text{rel-}F L1' L2' L3' Co1' Co2' Co3' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' \leq$   
 $\quad \text{rel-}F (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (L3 OO L3') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')$   
 $(Co3 OO Co3')$   
 $\quad (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3'))$

**definition**  $\text{rel-}F\text{-neg-distr-cond} :: ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co3 \Rightarrow 'co3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co3' \Rightarrow 'co3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$

$('contra3 \Rightarrow 'contra3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra3' \Rightarrow 'contra3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times 'l3 \times 'l3' \times 'l3'' \times 'f) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**where**

*rel-F-neg-distr-cond*  $Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Co3\ Co3'$   
*Contra1 Contra1'*  $Contra2\ Contra2'\ Contra3\ Contra3'$  -  $\longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$   
 $(L2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L2' :: 'l2' \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$   
 $(L3 :: 'l3 \Rightarrow 'l3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L3' :: 'l3' \Rightarrow 'l3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$   
*rel-F*  $(L1\ OO\ L1') (L2\ OO\ L2') (L3\ OO\ L3') (Co1\ OO\ Co1') (Co2\ OO\ Co2')$   
 $(Co3\ OO\ Co3')$   
 $(Contra1\ OO\ Contra1') (Contra2\ OO\ Contra2') (Contra3\ OO\ Contra3') \leq$   
 $(\text{rel-F } L1\ L2\ L3\ Co1\ Co2\ Co3\ Contra1\ Contra2\ Contra3 ::$   
 $(-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F \Rightarrow \neg) OO$   
 $\text{rel-F } L1'\ L2'\ L3'\ Co1'\ Co2'\ Co3'\ Contra1'\ Contra2'\ Contra3')$

**axiomatization where**

*rel-F-pos-distr-cond-eq*:

$\bigwedge \text{tytok. rel-F-pos-distr-cond } (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$   
 $\text{tytok}$

**and**

*rel-F-neg-distr-cond-eq*:

$\bigwedge \text{tytok. rel-F-neg-distr-cond } (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$   
 $\text{tytok}$

Restrictions to live variables.

**definition**  $\text{rell-F } L1\ L2\ L3 = \text{rel-F } L1\ L2\ L3$   $(=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$

**definition**  $\text{mapl-F } l1\ l2\ l3 = \text{map-F } l1\ l2\ l3\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id$

**typeddecl**  $('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) Fbd$

**consts**

$\text{set1-F} :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F \Rightarrow 'l1$   
 $\text{set}$   
 $\text{set2-F} :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F \Rightarrow 'l2$   
 $\text{set}$   
 $\text{set3-F} :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F \Rightarrow 'l3$   
 $\text{set}$   
 $\text{bd-F} :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) Fbd\ rel$

**axiomatization where**

*set1-F-map*:  $\bigwedge l1\ l2\ l3. \text{set1-F} \circ \text{mapl-F } l1\ l2\ l3 = \text{image } l1 \circ \text{set1-F}$  **and**

*set2-F-map*:  $\bigwedge l1\ l2\ l3. \text{set2-F} \circ \text{mapl-F } l1\ l2\ l3 = \text{image } l2 \circ \text{set2-F}$  **and**

*set3-F-map*:  $\bigwedge l1\ l2\ l3. \text{set3-F} \circ \text{mapl-F } l1\ l2\ l3 = \text{image } l3 \circ \text{set3-F}$  **and**

*bd-F-card-order*: *card-order* *bd-F* **and**

*bd-F-cinfinite*: *cinfinite* *bd-F* **and**

*bd-F-regularCard*: *regularCard* *bd-F* **and**

*set1-F-bound*:  $\bigwedge x :: (-, -, -, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F.$

$\text{card-of} (\text{set1-F } x) <_o (\text{bd-F} :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) Fbd\ rel)$  **and**

```

set2-F-bound:  $\bigwedge x :: (\text{-, -, -, } 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F.$ 
  card-of (set2-F x) <o (bd-F :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F)
  Fbd rel) and
set3-F-bound:  $\bigwedge x :: (\text{-, -, -, } 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F.$ 
  card-of (set3-F x) <o (bd-F :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F)
  Fbd rel) and
mapl-F-cong:  $\bigwedge l1 l1' l2 l2' l3 l3' x.$ 
   $\llbracket \bigwedge z. z \in set1-F x \implies l1 z = l1' z; \bigwedge z. z \in set2-F x \implies l2 z = l2' z;$ 
   $\bigwedge z. z \in set3-F x \implies l3 z = l3' z \rrbracket \implies$ 
  mapl-F l1 l2 l3 x = mapl-F l1' l2' l3' x and
rell-F-mono-strong:  $\bigwedge L1 L1' L2 L2' L3 L3' x y.$ 
   $\llbracket \begin{aligned} &\text{rell-F } L1 L2 L3 x y; \\ &\bigwedge a b. a \in set1-F x \implies b \in set1-F y \implies L1 a b \implies L1' a b; \\ &\bigwedge a b. a \in set2-F x \implies b \in set2-F y \implies L2 a b \implies L2' a b; \\ &\bigwedge a b. a \in set3-F x \implies b \in set3-F y \implies L3 a b \implies L3' a b \end{aligned} \rrbracket \implies$ 
  rell-F L1' L2' L3' x y

```

### 2.1.2 Derived rules

**lemmas**  $rel\text{-}F\text{-}mono}' = rel\text{-}F\text{-}mono[THEN predicate2D, rotated -1]$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}F\text{-eq-refl}$ :  $rel\text{-}F (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) x x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}F\text{-}id$ :  $map\text{-}F id id id id id id id id x = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $map\text{-}F\text{-}rel\text{-}cong} = map\text{-}F\text{-parametric}[unfolded rel\text{-}fun\text{-}def, rule\text{-}format, rotated -1]$

**lemma**  $rell\text{-}F\text{-mono}$ :  $\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; L3 \leq L3' \rrbracket \implies rell\text{-}F L1 L2 L3 \leq$   
 $rell\text{-}F L1' L2' L3'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mapl\text{-}F\text{-}id0$ :  $mapl\text{-}F id id id = id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mapl\text{-}F\text{-}id$ :  $mapl\text{-}F id id id x = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mapl\text{-}F\text{-}comp$ :  $mapl\text{-}F l1 l2 l3 \circ mapl\text{-}F l1' l2' l3' = mapl\text{-}F (l1 \circ l1') (l2 \circ l2') (l3 \circ l3')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}F\text{-}mapl\text{-}F$ :  $map\text{-}F l1 l2 l3 co1 co2 co3 contra1 contra2 contra3 x =$   
 $map\text{-}F id id co1 co2 co3 contra1 contra2 contra3 (mapl\text{-}F l1 l2 l3 x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mapl\text{-}F\text{-}map\text{-}F$ :  $mapl\text{-}F l1 l2 l3 (map\text{-}F id id co1 co2 co3 contra1 contra2$

$contra3\ x) =$   
 $map\text{-}F\ l1\ l2\ l3\ co1\ co2\ co3\ contra1\ contra2\ contra3\ x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $bd\text{-}F\text{-}Cinfinite: Cinfinite\ bd\text{-}F$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Parametric mappers are unique:

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}F\text{-}Grp\text{-}weak: rel\text{-}F\ (Grp\ UNIV\ l1)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ l2)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ l3)$   
 $(Grp\ UNIV\ co1)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ co2)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ co3)$   
 $(Grp\ UNIV\ contra1)^{-1-1}\ (Grp\ UNIV\ contra2)^{-1-1}\ (Grp\ UNIV\ contra3)^{-1-1}$   
 $=$   
 $Grp\ UNIV\ (map\text{-}F\ l1\ l2\ l3\ co1\ co2\ co3\ contra1\ contra2\ contra3)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**

$rel\text{-}F\text{-}pos\text{-}distr = rel\text{-}F\text{-}pos\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\text{-}def[THEN iffD1, rule-format]$  and  
 $rel\text{-}F\text{-}neg\text{-}distr = rel\text{-}F\text{-}neg\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\text{-}def[THEN iffD1, rule-format]$

**lemma**  $rell\text{-}F\text{-}compp:$

$rell\text{-}F\ (L1\ OO\ L1')\ (L2\ OO\ L2')\ (L3\ OO\ L3') = rell\text{-}F\ L1\ L2\ L3\ OO\ rell\text{-}F\ L1'$   
 $L2'\ L3'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 2.1.3 F is a BNF

**lemma**  $rell\text{-}F\text{-}eq\text{-}onp: rell\text{-}F\ (eq\text{-}onp\ P1)\ (eq\text{-}onp\ P2)\ (eq\text{-}onp\ P3) =$   
 $eq\text{-}onp\ (\lambda x. (\forall z \in set1\text{-}F x. P1 z) \wedge (\forall z \in set2\text{-}F x. P2 z) \wedge (\forall z \in set3\text{-}F x. P3 z))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rell\text{-}F\text{-}Grp: rell\text{-}F\ (Grp\ A1\ f1)\ (Grp\ A2\ f2)\ (Grp\ A3\ f3) =$   
 $Grp\ \{x. set1\text{-}F\ x \subseteq A1 \wedge set2\text{-}F\ x \subseteq A2 \wedge set3\text{-}F\ x \subseteq A3\}\ (mapl\text{-}F\ f1\ f2\ f3)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rell\text{-}F\text{-}compp\text{-}Grp: rell\text{-}F\ L1\ L2\ L3 =$   
 $(Grp\ \{x. set1\text{-}F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L1\ x\ y\} \wedge set2\text{-}F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L2\ x\ y\} \wedge set3\text{-}F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L3\ x\ y\}\})^{-1-1}\ OO$   
 $Grp\ \{x. set1\text{-}F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L1\ x\ y\} \wedge set2\text{-}F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L2\ x\ y\} \wedge set3\text{-}F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L3\ x\ y\}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $F\text{-}in\text{-}rell: rell\text{-}F\ L1\ L2\ L3 = (\lambda x\ y. \exists z. (set1\text{-}F\ z \subseteq \{(x, y). L1\ x\ y\} \wedge$   
 $set2\text{-}F\ z \subseteq \{(x, y). L2\ x\ y\} \wedge set3\text{-}F\ z \subseteq \{(x, y). L3\ x\ y\}) \wedge$   
 $mapl\text{-}F\ fst\ fst\ fst\ z = x \wedge mapl\text{-}F\ snd\ snd\ snd\ z = y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

bnf ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F
  map: mapl-F
  sets: set1-F set2-F set3-F
  bd: bd-F :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) Fbd rel
  rel: rell-F
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 2.1.4 Composition witness

**consts**

```

rel-F-witness :: ('l1 ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('l2 ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('l3 ⇒ 'l3'' ⇒
bool) ⇒
  ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('co3 ⇒ 'co3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co3' ⇒ 'co3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('contra3 ⇒ 'contra3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra3' ⇒ 'contra3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F ×
  ('l1'', 'l2'', 'l3'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'co3'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'contra3'', 'f) F ⇒
  ('l1 × 'l1'', 'l2 × 'l2'', 'l3 × 'l3'', 'co1', 'co2', 'co3', 'contra1', 'contra2',
'contra3',
  'f) F

```

**specification (rel-F-witness)**

```

rel-F-witness1: ∏L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3'
  (tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2'') × 'l2'' ×
  'l3 × ('l3 × 'l3'') × 'l3'' × 'f) itself)
  (x :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F)
  (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', 'l3'', -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F).
  ⟦ rel-F-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'
    Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' tytok;
    rel-F L1 L2 L3 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3')
      (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3')
  x y ⟧ ==>
  rel-F (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L1 x y) (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L2 x y)
  (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L3 x y) Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 x
  (rel-F-witness L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'
    Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' (x, y))
  rel-F-witness2: ∏L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3'
  (tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2'') × 'l2'' ×
  'l3 × ('l3 × 'l3'') × 'l3'' × 'f) itself)
  (x :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F)
  (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', 'l3'', -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F).
  ⟦ rel-F-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'
    Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' tytok;
    rel-F L1 L2 L3 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3')

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (Contra1 \text{ } OO \text{ } Contra1') \text{ } (Contra2 \text{ } OO \text{ } Contra2') \text{ } (Contra3 \text{ } OO \text{ } Contra3') \\
x \text{ } y \text{ } ] \implies & rel-F \text{ } (\lambda(x, y) \text{ } y. \text{ } y' = y \wedge L1 \text{ } x \text{ } y) \text{ } (\lambda(x, y) \text{ } y. \text{ } y' = y \wedge L2 \text{ } x \text{ } y) \\
& (\lambda(x, y) \text{ } y. \text{ } y' = y \wedge L3 \text{ } x \text{ } y) \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Co3' \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2' \text{ } Contra3' \\
& (rel-F-witness \text{ } L1 \text{ } L2 \text{ } L3 \text{ } Co1 \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Co3 \text{ } Co3') \\
& Contra1 \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2 \text{ } Contra2' \text{ } Contra3 \text{ } Contra3' (x, y)) \text{ } y \\
& \langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Second abstract BNF<sub>CC</sub>

### 2.2.1 Axioms and basic definitions

**typeddecl** ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G

G has each two live, co, and contravariant parameters, and one fixed parameter.

#### consts

$$\begin{aligned}
rel-G :: & ('l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow \\
& ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow \\
& ('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow \\
& ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G \Rightarrow \\
& ('l1', 'l2', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) G \Rightarrow bool \\
map-G :: & ('l1 \Rightarrow 'l1') \Rightarrow ('l2 \Rightarrow 'l2') \Rightarrow \\
& ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1') \Rightarrow ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2') \Rightarrow \\
& ('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1') \Rightarrow ('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2') \Rightarrow \\
& ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G \Rightarrow \\
& ('l1', 'l2', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) G
\end{aligned}$$

#### axiomatization where

*rel-G-mono [mono]:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigwedge L1 \text{ } L1' \text{ } L2 \text{ } L2' \text{ } Co1 \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2 \text{ } Contra2'. \\
& \quad \llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Contra1' \leq Contra1; \\
& \quad Contra2' \leq Contra2 \rrbracket \implies
\end{aligned}$$

*rel-G L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 ≤ rel-G L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2' and*

*rel-G-eq: rel-G (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) = (=) and*

*rel-G-conversep: ∏L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2.*

*rel-G L1<sup>-1-1</sup> L2<sup>-1-1</sup> Co1<sup>-1-1</sup> Co2<sup>-1-1</sup> Contra1<sup>-1-1</sup> Contra2<sup>-1-1</sup> = (rel-G L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2)<sup>-1-1</sup> and*

*map-G-id0: map-G id id id id id id = id and*

*map-G-comp: ∏l1 l1' l2 l2' co1 co1' co2 co2' contra1 contra1' contra2 contra2'.*

*map-G l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2 o map-G l1' l2' co1' co2' contra1' contra2'*

=

*map-G (l1 o l1') (l2 o l2') (co1 o co1') (co2 o co2')*

*(contra1' o contra1) (contra2' o contra2) and*

*map-G-parametric:*

*∏L1 L1' L2 L2' Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'.*

*rel-fun (rel-fun L1 L1') (rel-fun (rel-fun L2 L2')*

*(rel-fun (rel-fun Co1 Co1') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co2 Co2')*

*(rel-fun (rel-fun Contra1' Contra1) (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra2' Contra2)*

