

Automatic Data Refinement

Peter Lammich

March 19, 2025

Abstract

We present the Autoref tool for Isabelle/HOL, which automatically refines algorithms specified over abstract concepts like maps and sets to algorithms over concrete implementations like red-black-trees, and produces a refinement theorem. It is based on ideas borrowed from relational parametricity due to Reynolds and Wadler. The tool allows for rapid prototyping of verified, executable algorithms. Moreover, it can be configured to fine-tune the result to the user's needs. Our tool is able to automatically instantiate generic algorithms, which greatly simplifies the implementation of executable data structures.

This AFP-entry provides the basic tool, which is then used by the Refinement and Collection Framework to provide automatic data refinement for the nondeterminism monad and various collection data-structures.

Contents

1 Parametricity Solver	5
1.1 Relators	5
1.1.1 Basic Definitions	5
1.1.2 Basic HOL Relators	6
1.1.3 Automation	12
1.1.4 Setup	17
1.1.5 Invariant and Abstraction	21
1.1.6 Miscellaneous	22
1.1.7 Conversion between Predicate and Set Based Relators	22
1.1.8 More Properties	24
1.2 Basic Parametricity Reasoning	25
1.2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas	25
1.2.2 ML-Setup	25
1.2.3 Convenience Tools	31
1.3 Parametricity Theorems for HOL	32
1.3.1 Sets	32
1.3.2 Standard HOL Constructs	34
1.3.3 Functions	34
1.3.4 Boolean	34
1.3.5 Nat	35
1.3.6 Int	35
1.3.7 Product	36
1.3.8 Option	37
1.3.9 Sum	38
1.3.10 List	39
2 Automatic Refinement	45
2.1 Automatic Refinement Tool	45
2.1.1 Standard setup	45
2.1.2 Tools	46
2.1.3 Advanced Debugging	47
2.2 Standard HOL Bindings	49
2.2.1 Structural Expansion	49

2.2.2	Booleans	50
2.2.3	Standard Type Classes	51
2.2.4	Functional Combinators	52
2.2.5	Unit	53
2.2.6	Nat	53
2.2.7	Int	54
2.2.8	Product	54
2.2.9	Option	55
2.2.10	Sum-Types	56
2.2.11	List	57
2.2.12	Examples	61
2.3	Entry Point for the Automatic Refinement Tool	62
2.3.1	Convenience	62

Chapter 1

Parametricity Solver

1.1 Relators

```
theory Relators
imports ..../Lib/Refine-Lib
begin
```

We define the concept of relators. The relation between a concrete type and an abstract type is expressed by a relation of type $('c \times 'a) \text{ set}$. For each composed type, say '*a list*', we can define a *relator*, that takes as argument a relation for the element type, and returns a relation for the list type. For most datatypes, there exists a *natural relator*. For algebraic datatypes, this is the relator that preserves the structure of the datatype, and changes the components. For example, $\text{list-rel}:('c \times 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('c \text{ list} \times 'a \text{ list}) \text{ set}$ is the natural relator for lists.

However, relators can also be used to change the representation, and thus relate an implementation with an abstract type. For example, the relator $\text{list-set-rel}:('c \times 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('c \text{ list} \times 'a \text{ set}) \text{ set}$ relates lists with the set of their elements.

In this theory, we define some basic notions for relators, and then define natural relators for all HOL-types, including the function type. For each relator, we also show a single-valuedness property, and initialize a solver for single-valued properties.

1.1.1 Basic Definitions

For smoother handling of relator unification, we require relator arguments to be applied by a special operator, such that we avoid higher-order unification problems. We try to set up some syntax to make this more transparent, and give relators a type-like prefix-syntax.

```
definition relAPP
:: (('c1 \times 'a1) set  $\Rightarrow$  -)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c1 \times 'a1) set  $\Rightarrow$  -
```

where $\text{relAPP } f \ x \equiv f \ x$

syntax $\text{-rel-APP} :: \text{args} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ (\langle\langle\rangle\rangle \cdot [0,900] \ 900)$

syntax-consts $\text{-rel-APP} == \text{relAPP}$

translations

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, xs \rangle R &== \langle xs \rangle (\text{CONST relAPP } R \ x) \\ \langle x \rangle R &== \text{CONST relAPP } R \ x\end{aligned}$$

ML ‹

```
structure Refine-Relators-Thms = struct
  structure rel-comb-def-rules = Named-Thms (
    val name = @{binding refine-rel-defs}
    val description = "Refinement Framework: ^"
    Relator definitions
  );
end
›
```

setup $\text{Refine-Relators-Thms.rel-comb-def-rules.setup}$

1.1.2 Basic HOL Relators

Function

definition fun-rel **where**

$\text{fun-rel-def-internal}: \text{fun-rel } A \ B \equiv \{ (f, f') . \forall (a, a') \in A. (f \ a, f' \ a') \in B \}$
abbreviation fun-rel-syn (**infixr** $\leftrightarrow\rightarrow$ 60) **where** $A \rightarrow B \equiv \langle A, B \rangle \text{fun-rel}$

lemma $\text{fun-rel-def}[\text{refine-rel-defs}]:$

$A \rightarrow B \equiv \{ (f, f') . \forall (a, a') \in A. (f \ a, f' \ a') \in B \}$
by (*simp add: relAPP-def fun-rel-def-internal*)

lemma $\text{fun-relI}[intro!]: [\forall a \ a'. (a, a') \in A \implies (f \ a, f' \ a') \in B] \implies (f, f') \in A \rightarrow B$
by (*auto simp: fun-rel-def*)

lemma $\text{fun-relD}:$

shows $((f, f') \in (A \rightarrow B)) \implies (\forall x \ x'. [\forall (x, x') \in A] \implies (f \ x, f' \ x') \in B)$
apply rule
by (*auto simp: fun-rel-def*)

lemma $\text{fun-relD1}:$

assumes $(f, f') \in Ra \rightarrow Rr$
assumes $f \ x = r$
shows $\forall x \ x'. (x, x') \in Ra \longrightarrow (r, f' \ x') \in Rr$
using assms by (*auto simp: fun-rel-def*)

```

lemma fun-relD2:
  assumes  $(f,f') \in Ra \rightarrow Rr$ 
  assumes  $f' x' = r'$ 
  shows  $\forall x. (x,x') \in Ra \longrightarrow (f x, r') \in Rr$ 
  using assms by (auto simp: fun-rel-def)

lemma fun-relE1:
  assumes  $(f,f') \in Id \rightarrow Rv$ 
  assumes  $t' = f' x$ 
  shows  $(f x, t') \in Rv$  using assms
  by (auto elim: fun-relD)

lemma fun-relE2:
  assumes  $(f,f') \in Id \rightarrow Rv$ 
  assumes  $t = f x$ 
  shows  $(t, f' x) \in Rv$  using assms
  by (auto elim: fun-relD)

```

Terminal Types

abbreviation unit-rel :: $(unit \times unit)$ set **where** unit-rel == Id

abbreviation nat-rel \equiv Id::(nat \times -) set
abbreviation int-rel \equiv Id::(int \times -) set
abbreviation bool-rel \equiv Id::(bool \times -) set

Product

definition prod-rel **where**
 prod-rel-def-internal: prod-rel R1 R2
 $\equiv \{ ((a,b),(a',b')) . (a,a') \in R1 \wedge (b,b') \in R2 \}$

abbreviation prod-rel-syn (infixr \times_r 70) **where** $a \times_r b \equiv \langle a, b \rangle$ prod-rel

lemma prod-rel-def[refine-rel-defs]:
 $((R1,R2)$ prod-rel) $\equiv \{ ((a,b),(a',b')) . (a,a') \in R1 \wedge (b,b') \in R2 \}$
 by (simp add: prod-rel-def-internal relAPP-def)

lemma prod-relI: $\llbracket (a,a') \in R1; (b,b') \in R2 \rrbracket \implies ((a,b),(a',b')) \in \langle R1, R2 \rangle$ prod-rel
 by (auto simp: prod-rel-def)

lemma prod-relE:
 assumes $(p,p') \in \langle R1, R2 \rangle$ prod-rel
 obtains a b a' b' where p=(a,b) and p'=(a',b')
 and $(a,a') \in R1$ and $(b,b') \in R2$
 using assms
 by (auto simp: prod-rel-def)

lemma prod-rel-simp[simp]:
 $((a,b),(a',b')) \in \langle R1, R2 \rangle$ prod-rel $\longleftrightarrow (a,a') \in R1 \wedge (b,b') \in R2$
 by (auto intro: prod-relI elim: prod-relE)

```
lemma in-Domain-prod-rel-iff[iff]:  $(a,b) \in \text{Domain } (A \times_r B) \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{Domain } A \wedge b \in \text{Domain } B$ 
  by (auto simp: prod-rel-def)
```

```
lemma prod-rel-comp:  $(A \times_r B) O (C \times_r D) = (A O C) \times_r (B O D)$ 
  unfolding prod-rel-def
  by auto
```

Option

```
definition option-rel where
```

```
option-rel-def-internal:
```

```
 $\langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \equiv \{ (\text{Some } a, \text{Some } a') \mid a a'. (a,a') \in R \} \cup \{(\text{None}, \text{None})\}$ 
```

```
lemma option-rel-def[refine-rel-defs]:
```

```
 $\langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \equiv \{ (\text{Some } a, \text{Some } a') \mid a a'. (a,a') \in R \} \cup \{(\text{None}, \text{None})\}$ 
  by (simp add: option-rel-def-internal relAPP-def)
```

```
lemma option-relI:
```

```
 $(\text{None}, \text{None}) \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel}$ 
 $\llbracket (a, a') \in R \rrbracket \implies (\text{Some } a, \text{Some } a') \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel}$ 
  by (auto simp: option-rel-def)
```

```
lemma option-relE:
```

```
assumes  $(x, x') \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel}$ 
obtains  $x = \text{None}$  and  $x' = \text{None}$ 
|  $a a'$  where  $x = \text{Some } a$  and  $x' = \text{Some } a'$  and  $(a, a') \in R$ 
using assms by (auto simp: option-rel-def)
```

```
lemma option-rel-simp[simp]:
```

```
 $(\text{None}, a) \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \longleftrightarrow a = \text{None}$ 
 $(c, \text{None}) \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \longleftrightarrow c = \text{None}$ 
 $(\text{Some } x, \text{Some } y) \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in R$ 
  by (auto intro: option-relI elim: option-relE)
```

Sum

```
definition sum-rel where sum-rel-def-internal:
```

```
sum-rel Rl Rr
```

```
 $\equiv \{ (\text{Inl } a, \text{Inl } a') \mid a a'. (a, a') \in Rl \} \cup$ 
 $\{ (\text{Inr } a, \text{Inr } a') \mid a a'. (a, a') \in Rr \}$ 
```

```
lemma sum-rel-def[refine-rel-defs]:
```

```
 $\langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel} \equiv$ 
 $\{ (\text{Inl } a, \text{Inl } a') \mid a a'. (a, a') \in Rl \} \cup$ 
 $\{ (\text{Inr } a, \text{Inr } a') \mid a a'. (a, a') \in Rr \}$ 
  by (simp add: sum-rel-def-internal relAPP-def)
```

```
lemma sum-rel-simp[simp]:
```

```

 $\wedge a a'. (Inl a, Inl a') \in \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel} \longleftrightarrow (a, a') \in Rl$ 
 $\wedge a a'. (Inr a, Inr a') \in \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel} \longleftrightarrow (a, a') \in Rr$ 
 $\wedge a a'. (Inl a, Inr a') \notin \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel}$ 
 $\wedge a a'. (Inr a, Inl a') \notin \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel}$ 
unfolding sum-rel-def by auto

```

```

lemma sum-relI:
   $(l, l') \in Rl \implies (Inl l, Inl l') \in \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel}$ 
   $(r, r') \in Rr \implies (Inr r, Inr r') \in \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel}$ 
  by simp-all

lemma sum-relE:
  assumes  $(x, x') \in \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel}$ 
  obtains
     $l l' \text{ where } x = Inl l \text{ and } x' = Inl l' \text{ and } (l, l') \in Rl$ 
     $| r r' \text{ where } x = Inr r \text{ and } x' = Inr r' \text{ and } (r, r') \in Rr$ 
  using assms by (auto simp: sum-rel-def)

```

Lists

```

definition list-rel where list-rel-def-internal:
  list-rel  $R \equiv \{(l, l'). \text{list-all2 } (\lambda x x'. (x, x') \in R) l l'\}$ 

lemma list-rel-def[refine-rel-defs]:
   $\langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \equiv \{(l, l'). \text{list-all2 } (\lambda x x'. (x, x') \in R) l l'\}$ 
  by (simp add: list-rel-def-internal relAPP-def)

lemma list-rel-induct[induct set, consumes 1, case-names Nil Cons]:
  assumes  $(l, l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
  assumes  $P [] []$ 
  assumes  $\wedge x x' l l'. [(x, x') \in R; (l, l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}; P l l'] \implies P (x \# l) (x' \# l')$ 
  shows  $P l l'$ 
  using assms unfolding list-rel-def
  apply simp
  by (rule list-all2-induct)

lemma list-rel-eq-listrel: list-rel = listrel
  apply (rule ext)
  apply safe
proof goal-cases
  case  $(1 x a b)$  thus ?case
    unfolding list-rel-def-internal
    apply simp
    apply (induct a b rule: list-all2-induct)
    apply (auto intro: listrel.intros)
    done
next
  case ?case thus ?case

```

```

apply (induct)
apply (auto simp: list-rel-def-internal)
done
qed

lemma list-relI:
   $([],[]) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
   $\llbracket (x,x') \in R; (l,l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rrbracket \implies (x \# l, x' \# l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
  by (auto simp: list-rel-def)

lemma list-rel-simp[simp]:
   $([],l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \longleftrightarrow l' = []$ 
   $(l,[]) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \longleftrightarrow l = []$ 
   $([],[]) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
   $(x \# l, x' \# l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \longleftrightarrow (x,x') \in R \wedge (l,l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
  by (auto simp: list-rel-def)

lemma list-relE1:
  assumes  $(l,[]) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$  obtains  $l = []$  using assms by auto

lemma list-relE2:
  assumes  $([],l) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$  obtains  $l = []$  using assms by auto

lemma list-relE3:
  assumes  $(x \# xs, l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$  obtains  $x' xs'$  where
     $l' = x' \# xs'$  and  $(x,x') \in R$  and  $(xs,xs') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
  using assms
  apply (cases l')
  apply auto
  done

lemma list-relE4:
  assumes  $(l,x' \# xs') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$  obtains  $x xs'$  where
     $l = x \# xs$  and  $(x,x') \in R$  and  $(xs,xs') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
  using assms
  apply (cases l)
  apply auto
  done

lemmas list-relE = list-relE1 list-relE2 list-relE3 list-relE4

lemma list-rel-imp-same-length:
   $(l, l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \implies \text{length } l = \text{length } l'$ 
  unfolding list-rel-eq-listrel relAPP-def
  by (rule listrel-eq-len)

lemma list-rel-split-right-iff:
   $(x \# xs, l) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \longleftrightarrow (\exists y ys. l = y \# ys \wedge (x,y) \in R \wedge (xs,ys) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel})$ 
  by (cases l) auto

```

lemma *list-rel-split-left-iff*:

$(l, y \# ys) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \ xs. l = x \# xs \wedge (x, y) \in R \wedge (xs, ys) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel})$
by (cases *l*) auto

Sets

Pointwise refinement: The abstract set is the image of the concrete set, and the concrete set only contains elements that have an abstract counterpart

definition *set-rel where*

set-rel-def-internal:

$\text{set-rel } R \equiv \{(A, B). (\forall x \in A. \exists y \in B. (x, y) \in R) \wedge (\forall y \in B. \exists x \in A. (x, y) \in R)\}$

term *set-rel*

lemma *set-rel-def[refine-rel-defs]*:

$\langle R \rangle \text{set-rel} \equiv \{(A, B). (\forall x \in A. \exists y \in B. (x, y) \in R) \wedge (\forall y \in B. \exists x \in A. (x, y) \in R)\}$
by (simp add: *set-rel-def-internal relAPP-def*)

lemma *set-rel-alt*: $\langle R \rangle \text{set-rel} = \{(A, B). A \subseteq R^{-1} `` B \wedge B \subseteq R `` A\}$

unfolding *set-rel-def* **by** auto

lemma *set-relII[intro?]*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \exists y \in B. (x, y) \in R$

assumes $\bigwedge y. y \in B \implies \exists x \in A. (x, y) \in R$

shows $(A, B) \in \langle R \rangle \text{set-rel}$

using assms unfolding set-rel-def by blast

Original definition of *set-rel* in refinement framework. Abandoned in favour of more symmetric definition above:

definition *old-set-rel where old-set-rel-def-internal*:

$\text{old-set-rel } R \equiv \{(S, S'). S' = R `` S \wedge S \subseteq \text{Domain } R\}$

lemma *old-set-rel-def[refine-rel-defs]*:

$\langle R \rangle \text{old-set-rel} \equiv \{(S, S'). S' = R `` S \wedge S \subseteq \text{Domain } R\}$
by (simp add: *old-set-rel-def-internal relAPP-def*)

Old definition coincides with new definition for single-valued element relations. This is probably the reason why the old definition worked for most applications.

lemma *old-set-rel-sv-eq*: $\text{single-valued } R \implies \langle R \rangle \text{old-set-rel} = \langle R \rangle \text{set-rel}$

unfolding *set-rel-def old-set-rel-def single-valued-def*

by blast

lemma *set-rel-simp[simp]*:

$(\{\}, \{\}) \in \langle R \rangle \text{set-rel}$

```

by (auto simp: set-rel-def)

lemma set-rel-empty-iff[simp]:
   $\{\} \in \langle A \rangle \text{set-rel} \longleftrightarrow y = \{\}$ 
   $(x, \{\}) \in \langle A \rangle \text{set-rel} \longleftrightarrow x = \{\}$ 
  by (auto simp: set-rel-def; fastforce) +
  unfolding set-rel-def by blast

lemma set-relD1:  $(s, s') \in \langle R \rangle \text{set-rel} \implies x \in s \implies \exists x' \in s'. (x, x') \in R$ 
  unfolding set-rel-def by blast

lemma set-relD2:  $(s, s') \in \langle R \rangle \text{set-rel} \implies x' \in s' \implies \exists x \in s. (x, x') \in R$ 
  unfolding set-rel-def by blast

lemma set-relE1[consumes 2]:
  assumes  $(s, s') \in \langle R \rangle \text{set-rel} \quad x \in s$ 
  obtains  $x'$  where  $x' \in s' \quad (x, x') \in R$ 
  using set-relD1[OF assms] ..

lemma set-relE2[consumes 2]:
  assumes  $(s, s') \in \langle R \rangle \text{set-rel} \quad x' \in s'$ 
  obtains  $x$  where  $x \in s \quad (x, x') \in R$ 
  using set-relD2[OF assms] ..

```

1.1.3 Automation

A solver for relator properties

```

lemma relprop-triggers:
   $\bigwedge R. \text{single-valued } R \implies \text{single-valued } R$ 
   $\bigwedge R. R = \text{Id} \implies R = \text{Id}$ 
   $\bigwedge R. R = \text{Id} \implies \text{Id} = R$ 
   $\bigwedge R. \text{Range } R = \text{UNIV} \implies \text{Range } R = \text{UNIV}$ 
   $\bigwedge R. \text{Range } R = \text{UNIV} \implies \text{UNIV} = \text{Range } R$ 
   $\bigwedge R R'. R \subseteq R' \implies R \subseteq R'$ 
  by auto

```

```

ML ‹
structure relator-props = Named-Thms (
  val name = @{binding relator-props}
  val description = Additional relator properties
)
structure solve-relator-props = Named-Thms (
  val name = @{binding solve-relator-props}
  val description = Relator properties that solve goal
)
›
setup relator-props.setup

```

```

setup solve-relator-props.setup

declaration ⊤
  Tagged-Solver.declare-solver
    @{thms relprop-triggers}
    @{binding relator-props-solver}
    Additional relator properties solver
    (fn ctxt => (REPEAT-ALL-NEW (CHANGED o (
      match-tac ctxt (solve-relator-props.get ctxt) ORELSE'
      match-tac ctxt (relator-props.get ctxt)
    ))))
  ⊥

declaration ⊤
  Tagged-Solver.declare-solver
  []
  @{binding force-relator-props-solver}
  Additional relator properties solver (instantiate schematics)
  (fn ctxt => (REPEAT-ALL-NEW (CHANGED o (
    resolve-tac ctxt (solve-relator-props.get ctxt) ORELSE'
    match-tac ctxt (relator-props.get ctxt)
  ))))
  ⊥

```

```

lemma
  relprop-id-orient[relator-props]: R=Id  $\implies$  Id=R and
  relprop-eq-refl[solve-relator-props]: t = t
  by auto

```

```

lemma
  relprop-UNIV-orient[relator-props]: R=UNIV  $\implies$  UNIV=R
  by auto

```

ML-Level utilities

```

ML ⊤
  signature RELATORS = sig
    val mk-relT: typ * typ  $\rightarrow$  typ
    val dest-relT: typ  $\rightarrow$  typ * typ

    val mk-relAPP: term  $\rightarrow$  term  $\rightarrow$  term
    val list-relAPP: term list  $\rightarrow$  term  $\rightarrow$  term
    val strip-relAPP: term  $\rightarrow$  term list * term
    val mk-fun-rel: term  $\rightarrow$  term  $\rightarrow$  term

    val list-rel: term list  $\rightarrow$  term  $\rightarrow$  term

    val rel-absT: term  $\rightarrow$  typ
    val rel-concT: term  $\rightarrow$  typ

```

```

val mk-prodrel: term * term -> term
val is-prodrel: term -> bool
val dest-prodrel: term -> term * term

val strip-prodrel-left: term -> term list
val list-prodrel-left: term list -> term

val declare-natural-relator:
  (string*string) -> Context.generic -> Context.generic
val remove-natural-relator: string -> Context.generic -> Context.generic
val natural-relator-of: Proof.context -> string -> string option

val mk-natural-relator: Proof.context -> term list -> string -> term option

val setup: theory -> theory
end

structure Relators :RELATORS = struct
  val mk-relT = HOLogic.mk-prodT #> HOLogic.mk-setT

  fun dest-relT (Type (@{type-name set},[Type (@{type-name prod},[cT,aT])]))
    = (cT,aT)
    | dest-relT ty = raise TYPE (dest-relT,[ty],[])

  fun mk-relAPP x f = let
    val xT = fastype-of x
    val fT = fastype-of f
    val rT = range-type fT
  in
    Const (@{const-name relAPP},fT-->xT-->rT)$f$x
  end

  val list-relAPP = fold mk-relAPP

  fun strip-relAPP R = let
    fun aux @{mpat (?R)?S} l = aux S (R::l)
      | aux R l = (l,R)
  in aux R [] end

  val rel-absT = fastype-of #> HOLogic.dest-setT #> HOLogic.dest-prodT #>
  snd
  val rel-concT = fastype-of #> HOLogic.dest-setT #> HOLogic.dest-prodT #>
  fst

  fun mk-fun-rel r1 r2 = let
    val (r1T,r2T) = (fastype-of r1,fastype-of r2)
    val (c1T,a1T) = dest-relT r1T

```

```

val (c2T,a2T) = dest-relT r2T
val (cT,aT) = (c1T --> c2T, a1T --> a2T)
val rT = mk-relT (cT,aT)
in
  list-relAPP [r1,r2] (Const (@{const-name fun-rel},r1T-->r2T-->rT))
end

val list-rel = fold-rev mk-fun-rel

fun mk-prodrel (A,B) = @{mk-term ?A ×r ?B}
fun is-prodrel @{@{mpat - ×r -} = true | is-prodrel - = false
fun dest-prodrel @{@{mpat ?A ×r ?B} = (A,B) | dest-prodrel t = raise TERM(dest-prodrel,[t])}

fun strip-prodrel-left @{@{mpat ?A ×r ?B} = strip-prodrel-left A @ [B]
| strip-prodrel-left @{@{mpat (typs) unit-rel} = []
| strip-prodrel-left R = [R]

val list-prodrel-left = Refine-Util.list-binop-left @{@{term unit-rel} mk-prodrel

structure natural-relators = Generic-Data (
  type T = string Symtab.table
  val empty = Symtab.empty
  val merge = Symtab.join (fn _ => fn (_,cn) => cn)
)

fun declare-natural-relator tcp =
  natural-relators.map (Symtab.update tcp)

fun remove-natural-relator tname =
  natural-relators.map (Symtab.delete-safe tname)

fun natural-relator-of ctxt =
  Symtab.lookup (natural-relators.get (Context.Proof ctxt))

(* [R1,...,Rn] T is mapped to ⟨R1,...,Rn⟩ Trel *)
fun mk-natural-relator ctxt args Tname =
  case natural-relator-of ctxt Tname of
    NONE => NONE
  | SOME Cname => SOME let
      val argsT = map fastype-of args
      val (cTs, aTs) = map dest-relT argsT |> split-list
      val aT = Type (Tname,aTs)
      val cT = Type (Tname,cTs)
      val rT = mk-relT (cT,aT)
    in
      list-relAPP args (Const (Cname,argsT--->rT))
    end

fun

```

```

natural-relator-from-term (t as Const (name,T)) = let
  fun err msg = raise TERM (msg,[t])

  val (argTs,bodyT) = strip-type T
  val (contTs,absTs) = argTs |> map (HOLogic.dest-setT #> HOLogic.dest-prodT)
|> split-list
  val (bconT,babsT) = bodyT |> HOLogic.dest-setT |> HOLogic.dest-prodT
  val (Tcon,bconTs) = dest-Type bconT
  val (Tcon',babsTs) = dest-Type babsT

  val - = Tcon = Tcon' orelse err Type constructors do not match
  val - = contTs = bconTs orelse err Concrete types do not match
  val - = absTs = babsTs orelse err Abstract types do not match

  in
    (Tcon,name)
  end
| natural-relator-from-term t =
  raise TERM (Expected constant,[t]) (* TODO: Localize this! *)

local
fun decl-natrel-aux t context = let
  fun warn msg = let
    val tP =
      Context.cases Syntax.pretty-term-global Syntax.pretty-term
      context t
    val m = Pretty.block [
      Pretty.str Ignoring invalid natural-relator declaration:,
      Pretty.brk 1,
      Pretty.str msg,
      Pretty.brk 1,
      tP
    ] |> Pretty.string-of
    val - = warning m
  in context end
  in
    try (declare-natural-relator (natural-relator-from-term t) context
      catch TERM (msg,-) => warn msg
      | exn => warn )
  end
in
  val natural-relator-attr = Scan.repeat1 Args.term >> (fn ts =>
    Thm.declaration-attribute (fn - => fold decl-natrel-aux ts)
  )
end

val setup = I
#> Attrib.setup

```

```

@{binding natural-relator} natural-relator-attr Declare natural relator

end
>

setup Relators.setup

```

1.1.4 Setup

Natural Relators

```

declare [[natural-relator
  unit-rel int-rel nat-rel bool-rel
  fun-rel prod-rel option-rel sum-rel list-rel
  ]]

```

```

ML-val <
  Relators.mk-natural-relator
  @{context}
  [@{term Ra::('c×'a) set}, @{term ⟨Rb⟩option-rel}]
  @{type-name prod}
|> the
|> Thm.cterm-of @{context}
;
  Relators.mk-fun-rel @{term ⟨Id⟩option-rel} @{term ⟨Id⟩list-rel}
|> Thm.cterm-of @{context}
>

```

Additional Properties

```

lemmas [relator-props] =
  single-valued-Id
  subset-refl
  refl

```

```

lemma eq-UNIV-iff: S=UNIV ⟷ (∀ x. x∈S) by auto

lemma fun-rel-sv[relator-props]:
  assumes RAN: Range Ra = UNIV
  assumes SV: single-valued Rv
  shows single-valued (Ra → Rv)
proof (intro single-valuedI ext impI allI)
  fix f g h x'
  assume R1: (f,g)∈Ra→Rv
  and R2: (f,h)∈Ra→Rv

  from RAN obtain x where AR: (x,x')∈Ra by auto

```

```

from fun-relD[OF R1 AR] have (f x,g x') ∈ Rv .
moreover from fun-relD[OF R2 AR] have (f x,h x') ∈ Rv .
ultimately show g x' = h x' using SV by (auto dest: single-valuedD)
qed

lemmas [relator-props] = Range-Id

lemma fun-rel-id[relator-props]: [|R1=Id; R2=Id|] ==> R1 → R2 = Id
  by (auto simp: fun-rel-def)

lemma fun-rel-id-simp[simp]: Id → Id = Id by tagged-solver

lemma fun-rel-comp-dist[relator-props]:
  (R1 → R2) O (R3 → R4) ⊆ ((R1 O R3) → (R2 O R4))
  by (auto simp: fun-rel-def)

lemma fun-rel-mono[relator-props]: [|R1 ⊆ R2; R3 ⊆ R4|] ==> R2 → R3 ⊆ R1 → R4
  by (force simp: fun-rel-def)

lemma prod-rel-sv[relator-props]:
  [|single-valued R1; single-valued R2|] ==> single-valued (⟨R1,R2⟩prod-rel)
  by (auto intro: single-valuedI dest: single-valuedD simp: prod-rel-def)

lemma prod-rel-id[relator-props]: [|R1=Id; R2=Id|] ==> ⟨R1,R2⟩prod-rel = Id
  by (auto simp: prod-rel-def)

lemma prod-rel-id-simp[simp]: ⟨Id,Id⟩prod-rel = Id by tagged-solver

lemma prod-rel-mono[relator-props]:
  [|R2 ⊆ R1; R3 ⊆ R4|] ==> ⟨R2,R3⟩prod-rel ⊆ ⟨R1,R4⟩prod-rel
  by (auto simp: prod-rel-def)

lemma prod-rel-range[relator-props]: [|Range Ra=UNIV; Range Rb=UNIV|]
  ==> Range (⟨Ra,Rb⟩prod-rel) = UNIV
  apply (auto simp: prod-rel-def)
  by (metis Range-Iff UNIV-I)+

lemma option-rel-sv[relator-props]:
  [|single-valued R|] ==> single-valued (⟨R⟩option-rel)
  by (auto intro: single-valuedI dest: single-valuedD simp: option-rel-def)

lemma option-rel-id[relator-props]:
  R=Id ==> ⟨R⟩option-rel = Id by (auto simp: option-rel-def)

lemma option-rel-id-simp[simp]: ⟨Id⟩option-rel = Id by tagged-solver

lemma option-rel-mono[relator-props]: R ⊆ R' ==> ⟨R⟩option-rel ⊆ ⟨R'⟩option-rel
  by (auto simp: option-rel-def)

