

# Auto2 prover

Bohua Zhan

March 17, 2025

## **Abstract**

Auto2 is a saturation-based heuristic prover for higher-order logic, implemented as a tactic in Isabelle.

This entry contains the instantiation of auto2 for Isabelle/HOL, along with two basic examples: solutions to some of the Pelletier's problems, and elementary number theory of primes.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Pelletier's problems</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Primes</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Basic definition . . . . .	8
3.2	Infinitude of primes . . . . .	10
3.3	Existence and uniqueness of prime factorization . . . . .	10

## 1 Introduction

Auto2 [2] is a proof automation tool implemented in Isabelle. It uses a saturation-based approach to proof search: starting with a list of initial assumptions, it iteratively adds facts that can be derived from these assumptions, with the aim of ultimately deriving a contradiction. Users can add their own proof procedures to auto2 in the form of *proof steps*, in order to implement domain-specific knowledge. Auto2 can be instantiated to both Isabelle/HOL (for ordinary usage) and Isabelle/FOL (for formalization of mathematics based on set theory).

This AFP entry contains the instantiation of auto2 to Isabelle/HOL, and two basic applications:

- Pelletier’s problems: solutions to some of the problems in Pelletier’s collection of problems for testing automatic theorem provers [1]. Auto2 is not intended to compete with ATPs. In our examples, we merely show how to use the prover to solve some of the problems, sometimes with hints.
- Elementary number theory: theory of prime numbers up to the infinitude of primes and unique factorization. This example follows the development in HOL/Computational\_Algebra/Primes.thy in the Isabelle distribution.

## 2 Pelletier’s problems

```
theory Pelletier
  imports Logic_Thms
begin

theorem p1:  $(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$  by auto2

theorem p2:  $(\neg\neg p) \leftrightarrow p$  by auto2

theorem p3:  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \Rightarrow q \rightarrow p$  by auto2

theorem p4:  $(\neg p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow p)$  by auto2

theorem p5:  $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r) \Rightarrow p \vee (q \rightarrow r)$  by auto2

theorem p6:  $p \vee \neg p$  by auto2

theorem p7:  $p \vee \neg\neg\neg p$  by auto2

theorem p8:  $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \Rightarrow p$  by auto2
```

**theorem** *p9*:  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee \neg q) \implies \neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p10*:  $q \rightarrow r \implies r \rightarrow p \wedge q \implies p \rightarrow q \vee r \implies p \leftrightarrow q$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p11*:  $p \leftrightarrow p$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p12*:  $((p \leftrightarrow q) \leftrightarrow r) \leftrightarrow (p \leftrightarrow (q \leftrightarrow r))$

@**proof**

  @**case** *p*

  @**case** *q*

  @**qed**

**theorem** *p13*:  $p \vee (q \wedge r) \leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p14*:  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \leftrightarrow ((q \vee \neg p) \wedge (\neg q \vee p))$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p15*:  $(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p16*:  $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p17*:  $(p \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow s) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q \vee s) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg r \vee s)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p18*:  $\exists y::'a. \forall x. F(y) \rightarrow F(x)$

@**proof**

  @**case**  $\forall x. F(x)$  @**with**

    @**obtain**  $y::'a$  **where**  $y = y$  @**have**  $\forall x. F(y) \rightarrow F(x)$

    @**end**

    @**obtain**  $y$  **where**  $\neg F(y)$  @**have**  $\forall x. F(y) \rightarrow F(x)$

  @**qed**

**theorem** *p19*:  $\exists x::'a. \forall y z. (P(y) \rightarrow Q(z)) \rightarrow (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$

@**proof**

  @**case**  $\exists x. P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$  @**with**

    @**obtain**  $x$  **where**  $P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$

    @**have**  $\forall y z. (P(y) \rightarrow Q(z)) \rightarrow (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$