```

(rel-fun (rel-G L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2)
  (rel-G L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2')))))
map-G map-G

definition rel-G-pos-distr-cond :: ('co1 => 'co1' => bool) => ('co1' => 'co1'' =>
bool) =>
  ('co2 => 'co2' => bool) => ('co2' => 'co2'' => bool) =>
  ('contra1 => 'contra1' => bool) => ('contra1' => 'contra1'' => bool) =>
  ('contra2 => 'contra2' => bool) => ('contra2' => 'contra2'' => bool) =>
  ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f) itself => bool where
    rel-G-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
  ↪
  (forall (L1 :: 'l1 => 'l1' => bool) (L1' :: 'l1' => 'l1'' => bool)
    (L2 :: 'l2 => 'l2' => bool) (L2' :: 'l2' => 'l2'' => bool).
    (rel-G L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) G => -) OO
      rel-G L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2' ≤
    rel-G (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')
      (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2'))

```

```

definition rel-G-neg-distr-cond :: ('co1 => 'co1' => bool) => ('co1' => 'co1'' =>
bool) =>
  ('co2 => 'co2' => bool) => ('co2' => 'co2'' => bool) =>
  ('contra1 => 'contra1' => bool) => ('contra1' => 'contra1'' => bool) =>
  ('contra2 => 'contra2' => bool) => ('contra2' => 'contra2'' => bool) =>
  ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f) itself => bool where
    rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
  ↪
  (forall (L1 :: 'l1 => 'l1' => bool) (L1' :: 'l1' => 'l1'' => bool)
    (L2 :: 'l2 => 'l2' => bool) (L2' :: 'l2' => 'l2'' => bool).
    rel-G (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')
      (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') ≤
    (rel-G L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) G => -) OO
      rel-G L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2')

```

### axiomatization where

rel-G-pos-distr-cond-eq:

$\bigwedge tytok. \text{rel-G-pos-distr-cond} (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok$  and

rel-G-neg-distr-cond-eq:

$\bigwedge tytok. \text{rel-G-neg-distr-cond} (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok$

Restrictions to live variables.

definition rell-G L1 L2 = rel-G L1 L2 (=) (=) (=)

definition mapl-G l1 l2 = map-G l1 l2 id id id

typedecl ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) Gbd

### consts

set1-G :: ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G => 'l1 set

set2-G :: ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G => 'l2 set

$bd\text{-}G :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) Gbd rel$   
 $wit\text{-}G :: 'l2 \Rightarrow ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G$   
 — non-emptiness witness for least fixpoint

#### axiomatization where

$set1\text{-}G\text{-map}: \bigwedge l1\ l2. set1\text{-}G \circ mapl\text{-}G\ l1\ l2 = image\ l1 \circ set1\text{-}G \text{ and}$   
 $set2\text{-}G\text{-map}: \bigwedge l1\ l2. set2\text{-}G \circ mapl\text{-}G\ l1\ l2 = image\ l2 \circ set2\text{-}G \text{ and}$   
 $bd\text{-}G\text{-card-order}: card\text{-}order\ bd\text{-}G \text{ and}$   
 $bd\text{-}G\text{-cfinite}: cfinite\ bd\text{-}G \text{ and}$   
 $bd\text{-}G\text{-regularCard}: regularCard\ bd\text{-}G \text{ and}$   
 $set1\text{-}G\text{-bound}: \bigwedge x :: (-, -, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G.$   
 $\quad card\text{-}of\ (set1\text{-}G\ x) <_o (bd\text{-}G :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) Gbd\ rel) \text{ and}$   
 $set2\text{-}G\text{-bound}: \bigwedge x :: (-, -, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G.$   
 $\quad card\text{-}of\ (set2\text{-}G\ x) <_o (bd\text{-}G :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) Gbd\ rel) \text{ and}$   
 $mapl\text{-}G\text{-cong}: \bigwedge l1\ l1'\ l2\ l2'\ l3\ l3' x.$   
 $\quad [\bigwedge z. z \in set1\text{-}G\ x \Rightarrow l1\ z = l1'\ z; \bigwedge z. z \in set2\text{-}G\ x \Rightarrow l2\ z = l2'\ z] \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad mapl\text{-}G\ l1\ l2\ x = mapl\text{-}G\ l1'\ l2'\ x \text{ and}$   
 $rell\text{-}G\text{-mono-strong}: \bigwedge L1\ L1'\ L2\ L2' x\ y.$   
 $\quad [\bigwedge a. a \in set1\text{-}G\ x \Rightarrow b \in set1\text{-}G\ y \Rightarrow L1\ a\ b \Rightarrow L1'\ a\ b;$   
 $\quad \bigwedge a. a \in set2\text{-}G\ x \Rightarrow b \in set2\text{-}G\ y \Rightarrow L2\ a\ b \Rightarrow L2'\ a\ b] \Rightarrow$   
 $rell\text{-}G\ L1'\ L2'\ x\ y \text{ and}$   
 $wit\text{-}G\text{-set1}: \bigwedge l2\ x. x \in set1\text{-}G\ (wit\text{-}G\ l2) \Rightarrow False \text{ and}$   
 $wit\text{-}G\text{-set2}: \bigwedge l2\ x. x \in set2\text{-}G\ (wit\text{-}G\ l2) \Rightarrow x = l2$

**lemma**  $bd\text{-}G\text{-Cfinite}: Cfinite\ bd\text{-}G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 2.2.2 Derived rules

**lemmas**  $rel\text{-}G\text{-mono}' = rel\text{-}G\text{-mono}[THEN\ predicate2D,\ rotated\ -1]$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}G\text{-eq-refl}: rel\text{-}G\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ x\ x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}G\text{-id}: map\text{-}G\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id\ x = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $map\text{-}G\text{-rel-cong} = map\text{-}G\text{-parametric}[unfolded\ rel\text{-}fun\text{-}def,\ rule\text{-}format,\ rotated\ -1]$

**lemma**  $rell\text{-}G\text{-mono}: [L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'] \Rightarrow rell\text{-}G\ L1\ L2 \leq rell\text{-}G\ L1'\ L2'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mapl\text{-}G\text{-id0}: mapl\text{-}G\ id\ id = id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mapl\text{-}G\text{-id}: mapl\text{-}G\ id\ id\ x = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mapl-G-comp*:  $\text{mapl-G } l1 \ l2 \circ \text{mapl-G } l1' \ l2' = \text{mapl-G } (l1 \circ l1') \ (l2 \circ l2')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-G-mapl-G*:

$\text{map-G } l1 \ l2 \ \text{co1 co2 contra1 contra2 } x = \text{map-G } \text{id id co1 co2 contra1 contra2 } (\text{mapl-G } l1 \ l2 \ x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mapl-G-map-G*:

$\text{mapl-G } l1 \ l2 \ (\text{map-G } \text{id id co1 co2 contra1 contra2 } x) = \text{map-G } l1 \ l2 \ \text{co1 co2 contra1 contra2 } x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Parametric mappers are unique:

**lemma** *rel-G-Grp-weak*:  $\text{rel-G } (\text{Grp UNIV } l1) \ (\text{Grp UNIV } l2) \ (\text{Grp UNIV } \text{co1}) \ (\text{Grp UNIV } \text{co2})$

$(\text{Grp UNIV contra1})^{-1-1} \ (\text{Grp UNIV contra2})^{-1-1} = \text{Grp UNIV } (\text{map-G } l1 \ l2 \ \text{co1 co2 contra1 contra2})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas**

$\text{rel-G-pos-distr} = \text{rel-G-pos-distr-cond-def}[\text{THEN iffD1}, \text{rule-format}] \text{ and}$   
 $\text{rel-G-neg-distr} = \text{rel-G-neg-distr-cond-def}[\text{THEN iffD1}, \text{rule-format}]$

**lemma** *rell-G-compp*:

$\text{rell-G } (L1 \ OO \ L1') \ (L2 \ OO \ L2') = \text{rell-G } L1 \ L2 \ OO \ \text{rell-G } L1' \ L2'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 2.2.3 G is a BNF

**lemma** *rell-G-eq-onp*:

$\text{rell-G } (\text{eq-onp } P1) \ (\text{eq-onp } P2) = \text{eq-onp } (\lambda x. (\forall z \in \text{set1-G } x. P1 z) \wedge (\forall z \in \text{set2-G } x. P2 z))$

**(is**  $? \text{rel-eq-onp} = ? \text{eq-onp-pred}$ )

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rell-G-Grp*:

$\text{rell-G } (\text{Grp A1 f1}) \ (\text{Grp A2 f2}) = \text{Grp } \{x. \text{set1-G } x \subseteq A1 \wedge \text{set2-G } x \subseteq A2\}$

$(\text{mapl-G } f1 \ f2)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rell-G-compp-Grp*:  $\text{rell-G } L1 \ L2 =$

$(\text{Grp } \{x. \text{set1-G } x \subseteq \{(x, y). L1 x y\} \wedge \text{set2-G } x \subseteq \{(x, y). L2 x y\}\} \ (\text{mapl-G } \text{fst fst}))^{-1-1} \ OO$

$\text{Grp } \{x. \text{set1-G } x \subseteq \{(x, y). L1 x y\} \wedge \text{set2-G } x \subseteq \{(x, y). L2 x y\}\} \ (\text{mapl-G } \text{snd snd})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *G-in-rell*:  $\text{rell-}G\ L1\ L2 = (\lambda x\ y. \exists z. (\text{set1-}G\ z \subseteq \{(x, y)\}. L1\ x\ y) \wedge \text{set2-}G\ z \subseteq \{(x, y)\}. L2\ x\ y) \wedge \text{mapl-}G\ \text{fst}\ \text{fst}\ z = x \wedge \text{mapl-}G\ \text{snd}\ \text{snd}\ z = y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**bnf** ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G  
 $\text{map: mapl-}G$   
 $\text{sets: set1-}G\ \text{set2-}G$   
 $\text{bd: bd-}G :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) Gbd\ rel$   
 $\text{wits: wit-}G$   
 $\text{rel: rell-}G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 2.2.4 Composition witness

**consts**

$\text{rel-}G\text{-witness} :: ('l1 \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('l2 \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G \times$   
 $('l1'', 'l2'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) G \Rightarrow$   
 $('l1 \times 'l1'', 'l2 \times 'l2'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) G$

**specification** (*rel-G-witness*)

$\text{rel-}G\text{-witness1}: \bigwedge L1\ L2\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$   
 $(\text{tytok} :: ('l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1'') \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times ('l2 \times 'l2'') \times 'l2'' \times 'f) \text{ itself})$   
 $(x :: ('l1, 'l2, -, -, -, -, 'f) G) (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', -, -, -, -, 'f) G).$   
 $\llbracket \text{rel-}G\text{-neg-distr-cond}\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$   
 $\text{tytok};$   
 $\text{rel-}G\ L1\ L2\ (Co1\ OO\ Co1')\ (Co2\ OO\ Co2')\ (Contra1\ OO\ Contra1')\ (Contra2\ OO\ Contra2')\ x\ y \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{rel-}G\ (\lambda x\ (x', y). x' = x \wedge L1\ x\ y) (\lambda x\ (x', y). x' = x \wedge L2\ x\ y) Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2\ x$   
 $(\text{rel-}G\text{-witness}\ L1\ L2\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2')$   
 $(x, y))$   
 $\text{rel-}G\text{-witness2}: \bigwedge L1\ L2\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$   
 $(\text{tytok} :: ('l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1'') \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times ('l2 \times 'l2'') \times 'l2'' \times 'f) \text{ itself})$   
 $(x :: ('l1, 'l2, -, -, -, -, 'f) G) (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', -, -, -, -, 'f) G).$   
 $\llbracket \text{rel-}G\text{-neg-distr-cond}\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$   
 $\text{tytok};$   
 $\text{rel-}G\ L1\ L2\ (Co1\ OO\ Co1')\ (Co2\ OO\ Co2')\ (Contra1\ OO\ Contra1')\ (Contra2\ OO\ Contra2')\ x\ y \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{rel-}G\ (\lambda(x, y'). y. y' = y \wedge L1\ x\ y) (\lambda(x, y'). y. y' = y \wedge L2\ x\ y) Co1'\ Co2'\ Contra1'\ Contra2'$   
 $(\text{rel-}G\text{-witness}\ L1\ L2\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2')$   
 $(x, y))\ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

### 3 Simple operations: demotion, merging, composition

**theory** *Composition imports*

*Axiomatised-BNF-CC*

**begin**

We illustrate the composition of BNF<sub>CCS</sub> with one example for each kind of parameters (live/co-/contravariant/fixed). We do not show demotion and merging in isolation, as the examples for composition use these operations, too.

#### 3.1 Composition in a live position

**type-synonym**

$('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGl = (('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1) G, 'l1, 'l3, 'co1, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f2) F$

The type variables ' $l1$ ', ' $co1$ ' and ' $contra1$ ' have each been merged.

**definition**  $rel\text{-}FGl L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 = rel\text{-}F (rel\text{-}G L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 L3 Co1 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra3 Contra4$

**definition**  $map\text{-}FGl l1 l2 l3 co1 co2 co3 co4 contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4 = map\text{-}F (map\text{-}G l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2) l1 l3 co1 co3 co4 contra1 contra3 contra4$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}FGl\text{-mono}$ :

$\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; L3 \leq L3'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Co3 \leq Co3'; Co4 \leq Co4'; Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2; Contra3' \leq Contra3; Contra4' \leq Contra4 \rrbracket \implies rel\text{-}FGl L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 \leq rel\text{-}FGl L1' L2' L3' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' Contra4' \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}FGl\text{-eq}$ :  $rel\text{-}FGl (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}FGl\text{-conversep}$ :

$rel\text{-}FGl L1^{-1-1} L2^{-1-1} L3^{-1-1} Co1^{-1-1} Co2^{-1-1} Co3^{-1-1} Co4^{-1-1} Contra1^{-1-1} Contra2^{-1-1} Contra3^{-1-1} Contra4^{-1-1} =$

$(\text{rel-FG}l L1 L2 L3 C01 C02 C03 C04 \text{Contra}1 \text{Contra}2 \text{Contra}3 \text{Contra}4)^{-1-1}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{map-FG}l\text{-id}0$ :  $\text{map-FG}l id = id$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{map-FG}l\text{-comp}$ :  $\text{map-FG}l l1 l2 l3 c01 c02 c03 c04 \text{contra}1 \text{contra}2 \text{contra}3 \text{contra}4 \circ$   
 $\text{map-FG}l l1' l2' l3' c01' c02' c03' c04' \text{contra}1' \text{contra}2' \text{contra}3' \text{contra}4' =$   
 $\text{map-FG}l (l1 \circ l1') (l2 \circ l2') (l3 \circ l3') (c01 \circ c01') (c02 \circ c02') (c03 \circ c03') (c04 \circ c04')$   
 $(\text{contra}1' \circ \text{contra}1) (\text{contra}2' \circ \text{contra}2) (\text{contra}3' \circ \text{contra}3) (\text{contra}4' \circ \text{contra}4)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{map-FG}l\text{-parametric}$ :

$\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } L1 L1') (\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } L2 L2') (\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } L3 L3'))$   
 $(\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } C01 C01') (\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } C02 C02'))$   
 $(\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } C03 C03') (\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } C04 C04'))$   
 $(\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } \text{Contra}1' \text{Contra}1) (\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } \text{Contra}2' \text{Contra}2))$   
 $(\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } \text{Contra}3' \text{Contra}3) (\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } \text{Contra}4' \text{Contra}4))$   
 $(\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-FG}l L1 L2 L3 C01 C02 C03 C04 \text{Contra}1 \text{Contra}2 \text{Contra}3 \text{Contra}4))$   
 $(\text{rel-FG}l L1' L2' L3' C01' C02' C03' C04' \text{Contra}1' \text{Contra}2' \text{Contra}3' \text{Contra}4')))))))))$   
 $\text{map-FG}l \text{map-FG}l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{rel-FG}l\text{-pos-distr-cond} :: ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co3 \Rightarrow 'co3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co3' \Rightarrow 'co3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co4 \Rightarrow 'co4' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co4' \Rightarrow 'co4'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra3 \Rightarrow 'contra3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra3' \Rightarrow 'contra3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra4 \Rightarrow 'contra4' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra4' \Rightarrow 'contra4'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times l3 \times 'l3' \times 'l3'' \times f1 \times 'f2) \text{itself}$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**where**

$\text{rel-FG}l\text{-pos-distr-cond } C01 C01' C02 C02' C03 C03' C04 C04'$   
 $\text{Contra}1 \text{Contra}1' \text{Contra}2 \text{Contra}2' \text{Contra}3 \text{Contra}3' \text{Contra}4 \text{Contra}4' - \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}))$   
 $(L2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L2' :: 'l2' \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$   
 $(L3 :: 'l3 \Rightarrow 'l3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L3' :: 'l3' \Rightarrow 'l3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$   
 $(\text{rel-FG}l L1 L2 L3 C01 C02 C03 C04 \text{Contra}1 \text{Contra}2 \text{Contra}3 \text{Contra}4 ::$   
 $(-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, f1, 'f2) \text{FG}l \Rightarrow \neg) OO$   
 $\text{rel-FG}l L1' L2' L3' C01' C02' C03' C04' \text{Contra}1' \text{Contra}2' \text{Contra}3'$   
 $\text{Contra}4' \leq$   
 $\text{rel-FG}l (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (L3 OO L3') (C01 OO C01') (C02 OO$

$(Co2') (Co3 \text{ OO } Co3') (Co4 \text{ OO } Co4')$   
 $(Contra1 \text{ OO } Contra1') (Contra2 \text{ OO } Contra2') (Contra3 \text{ OO } Contra3')$   
 $(Contra4 \text{ OO } Contra4')$

**definition** *rel-FGl-neg-distr-cond* ::  $('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co3 \Rightarrow 'co3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co3' \Rightarrow 'co3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co4 \Rightarrow 'co4' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co4' \Rightarrow 'co4'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra3 \Rightarrow 'contra3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra3' \Rightarrow 'contra3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra4 \Rightarrow 'contra4' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra4' \Rightarrow 'contra4'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times 'l3 \times 'l3' \times 'l3'' \times 'f1 \times 'f2) \text{ itself}$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**where**

$\text{rel-FGl-neg-distr-cond } Co1 \text{ Co1'} \text{ Co2 } Co2' \text{ Co3 } Co3' \text{ Co4 } Co4'$   
 $Contra1 \text{ Contra1'} \text{ Contra2 } Contra2' \text{ Contra3 } Contra3' \text{ Contra4 } Contra4' - \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$   
 $(L2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L2' :: 'l2' \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$   
 $(L3 :: 'l3 \Rightarrow 'l3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L3' :: 'l3' \Rightarrow 'l3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$   
 $\text{rel-FGl } (L1 \text{ OO } L1') (L2 \text{ OO } L2') (L3 \text{ OO } L3')$   
 $(Co1 \text{ OO } Co1') (Co2 \text{ OO } Co2') (Co3 \text{ OO } Co3') (Co4 \text{ OO } Co4')$   
 $(Contra1 \text{ OO } Contra1') (Contra2 \text{ OO } Contra2') (Contra3 \text{ OO } Contra3')$   
 $(Contra4 \text{ OO } Contra4') \leq$   
 $(\text{rel-FGl } L1 \text{ L2 } L3 \text{ Co1 } Co2 \text{ Co3 } Co4 \text{ Contra1 } Contra2 \text{ Contra3 } Contra4 ::$   
 $(-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1, 'f2) \text{ FGl } \Rightarrow \text{--}) \text{ OO }$   
 $\text{rel-FGl } L1' \text{ L2' } L3' \text{ Co1' } Co2' \text{ Co3' } Co4' \text{ Contra1' } Contra2' \text{ Contra3' }$   
 $Contra4')$

Sufficient conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition.

**lemma** *rel-FGl-pos-distr-imp*:

**fixes**  $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $tytok-F :: (('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1) G \times$   
 $('l1', 'l2', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f1) G \times$   
 $('l1'', 'l2'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f1) G \times$   
 $'l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l3 \times 'l3' \times 'l3'' \times 'f2) \text{ itself}$   
**and**  $tytok-G :: ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times 'f1) \text{ itself}$   
**and**  $tytok-FGl :: ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times 'l3 \times 'l3' \times 'l3'' \times$   
 $'f1 \times 'f2) \text{ itself}$   
**assumes** *rel-F-pos-distr-cond*  $Co1 \text{ Co1'} \text{ Co3 } Co3' \text{ Co4 } Co4'$   
 $Contra1 \text{ Contra1'} \text{ Contra3 } Contra3' \text{ Contra4 } Contra4' tytok-F$   
**and** *rel-G-pos-distr-cond*  $Co1 \text{ Co1'} \text{ Co2 } Co2' \text{ Contra1 } Contra1' \text{ Contra2 } Contra2' tytok-G$

**shows** rel-FGl-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4'  
*Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4'* ty-  
*tok-FGl*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** rel-FGl-neg-distr-imp:

**fixes** Co1 :: 'co1  $\Rightarrow$  'co1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool **and** Co1' :: 'co1'  $\Rightarrow$  'co1''  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**and** Co2 :: 'co2  $\Rightarrow$  'co2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool **and** Co2' :: 'co2'  $\Rightarrow$  'co2''  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**and** Contra1 :: 'contra1  $\Rightarrow$  'contra1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool **and** Contra1' :: 'contra1'  $\Rightarrow$   
'i contra1''  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**and** Contra2 :: 'contra2  $\Rightarrow$  'contra2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool **and** Contra2' :: 'contra2'  $\Rightarrow$   
'i contra2''  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**and** tytok-F :: ((l1, l2, co1, co2, contra1, contra2, f1) G  $\times$   
(l1', l2', co1', co2', contra1', contra2', f1) G  $\times$   
(l1'', l2'', co1'', co2'', contra1'', contra2'', f1) G  $\times$   
'l1  $\times$  l1'  $\times$  l1''  $\times$  l3  $\times$  l3'  $\times$  l3''  $\times$  f2) itself  
**and** tytok-G :: (l1  $\times$  l1'  $\times$  l1''  $\times$  l2  $\times$  l2'  $\times$  l2''  $\times$  f1) itself  
**and** tytok-FGl :: (l1  $\times$  l1'  $\times$  l1''  $\times$  l2  $\times$  l2'  $\times$  l2''  $\times$  l3  $\times$  l3'  $\times$  l3''  $\times$   
f1  $\times$  f2) itself  
**assumes** rel-F-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4'  
*Contra1 Contra1' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4'* tytok-F  
**and** rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Con-  
tra2' tytok-G  
**shows** rel-FGl-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4'  
*Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4'* ty-  
tok-FGl  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** rel-FGl-pos-distr-cond-eq:

**fixes** tytok :: (l1  $\times$  l1'  $\times$  l1''  $\times$  l2  $\times$  l2'  $\times$  l2''  $\times$  l3  $\times$  l3'  $\times$  l3''  $\times$   
f1  $\times$  f2) itself  
**shows** rel-FGl-pos-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)  
(=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** rel-FGl-neg-distr-cond-eq:

**fixes** tytok :: (l1  $\times$  l1'  $\times$  l1''  $\times$  l2  $\times$  l2'  $\times$  l2''  $\times$  l3  $\times$  l3'  $\times$  l3''  $\times$   
f1  $\times$  f2) itself  
**shows** rel-FGl-neg-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)  
(=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**definition** rell-FGl L1 L2 L3 = rel-FGl L1 L2 L3 (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)  
(=)

**definition** mapl-FGl l1 l2 l3 = map-FGl l1 l2 l3 id id id id id id id

**type-synonym** ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, f1,  
f2) FGlbd =  
('co1, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra3, 'contra4, f2) Fbd  $\times$