```

```

lemma option-rel-range: Range R = UNIV  $\implies$  Range ( $\langle R \rangle$ option-rel) = UNIV
  apply (auto simp: option-rel-def Range-iff)
  by (metis Range-iff UNIV-I option.exhaust)

lemma option-rel-inter[simp]:  $\langle R1 \cap R2 \rangle$ option-rel =  $\langle R1 \rangle$ option-rel  $\cap$   $\langle R2 \rangle$ option-rel
  by (auto simp: option-rel-def)

lemma option-rel-constraint[simp]:
   $(x,x) \in \langle \text{UNIV} \times C \rangle \text{option-rel} \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. x = \text{Some } v \longrightarrow v \in C)$ 
  by (auto simp: option-rel-def)

lemma sum-rel-sv[relator-props]:
   $\llbracket \text{single-valued } Rl; \text{single-valued } Rr \rrbracket \implies \text{single-valued } (\langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel})$ 
  by (auto intro: single-valuedI dest: single-valuedD simp: sum-rel-def)

lemma sum-rel-id[relator-props]:  $\llbracket Rl = Id; Rr = Id \rrbracket \implies \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel} = Id$ 
  apply (auto elim: sum-relE)
  apply (case-tac b)
  apply simp-all
  done

lemma sum-rel-id-simp[simp]:  $\langle Id, Id \rangle \text{sum-rel} = Id$  by tagged-solver

lemma sum-rel-mono[relator-props]:
   $\llbracket Rl \subseteq Rl'; Rr \subseteq Rr' \rrbracket \implies \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel} \subseteq \langle Rl', Rr' \rangle \text{sum-rel}$ 
  by (auto simp: sum-rel-def)

lemma sum-rel-range[relator-props]:
   $\llbracket \text{Range } Rl = \text{UNIV}; \text{Range } Rr = \text{UNIV} \rrbracket \implies \text{Range } (\langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel}) = \text{UNIV}$ 
  apply (auto simp: sum-rel-def Range-iff)
  by (metis Range-iff UNIV-I sumE)

lemma list-rel-sv-iff:
  single-valued ( $\langle R \rangle$ list-rel)  $\longleftrightarrow$  single-valued R
  apply (intro iffI[rotated] single-valuedI allI impI)
  apply (clarify simp: list-rel-def)
  proof -
    fix x y z
    assume SV: single-valued R
    assume list-all2 (mathrel{ $\lambda x x'. (x, x') \in R$ }) x y and
      list-all2 (mathrel{ $\lambda x x'. (x, x') \in R$ }) x z
    thus y=z
      apply (induct arbitrary: z rule: list-all2-induct)
      apply simp
      apply (case-tac z)
      apply force
      apply (force intro: single-valuedD[OF SV])

```

```

done
next
  fix  $x\ y\ z$ 
  assume  $SV$ : single-valued ( $\langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel$ )
  assume  $(x,y) \in R$      $(x,z) \in R$ 
  hence  $([x],[y]) \in \langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel$  and  $([x],[z]) \in \langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel$ 
    by (auto simp: list-rel-def)
  with single-valuedD[OF  $SV$ ] show  $y=z$  by blast
qed

lemma list-rel-sv[relator-props]:
  single-valued  $R \implies single-valued ( $\langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel$ )
  by (simp add: list-rel-sv-iff)

lemma list-rel-id[relator-props]:  $\llbracket R = Id \rrbracket \implies \langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel = Id$ 
  by (auto simp add: list-rel-def list-all2-eq[symmetric])

lemma list-rel-id-simp[simp]:  $\langle Id \rangle list\text{-}rel = Id$  by tagged-solver

lemma list-rel-mono[relator-props]:
  assumes  $A: R \subseteq R'$ 
  shows  $\langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel \subseteq \langle R' \rangle list\text{-}rel$ 
proof clar simp
  fix  $l\ l'$ 
  assume  $(l,l') \in \langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel$ 
  thus  $(l,l') \in \langle R' \rangle list\text{-}rel$ 
    apply induct
    using  $A$ 
    by auto
qed

lemma list-rel-range[relator-props]:
  assumes  $A: Range\ R = UNIV$ 
  shows  $Range\ (\langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel) = UNIV$ 
proof (clar simp simp: eq-UNIV-iff)
  fix  $l$ 
  show  $l \in Range\ (\langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel)$ 
    apply (induct l)
    using  $A$ [unfolded eq-UNIV-iff]
    by (auto simp: Range-iff intro: list-relI)
qed

lemma bijective-imp-sv:
  bijective  $R \implies single-valued  $R$ 
  bijective  $R \implies$  single-valued ( $R^{-1}$ )
  by (simp-all add: bijective-alt)

declare bijective-Id[relator-props]$$ 
```

```
declare bijective-Empty[relator-props]
```

Pointwise refinement for set types:

```
lemma set-rel-sv[relator-props]:
  single-valued R ==> single-valued ((R)set-rel)
  unfolding single-valued-def set-rel-def by blast
```

```
lemma set-rel-id[relator-props]: R=Id ==> (R)set-rel = Id
  by (auto simp add: set-rel-def)
```

```
lemma set-rel-id-simp[simp]: (Id)set-rel = Id by tagged-solver
```

```
lemma set-rel-csv[relator-props]:
  [| single-valued (R-1) |]
  ==> single-valued (((R)set-rel)-1)
  unfolding single-valued-def set-rel-def converse-iff
  by fast
```

1.1.5 Invariant and Abstraction

Quite often, a relation can be described as combination of an abstraction function and an invariant, such that the invariant describes valid values on the concrete domain, and the abstraction function maps valid concrete values to its corresponding abstract value.

```
definition build-rel where
```

```
  build-rel α I ≡ {(c,a) . a=α c ∧ I c}
```

```
abbreviation br ≡ build-rel
```

```
lemmas br-def[refine-rel-defs] = build-rel-def
```

```
lemma in-br-conv: (c,a) ∈ br α I ↔ a=α c ∧ I c
  by (auto simp: br-def)
```

```
lemma brI[intro?]: [| a=α c; I c |] ==> (c,a) ∈ br α I
  by (simp add: br-def)
```

```
lemma br-id[simp]: br id (λ_. True) = Id
  unfolding build-rel-def by auto
```

```
lemma br-chain:
```

```
(build-rel β J) O (build-rel α I) = build-rel (α ∘ β) (λs. J s ∧ I (β s))
  unfolding build-rel-def by auto
```

```
lemma br-sv[simp, intro!, relator-props]: single-valued (br α I)
  unfolding build-rel-def
  apply (rule single-valuedI)
  apply auto
  done
```

```

lemma converse-br-sv-iff[simp]:
  single-valued (converse (br α I))  $\longleftrightarrow$  inj-on α (Collect I)
  by (auto intro!: inj-onI single-valuedI dest: single-valuedD inj-onD
    simp: br-def) []

lemmas [relator-props] = single-valued-relcomp

lemma br-comp-alt: br α I O R = { (c,a) . I c  $\wedge$  (α c,a) ∈ R }
  by (auto simp add: br-def)

lemma br-comp-alt':
  {(c,a) . a=α c  $\wedge$  I c} O R = { (c,a) . I c  $\wedge$  (α c,a) ∈ R }
  by auto

lemma single-valued-as-brE:
  assumes single-valued R
  obtains α invar where R=br α invar
  apply (rule that[of λx. THE y. (x,y) ∈ R  $\quad \lambda x. x \in \text{Domain } R$ ])
  using assms unfolding br-def
  by (auto dest: single-valuedD
    intro: the-equality[symmetric] theI)

lemma sv-add-invar:
  single-valued R  $\Longrightarrow$  single-valued {(c, a). (c, a) ∈ R  $\wedge$  I c}
  by (auto dest: single-valuedD intro: single-valuedI)

lemma br-Image-conv[simp]: br α I `` S = {α x | x. x ∈ S  $\wedge$  I x}
  by (auto simp: br-def)

```

1.1.6 Miscellaneous

```

lemma rel-cong: (f,g) ∈ Id  $\Longrightarrow$  (x,y) ∈ Id  $\Longrightarrow$  (f x, g y) ∈ Id by simp
lemma rel-fun-cong: (f,g) ∈ Id  $\Longrightarrow$  (f x, g x) ∈ Id by simp
lemma rel-arg-cong: (x,y) ∈ Id  $\Longrightarrow$  (f x, f y) ∈ Id by simp

```

1.1.7 Conversion between Predicate and Set Based Relators

Autoref uses set-based relators of type $('a \times 'b) \text{ set}$, while the transfer and lifting package of Isabelle/HOL uses predicate based relators of type $'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$. This section defines some utilities to convert between the two.

```

definition rel2p R x y  $\equiv$  (x,y) ∈ R
definition p2rel P  $\equiv$  {(x,y). P x y}

```

```

lemma rel2pD: [rel2p R a b]  $\Longrightarrow$  (a,b) ∈ R by (auto simp: rel2p-def)
lemma p2relD: [(a,b) ∈ p2rel R]  $\Longrightarrow$  R a b by (auto simp: p2rel-def)

```

```

lemma rel2p-inv[simp]:
  rel2p (p2rel P) = P
  p2rel (rel2p R) = R

```

```

by (auto simp: rel2p-def[abs-def] p2rel-def)

named-theorems rel2p
named-theorems p2rel

lemma rel2p-dftt[rel2p]:
  rel2p Id = (=)
  rel2p (A → B) = rel-fun (rel2p A) (rel2p B)
  rel2p (A ×r B) = rel-prod (rel2p A) (rel2p B)
  rel2p (⟨A, B⟩ sum-rel) = rel-sum (rel2p A) (rel2p B)
  rel2p (⟨A⟩ option-rel) = rel-option (rel2p A)
  rel2p (⟨A⟩ list-rel) = list-all2 (rel2p A)
by (auto
  simp: rel2p-def[abs-def]
  intro!: ext
  simp: fun-rel-def rel-fun-def
  simp: sum-rel-def elim: rel-sum.cases
  simp: option-rel-def elim: option.rel-cases
  simp: list-rel-def
  simp: set-rel-def rel-set-def Image-def
  )

lemma p2rel-dftt[p2rel]:
  p2rel (=) = Id
  p2rel (rel-fun A B) = p2rel A → p2rel B
  p2rel (rel-prod A B) = p2rel A ×r p2rel B
  p2rel (rel-sum A B) = ⟨p2rel A, p2rel B⟩ sum-rel
  p2rel (rel-option A) = ⟨p2rel A⟩ option-rel
  p2rel (list-all2 A) = ⟨p2rel A⟩ list-rel
by (auto
  simp: p2rel-def[abs-def]
  simp: fun-rel-def rel-fun-def
  simp: sum-rel-def elim: rel-sum.cases
  simp: option-rel-def elim: option.rel-cases
  simp: list-rel-def
  )

lemma [rel2p]: rel2p (⟨A⟩ set-rel) = rel-set (rel2p A)
unfolding set-rel-def rel-set-def rel2p-def[abs-def]
by blast

lemma [p2rel]: left-unique A ==> p2rel (rel-set A) = (⟨p2rel A⟩ set-rel)
unfolding set-rel-def rel-set-def p2rel-def[abs-def]
by blast

lemma rel2p-comp: rel2p A OO rel2p B = rel2p (A O B)
by (auto simp: rel2p-def[abs-def] intro!: ext)

```

```

lemma rel2p-inj[simp]: rel2p A = rel2p B  $\longleftrightarrow$  A=B
  by (auto simp: rel2p-def[abs-def]; meson)

lemma rel2p-left-unique: left-unique (rel2p A) = single-valued (A-1)
  unfolding left-unique-def rel2p-def single-valued-def by blast

lemma rel2p-right-unique: right-unique (rel2p A) = single-valued A
  unfolding right-unique-def rel2p-def single-valued-def by blast

lemma rel2p-bi-unique: bi-unique (rel2p A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  single-valued A  $\wedge$  single-valued (A-1)
  unfolding bi-unique-alt-def by (auto simp: rel2p-left-unique rel2p-right-unique)

lemma p2rel-left-unique: single-valued ((p2rel A)-1) = left-unique A
  unfolding left-unique-def p2rel-def single-valued-def by blast

lemma p2rel-right-unique: single-valued (p2rel A) = right-unique A
  unfolding right-unique-def p2rel-def single-valued-def by blast

```

1.1.8 More Properties

```

lemma list-rel-compp:  $\langle A \ O \ B \rangle$ list-rel =  $\langle A \rangle$ list-rel O  $\langle B \rangle$ list-rel
  using list.rel-compp[of rel2p A rel2p B]
  by (auto simp: rel2p(2-)[symmetric] rel2p-comp)

lemma option-rel-compp:  $\langle A \ O \ B \rangle$ option-rel =  $\langle A \rangle$ option-rel O  $\langle B \rangle$ option-rel
  using option.rel-compp[of rel2p A rel2p B]
  by (auto simp: rel2p(2-)[symmetric] rel2p-comp)

lemma prod-rel-compp:  $\langle A \ O \ B, C \ O \ D \rangle$ prod-rel =  $\langle A, C \rangle$ prod-rel O  $\langle B, D \rangle$ prod-rel
  using prod.rel-compp[of rel2p A rel2p B rel2p C rel2p D]
  by (auto simp: rel2p(2-)[symmetric] rel2p-comp)

lemma sum-rel-compp:  $\langle A \ O \ B, C \ O \ D \rangle$ sum-rel =  $\langle A, C \rangle$ sum-rel O  $\langle B, D \rangle$ sum-rel
  using sum.rel-compp[of rel2p A rel2p B rel2p C rel2p D]
  by (auto simp: rel2p(2-)[symmetric] rel2p-comp)

lemma set-rel-compp:  $\langle A \ O \ B \rangle$ set-rel =  $\langle A \rangle$ set-rel O  $\langle B \rangle$ set-rel
  using rel-set-OO[of rel2p A rel2p B]
  by (auto simp: rel2p(2-)[symmetric] rel2p-comp)

lemma map-in-list-rel-conv:
  shows (l, map α l) ∈  $\langle br \alpha I \rangle$ list-rel  $\longleftrightarrow$  (∀ x ∈ set l. I x)
  by (induction l) (auto simp: in-br-conv)

lemma br-set-rel-alt: (s', s) ∈  $\langle br \alpha I \rangle$ set-rel  $\longleftrightarrow$  (s = α · s'  $\wedge$  (∀ x ∈ s'. I x))
  by (auto simp: set-rel-def br-def)

```

```

lemma finite-Image-sv: single-valued R ==> finite s ==> finite (R``s)
  by (erule single-valued-as-brE) simp

lemma finite-set-rel-transfer: [(s,s') ∈ (R)set-rel; single-valued R; finite s] ==> finite
  s'
  unfolding set-rel-alt
  by (blast intro: finite-subset[OF - finite-Image-sv])

lemma finite-set-rel-transfer-back: [(s,s') ∈ (R)set-rel; single-valued (R⁻¹); finite s'] ==>
  finite s
  unfolding set-rel-alt
  by (blast intro: finite-subset[OF - finite-Image-sv])

end

