Applicative Lifting

Andreas Lochbihler

Joshua Schneider

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Applicative functors augment computations with effects by lifting function application to types which model the effects [5]. As the structure of the computation cannot depend on the effects, applicative expressions can be analysed statically. This allows us to lift universally quantified equations to the effectful types, as observed by Hinze [3]. Thus, equational reasoning over effectful computations can be reduced to pure types.

This entry provides a package for registering applicative functors and two proof methods for lifting of equations over applicative functors. The first method applicative-nf normalises applicative expressions according to the laws of applicative functors. This way, equations whose two sides contain the same list of variables can be lifted to every applicative functor.

To lift larger classes of equations, the second method applicativelifting exploits a number of additional properties (e.g., commutativity of effects) provided the properties have been declared for the concrete applicative functor at hand upon registration.

We declare several types from the Isabelle library as applicative functors and illustrate the use of the methods with two examples: the lifting of the arithmetic type class hierarchy to streams and the verification of a relabelling function on binary trees. We also formalise and verify the normalisation algorithm used by the first proof method, as well as the general approach of the second method, which is based on bracket abstraction.

Contents

1	Lifting with applicative functors					
	1.1	Equality restricted to a set				
	1.2	Proof automation				
	1.3	Overloaded applicative operators				
2	Common applicative functors					
	2.1	Environment functor				
	0.0	Option				

	2.3	Sum t	ypes			
	2.4		th Cartesian product			
	2.5					
3	Distinct, non-empty list					
	3.1		id			
	3.2		s			
	3.3		monad			
	3.4	Stream	ns as an applicative functor			
	3.5		state monad			
	3.6					
	3.7	Probability mass functions implemented as lists with duplicates 2				
	3.8	Ultraf	ilter			
4	Examples of applicative lifting 24					
	4.1	Algeb	raic operations for the environment functor 24			
	4.2	Pointy	wise arithmetic on streams			
	4.3	Tree r	elabelling			
		4.3.1	Pure correctness statement			
		4.3.2	Correctness via monadic traversals			
		4.3.3	Applicative correctness statement			
		4.3.4	Probabilistic tree relabelling			
5	Formalisation of idiomatic terms and lifting 38					
	5.1	Immed	diate joinability under a relation			
		5.1.1	Definition and basic properties			
		5.1.2	Confluence			
		5.1.3	Relation to reflexive transitive symmetric closure 41			
		5.1.4	Predicate version			
	5.2	Comb	ined beta and eta reduction of lambda terms $\dots \dots 42$			
		5.2.1	Auxiliary lemmas			
		5.2.2	Reduction			
		5.2.3	Equivalence			
	5.3	Combinators defined as closed lambda terms				
	5.4	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				
		5.4.1	Basic definitions			
		5.4.2	Syntactic unlifting			
		5.4.3	Canonical forms			
		5.4.4	Normalisation of idiomatic terms 54			
		5.4.5	Lifting with normal forms			
		5.4.6	Bracket abstraction, twice			
		5.4.7	Lifting with bracket abstraction 67			

1 Lifting with applicative functors

```
theory Applicative imports Main keywords applicative :: thy-goal and print-applicative :: diag begin
```

1.1 Equality restricted to a set

```
definition eq\text{-}on :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool

where [simp]: eq\text{-}on \ A = (\lambda x \ y. \ x \in A \land x = y)

lemma rel\text{-}fun\text{-}eq\text{-}onI: (\bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow R \ (f \ x) \ (g \ x)) \Longrightarrow rel\text{-}fun \ (eq\text{-}on \ A) \ R \ f \ g

by auto

lemma rel\text{-}fun\text{-}map\text{-}fun2: rel\text{-}fun \ (eq\text{-}on \ (range \ h)) \ A \ f \ g \Longrightarrow rel\text{-}fun \ (BNF\text{-}Def\text{-}Grp \ UNIV \ h)^{-1-1} \ A \ f \ (map\text{-}fun \ h \ id \ g)

by (auto \ simp \ add: \ rel\text{-}fun\text{-}def \ Grp\text{-}def \ eq\text{-}onp\text{-}def)

lemma rel\text{-}fun\text{-}refl\text{-}eq\text{-}onp:

(\bigwedge z. \ z \in f \ 'X \Longrightarrow A \ z \ z) \Longrightarrow rel\text{-}fun \ (eq\text{-}on \ X) \ A \ f \ f

by (auto \ simp \ add: \ rel\text{-}fun\text{-}def \ eq\text{-}onp\text{-}def)

lemma eq\text{-}onE: \ [\![eq\text{-}on \ X \ a \ b; \ [\![b \in X; \ a = b \ ]\!] \Longrightarrow thesis \ [\![mather] \Longrightarrow thesis \ by \ auto

lemma Domainp\text{-}eq\text{-}on \ [simp]: Domainp \ (eq\text{-}on \ X) = (\lambda x. \ x \in X)
```

1.2 Proof automation

by auto

```
lemma arg1\text{-}cong: x=y\Longrightarrow f\ x\ z=f\ y\ z by (rule\ arg\text{-}cong) lemma UNIV\text{-}E: x\in UNIV\Longrightarrow P\Longrightarrow P. context begin private named-theorems combinator\text{-}unfold private named-theorems combinator\text{-}repr private definition B\ g\ f\ x\equiv g\ (f\ x) private definition C\ f\ x\ y\equiv f\ y\ x private definition I\ x\equiv x private definition X\ x\ y\equiv x
```

 $\begin{aligned} \textbf{lemmas} & [abs\text{-}def, combinator\text{-}unfold] = B\text{-}def \text{ C-}def \text{ I-}def \text{ K-}def \text{ S-}def \text{ T-}def \text{ W-}def \text{ l-}emmas \text{ } [combinator\text{-}repr] = combinator\text{-}unfold \end{aligned}$

```
private definition cpair \equiv Pair
private definition cuncurry \equiv case-prod
private lemma uncurry-pair: cuncurry f (cpair x y) = f x y
unfolding cpair-def cuncurry-def by simp
ML-file applicative.ML
\textbf{local-setup} \ {\it <} Applicative.setup\text{-}combinators
[(B, @\{thm B-def\}),
 (C, @\{thm \ C\text{-}def\}),
 (I, @\{thm \ I-def\}),
 (K, @\{thm \ K-def\}),
 (S, @\{thm \ S-def\}),
 (T, @\{thm \ T-def\}),
 (W, @\{thm \ W-def\})]
private attribute-setup combinator-eq =
 \langle Scan.lift\ (Scan.option\ (Args.\$\$\$\ weak\ |--
   Scan.optional (Args.colon | -- Scan.repeat1 Args.name) | >>
   Applicative.combinator-rule-attrib)
lemma [combinator-eq]: B \equiv S (K S) K unfolding combinator-unfold.
lemma [combinator-eq]: C \equiv S (S (K (S (K S) K)) S) (K K) unfolding combi-
nator-unfold .
lemma [combinator-eq]: I \equiv W K unfolding combinator-unfold.
lemma [combinator-eq]: I \equiv C K () unfolding combinator-unfold.
lemma [combinator-eq]: S \equiv B \ (B \ W) \ (B \ B \ C) unfolding combinator-unfold.
lemma [combinator-eq]: T \equiv C I unfolding combinator-unfold.
lemma [combinator-eq]: W \equiv S S (S K) unfolding combinator-unfold.
lemma [combinator-eq weak: C]:
 C \equiv C (B B (B B (B W (C (B C (B (B B) (C B (cuncury (K I)))))) (cuncury))))
K)))))) cpair
unfolding combinator-unfold uncurry-pair.
end
method-setup applicative-unfold =
 \langle Applicative.parse-opt-afun >> (fn \ opt-af => fn \ ctxt =>
   SIMPLE-METHOD' (Applicative.unfold-wrapper-tac ctxt opt-af))
 unfold into an applicative expression
method-setup \ applicative-fold =
 \langle Applicative.parse-opt-afun >> (fn \ opt-af => fn \ ctxt =>
   SIMPLE-METHOD' (Applicative.fold-wrapper-tac ctxt opt-af))>
 fold an applicative expression
```

```
method-setup \ applicative-nf =
  \langle Applicative.parse-opt-afun >> (fn \ opt-af => fn \ ctxt =>
   SIMPLE-METHOD' (Applicative.normalize-wrapper-tac ctxt opt-af))>
  prove an equation that has been lifted to an applicative functor, using normal
forms
method-setup applicative-lifting =
  \langle Applicative.parse-opt-afun >> (fn \ opt-af => fn \ ctxt =>
   SIMPLE-METHOD' (Applicative.lifting-wrapper-tac ctxt opt-af))\rightarrow
  prove an equation that has been lifted to an applicative functor
\mathbf{ML} \land Outer\text{-}Syntax.local\text{-}theory\text{-}to\text{-}proof @\{command\text{-}keyword\ applicative}\}
  register applicative functors
  (Parse.binding --
   Scan.optional (@{keyword (} |-- Parse.list Parse.short-ident --| @{keyword}
   (@\{keyword\ for\}\ |--\ Parse.reserved\ pure\ |--\ @\{keyword:\}\ |--\ Parse.term)
   (Parse.reserved\ ap\ | -- @\{keyword:\}\ | -- Parse.term)\ --
   Scan.option (Parse.reserved rel | -- @\{keyword:\} | -- Parse.term) --
   Scan.option (Parse.reserved set | -- @\{keyword:\} | -- Parse.term) >>
   Applicative.applicative-cmd)
ML \(\text{Outer-Syntax.command } @\{\command-keyword \(print-applicative\}\)
  print registered applicative functors
  (Scan.succeed (Toplevel.keep (Applicative.print-afuns o Toplevel.context-of)))
attribute-setup applicative-unfold =
  \langle Scan.lift\ (Scan.option\ Parse.name >> Applicative.add-unfold-attrib) \rangle
  register rules for unfolding into applicative expressions
attribute-setup applicative-lifted =
  \langle Scan.lift\ (Parse.name >> Applicative.forward-lift-attrib) \rangle
  lift an equation to an applicative functor
       Overloaded applicative operators
1.3
consts
 pure :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b
 ap :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c
bundle applicative-syntax
begin
 notation ap (infix! \Leftrightarrow 70)
end
hide-const (open) ap
```

2 Common applicative functors

2.1 Environment functor

```
theory Applicative-Environment imports 
Applicative begin definition const\ x=(\lambda\text{--}\ x) definition apf\ x\ y=(\lambda z.\ x\ z\ (y\ z)) adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \rightleftharpoons const adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \rightleftharpoons apf
```