```

('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1) Gbd +
('co1, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f2) Fbd

definition set1-FGl :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4,
  'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGl  $\Rightarrow$  'l1 set where
set1-FGl x = ( $\bigcup$  y $\in$ set1-F x. set1-G y)  $\cup$  set2-F x

definition set2-FGl :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4,
  'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGl  $\Rightarrow$  'l2 set where
set2-FGl x = ( $\bigcup$  y $\in$ set1-F x. set2-G y)

definition set3-FGl :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4,
  'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGl  $\Rightarrow$  'l3 set where
set3-FGl x = set3-F x

definition
  bd-FGl :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2)
  FGlbd rel
  where bd-FGl = bd-F *c bd-G +c bd-F

lemma set1-FGl-map: set1-FGl  $\circ$  mapl-FGl l1 l2 l3 = image l1  $\circ$  set1-FGl
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma set2-FGl-map: set2-FGl  $\circ$  mapl-FGl l1 l2 l3 = image l2  $\circ$  set2-FGl
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma set3-FGl-map: set3-FGl  $\circ$  mapl-FGl l1 l2 l3 = image l3  $\circ$  set3-FGl
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma bd-FGl-card-order: card-order bd-FGl
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma bd-FGl-cinfinite: cinfinite bd-FGl
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma
  fixes x :: (-, -, -, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4,
  'f1, 'f2) FGl
  shows set1-FGl-bound: card-of (set1-FGl x) < o
    (bd-FGl :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1,
  'f2) FGlbd rel)
    and set2-FGl-bound: card-of (set2-FGl x) < o
    (bd-FGl :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1,
  'f2) FGlbd rel)
    and set3-FGl-bound: card-of (set3-FGl x) < o
    (bd-FGl :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1,
  'f2) FGlbd rel)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mapl-FGl-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge z. z \in set1\text{-}FGl x \implies l1 z = l1' z$  and  $\bigwedge z. z \in set2\text{-}FGl x \implies l2 z = l2' z$ 
  and  $\bigwedge z. z \in set3\text{-}FGl x \implies l3 z = l3' z$ 
  shows mapl-FGl l1 l2 l3 x = mapl-FGl l1' l2' l3' x
  {proof}

```

```

lemma rell-FGl-mono-strong:
  assumes rell-FGl L1 L2 L3 x y
  and  $\bigwedge a b. a \in set1\text{-}FGl x \implies b \in set1\text{-}FGl y \implies L1 a b = L1' a b$ 
  and  $\bigwedge a b. a \in set2\text{-}FGl x \implies b \in set2\text{-}FGl y \implies L2 a b = L2' a b$ 
  and  $\bigwedge a b. a \in set3\text{-}FGl x \implies b \in set3\text{-}FGl y \implies L3 a b = L3' a b$ 
  shows rell-FGl L1' L2' L3' x y
  {proof}

```

### 3.2 Composition in a covariant position

**type-synonym**

```

('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1,
'f2) FGco =
  ('l1, 'co1, 'co5, ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1) G, 'co3, 'co6,
  'contra1, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f2) F

```

The type variables '*co1*', '*co3*' and '*contra1*' have each been merged.

```

definition rel-FGco L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Co6 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3
Contra4 =
  rel-F L1 Co1 Co5 (rel-G Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2) Co3 Co6 Contra1
Contra3 Contra4

```

```

definition map-FGco l1 co1 co2 co3 co4 co5 co6 contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4
  =
  map-F l1 co1 co5 (map-G co1 co2 co3 co4 contra1 contra2) co3 co6 contra1
contra3 contra4

```

**lemma** *rel-FGco-mono*:

```

 $\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Co3 \leq Co3'; Co4 \leq Co4'; Co5 \leq Co5';$ 
 $Co6 \leq Co6';$ 
 $Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2; Contra3' \leq Contra3; Contra4' \leq$ 
 $Contra4 \rrbracket \implies$ 
rel-FGco L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Co6 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4  $\leq$ 
rel-FGco L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Co6' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'
Contra4'
{proof}

```

```

lemma rel-FGco-eq: rel-FGco (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)
(=)
{proof}

```

**lemma** *rel-FGco-conversep*:

```

rel-FGco L1-1-1 Co1-1-1 Co2-1-1 Co3-1-1 Co4-1-1 Co5-1-1 Co6-1-1
Contra1-1-1 Contra2-1-1 Contra3-1-1 Contra4-1-1 =
(rel-FGco L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Co6 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4)-1-1
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** map-FGco-id0: map-FGco id = id  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** map-FGco-comp: map-FGco l1 co1 co2 co3 co4 co5 co6 contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4 ◦  
map-FGco l1' co1' co2' co3' co4' co5' co6' contra1' contra2' contra3' contra4'  
= map-FGco (l1 ∘ l1') (co1 ∘ co1') (co2 ∘ co2') (co3 ∘ co3') (co4 ∘ co4') (co5 ∘ co5') (co6 ∘ co6')  
(contra1' ∘ contra1) (contra2' ∘ contra2) (contra3' ∘ contra3) (contra4' ∘ contra4)  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** map-FGeo-parametric:

```

rel-fun (rel-fun L1 L1') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co1 Co1') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co2 Co2')
(rel-fun (rel-fun Co3 Co3') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co4 Co4')
(rel-fun (rel-fun Co5 Co5') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co6 Co6')
(rel-fun (rel-fun Contra1' Contra1) (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra2' Contra2)
(rel-fun (rel-fun Contra3' Contra3) (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra4' Contra4)
(rel-fun (rel-FGco L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Co6 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3
Contra4)
(rel-FGco L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Co6' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'
Contra4')))))))))
map-FGco map-FGco
⟨proof⟩

```

**definition** rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
('co3 ⇒ 'co3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co3' ⇒ 'co3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
('co4 ⇒ 'co4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co4' ⇒ 'co4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
('co5 ⇒ 'co5' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co5' ⇒ 'co5'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
('co6 ⇒ 'co6' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co6' ⇒ 'co6'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
('contra3 ⇒ 'contra3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra3' ⇒ 'contra3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
('contra4 ⇒ 'contra4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra4' ⇒ 'contra4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
(l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2) itself ⇒ bool **where**  
rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5' Co6  
Co6'  
Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' - ←→  
(∀(L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool).  
(rel-FGco L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Co6 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 ::  
(-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1, 'f2) FGco ⇒ -) OO

```

rel-FGco L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Co6' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'
Contra4' ≤
rel-FGco (L1 OO L1') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3')
(Co4 OO Co4') (Co5 OO Co5') (Co6 OO Co6')
(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3')
(Contra4 OO Contra4'))

```

**definition** rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒

```

('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co3 ⇒ 'co3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co3' ⇒ 'co3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co4 ⇒ 'co4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co4' ⇒ 'co4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co5 ⇒ 'co5' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co5' ⇒ 'co5'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co6 ⇒ 'co6' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co6' ⇒ 'co6'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra3 ⇒ 'contra3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra3' ⇒ 'contra3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra4 ⇒ 'contra4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra4' ⇒ 'contra4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2) itself ⇒ bool where
rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5' Co6
Co6'
Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' - ←→
(∀(L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool).
rel-FGco (L1 OO L1') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3')
(Co4 OO Co4') (Co5 OO Co5') (Co6 OO Co6')
(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3')
(Contra4 OO Contra4') ≤
(rel-FGco L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Co6 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 :: :
(-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1, 'f2) FGco ⇒ -) OO
rel-FGco L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Co6' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'
Contra4')

```

Sufficient conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition.