    @**end**

    @**obtain**  $x::'a$  **where**  $x = x$

    @**have**  $\forall y z. (P(y) \rightarrow Q(z)) \rightarrow (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$

  @**qed**

**theorem** *p20*:  $\forall x y. \exists z. \forall w. P(x) \wedge Q(y) \rightarrow R(z) \wedge S(w) \implies$

$\exists x y. P(x) \wedge Q(y) \implies \exists z. R(z)$

@**proof**

  @**obtain**  $x y$  **where**  $P(x) \wedge Q(y)$

  @**obtain**  $z$  **where**  $\forall w. P(x) \wedge Q(y) \rightarrow R(z) \wedge S(w)$

  @**qed**

**theorem** *p21*:  $\exists x. p \rightarrow F(x) \implies \exists x. F(x) \rightarrow p \implies \exists x. p \leftrightarrow F(x)$

`@case p @with @obtain x where F(x) @have p  $\longleftrightarrow$  F(x) @end  
 @case  $\neg p$  @with @obtain x where  $\neg F(x)$  @have p  $\longleftrightarrow$  F(x) @end  
 @qed`

**theorem** p22:  $\forall x::'a. p \longleftrightarrow F(x) \implies p \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. F(x))$

`@proof`

`@case p @obtain x::'a where x = x`

`@qed`

**theorem** p23:  $(\forall x::'a. p \vee F(x)) \longleftrightarrow (p \vee (\forall x. F(x)))$  **by** auto2

**theorem** p29:  $\exists x. F(x) \implies \exists x. G(x) \implies$   
 $((\forall x. F(x) \longrightarrow H(x)) \wedge (\forall x. G(x) \longrightarrow J(x))) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall x y. F(x) \wedge G(y) \longrightarrow H(x) \wedge J(y))$

`@proof`

`@obtain a b where F(a) G(b)`

`@case  $\forall x y. F(x) \wedge G(y) \longrightarrow H(x) \wedge J(y)$  @with`

`@have  $\forall x. F(x) \longrightarrow H(x)$  @with @have F(x)  $\wedge$  G(b) @end`

`@have  $\forall y. G(y) \longrightarrow J(y)$  @with @have F(a)  $\wedge$  G(y) @end`

`@end`

`@qed`

**theorem** p30:  $\forall x. F(x) \vee G(x) \longrightarrow \neg H(x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. (G(x) \longrightarrow \neg I(x)) \longrightarrow F(x) \wedge H(x) \implies \forall x. I(x)$

`@proof`

`@have  $\forall x. I(x)$  @with @case F(x) @end`

`@qed`

**theorem** p31:  $\neg(\exists x. F(x) \wedge (G(x) \vee H(x))) \implies \exists x. I(x) \wedge F(x) \implies \forall x. \neg H(x)$   
 $\longrightarrow J(x) \implies$   
 $\exists x. I(x) \wedge J(x)$  **by** auto2

**theorem** p32:  $\forall x. (F(x) \wedge (G(x) \vee H(x))) \longrightarrow I(x) \implies \forall x. I(x) \wedge H(x) \longrightarrow$   
 $J(x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. K(x) \longrightarrow H(x) \implies \forall x. F(x) \wedge K(x) \longrightarrow J(x)$  **by** auto2

**theorem** p33:  $(\forall x. p(a) \wedge (p(x) \longrightarrow p(b)) \longrightarrow p(c)) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall x. (\neg p(a) \vee p(x) \vee p(c)) \wedge (\neg p(a) \vee \neg p(b) \vee p(c)))$  **by** auto2

**theorem** p35:  $\exists (x::'a) (y::'b). P(x,y) \longrightarrow (\forall x y. P(x,y))$  **by** auto2

**theorem** p39:  $\neg(\exists x. \forall y. F(y,x) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(y,y))$

`@proof`

`@contradiction`

`@obtain x where  $\forall y. F(y,x) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(y,y)$`