The declaration below demonstrates that applicative functors which lift the reductions for combinators K and W also lift C. However, the interchange law must be supplied in this case.

```
applicative env (K, W)

for

pure: const

ap: apf

rel: rel-fun (=)

set: range

by(simp-all add: const-def apf-def rel-fun-def)

lemma

includes applicative-syntax

shows const (\lambda f \ x \ y. \ f \ y. \ x) \diamond f \diamond x \diamond y = f \diamond y \diamond x

by applicative-lifting simp
```

2.2 Option

end

```
theory Applicative-Option imports
Applicative
begin

fun ap-option :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) option \Rightarrow 'a option \Rightarrow 'b option
where
    ap-option (Some f) (Some x) = Some (f x)
| ap-option - - = None

abbreviation (input) pure-option :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a option
where pure-option \equiv Some

adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \Rightarrow pure-option
```

```
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap\text{-}option
lemma some-ap-option: ap-option (Some f) x = map-option f x
by (cases \ x) \ simp-all
lemma ap-some-option: ap-option f (Some x) = map-option (\lambda g. g x) f
by (cases f) simp-all
lemma ap-option-transfer[transfer-rule]:
 rel-fun (rel-option (rel-fun A B)) (rel-fun (rel-option A) (rel-option B)) ap-option
ap-option
by(auto elim!: option.rel-cases simp add: rel-fun-def)
applicative option (C, W)
for
  pure: Some
  ap: ap-option
  rel: rel-option
  set: set-option
proof -
  include applicative-syntax
  { \mathbf{fix} \ x :: 'a \ option
   show pure (\lambda x. \ x) \diamond x = x by (cases \ x) simp-all
  next
   fix g :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) option and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) option and x
   \mathbf{show} \ pure \ (\lambda g \ f \ x. \ g \ (f \ x)) \ \diamond \ g \ \diamond \ f \ \diamond \ x = g \ \diamond \ (f \ \diamond \ x)
      by (cases q f x rule: option.exhaust[case-product option.exhaust, case-product
option.exhaust]) simp-all
  next
   fix f :: ('b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c) option and x y
   show pure (\lambda f x y. f y x) \diamond f \diamond x \diamond y = f \diamond y \diamond x
      by (cases\ f\ x\ y\ rule:\ option.exhaust[case-product\ option.exhaust,\ case-product\ option]
option.exhaust]) simp-all
  next
   fix f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) option and x
   show pure (\lambda f x. f x x) \diamond f \diamond x = f \diamond x \diamond x
      by (cases f x rule: option.exhaust[case-product option.exhaust]) simp-all
   \mathbf{fix} \ R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool
   show rel-fun R (rel-option R) pure pure by transfer-prover
  next
   fix R and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) option and g :: ('a \Rightarrow 'c) option and x
   assume [transfer-rule]: rel-option (rel-fun (eq-on (set-option x)) R) f g
    have [transfer-rule]: rel-option (eq-on (set-option x)) x x by (auto intro: op-
tion.rel-refl-strong)
   show rel-option R (f \diamond x) (g \diamond x) by transfer-prover
qed (simp add: some-ap-option ap-some-option)
```

```
lemma map-option-ap-conv[applicative-unfold]: map-option f x = ap-option (pure <math>f) x by (cases \ x \ rule: option.exhaust) \ simp-all
```

no-adhoc-overloading Applicative. $pure \Rightarrow pure-option$ — We do not want to print all occurrences of Some as pure

end

2.3 Sum types

theory Applicative-Sum imports
Applicative
begin

There are several ways to define an applicative functor based on sum types. First, we can choose whether the left or the right type is fixed. Both cases are isomorphic, of course. Next, what should happen if two values of the fixed type are combined? The corresponding operator must be associative, or the idiom laws don't hold true.

We focus on the cases where the right type is fixed. We define two concrete functors: One based on Haskell's Either datatype, which prefers the value of the left operand, and a generic one using the *semigroup-add* class. Only the former lifts the **W** combinator, though.

```
fun ap-sum :: ('e \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow 'e) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) + 'e \Rightarrow 'a + 'e \Rightarrow 'b + 'e
where
    ap\text{-}sum - (Inl f) (Inl x) = Inl (f x)
  | ap\text{-}sum - (Inl -) (Inr e) = Inr e
    ap\text{-}sum - (Inr \ e) \ (Inl \ -) = Inr \ e
  | ap\text{-}sum \ c \ (Inr \ e1) \ (Inr \ e2) = Inr \ (c \ e1 \ e2)
abbreviation ap\text{-}either \equiv ap\text{-}sum (\lambda x -. x)
abbreviation ap\text{-}plus \equiv ap\text{-}sum \ (plus :: 'a :: semigroup\text{-}add \Rightarrow \text{-})
abbreviation (input) pure-sum where pure-sum \equiv Inl
adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \Rightarrow pure-sum
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap\text{-}either
lemma ap-sum-id: ap-sum c (Inl id) x = x
by (cases \ x) \ simp-all
lemma ap-sum-ichnq: ap-sum c f (Inl x) = ap-sum c (Inl (\lambda f. fx)) f
by (cases f) simp-all
lemma (in semigroup) ap-sum-comp:
  ap\text{-}sum \ f \ (ap\text{-}sum \ f \ (ap\text{-}sum \ f \ (Inl \ (o)) \ h) \ g) \ x = ap\text{-}sum \ f \ h \ (ap\text{-}sum \ f \ g \ x)
\mathbf{by}(cases\ h\ g\ x\ rule:\ sum.\ exhaust|\ case-product\ sum.\ exhaust|,\ case-product\ sum.\ exhaust|)
  (simp-all add: local.assoc)
```

```
lemma semigroup-const: semigroup (\lambda x \ y. \ x)
by unfold-locales simp
locale either-af =
  fixes B :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool
  assumes B-refl: reflp B
begin
applicative either(W)
for
  pure: Inl
  ap: ap-either
  rel: \lambda A. rel-sum A B
proof -
  include applicative-syntax
  { fix f :: ('c \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd) + 'a \text{ and } x
   show pure (\lambda f x. f x x) \diamond f \diamond x = f \diamond x \diamond x
     by (cases\ f\ x\ rule:\ sum.exhaust[case-product\ sum.exhaust])\ simp-all
   interpret semigroup \lambda x y. x by(rule semigroup-const)
   fix g :: ('d \Rightarrow 'e) + 'a and f :: ('c \Rightarrow 'd) + 'a and x
   show pure (\lambda g f x. g (f x)) \diamond g \diamond f \diamond x = g \diamond (f \diamond x)
     by(rule ap-sum-comp[simplified comp-def[abs-def]])
  \mathbf{next}
   fix R and f :: ('c \Rightarrow 'd) + 'b and g :: ('c \Rightarrow 'e) + 'b and x
   assume rel-sum (rel-fun (eq-on UNIV) R) B f g
   then show rel-sum R B (f \diamond x) (g \diamond x)
        by (cases \ f \ g \ x \ rule: \ sum.exhaust[case-product \ sum.exhaust, \ case-product
sum.exhaust])
       (auto intro: B-refl[THEN reflpD] elim: rel-funE)
  }
qed (auto intro: ap-sum-id[simplified id-def] ap-sum-ichng)
end
interpretation either-af (=) by unfold-locales simp
applicative semigroup-sum
for
  pure: Inl
  ap: ap-plus
using
  ap-sum-id[simplified id-def]
  ap\text{-}sum\text{-}ichng
  add.ap-sum-comp[simplified comp-def[abs-def]]
no-adhoc-overloading \ Applicative.pure \Rightarrow pure-sum
```