**lemma** rel-FGco-pos-distr-imp:

```

fixes Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool and Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool
and Co5 :: 'co5 ⇒ 'co5' ⇒ bool and Co5' :: 'co5' ⇒ 'co5'' ⇒ bool
and tytok-F :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'co1 × 'co1' × 'co1'' × 'co5 × 'co5' ×
'co5'' ×
'f2) itself
and tytok-G :: ('co1 × 'co1' × 'co1'' × 'co2 × 'co2' × 'co2'' × 'f1) itself
and tytok-FGco :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2) itself
assumes rel-F-pos-distr-cond
(rel-G Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1) G ⇒ -)
(rel-G Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Contra1' Contra2') Co3 Co3' Co6 Co6'
Contra1 Contra1' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-F
and rel-G-pos-distr-cond Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Con-
tra2' tytok-G
shows rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5

```

*Co5' Co6 Co6'*  
*Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' ty-*  
*tok-FGco*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *rel-FGco-neg-distr-imp*:  
**fixes** *Co1* :: '*co1*  $\Rightarrow$  '*co1*'  $\Rightarrow$  bool **and** *Co1'* :: '*co1*'  $\Rightarrow$  '*co1*"  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**and** *Co2* :: '*co2*  $\Rightarrow$  '*co2*'  $\Rightarrow$  bool **and** *Co2'* :: '*co2*'  $\Rightarrow$  '*co2*"  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**and** *Co5* :: '*co5*  $\Rightarrow$  '*co5*'  $\Rightarrow$  bool **and** *Co5'* :: '*co5*'  $\Rightarrow$  '*co5*"  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**and** *tytok-F* :: ('*l1*  $\times$  '*l1*'  $\times$  '*l1*"  $\times$  '*co1*  $\times$  '*co1*"  $\times$  '*co5*  $\times$  '*co5*"  $\times$  '*f2*) *itself*  
**and** *tytok-G* :: ('*co1*  $\times$  '*co1*'  $\times$  '*co1*"  $\times$  '*co2*  $\times$  '*co2*'  $\times$  '*co2*"  $\times$  '*f1*) *itself*  
**and** *tytok-FGco* :: ('*l1*  $\times$  '*l1*'  $\times$  '*l1*"  $\times$  '*f1*  $\times$  '*f2*) *itself*  
**assumes** *rel-F-neg-distr-cond*  
*(rel-G Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, '*f1*) G  $\Rightarrow$  -)*  
*(rel-G Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Contra1' Contra2') Co3 Co3' Co6 Co6'*  
*Contra1 Contra1' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-F*  
**and** *rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-G*  
**shows** *rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5*  
*Co5' Co6 Co6'*  
*Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' ty-*  
*tok-FGco*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond-eq*:  
**fixes** *tytok* :: ('*l1*  $\times$  '*l1*'  $\times$  '*l1*"  $\times$  '*f1*  $\times$  '*f2*) *itself*  
**shows** *rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond-eq*:  
**fixes** *tytok* :: ('*l1*  $\times$  '*l1*'  $\times$  '*l1*"  $\times$  '*f1*  $\times$  '*f2*) *itself*  
**shows** *rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**definition** *rell-FGco L1 = rel-FGco L1 (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)*  
*(=)*  
**definition** *mapl-FGco l1 = map-FGco l1 id id id id id id id id id id*

**type-synonym** ('*co1*, '*co2*, '*co3*, '*co4*, '*co5*, '*co6*,  
*'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, '*f1*, '*f2*) *FGcobl* =  
*(('*co1*, '*co2*, '*co3*, '*co4*, '*contra1*, '*contra2*, '*f1*) *G*,*  
*'*co3*, '*co6*, '*contra1*, '*contra3*, '*contra4*, '*f2*) *Fbd***

**definition** *set1-FGco :: ('*l1*, '*co1*, '*co2*, '*co3*, '*co4*, '*co5*, '*co6*,*

```

'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGco ⇒ 'l1 set where
set1-FGco x = set1-F x

definition bd-FGco :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6,
  'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGcobl rel where
bd-FGco = bd-F

lemma set1-FGco-map: set1-FGco o mapl-FGco l1 = image l1 o set1-FGco
⟨proof⟩

lemma bd-FGco-card-order: card-order bd-FGco
⟨proof⟩

lemma bd-FGco-cinfinite: cinfinite bd-FGco
⟨proof⟩

lemma set1-FGco-bound:
fixes x :: (-, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6,
  'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGco
shows card-of (set1-FGco x) <o (bd-FGco :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6,
  'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGcobl rel)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mapl-FGco-cong:
assumes ⋀z. z ∈ set1-FGco x ⇒ l1 z = l1' z
shows mapl-FGco l1 x = mapl-FGco l1' x
⟨proof⟩

lemma rell-FGco-mono-strong:
assumes rell-FGco L1 x y
and ⋀a b. a ∈ set1-FGco x ⇒ b ∈ set1-FGco y ⇒ L1 a b ⇒ L1' a b
shows rell-FGco L1' x y
⟨proof⟩

```

### 3.3 Composition in a contravariant position

**type-synonym**

```

('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'contra1,
 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'contra5, 'f1, 'f2) FGcontra =
 ('l1, 'co1, 'co3, 'co1, 'co4, 'co5, ('contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'co1,
 'co2, 'f1) G,
  'contra1, 'contra5, 'f2) F

```

The type variables '*co1*' and '*contra1*' have each been merged.

**definition** rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Contra5 =
 rel-F L1 Co1 Co3 Co1 Co4 Co5 (rel-G Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Co1 Co2) Contra1 Contra5

**definition** *map-FGcontra l1 co1 co2 co3 co4 co5 contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4 contra5* =  
*map-F l1 co1 co3 co1 co4 co5 (map-G contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4 co1 co2)*  
*contra1 contra5*

**lemma** *rel-FGcontra-mono*:  
 $\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Co3 \leq Co3'; Co4 \leq Co4'; Co5 \leq Co5';$   
 $Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2; Contra3' \leq Contra3;$   
 $Contra4' \leq Contra4; Contra5' \leq Contra5 \rrbracket \implies$   
*rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Contra5' \leq*  
*rel-FGcontra L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' Contra4' Contra5'*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *rel-FGcontra-eq*: *rel-FGcontra* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)  
 (=) (=)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-FGcontra-conversep*:  

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{rel-FGcontra } L1^{-1-1} \text{ Co1}^{-1-1} \text{ Co2}^{-1-1} \text{ Co3}^{-1-1} \text{ Co4}^{-1-1} \text{ Co5}^{-1-1} \text{ Con-} \\ & \text{tra1}^{-1-1} \text{ Contra2}^{-1-1} \text{ Contra3}^{-1-1} \text{ Contra4}^{-1-1} \text{ Contra5}^{-1-1} = \\ & (\text{rel-FGcontra } L1 \text{ Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Con-} \\ & \text{tra5})^{-1-1} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *map-FGcontra-comp*:  
*map-FGcontra l1 co1 co2 co3 co4 co5 contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4 contra5* ○  
*map-FGcontra l1' co1' co2' co3' co4' co5' contra1' contra2' contra3' contra4'*  
*contra5' =*  
*map-FGcontra (l1 ∘ l1') (co1 ∘ co1') (co2 ∘ co2') (co3 ∘ co3') (co4 ∘ co4')* (co5  
○ co5')  
*(contra1' ∘ contra1) (contra2' ∘ contra2) (contra3' ∘ contra3)*  
*(contra4' ∘ contra4) (contra5' ∘ contra5)*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *map-FGcontra-parametric*:

```

rel-fun (rel-fun L1 L1') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co1 Co1') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co2 Co2')
    (rel-fun (rel-fun Co3 Co3') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co4 Co4') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co5
Co5') (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra1' Contra1) (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra2' Contra2)
    (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra3' Contra3) (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra4' Contra4)
        (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra5' Contra5)
    (rel-fun (rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Con-
tra4 Contra5)
    (rel-FGcontra L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' Con-

```

```

tra4' Contra5')))))))))
map-FGcontra map-FGcontra
⟨proof⟩

definition rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-cond :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒
⇒ bool) ⇒
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co3 ⇒ 'co3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co3' ⇒ 'co3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co4 ⇒ 'co4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co4' ⇒ 'co4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co5 ⇒ 'co5' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co5' ⇒ 'co5'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra3 ⇒ 'contra3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra3' ⇒ 'contra3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra4 ⇒ 'contra4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra4' ⇒ 'contra4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra5 ⇒ 'contra5' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra5' ⇒ 'contra5'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2) itself ⇒ bool where
rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5'
Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' Contra5 Contra5' - ←→
(∀(L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool).
(rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4
Contra5 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1, 'f2) FGcontra ⇒ -) OO
rel-FGcontra L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'
Contra4' Contra5' ≤
rel-FGcontra (L1 OO L1') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3')
(Co4 OO Co4') (Co5 OO Co5')
(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3')
(Contra4 OO Contra4') (Contra5 OO Contra5'))

definition rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-cond :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒
⇒ bool) ⇒
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co3 ⇒ 'co3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co3' ⇒ 'co3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co4 ⇒ 'co4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co4' ⇒ 'co4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co5 ⇒ 'co5' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co5' ⇒ 'co5'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra3 ⇒ 'contra3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra3' ⇒ 'contra3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra4 ⇒ 'contra4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra4' ⇒ 'contra4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra5 ⇒ 'contra5' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra5' ⇒ 'contra5'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2) itself ⇒ bool where
rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5'
Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' Contra5 Contra5' - ←→
(∀(L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool).
rel-FGcontra (L1 OO L1') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3')
(Co4 OO Co4') (Co5 OO Co5')
(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3'))

```

```

(Contra4 OO Contra4') (Contra5 OO Contra5') ≤
  (rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4
  Contra5 :: 
    (-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1, 'f2) FGcontra ⇒ -) OO
    rel-FGcontra L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'
  Contra4' Contra5')

```

Sufficient conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition.

**lemma** *rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-imp*:

```

fixes Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool
and Co3 :: 'co3 ⇒ 'co3' ⇒ bool and Co3' :: 'co3' ⇒ 'co3'' ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
'contra1'' ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2'' ⇒ bool
and tytok-F :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'co1 × 'co1' × 'co3 × 'co3' ×
'co3'' ×
  'f2) itself
and tytok-G :: ('contra1 × 'contra1' × 'contra1'' × 'contra2 × 'contra2' ×
'contra2'' ×
  'f1) itself
and tytok-FGcontra :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2) itself
assumes rel-F-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5'
  (rel-G Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Co1 Co2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1) G ⇒
-)
  (rel-G Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' Contra4' Co1' Co2')
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra5 Contra5' tytok-F
  and rel-G-neg-distr-cond Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' Co1 Co1' Co2
Co2' tytok-G
  shows rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5
Co5'
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' Con-
tra5 Contra5'
  tytok-FGcontra
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-imp*:

```

fixes Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool
and Co3 :: 'co3 ⇒ 'co3' ⇒ bool and Co3' :: 'co3' ⇒ 'co3'' ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
'contra1'' ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2'' ⇒ bool
and tytok-F :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'co1 × 'co1' × 'co3 × 'co3' ×
'co3'' ×
  'f2) itself
and tytok-G :: ('contra1 × 'contra1' × 'contra1'' × 'contra2 × 'contra2' ×
'contra2'' ×
  'f1) itself

```

**and** *tytok-FGcontra* :: (*'l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2)* *itself*  
**assumes** *rel-F-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5'*  

$$(\text{rel-}G \text{ Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Co1 Co2 :: } (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1) G \Rightarrow -)$$

$$(\text{rel-}G \text{ Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' Contra4' Co1' Co2'})$$

$$\text{Contra1 Contra1' Contra5 Contra5' tytok-}F$$
**and** *rel-G-pos-distr-cond Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' tytok-}G  
**shows** *rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5'*  

$$\text{Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' Contra5 Contra5' tytok-FGcontra}$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$*

**lemma** *rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-cond-eq*:  
**fixes** *tytok* :: (*'l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2)* *itself*  
**shows** *rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok*  

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma** *rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-cond-eq*:  
**fixes** *tytok* :: (*'l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2)* *itself*  
**shows** *rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok*  

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**definition** *rell-FGcontra L1 = rel-FGcontra L1 (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)*  
**definition** *mapl-FGcontra l1 = map-FGcontra l1 id id*

**type-synonym** (*'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'contra5,*  
*'f1, 'f2)* *FGcontrabd =*  

$$('co1, 'co4, 'co5, ('contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'co1, 'co2, 'f1) G,$$

$$'contra1, 'contra5, 'f2) Fbd$$

**definition** *set1-FGcontra :: ('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5,*  
*'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'contra5, 'f1, 'f2) FGcontra ⇒ 'l1 set*  
**where**  

$$\text{set1-FGcontra } x = \text{set1-}F x$$

**definition** *bd-FGcontra :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5,*  
*'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'contra5, 'f1, 'f2) FGcontrabd rel* **where**  

$$\text{bd-FGcontra} = \text{bd-}F$$

**lemma** *set1-FGcontra-map: set1-FGcontra o mapl-FGcontra l1 = image l1 o set1-FGcontra*  

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma** *bd-FGcontra-card-order: card-order bd-FGcontra*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bd-FGcontra-cinfinite*: *cinfinite bd-FGcontra*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *set1-FGcontra-bound*:

fixes  $x :: (-, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5,$   
 $'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'contra5, 'f1, 'f2) FGcontra$   
**shows** *card-of (set1-FGcontra x) < o (bd-FGcontra :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5,*  
 $'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'contra5, 'f1, 'f2) FGcontrabd rel)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mapl-FGcontra-contrang*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge z. z \in set1-FGcontra x \implies l1 z = l1' z$   
**shows** *mapl-FGcontra l1 x = mapl-FGcontra l1' x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rell-FGcontra-mono-strong*:

**assumes** *rell-FGcontra L1 x y*  
**and**  $\bigwedge a b. a \in set1-FGcontra x \implies b \in set1-FGcontra y \implies L1 a b \implies L1'$   
 $a b$   
**shows** *rell-FGcontra L1' x y*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.4 Composition in a fixed position

**type-synonym**  $('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6,$   
 $'f7) FGf =$   
 $('l1, 'l2, 'f2, 'co1, 'co2, 'f4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f6, ('f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6,$   
 $'f7) G) F$

The type variables ' $f2$ ', ' $f4$ ' and ' $f6$ ' have each been merged.

**definition** *rel-FGf L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2* =  
 $rel-F L1 L2 (=) Co1 Co2 (=) Contra1 Contra2 (=)$

**definition** *map-FGf l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2* = *map-F l1 l2 id co1 co2 id*  
*contra1 contra2 id*

**lemma** *rel-FGf-mono*:

$\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $rel-FGf L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 \leq rel-FGf L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-FGf-eq*: *rel-FGf (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-FGf-conversep*:

$\text{rel-FGf } L1^{-1-1} \ L2^{-1-1} \ Co1^{-1-1} \ Co2^{-1-1} \ Contra1^{-1-1} \ Contra2^{-1-1} = (\text{rel-FGf } L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Contra1 \ Contra2)^{-1-1}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{map-FGf-id0: map-FGf id id id id id id = id}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{map-FGf-comp: map-FGf l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2} \circ$   
 $\text{map-FGf l1' l2' co1' co2' contra1' contra2'} =$   
 $\text{map-FGf (l1 \circ l1') (l2 \circ l2') (co1 \circ co1') (co2 \circ co2') (contra1' \circ contra1)}$   
 $(contra2' \circ contra2)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{map-FGf-parametric:}$

$\text{rel-fun (rel-fun L1 L1')} \ (\text{rel-fun (rel-fun L2 L2')}$   
 $(\text{rel-fun (rel-fun Co1 Co1')} \ (\text{rel-fun (rel-fun Co2 Co2')}$   
 $(\text{rel-fun (rel-fun Contra1' Contra1)} \ (\text{rel-fun (rel-fun Contra2' Contra2)}$   
 $(\text{rel-fun (rel-FGf L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2)}$   
 $(\text{rel-FGf L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2'}))))))$   
 $\text{map-FGf map-FGf}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond} :: ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times$   
 $'f1 \times 'f2 \times 'f3 \times 'f4 \times 'f5 \times 'f6 \times 'f7) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $\text{rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'}$

-  $\longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(L2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L2' :: 'l2' \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$   
 $(\text{rel-FGf L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2} ::$   
 $(-, -, -, -, -, 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) \text{ FGf} \Rightarrow \neg) \ OO$   
 $\text{rel-FGf L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2'} \leq$   
 $\text{rel-FGf (L1 OO L1')} (L2 OO L2') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')$   
 $(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2'))$

**definition**  $\text{rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond} :: ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$

$('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times$

$'f1 \times 'f2 \times 'f3 \times 'f4 \times 'f5 \times 'f6 \times 'f7) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$

$\text{rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'}$

-  $\longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (L2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L2' :: 'l2' \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}). \\
& \text{rel-FGf } (L1 \text{ OO } L1') (L2 \text{ OO } L2') (\text{Co1 OO Co1'}) (\text{Co2 OO Co2'}) \\
& \quad (\text{Contra1 OO Contra1'}) (\text{Contra2 OO Contra2'}) \leq \\
& \quad (\text{rel-FGf } L1 \text{ L2 } \text{Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2} :: \\
& \quad \quad (-, -, -, -, -, 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) \text{ FGf } \Rightarrow -) \text{ OO} \\
& \quad \text{rel-FGf } L1' \text{ L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2')
\end{aligned}$$

Sufficient conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition.

**lemma** *rel-FGf-pos-distr-imp*:

**fixes** *tytok-F* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f2 × 'f2 × 'f2 × 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) *G* *itself*

**and** *tytok-FGf* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f1 × 'f2 × 'f3 × 'f4 × 'f5 × 'f6 × 'f7) *itself*

**assumes** *rel-F-pos-distr-cond* *Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2'* ((=) :: 'f4 ⇒ -) ((=) :: 'f4 ⇒ -)

*Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'* ((=) :: 'f6 ⇒ -) ((=) :: 'f6 ⇒ -) *tytok-F*

**shows** *rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond* *Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'* *tytok-FGf*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *rel-FGf-neg-distr-imp*:

**fixes** *tytok-F* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f2 × 'f2 × 'f2 × 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) *G* *itself*

**and** *tytok-FGf* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f1 × 'f2 × 'f3 × 'f4 × 'f5 × 'f6 × 'f7) *itself*

**assumes** *rel-F-neg-distr-cond* *Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2'* ((=) :: 'f4 ⇒ -) ((=) :: 'f4 ⇒ -)

*Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'* ((=) :: 'f6 ⇒ -) ((=) :: 'f6 ⇒ -) *tytok-F*

**shows** *rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond* *Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'* *tytok-FGf*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond-eq*:

**fixes** *tytok* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f1 × 'f2 × 'f3 × 'f4 × 'f5 × 'f6 × 'f7) *itself*

**shows** *rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok

*{proof}*

**lemma** *rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond-eq*:

**fixes** *tytok* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f1 × 'f2 × 'f3 × 'f4 × 'f5 × 'f6 × 'f7) *itself*

**shows** *rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok

*{proof}*

**definition** *rell-FGf L1 L2* = *rel-FGf L1 L2* (=) (=) (=)

**definition** *mapl-FGf l1 l2* = *map-FGf l1 l2 id id id id*

**type-synonym** ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) *FGfb*

$('co1, 'co2, 'f4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f6, ('f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) G) Fbd$

**definition**  $set1-FGf :: ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2,$   
 $'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) FGf \Rightarrow 'l1 set$  **where**  
 $set1-FGf x = set1-F x$

**definition**  $set2-FGf :: ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2,$   
 $'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) FGf \Rightarrow 'l2 set$  **where**  
 $set2-FGf x = set2-F x$

**definition**  $bd-FGf :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7)$   
 $FGfbd rel$   
**where**  $bd-FGf = bd-F$

**lemma**  $set1-FGf-map: set1-FGf \circ mapl-FGf l1 l2 = image l1 \circ set1-FGf$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $bd-FGf-card-order: card-order bd-FGf$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $bd-FGf-cinfinite: cinfinite bd-FGf$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  
**fixes**  $x :: (-, -, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) FGf$   
**shows**  $set1-FGf-bound: card-of (set1-FGf x) < o (bd-FGf :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2,$   
 $'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) FGfbd rel)$   
**and**  $set2-FGf-bound: card-of (set2-FGf x) < o (bd-FGf :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2,$   
 $'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) FGfbd rel)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mapl-FGf-cong:$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge z. z \in set1-FGf x \implies l1 z = l1' z$  **and**  $\bigwedge z. z \in set2-FGf x \implies l2 z = l2' z$   
**shows**  $mapl-FGf l1 l2 x = mapl-FGf l1' l2' x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rell-FGf-mono-strong:$   
**assumes**  $rell-FGf L1 L2 x y$   
**and**  $\bigwedge a b. a \in set1-FGf x \implies b \in set1-FGf y \implies L1 a b \implies L1' a b$   
**and**  $\bigwedge a b. a \in set2-FGf x \implies b \in set2-FGf y \implies L2 a b \implies L2' a b$   
**shows**  $rell-FGf L1' L2' x y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 4 Least and greatest fixpoints