`@case F(x,x)`

`@qed`

**theorem** p40:  $\exists y. \forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow F(x,x) \implies \neg(\forall x. \exists y. \forall z. F(z,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(z,x))$

@**proof**

```

@obtain A where  $\forall x. F(x,A) \longleftrightarrow F(x,x)$ 
@have  $\neg(\exists y. \forall z. F(z,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(z,A))$  @with
@have (@rule)  $\forall y. \neg(\forall z. F(z,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(z,A))$  @with
@have  $\neg(F(y,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(y,A))$  @with @case F(y,y) @end
@end
@end
@qed

```

**theorem** p42:  $\neg(\exists y. \forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists z. F(x,z) \wedge F(z,x)))$

@**proof**

```

@contradiction
@obtain y where  $\forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists z. F(x,z) \wedge F(z,x))$ 
@case F(y,y)
@qed

```

**theorem** p43:  $\forall x y. Q(x,y) \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. F(z,x) \longleftrightarrow F(z,y)) \implies$   
 $\forall x y. Q(x,y) \longleftrightarrow Q(y,x)$  by auto2

**theorem** p47:

```

( $\forall x. P1(x) \rightarrow P0(x)$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists x. P1(x)$ )  $\implies$ 
( $\forall x. P2(x) \rightarrow P0(x)$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists x. P2(x)$ )  $\implies$ 
( $\forall x. P3(x) \rightarrow P0(x)$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists x. P3(x)$ )  $\implies$ 
( $\forall x. P4(x) \rightarrow P0(x)$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists x. P4(x)$ )  $\implies$ 
( $\forall x. P5(x) \rightarrow P0(x)$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists x. P5(x)$ )  $\implies$ 
( $\exists x. Q1(x)$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x. Q1(x) \rightarrow Q0(x)$ )  $\implies$ 
 $\forall x. P0(x) \rightarrow ((\forall y. Q0(y) \rightarrow R(x,y)) \vee$ 
 $(\forall y. P0(y) \wedge S(y,x) \wedge (\exists z. Q0(z) \wedge R(y,z)) \rightarrow R(x,y))) \implies$ 
 $\forall x y. P3(y) \wedge (P5(x) \vee P4(x)) \rightarrow S(x,y) \implies$ 
 $\forall x y. P3(x) \wedge P2(y) \rightarrow S(x,y) \implies$ 
 $\forall x y. P2(x) \wedge P1(y) \rightarrow S(x,y) \implies$ 
 $\forall x y. P1(x) \wedge (P2(y) \vee Q1(y)) \rightarrow \neg R(x,y) \implies$ 
 $\forall x y. P3(x) \wedge P4(y) \rightarrow R(x,y) \implies$ 
 $\forall x y. P3(x) \wedge P5(y) \rightarrow \neg R(x,y) \implies$ 
 $\forall x. P4(x) \vee P5(x) \rightarrow (\exists y. Q0(y) \wedge R(x,y)) \implies$ 
 $\exists x y. P0(x) \wedge P0(y) \wedge (\exists z. Q1(z) \wedge R(y,z) \wedge R(x,y))$ 

```

@**proof**

```

@obtain x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 where P1(x1) P2(x2) P3(x3) P4(x4) P5(x5)
@have S(x3,x2) @have S(x2,x1) @have R(x3,x4) @have  $\neg R(x3,x5)$ 
@qed

```

**theorem** p48:  $a = b \vee c = d \implies a = c \vee b = d \implies a = d \vee b = c$  by auto2

**theorem** p49:  $\exists x y. \forall (z::'a). z = x \vee z = y \implies P(a) \wedge P(b) \implies (a::'a) \neq b \implies$   
 $\forall x. P(x)$

@**proof**

```

@obtain x y where  $\forall (z::'a). z = x \vee z = y$ 
@have  $x = a \vee x = b$ 