2.4 Set with Cartesian product

```
theory Applicative-Set imports
  Applicative
begin
definition ap-set :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'b set
  where ap-set F X = \{f x \mid f x. f \in F \land x \in X\}
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap-set
lemma ap-set-transfer[transfer-rule]:
  rel-fun (rel-set (rel-fun A B)) (rel-fun (rel-set A) (rel-set B)) ap-set ap-set
unfolding ap-set-def[abs-def] rel-set-def
by (fastforce elim: rel-funE)
applicative set(C)
for
 pure: \lambda x. \{x\}
  ap: ap\text{-}set
  rel: rel\text{-}set
  set: \lambda x. \ x
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool
 show rel-fun R (rel-set R) (\lambda x. {x}) (\lambda x. {x}) by (auto intro: rel-set I)
  fix R and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) set and g :: ('a \Rightarrow 'c) set and x
  assume [transfer-rule]: rel-set (rel-fun (eq-on x) R) f g
 \mathbf{have} \ [\mathit{transfer-rule}] \colon \mathit{rel-set} \ (\mathit{eq-on} \ \mathit{x}) \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{\mathbf{by}} \ (\mathit{auto} \ \mathit{intro} \colon \mathit{rel-set} \mathit{I})
 show rel-set R (ap-set f x) (ap-set g x) by transfer-prover
qed (unfold ap-set-def, fast+)
end
2.5
        Lists
theory Applicative-List imports
  Applicative
begin
definition ap-list fs xs = List.bind fs (\lambda f. List.bind xs (\lambda x. [f x]))
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap-list
lemma Nil-ap[simp]: ap-list [] xs = []
unfolding ap-list-def by simp
```

```
lemma ap-Nil[simp]: ap-list fs [] = []
unfolding ap-list-def by (induction fs) simp-all
lemma ap-list-transfer[transfer-rule]:
  rel-fun (list-all2 (rel-fun A B)) (rel-fun (list-all2 A) (list-all2 B)) ap-list ap-list
unfolding ap-list-def[abs-def] List.bind-def
by transfer-prover
context includes applicative-syntax
begin
lemma cons-ap-list: (f \# fs) \diamond xs = map f xs @ fs \diamond xs
unfolding ap-list-def by (induction xs) simp-all
lemma append-ap-distrib: (fs @ gs) \diamond xs = fs \diamond xs @ gs \diamond xs
unfolding ap-list-def by (induction fs) simp-all
applicative list
for
  pure: \lambda x. [x]
  ap: ap-list
  rel: list-all 2
  set: set
proof -
  fix x :: 'a \ list
  show [\lambda x. \ x] \diamond x = x unfolding ap-list-def by (induction x) simp-all
  fix g :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) list and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) list and x
 let ?B = \lambda g f x. g (f x)
 show [?B] \diamond g \diamond f \diamond x = g \diamond (f \diamond x)
  proof (induction g)
    case Nil show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons \ g \ gs)
    have g-comp: [?B \ g] \diamond f \diamond x = [g] \diamond (f \diamond x)
    proof (induction f)
      case Nil show ?case by simp
    \mathbf{next}
      case (Cons f fs)
      have [?B \ g] \diamond (f \ \# fs) \diamond x = [g] \diamond ([f] \diamond x) @ [?B \ g] \diamond fs \diamond x
        by (simp add: cons-ap-list)
      also have ... = [g] \diamond ([f] \diamond x) @ [g] \diamond (fs \diamond x) using \textit{Cons.IH} ..
      also have ... = [g] \diamond ((f \# fs) \diamond x) by (simp \ add: \ cons-ap\ -list)
      finally show ?case.
    qed
    have [?B] \diamond (g \# gs) \diamond f \diamond x = [?B g] \diamond f \diamond x @ [?B] \diamond gs \diamond f \diamond x
      by (simp add: cons-ap-list append-ap-distrib)
    also have ... = [g] \diamond (f \diamond x) \otimes gs \diamond (f \diamond x) using g-comp Cons.IH by simp
    also have ... = (g \# gs) \diamond (f \diamond x) by (simp \ add: \ cons-ap-list)
```

```
finally show ?case.
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  fix f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) list and x
  show f \diamond [x] = [\lambda f. f x] \diamond f unfolding ap-list-def by simp
  \mathbf{fix} \ R :: \ 'a \Rightarrow \ 'b \Rightarrow \ bool
  show rel-fun R (list-all 2R) (\lambda x. [x]) (\lambda x. [x]) by transfer-prover
next
  fix R and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) list and g :: ('a \Rightarrow 'c) list and x
  \mathbf{assume}\ [\mathit{transfer-rule}] : \mathit{list-all2}\ (\mathit{rel-fun}\ (\mathit{eq-on}\ (\mathit{set}\ x))\ \mathit{R})\ \mathit{f}\ \mathit{g}
 have [transfer-rule]: list-all2 (eq-on (set x)) x x by (simp add: list-all2-same)
 show list-all2 R (f \diamond x) (g \diamond x) by transfer-prover
qed (simp add: cons-ap-list)
lemma map-ap-conv[applicative-unfold]: map f x = [f] \diamond x
unfolding ap-list-def List.bind-def
\mathbf{by} \ simp
end
end
3
      Distinct, non-empty list
theory Applicative-DNEList imports
  Applicative	ext{-}List
  HOL-Library.Dlist
begin
lemma bind-eq-Nil-iff [simp]: List.bind xs f = [] \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in set \ xs. \ f \ x = [])
by(simp add: List.bind-def)
lemma zip-eq-Nil-iff [simp]: zip xs ys = [] \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \lor ys = []
by(cases xs ys rule: list.exhaust[case-product list.exhaust]) simp-all
lemma remdups-append1: remdups (remdups xs @ ys) = remdups (xs @ ys)
\mathbf{by}(induction \ xs) \ simp-all
lemma remdups-append2: remdups (xs @ remdups ys) = remdups (xs @ ys)
\mathbf{by}(induction \ xs) \ simp-all
lemma remdups-append1-drop: set xs \subseteq set \ ys \Longrightarrow remdups \ (xs @ ys) = remdups
\mathbf{by}(induction \ xs) \ auto
lemma remdups-concat-map: remdups (concat (map remdups xss)) = remdups
(concat xss)
```

by(induction xss)(simp-all add: remdups-append1, metis remdups-append2)

```
lemma remdups-concat-remdups: remdups (concat (remdups xss)) = remdups (concat)
xss
apply(induction \ xss)
apply(auto simp add: remdups-append1-drop)
apply(subst remdups-append1-drop; auto)
apply(metis remdups-append2)
done
lemma remdups-replicate: remdups (replicate n x) = (if n = 0 then [] else [x])
\mathbf{by}(induction \ n) \ simp-all
typedef 'a dnelist = \{xs::'a \ list. \ distinct \ xs \land xs \neq []\}
 morphisms list-of-dnelist Abs-dnelist
proof
 show [x] \in ?dnelist for x by simp
qed
setup-lifting type-definition-dnelist
lemma dnelist-subtype-dlist:
  type-definition (\lambda x. Dlist (list-of-dnelist x)) (\lambda x. Abs-dnelist (list-of-dlist x)) \{xs.
xs \neq Dlist.empty
apply unfold-locales
subgoal by(transfer; auto simp add: dlist-eq-iff)
subgoal by(simp add: distinct-remdups-id dnelist.list-of-dnelist[simplified] list-of-dnelist-inverse)
subgoal by(simp add: dlist-eq-iff Abs-dnelist-inverse)
done
lift-bnf (no-warn-transfer, no-warn-wits) 'a dnelist via dnelist-subtype-dlist for
map: map
 \mathbf{by}(auto\ simp:\ dlist-eq-iff)
hide-const (open) map
context begin
qualified lemma map-def: Applicative-DNEList.map = map-fun id (map-fun list-of-dnelist)
Abs-dnelist) (\lambda f xs. remdups (list.map f xs))
unfolding map-def by(simp add: fun-eq-iff distinct-remdups-id list-of-dnelist[simplified])
qualified lemma map-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  rel-fun \ (=) \ (rel-fun \ (pcr-dnelist \ (=)) \ (pcr-dnelist \ (=))) \ (\lambda f \ xs. \ remdups \ (map \ f
xs)) Applicative-DNEList.map
by(simp add: map-def rel-fun-def dnelist.pcr-cr-eq cr-dnelist-def list-of-dnelist[simplified]
Abs-dnelist-inverse)
qualified lift-definition single :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ dnelist \ is \ \lambda x. \ [x] \ by \ simp
qualified lift-definition insert :: a \Rightarrow a dnelist \Rightarrow a dnelist is \lambda x xs. if x \in set
xs then xs else x \# xs by auto
```

```
qualified lift-definition append :: 'a dnelist \Rightarrow 'a dnelist \Rightarrow 'a dnelist is \lambda xs ys.
remdups (xs @ ys) by auto
qualified lift-definition bind :: 'a dnelist \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b dnelist) \Rightarrow 'b dnelist is \lambda xs
f. remdups (List.bind xs f) by auto
abbreviation (input) pure-dnelist :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ dnelist
where pure-dnelist \equiv single
end
lift-definition ap-dnelist :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) dnelist \Rightarrow 'a dnelist \Rightarrow 'b dnelist
is \lambda f x. remdups (ap-list f x)
by(auto simp add: ap-list-def)
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap-dnelist
lemma ap-pure-list [simp]: ap-list [f] xs = map f xs
by(simp add: ap-list-def List.bind-def)
context includes applicative-syntax
begin
lemma ap-pure-dlist: pure-dnelist f \diamond x = Applicative-DNEList.map f x
by transfer simp
applicative dnelist(K)
for pure: pure-dnelist
    ap: ap-dnelist
proof -
 show pure-dnelist (\lambda x. \ x) \diamond x = x for x :: 'a \ dnelist
    by transfer simp
 have *: remdups (remdups ([\lambda g f x. g (f x)] \diamond g) \diamond f) \diamond x) = remdups
(g \diamond remdups (f \diamond x))
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs) for g :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) list and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) list and x
    have ?lhs = remdups (concat (map (<math>\lambda f. map f x)) (remdups (concat (map (<math>\lambda x. map f x))) (remdups (concat (map ((\lambda x. map f x))))))
map (\lambda f y. x (f y)) f) g)))))
      unfolding ap-list-def List.bind-def
    by(subst(2) remdups-concat-remdups[symmetric])(simp add: o-def remdups-map-remdups
remdups-concat-remdups)
    also have ... = remdups (concat (map (\lambda f. map f x) (concat (map (\lambda x. map
(\lambda f y. x (f y)) f) g))))
    \mathbf{by}(subst\ (1)\ remdups\text{-}concat\text{-}remdups[symmetric])(simp\ add:\ remdups\text{-}map\text{-}remdups
remdups-concat-remdups)
   also have ... = remdups (concat (map remdups (map (\lambda g. map g (concat (map
(\lambda f. \ map \ f \ x) \ f))) \ g)))
      \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{list.pure-B-conv}[\mathit{of}\ \mathit{g}\ \mathit{f}\ \mathit{x}]\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{remdups-concat-map}
      by(simp add: ap-list-def List.bind-def o-def)
```

```
also have ... = ?rhs unfolding ap-list-def List.bind-def
    \mathbf{by}(subst\ (2)\ remdups-concat-map[symmetric])(simp\ add:\ o-def\ remdups-map-remdups)
   finally show ?thesis.
  qed
  show pure-dnelist (\lambda g f x. g (f x)) \diamond g \diamond f \diamond x = g \diamond (f \diamond x)
   for g :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) dnelist and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) dnelist and x
   by transfer(rule *)
  show pure-dnelist f \diamond pure-dnelist x = pure-dnelist (f x) for f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b and x \in [f]
   by transfer simp
  show f \diamond pure-dnelist x = pure-dnelist (\lambda f. f. x) \diamond f for f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) dnelist
and x
   by transfer(simp add: list.interchange)
 have *: remdups (remdups ([\lambda x \ y. \ x] \diamond x) \diamond y) = x if x: distinct x and y: distinct
y y \neq []
   for x :: 'b \ list \ and \ y :: 'a \ list
  proof -
   have remdups (map (\lambda(x :: 'b) (y :: 'a). x) x) = map (\lambda(x :: 'b) (y :: 'a). x) x
     using that by(simp add: distinct-map inj-on-def fun-eq-iff)
    hence remdups (remdups ([\lambda x \ y. \ x] \diamond x) \diamond y) = remdups (concat (map (\lambda f.
map f y) (map (\lambda x y. x) x)))
     by(simp add: ap-list-def List.bind-def del: remdups-id-iff-distinct)
   also have \dots = x using that
    by(simp add: o-def map-replicate-const)(subst remdups-concat-map[symmetric],
simp add: o-def remdups-replicate)
   finally show ?thesis.
  show pure-dnelist (\lambda x \ y. \ x) \diamond x \diamond y = x
   for x :: 'b \ dnelist \ and \ y :: 'a \ dnelist
   by transfer(rule *; simp)
qed
- dnelist does not have combinator C, so it cannot have W either.
context begin
private lift-definition x :: int \ dnelist \ is [2,3] by simp
private lift-definition y :: int \ dnelist \ is \ [5,7] \ by \ simp
private lemma pure-dnelist (\lambda f x y. f y. x) \diamond pure-dnelist ((*)) \diamond x \diamond y \neq pure-dnelist
((*)) \diamond y \diamond x
 by transfer(simp add: ap-list-def fun-eq-iff)
end
end
end
        Monoid
3.1
theory Applicative-Monoid imports
```