```

1.2 Basic Parametricity Reasoning

```

theory Param-Tool
imports Relators
begin

```

1.2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma tag-both: [(Let x f, Let x' f') ∈ R] ==> (f x, f' x') ∈ R by simp
lemma tag-rhs: [(c, Let x f) ∈ R] ==> (c, f x) ∈ R by simp
lemma tag-lhs: [(Let x f, a) ∈ R] ==> (f x, a) ∈ R by simp

lemma tagged-fun-relD-both:
  [(f, f') ∈ A → B; (x, x') ∈ A] ==> (Let x f, Let x' f') ∈ B
  and tagged-fun-relD-rhs: [(f, f') ∈ A → B; (x, x') ∈ A] ==> (f x, Let x' f') ∈ B
  and tagged-fun-relD-lhs: [(f, f') ∈ A → B; (x, x') ∈ A] ==> (Let x f, f' x') ∈ B
  and tagged-fun-relD-none: [(f, f') ∈ A → B; (x, x') ∈ A] ==> (f x, f' x') ∈ B
  by (simp-all add: fun-relD)

```

1.2.2 ML-Setup

```

ML `

signature PARAMETRICITY = sig
  type param-ruleT = {
    lhs: term,
    rhs: term,
    R: term,
    rhs-head: term,
    arity: int
  }
  val dest-param-term: term -> param-ruleT

```

```

val dest-param-rule: thm -> param-ruleT
val dest-param-goal: Proof.context -> int -> thm -> param-ruleT

val safe-fun-relD-tac: Proof.context -> tactic'

val adjust-arity: int -> thm -> thm
val adjust-arity-tac: int -> Proof.context -> tactic'
val unlambda-tac: Proof.context -> tactic'
val prepare-tac: Proof.context -> tactic'

val fo-rule: thm -> thm

(** Basic tactics **)
val param-rule-tac: Proof.context -> thm -> tactic'
val param-rules-tac: Proof.context -> thm list -> tactic'
val asm-param-tac: Proof.context -> tactic'

(** Nets of parametricity rules **)
type param-net
val net-empty: param-net
val net-add: thm -> param-net -> param-net
val net-del: thm -> param-net -> param-net
val net-add-int: Context.generic -> thm -> param-net -> param-net
val net-del-int: Context.generic -> thm -> param-net -> param-net
val net-tac: param-net -> Proof.context -> tactic'

(** Default parametricity rules **)
val add-dft: thm -> Context.generic -> Context.generic
val add-dft-attr: attribute
val del-dft: thm -> Context.generic -> Context.generic
val del-dft-attr: attribute
val get-dft: Proof.context -> param-net

(** Configuration **)
val cfg-use-asm: bool Config.T
val cfg-single-step: bool Config.T

(** Setup **)
val setup: theory -> theory
end

structure Parametricity : PARAMETRICITY = struct
  type param-ruleT = {
    lhs: term,
    rhs: term,
    R: term,
    rhs-head: term,
    arity: int
  }

```

```

}

fun dest-param-term t =
  case
    strip-all-body t |> Logic.strip-imp-concl |> HOLogic.dest-Trueprop
  of
    @{mpat (?lhs,?rhs):?R} => let
      val (rhs-head,arity) =
        case strip-comb rhs of
          (c as Const _,l) => (c,length l)
        | (c as Free _,l) => (c,length l)
        | (c as Abs _,l) => (c,length l)
        | _ => raise TERM (dest-param-term: Head,[t])
      in
        { lhs = lhs, rhs = rhs, R=R, rhs-head = rhs-head, arity = arity }
      end
    | t => raise TERM (dest-param-term: Expected (-,-):-,[t])

val dest-param-rule = dest-param-term o Thm.prop-of
fun dest-param-goal ctxt i st =
  if i > Thm.nprems-of st then
    raise THM (dest-param-goal,i,[st])
  else
    dest-param-term (Logic.concl-of-goal (Thm.prop-of st) i)

fun safe-fun-relD-tac ctxt = let
  fun t a b = fo-resolve-tac [a] ctxt THEN' resolve-tac ctxt [b]
  in
    DETERM o (
      t @{thm tag-both} @{thm tagged-fun-relD-both} ORELSE'
      t @{thm tag-rhs} @{thm tagged-fun-relD-rhs} ORELSE'
      t @{thm tag-lhs} @{thm tagged-fun-relD-lhs} ORELSE'
      resolve-tac ctxt @{thms tagged-fun-relD-none}
    )
  end

fun adjust-arity i thm =
  if i = 0 then thm
  else if i < 0 then funpow (~i) (fn thm => thm RS @{thm fun-relI}) thm
  else funpow i (fn thm => thm RS @{thm fun-relD}) thm

fun NTIMES k tac =
  if k <= 0 then K all-tac
  else tac THEN' NTIMES (k-1) tac

fun adjust-arity-tac n ctxt i st =
  (if n = 0 then K all-tac
   else if n > 0 then NTIMES n (DETERM o resolve-tac ctxt @{thms fun-relI})

```

```

else NTIMES ( $\sim n$ ) (safe-fun-reld-tac ctxt)) i st

fun unlambd-a-tac ctxt i st =
  case try (dest-param-goal ctxt i) st of
    NONE => Seq.empty
  | SOME g => let
      val n = Term.strip-abs (#rhs-head g) |> #1 |> length
      in NTIMES n (resolve-tac ctxt @{thms fun-relI}) i st end

fun prepare-tac ctxt =
  Subgoal.FOCUS (K (PRIMITIVE (Drule.eta-contraction-rule))) ctxt
  THEN' unlambd-a-tac ctxt

fun could-param-rl ctxt rl i st =
  if i > Thm.nprems-of st then NONE
  else (
    case (try (dest-param-goal ctxt i) st, try dest-param-term rl) of
      (SOME g, SOME r) =>
        if Term.could-unify (#rhs-head g, #rhs-head r) then
          SOME (#arity r - #arity g)
        else NONE
      | _ => NONE
    )
  )

fun param-rule-tac-aux ctxt rl i st =
  case could-param-rl ctxt (Thm.prop-of rl) i st of
    SOME adj => (adjust-arity-tac adj ctxt THEN' resolve-tac ctxt [rl]) i st
  | _ => Seq.empty

fun param-rule-tac ctxt rl =
  prepare-tac ctxt THEN' param-rule-tac-aux ctxt rl

fun param-rules-tac ctxt rls =
  prepare-tac ctxt THEN' FIRST' (map (param-rule-tac-aux ctxt) rls)

fun asm-param-tac-aux ctxt i st =
  if i > Thm.nprems-of st then Seq.empty
  else let
    val prems = Logic.prems-of-goal (Thm.prop-of st) i |> tag-list 1

    fun tac (n,t) i st = case could-param-rl ctxt t i st of
      SOME adj => (adjust-arity-tac adj ctxt THEN' rprem-tac n ctxt) i st
      | NONE => Seq.empty
    in
      FIRST' (map tac prems) i st
    end

  fun asm-param-tac ctxt = prepare-tac ctxt THEN' asm-param-tac-aux ctxt

```

```

type param-net = (param-ruleT * thm) Item-Net.T

local
  val param-get-key = single o #rhs-head o #1
in
  val net-empty = Item-Net.init (Thm.eq-thm o apply2 #2) param-get-key
end

fun wrap-pr-op f context thm = case try ('dest-param-rule) thm of
  NONE =>
    let
      val msg = Ignoring invalid parametricity theorem:
      ^ Thm.string-of-thm (Context.proof-of context) thm
      val _ = warning msg
    in I end
  | SOME p => f p

val net-add-int = wrap-pr-op Item-Net.update
val net-del-int = wrap-pr-op Item-Net.remove

val net-add = Item-Net.update o 'dest-param-rule
val net-del = Item-Net.remove o 'dest-param-rule

fun net-tac-aux net ctxt i st =
  if i > Thm.nprems-of st then
    Seq.empty
  else
    let
      val g = dest-param-goal ctxt i st
      val rls = Item-Net.retrieve net (#rhs-head g)

      fun tac (r,thm) =
        adjust-arity-tac (#arity r - #arity g) ctxt
        THEN' DETERM o resolve-tac ctxt [thm]
    in
      FIRST' (map tac rls) i st
    end

fun net-tac net ctxt = prepare-tac ctxt THEN' net-tac-aux net ctxt

structure dflt-rules = Generic-Data (
  type T = param-net
  val empty = net-empty
  val merge = Item-Net.merge
)
fun add-dflt thm context = dflt-rules.map (net-add-int context thm) context
fun del-dflt thm context = dflt-rules.map (net-del-int context thm) context

```

```

val add-dflt-attr = Thm.declaration-attribute add-dflt
val del-dflt-attr = Thm.declaration-attribute del-dflt

val get-dflt = dflt-rules.get o Context.Proof

val cfg-use-asm =
  Attrib.setup-config-bool @{binding param-use-asm} (K true)
val cfg-single-step =
  Attrib.setup-config-bool @{binding param-single-step} (K false)

local
  open Refine-Util

val param-modifiers =
  [Args.add -- Args.colon >> K (Method.modifier add-dflt-attr here),
   Args.del -- Args.colon >> K (Method.modifier del-dflt-attr here),
   Args.$$$ only -- Args.colon >>
     K {init = Context.proof-map (dflt-rules.map (K net-empty)),
        attribute = add-dflt-attr, pos = here}]]

val param-flags =
  parse-bool-config use-asm cfg-use-asm
  || parse-bool-config single-step cfg-single-step

in

val parametricity-method =
  parse-paren-lists param-flags |-- Method.sections param-modifiers >>
  (fn _ => fn ctxt =>
    let
      val net2 = get-dflt ctxt
      val asm-tac =
        if Config.get ctxt cfg-use-asm then
          asm-param-tac ctxt
        else K no-tac

      val RPT =
        if Config.get ctxt cfg-single-step then I
        else REPEAT-ALL-NEW-FWD

      in
        SIMPLE-METHOD' (
          RPT (
            (assume-tac ctxt
             ORELSE' net-tac net2 ctxt
             ORELSE' asm-tac)
            )
        )
    end
  )

```

```

)
end

fun fo-rule thm = case Thm.concl-of thm of
  @{mpat Trueprop ((-, -) ∈ --> -)} => fo-rule (thm RS @{thm fun-relD})
  | - => thm

val param-fo-attr = Scan.succeed (Thm.rule-attribute [] (K fo-rule))

val setup = I
  #> Attrib.setup @{binding param}
    (Attrib.add-del add-dflt-attr del-dflt-attr)
    declaration of parametricity theorem
  #> Global-Theory.add-thms-dynamic (@{binding param},
    map #2 o Item-Net.content o dflt-rules.get)
  #> Method.setup @{binding parametricity} parametricity-method
    Parametricity solver
  #> Attrib.setup @{binding param-fo} param-fo-attr
    Parametricity: Rule in first-order form

end
>

setup Parametricity.setup

```

1.2.3 Convenience Tools

```

ML `

(* Prefix p- or wrong type suppresses generation of relAPP *)

fun cnv-relAPP t = let
  fun consider (Var ((name, -), T)) =
    if String.isPrefix p- name then false
    else (
      case T of
        Type(@{type-name set}, [Type(@{type-name prod}, -)]) => true
        | - => false)
  | consider - = true

  fun strip-rcomb u : term * term list =
    let
      fun stripc (x as (f$t, ts)) =
        if consider t then stripc (f, t::ts) else x
      | stripc x = x
    in stripc(u, [])
    end;

  val (f, a) = strip-rcomb t
  in
    Relators.list-relAPP a f

```

```

end

fun to-relAPP-conv ctxt = Refine-Util.f-tac-conv ctxt
  conv-relAPP
  (fn goal ctxt => ALLGOALS (simp-tac
    (put-simpset HOL-basic-ss goal ctxt addssimps @{thms relAPP-def})))

val to-relAPP-attr = Thm.rule-attribute [] (fn context => let
  val ctxt = Context.proof-of context
  in
    Conv.fconv-rule (Conv.arg1-conv (to-relAPP-conv ctxt))
  end)
>

attribute-setup to-relAPP = <Scan.succeed (to-relAPP-attr)>
  Convert relator definition to prefix-form

end

```

1.3 Parametricity Theorems for HOL

```

theory Param-HOL
imports Param-Tool
begin

```

1.3.1 Sets

```

lemma param-empty[param]:
  ({} , {}) ∈ ⟨R⟩ set-rel by (auto simp: set-rel-def)

lemma param-member[param]:
  [single-valued R; single-valued (R-1)] ==> ((∈) , (∈)) ∈ R → ⟨R⟩ set-rel → bool-rel

  unfolding set-rel-def
  by (blast dest: single-valuedD)

lemma param-insert[param]:
  (insert, insert) ∈ R → ⟨R⟩ set-rel → ⟨R⟩ set-rel
  by (auto simp: set-rel-def)

lemma param-union[param]:
  ((∪) , (∪)) ∈ ⟨R⟩ set-rel → ⟨R⟩ set-rel → ⟨R⟩ set-rel
  by (auto simp: set-rel-def)

lemma param-inter[param]:
  assumes single-valued R    single-valued (R-1)

```

```

shows (( $\cap$ ), ( $\cap$ ))  $\in \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel$ 
using assms
unfolding set-rel-def
by (blast dest: single-valuedD)

lemma param-diff[param]:
assumes single-valued R single-valued (R-1)
shows (( $-$ ), ( $-$ ))  $\in \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel$ 
using assms
unfolding set-rel-def
by (blast dest: single-valuedD)

lemma param-subseteq[param]:
[|single-valued R; single-valued (R-1)|]  $\implies ((\subseteq), (\subseteq)) \in \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel$ 
→ bool-rel
unfolding set-rel-def
by (blast dest: single-valuedD)

lemma param-subset[param]:
[|single-valued R; single-valued (R-1)|]  $\implies ((\subset), (\subset)) \in \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle R \rangle set\text{-}rel$ 
→ bool-rel
unfolding set-rel-def
by (blast dest: single-valuedD)

lemma param-Ball[param]: (Ball,Ball)  $\in \langle Ra \rangle set\text{-}rel \rightarrow (Ra \rightarrow Id) \rightarrow Id$ 
by (force simp: set-rel-alt dest: fun-relD)

lemma param-Bex[param]: (Bex,Bex)  $\in \langle Ra \rangle set\text{-}rel \rightarrow (Ra \rightarrow Id) \rightarrow Id$ 
by (fastforce simp: set-rel-def dest: fun-relD)

lemma param-set[param]:
single-valued Ra  $\implies (set, set) \in \langle Ra \rangle list\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle Ra \rangle set\text{-}rel$ 
proof
fix l l'
assume A: single-valued Ra
assume (l,l')  $\in \langle Ra \rangle list\text{-}rel$ 
thus (set l, set l')  $\in \langle Ra \rangle set\text{-}rel$ 
apply (induct)
apply simp
apply simp
using A apply (parametricity)
done
qed

lemma param-Collect[param]:
[|Domain A = UNIV; Range A = UNIV|]  $\implies (Collect, Collect) \in (A \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}) \rightarrow \langle A \rangle set\text{-}rel$ 
unfolding set-rel-def

```