```

@have  $\forall c. P(c)$  @with @have  $c = a \vee c = b$  @end  
@qed

**theorem** p50:  $\forall x. F(a,x) \vee (\forall y. F(x,y)) \implies \exists x. \forall y. F(x,y)$   
**@proof**

@case  $\forall y. F(a,y)$   
@obtain  $y$  where  $\neg F(a,y)$   
@have (@rule)  $\forall z. F(a,y) \vee F(y,z)$   
@qed

**theorem** p51:  $\exists z w. \forall x y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z \wedge y = w \implies$   
 $\exists z. \forall x. (\exists w. \forall y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = w) \longleftrightarrow x = z$

**@proof**  
@obtain  $z w$  where  $\forall x y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z \wedge y = w$   
@have  $\forall x. (\exists w. \forall y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = w) \longleftrightarrow x = z$  @with  
@case  $x = z$  @with @have  $\forall y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = w$  @end  
@end  
@qed

**theorem** p52:  $\exists z w. \forall x y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z \wedge y = w \implies$   
 $\exists w. \forall y. (\exists z. \forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z) \longleftrightarrow y = w$

**@proof**  
@obtain  $z w$  where  $\forall x y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z \wedge y = w$   
@have  $\forall y. (\exists z. \forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z) \longleftrightarrow y = w$  @with  
@case  $y = w$  @with @have  $\forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z$  @end  
@end  
@qed

**theorem** p55:

$\exists x. L(x) \wedge K(x,a) \implies$   
 $L(a) \wedge L(b) \wedge L(c) \implies$   
 $\forall x. L(x) \rightarrow x = a \vee x = b \vee x = c \implies$   
 $\forall y x. K(x,y) \rightarrow H(x,y) \implies$   
 $\forall x y. K(x,y) \rightarrow \neg R(x,y) \implies$   
 $\forall x. H(a,x) \rightarrow \neg H(c,x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. x \neq b \rightarrow H(a,x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. \neg R(x,a) \rightarrow H(b,x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. H(a,x) \rightarrow H(b,x) \implies$  — typo in text  
 $\forall x. \exists y. \neg H(x,y) \implies$   
 $a \neq b \implies$   
 $K(a,a)$

**@proof**  
@case  $K(b,a)$  @with @have  $\forall x. H(b,x)$  @end  
@qed

**theorem** p56:  $(\forall x. (\exists y. F(y) \wedge x = f(y)) \rightarrow F(x)) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. F(x) \rightarrow F(f(x)))$   
by auto2

**theorem** p57:  $F(f(a,b),f(b,c)) \implies F(f(b,c),f(a,c)) \implies$

```
 $\forall x y z. F(x,y) \wedge F(y,z) \longrightarrow F(x,z) \implies F(f(a,b),f(a,c))$  by auto2
```

```
theorem p58:  $\forall x y. f(x) = g(y) \implies \forall x y. f(f(x)) = f(g(y))$ 
@proof
  @have  $\forall x y. f(f(x)) = f(g(y))$  @with
    @have  $f(x) = g(y)$ 
  @end
@qed
```

```
theorem p59:  $\forall x::'a. F(x) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(f(x)) \implies \exists x. F(x) \wedge \neg F(f(x))$ 
@proof
  @obtain  $x::'a$  where  $x = x$  @case  $F(x)$ 
@qed
```

```
theorem p60:  $\forall x. F(x,f(x)) \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. (\forall z. F(z,y) \longrightarrow F(z,f(x))) \wedge F(x,y))$  by auto2
```

```
theorem p61:  $\forall x y z. f(x,f(y,z)) = f(f(x,y),z) \implies \forall x y z w. f(x,f(y,f(z,w))) = f(f(f(x,y),z),w)$ 
by auto2
```

```
end
```