```

theory Fixpoints imports
  Axiomatised-BNF-CC
begin

  4.1 Least fixpoint

  4.1.1 BNFCC structure

  context notes [[typedef-overloaded, bnf-internals]]
begin

  datatype (set-T: 'l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T =
    C-T (D-T: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T, 'l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1,
    'contra2, 'f) G)
    for
      map: mapl-T
      rel: rell-T

  end

  inductive rel-T :: ('l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) ⇒
    ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒
    ('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒
    ('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T ⇒
    ('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T ⇒ bool
  for L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 where
    rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 (C-T x) (C-T y)
    if rel-G (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 x
    y

  primrec map-T :: ('l1 ⇒ 'l1') ⇒ ('co1 ⇒ 'co1') ⇒ ('co2 ⇒ 'co2') ⇒
    ('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1') ⇒ ('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2') ⇒
    ('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T ⇒
    ('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T where
      map-T l1 co1 co2 contra1 contra2 (C-T x) =
        C-T (map-G id id co1 co2 contra1 contra2 (mapl-G (map-T l1 co1 co2 contra1
        contra2) l1 x))

```

The mapper and relator generated by the datatype package coincide with our generalised definitions restricted to live arguments.

```

lemma rell-T-alt-def: rell-T L1 = rel-T L1 (=) (=) (=) (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mapl-T-alt-def: mapl-T l1 = map-T l1 id id id id
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-T-mono [mono]:

```

$\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2 \rrbracket \implies$

$rel\text{-}T\ L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2 \leq rel\text{-}T\ L1'\ Co1'\ Co2'\ Contra1'\ Contra2'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}T\text{-eq}$ :  $rel\text{-}T\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}T\text{-conversep}$ :

$rel\text{-}T\ L1^{-1-1}\ Co1^{-1-1}\ Co2^{-1-1}\ Contra1^{-1-1}\ Contra2^{-1-1} = (rel\text{-}T\ L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2)^{-1-1}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}T\text{-id0}$ :  $map\text{-}T\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id = id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}T\text{-id}$ :  $map\text{-}T\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id\ x = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}T\text{-comp}$ :  $map\text{-}T\ l1\ co1\ co2\ contra1\ contra2 \circ map\text{-}T\ l1'\ co1'\ co2'$   
 $contra1'\ contra2' =$   
 $map\text{-}T\ (l1 \circ l1')\ (co1 \circ co1')\ (co2 \circ co2')\ (contra1' \circ contra1)\ (contra2' \circ contra2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}T\text{-parametric}$ :  $rel\text{-}fun\ (rel\text{-}fun\ L1\ L1')$   
 $(rel\text{-}fun\ (rel\text{-}fun\ Co1\ Co1'))\ (rel\text{-}fun\ (rel\text{-}fun\ Co2\ Co2'))$   
 $(rel\text{-}fun\ (rel\text{-}fun\ Contra1'\ Contra1))\ (rel\text{-}fun\ (rel\text{-}fun\ Contra2'\ Contra2))$   
 $(rel\text{-}fun\ (rel\text{-}T\ L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2))\ (rel\text{-}T\ L1'\ Co1'\ Co2'\ Contra1'\ Contra2'))))))$   
 $map\text{-}T\ map\text{-}T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $rel\text{-}T\text{-pos-distr-cond} :: ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$   
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$   
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$   
 $('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'f) \ itself \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$   
 $rel\text{-}T\text{-pos-distr-cond}\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2' -$   
 $\leftarrow$   
 $(\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow bool) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow bool)).$   
 $(rel\text{-}T\ L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) T \Rightarrow -) OO$   
 $rel\text{-}T\ L1'\ Co1'\ Co2'\ Contra1'\ Contra2' \leq$   
 $rel\text{-}T\ (L1\ OO\ L1')\ (Co1\ OO\ Co1')\ (Co2\ OO\ Co2')\ (Contra1\ OO\ Contra1')$   
 $(Contra2\ OO\ Contra2'))$

**definition**  $rel\text{-}T\text{-neg-distr-cond} :: ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
(&('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\
(&'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\
(&'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\
(&('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'f) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where} \\
&\text{rel-T-neg-distr-cond } Co1 \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2 \text{ } Contra2' - \\
\longleftrightarrow &(\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}). \\
&\text{rel-T } (L1 \text{ OO } L1') \text{ } (Co1 \text{ OO } Co1') \text{ } (Co2 \text{ OO } Co2') \text{ } (Contra1 \text{ OO } Contra1') \\
&(Contra2 \text{ OO } Contra2') \leq \\
&(\text{rel-T } L1 \text{ } Co1 \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) \text{ } T \Rightarrow -) \text{ OO} \\
&\text{rel-T } L1' \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2')
\end{aligned}$$

We inherit the conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition via a composition witness, which is derived from a witness for the underlying functor  $G$ .

```

primrec rel-T-witness :: ('l1  $\Rightarrow$  'l1''  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('co1  $\Rightarrow$  'co1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('co1'  $\Rightarrow$  'co1''  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('co2  $\Rightarrow$  'co2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('co2'  $\Rightarrow$  'co2''  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('contra1  $\Rightarrow$  'contra1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('contra1'  $\Rightarrow$  'contra1''  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('contra2  $\Rightarrow$  'contra2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('contra2'  $\Rightarrow$  'contra2''  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('l1  $\times$  'l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T where
  rel-T-witness L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' (C-T
  x) Cy = C-T
  (mapl-G (λ((x, f), y). f y) id
  (rel-G-witness (λ(x, f). y. rel-T (λx (x', y). x' = x  $\wedge$  L1 x y) Co1 Co2 Contra1
  Contra2 x (f y))  $\wedge$ 
  rel-T (λ(x, y'). y. y' = y  $\wedge$  L1 x y) Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2' (f y) y)
  L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
  (mapl-G (λx. (x, rel-T-witness L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' x)) id x,
  D-T Cy)))

```

```

lemma rel-T-pos-distr-imp:
  fixes Co1 :: 'co1  $\Rightarrow$  'co1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and Co1' :: 'co1'  $\Rightarrow$  'co1''  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and Co2 :: 'co2  $\Rightarrow$  'co2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and Co2' :: 'co2'  $\Rightarrow$  'co2''  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and Contra1 :: 'contra1  $\Rightarrow$  'contra1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1'  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'contra1''  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and Contra2 :: 'contra2  $\Rightarrow$  'contra2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2'  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'contra2''  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T  $\times$ 
  ('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T  $\times$ 
  ('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T  $\times$  'l1  $\times$  'l1''  $\times$  'f) itself
  and tytok-T :: ('l1  $\times$  'l1'  $\times$  'l1''  $\times$  'f) itself
  assumes rel-G-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2
  Contra2' tytok-G
  shows rel-T-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-T

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

fixes  $L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $tytok-G :: (((l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \times$   
 $((l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T$   
 $\Rightarrow (l1 \times l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T)) \times$   
 $((l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \times$   
 $((l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T$   
 $\Rightarrow (l1 \times l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T)) \times$   
 $((l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T \times$   
 $(l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T \times$   
 $'l1 \times (l1 \times l1'') \times l1'' \times 'f) \text{ itself}$   
**and**  $x :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) T$   
assumes cond: rel-G-neg-distr-cond  $Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-G$   
**and** rel-OO: rel-T  $L1 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1')$   
 $(Contra2 OO Contra2') x y$   
**shows** rel-T-witness1: rel-T  $(\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L1 x y) Co1 Co2 Contra1$   
 $Contra2 x$   
 $(\text{rel-T-witness } L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' x$   
 $y)$   
**and** rel-T-witness2: rel-T  $(\lambda(x, y'). y. y' = y \wedge L1 x y) Co1' Co2' Contra1'$   
 $Contra2'$   
 $(\text{rel-T-witness } L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' x$   
 $y) y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** rel-T-neg-distr-imp:

fixes  $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $tytok-G :: (((l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \times$   
 $((l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T$   
 $\Rightarrow (l1 \times l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T)) \times$   
 $((l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \times$   
 $((l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T$   
 $\Rightarrow (l1 \times l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T)) \times$   
 $((l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T \times$   
 $(l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T \times$

```

'l1 × ('l1 × 'l1 '') × 'l1 '' × 'f) itself
and tytok-T :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1 '' × 'f) itself
assumes rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2
Contra2' tytok-G
shows rel-T-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Con-
tra2' tytok-T
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-T-pos-distr-cond-eq:
  ⋀tytok. rel-T-pos-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-T-neg-distr-cond-eq:
  ⋀tytok. rel-T-neg-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The BNF axioms are proved by the datatype package.