Applicative

```
datatype ('a, 'b) monoid-ap = Monoid-ap 'a 'b
definition (in zero) pure-monoid-add :: b \Rightarrow (a, b) monoid-ap
where pure-monoid-add = Monoid-ap \theta
fun (in plus) ap-monoid-add :: ('a, 'b \Rightarrow 'c) monoid-ap \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) monoid-ap \Rightarrow
('a, 'c) monoid-ap
where ap-monoid-add (Monoid-ap a1 f) (Monoid-ap a2 x) = Monoid-ap (a1 +
a2) (f x)
setup <
 fold Sign.add-const-constraint
   [(@\{const-name\ pure-monoid-add\},\ SOME\ (@\{typ\ 'b\Rightarrow ('a::monoid-add,\ 'b)\}]]
monoid-ap\{)),
    (@\{const-name\ ap-monoid-add\},\ SOME\ (@\{typ\ ('a::monoid-add,\ 'b\Rightarrow 'c)\})
monoid-ap \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ monoid-ap \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \ monoid-ap \}))]
adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \Rightarrow pure-monoid-add
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap\text{-}monoid\text{-}add
applicative monoid-add
 for pure: pure-monoid-add
     ap: ap-monoid-add
subgoal by(simp add: pure-monoid-add-def)
subgoal for q f x by(cases q f x rule: monoid-ap.exhaust[case-product monoid-ap.exhaust,
case-product monoid-ap.exhaust])(simp add: pure-monoid-add-def add.assoc)
subgoal for x by(cases x)(simp add: pure-monoid-add-def)
subgoal for f \times by(cases f)(simp \ add: pure-monoid-add-def)
applicative comm-monoid-add (C)
 for pure: pure-monoid-add :: - \Rightarrow (- :: comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add, -) monoid\text{-}ap
     ap: ap-monoid-add :: (-:: comm-monoid-add, -) monoid-ap \Rightarrow -
apply(rule\ monoid\-add.homomorphism\ monoid\-add.pure\-B\-conv\ monoid\-add.interchange)+
subgoal for fx y by (cases fx y rule: monoid-ap.exhaust[case-product monoid-ap.exhaust,
case-product monoid-ap.exhaust])(simp add: pure-monoid-add-def add-ac)
apply(rule monoid-add.pure-I-conv)
done
class\ idemp{-monoid-add} = monoid{-add} +
 assumes add-idemp: x + x = x
applicative idemp-monoid-add (W)
 for pure: pure-monoid-add :: - \Rightarrow (- :: idemp-monoid-add, -) monoid-ap
     ap: ap-monoid-add :: (-:: idemp-monoid-add, -) monoid-ap \Rightarrow -
apply(rule\ monoid\-add.homomorphism\ monoid\-add.pure\-B\-conv\ monoid\-add.pure\-I\-conv)+
```

begin

```
subgoal for fx by(cases fx rule: monoid-ap.exhaust[case-product monoid-ap.exhaust])(simp
add: pure-monoid-add-def add.assoc add-idemp)
apply(rule\ monoid-add.interchange)
done
Test case
lemma
 includes applicative-syntax
 shows pure-monoid-add (+) \diamond (x :: (nat, int) monoid-ap) \diamond y = pure (+) \diamond y \diamond
\mathbf{by}(applicative\text{-}lifting\ comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add)\ simp
end
3.2
        Filters
theory Applicative-Filter imports
  Complex-Main
  Applicative
  HOL-Library. Conditional-Parametricity
begin
definition pure-filter :: a \Rightarrow a filter where
 pure-filter x = principal \{x\}
definition ap-filter :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) filter \Rightarrow 'a filter \Rightarrow 'b filter where
  ap-filter F X = filtermap (\lambda(f, x). f x) (prod-filter <math>F X)
lemma eq\text{-}on\text{-}UNIV: eq\text{-}on\ UNIV = (=)
 by auto
\mathbf{declare}\ filtermap\text{-}parametric[transfer\text{-}rule]
parametric-constant pure-filter-parametric[transfer-rule]: pure-filter-def
parametric-constant ap-filter-parametric [transfer-rule]: ap-filter-def
applicative filter (C)

    K is available for not-bot filters and W isholds not available

for
 pure: pure-filter
 ap: ap-filter
 rel: rel-filter
proof -
  show ap-filter (pure-filter f) (pure-filter x) = pure-filter (f x) for f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b
   by(simp add: ap-filter-def pure-filter-def principal-prod-principal)
 show ap-filter (ap-filter (pure-filter (\lambda q f x. q (f x))) q) f) x = 1
   ap-filter g (ap-filter f x) for f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) filter and g :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) filter and x
     \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ ap	ext{-}filter	ext{-}def\ pure	ext{-}filter	ext{-}def\ filtermap	ext{-}filtermap1
```

```
prod-filter map 2\ apfst-def\ case-prod-map-prod\ prod-filter-assoc\ prod-filter-principal-singleton
split-beta)
 show ap-filter (pure-filter (\lambda x. x)) x = x for x :: 'a filter
  by (simp add: ap-filter-def pure-filter-def prod-filter-principal-singleton filtermap-filtermap)
 show ap-filter (ap-filter (pure-filter (\lambda f x y. f y x)) f(x) y = x
   ap-filter (ap-filter f y) x for f :: (b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c) filter and x y
  \mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ ap\text{-}filter\text{-}def\ pure\text{-}filter\text{-}def\ filtermap\text{-}filtermap\ prod\text{-}filter\text{-}principal\text{-}singleton2)
prod-filter-principal-singleton prod-filtermap1 prod-filtermap2 prod-filter-assoc split-beta)
   apply(subst (2) prod-filter-commute)
   apply(simp add: filtermap-filtermap prod-filtermap1 prod-filtermap2)
   done
 show rel-fun R (rel-filter R) pure-filter pure-filter for R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool
   by(rule pure-filter-parametric)
 show rel-filter R (ap-filter f(x)) (ap-filter g(x)) if rel-filter (rel-fun (eq-on UNIV)
R) f g
   for R and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) filter and g :: ('a \Rightarrow 'c) filter and x
   supply that [unfolded eq-on-UNIV, transfer-rule] by transfer-prover
qed
end
3.3
        State monad
theory Applicative-State
imports
  Applicative
  HOL-Library.State-Monad
begin
applicative state for
 pure: State-Monad.return
 ap: State-Monad.ap
unfolding State-Monad.return-def State-Monad.ap-def
by (auto split: prod.splits)
end
3.4
        Streams as an applicative functor
theory Applicative-Stream imports
  Applicative
  HOL-Library.Stream
begin
primcorec (transfer) ap-stream :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) stream \Rightarrow 'a stream \Rightarrow 'b stream
where
    shd\ (ap\text{-}stream\ f\ x) = shd\ f\ (shd\ x)
 | stl (ap\text{-}stream f x) = ap\text{-}stream (stl f) (stl x)
adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \Rightarrow sconst
```

```
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap\text{-stream}
context includes lifting-syntax and applicative-syntax
begin
lemma ap-stream-id: pure (\lambda x. x) \diamond x = x
\mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{coinduction}\ \mathit{arbitrary} \colon \mathit{x})\ \mathit{simp}
lemma ap-stream-homo: pure f \diamond pure x = pure (f x)
by coinduction simp
lemma ap-stream-interchange: f \diamond pure \ x = pure \ (\lambda f. \ f \ x) \diamond f
by (coinduction arbitrary: f) auto
lemma ap-stream-composition: pure (\lambda g f x. g (f x)) \diamond g \diamond f \diamond x = g \diamond (f \diamond x)
by (coinduction arbitrary: q f x) auto
applicative stream (S, K)
for
  pure: sconst
  ap: ap-stream
  rel: stream-all 2
  set: sset
proof -
  fix g :: ('b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c) stream and f x
  show pure (\lambda g f x. g x (f x)) \diamond g \diamond f \diamond x = g \diamond x \diamond (f \diamond x)
    by (coinduction arbitrary: g f x) auto
next
  fix x :: 'b \ stream \ and \ y :: 'a \ stream
  show pure (\lambda x \ y. \ x) \diamond x \diamond y = x
    by (coinduction arbitrary: x y) auto
  \mathbf{fix} \ R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool
  show (R ===> stream-all2 R) pure pure
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
    assume R \times y
    then show stream-all 2R (pure x) (pure y)
      by coinduction simp
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  fix R and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) stream and g :: ('a \Rightarrow 'c) stream and x
  assume [transfer-rule]: stream-all2 (eq-on (sset x) ===> R) f g
 \mathbf{have} \ [\mathit{transfer-rule}] : \mathit{stream-all2} \ (\mathit{eq-on} \ (\mathit{sset} \ x)) \ \mathit{xx} \ \mathbf{by} (\mathit{simp} \ \mathit{add} : \mathit{stream.rel-refl-strong})
  show stream-all2 R (f \diamond x) (g \diamond x) by transfer-prover
qed (rule ap-stream-homo)
lemma smap-applicative[applicative-unfold]: smap <math>f x = pure f \diamond x
```

unfolding ap-stream-def by (coinduction arbitrary: x) auto

```
lemma smap2-applicative[applicative-unfold]: smap2 f x y = pure f \diamond x \diamond y
unfolding ap-stream-def by (coinduction arbitrary: x y) auto
end
end
                                 Open state monad
3.5
theory Applicative-Open-State imports
        Applicative
begin
type-synonym ('a, 's) state = 's \Rightarrow 'a \times 's
definition ap-state f x = (\lambda s. \ case \ f \ s \ of \ (g, s') \Rightarrow case \ x \ s' \ of \ (y, s'') \Rightarrow (g \ y, s''
s''))
abbreviation (input) pure-state \equiv Pair
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap\text{-}state
applicative state
for
       pure: pure-state
       ap: ap-state :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b, 's) state \Rightarrow ('a, 's) state \Rightarrow ('b, 's) state
unfolding ap\text{-}state\text{-}def
by (auto split: prod.split)
end
3.6
                               Probability mass functions
theory Applicative-PMF imports
        Applicative
        HOL-Probability.Probability
begin
abbreviation (input) pure-pmf :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ pmf
where pure-pmf \equiv return-pmf
definition ap\text{-}pmf :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \ pmf \Rightarrow 'a \ pmf \Rightarrow 'b \ pmf
where ap\text{-}pmf f x = map\text{-}pmf (\lambda(f, x). f x) (pair\text{-}pmf f x)
```