```

apply (clar simp; safe)
subgoal using fun-relD1 by fastforce
subgoal using fun-relD2 by fastforce
done

lemma param-finite[param]: []
  single-valued R; single-valued (R-1)
]  $\implies$  (finite, finite)  $\in \langle R \rangle_{\text{set-rel}} \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 
using finite-set-rel-transfer finite-set-rel-transfer-back by blast

lemma param-card[param]: [single-valued R; single-valued (R-1)]
   $\implies$  (card, card)  $\in \langle R \rangle_{\text{set-rel}} \rightarrow \text{nat-rel}$ 
apply (rule rel2pD)
apply (simp only: rel2p)
apply (rule card-transfer)
by (simp add: rel2p-bi-unique)

```

1.3.2 Standard HOL Constructs

```

lemma param-if[param]:
  assumes (c,c') $\in$ Id
  assumes [c;c']  $\implies$  (t,t') $\in$ R
  assumes [ $\neg$ c; $\neg$ c']  $\implies$  (e,e') $\in$ R
  shows (If c t e, If c' t' e') $\in$ R
  using assms by auto

lemma param-Let[param]:
  (Let, Let) $\in$ Ra  $\rightarrow$  (Ra  $\rightarrow$  Rr)  $\rightarrow$  Rr
  by (auto dest: fun-relD)

```

1.3.3 Functions

```

lemma param-id[param]: (id,id) $\in$ R  $\rightarrow$  R unfolding id-def by parametricity

lemma param-fun-comp[param]: ((o), (o))  $\in$  (Ra  $\rightarrow$  Rb)  $\rightarrow$  (Rc  $\rightarrow$  Ra)  $\rightarrow$  Rc  $\rightarrow$  Rb
  unfolding comp-def[abs-def] by parametricity

lemma param-fun-upd[param]:
  ((=), (=))  $\in$  Ra  $\rightarrow$  Ra  $\rightarrow$  Id
   $\implies$  (fun-upd, fun-upd)  $\in$  (Ra  $\rightarrow$  Rb)  $\rightarrow$  Ra  $\rightarrow$  Rb  $\rightarrow$  Ra  $\rightarrow$  Rb
  unfolding fun-upd-def[abs-def]
  by (parametricity)

```

1.3.4 Boolean

```

lemma rec-bool-is-case: old.rec-bool = case-bool
  by (rule ext)+ (auto split: bool.split)

lemma param-bool[param]:
  (True, True) $\in$ Id

```

```

(False,False) ∈ Id
(conj,conj) ∈ Id → Id → Id
(disj,disj) ∈ Id → Id → Id
(Not,Not) ∈ Id → Id
(case-bool,case-bool) ∈ R → R → Id → R
(old.rec-bool,old.rec-bool) ∈ R → R → Id → R
((↔), (↔)) ∈ Id → Id → Id
((→), (→)) ∈ Id → Id → Id
by (auto split: bool.split simp: rec-bool-is-case)

lemma param-and-cong1: [(a,a') ∈ bool-rel; [a; a']] ==> (b,b') ∈ bool-rel] ==> (a ∧ b, a' ∧ b') ∈ bool-rel
  by blast
lemma param-and-cong2: [(a,a') ∈ bool-rel; [a; a']] ==> (b,b') ∈ bool-rel] ==> (b ∧ a, b' ∧ a') ∈ bool-rel
  by blast

```

1.3.5 Nat

```

lemma param-nat1[param]:
  (0, 0::nat) ∈ Id
  (Suc, Suc) ∈ Id → Id
  (1, 1::nat) ∈ Id
  (numeral n::nat,numeral n::nat) ∈ Id
  ((<), (<) ::nat ⇒ -) ∈ Id → Id → Id
  ((≤), (≤) ::nat ⇒ -) ∈ Id → Id → Id
  ((=), (=) ::nat ⇒ -) ∈ Id → Id → Id
  ((+ ::nat⇒-,+)) ∈ Id → Id → Id
  ((- ::nat⇒-,-)) ∈ Id → Id → Id
  ((*) ::nat⇒-,(*)) ∈ Id → Id → Id
  ((div) ::nat⇒-,(div)) ∈ Id → Id → Id
  ((mod) ::nat⇒-,(mod)) ∈ Id → Id → Id
  by auto

lemma param-case-nat[param]:
  (case-nat,case-nat) ∈ Ra → (Id → Ra) → Id → Ra
  apply (intro fun-rell)
  apply (auto split: nat.split dest: fun-reld)
  done

```

```

lemma param-rec-nat[param]:
  (rec-nat,rec-nat) ∈ R → (Id → R → R) → Id → R
  proof (intro fun-rell, goal-cases)
    case (1 s s' ff' n n') thus ?case
      apply (induct n' arbitrary: n s s')
      apply (fastforce simp: fun-rel-def)+
      done
  qed

```

1.3.6 Int

```
lemma param-int[param]:
```

```
(0, 0::int) ∈ Id
(1, 1::int) ∈ Id
(numeral n::int,numeral n::int) ∈ Id
((<), (<) ::int ⇒ -) ∈ Id → Id → Id
((≤), (≤) ::int ⇒ -) ∈ Id → Id → Id
((=), (=) ::int ⇒ -) ∈ Id → Id → Id
((+) ::int⇒-,(+))∈Id→Id→Id
((-) ::int⇒-,(-))∈Id→Id→Id
((*) ::int⇒-,(*))∈Id→Id→Id
((div) ::int⇒-,(div))∈Id→Id→Id
((mod) ::int⇒-,(mod))∈Id→Id→Id
by auto
```

1.3.7 Product

```
lemma param-unit[param]: (((),())∈unit-rel by auto
```

```
lemma rec-prod-is-case: old.rec-prod = case-prod
  by (rule ext)+ (auto split: bool.split)
```

```
lemma param-prod[param]:
  (Pair,Pair)∈Ra → Rb → ⟨Ra,Rb⟩prod-rel
  (case-prod,case-prod) ∈ (Ra → Rb → Rr) → ⟨Ra,Rb⟩prod-rel → Rr
  (old.rec-prod,old.rec-prod) ∈ (Ra → Rb → Rr) → ⟨Ra,Rb⟩prod-rel → Rr
  (fst,fst)∈⟨Ra,Rb⟩prod-rel → Ra
  (snd,snd)∈⟨Ra,Rb⟩prod-rel → Rb
  by (auto dest: fun-relD split: prod.split
    simp: prod-rel-def rec-prod-is-case)
```

```
lemma param-case-prod':
  [[ (p,p')∈⟨Ra,Rb⟩prod-rel;
    ⋀ a b a' b'. [[ p=(a,b); p'=(a',b'); (a,a')∈Ra; (b,b')∈Rb ]]
    ==> (f a b, f' a' b')∈R
  ]] ==> (case-prod f p, case-prod f' p') ∈ R
  by (auto split: prod.split)
```

```
lemma param-case-prod'':
  [[
    ⋀ a b a' b'. [[p=(a,b); p'=(a',b')]] ==> (f a b, f' a' b')∈R
  ]] ==> (case-prod f p, case-prod f' p') ∈ R
  by (auto split: prod.split)
```

```
lemma param-map-prod[param]:
  (map-prod, map-prod)
  ∈ (Ra→Rb) → (Rc→Rd) → ⟨Ra,Rc⟩prod-rel → ⟨Rb,Rd⟩prod-rel
  unfolding map-prod-def[abs-def]
  by parametricity
```

```

lemma param-apfst[param]:
  (apfst,apfst) ∈ (Ra → Rb) → ⟨Ra,Rc⟩ prod-rel → ⟨Rb,Rc⟩ prod-rel
  unfolding apfst-def[abs-def] by parametricity

lemma param-apsnd[param]:
  (apsnd,apsnd) ∈ (Rb → Rc) → ⟨Ra,Rb⟩ prod-rel → ⟨Ra,Rc⟩ prod-rel
  unfolding apsnd-def[abs-def] by parametricity

lemma param-curry[param]:
  (curry,curry) ∈ ((⟨Ra,Rb⟩ prod-rel → Rc) → Ra → Rb → Rc
  unfolding curry-def by parametricity

lemma param-uncurry[param]: (uncurry,uncurry) ∈ (A → B → C) → A ×r B → C
  unfolding uncurry-def[abs-def] by parametricity

lemma param-prod-swap[param]: (prod.swap, prod.swap) ∈ A ×r B → B ×r A by auto

context partial-function-definitions begin
  lemma
    assumes M: monotone le-fun le-fun F
    and M': monotone le-fun le-fun F'
    assumes ADM:
      admissible (λa. ∀x xa. (x, xa) ∈ Rb → (a x, fixp-fun F' xa) ∈ Ra)
    assumes bot: ⋀x xa. (x, xa) ∈ Rb ⇒ (lub {}, fixp-fun F' xa) ∈ Ra
    assumes F: (F,F') ∈ (Rb → Ra) → Rb → Ra
    assumes A: (x,x') ∈ Rb
    shows (fixp-fun F x, fixp-fun F' x') ∈ Ra
    using A
    apply (induct arbitrary: x x' rule: ccpo.fixp-induct[OF ccpo - M])
    apply (rule ADM)
    apply(simp add: fun-lub-def bot)
    apply (subst ccpo.fixp-unfold[OF ccpo M'])
    apply (parametricity add: F)
    done
  end

```

1.3.8 Option

```

lemma param-option[param]:
  (None,None) ∈ ⟨R⟩ option-rel
  (Some,Some) ∈ R → ⟨R⟩ option-rel
  (case-option,case-option) ∈ Rr → (R → Rr) → ⟨R⟩ option-rel → Rr
  (rec-option,rec-option) ∈ Rr → (R → Rr) → ⟨R⟩ option-rel → Rr
  by (auto split: option.split
    simp: option-rel-def case-option-def[symmetric]
    dest: fun-relD)

lemma param-map-option[param]: (map-option, map-option) ∈ (A → B) → ⟨A⟩ option-rel
  → ⟨B⟩ option-rel

```

```

apply (intro fun-relI)
apply (auto elim!: option-relE dest: fun-relD)
done

lemma param-case-option':
 $\llbracket (x,x') \in \langle Rv \rangle \text{option-rel};$ 
 $\llbracket x = \text{None}; x' = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies (fn, fn') \in R;$ 
 $\wedge v v'. \llbracket x = \text{Some } v; x' = \text{Some } v'; (v, v') \in Rv \rrbracket \implies (fs v, fs' v') \in R$ 
 $\rrbracket \implies (\text{case-option } fn \text{ } fs \text{ } x, \text{case-option } fn' \text{ } fs' \text{ } x') \in R$ 
by (auto split: option.split)

lemma the-paramL:  $\llbracket l \neq \text{None}; (l, r) \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \rrbracket \implies (\text{the } l, \text{the } r) \in R$ 
apply (cases l)
by (auto elim: option-relE)

lemma the-paramR:  $\llbracket r \neq \text{None}; (l, r) \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \rrbracket \implies (\text{the } l, \text{the } r) \in R$ 
apply (cases l)
by (auto elim: option-relE)

lemma the-default-param[param]:
 $(\text{the-default}, \text{the-default}) \in R \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \rightarrow R$ 
unfolding the-default-def
by parametricity

```

1.3.9 Sum

```

lemma rec-sum-is-case: old.rec-sum = case-sum
by (rule ext)+ (auto split: sum.split)

lemma param-sum[param]:
 $(\text{Inl}, \text{Inl}) \in Rl \rightarrow \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel}$ 
 $(\text{Inr}, \text{Inr}) \in Rr \rightarrow \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel}$ 
 $(\text{case-sum}, \text{case-sum}) \in (Rl \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (Rr \rightarrow R) \rightarrow \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel} \rightarrow R$ 
 $(\text{old.rec-sum}, \text{old.rec-sum}) \in (Rl \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (Rr \rightarrow R) \rightarrow \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel} \rightarrow R$ 
by (fastforce split: sum.split dest: fun-relD
      simp: rec-sum-is-case)+

lemma param-case-sum':
 $\llbracket (s, s') \in \langle Rl, Rr \rangle \text{sum-rel};$ 
 $\wedge l l'. \llbracket s = \text{Inl } l; s' = \text{Inl } l' ; (l, l') \in Rl \rrbracket \implies (fl l, fl' l') \in R;$ 
 $\wedge r r'. \llbracket s = \text{Inr } r; s' = \text{Inr } r' ; (r, r') \in Rr \rrbracket \implies (fr r, fr' r') \in R$ 
 $\rrbracket \implies (\text{case-sum } fl \text{ } fr \text{ } s, \text{case-sum } fl' \text{ } fr' \text{ } s') \in R$ 
by (auto split: sum.split)

primrec is-Inl where is-Inl (Inl -) = True | is-Inl (Inr -) = False
primrec is-Inr where is-Inr (Inr -) = True | is-Inr (Inl -) = False

lemma is-Inl-param[param]: (is-Inl, is-Inl)  $\in \langle Ra, Rb \rangle \text{sum-rel} \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 
unfolding is-Inl-def by parametricity

```

```

lemma is-Inr-param[param]: (is-Inr,is-Inr) ∈ ⟨Ra,Rb⟩sum-rel → bool-rel
  unfolding is-Inr-def by parametricity

lemma sum-projl-param[param]:
  [is-Inl s; (s',s) ∈ ⟨Ra,Rb⟩sum-rel]
  ⟹ (Sum-Type.sum.projl s',Sum-Type.sum.projl s) ∈ Ra
  apply (cases s)
  apply (auto elim: sum-relE)
  done

lemma sum-projr-param[param]:
  [is-Inr s; (s',s) ∈ ⟨Ra,Rb⟩sum-rel]
  ⟹ (Sum-Type.sum.projr s',Sum-Type.sum.projr s) ∈ Rb
  apply (cases s)
  apply (auto elim: sum-relE)
  done

```

1.3.10 List

```

lemma list-rel-append1: (as @ bs, l) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel
  ⟷ (exists cs ds. l = cs@ds ∧ (as,cs) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel ∧ (bs,ds) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel)
  apply (simp add: list-rel-def list-all2-append1)
  apply auto
  apply (metis list-all2-lengthD)
  done

```

```

lemma list-rel-append2: (l,as @ bs) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel
  ⟷ (exists cs ds. l = cs@ds ∧ (cs,as) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel ∧ (ds,bs) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel)
  apply (simp add: list-rel-def list-all2-append2)
  apply auto
  apply (metis list-all2-lengthD)
  done

```

```

lemma param-append[param]:
  (append, append) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel → ⟨R⟩list-rel → ⟨R⟩list-rel
  by (auto simp: list-rel-def list-all2-appendI)

```

```

lemma param-list1[param]:
  (Nil,Nil) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel
  (Cons,Cons) ∈ R → ⟨R⟩list-rel → ⟨R⟩list-rel
  (case-list,case-list) ∈ Rr → (R → ⟨R⟩list-rel → Rr) → ⟨R⟩list-rel → Rr
  apply (force dest: fun-relD split: list.split) +
  done

```

```

lemma param-rec-list[param]:
  (rec-list,rec-list) ∈ Ra → (Rb → ⟨Rb⟩list-rel → Ra → Ra) → ⟨Rb⟩list-rel → Ra
  proof (intro fun-relI, goal-cases)

```