### 3 Primes

```
theory Primes-Ex
  imports Auto2-Main
begin
```

#### 3.1 Basic definition

```
definition prime :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where [rewrite]:
  prime p = ( $1 < p \wedge (\forall m. m \text{ dvd } p \longrightarrow m = 1 \vee m = p)$ )
```

```
lemma primeD1 [forward]: prime p  $\implies 1 < p$  by auto2
lemma primeD2: prime p  $\implies m \text{ dvd } p \implies m = 1 \vee m = p$  by auto2
setup ⟨add-forward-prfstep-cond @{thm primeD2} [with-cond ?m ≠ 1, with-cond ?m ≠ ?p]⟩
setup ⟨del-prfstep-thm-eqforward @{thm prime-def}⟩
```

```
theorem exists-prime [resolve]:  $\exists p. \text{prime } p$ 
@proof @have prime 2 @qed
```

```
lemma prime-odd-nat: prime p  $\implies p > 2 \implies \text{odd } p$  by auto2
```

```
lemma prime-imp-coprime-nat [backward2]: prime p  $\implies \neg p \text{ dvd } n \implies \text{coprime } p n$  by auto2
```

```

lemma prime-dvd-mult-nat: prime p  $\implies$  p dvd m * n  $\implies$  p dvd m  $\vee$  p dvd n by
auto2
setup <add-forward-prfstep-cond @{thm prime-dvd-mult-nat}>
(with-conds [?m  $\neq$  ?p, ?n  $\neq$  ?p, ?m  $\neq$  ?p * ?m', ?n  $\neq$  ?p * ?n'])>

theorem prime-dvd-intro: prime p  $\implies$  p * q = m * n  $\implies$  p dvd m  $\vee$  p dvd n
@proof @have p dvd m * n @qed
setup <add-forward-prfstep-cond @{thm prime-dvd-intro}>
(with-conds [?m  $\neq$  ?p, ?n  $\neq$  ?p, ?m  $\neq$  ?p * ?m', ?n  $\neq$  ?p * ?n'])>

lemma prime-dvd-mult-eq-nat: prime p  $\implies$  p dvd m * n = (p dvd m  $\vee$  p dvd n)
by auto2

lemma not-prime-eq-prod-nat [backward1]: n > 1  $\implies$   $\neg$  prime n  $\implies$ 
 $\exists$  m k. n = m * k  $\wedge$  1 < m  $\wedge$  m < n  $\wedge$  1 < k  $\wedge$  k < n
@proof
@obtain m where m dvd n  $\wedge$  m  $\neq$  1  $\wedge$  m  $\neq$  n
@obtain k where n = m * k @have m  $\leq$  m * k @have k  $\leq$  m * k
@qed

lemma prime-dvd-power-nat: prime p  $\implies$  p dvd x^n  $\implies$  p dvd x by auto2
setup <add-forward-prfstep-cond @{thm prime-dvd-power-nat} [with-cond ?p  $\neq$  ?x]>

lemma prime-dvd-power-nat-iff: prime p  $\implies$  n > 0  $\implies$  p dvd x^n  $\longleftrightarrow$  p dvd x
by auto2

lemma prime-nat-code: prime p = (1 < p  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  x. 1 < x  $\wedge$  x < p  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  x dvd p))
by auto2

lemma prime-factor-nat [backward]: n  $\neq$  1  $\implies$   $\exists$  p. p dvd n  $\wedge$  prime p
@proof
@strong-induct n
@case prime n @case n = 0
@obtain k where k  $\neq$  1 k  $\neq$  n k dvd n
@apply-induct-hyp k
@qed

lemma prime-divprod-pow-nat:
prime p  $\implies$  coprime a b  $\implies$  p^n dvd a * b  $\implies$  p^n dvd a  $\vee$  p^n dvd b by auto2

lemma prime-product [forward]: prime (p * q)  $\implies$  p = 1  $\vee$  q = 1
@proof @have p dvd q * p @qed

lemma prime-exp: prime (p ^ n)  $\longleftrightarrow$  n = 1  $\wedge$  prime p by auto2

lemma prime-power-mult: prime p  $\implies$  x * y = p ^ k  $\implies$   $\exists$  i j. x = p ^ i  $\wedge$  y =
p ^ j
@proof