adhoc-overloading $Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap-pmf$

context includes applicative-syntax

begin

```
lemma ap-pmf-id: pure-pmf (\lambda x. x) \diamond x = x
by(simp add: ap-pmf-def pair-return-pmf1 pmf.map-comp o-def)
lemma ap-pmf-comp: pure-pmf (\circ) \diamond u \diamond v \diamond w = u \diamond (v \diamond w)
by(simp add: ap-pmf-def pair-return-pmf1 pair-map-pmf1 pair-map-pmf2 pmf.map-comp
o-def split-def pair-pair-pmf)
lemma ap-pmf-homo: pure-pmf f \diamond pure-pmf \ x = pure-pmf \ (f \ x)
by(simp add: ap-pmf-def pair-return-pmf1)
lemma ap-pmf-interchange: u \diamond pure-pmf \ x = pure-pmf \ (\lambda f. \ f \ x) \diamond u
by(simp add: ap-pmf-def pair-return-pmf1 pair-return-pmf2 pmf.map-comp o-def)
lemma ap-pmf-K: return-pmf (\lambda x - x) \diamond x \diamond y = x
by(simp add: ap-pmf-def pair-map-pmf1 pmf.map-comp pair-return-pmf1 o-def split-def
map-fst-pair-pmf)
lemma ap-pmf-C: return-pmf (\lambda f \ x \ y. \ f \ y \ x) \diamond f \diamond x \diamond y = f \diamond y \diamond x
apply(simp add: ap-pmf-def pair-map-pmf1 pmf.map-comp pair-return-pmf1 pair-pair-pmf
o-def split-def)
apply(subst (2) pair-commute-pmf)
apply(simp add: pair-map-pmf2 pmf.map-comp o-def split-def)
done
lemma ap-pmf-transfer[transfer-rule]:
  rel-fun (rel-pmf (rel-fun A B)) (rel-fun (rel-pmf A) (rel-pmf B)) ap-pmf ap-pmf
unfolding ap-pmf-def[abs-def] pair-pmf-def
by transfer-prover
applicative pmf(C, K)
for
  pure: pure-pmf
  ap: ap-pmf
  rel: rel-pmf
  set: set-pmf
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool
  show rel-fun R (rel-pmf R) pure-pmf pure-pmf by transfer-prover
next
  fix R and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \ pmf and g :: ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \ pmf and x
 assume [transfer-rule]: rel-pmf (rel-fun (eq-on (set-pmf x)) R) f g
 have [transfer-rule]: rel-pmf (eq-on(set-pmfx)) x x y (simp add: pmf.rel-refl-strong)
 show rel-pmf R (ap-pmf f x) (ap-pmf g x) by transfer-prover
\mathbf{qed}(\mathit{rule\ ap\text{-}pmf\text{-}}\mathit{comp}[\mathit{unfolded\ o\text{-}}\mathit{def}[\mathit{abs\text{-}}\mathit{def}]]\ \mathit{ap\text{-}pmf\text{-}}\mathit{homo\ ap\text{-}pmf\text{-}}\mathit{C\ ap\text{-}pmf\text{-}}\mathit{K}) +
end
```

end

3.7 Probability mass functions implemented as lists with duplicates

```
theory Applicative-Probability-List imports
  Applicative-List
  Complex	ext{-}Main
begin
lemma sum-list-concat-map: sum-list (concat (map f xs)) = sum-list (map (\lambda x).
sum-list (f x) xs
\mathbf{by}(induction \ xs) \ simp-all
context includes applicative-syntax begin
lemma set-ap-list [simp]: set (f \diamond x) = (\lambda(f, x). f x) ' (set f \times set x)
by(auto simp add: ap-list-def List.bind-def)
We call the implementation type pfp because it is the basis for the Haskell li-
brary Probability by Martin Erwig and Steve Kollmansberger (Probabilistic
Functional Programming).
\mathbf{typedef} \ 'a \ pfp = \{xs :: ('a \times \mathit{real}) \ \mathit{list}. \ (\forall (\textit{-}, p) \in \mathit{set} \ \mathit{xs}. \ p > 0) \land \mathit{sum-list} \ (\mathit{map}) \}
snd xs) = 1
proof
  show [(x, 1)] \in ?pfp for x by simp
qed
setup-lifting type-definition-pfp
lift-definition pure-pfp :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ pfp \ \textbf{is} \ \lambda x. \ [(x, 1)] \ \textbf{by} \ simp
lift-definition ap\text{-}pfp :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \ pfp \Rightarrow 'a \ pfp \Rightarrow 'b \ pfp
is \lambda fs \ xs. \ [\lambda(f, p) \ (x, q). \ (f \ x, \ p * q)] \diamond fs \diamond xs
proof safe
  fix xs :: (('a \Rightarrow 'b) \times real) list and ys :: ('a \times real) list
  assume xs: \forall (x, y) \in set \ xs. \ 0 < y \ sum-list \ (map \ snd \ xs) = 1
    and ys: \forall (x, y) \in set \ ys. \ 0 < y \ sum-list \ (map \ snd \ ys) = 1
  let ?ap = [\lambda(f, p) (x, q). (f x, p * q)] \diamond xs \diamond ys
  show 0 < b if (a, b) \in set ?ap for a b using that xs ys
    by(auto intro!: mult-pos-pos)
  show sum-list (map \ snd \ ?ap) = 1 using xs \ ys
  \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ ap\mbox{-}list\mbox{-}def\ List\mbox{-}bind\mbox{-}def\ map\mbox{-}concat\ o\mbox{-}def\ split\mbox{-}beta\ sum\mbox{-}list\mbox{-}concat\mbox{-}map
sum-list-const-mult)
\mathbf{qed}
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap-pfp
applicative pfp
for pure: pure-pfp
     ap: ap-pfp
```

```
proof -
    show pure-pfp (\lambda x. \ x) \diamond x = x for x :: 'a \ pfp
        by transfer(simp add: ap-list-def List.bind-def)
    show pure-pfp f \diamond pure-pfp x = pure-pfp (f x) for f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b and x = pure-pfp (f x) for (f x) for
        by transfer (applicative-lifting; simp)
    show pure-pfp (\lambda g f x. g (f x)) \diamond g \diamond f \diamond x = g \diamond (f \diamond x)
        for g :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) pfp and f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) pfp and x
        by transfer(applicative-lifting; clarsimp)
    show f \diamond pure\text{-}pfp \ x = pure\text{-}pfp \ (\lambda f. \ f. \ x) \diamond f \ \text{for} \ f :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \ pfp \ \text{and} \ x
        by transfer(applicative-lifting; clarsimp)
qed
end
end
3.8
                   Ultrafilter
theory Applicative-Star imports
    Applicative
     HOL-Nonstandard-Analysis. StarDef
begin
applicative star(C, K, W)
for
    pure: star-of
    ap: Ifun
proof -
    show star-of f \star star-of x = star-of (f x) for f x by(fact Ifun-star-of)
qed(transfer; rule refl)+
end
theory Applicative-Vector imports
     Applicative
     HOL-Analysis. Finite-Cartesian-Product
begin
definition pure-vec :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b :: finite) vec
where pure-vec x = (\chi - x)
definition ap-vec :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b, 'c :: finite) \ vec \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \ vec \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) \ vec
where ap\text{-}vec\ f\ x = (\chi\ i.\ (f\ \$\ i)\ (x\ \$\ i))
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap-vec
applicative vec(K, W)
for
```

```
pure: pure-vec
 ap: ap-vec
by(auto simp add: pure-vec-def ap-vec-def vec-nth-inverse)
lemma pure-vec-nth [simp]: pure-vec x \$ i = x
by(simp add: pure-vec-def)
lemma ap-vec-nth [simp]: ap-vec f x \$ i = (f \$ i) (x \$ i)
\mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ ap\text{-}vec\text{-}def)
end
theory Applicative-Functor imports
  Applicative	ext{-}Environment
  Applicative-Option
  Applicative-Sum
  Applicative	ext{-}Set
  Applicative	ext{-}List
  Applicative - DNEList
  Applicative	ext{-}Monoid
  Applicative	ext{-}Filter
  Applicative	ext{-}State
  Applicative	ext{-}Stream
  Applicative-Open-State
  Applicative-PMF
  Applicative-Probability-List
  Applicative	ext{-}Star
  Applicative-Vector
begin
print-applicative
end
```

4 Examples of applicative lifting

4.1 Algebraic operations for the environment functor

```
theory Applicative-Environment-Algebra imports
Applicative-Environment
HOL-Library.Function-Division
begin
```

Link between applicative instance of the environment functor with the pointwise operations for the algebraic type classes