```

case prems: (1 a a' ff' l l')
from prems(3) show ?case
  using prems(1,2)
  apply (induct arbitrary: a a')
  apply simp
  apply (fastforce dest: fun-relD)
  done
qed

lemma param-case-list':
   $\llbracket (l,l') \in \langle Rb \rangle \text{list-rel};$ 
   $\llbracket l = [] ; l' = [] \rrbracket \implies (n,n') \in Ra;$ 
   $\bigwedge x xs x' xs'. \llbracket l = x \# xs ; l' = x' \# xs'; (x,x') \in Rb; (xs,xs') \in \langle Rb \rangle \text{list-rel} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies (c x xs, c' x' xs') \in Ra$ 
   $\rrbracket \implies (\text{case-list } n\ c\ l, \text{case-list } n'\ c'\ l') \in Ra$ 
  by (auto split: list.split)

lemma param-map[param]:
  (map,map) ∈ (R1 → R2) → ⟨R1⟩ list-rel → ⟨R2⟩ list-rel
  unfolding map-rec[abs-def] by (parametricity)

lemma param-fold[param]:
  (fold,fold) ∈ (Re → Rs → Rs) → ⟨Re⟩ list-rel → Rs → Rs
  (foldl,foldl) ∈ (Rs → Re → Rs) → Rs → ⟨Re⟩ list-rel → Rs
  (foldr,foldr) ∈ (Re → Rs → Rs) → ⟨Re⟩ list-rel → Rs → Rs
  unfolding List.fold-def List.foldr-def List.foldl-def
  by (parametricity)+

lemma param-list-all[param]: (list-all,list-all) ∈ (A → bool-rel) → ⟨A⟩ list-rel →
bool-rel
  by (fold rel2p-def) (simp add: rel2p List.list-all-transfer)

context begin
  private primrec list-all2-alt :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ bool
  where
    list-all2-alt P [] ys ←→ (case ys of [] ⇒ True | _ ⇒ False)
    | list-all2-alt P (x#xs) ys ←→ (case ys of [] ⇒ False | y#ys ⇒ P x y ∧ list-all2-alt
    P xs ys)

  private lemma list-all2-alt: list-all2 P xs ys = list-all2-alt P xs ys
  by (induction xs arbitrary: ys) (auto split: list.splits)

lemma param-list-all2[param]: (list-all2, list-all2) ∈ (A → B → bool-rel) → ⟨A⟩ list-rel
→ ⟨B⟩ list-rel → bool-rel
  unfolding list-all2-alt[abs-def]
  unfolding list-all2-alt-def[abs-def]
  by parametricity

end

```

```

lemma param-hd[param]:  $l \neq [] \implies (l', l) \in \langle A \rangle \text{list-rel} \implies (\text{hd } l', \text{hd } l) \in A$ 
  unfolding hd-def by (auto split: list.splits)

lemma param-last[param]:
  assumes  $y \neq []$ 
  assumes  $(x, y) \in \langle A \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
  shows  $(\text{last } x, \text{last } y) \in A$ 
  using assms(2,1)
  by (induction rule: list-rel-induct) auto

lemma param-rotate1[param]:  $(\text{rotate1}, \text{rotate1}) \in \langle A \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow \langle A \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
  unfolding rotate1-def by parametricity

schematic-goal param-take[param]:  $(\text{take}, \text{take}) \in (?R:(-\times-) \text{ set})$ 
  unfolding take-def
  by (parametricity)

schematic-goal param-drop[param]:  $(\text{drop}, \text{drop}) \in (?R:(-\times-) \text{ set})$ 
  unfolding drop-def
  by (parametricity)

schematic-goal param-length[param]:
   $(\text{length}, \text{length}) \in (?R:(-\times-) \text{ set})$ 
  unfolding size-list-overloaded-def size-list-def
  by (parametricity)

fun list-eq :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  list-eq eq [] []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | list-eq eq (a#l) (a'#l')  $\longleftrightarrow$  (if eq a a' then list-eq eq l l' else False)
  | list-eq - - -  $\longleftrightarrow$  False

lemma param-list-eq[param]:
  (list-eq, list-eq)  $\in$   $(R \rightarrow R \rightarrow \text{Id}) \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow \text{Id}$ 
  proof (intro fun-relI, goal-cases)
    case prems: (1 eq eq' l1 l1' l2 l2')
    thus ?case
      apply -
      apply (induct eq' l1' l2' arbitrary: l1 l2 rule: list-eq.induct)
      apply (simp-all only: list-eq.simps |
        elim list-relE |
        parametricity)++
      done
    qed

lemma id-list-eq-aux[simp]: (list-eq (=)) = (=)
  proof (intro ext)

```

```

fix l1 l2 :: 'a list
show list-eq (=) l1 l2 = (l1 = l2)
  apply (induct (=) :: 'a ⇒ - l1 l2 rule: list-eq.induct)
  apply simp-all
  done
qed

lemma param-list-equals[param]:
  [[(=), (=)] ∈ R → R → Id]
  ⇒ ((=), (=)) ∈ ⟨R⟩ list-rel → ⟨R⟩ list-rel → Id
  unfolding id-list-eq-aux[symmetric]
  by (parametricity)

lemma param-tl[param]:
  (tl, tl) ∈ ⟨R⟩ list-rel → ⟨R⟩ list-rel
  unfolding tl-def[abs-def]
  by (parametricity)

primrec list-all-rec where
  list-all-rec P [] ←→ True
  | list-all-rec P (a#l) ←→ P a ∧ list-all-rec P l

primrec list-ex-rec where
  list-ex-rec P [] ←→ False
  | list-ex-rec P (a#l) ←→ P a ∨ list-ex-rec P l

lemma list-all-rec-eq: (∀ x ∈ set l. P x) = list-all-rec P l
  by (induct l) auto

lemma list-ex-rec-eq: (∃ x ∈ set l. P x) = list-ex-rec P l
  by (induct l) auto

lemma param-list-ball[param]:
  [[(P, P') ∈ (Ra → Id); (l, l') ∈ ⟨Ra⟩ list-rel]]
  ⇒ (∀ x ∈ set l. P x, ∀ x ∈ set l'. P' x) ∈ Id
  unfolding list-all-rec-eq
  unfolding list-all-rec-def
  by (parametricity)

lemma param-list-bex[param]:
  [[(P, P') ∈ (Ra → Id); (l, l') ∈ ⟨Ra⟩ list-rel]]
  ⇒ (∃ x ∈ set l. P x, ∃ x ∈ set l'. P' x) ∈ Id
  unfolding list-ex-rec-eq[abs-def]
  unfolding list-ex-rec-def
  by (parametricity)

lemma param-rev[param]: (rev, rev) ∈ ⟨R⟩ list-rel → ⟨R⟩ list-rel
  unfolding rev-def

```

by (*parametricity*)

```
lemma param-foldli[param]: (foldli, foldli)
  ∈ ⟨Re⟩list-rel → (Rs→Id) → (Re→Rs→Rs) → Rs → Rs
unfolding foldli-def
by parametricity
```

```
lemma param-foldri[param]: (foldri, foldri)
  ∈ ⟨Re⟩list-rel → (Rs→Id) → (Re→Rs→Rs) → Rs → Rs
unfolding foldri-def[abs-def]
by parametricity
```

```
lemma param-nth[param]:
assumes I:  $i' < \text{length } l'$ 
assumes IR:  $(i, i') \in \text{nat-rel}$ 
assumes LR:  $(l, l') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
shows  $(\text{ll}i, l'i') \in R$ 
using LR I IR
by (induct arbitrary:  $i$   $i'$  rule: list-rel-induct)
  (auto simp: nth.simps split: nat.split)
```

```
lemma param-replicate[param]:
  (replicate, replicate) ∈ nat-rel → R → ⟨R⟩list-rel
unfolding replicate-def by parametricity
```

```
term list-update
lemma param-list-update[param]:
  (list-update, list-update) ∈ ⟨Ra⟩list-rel → nat-rel → Ra → ⟨Ra⟩list-rel
unfolding list-update-def[abs-def] by parametricity
```

```
lemma param-zip[param]:
  (zip, zip) ∈ ⟨Ra⟩list-rel → ⟨Rb⟩list-rel → ⟨⟨Ra, Rb⟩prod-rel⟩list-rel
unfolding zip-def by parametricity
```

```
lemma param-upt[param]:
  (upt, upt) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩list-rel
unfolding upt-def[abs-def] by parametricity
```

```
lemma param-concat[param]: (concat, concat) ∈
  ⟨⟨R⟩list-rel⟩list-rel → ⟨R⟩list-rel
unfolding concat-def[abs-def] by parametricity
```

```
lemma param-all-interval-nat[param]:
  (List.all-interval-nat, List.all-interval-nat)
  ∈ (nat-rel → bool-rel) → nat-rel → nat-rel → bool-rel
unfolding List.all-interval-nat-def[abs-def]
apply parametricity
apply simp
done
```

```
lemma param-dropWhile[param]:
  (dropWhile, dropWhile) ∈ (a → bool-rel) → ⟨a⟩list-rel → ⟨a⟩list-rel
  unfolding dropWhile-def by parametricity

lemma param-takeWhile[param]:
  (takeWhile, takeWhile) ∈ (a → bool-rel) → ⟨a⟩list-rel → ⟨a⟩list-rel
  unfolding takeWhile-def by parametricity

end
```

Chapter 2

Automatic Refinement

2.1 Automatic Refinement Tool

```
theory Autoref-Tool
imports
  Autoref-Translate
  Autoref-Gen-Algo
  Autoref-Relator-Interface
begin
```

2.1.1 Standard setup

Declaration of standard phases

```
declaration <fn phi => let open Autoref-Phases in
  I
  #> register-phase id-op 10 Autoref-Id-Ops.id-phase phi
  #> register-phase rel-inf 20
    Autoref-Rel-Inf.roi-phase phi
  #> register-phase fix-rel 22
    Autoref-Fix-Rel.phase phi
  #> register-phase trans 30
    Autoref-Translate.trans-phase phi
end
>
```

Main method

```
method-setup autoref = <let
  open Refine-Util
  val autoref-flags =
    parse-bool-config trace Autoref-Phases.cfg-trace
    || parse-bool-config debug Autoref-Phases.cfg-debug
    || parse-bool-config keep-goal Autoref-Phases.cfg-keep-goal
  val autoref-phases =
```

```

Args.$$$ phases |-- Args.colon |-- Scan.repeat1 Args.name

in
parse-paren-lists autoref-flags
|-- Scan.option (Scan.lift (autoref-phases)) >>
( fn phases => fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
(
  case phases of
    NONE => Autoref-Phases.all-phases-tac
    | SOME names => Autoref-Phases.phases-tacN names
  ) (Autoref-Phases.init-data ctxt)
  (* TODO: If we want more fine-grained initialization here, solvers have
     to depend on phases, or on data that they initialize if necessary *)
))
end

```

› Automatic Refinement

2.1.2 Tools

```

setup ‹
let
  fun higher-order-rl-of ctxt thm = case Thm.concl-of thm of
    @{mpat Trueprop ((-,?t)∈-)} => let
      val (f,args) = strip-comb t
    in
      if length args = 0 then
        thm
      else let
        val cT = TVar((c,0), @{sort type})
        val c = Var ((c,0),cT)
        val R = Var ((R,0), HOLogic.mk-setT (HOLogic.mk-prodT (cT, fastype-of
f)))
      in
        val goal =
          HOLogic.mk-mem (HOLogic.mk-prod (c,f), R)
          |> HOLogic.mk-Trueprop
        val goal-ctxt = Variable.declare-term goal ctxt
        val res-thm =
          Goal.prove-internal ctxt [] (Thm.cterm-of ctxt goal)
          (fn _ =>
            REPEAT (resolve-tac goal-ctxt @{thms fun-refl} 1)
            THEN (resolve-tac goal-ctxt [thm] 1)
            THEN (ALLGOALS (assume-tac goal-ctxt)))
        in
          res-thm
        end
      end
    | _ => raise THM(Expected autoref rule, ~1,[thm])

```

```

val higher-order-rl-attr =
  Thm.rule-attribute [] (higher-order-rl-of o Context.proof-of)
in
  Attrib.setup @{binding autoref-higher-order-rule}
    (Scan.succeed higher-order-rl-attr) Autoref: Convert rule to higher-order form
end

```

›

2.1.3 Advanced Debugging

method-setup autoref-trans-step-keep = ‹
 Scan.succeed (fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
 Autoref-Translate.trans-dbg-step-tac (Autoref-Phases.init-data ctxt)
)))
 › Single translation step, leaving unsolved side-conditions

method-setup autoref-trans-step = ‹
 Scan.succeed (fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
 Autoref-Translate.trans-step-tac (Autoref-Phases.init-data ctxt)
)))
 › Single translation step

method-setup autoref-trans-step-only = ‹
 Scan.succeed (fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
 Autoref-Translate.trans-step-only-tac (Autoref-Phases.init-data ctxt)
)))
 › Single translation step, not attempting to solve side-conditions

method-setup autoref-side = ‹
 Scan.succeed (fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
 Autoref-Translate.side-dbg-tac (Autoref-Phases.init-data ctxt)
)))
 › Solve side condition, leave unsolved subgoals

method-setup autoref-try-solve = ‹
 Scan.succeed (fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
 Autoref-Fix-Rel.try-solve-tac (Autoref-Phases.init-data ctxt)
)))
 › Try to solve constraint and trace debug information

method-setup autoref-solve-step = ‹
 Scan.succeed (fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
 Autoref-Fix-Rel.solve-step-tac (Autoref-Phases.init-data ctxt)
)))
 › Single-step of constraint solver

method-setup autoref-id-op = ‹
 Scan.succeed (fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (

```

    Autoref-Id-Ops.id-tac ctxt
  )) )
  >

method-setup autoref-solve-id-op = ‹
  Scan.succeed (fn ctxt => SIMPLE-METHOD' (
    Autoref-Id-Ops.id-tac (Config.put Autoref-Id-Ops.cfg-ss-id-op false ctxt)
  ))
  >

```

```

ML ‹
structure Autoref-Debug = struct
  fun print-thm-pairs ctxt = let
    val ctxt = Autoref-Phases.init-data ctxt
    val p = Autoref-Fix-Rel.thm-pairsD-get ctxt
    |> Autoref-Fix-Rel.pretty-thm-pairs ctxt
    |> Pretty.string-of
  in
    warning p
  end

  fun print-thm-pairs-matching ctxt cpat = let
    val pat = Thm.term-of cpat
    val ctxt = Autoref-Phases.init-data ctxt
    val thy = Proof-Context.theory-of ctxt

    fun matches NONE = false
    | matches (SOME (-(f,-))) = Pattern.matches thy (pat,f)

    val p = Autoref-Fix-Rel.thm-pairsD-get ctxt
    |> filter (matches o #1)
    |> Autoref-Fix-Rel.pretty-thm-pairs ctxt
    |> Pretty.string-of
  in
    warning p
  end
end
›

```

General casting-tag, that allows type-casting on concrete level, while being identity on abstract level.

definition [simp]: *CAST* \equiv *id*
lemma [autoref-itype]: *CAST* $::_i I \rightarrow_i I$ **by** *simp*

Hide internal stuff

notation (*input*) *rel-ANNOT* (**infix** $\langle\cdot\cdot\cdot_r\rangle$ 10)
notation (*input*) *ind-ANNOT* (**infix** $\langle\cdot\cdot\#\rangle_r$ 10)

```

locale autoref-syn begin
  notation (input) APP (infixl `` $> 900)
  notation (input) rel-ANNOT (infix ::::> 10)
  notation (input) ind-ANNOT (infix :::#> 10)
  notation OP ('OP')
  notation (input) ABS (binder `λ''> 10)
end

no-notation (input) APP (infixl `` $> 900)
no-notation (input) ABS (binder `λ''> 10)

no-notation (input) rel-ANNOT (infix ::::> 10)
no-notation (input) ind-ANNOT (infix :::#> 10)

hide-const (open) PROTECT ANNOT OP APP ABS ID-FAIL rel-annot ind-annot
end

```

2.2 Standard HOL Bindings

```

theory Autoref-Bindings-HOL
imports Tool/Autoref-Tool
begin

```

2.2.1 Structural Expansion

In some situations, autoref imitates the operations on typeclasses and the typeclass hierarchy. This may result in structural mismatches, e.g., a hash-code side-condition may look like:

```
is-hashcode (prod-eq (=) (=)) hashcode
```

This cannot be discharged by the rule

```
is-hashcode (=) hashcode
```

In order to handle such cases, we introduce a set of simplification lemmas that expand the structure of an operator as far as possible. These lemmas are integrated into a tagged solver, that can prove equality between operators modulo structural expansion.