```

thm T.set-map T.bd-card-order T.bd-cinfinite T.set-bd T.map-cong[OF refl]
  T.rel-mono-strong T.wit

```

#### 4.1.2 Parametricity laws

```

context includes lifting-syntax begin

```

```

lemma C-T-parametric: (rel-G (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2
Contra1 Contra2 ===>
  rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) C-T C-T
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma D-T-parametric: (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 ===>
  rel-G (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) D-T
D-T
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rec-T-parametric:
  ((rel-G (rel-prod (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) A) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1
Contra2 ===> A) ===>
  rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 ===> A) rec-T rec-T
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

## 4.2 Greatest fixpoints

### 4.2.1 BNF<sub>CC</sub> structure

```

context notes [[typedef-overloaded, bnf-internals]]
begin

```

```

codatatype (set-U: 'l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U =

```

```

C-U (D-U: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U, 'l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1,
'contra2, 'f) G)
for
  map: mapl-U
  rel: rell-U

end

coinductive rel-U :: ('l1  $\Rightarrow$  'l1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('co1  $\Rightarrow$  'co1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('co2  $\Rightarrow$  'co2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('contra1  $\Rightarrow$  'contra1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('contra2  $\Rightarrow$  'contra2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) U  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 where
  rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 x y
    if rel-G (rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2
      (D-U x) (D-U y)

primcorec map-U :: ('l1  $\Rightarrow$  'l1')  $\Rightarrow$  ('co1  $\Rightarrow$  'co1')  $\Rightarrow$  ('co2  $\Rightarrow$  'co2')  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('contra1  $\Rightarrow$  'contra1')  $\Rightarrow$  ('contra2  $\Rightarrow$  'contra2')  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) U where
    D-U (map-U l1 co1 co2 contra1 contra2 x) =
      mapl-G (map-U l1 co1 co2 contra1 contra2) l1 (map-G id id co1 co2 contra1
      contra2 (D-U x))

lemma rell-U-alt-def: rell-U L1 = rel-U L1 (=) (=) (=) (=)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mapl-U-alt-def: mapl-U l1 = map-U l1 id id id id
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rel-U-mono [mono]:
  [ L1  $\leq$  L1'; Co1  $\leq$  Co1'; Co2  $\leq$  Co2'; Contra1'  $\leq$  Contra1; Contra2'  $\leq$  Contra2
  ]  $\Rightarrow$ 
  rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2  $\leq$  rel-U L1' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rel-U-eq: rel-U (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rel-U-conversep:
  rel-U L1 $^{-1-1}$  Co1 $^{-1-1}$  Co2 $^{-1-1}$  Contra1 $^{-1-1}$  Contra2 $^{-1-1}$  = (rel-U L1 Co1
  Co2 Contra1 Contra2) $^{-1-1}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma map-U-id0: map-U id id id id id = id
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *map-U-id*:  $\text{map-}U \text{id id id id id id } x = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-U-comp*:  $\text{map-}U l1 \text{ co1 co2 contra1 contra2} \circ \text{map-}U l1' \text{ co1' co2' contra1' contra2'} =$   
 $\text{map-}U (l1 \circ l1') (\text{co1} \circ \text{co1'}) (\text{co2} \circ \text{co2'}) (\text{contra1}' \circ \text{contra1}) (\text{contra2}' \circ \text{contra2})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-U-parametric*:  $\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } L1 \text{ L1'})$   
 $(\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } C1 \text{ C1'}) (\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } C2 \text{ C2'}))$   
 $(\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } \text{Contra1}' \text{ Contra1}) (\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } \text{Contra2}' \text{ Contra2}))$   
 $(\text{rel-fun} (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-}U L1 \text{ C1 C2 Contra1 Contra2}) (\text{rel-}U L1' \text{ C1' C2' Contra1' Contra2'})))$   
 $\text{map-}U \text{ map-}U$   
*(proof)*

**definition** *rel-U-pos-distr-cond* ::  $(\text{'co1} \Rightarrow \text{'co1'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co1'} \Rightarrow \text{'co1''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{'co2} \Rightarrow \text{'co2'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co2'} \Rightarrow \text{'co2''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{'contra1} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra1'} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{'contra2} \Rightarrow \text{'contra2'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra2'} \Rightarrow \text{'contra2''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(l1 \times l1' \times l1'' \times f) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $\text{rel-}U \text{-pos-distr-cond } C1 \text{ C1'} \text{ C2 C2'} \text{ Contra1 Contra1'} \text{ Contra2 Contra2'} -$   
 $\longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall (L1 :: l1 \Rightarrow l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: l1' \Rightarrow l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$   
 $(\text{rel-}U L1 \text{ C1 C2 Contra1 Contra2} :: (-, -, -, -, -, f) U \Rightarrow -) \text{ OO}$   
 $\text{rel-}U L1' \text{ C1' C2' Contra1' Contra2'} \leq$   
 $\text{rel-}U (L1 \text{ OO } L1') (C1 \text{ OO } C1') (C2 \text{ OO } C2') (\text{Contra1} \text{ OO } \text{Contra1'})$   
 $(\text{Contra2} \text{ OO } \text{Contra2'}))$

**definition** *rel-U-neg-distr-cond* ::  $(\text{'co1} \Rightarrow \text{'co1'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co1'} \Rightarrow \text{'co1''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{'co2} \Rightarrow \text{'co2'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co2'} \Rightarrow \text{'co2''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{'contra1} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra1'} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{'contra2} \Rightarrow \text{'contra2'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra2'} \Rightarrow \text{'contra2''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(l1 \times l1' \times l1'' \times f) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $\text{rel-}U \text{-neg-distr-cond } C1 \text{ C1'} \text{ C2 C2'} \text{ Contra1 Contra1'} \text{ Contra2 Contra2'} -$   
 $\longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall (L1 :: l1 \Rightarrow l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: l1' \Rightarrow l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$   
 $\text{rel-}U (L1 \text{ OO } L1') (C1 \text{ OO } C1') (C2 \text{ OO } C2') (\text{Contra1} \text{ OO } \text{Contra1'})$   
 $(\text{Contra2} \text{ OO } \text{Contra2'}) \leq$   
 $(\text{rel-}U L1 \text{ C1 C2 Contra1 Contra2} :: (-, -, -, -, -, f) U \Rightarrow -) \text{ OO}$   
 $\text{rel-}U L1' \text{ C1' C2' Contra1' Contra2'})$

**primcorec** *rel-U-witness* ::  $(l1 \Rightarrow l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{'co1} \Rightarrow \text{'co1'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co1'} \Rightarrow \text{'co1''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{'co2} \Rightarrow \text{'co2'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co2'} \Rightarrow \text{'co2''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{'contra1} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1'} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra1'} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1''} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$

```

('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U ×
('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U ⇒
('l1 × 'l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) U where
D-U (rel-U-witness L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
xy) =
mapl-G (rel-U-witness L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Con-
tra2') id
(rel-G-witness (rel-U L1 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1')
(Contra2 OO Contra2')))
L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' (D-U (fst xy), D-U
(snd xy)))

```

**lemma** rel-U-pos-distr-imp:

```

fixes Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool and Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
'contra1'' ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2'' ⇒ bool
and tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U ×
('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) U ×
('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U × 'l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f) itself
and tytok-T :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f) itself
assumes rel-G-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2
Contra2' tytok-G
shows rel-U-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Con-
tra2' tytok-T
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** rel-U-witness1:

```

fixes L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool
and Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool and Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
'contra1'' ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2'' ⇒ bool
and tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U ×
('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U ×
('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U) ×
('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U ×
'l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'f) itself
and x :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) U
assumes cond: rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Con-
tra2 Contra2' tytok-G
and rel-OO: rel-U L1 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1')
(Contra2 OO Contra2') x y
shows rel-U (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L1 x y) Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 x

```

(*rel-U-witness*  $L1\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$   
 $(x, y))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-U-witness2*:

**fixes**  $L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U \times$   
 $(('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U \times$   
 $('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U) \times$   
 $('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U \times$   
 $'l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1') \times 'l1'' \times 'f) \text{itself}$   
**and**  $x :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) U$   
**assumes**  $cond: \text{rel-G-neg-distr-cond } Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2' \ tytok-G$   
**and**  $\text{rel-OO: rel-U } L1\ (Co1\ OO\ Co1')\ (Co2\ OO\ Co2')\ (Contra1\ OO\ Contra1')$   
 $(Contra2\ OO\ Contra2')\ x\ y$   
**shows**  $\text{rel-U } (\lambda(x, y). y. y' = y \wedge L1\ x\ y)\ Co1'\ Co2'\ Contra1'\ Contra2'$   
 $(\text{rel-U-witness } L1\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$   
 $(x, y))\ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-U-neg-distr-imp*:

**fixes**  $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**and**  $tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U \times$   
 $(('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U \times$   
 $('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U) \times$   
 $('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U \times$   
 $'l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1') \times 'l1'' \times 'f) \text{itself}$   
**and**  $tytok-T :: ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'f) \text{itself}$   
**assumes**  $\text{rel-G-neg-distr-cond } Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2' \ tytok-G$   
**shows**  $\text{rel-U-neg-distr-cond } Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2' \ tytok-T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-U-pos-distr-cond-eq*:

$\wedge_{tytok.} \text{rel-U-pos-distr-cond } (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma rel-U-neg-distr-cond-eq:
   $\bigwedge tytok. \text{rel-U-neg-distr-cond} (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

The BNF axioms are proved by the datatype package.

```

thm U.set-map U.bd-card-order U.bd-cinfinite U.set-bd U.map-cong[OF refl]
  U.rel-mono-strong U.wit

```

#### 4.2.2 Parametricity laws

```

context includes lifting-syntax begin

```

```

lemma C-U-parametric: (rel-G (rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2
Contra1 Contra2 ===>
  rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) C-U C-U
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma D-U-parametric: (rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 ===>
  rel-G (rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) D-U
D-U
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma corec-U-parametric:
  ((A ===> rel-G (rel-sum (rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) A) L1 Co1 Co2
Contra1 Contra2) ===>
  A ===> rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) corec-U corec-U
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

## 5 Subtypes

```

theory Subtypes imports
  Axiomatised-BNF-CC
  HOL-Library.BNF-Axiomatization
begin

```

### 5.1 BNF<sub>CC</sub> structure

```

consts P :: ('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) G  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

axiomatization where

```

```

  P-map:  $\bigwedge x l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2. P x \implies P (\text{map-G } l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2 x)$ 

```

— {x. P x} is closed under the mapper of G  
and

*ex-P*:  $\exists x. P x — \{x. P x\}$  is non-empty

**typedef (overloaded)** (*'live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed'*)  $S = \{x :: (\text{'live1}, \text{'live2}, \text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'fixed}) G. P x\} \langle proof \rangle$

The subtype  $S$  is isomorphic to the set  $\{x. P x\}$ .

**context includes** lifting-syntax  
**begin**

**definition**  $rel\text{-}S :: (\text{'live1} \Rightarrow \text{'live1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'live2} \Rightarrow \text{'live2}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co1} \Rightarrow \text{'co1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co2} \Rightarrow \text{'co2}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra1} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra2} \Rightarrow \text{'contra2}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'live1}, \text{'live2}, \text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'fixed}) S \Rightarrow (\text{'live1}', \text{'live2}', \text{'co1}', \text{'co2}', \text{'contra1}', \text{'contra2}', \text{'fixed}) S \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**where**

$rel\text{-}S L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 = vimage2p Rep\text{-}S Rep\text{-}S (rel\text{-}G L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2)$

**definition**  $map\text{-}S :: (\text{'live1} \Rightarrow \text{'live1}') \Rightarrow (\text{'live2} \Rightarrow \text{'live2}') \Rightarrow (\text{'co1} \Rightarrow \text{'co1}') \Rightarrow (\text{'co2} \Rightarrow \text{'co2}') \Rightarrow (\text{'contra1} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1}') \Rightarrow (\text{'contra2} \Rightarrow \text{'contra2}') \Rightarrow (\text{'live1}, \text{'live2}, \text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'fixed}) S \Rightarrow (\text{'live1}', \text{'live2}', \text{'co1}', \text{'co2}', \text{'contra1}', \text{'contra2}', \text{'fixed}) S$

**where**

$map\text{-}S = (id \dashrightarrow id \dashrightarrow id \dashrightarrow id \dashrightarrow id \dashrightarrow id \dashrightarrow Rep\text{-}S \dashrightarrow Abs\text{-}S) map\text{-}G$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}S\text{-mono}$ :

$\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2 \rrbracket \implies rel\text{-}S L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 \leq rel\text{-}S L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2' \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}S\text{-eq}$ :  $rel\text{-}S (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rel\text{-}S\text{-conversep}$ :

$rel\text{-}S L1^{-1-1} L2^{-1-1} Co1^{-1-1} Co2^{-1-1} Contra1^{-1-1} Contra2^{-1-1} = (rel\text{-}S L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2)^{-1-1} \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}S\text{-id0}$ :  $map\text{-}S id id id id id id = id \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}S\text{-id}$ :  $map\text{-}S id id id id id id x = x \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\text{-}S\text{-comp}$ :

```

map-S l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2 o map-S l1' l2' co1' co2' contra1' contra2'
=
map-S (l1 o l1') (l2 o l2') (co1 o co1') (co2 o co2') (contra1' o contra1) (contra2'
o contra2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-S-parametric:
((L1 ==> L1') ==> (L2 ==> L2') ==> (Co1 ==> Co1') ==>
(Co2 ==> Co2') ==>
(Contra1' ==> Contra1) ==> (Contra2' ==> Contra2) ==>
rel-S L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 ==> rel-S L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1'
Contra2')
map-S map-S
⟨proof⟩

lemmas map-S-rel-cong = map-S-parametric[unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format, rotated -1]

end

definition rel-S-pos-distr-cond :: ('co1 => 'co1' => bool) => ('co1' => 'co1'' => bool)
=>
('co2 => 'co2' => bool) => ('co2' => 'co2'' => bool) =>
('contra1 => 'contra1' => bool) => ('contra1' => 'contra1'' => bool) =>
('contra2 => 'contra2' => bool) => ('contra2' => 'contra2'' => bool) =>
('l1 x 'l1' x 'l1'' x 'l2 x 'l2' x 'l2'' x 'f) itself => bool where
rel-S-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
↔
(∀(L1 :: 'l1 => 'l1' => bool) (L1' :: 'l1' => 'l1'' => bool)
(L2 :: 'l2 => 'l2' => bool) (L2' :: 'l2' => 'l2'' => bool).
(rel-S L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) S => -) OO
rel-S L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2' ≤
rel-S (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')
(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2'))

definition rel-S-neg-distr-cond :: ('co1 => 'co1' => bool) => ('co1' => 'co1'' => bool)
=>
('co2 => 'co2' => bool) => ('co2' => 'co2'' => bool) =>
('contra1 => 'contra1' => bool) => ('contra1' => 'contra1'' => bool) =>
('contra2 => 'contra2' => bool) => ('contra2' => 'contra2'' => bool) =>
('l1 x 'l1' x 'l1'' x 'l2 x 'l2' x 'l2'' x 'f) itself => bool where
rel-S-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
↔
(∀(L1 :: 'l1 => 'l1' => bool) (L1' :: 'l1' => 'l1'' => bool)
(L2 :: 'l2 => 'l2' => bool) (L2' :: 'l2' => 'l2'' => bool).
rel-S (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')
(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') ≤
(rel-S L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) S => -) OO
rel-S L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2')

```

**axiomatization where**

*rel-S-neg-distr-cond-eq:*

$$\bigwedge \text{tytok. } \text{rel-S-neg-distr-cond} (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) \text{tytok}$$

The subtype inherits the conditions for positive subdistributivity.

**lemma** *rel-S-pos-distr-imp:*

```
fixes Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool and Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
'contra1'' ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2'' ⇒ bool
and tytok-G :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f) itself
and tytok-S :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f) itself
assumes rel-G-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2
Contra2' tytok-G
shows rel-S-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Con-
tra2' tytok-S
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *rel-S-pos-distr-cond-eq:*

$$\bigwedge \text{tytok. } \text{rel-S-pos-distr-cond} (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) \text{tytok}$$

⟨proof⟩

**lemmas**

*rel-S-pos-distr* = *rel-S-pos-distr-cond-def*[THEN iffD1, rule-format] **and**  
*rel-S-neg-distr* = *rel-S-neg-distr-cond-def*[THEN iffD1, rule-format]

The following composition witness depends only on the abstract condition *rel-S-neg-distr-cond*, without additional assumptions.