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{context includes} \ \textit{applicative-syntax} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

```
lemma plus-fun-af [applicative-unfold]: f + g = pure(+) \diamond f \diamond g
unfolding plus-fun-def const-def apf-def ..
lemma zero-fun-af [applicative-unfold]: \theta = pure \ \theta
unfolding zero-fun-def const-def ..
lemma times-fun-af [applicative-unfold]: f * g = pure (*) \diamond f \diamond g
unfolding times-fun-def const-def apf-def ..
lemma one-fun-af [applicative-unfold]: 1 = pure 1
unfolding one-fun-def const-def ...
lemma of-nat-fun-af [applicative-unfold]: of-nat n = pure (of-nat n)
unfolding of-nat-fun const-def ..
lemma inverse-fun-af [applicative-unfold]: inverse f = pure inverse \diamond f
unfolding inverse-fun-def o-def const-def apf-def ..
lemma divide-fun-af [applicative-unfold]: divide f g = pure divide \diamond f \diamond g
unfolding divide-fun-def const-def apf-def ...
end
end
4.2
       Pointwise arithmetic on streams
theory Stream-Algebra
imports Applicative-Stream
begin
instantiation stream :: (zero) zero begin
definition [applicative-unfold]: \theta = sconst \ \theta
instance ..
end
instantiation stream :: (one) one begin
definition [applicative-unfold]: 1 = sconst \ 1
instance ..
end
instantiation stream :: (plus) plus begin
context includes applicative-syntax begin
definition [applicative-unfold]: x + y = pure(+) \diamond x \diamond (y :: 'a stream)
instance ..
end
```

instantiation stream :: (minus) minus begin

```
context includes applicative-syntax begin
definition [applicative-unfold]: x - y = pure(-) \diamond x \diamond (y :: 'a stream)
end
instance ..
end
instantiation stream :: (uminus) uminus begin
context includes applicative-syntax begin
definition [applicative-unfold stream]: uminus = ((\diamond) (pure uminus) :: 'a stream
\Rightarrow 'a stream)
\mathbf{end}
instance ..
end
instantiation stream :: (times) times begin
context includes applicative-syntax begin
definition [applicative-unfold]: x * y = pure (*) \diamond x \diamond (y :: 'a stream)
\mathbf{end}
instance ..
end
instance stream :: (Rings.dvd) Rings.dvd ...
instantiation stream :: (modulo) modulo begin
context includes applicative-syntax begin
definition [applicative-unfold]: x \ div \ y = pure \ (div) \diamond x \diamond (y :: 'a \ stream)
definition [applicative-unfold]: x \mod y = pure \pmod{\diamond} x \diamond (y :: 'a \ stream)
end
instance ..
end
instance stream :: (semigroup-add) semigroup-add
using add.assoc by intro-classes applicative-lifting
instance stream :: (ab-semigroup-add) ab-semigroup-add
using add.commute by intro-classes applicative-lifting
instance stream :: (semigroup-mult) semigroup-mult
using mult.assoc by intro-classes applicative-lifting
instance stream :: (ab-semigroup-mult) ab-semigroup-mult
using mult.commute by intro-classes applicative-lifting
instance stream :: (monoid-add) monoid-add
by intro-classes (applicative-lifting, simp)+
instance stream :: (comm-monoid-add) comm-monoid-add
by intro-classes (applicative-lifting, simp)
```

```
instance stream :: (comm-monoid-diff) comm-monoid-diff
by intro-classes (applicative-lifting, simp add: diff-diff-add)+
instance stream :: (monoid-mult) monoid-mult
by intro-classes (applicative-lifting, simp)+
instance stream :: (comm-monoid-mult) comm-monoid-mult
by intro-classes (applicative-lifting, simp)
lemma plus-stream-shd: shd (x + y) = shd x + shd y
unfolding plus-stream-def by simp
lemma plus-stream-stl: stl (x + y) = stl x + stl y
unfolding plus-stream-def by simp
instance stream :: (cancel-semigroup-add) cancel-semigroup-add
proof
 fix a b c :: 'a stream
 assume a + b = a + c
 thus b = c proof (coinduction arbitrary: a \ b \ c)
   case (Eq\text{-stream } a\ b\ c)
   hence shd(a + b) = shd(a + c) stl(a + b) = stl(a + c) by simp-all
   thus ?case by (auto simp add: plus-stream-shd plus-stream-stl)
 qed
next
 fix a b c :: 'a stream
 assume b + a = c + a
 thus b = c proof (coinduction arbitrary: a \ b \ c)
   case (Eq\text{-stream } a \ b \ c)
   hence shd(b+a) = shd(c+a) stl(b+a) = stl(c+a) by simp-all
   thus ?case by (auto simp add: plus-stream-shd plus-stream-stl)
 qed
qed
\mathbf{instance}\ stream:: (cancel-ab\text{-}semigroup\text{-}add)\ cancel-ab\text{-}semigroup\text{-}add
by intro-classes (applicative-lifting, simp add: diff-diff-eq)+
instance stream :: (cancel-comm-monoid-add) cancel-comm-monoid-add ..
instance stream :: (group-add) group-add
by intro-classes (applicative-lifting, simp)+
instance stream :: (ab-group-add) ab-group-add
by intro-classes simp-all
instance stream :: (semiring) semiring
by intro-classes (applicative-lifting, simp add: ring-distribs)+
```

```
instance stream :: (mult-zero) mult-zero
by intro-classes (applicative-lifting, simp)+
instance stream :: (semiring-0) semiring-0..
instance stream :: (semiring-0-cancel) semiring-0-cancel ..
instance stream :: (comm-semiring) comm-semiring
by intro-classes(rule distrib-right)
instance stream :: (comm-semiring-0) comm-semiring-0..
instance \ stream :: (comm-semiring-0-cancel) \ comm-semiring-0-cancel  ..
lemma pure-stream-inject [simp]: sconst x = sconst \ y \longleftrightarrow x = y
proof
 assume sconst \ x = sconst \ y
 hence shd (sconst x) = shd (sconst y) by simp
 thus x = y by simp
qed auto
instance stream :: (zero-neq-one) zero-neq-one
by intro-classes (applicative-unfold stream)
instance stream :: (semiring-1) semiring-1 ..
instance stream :: (comm-semiring-1) comm-semiring-1 ..
instance stream :: (semiring-1-cancel) semiring-1-cancel ..
instance stream :: (comm-semiring-1-cancel) comm-semiring-1-cancel
by(intro-classes; applicative-lifting, rule right-diff-distrib')
instance stream :: (ring) ring ..
instance stream :: (comm-ring) comm-ring ..
instance stream :: (ring-1) ring-1 ..
instance stream :: (comm-ring-1) comm-ring-1 ..
instance stream :: (numeral) numeral ...
instance stream :: (neg-numeral) neg-numeral ..
instance stream :: (semiring-numeral) semiring-numeral ..
lemma of-nat-stream [applicative-unfold]: of-nat n = sconst (of-nat n)
```

```
proof (induction n)
    case 0 show ?case by (simp add: zero-stream-def del: id-apply)
next
    case (Suc n)
    have 1 + pure (of-nat n) = pure (1 + of-nat n) by applicative-nf rule
    with Suc.IH show ?case by (simp del: id-apply)
qed
instance stream :: (semiring-char-0) semiring-char-0
by intro-classes (simp add: inj-on-def of-nat-stream)
lemma pure-stream-numeral [applicative-unfold]: numeral n = pure (numeral n)
by(induction n)(simp-all only: numeral.simps one-stream-def plus-stream-def ap-stream-homo)
instance stream :: (ring-char-0) ring-char-0 ...
end
```

4.3 Tree relabelling

```
theory Tree-Relabelling imports

Applicative-State

Applicative-Option

Applicative-PMF

HOL-Library.Stream

begin

unbundle applicative-syntax

adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \rightleftharpoons pure-option

adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \rightleftharpoons State-Monad.return

adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \rightleftharpoons State-Monad.ap
```

Hutton and Fulger [4] suggested the following tree relabelling problem as an example for reasoning about effects. Given a binary tree with labels at the leaves, the relabelling assigns a unique number to every leaf. Their correctness property states that the list of labels in the obtained tree is distinct. As observed by Gibbons and Bird [1], this breaks the abstraction of the state monad, because the relabeling function must be run. Although Hutton and Fulger are careful to reason in point-free style, they nevertheless unfold the implementation of the state monad operations. Gibbons and Hinze [2] suggest to state the correctness in an effectful way using an exception-state monad. Thereby, they lose the applicative structure and have to resort to a full monad.