```

definition [simp]: STRUCT-EQ-tag x y ≡ x = y
lemma STRUCT-EQ-tagI: x=y ==> STRUCT-EQ-tag x y by simp

```

```

ML ‹
structure Autoref-Struct-Expand = struct
  structure autoref-struct-expand = Named-Thms (

```

```

val name = @{binding autoref-struct-expand}
val description = Autoref: Structural expansion lemmas
)

fun expand-tac ctxt = let
  val ss = put-simpset HOL-basic-ss ctxt addsimps autoref-struct-expand.get ctxt
in
  SOLVED' (asm-simp-tac ss)
end

val setup = autoref-struct-expand.setup
val decl-setup = fn phi =>
  Tagged-Solver.declare-solver @{thms STRUCT-EQ-tagI} @{binding STRUCT-EQ}

Autoref: Equality modulo structural expansion
(expand-tac) phi

end
>

setup Autoref-Struct-Expand.setup
declaration Autoref-Struct-Expand.decl-setup

Sometimes, also relators must be expanded. Usually to check them to be
the identity relator

definition [simp]: REL-IS-ID R ≡ R=Id
definition [simp]: REL-FORCE-ID R ≡ R=Id
lemma REL-IS-ID-trigger: R=Id ==> REL-IS-ID R by simp
lemma REL-FORCE-ID-trigger: R=Id ==> REL-FORCE-ID R by simp

declaration <Tagged-Solver.add-triggers
  Relators.relator-props-solver @{thms REL-IS-ID-trigger}>

declaration <Tagged-Solver.add-triggers
  Relators.force-relator-props-solver @{thms REL-FORCE-ID-trigger}>

abbreviation PREFER-id R ≡ PREFER REL-IS-ID R

```

lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of fun-rel i-fun]

2.2.2 Booleans

consts

i-bool :: interface

lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[*of* bool-rel *i*-bool]

lemma [autoref-itype]:

True ::_{*i*} *i*-bool
False ::_{*i*} *i*-bool
conj ::_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *i*-bool
(\longleftrightarrow) ::_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *i*-bool
(\rightarrow) ::_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *i*-bool
disj ::_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *i*-bool
Not ::_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *i*-bool
case-bool ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *I*
old.rec-bool ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *i*-bool →_{*i*} *I*

by auto

lemma autoref-bool[autoref-rules]:

(*x,x*) ∈ bool-rel
(*conj, conj*) ∈ bool-rel → bool-rel → bool-rel
(*disj, disj*) ∈ bool-rel → bool-rel → bool-rel
(*Not, Not*) ∈ bool-rel → bool-rel
(*case*-bool, *case*-bool) ∈ *R* → *R* → bool-rel → *R*
(*old.rec*-bool, *old.rec*-bool) ∈ *R* → *R* → bool-rel → *R*
((\longleftrightarrow), (\longleftrightarrow)) ∈ bool-rel → bool-rel → bool-rel
((\rightarrow), (\rightarrow)) ∈ bool-rel → bool-rel → bool-rel
by (auto split: bool.split simp: rec-bool-is-case)

2.2.3 Standard Type Classes

context begin interpretation autoref-syn .

We allow these operators for all interfaces.

lemma [autoref-itype]:

($<$) ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *i*-bool
(\leq) ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *i*-bool
($=$) ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *i*-bool
($+$) ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I*
($-$) ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I*
(*div*) ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I*
(*mod*) ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I*
(*) ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I*
0 ::_{*i*} *I*
1 ::_{*i*} *I*
numeral *x* ::_{*i*} *I*
uminus ::_{*i*} *I* →_{*i*} *I*

by auto

lemma pat-num-generic[autoref-op-pat]:

0 ≡ OP 0 ::; *I*

$1 \equiv OP\ 1 ::_i I$
 $\text{numeral } x \equiv (OP\ (\text{numeral } x) ::_i I)$
by simp-all

lemma [*autoref-rules*]:
assumes PRIO-TAG-GEN-ALGO
shows $((<), (<)) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$
and $((\leq), (\leq)) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$
and $((=), (=)) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$
and $(\text{numeral } x, OP\ (\text{numeral } x) ::_i Id) \in Id$
and $(uminus, uminus) \in Id \rightarrow Id$
and $(0, 0) \in Id$
and $(1, 1) \in Id$
by auto

2.2.4 Functional Combinators

lemma [*autoref-itype*]: $id ::_i I \rightarrow_i I$ **by simp**
lemma autoref-id[*autoref-rules*]: $(id, id) \in R \rightarrow R$ **by auto**

term (\circ)
lemma [*autoref-itype*]: $(\circ) ::_i (Ia \rightarrow_i Ib) \rightarrow_i (Ic \rightarrow_i Ia) \rightarrow_i Ic \rightarrow_i Ib$
by simp
lemma autoref-comp[*autoref-rules*]:
 $((\circ), (\circ)) \in (Ra \rightarrow Rb) \rightarrow (Rc \rightarrow Ra) \rightarrow Rc \rightarrow Rb$
by (auto dest: fun-relD)

lemma [*autoref-itype*]: $If ::_i i\text{-bool} \rightarrow_i I \rightarrow_i I \rightarrow_i I$ **by simp**
lemma autoref-If[*autoref-rules*]: $(If, If) \in Id \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R$ **by auto**
lemma autoref-If-cong[*autoref-rules*]:
assumes $(c', c) \in Id$
assumes REMOVE-INTERNAL $c \implies (t', t) \in R$
assumes $\neg \text{REMOVE-INTERNAL } c \implies (e', e) \in R$
shows $(If\ c'\ t'\ e', (OP\ If ::_i Id \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R) \$ c \$ t \$ e) \in R$
using assms by (auto)

lemma [*autoref-itype*]: Let $::_i Ix \rightarrow_i (Ix \rightarrow_i Iy) \rightarrow_i Iy$ **by auto**
lemma autoref-Let:
 $(Let, Let) \in Ra \rightarrow (Ra \rightarrow Rr) \rightarrow Rr$
by (auto dest: fun-relD)

lemma autoref-Let-cong[*autoref-rules*]:
assumes $(x', x) \in Ra$
assumes $\bigwedge y\ y'. \text{REMOVE-INTERNAL } (x = y) \implies (y', y) \in Ra \implies (f'\ y', f\$y) \in Rr$
shows $(Let\ x'\ f', (OP\ Let ::_i Ra \rightarrow (Ra \rightarrow Rr) \rightarrow Rr) \$ x \$ f) \in Rr$
using assms by (auto)

end

2.2.5 Unit

```
consts i-unit :: interface
lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of unit-rel i-unit]
```

```
lemma [autoref-rules]: (((),()) ∈ unit-rel) by simp
```

2.2.6 Nat

```
consts i-nat :: interface
lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of nat-rel i-nat]
```

```
context begin interpretation autoref-syn .
```

```
lemma pat-num-nat[autoref-op-pat]:
  0::nat ≡ OP 0 ::i i-nat
  1::nat ≡ OP 1 ::i i-nat
  (numeral x)::nat ≡ (OP (numeral x) ::i i-nat)
by simp-all

lemma autoref-nat[autoref-rules]:
  (0, 0::nat) ∈ nat-rel
  (Suc, Suc) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel
  (1, 1::nat) ∈ nat-rel
  (numeral n::nat, numeral n::nat) ∈ nat-rel
  ((<), (<) ::nat ⇒ -) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel → bool-rel
  ((≤), (≤) ::nat ⇒ -) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel → bool-rel
  ((=), (=) ::nat ⇒ -) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel → bool-rel
  ((+), (+) ::nat ⇒ -, (+)) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel → nat-rel
  ((-), (-) ::nat ⇒ -, (-)) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel → nat-rel
  ((div), (div) ::nat ⇒ -, (div)) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel → nat-rel
  ((*), (*)) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel → nat-rel
  ((mod), (mod)) ∈ nat-rel → nat-rel → nat-rel
by auto
```

```
lemma autoref-case-nat[autoref-rules]:
  (case-nat, case-nat) ∈ Ra → (Id → Ra) → Id → Ra
apply (intro fun-relI)
apply (auto split: nat.split dest: fun-relD)
done
```

```
lemma autoref-rec-nat: (rec-nat, rec-nat) ∈ R → (Id → R → R) → Id → R
apply (intro fun-relI)
proof goal-cases
  case (1 s s' ff' n n') thus ?case
    apply (induct n' arbitrary: n s s')
    apply (fastforce simp: fun-rel-def)+
    done
  qed
end
```

2.2.7 Int

```

consts i-int :: interface
lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of int-rel i-int]

context begin interpretation autoref-syn .
lemma pat-num-int[autoref-op-pat]:
  0::int ≡ OP 0 ::i i-int
  1::int ≡ OP 1 ::i i-int
  (numeral x)::int ≡ (OP (numeral x) ::i i-int)
by simp-all

lemma autoref-int[autoref-rules (overloaded)]:
  (0, 0::int) ∈ int-rel
  (1, 1::int) ∈ int-rel
  (numeral n::int,numeral n::int) ∈ int-rel
  ((<), (<) ::int ⇒ -) ∈ int-rel → int-rel → bool-rel
  ((≤), (≤) ::int ⇒ -) ∈ int-rel → int-rel → bool-rel
  ((=), (=) ::int ⇒ -) ∈ int-rel → int-rel → bool-rel
  ((+), (+) ::int ⇒ -,(+)) ∈ int-rel → int-rel → int-rel
  ((-), (-) ::int ⇒ -,(-)) ∈ int-rel → int-rel → int-rel
  ((div), (div) ::int ⇒ -(div)) ∈ int-rel → int-rel → int-rel
  (uminus, uminus) ∈ int-rel → int-rel
  ((*), (*)) ∈ int-rel → int-rel → int-rel
  ((mod), (mod)) ∈ int-rel → int-rel → int-rel
by auto
end

```

2.2.8 Product

```

consts i-prod :: interface ⇒ interface ⇒ interface
lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of prod-rel i-prod]

context begin interpretation autoref-syn .

lemma prod-refine[autoref-rules]:

```

```

  (Pair,Pair) ∈ Ra → Rb → ⟨Ra,Rb⟩ prod-rel
  (case-prod,case-prod) ∈ (Ra → Rb → Rr) → ⟨Ra,Rb⟩ prod-rel → Rr
  (old.rec-prod,old.rec-prod) ∈ (Ra → Rb → Rr) → ⟨Ra,Rb⟩ prod-rel → Rr
  (fst,fst) ∈ ⟨Ra,Rb⟩ prod-rel → Ra
  (snd,snd) ∈ ⟨Ra,Rb⟩ prod-rel → Rb
by (auto dest: fun-relD split: prod.split
      simp: prod-rel-def rec-prod-is-case)

```

```

definition prod-eq eqa eqb x1 x2 ≡
  case x1 of (a1,b1) ⇒ case x2 of (a2,b2) ⇒ eqa a1 a2 ∧ eqb b1 b2

```

```

lemma prod-eq-autoref[autoref-rules (overloaded)]:
   $\llbracket \text{GEN-OP } eqa (=) (Ra \rightarrow Ra \rightarrow Id); \text{GEN-OP } eqb (=) (Rb \rightarrow Rb \rightarrow Id) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies (\text{prod-eq } eqa \text{ } eqb, (=)) \in \langle Ra, Rb \rangle \text{prod-rel} \rightarrow \langle Ra, Rb \rangle \text{prod-rel} \rightarrow Id$ 
  unfolding prod-eq-def[abs-def]
  by (fastforce dest: fun-relD)

lemma prod-eq-expand[autoref-struct-expand]:  $(=) = \text{prod-eq } (=) (=)$ 
  unfolding prod-eq-def[abs-def]
  by (auto intro!: ext)
end

```

2.2.9 Option

```

consts i-option :: interface  $\Rightarrow$  interface
lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of option-rel i-option]

context begin interpretation autoref-syn .

lemma autoref-opt[autoref-rules]:
   $(None, None) \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel}$ 
   $(Some, Some) \in R \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel}$ 
   $(\text{case-option}, \text{case-option}) \in Rr \rightarrow (R \rightarrow Rr) \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \rightarrow Rr$ 
   $(\text{rec-option}, \text{rec-option}) \in Rr \rightarrow (R \rightarrow Rr) \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \rightarrow Rr$ 
  by (auto split: option.split
    simp: option-rel-def case-option-def[symmetric]
    dest: fun-relD)

lemma autoref-the[autoref-rules]:
  assumes SIDE-PRECOND ( $x \neq \text{None}$ )
  assumes  $(x', x) \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel}$ 
  shows  $(\text{the } x', (\text{OP the } :: \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \rightarrow R) \$ x) \in R$ 
  using assms
  by (auto simp: option-rel-def)

lemma autoref-the-default[autoref-rules]:
   $(\text{the-default}, \text{the-default}) \in R \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \rightarrow R$ 
  by parametricity

definition [simp]: is-None a  $\equiv$  case a of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | -  $\Rightarrow$  False
lemma pat-isNone[autoref-op-pat]:
   $a = \text{None} \equiv (\text{OP is-None } ::_i \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-option} \rightarrow_i \text{i-bool}) \$ a$ 
   $\text{None} = a \equiv (\text{OP is-None } ::_i \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-option} \rightarrow_i \text{i-bool}) \$ a$ 
  by (auto intro!: eq-reflection split: option.splits)

lemma autoref-is-None[param,autoref-rules]:
   $(\text{is-None}, \text{is-None}) \in \langle R \rangle \text{option-rel} \rightarrow Id$ 
  by (auto split: option.splits)

lemma fold-is-None:  $x = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{is-None } x$  by (cases x) auto

```