```

```

@induct k arbitrary x y @with
  @subgoal k = Suc k'
    @case p dvd x @with
      @obtain x' where x = p * x' @have x * y = p * (x' * y)
      @obtain i j where x' = p ^ i y = p ^ j @have x = p ^ Suc i @end
    @case p dvd y @with
      @obtain y' where y = p * y' @have x * y = p * (x * y')
      @obtain i j where x = p ^ i y' = p ^ j @have y = p ^ Suc j @end
  @endgoal
@end
@qed

```

### 3.2 Infinitude of primes

**theorem** bigger-prime [resolve]:  $\exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge n < p$

@proof

```

  @obtain p where prime p p dvd fact n + 1
  @case n ≥ p @with @have (p::nat) dvd fact n @end
@qed

```

**theorem** primes-infinite:  $\neg \text{finite } \{p. \text{prime } p\}$

@proof

```

  @obtain b where prime b Max \{p. prime p\} < b
@qed

```

### 3.3 Existence and uniqueness of prime factorization

**theorem** factorization-exists:  $n > 0 \implies \exists M. (\forall p \in \#M. \text{prime } p) \wedge n = (\prod i \in \#M. i)$

@proof

```

  @strong-induct n
  @case n = 1 @with @have n = (\prod i \in \# \{\#\}. i) @end
  @case prime n @with @have n = (\prod i \in \# \{\#n#\}. i) @end
  @obtain m k where n = m * k 1 < m m < n 1 < k k < n
  @apply-induct-hyp m
  @obtain M where (\forall p \in \#M. prime p) m = (\prod i \in \#M. i)
  @apply-induct-hyp k
  @obtain K where (\forall p \in \#K. prime p) k = (\prod i \in \#K. i)
  @have n = (\prod i \in \#(M+K). i)
@qed

```

**theorem** prime-dvd-multiset [backward1]:  $\text{prime } p \implies p \text{ dvd } (\prod i \in \#M. i) \implies \exists n. n \in \#M \wedge p \text{ dvd } n$

@proof

```

  @strong-induct M
  @case M = \{\#\}
  @obtain M' m where M = M' + \{#m#\}
  @contradiction @apply-induct-hyp M'
@qed

```

```

theorem factorization-unique-aux:
   $\forall p \in \#M. \text{prime } p \implies \forall p \in \#N. \text{prime } p \implies (\prod i \in \#M. i) \text{ dvd } (\prod i \in \#N. i) \implies$ 
   $M \subseteq \# N$ 
@proof
  @strong-induct M arbitrary N
  @case M = {#}
  @obtain M' m where M = M' + {#m#}
  @have m dvd ( $\prod i \in \#M. i$ )
  @obtain n where n  $\in \# N$  m dvd n
  @obtain N' where N = N' + {#n#}
  @have m = n
  @have ( $\prod i \in \#M'. i$ ) dvd ( $\prod i \in \#N'. i$ )
  @apply-induct-hyp M' N'
@qed
setup <add-forward-prfstep-cond @{thm factorization-unique-aux} [with-cond ?M
   $\neq ?N]$ 
```

```

theorem factorization-unique:
   $\forall p \in \#M. \text{prime } p \implies \forall p \in \#N. \text{prime } p \implies (\prod i \in \#M. i) = (\prod i \in \#N. i) \implies$ 
   $M = N$ 
@proof @have M  $\subseteq \# N$  @qed
setup <del-prfstep-thm @{thm factorization-unique-aux}>

```

**end**

## References

- [1] F. J. Pelletier. Seventy-five problems for testing automatic theorem provers. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 2:191–216, 1986.
- [2] B. Zhan. Auto2: a saturation-based heuristic prover for higher-order logic. In J. C. Blanchette and S. Merz, editors, *ITP 2016*, pages 441–456, 2016.