

Aristotle's Assertoric Syllogistic

Angeliki Koutsoukou-Argyaki

May 26, 2024

Abstract

We formalise with Isabelle/HOL some basic elements of Aristotle's assertoric syllogistic following the article from the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy by Robin Smith: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/aristotle-logic/>. To this end, we use a set theoretic formulation (covering both individual and general predication). In particular, we formalise the deductions in the Figures and after that we present Aristotle's metatheoretical observation that all deductions in the Figures can in fact be reduced to either Barbara or Celarent. As the formal proofs prove to be straightforward, the interest of this entry lies in illustrating the functionality of Isabelle and high efficiency of Sledgehammer for simple exercises in philosophy.

Contents

1	Aristotle's Assertoric Syllogistic	1
1.1	Aristotelean Categorical Sentences	1
1.2	The Deductions in the Figures ("Moods")	2
1.2.1	First Figure	2
1.2.2	Second Figure	3
1.2.3	Third Figure	3
1.2.4	Examples	3
1.3	Metatheoretical comments	4
1.4	Acknowledgements	5
1.5	Bibliography	5

1 Aristotle's Assertoric Syllogistic

```
theory AristotlesAssertoric
  imports Main
begin
```

1.1 Aristotelean Categorical Sentences

Aristotle's universal, particular and indefinite predications (affirmations and denials) are expressed here using a set theoretic formulation. Aristotle handles in the same way individual and general predications i.e. he gives the same logical analysis to "Socrates is an animal" and "humans are animals". Here we define the general predication i.e. predications are defined as relations between sets. This has the benefit that individual predication can also be expressed as set membership (e.g. see the lemma SocratesMortal).

definition *universal-affirmation* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool (**infixr** Q 80)
where $A \ Q \ B \equiv \forall b \in B. b \in A$

definition *universal-denial* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool (**infixr** E 80)
where $A \ E \ B \equiv \forall b \in B. (b \notin A)$

definition *particular-affirmation* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool (**infixr** I 80)
where $A \ I \ B \equiv \exists b \in B. (b \in A)$

definition *particular-denial* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool (**infixr** Z 80)
where $A \ Z \ B \equiv \exists b \in B. (b \notin A)$

The above four definitions are known as the "square of opposition".

definition *indefinite-affirmation* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool (**infixr** QI 80)
where $A \ QI \ B \equiv ((\forall b \in B. (b \in A)) \vee (\exists b \in B. (b \in A)))$

definition *indefinite-denial* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool (**infixr** EZ 80)
where $A \ EZ \ B \equiv ((\forall b \in B. (b \notin A)) \vee (\exists b \in B. (b \notin A)))$

lemma *aristo-conversion1* :
assumes $A \ E \ B$ shows $B \ E \ A$
{proof}

lemma *aristo-conversion2* :
assumes $A \ I \ B$ shows $B \ I \ A$
{proof}

lemma *aristo-conversion3* : assumes $A \ Q \ B$ and $B \neq \{\}$ shows $B \ I \ A$
{proof}

Remark: Aristotle in general supposes that sets have to be nonempty. Indeed, we observe that in many instances it is necessary to assume that the sets are nonempty, otherwise Isabelle's automation finds counterexamples.

1.2 The Deductions in the Figures ("Moods")

The medieval mnemonic names are used.

1.2.1 First Figure

lemma *Barbara*:

assumes $A Q B$ and $B Q C$ shows $A Q C$
<proof>

lemma *Celarent*:

assumes $A E B$ and $B Q C$ shows $A E C$
<proof>

lemma *Darii*:

assumes $A Q B$ and $B I C$ shows $A I C$
<proof>

lemma *Ferio*:

assumes $A E B$ and $B I C$ shows $A Z C$
<proof>

1.2.2 Second Figure

lemma *Cesare*:

assumes $A E B$ and $A Q C$ shows $B E C$
<proof>

lemma *Camestres*:

assumes $A Q B$ and $A E C$ shows $B E C$
<proof>

lemma *Festino*:

assumes $A E B$ and $A I C$ shows $B Z C$
<proof>

lemma *Baroco*:

assumes $A Q B$ and $A Z C$ shows $B Z C$
<proof>

1.2.3 Third Figure

lemma *Darapti*:

assumes $A Q C$ and $B Q C$ and $C \neq \{\}$ shows $A I B$
<proof>

lemma *Felapton*:

assumes $A E C$ and $B Q C$ and $C \neq \{\}$ shows $A Z B$
<proof>

lemma *Disamis*:

assumes $A I C$ and $B Q C$ shows $A I B$
<proof>

lemma *Datisi*:

assumes $A Q C$ **and** $B I C$ **shows** $A I B$
<proof>

lemma *Bocardo*:

assumes $A Z C$ **and** $B Q C$ **shows** $A Z B$
<proof>

lemma *Ferison*:

assumes $A E C$ **and** $B I C$ **shows** $A Z B$
<proof>

1.2.4 Examples

Example of a deduction with general predication.

lemma *GreekMortal* :

assumes $Mortal Q Human$ **and** $Human Q Greek$
shows $Mortal Q Greek$
<proof>

Example of a deduction with individual predication.

lemma *SocratesMortal*:

assumes $Socrates \in Human$ **and** $Mortal Q Human$
shows $Socrates \in Mortal$
<proof>

1.3 Metatheoretical comments

The following are presented to demonstrate one of Aristotle's metatheoretical explorations. Namely, Aristotle's metatheorem that: "All deductions in all three Figures can eventually be reduced to either Barbara or Celarent" is demonstrated by the proofs below and by considering the proofs from the previous subsection.

lemma *Darii-reducedto-Camestres*:

assumes $A Q B$ **and** $B I C$ **and** $A E C$
shows $A I C$
<proof>

It is already evident from the proofs in the previous subsection that:

Camestres can be reduced to Cesare.

Cesare can be reduced to Celarent.

Festino can be reduced to Ferio.

lemma *Ferio-reducedto-Cesare*: **assumes**

$A E B$ **and** $B I C$ **and** $A Q C$
shows $A Z C$
<proof>

lemma *Baroco-reducedto-Barbara* :
assumes $A \ Q \ B$ and $A \ Z \ C$ and $B \ Q \ C$
shows $B \ Z \ C$
<proof>

lemma *Bocardo-reducedto-Barbara* :
assumes $A \ Z \ C$ and $B \ Q \ C$ and $A \ Q \ B$
shows $A \ Z \ B$
<proof>

Finally, it is already evident from the proofs in the previous subsection that :

Darapti can be reduced to Darii.
Felapton can be reduced to Festino.
Disamis can be reduced to Darii.
Datasi can be reduced to Disamis.
Ferison can be reduced to Ferio.

In conclusion, the aforementioned deductions have thus been shown to be reduced to either Barbara or Celarent as follows:

Baroco \Rightarrow Barbara
Bocardo \Rightarrow Barbara
Felapton \Rightarrow Festino \Rightarrow Ferio \Rightarrow Cesare \Rightarrow Celarent
Datasi \Rightarrow Disamis \Rightarrow Darii \Rightarrow Camestres \Rightarrow Cesare
Darapti \Rightarrow Darii
Ferison \Rightarrow Ferio

1.4 Acknowledgements

A.K.-A. was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council and led by Professor Lawrence Paulson at the University of Cambridge, UK. Thanks to Wenda Li.

1.5 Bibliography

Smith, Robin, "Aristotle's Logic", The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Summer 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.), URL = <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2019/entries/aristotle-logic/>

end