```

definition option-eq eq v1 v2 ≡
  case (v1,v2) of
    (None,None) ⇒ True
  | (Some x1, Some x2) ⇒ eq x1 x2
  | - ⇒ False

lemma option-eq-autoref[autoref-rules (overloaded)]:
  [GEN-OP eq (=) (R→R→Id)]
  ⇒ (option-eq eq, (=)) ∈ ⟨R⟩option-rel → ⟨R⟩option-rel → Id
  unfolding option-eq-def[abs-def]
  by (auto dest: fun-relD split: option.splits elim!: option-relE)

lemma option-eq-expand[autoref-struct-expand]:
  (=) = option-eq (=)
  by (auto intro!: ext simp: option-eq-def split: option.splits)
end

```

2.2.10 Sum-Types

```

consts i-sum :: interface ⇒ interface ⇒ interface
lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of sum-rel i-sum]

```

```

context begin interpretation autoref-syn .

```

```

lemma autoref-sum[autoref-rules]:
  (Inl,Inl) ∈ Rl → ⟨Rl,Rr⟩sum-rel
  (Inr,Inr) ∈ Rr → ⟨Rl,Rr⟩sum-rel
  (case-sum,case-sum) ∈ (Rl → R) → (Rr → R) → ⟨Rl,Rr⟩sum-rel → R
  (old.rec-sum,old.rec-sum) ∈ (Rl → R) → (Rr → R) → ⟨Rl,Rr⟩sum-rel → R
  by (fastforce split: sum.split dest: fun-relD
    simp: rec-sum-is-case)+

definition sum-eq eql eqr s1 s2 ≡
  case (s1,s2) of
    (Inl x1, Inl x2) ⇒ eql x1 x2
  | (Inr x1, Inr x2) ⇒ eqr x1 x2
  | - ⇒ False

lemma sum-eq-autoref[autoref-rules (overloaded)]:
  [GEN-OP eql (=) (Rl→Rl→Id); GEN-OP eqr (=) (Rr→Rr→Id)]
  ⇒ (sum-eq eql eqr, (=)) ∈ ⟨Rl,Rr⟩sum-rel → ⟨Rl,Rr⟩sum-rel → Id
  unfolding sum-eq-def[abs-def]
  by (fastforce dest: fun-relD elim!: sum-relE)

lemma sum-eq-expand[autoref-struct-expand]: (=) = sum-eq (=) (=)
  by (auto intro!: ext simp: sum-eq-def split: sum.splits)

```

```

lemmas [autoref-rules] = is-Inl-param is-Inr-param

lemma autoref-sum-Proj[autoref-rules]:
  [SIDE-PRECOND (is-Inl s); (s',s) ∈⟨Ra,Rb⟩sum-rel]
  ==> (Sum-Type.sum.projl s', (OP Sum-Type.sum.projl ::: ⟨Ra,Rb⟩sum-rel → Ra)$s) ∈Ra
  by simp parametricity

lemma autoref-sum-Projr[autoref-rules]:
  [SIDE-PRECOND (is-Inr s); (s',s) ∈⟨Ra,Rb⟩sum-rel]
  ==> (Sum-Type.sum.projr s', (OP Sum-Type.sum.projr ::: ⟨Ra,Rb⟩sum-rel → Rb)$s) ∈Rb
  by simp parametricity

end

```

2.2.11 List

```

consts i-list :: interface ⇒ interface
lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of list-rel i-list]

```

```
context begin interpretation autoref-syn .
```

```

lemma autoref-append[autoref-rules]:
  (append, append) ∈⟨R⟩list-rel → ⟨R⟩list-rel → ⟨R⟩list-rel
  by (auto simp: list-rel-def list-all2-appendI)

lemma refine-list[autoref-rules]:
  (Nil,Nil) ∈⟨R⟩list-rel
  (Cons,Cons) ∈R → ⟨R⟩list-rel → ⟨R⟩list-rel
  (case-list,case-list) ∈Rr → (R → ⟨R⟩list-rel → Rr) → ⟨R⟩list-rel → Rr
  apply (force dest: fun-relD split: list.split)+
  done

lemma autoref-rec-list[autoref-rules]: (rec-list,rec-list)
  ∈ Ra → (Rb → ⟨Rb⟩list-rel → Ra → Ra) → ⟨Rb⟩list-rel → Ra
proof (intro fun-relI, goal-cases)
  case prems: (1 a a' f f' l l')
  from prems(3) show ?case
    using prems(1,2)
    apply (induct arbitrary: a a')
    apply simp
    apply (fastforce dest: fun-relD)
    done
qed

lemma refine-map[autoref-rules]:
  (map,map) ∈(R1 → R2) → ⟨R1⟩list-rel → ⟨R2⟩list-rel

```

```

using [[autoref-sbias = -1]]
unfolding map-rec[abs-def]
by autoref

lemma refine-fold[autoref-rules]:
  (fold,fold) $\in$ ( $R\text{e} \rightarrow R\text{s} \rightarrow R\text{s}$ )  $\rightarrow$   $\langle R\text{e} \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow R\text{s} \rightarrow R\text{s}$ 
  (foldl,foldl) $\in$ ( $R\text{s} \rightarrow R\text{e} \rightarrow R\text{s}$ )  $\rightarrow$   $R\text{s} \rightarrow \langle R\text{e} \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow R\text{s}$ 
  (foldr,foldr) $\in$ ( $R\text{e} \rightarrow R\text{s} \rightarrow R\text{s}$ )  $\rightarrow$   $\langle R\text{e} \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow R\text{s} \rightarrow R\text{s}$ 
  unfolding List.fold-def List.foldr-def List.foldl-def
  by (autoref)+

schematic-goal autoref-take[autoref-rules]: ( $\text{take},\text{take}$ ) $\in$ (? $R::(-\times -)$  set)
  unfolding take-def by autoref
schematic-goal autoref-drop[autoref-rules]: ( $\text{drop},\text{drop}$ ) $\in$ (? $R::(-\times -)$  set)
  unfolding drop-def by autoref
schematic-goal autoref-length[autoref-rules]:
  (length,length) $\in$ (? $R::(-\times -)$  set)
  unfolding size-list-overloaded-def size-list-def
  by (autoref)

lemma autoref-nth[autoref-rules]:
  assumes ( $l,l'$ ) $\in$  $\langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
  assumes ( $i,i'$ ) $\in$  $Id$ 
  assumes SIDE-PRECOND ( $i' < \text{length } l'$ )
  shows ( $\text{nth } l \ i, (\text{OP nth } :: \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow Id \rightarrow R) \$ l \$ i') \in R$ 
  unfolding ANNOT-def
  using assms
  apply (induct arbitrary:  $i \ i'$ )
  apply simp
  apply (case-tac  $i'$ )
  apply auto
  done

fun list-eq :: (' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  list-eq eq [] []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | list-eq eq ( $a \# l$ ) ( $a' \# l'$ )
     $\longleftrightarrow$  (if eq  $a \ a'$  then list-eq eq  $l \ l'$  else False)
  | list-eq - - -  $\longleftrightarrow$  False

lemma autoref-list-eq-aux:
  (list-eq,list-eq)  $\in$ 
    ( $R \rightarrow R \rightarrow Id$ )  $\rightarrow$   $\langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow Id$ 
  proof (intro fun-relI, goal-cases)
  case (1 eq eq'  $l1 \ l1' \ l2 \ l2'$ )
  thus ?case
    apply -
    apply (induct eq'  $l1' \ l2'$  arbitrary:  $l1 \ l2$  rule: list-eq.induct)
    apply simp
    apply (case-tac  $l1$ )

```

```

apply simp
apply (case-tac l2)
apply (simp)
apply (auto dest: fun-relD) []
apply (case-tac l1)
apply simp
apply simp
apply (case-tac l2)
apply simp
apply simp
done
qed

lemma list-eq-expand[autoref-struct-expand]: (=) = (list-eq (=))
proof (intro ext)
fix l1 l2 :: 'a list
show (l1 = l2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  list-eq (=) l1 l2
  apply (induct (=) :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  - l1 l2 rule: list-eq.induct)
  apply simp-all
  done
qed

lemma autoref-list-eq[autoref-rules (overloaded)]:
  GEN-OP eq (=) (R  $\rightarrow$  R  $\rightarrow$  Id)  $\Longrightarrow$  (list-eq eq, (=))
   $\in$   $\langle R \rangle$  list-rel  $\rightarrow$   $\langle R \rangle$  list-rel  $\rightarrow$  Id
  unfolding autoref-tag-defs
  apply (subst list-eq-expand)
  apply (parametricity add: autoref-list-eq-aux)
  done

lemma autoref-hd[autoref-rules]:
   $\llbracket$  SIDE-PRECOND ( $l' \neq []$ );  $(l, l') \in \langle R \rangle$  list-rel  $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 
  ( $hd\ l, (OP\ hd\ :::\ \langle R \rangle$  list-rel  $\rightarrow$  R) $\$l'$ )  $\in R$ 
  apply (simp add: ANNOT-def)
  apply (cases l')
  apply simp
  apply (cases l)
  apply auto
  done

lemma autoref-tl[autoref-rules]:
   $(tl, tl) \in \langle R \rangle$  list-rel  $\rightarrow$   $\langle R \rangle$  list-rel
  unfolding tl-def[abs-def]
  by autoref

definition [simp]: is-Nil a  $\equiv$  case a of []  $\Rightarrow$  True | -  $\Rightarrow$  False

lemma is-Nil-pat[autoref-op-pat]:
  a=[]  $\equiv$  (OP is-Nil ::i  $\langle I \rangle_i$  i-list  $\rightarrow_i$  i-bool) $\$a$ 

```

```

[] = a ≡ (OP is-Nil ::; i ⟨I⟩_i i-list →_i i-bool) $ a
by (auto intro!: eq-reflection split: list.splits)

lemma autoref-is-Nil[param, autoref-rules]:
(is-Nil, is-Nil) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel → bool-rel
by (auto split: list.splits)

lemma conv-to-is-Nil:
l = [] ↔ is-Nil l
[] = l ↔ is-Nil l
unfolding is-Nil-def by (auto split: list.split)

lemma autoref-butlast[param, autoref-rules]:
(butlast, butlast) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel → ⟨R⟩list-rel
unfolding butlast-def conv-to-is-Nil
by parametricity

definition [simp]: op-list-singleton x ≡ [x]
lemma op-list-singleton-pat[autoref-op-pat]:
[x] ≡ (OP op-list-singleton ::; i I →_i ⟨I⟩_i i-list) $ x by simp
lemma autoref-list-singleton[autoref-rules]:
(λa. [a], op-list-singleton) ∈ R → ⟨R⟩list-rel
by auto

definition [simp]: op-list-append-elem s x ≡ s@[x]

lemma pat-list-append-elem[autoref-op-pat]:
s@[x] ≡ (OP op-list-append-elem ::; i I →_i ⟨I⟩_i i-list) $ s $ x
by (simp add: relAPP-def)

lemma autoref-list-append-elem[autoref-rules]:
(λs x. s@[x], op-list-append-elem) ∈ ⟨R⟩list-rel → R → ⟨R⟩list-rel
unfolding op-list-append-elem-def[abs-def] by parametricity

declare param-rev[autoref-rules]

declare param-all-interval-nat[autoref-rules]
lemma [autoref-op-pat]:
(∀ i < u. P i) ≡ OP List.all-interval-nat P 0 u
(∀ i ≤ u. P i) ≡ OP List.all-interval-nat P 0 (Suc u)
(∀ i < u. l ≤ i → P i) ≡ OP List.all-interval-nat P l u
(∀ i ≤ u. l ≤ i → P i) ≡ OP List.all-interval-nat P l (Suc u)
by (auto intro!: eq-reflection simp: List.all-interval-nat-def)

lemmas [autoref-rules] = param-dropWhile param-takeWhile

end

```

2.2.12 Examples

Be careful to make the concrete type a schematic type variable. The default behaviour of *schematic-lemma* makes it a fixed variable, that will not unify with the inferred term!

schematic-goal

```
(?f::?'c,[1,2,3]@[4::nat]) ∈ ?R
by autoref
```

schematic-goal

```
(?f::?'c,[1::nat,
  2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,0,1,43,5,5,435,5,1,5,6,5,6,5,63,56
] ∈ ?R
apply (autoref)
done
```

schematic-goal

```
(?f::?'c,[1,2,3] = []) ∈ ?R
by autoref
```

When specifying custom refinement rules on the fly, be careful with the type-inference between *notes* and *shows*. It's too easy to „decouple” the type '*a* in the autoref-rule and the actual goal, as shown below!

schematic-goal

```
notes [autoref-rules] = IdI[where 'a='a]
notes [autoref-itype] = itypeI[where 't='a::numeral and I=i-std]
shows (?f::?'c, hd [a,b,c:'a::numeral]) ∈ ?R
```

The autoref-rule is bound with type '*a::typ*', while the goal statement has '*a::numeral*'!

```
apply (autoref (keep-goal))
```

We get an unsolved goal, as it finds no rule to translate *a*

```
oops
```

Here comes the correct version. Note the duplicate sort annotation of type '*a*:

schematic-goal

```
notes [autoref-rules-raw] = IdI[where 'a='a::numeral]
notes [autoref-itype] = itypeI[where 't='a::numeral and I=i-std]
shows (?f::?'c, hd [a,b,c:'a::numeral]) ∈ ?R
by (autoref)
```

Special cases of equality: Note that we do not require equality on the element type!

schematic-goal

```

assumes [autoref-rules]:  $(ai, a) \in \langle R \rangle$  option-rel
shows  $(?f :: ?'c, a = None) \in ?R$ 
apply (autoref (keep-goal))
done

```

schematic-goal

```

assumes [autoref-rules]:  $(ai, a) \in \langle R \rangle$  list-rel
shows  $(?f :: ?'c, [] = a) \in ?R$ 
apply (autoref (keep-goal))
done

```

schematic-goal

```

shows  $(?f :: ?'c, [1, 2] = [2, 3 :: nat]) \in ?R$ 
apply (autoref (keep-goal))
done

```

end

2.3 Entry Point for the Automatic Refinement Tool

```

theory Automatic-Refinement
imports
  Tool/Autoref-Tool
  Autoref-Bindings-HOL
begin

```

The automatic refinement tool should be used by importing this theory

2.3.1 Convenience

The following lemmas can be used to add tags to theorems

```

lemma PREFER-I:  $P x \implies \text{PREFER } P x$  by simp
lemma PREFER-D:  $\text{PREFER } P x \implies P x$  by simp

```

```

lemmas PREFER-sv-D = PREFER-D[of single-valued]
lemma PREFER-id-D: PREFER-id R  $\implies R = Id$  by simp

```

```

abbreviation PREFER-RUNIV  $\equiv$  PREFER ( $\lambda R.$  Range  $R = UNIV$ )
lemmas PREFER-RUNIV-D = PREFER-D[of ( $\lambda R.$  Range  $R = UNIV$ )]

```

```

lemma SIDE-GEN-ALGO-D: SIDE-GEN-ALGO P  $\implies P$  by simp

```

```

lemma GEN-OP-D: GEN-OP c a R  $\implies (c, a) \in R$ 
  by simp

```

```
lemma MINOR-PRIOR-TAG-I: P ==> (MINOR-PRIOR-TAG p ==> P) by auto
lemma MAJOR-PRIOR-TAG-I: P ==> (MAJOR-PRIOR-TAG p ==> P) by auto
lemma PRIOR-TAG-I: P ==> (PRIOR-TAG ma mi ==> P) by auto
end
```