**consts**

```
rel-S-witness :: ('l1 ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('l2 ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) S ×
('l1'', 'l2'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) S ⇒
('l1 × 'l1'', 'l2 × 'l2'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) S
```

**specification** (*rel-S-witness*)

```
rel-S-witness1: ∏ L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2'') × 'l2'' × 'f) itself)
(x :: ('l1, 'l2, -, -, -, -, 'f) S) (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', -, -, -, -, 'f) S).
[] rel-S-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
tytok;
rel-S L1 L2 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2
OO Contra2') x y [] ==>
```

```

rel-S ( $\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L1 x y$ ) ( $\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L2 x y$ ) Co1 Co2
Contra1 Contra2 x
  (rel-S-witness L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(x, y))
  rel-S-witness2: $\bigwedge L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'$ 
  (tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2'') × 'l2'' × 'f) itself)
  (x :: ('l1, 'l2, -, -, -, 'f) S) (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', -, -, -, 'f) S).
  [[ rel-S-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
  tytok;
    rel-S L1 L2 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2
    OO Contra2') x y ]]
  rel-S ( $\lambda(x, y'). y. y' = y \wedge L1 x y$ ) ( $\lambda(x, y'). y. y' = y \wedge L2 x y$ ) Co1' Co2'
Contra1' Contra2'
  (rel-S-witness L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(x, y)) y
  ⟨proof⟩

definition set1-S :: ('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) S ⇒ 'live1
set
  where set1-S = set1-G ∘ Rep-S

definition set2-S :: ('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) S ⇒ 'live2
set
  where set2-S = set2-G ∘ Rep-S

lemma rel-S-alt:
  rel-S L1 L2 (=) (=) (=) x y ↔ $(\exists z. (set1-S z \subseteq \{(x, y). L1 x y\} \wedge$ 
  set2-S z  $\subseteq \{(x, y). L2 x y\}) \wedge map-S fst fst id id id z = x \wedge map-S snd snd$ 
  id id id id z = y)
  ⟨proof⟩

bnf ('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) S
  map:  $\lambda l1 l2. map-S l1 l2 id id id id$ 
  sets: set1-S set2-S
  bd: bd-G :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) Gbd rel
  rel:  $\lambda L1 L2. rel-S L1 L2 (=) (=) (=)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 5.2 Closedness under zippings

**lemma** P-zip-closed: — This is **lift-bnf**'s property that is too strong.  
**assumes** P (mapl-G fst fst z) P (mapl-G snd snd z)  
**shows** P z  
 ⟨proof⟩

**consts** rel-S-neg-distr-cond' :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool)
 ⇒  
 ('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒  
 ('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒



```

fixes L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1" ⇒ bool and L2 :: 'l2 ⇒ 'l2" ⇒ bool
and Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1" ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1" ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2" ⇒ bool and Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2" ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1" ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
'contra1" ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2" ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2" ⇒ bool
and tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1") × 'l1" × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2") × 'l2" × 'f) itself
and x :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) S
assumes rel-S L1 L2 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1')
(Contra2 OO Contra2') x y
and rel-S-neg-distr-cond' Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
tytok
shows rel-S (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L1 x y) (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L2 x y) Co1 Co2
Contra1 Contra2 x
      (rel-S-witness' L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(x, y))
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** rel-S-witness'2:

```

fixes L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1" ⇒ bool and L2 :: 'l2 ⇒ 'l2" ⇒ bool
and Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1" ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1" ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2" ⇒ bool and Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2" ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1" ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
'contra1" ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2" ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2" ⇒ bool
and tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1") × 'l1" × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2") × 'l2" × 'f) itself
and x :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) S
assumes rel-S L1 L2 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1')
(Contra2 OO Contra2') x y
and rel-S-neg-distr-cond' Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
tytok
shows rel-S (λ(x, y'). y. y' = y ∧ L1 x y) (λ(x, y'). y. y' = y ∧ L2 x y) Co1'
Co2' Contra1' Contra2'
      (rel-S-witness' L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(x, y)) y
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** rel-S-neg-distr-imp:

```

fixes Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1" ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1" ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2" ⇒ bool and Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2" ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1" ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
'contra1" ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2" ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2" ⇒ bool
and tytok-S' :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1") × 'l1" × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2") × 'l2" × 'f)
itself
and tytok-S :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1" × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2" × 'f) itself

```

```

assumes rel-S-neg-distr-cond' Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2
Contra2' tytok-S'
shows rel-S-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Con-
tra2' tytok-S
⟨proof⟩

```

end

### 5.3 Subtypes of BNFs without co- and contravariance

If all variables are live, **lift-bnf**'s requirement *P-zip-closed* is equivalent to our closedness under zippings, and Popescu's weaker condition is equivalent to negative subdistributivity restricted to the subset.

**bnf-axiomatization** '*a H*

**consts** *Q* :: '*a H* ⇒ *bool*

**axiomatization where**

*Q-map*:  $\bigwedge x l. Q x \implies Q (\text{map-}H l x)$

**lemma** *Q-rel-H-zipping*:

**fixes** *x* :: '*a H* **and** *y* :: '*c H* **and** *z* :: ('*a* × '*c*) *H*

**assumes** *Q-zip*:  $\bigwedge z :: ('a \times 'c) H. [\![ Q (\text{map-}H \text{fst } z); Q (\text{map-}H \text{snd } z) ]\!] \implies Q z$

**and** *Q x and Q y and rel-H L x y*

**and related**:  $\text{rel-}H (\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L x y) x z \quad \text{rel-}H (\lambda (x, y') y. y' = y$

$\wedge L x y) z y$

**shows** *Q z*

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Q-zip*:

**fixes** *z* :: ('*a* × '*c*) *H*

**assumes** *Q-rel-H-zipping*:  $\bigwedge (L :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \neg) x y z.$

$[\![ Q x; Q y; \text{rel-}H L x y; \text{rel-}H (\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L x y) x z;$

$\text{rel-}H (\lambda (x, y') y. y' = y \wedge L x y) z y ]\!] \implies Q z$

**and** *Q (map-}H \text{fst } z)* **and** *Q (map-}H \text{snd } z)*

**shows** *Q z*

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Q-neg-distr*:

**fixes** *x* :: '*a H* **and** *y* :: '*c H*

**assumes** *Q-zip-weak*:  $\bigwedge z :: ('a \times 'c) H. [\![ Q (\text{map-}H \text{fst } z); Q (\text{map-}H \text{snd } z) ]\!]$

$\implies$

$\exists z'. Q z' \wedge \text{set-}H z' \subseteq \text{set-}H z \wedge \text{map-}H \text{fst } z' = \text{map-}H \text{fst } z \wedge \text{map-}H \text{snd } z' = \text{map-}H \text{snd } z$

**and** *Q x and Q y and related*:  $\text{rel-}H (L OO L') x y$

**shows**  $(\text{rel-}H L OO \text{eq-onp } Q OO \text{rel-}H L') x y$

⟨proof⟩

```

lemma Q-zip-weak:
  fixes  $z :: ('a \times 'c) H$ 
  assumes Q-neg-distr:  $\bigwedge (L :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \times 'c) \Rightarrow \neg) (L' :: ('a \times 'c) \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool})$ 
   $x \ y.$ 
     $\llbracket Q x; Q y; \text{rel-}H (L \ OO L') x \ y \rrbracket \implies (\text{rel-}H L \ OO \text{eq-onp } Q \ OO \text{rel-}H L') x \ y$ 
    and  $Q (\text{map-}H \text{fst } z)$  and  $Q (\text{map-}H \text{snd } z)$ 
    obtains  $z'$  where  $Q z' \text{ and } \text{set-}H z' \subseteq \text{set-}H z$ 
    and  $\text{map-}H \text{fst } z' = \text{map-}H \text{fst } z$  and  $\text{map-}H \text{snd } z' = \text{map-}H \text{snd } z$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

```

## 6 Quotient preservation

```

theory Quotient-Preservation imports
  Axiomatised-BNF-CC
begin

lemma G-Quotient:
  fixes  $T\text{-}l1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and  $T\text{-}l2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  and  $\text{tytok} :: ('l1 \times 'l1 \times 'l1 \times 'l2 \times 'l2 \times 'l2 \times 'f) \text{ itself}$ 
  assumes Quotient R-l1 Abs-l1 Rep-l1 T-l1 and Quotient R-l2 Abs-l2 Rep-l2 T-l2
  and Quotient R-co1 Abs-co1 Rep-co1 T-co1 and Quotient R-co2 Abs-co2
  Rep-co2 T-co2
  and Quotient R-contra1 Abs-contra1 Rep-contra1 T-contra1
  and Quotient R-contra2 Abs-contra2 Rep-contra2 T-contra2
  and rel-G-pos-distr-cond T-co1 T-co1^{-1-1} T-co2 T-co2^{-1-1} T-contra1 T-contra1^{-1-1}
  T-contra2 T-contra2^{-1-1}
  tytok
  shows Quotient (rel-G R-l1 R-l2 R-co1 R-co2 R-contra1 R-contra2)
  (map-G Abs-l1 Abs-l2 Abs-co1 Abs-co2 Rep-contra1 Rep-contra2)
  (map-G Rep-l1 Rep-l2 Rep-co1 Rep-co2 Abs-contra1 Abs-contra2)
  (rel-G T-l1 T-l2 T-co1 T-co2 T-contra1 T-contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) G \Rightarrow \neg)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

```

```

theory Operation-Examples imports
  Composition
  Fixpoints
  Subtypes
  Quotient-Preservation
begin
end

```

## 7 Concrete BNF<sub>CCS</sub>

**theory** *Concrete-Examples imports*

*Preliminaries*

*HOL-Library.Rewrite*

*HOL-Library.Cardinality*

**begin**

**context includes** *lifting-syntax*  
**begin**

### 7.1 Function space

**lemma** *rel-fun-mono*:  $(A \implies B) \leq (A' \implies B')$  **if**  $A' \leq A \quad B \leq B'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-fun-eq*:  $((=) \implies (=)) = (=)$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-fun-conversep*:  $(A^{-1-1} \implies B^{-1-1}) = (A \implies B)^{-1-1}$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-fun-id0*:  $(id \dashrightarrow id) = id$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-fun-comp*:  $(f \dashrightarrow g) \circ (f' \dashrightarrow g') = ((f' \circ f) \dashrightarrow (g \circ g'))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-fun-parametric*:  $((A \implies A') \implies (B \implies B')) \implies (A \implies B) \implies (A \implies B')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *rel-fun-pos-distr-cond* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a' \Rightarrow 'a'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('b \times 'b' \times 'b'') \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{rel-fun-pos-distr-cond } A \ A' \dashrightarrow (\forall (B :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (B' :: 'b' \Rightarrow 'b'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})).$   
 $(A \implies B) \ OO (A' \implies B') \leq (A \ OO A') \implies (B \ OO B')$

**definition** *rel-fun-neg-distr-cond* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a' \Rightarrow 'a'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$   
 $('b \times 'b' \times 'b'') \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{rel-fun-neg-distr-cond } A \ A' \dashrightarrow (\forall (B :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (B' :: 'b' \Rightarrow 'b'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})).$   
 $(A \ OO A') \implies (B \ OO B') \leq (A \implies B) \ OO (A' \implies B')$

**lemmas**

*rel-fun-pos-distr* = *rel-fun-pos-distr-cond-def[THEN iffD1, rule-format]* **and**  
*rel-fun-neg-distr* = *rel-fun-neg-distr-cond-def[THEN iffD1, rule-format]*

**lemma** *rel-fun-pos-distr-iff* [*simp*]: *rel-fun-pos-distr-cond*  $A \ A'$  *tytok* = *True*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-fun-neg-distr-imp*:  $\llbracket \text{left-unique } A; \text{right-total } A; \text{right-unique } A'; \text{left-total } A' \rrbracket \implies$

```

rel-fun-neg-distr-cond A A' tytok
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-fun-pos-distr-cond-eq: rel-fun-pos-distr-cond (=) (=) tytok
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-fun-neg-distr-cond-eq: rel-fun-neg-distr-cond (=) (=) tytok
⟨proof⟩

thm fun.set-map fun.map-cong0 fun.rel-mono-strong

```

## 7.2 Covariant powerset

```

lemma rel-set-mono: A ≤ A' ==> rel-set A ≤ rel-set A' ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-set-eq: rel-set (=) = (=) ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-set-conversep: rel-set A-1-1 = (rel-set A)-1-1 ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-set-id0: image id = id ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-set-comp: image f ∘ image g = image (f ∘ g) ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-set-parametric: includes lifting-syntax shows
  ((A ==> B) ==> rel-set A ==> rel-set B) image image
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rel-set-pos-distr-cond :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  bool where
  rel-set-pos-distr-cond A A' ←→ rel-set A OO rel-set A' ≤ rel-set (A OO A')

definition rel-set-neg-distr-cond :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  bool where
  rel-set-neg-distr-cond A A' ←→ rel-set (A OO A') ≤ rel-set A OO rel-set A'

lemmas
  rel-set-pos-distr = rel-set-pos-distr-cond-def[THEN iffD1, rule-format] and
  rel-set-neg-distr = rel-set-neg-distr-cond-def[THEN iffD1, rule-format]

lemma rel-set-pos-distr-iff [simp]: rel-set-pos-distr-cond A A' = True
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-set-neg-distr-iff [simp]: rel-set-neg-distr-cond A A' = True
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-set-pos-distr-eq: rel-set-pos-distr-cond (=) (=)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-set-neg-distr-eq: rel-set-neg-distr-cond (=) (=)

```

*(proof)*

### 7.3 Bounded sets

We define bounded sets as a subtype, with an additional fixed parameter which controls the bound. Using the  $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$  structure on the covariant powerset functor, it suffices to show the preconditions for the closedness of  $\text{BNF}_{\text{CC}}$  under subtypes.

```
typedef ('a, 'k) bset = {A :: 'a set. finite A ∧ card A ≤ CARD('k)}
```

**setup-lifting** *type-definition-bset*

**lemma** *bset-map-closed*:

**fixes** *f A*

**defines** *B* ≡ *image f A*

**assumes** *finite A ∧ card A ≤ CARD('k)*

**shows** *finite B ∧ card B ≤ CARD('k)*

*(proof)*

**lift-definition** *map-bset* :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a, 'k) bset ⇒ ('b, 'k) bset **is** *image*

*(proof)*

**lift-definition** *rel-bset* :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a, 'k) bset ⇒ ('b, 'k) bset ⇒ bool  
**is** *rel-set* *(proof)*

**definition** *neg-distr-cond-bset* :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'c ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'k itself  
**⇒** bool **where**

*neg-distr-cond-bset C C' - ←→ rel-bset (C OO C') ≤ rel-bset C OO (rel-bset C'  
:: (-, 'k) bset ⇒ -)*

**lemma** *right-unique-rel-set-lemma*:

**assumes** *right-unique R and rel-set R X Y*

**obtains** *f where Y = image f X and ∀x∈X. R x (f x)*

*(proof)*

**lemma** *left-unique-rel-set-lemma*:

**assumes** *left-unique R and rel-set R Y X*

**obtains** *f where Y = image f X and ∀x∈X. R (f x) x*

*(proof)*

**lemma** *neg-distr-cond-bset-right-unique*:

*right-unique C ⇒ neg-distr-cond-bset C D tytok*

*(proof)*

**lemma** *neg-distr-cond-bset-left-unique*:

*left-unique D ⇒ neg-distr-cond-bset C D tytok*

*(proof)*

**lemma** neg-distr-cond-bset-eq: neg-distr-cond-bset (=) (=) tytok  
*⟨proof⟩*

## 7.4 Contravariant powerset (sets as predicates)

**type-synonym** 'a pred = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool

**definition** map-pred :: ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a pred  $\Rightarrow$  'b pred **where**  
 $map\text{-}pred\ f = (f \dashrightarrow id)$

**definition** rel-pred :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a pred  $\Rightarrow$  'b pred  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $rel\text{-}pred\ R = (R \dashrightarrow (\dashrightarrow))$

**lemma** rel-pred-mono:  $A' \leq A \implies rel\text{-}pred\ A \leq rel\text{-}pred\ A'$  *⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** rel-pred-eq: rel-pred (=) = (=)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** rel-pred-conversep: rel-pred  $A^{-1-1} = (rel\text{-}pred\ A)^{-1-1}$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** map-pred-id0: map-pred id = id  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** map-pred-comp: map-pred f  $\circ$  map-pred g = map-pred (g  $\circ$  f)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** map-pred-parametric:  $((A' \dashrightarrow A) \dashrightarrow rel\text{-}pred\ A \dashrightarrow rel\text{-}pred\ A')$  map-pred map-pred  
*⟨proof⟩*

**definition** rel-pred-pos-distr-cond :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a'  $\Rightarrow$  'a''  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $rel\text{-}pred\text{-}pos\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\ A\ B \dashrightarrow rel\text{-}pred\ A\ OO\ rel\text{-}pred\ B \leq rel\text{-}pred\ (A\ OO\ B)$

**definition** rel-pred-neg-distr-cond :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a'  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a'  $\Rightarrow$  'a''  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $rel\text{-}pred\text{-}neg\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\ A\ B \dashrightarrow rel\text{-}pred\ (A\ OO\ B) \leq rel\text{-}pred\ A\ OO\ rel\text{-}pred\ B$

**lemmas**

$rel\text{-}pred\text{-}pos\text{-}distr = rel\text{-}pred\text{-}pos\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\text{-}def[THEN\ iffD1,\ rule\text{-}format]$  **and**  
 $rel\text{-}pred\text{-}neg\text{-}distr = rel\text{-}pred\text{-}neg\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\text{-}def[THEN\ iffD1,\ rule\text{-}format]$

**lemma** rel-pred-pos-distr-iff [simp]: rel-pred-pos-distr-cond A B = True  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** rel-pred-pos-distr-cond-eq: rel-pred-pos-distr-cond (=) (=)  
*⟨proof⟩*