Here, we model the tree relabelling function three times. First, we state correctness in pure terms following Hutton and Fulger. Second, we take Gibbons' and Bird's approach of considering traversals. Third, we state correctness effectfully, but only using the applicative functors.

```
datatype 'a tree = Leaf 'a | Node 'a tree 'a tree
primrec fold-tree :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \ tree \Rightarrow 'b
where
 fold-tree f g (Leaf a) = f a
| fold\text{-}tree \ f \ g \ (Node \ l \ r) = g \ (fold\text{-}tree \ f \ g \ l) \ (fold\text{-}tree \ f \ g \ r)
definition leaves :: 'a tree \Rightarrow nat
where leaves = fold-tree (\lambda-. 1) (+)
lemma leaves-simps [simp]:
  leaves (Leaf x) = Suc \theta
  leaves\ (Node\ l\ r) = leaves\ l + leaves\ r
by(simp-all add: leaves-def)
4.3.1
          Pure correctness statement
definition labels :: 'a tree \Rightarrow 'a list
where labels = fold\text{-}tree\ (\lambda x.\ [x])\ append
lemma labels-simps [simp]:
  labels (Leaf x) = [x]
  labels (Node \ l \ r) = labels \ l @ labels \ r
by(simp-all add: labels-def)
locale labelling =
  fixes fresh :: ('s, 'x) state
begin
declare [[show-variants]]
definition label-tree :: 'a tree \Rightarrow ('s, 'x tree) state
where label-tree = fold-tree (\lambda- :: 'a. pure Leaf \diamond fresh) (\lambda l r. pure Node \diamond l <math>\diamond r)
lemma label-tree-simps [simp]:
  label-tree (Leaf x) = pure Leaf \diamond fresh
  label-tree (Node l r) = pure Node \diamond label-tree l \diamond label-tree r
by(simp-all add: label-tree-def)
primrec label-list :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow ('s, 'x \ list) \ state
where
    label-list [] = pure []
 | label-list (x \# xs) = pure (\#) \diamond fresh \diamond label-list xs
lemma label-append: label-list (a @ b) = pure (@) \diamond label-list \ a \diamond label-list \ b
   - The proof lifts the defining equations of (@) to the state monad.
proof (induction a)
  case Nil
  show ?case
```

```
unfolding append.simps label-list.simps
   by applicative-nf simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons a1 a2)
 show ?case
   unfolding append.simps label-list.simps Cons.IH
   by applicative-nf simp
qed
lemma label-tree-list: pure labels \diamond label-tree t = label-list (labels t)
proof (induction t)
 case Leaf show ?case unfolding label-tree-simps label-simps label-list.simps
   by applicative-nf simp
\mathbf{next}
 case Node show ?case unfolding label-tree-simps labels-simps label-append Node.IH[symmetric]
   by applicative-nf simp
qed
We directly show correctness without going via streams like Hutton and
Fulger [4].
lemma correctness-pure:
 fixes t :: 'a tree
 assumes distinct: \bigwedge xs :: 'a \ list. \ distinct \ (fst \ (run-state \ (label-list \ xs) \ s))
 shows distinct (labels (fst (run-state (label-tree t) s)))
using label-tree-list[of t, THEN arg-cong, of \lambda f. run-state f s] assms[of labels t]
by(cases run-state (label-list (labels t)) s)(simp add: State-Monad.ap-def split-beta)
end
4.3.2
          Correctness via monadic traversals
Dual version of an applicative functor with effects composed in the opposite
order
typedef 'a dual = UNIV :: 'a set morphisms un-B B by blast
setup-lifting type-definition-dual
lift-definition pure-dual :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ dual
is \lambda pure. pure.
lift-definition ap\text{-}dual :: (('a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'af1) \Rightarrow ('af1 \Rightarrow 'af3 \Rightarrow 'af1)
'af13) \Rightarrow ('af13 \Rightarrow 'af2 \Rightarrow 'af) \Rightarrow 'af2 \ dual \Rightarrow 'af3 \ dual \Rightarrow 'af \ dual
is \lambda pure\ ap1\ ap2\ f\ x.\ ap2\ (ap1\ (pure\ (\lambda x\ f.\ f\ x))\ x)\ f.
type-synonym ('s, 'a) state-rev = ('s, 'a) state dual
definition pure-state-rev :: 'a \Rightarrow ('s, 'a) state-rev
where pure-state-rev = pure-dual State-Monad.return
```

```
definition ap-state-rev :: ('s, 'a \Rightarrow 'b) state-rev \Rightarrow ('s, 'a) state-rev \Rightarrow ('s, 'b)
state-rev
\mathbf{where}\ ap\text{-}state\text{-}rev = ap\text{-}dual\ State\text{-}Monad.return\ State\text{-}Monad.ap\ State\text{-}Monad.ap}
adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \Rightarrow pure-state-rev
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap\text{-state-rev}
applicative state-rev
for
  pure: pure-state-rev
  ap: ap-state-rev
unfolding pure-state-rev-def ap-state-rev-def by(transfer, applicative-nf, rule refl)+
type-synonym ('s, 'a) state-rev-rev = ('s, 'a) state-rev dual
definition pure-state-rev-rev :: 'a \Rightarrow ('s, 'a) state-rev-rev
where pure-state-rev-rev = pure-dual pure-state-rev
definition ap-state-rev-rev :: ('s, 'a \Rightarrow 'b) state-rev-rev \Rightarrow ('s, 'a) state-rev-rev \Rightarrow
('s, 'b) state-rev-rev
where ap-state-rev = ap-dual pure-state-rev ap-state-rev ap-state-rev
adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \Rightarrow pure-state-rev-rev
adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Rightarrow ap\text{-state-rev-rev}
applicative state-rev-rev
for
  pure: pure-state-rev-rev
  ap: ap-state-rev-rev
unfolding pure-state-rev-rev-def ap-state-rev-rev-def by(transfer, applicative-nf,
rule \ refl)+
lemma ap-state-rev-B: B f \diamond B x = B (State-Monad.return (\lambda x f, f x) \diamond x \diamond f)
unfolding ap-state-rev-def by(fact ap-dual.abs-eq)
lemma ap-state-rev-pure-B: pure f \diamond B x = B (State-Monad.return f \diamond x)
unfolding ap-state-rev-def pure-state-rev-def
by transfer(applicative-nf, rule refl)
lemma ap-state-rev-rev-B: B f \diamond B x = B (pure\text{-state-rev} (\lambda x f. f x) \diamond x \diamond f)
unfolding ap-state-rev-rev-def by(fact ap-dual.abs-eq)
lemma ap-state-rev-pure-B: pure f \diamond B x = B (pure-state-rev f \diamond x)
\mathbf{unfolding}\ ap\text{-}state\text{-}rev\text{-}rev\text{-}def\ pure\text{-}state\text{-}rev\text{-}rev\text{-}def
by transfer(applicative-nf, rule refl)
```

The formulation by Gibbons and Bird [1] crucially depends on Kleisli composition, so we need the state monad rather than the applicative functor

```
only.
lemma ap-conv-bind-state: State-Monad.ap f x = State-Monad.bind f (\lambda f. State-Monad.bind
x (State-Monad.return \circ f)
by(simp add: State-Monad.ap-def State-Monad.bind-def Let-def split-def o-def fun-eq-iff)
lemma ap-pure-bind-state: pure x \diamond State-Monad.bind\ y\ f = State-Monad.bind\ y
((\diamond) (pure \ x) \circ f)
by(simp add: ap-conv-bind-state o-def)
definition kleisli-state :: (b \Rightarrow (s, c) \text{ state}) \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow (s, b) \text{ state}) \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow (s, c)
'c) state (infixl \leftrightarrow 55)
where [simp]: kleisli-state g f a = State-Monad.bind (f a) g
definition fetch :: ('a stream, 'a) state
where fetch = State-Monad.bind\ State-Monad.get\ (\lambda s.\ State-Monad.bind\ (State-Monad.set
(stl\ s))\ (\lambda-. State-Monad.return\ (shd\ s)))
primrec traverse :: ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'b) \ state) \Rightarrow 'a \ tree \Rightarrow ('s, 'b \ tree) \ state
where
  traverse\ f\ (Leaf\ x) = pure\ Leaf\ \diamond\ f\ x
| traverse f (Node l r) = pure Node \diamond traverse f l \diamond traverse f r
As we cannot abstract over the applicative functor in definitions, we define
traversal on the transformed applicative function once again.
primrec traverse-rev :: ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'b) \ state-rev) \Rightarrow 'a \ tree \Rightarrow ('s, 'b \ tree) \ state-rev
where
  traverse-rev\ f\ (Leaf\ x) = pure\ Leaf\ \diamond\ f\ x
| traverse-rev f (Node | l r) = pure Node \diamond traverse-rev f | l \diamond traverse-rev f | r
definition recurse :: ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'b) \ state) \Rightarrow 'a \ tree \Rightarrow ('s, 'b \ tree) \ state
where recurse f = un - B \circ traverse-rev (B \circ f)
lemma recurse-Leaf: recurse f (Leaf x) = pure Leaf \diamond f x
unfolding recurse-def traverse-rev.simps o-def ap-state-rev-pure-B
\mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ B\text{-}inverse)
lemma recurse-Node:
  recurse f (Node l r) = pure (\lambda r l. Node l r) \diamond recurse f r \diamond recurse f l
proof -
 have recurse f (Node l r) = un-B (pure Node \diamond traverse-rev (B \circ f) l \diamond traverse-rev
(B \circ f) \ r)
    \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ recurse-def)
  also have ... = un-B (B (pure Node) \diamond B (recurse f l) \diamond B (recurse f r))
    by(simp add: un-B-inverse recurse-def pure-state-rev-def pure-dual-def)
  also have ... = pure (\lambda x f. fx) \diamond recurse fr \diamond (pure (\lambda x f. fx) \diamond recurse fl \diamond
pure Node)
    by(simp add: ap-state-rev-B B-inverse)
  also have ... = pure (\lambda r \ l. \ Node \ l \ r) \diamond recurse \ f \ r \diamond recurse \ f \ l
```

— This step expands to 13 steps in [1]

```
\mathbf{by}(applicative-nf) simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma traverse-pure: traverse pure t = pure t
proof(induction \ t)
  { case Leaf show ?case unfolding traverse.simps by applicative-nf simp }
  { case Node show ?case unfolding traverse.simps Node.IH by applicative-nf
simp }
qed
B \circ B is an idiom morphism
lemma B-pure: pure x = B (State-Monad.return x)
unfolding pure-state-rev-def by transfer simp
lemma BB-pure: pure x = B (B (pure x))
unfolding pure-state-rev-rev-def B-pure[symmetric] by transfer(rule reft)
lemma BB-ap: B(B f) \diamond B(B x) = B(B(f \diamond x))
proof -
 have B(Bf) \diamond B(Bx) = B(B(pure(\lambda x f. fx) \diamond f \diamond (pure(\lambda x f. fx) \diamond x \diamond
pure (\lambda x f. f x)))
   (\mathbf{is} - B (B ? exp))
   unfolding ap-state-rev-rev-B B-pure ap-state-rev-B ..
 also have ?exp = f \diamond x — This step takes 15 steps in [1].
   \mathbf{by}(applicative-nf)(rule\ refl)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
primrec traverse-rev-rev :: ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'b) \text{ state-rev-rev}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow ('s, 'b \text{ tree})
state	ext{-}rev	ext{-}rev
where
  traverse-rev-rev f (Leaf x) = pure Leaf \diamond f x
| traverse-rev-rev f (Node | r) = pure Node \diamond traverse-rev-rev f | to traverse-rev-rev
f r
definition recurse-rev :: ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'b) \ state-rev) \Rightarrow 'a \ tree \Rightarrow ('s, 'b \ tree) \ state-rev
where recurse-rev f = un-B \circ traverse-rev-rev (B \circ f)
lemma traverse-B-B: traverse-rev-rev (B \circ B \circ f) = B \circ B \circ traverse f (is ?lhs
= ?rhs)
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ t
 show ? lhs t = ? rhs t by(induction t)(simp-all add: BB-pure BB-ap)
lemma traverse-recurse: traverse f = un-B \circ recurse-rev (B \circ f) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have ?lhs = un-B \circ un-B \circ B \circ B \circ traverse f by(simp add: o-def B-inverse)
```

```
also have ... = un-B \circ un-B \circ traverse-rev-rev (B \circ B \circ f) unfolding tra-
verse-B-B by(simp add: o-assoc)
 also have ... = ?rhs by(simp add: recurse-rev-def o-assoc)
 finally show ?thesis.
ged
lemma recurse-traverse:
 assumes f \cdot g = pure
 shows recurse f \cdot traverse g = pure
— Gibbons and Bird impose this as an additional requirement on traversals, but
they write that they have not found a way to derive this fact from other axioms.
So we prove it directly.
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ t
  from assms have *: \bigwedge x. State-Monad.bind (g x) f = State-Monad.return x
\mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ fun-eq-iff)
 hence **: \bigwedge x \ h. State-Monad.bind (g \ x) \ (\lambda x. \ State-Monad.bind \ (f \ x) \ h) = h \ x
   \mathbf{by}(fold\ State-Monad.bind-assoc)(simp)
 show (recurse f \cdot traverse g) t = pure t unfolding kleisli-state-def
 proof(induction \ t)
   case (Leaf x)
   show ?case
     by(simp add: ap-conv-bind-state recurse-Leaf **)
  next
   case (Node l r)
   show ?case
    by(simp add: ap-conv-bind-state recurse-Node)(simp add: State-Monad.bind-assoc[symmetric]
Node.IH)
 qed
qed
Apply traversals to labelling
definition strip :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow ('b \ stream, 'a) \ state
where strip = (\lambda(a, b), State-Monad.bind (State-Monad.update (SCons b)) (\lambda-.
State-Monad.return \ a))
definition adorn :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b stream, 'a \times 'b) state
where adorn \ a = pure \ (Pair \ a) \diamond fetch
abbreviation label :: 'a tree \Rightarrow ('b stream, ('a \times 'b) tree) state
where label \equiv traverse \ adorn
abbreviation unlabel :: ('a \times 'b) tree \Rightarrow ('b \ stream, 'a \ tree) state
where unlabel \equiv recurse \ strip
lemma strip\text{-}adorn: strip \cdot adorn = pure
by(simp add: strip-def adorn-def fun-eq-iff fetch-def[abs-def] ap-conv-bind-state)
lemma \ correctness-monadic: \ unlabel \cdot \ label = \ pure
```