```

lemma neg-fun-distr3:
  assumes 1: left-unique R right-total R
  and 2: right-unique S left-total S
  shows rel-fun (R OO R') (S OO S') ≤ rel-fun R S OO rel-fun R' S'
  ⟨proof⟩

As there are no live variables, we can get a weaker condition than if we derived it from (===>)’s condition!

lemma rel-pred-neg-distr-imp:
  right-unique B ∧ left-total B ∨ left-unique A ∧ right-total A ==> rel-pred-neg-distr-cond
  A B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-pred-neg-distr-cond-eq: rel-pred-neg-distr-cond (=) (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma left-unique-rel-pred: left-total A ==> left-unique (rel-pred A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma right-unique-rel-pred: right-total A ==> right-unique (rel-pred A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma left-total-rel-pred: left-unique A ==> left-total (rel-pred A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma right-total-rel-pred: right-unique A ==> right-total (rel-pred A)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 7.5 Filter

Similarly to bounded sets, we exploit the definition of filters as a subtype in order to lift the BNF<sub>CC</sub> operations. Here we use that the *is-filter* predicate is closed under zippings.

```

lemma map-filter-closed:
  includes lifting-syntax
  assumes is-filter F
  shows is-filter (((f ---> id) ---> id) F)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  bool where
  rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond A B ←→
  rel-pred (rel-pred (A OO B)) ≤ rel-pred (rel-pred A) OO rel-pred (rel-pred B)

```

```

consts rel-pred2-witness :: ('a ⇒ 'a' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a' ⇒ 'a'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
          (('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool) × (('a'' ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a' ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool

specification (rel-pred2-witness)
  rel-pred2-witness1: ∀K K' x y. [ rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond K K'; rel-pred (rel-pred
  (K OO K')) x y ] ==>
    rel-pred (rel-pred K) x (rel-pred2-witness K K' (x, y))
  rel-pred2-witness2: ∀K K' x y. [ rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond K K'; rel-pred (rel-pred
  (K OO K')) x y ] ==>
    rel-pred (rel-pred K') (rel-pred2-witness K K' (x, y)) y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas rel-pred2-witness = rel-pred2-witness1 rel-pred2-witness2

context includes lifting-syntax
begin

definition rel-filter-neg-distr-cond': ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'c ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool
where
  rel-filter-neg-distr-cond' C C' ←→ left-total C ∧ right-unique C ∨ right-total C'
  ∧ left-unique C'

lemma rel-filter-neg-distr-cond'-stronger:
  assumes rel-filter-neg-distr-cond' C C'
  shows rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond C C'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-filter-neg-distr-cond'-eq: rel-filter-neg-distr-cond' (=) (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-filter-rel-witness:
  assumes F: is-filter F and G: is-filter G
  and FG: rel-pred (rel-pred (C OO C')) F G
  and cond: rel-filter-neg-distr-cond' C C'
  shows is-filter (rel-pred2-witness C C' (F, G))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

The following example shows that filters do not satisfy lift-bnf's condition.

experiment begin
unbundle lifting-syntax

definition raw-filtermap f = ((f ---> id) ---> id)

lemma raw-filtermap-apply: raw-filtermap f F = (λP. F (λx. P (f x)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap f = Abs-filter ∘ raw-filtermap f ∘ Rep-filter

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $Z$  **where**

$$Z = \{\{(False, False), (False, True)\}, \{(False, False), (True, False)\}, \\ \{(False, False), (False, True), (True, False), (True, True)\}\}$$

**abbreviation**  $Z' \equiv (\lambda P. \text{Collect } P \in Z)$

**lemma** *is-filter* (*raw-filtermap fst Z'*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *is-filter* (*raw-filtermap snd Z'*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\neg \text{is-filter } Z'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 7.6 Almost-everywhere equal sequences

**inductive** *aeseq-eq* ::  $(nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **for**  $f g$  **where**  
*aeseq-eq f g if finite {n. f n ≠ g n}*

**lemma** *equivp-aeseq-eq*: *equivp aeseq-eq*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**quotient-type**  $'a \text{ aeseq} = nat \Rightarrow 'a / \text{aeseq-eq}$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *map-aeseq* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ aeseq} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ aeseq}$  **is**  $(\circ)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-aeseq-id*: *map-aeseq id x = x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-aeseq-comp*: *map-aeseq f (map-aeseq g x) = map-aeseq (f ∘ g) x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *rel-aeseq* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ aeseq} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ aeseq} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **is**  
 $\lambda R f g. \text{finite } \{n. \neg R (f n) (g n)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-aeseq-mono*:  $R \leq S \implies \text{rel-aeseq } R \leq \text{rel-aeseq } S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-aeseq-eq*: *rel-aeseq (=) = (=)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-aeseq-conversep*: *rel-aeseq R⁻¹⁻¹ = (rel-aeseq R)⁻¹⁻¹*

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma map-aeseq-parametric: includes lifting-syntax shows
  ((A ==> B) ==> rel-aeseq A ==> rel-aeseq B) map-aeseq map-aeseq
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-aeseq-distr: rel-aeseq (R OO S) = rel-aeseq R OO rel-aeseq S
  ⟨proof⟩

end
```

## 8 Example: deterministic discrete system

```
theory DDS imports
```

*Concrete-Examples*  
*HOL-Library.Rewrite*  
*HOL-Library.FSet*

```
begin
```

```
unbundle lifting-syntax
```

### 8.1 Definition and generalised mapper and relator

```
codatatype ('a, 'b) dds = DDS (run: 'a => 'b × ('a, 'b) dds)
  for map: map-dds'
    rel: rel-dds'

primcorec map-dds :: ('a' => 'a) => ('b => 'b') => ('a, 'b) dds => ('a', 'b') dds
  where
    run (map-dds f g S) = (λa. map-prod g (map-dds f g)) (run S (f a))

lemma map-dds-id: map-dds id id S = S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-dds-comp: map-dds f g (map-dds f' g' S) = map-dds (f' ∘ f) (g ∘ g')
  S
  ⟨proof⟩

coinductive rel-dds :: ('a => 'a => bool) => ('b => 'b => bool) => ('a, 'b) dds =>
  ('a', 'b') dds => bool
  for A B where
    rel-dds A B S S' if rel-fun A (rel-prod B (rel-dds A B)) (run S) (run S')

lemma rel-dds'-rel-dds: rel-dds' B = rel-dds (=) B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-dds-eq [relator-eq]: rel-dds (=) (=) = (=)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *rel-dds-mono* [relator-mono]:  $\text{rel-dds } A \ B \leq \text{rel-dds } A' \ B'$  if  $A' \leq A \quad B \leq B'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-dds-conversep*:  $\text{rel-dds } A^{-1-1} \ B^{-1-1} = (\text{rel-dds } A \ B)^{-1-1}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DDS-parametric* [transfer-rule]:  
 $((A \implies \text{rel-prod } B \ (\text{rel-dds } A \ B)) \implies \text{rel-dds } A \ B) \text{ DDS DDS}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *run-parametric* [transfer-rule]:  
 $(\text{rel-dds } A \ B \implies A \implies \text{rel-prod } B \ (\text{rel-dds } A \ B)) \text{ run run}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *corec-dds-parametric* [transfer-rule]:  
 $((S \implies A \implies \text{rel-prod } B \ (\text{rel-sum } (\text{rel-dds } A \ B) \ S)) \implies S \implies \text{rel-dds } A \ B) \text{ corec-dds corec-dds}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-dds-parametric* [transfer-rule]:  
 $((A' \implies A) \implies (B \implies B') \implies \text{rel-dds } A \ B \implies \text{rel-dds } A' \ B')$   
*map-dds map-dds*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *map-dds-rel-cong* = *map-dds-parametric*[unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format,  
rotated -1]

**lemma** *rel-dds-Grp*:  
 $\text{rel-dds } (\text{Grp UNIV } f)^{-1-1} \ (\text{Grp UNIV } g) = \text{Grp UNIV } (\text{map-dds } f \ g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-dds-pos-distr* [relator-distr]:  
 $\text{rel-dds } A \ B \ OO \ \text{rel-dds } C \ D \leq \text{rel-dds } (A \ OO \ C) \ (B \ OO \ D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Quotient-dds* [quot-map]:  
**assumes** *Quotient R1 Abs1 Rep1 T1* and *Quotient R2 Abs2 Rep2 T2*  
**shows** *Quotient (rel-dds R1 R2) (map-dds Rep1 Abs2) (map-dds Abs1 Rep2)*  
 $(\text{rel-dds } T1 \ T2)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This is just the co-iterator.

**primcorec** *dds-of* ::  $('s \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 's)) \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)$  *dds* **where**  
 $\text{run } (\text{dds-of } f \ s) = \text{map-prod id } (\text{dds-of } f) \circ f \ s$

**lemma** *dds-of-parametric* [transfer-rule]:  
 $((S \implies A \implies \text{rel-prod } B \ S) \implies S \implies \text{rel-dds } A \ B) \text{ dds-of dds-of}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 8.2 Evenness of partial sums

```
definition even-psum :: (int, bool) dds where
  even-psum = dds-of (λpsum n. (even (psum + n), psum + n)) 0
```

```
definition even-psum-nat :: (nat, bool) dds where
  even-psum-nat = map-dds int id even-psum
```

## 8.3 Composition

```
primcorec compose :: ('a, 'b) dds ⇒ ('b, 'c) dds ⇒ ('a, 'c) dds (infixl ◊◊ 120)
where
  run (S1 · S2) = (λa. let (b, S1') = run S1 a; (c, S2') = run S2 b in (c, S1' · S2'))
```

```
lemma compose-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (rel-dds A B ==> rel-dds B C ==> rel-dds A C) (•) (•)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

For the following lemma, a direct proof by induction is easy as the inner functor of the *dds* codatatype is fairly simple.

```
lemma map-dds f g S1 · S2 = map-dds f id (S1 · map-dds g id S2)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

However, we can also follow the systematic route via parametricity:

```
lemma compose-map1: map-dds f g S1 · S2 = map-dds f id (S1 · map-dds g id S2)
for S1 :: ('a, 'b) dds and S2 :: ('b, 'c) dds
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma compose-map2: S1 · map-dds f g S2 = map-dds id g (map-dds id f S1 · S2)
for S1 :: ('a, 'b) dds and S2 :: ('b, 'c) dds
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
primcorec parallel :: ('a, 'b) dds ⇒ ('c, 'd) dds ⇒ ('a + 'c, 'b + 'd) dds (infixr
  ◊◊ 130) where
  run (S1 || S2) = (λx. case x of
    Inl a ⇒ let (b, S1') = run S1 a in (Inl b, S1' || S2)
    | Inr c ⇒ let (d, S2') = run S2 c in (Inr d, S1 || S2'))
```

```
lemma parallel-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (rel-dds A B ==> rel-dds C D ==> rel-dds (rel-sum A C) (rel-sum B D))
  (||) (||)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma map-parallel:
  map-dds f h S1 || map-dds g k S2 = map-dds (map-sum f g) (map-sum h k) (S1
  || S2)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

## 8.4 Graph traversal: refinement and quotients

```

lemma finite-Image:
  finite A  $\implies$  finite ( $R \text{ `` } A$ )  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \text{finite } \{y. (x, y) \in R\})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context includes fset.lifting begin
lift-definition fImage :: ('a × 'b) fset  $\Rightarrow$  'a fset  $\Rightarrow$  'b fset is Image parametric
  Image-parametric
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas fImage-iff = Image-iff[Transfer.transferred]
lemmas fImageI [intro] = ImageI[Transfer.transferred]
lemmas fImageE [elim!] = ImageE[Transfer.transferred]
lemmas rev-fImageI = rev-ImageI[Transfer.transferred]
lemmas fImage-mono = Image-mono[Transfer.transferred]

lifting-update fset.lifting
lifting-forget fset.lifting
end

type-synonym 'a graph = ('a × 'a) fset

definition traverse :: 'a graph  $\Rightarrow$  ('a fset, 'a fset) dds where
  traverse E = dds-of (λvisited A. ((fImage E A) |−| visited, visited ∪ A)) {||}

type-synonym 'a graph' = ('a × 'a) list

definition traverse-impl :: 'a graph'  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list, 'a list) dds where
  traverse-impl E =
    dds-of (λvisited A. (map snd [(x, y) ← E . x ∈ set A ∧ y ∉ visited], visited ∪ fset-of-list A)) {||}

definition list-fset-rel :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a fset  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  list-fset-rel xs A  $\longleftrightarrow$  fset-of-list xs = A

lemma traverse-refinement: — This is the refinement lemma.
  (list-fset-rel ==> rel-dds list-fset-rel list-fset-rel) traverse-impl traverse
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fset-of-list-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (list-all2 A ==> rel-fset A) fset-of-list fset-of-list
  including fset.lifting  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma traverse-impl-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-unique A
  shows (list-all2 (rel-prod A A) ==> rel-dds (list-all2 A) (list-all2 A)) traverse-impl traverse-impl
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

By constructing finite sets as a quotient of lists, we can synthesise an abstract version of *traverse-impl* automatically, together with a polymorphic refinement lemma.

```
quotient-type 'a fset' = 'a list / vimage2p set set (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition traverse'' :: ('a × 'a) fset' ⇒ ('a fset', 'a fset') dds
  is traverse-impl :: 'a graph' ⇒ - parametric traverse-impl-parametric
  ⟨proof⟩
```

## 8.5 Generalised rewriting

```
definition accumulate :: ('a fset, 'a fset) dds where
  accumulate = dds-of (λA X. (A |∪| X, A |∪| X)) {||}

lemma accumulate-mono: rel-dds (|≤|) (|≤|) accumulate accumulate
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma traverse-mono: ((|≤|) ==> rel-dds (=) (|≤|)) traverse traverse
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  assumes G |≤| H
  shows rel-dds (=) (|≤|) (traverse G ∙ accumulate) (traverse H ∙ accumulate)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition seen :: ('a fset, 'a fset) dds where
  seen = dds-of (λS X. (S |∩| X, S |∪| X)) {||}

lemma seen-mono: rel-dds (|≤|) (|≤|) seen seen
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  assumes G |≤| H
  shows rel-dds (=) (|≤|) (traverse G ∙ seen) (traverse H ∙ seen)
  ⟨proof⟩

end
```

## References

- [1] J. C. Blanchette, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. Operations on bounded natural functors. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Dec. 2017. [http://isa-afp.org/entries/BNF\\_Operations.html](http://isa-afp.org/entries/BNF_Operations.html), Formal proof development.

- [2] A. Lochbihler and J. Schneider. Relational parametricity and quotient preservation for modular (co)datatypes. In J. Avigad and A. Mahboubi, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2018)*, LNCS. Springer, 2018.