4.3.3 Applicative correctness statement

```
Repeating an effect
primrec repeat M:: nat \Rightarrow ('s, 'x) \ state \Rightarrow ('s, 'x \ list) \ state
where
  repeatM \ 0 \ f = State-Monad.return \ []
| repeatM (Suc n) f = pure (\#) \diamond f \diamond repeatM n f
lemma repeatM-plus: repeatM (n + m) f = pure append \diamond repeatM n f \diamond repeatM
m f
\mathbf{by}(induction\ n)(simp;\ applicative-nf;\ simp) +
abbreviation (input) fail :: 'a option where fail \equiv None
definition lift-state :: ('s, 'a) state \Rightarrow ('s, 'a option) state
where [applicative-unfold]: lift-state x = pure pure \diamond x
definition lift-option :: 'a option \Rightarrow ('s, 'a option) state
where [applicative-unfold]: lift-option x = pure x
fun assert :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \ option \Rightarrow 'a \ option
where
  assert-fail: assert P fail = fail
| assert-pure: assert P (pure x) = (if P x then pure x else fail)
context labelling begin
abbreviation symbols :: nat \Rightarrow ('s, 'x \ list \ option) state
where symbols n \equiv lift-state (repeatM n fresh)
abbreviation (input) disjoint :: 'x \ list \Rightarrow 'x \ list \Rightarrow bool
where disjoint xs \ ys \equiv set \ xs \cap set \ ys = \{\}
definition dlabels :: 'x tree \Rightarrow 'x list option
where dlabels = fold\text{-}tree\ (\lambda x.\ pure\ [x])
     (\lambda l \ r. \ pure \ (case-prod \ append) \diamond (assert \ (case-prod \ disjoint) \ (pure \ Pair \diamond l \diamond l)
r)))
lemma dlabels-simps [simp]:
  dlabels (Leaf x) = pure [x]
  dlabels \ (Node \ l \ r) = pure \ (case-prod \ append) \diamond (assert \ (case-prod \ disjoint) \ (pure
Pair \diamond dlabels \ l \diamond dlabels \ r))
\mathbf{by}(simp\text{-}all\ add:\ dlabels\text{-}def)
lemma correctness-applicative:
  assumes distinct: \bigwedge n. pure (assert distinct) \diamond symbols n = symbols n
```

```
shows State-Monad.return dlabels \diamond label-tree t = symbols (leaves t)
proof(induction \ t)
  show pure dlabels \diamond label-tree (Leaf x) = symbols (leaves (Leaf x)) for x :: 'a
   unfolding label-tree-simps leaves-simps repeatM.simps by applicative-nf simp
next
  fix l r :: 'a tree
 assume IH: pure dlabels \diamond label-tree l = symbols (leaves l) pure dlabels \diamond label-tree
r = symbols (leaves r)
  let ?cat = case-prod append and ?disj = case-prod disjoint
  let ?f = \lambda l \ r. \ pure \ ?cat \diamond (assert \ ?disj \ (pure \ Pair \diamond l \diamond r))
 have State-Monad.return dlabels \diamond label-tree (Node l r) =
       pure ?f \diamond (pure \ dlabels \diamond label-tree \ l) \diamond (pure \ dlabels \diamond label-tree \ r)
   unfolding label-tree-simps by applicative-nf simp
  also have ... = pure ?f \diamond (pure (assert distinct) \diamond symbols (leaves l)) \diamond (pure
(assert\ distinct) \diamond symbols\ (leaves\ r))
   unfolding IH distinct ..
  also have ... = pure (assert \ distinct) \diamond symbols (leaves (Node \ l \ r))
   unfolding leaves-simps repeatM-plus by applicative-nf simp
  also have \dots = symbols (leaves (Node | l r)) by(rule distinct)
  finally show pure dlabels \diamond label-tree (Node l r) = symbols (leaves (Node l r)).
qed
end
4.3.4 Probabilistic tree relabelling
primrec mirror :: 'a tree \Rightarrow 'a tree
where
  mirror (Leaf x) = Leaf x
| mirror (Node \ l \ r) = Node (mirror \ r) (mirror \ l)
datatype dir = Left \mid Right
hide-const (open) path
\mathbf{function} \ (\mathit{sequential}) \ \mathit{subtree} :: \mathit{dir} \ \mathit{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \mathit{tree} \Rightarrow 'a \ \mathit{tree}
where
  subtree (Left \# path) (Node l r) = subtree path l
 subtree\ (Right\ \#\ path)\ (Node\ l\ r) = subtree\ path\ r
 subtree -
                         (Leaf x) = Leaf x
 subtree []
                        t
                                   = t
by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order
adhoc-overloading Applicative.pure \Rightarrow pure-pmf
context fixes p :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ pmf \ \mathbf{begin}
primrec plabel :: 'a tree \Rightarrow 'b tree pmf
```

```
where
 plabel (Leaf x) = pure Leaf \diamond p x
| plabel (Node | l r) = pure Node \diamond plabel | l \diamond plabel | r
lemma plabel-mirror: plabel (mirror t) = pure mirror \diamond plabel t
proof(induction \ t)
 case (Leaf x)
 show ?case unfolding plabel.simps mirror.simps by(applicative-lifting) simp
next
 case (Node t1 t2)
 show ?case unfolding plabel.simps mirror.simps Node.IH by(applicative-lifting)
qed
lemma plabel-subtree: plabel (subtree path t) = pure (subtree path) \diamond plabel t
proof(induction path t rule: subtree.induct)
 case Left: (1 path l r)
 show ?case unfolding plabel.simps subtree.simps Left.IH by(applicative-lifting)
simp
next
 case Right: (2 path l r)
 show ?case unfolding plabel.simps subtree.simps Right.IH by(applicative-lifting)
simp
next
 case (3 uu x)
 show ?case unfolding plabel.simps subtree.simps by(applicative-lifting) simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (4 v va)
 show ?case unfolding plabel.simps subtree.simps by(applicative-lifting) simp
qed
end
end
theory Applicative-Examples imports
 Applicative	ext{-}Environment	ext{-}Algebra
 Stream-Algebra
  Tree-Relabelling
begin
end
```

5 Formalisation of idiomatic terms and lifting

5.1 Immediate joinability under a relation

theory Joinable

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{imports} \ \mathit{Main} \\ \mathbf{begin} \end{array}
```

5.1.1 Definition and basic properties

```
definition joinable :: ('a \times 'b) set \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) set
where joinable R = \{(x, y). \exists z. (x, z) \in R \land (y, z) \in R\}
lemma joinable-simp: (x, y) \in joinable R \longleftrightarrow (\exists z. (x, z) \in R \land (y, z) \in R)
unfolding joinable-def by simp
lemma joinableI: (x, z) \in R \Longrightarrow (y, z) \in R \Longrightarrow (x, y) \in joinable R
unfolding joinable-simp by blast
lemma joinableD: (x, y) \in joinable R \Longrightarrow \exists z. (x, z) \in R \land (y, z) \in R
unfolding joinable-simp.
lemma joinableE:
 assumes (x, y) \in joinable R
 obtains z where (x, z) \in R and (y, z) \in R
using assms unfolding joinable-simp by blast
lemma refl-on-joinable: refl-on \{x. \exists y. (x, y) \in R\} (joinable R)
by (auto intro!: refl-onI simp only: joinable-simp)
lemma refl-joinable-iff: (\forall x. \exists y. (x, y) \in R) = refl (joinable R)
by (auto intro!: refl-onI dest: refl-onD simp add: joinable-simp)
lemma refl-joinable: refl R \Longrightarrow refl (joinable R)
using refl-joinable-iff by (blast dest: refl-onD)
lemma joinable-refl: refl R \Longrightarrow (x, x) \in joinable R
using refl-joinable by (blast dest: refl-onD)
lemma sym-joinable: sym (joinable R)
by (auto intro!: symI simp only: joinable-simp)
lemma joinable-sym: (x, y) \in joinable R \Longrightarrow (y, x) \in joinable R
using sym-joinable by (rule \ symD)
lemma joinable-mono: R \subseteq S \Longrightarrow joinable \ R \subseteq joinable \ S
by (rule subrelI) (auto simp only: joinable-simp)
lemma refl-le-joinable:
 assumes refl\ R
 shows R \subseteq joinable R
proof (rule subrelI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
 assume (x, y) \in R
```

```
moreover from \langle refl \ R \rangle have (y, y) \in R by (blast \ dest: \ refl-onD)
  ultimately show (x, y) \in joinable R by (rule joinable I)
qed
lemma joinable-subst:
  assumes R-subst: \bigwedge x \ y. \ (x, \ y) \in R \Longrightarrow (P \ x, \ P \ y) \in R
 assumes joinable: (x, y) \in joinable R
  shows (P x, P y) \in joinable R
proof -
  from joinable obtain z where xz: (x, z) \in R and yz: (y, z) \in R by (rule
joinableE)
 from R-subst xz have (P x, P z) \in R.
 moreover from R-subst yz have (P y, P z) \in R.
 ultimately show ?thesis by (rule joinableI)
qed
          Confluence
5.1.2
definition confluent :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool
where confluent R \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \ y \ y'. \ (x, \ y) \in R \land (x, \ y') \in R \longrightarrow (y, \ y') \in joinable
lemma confluentI:
  (\bigwedge x \ y \ y'. \ (x, \ y) \in R \Longrightarrow (x, \ y') \in R \Longrightarrow \exists \ z. \ (y, \ z) \in R \land (y', \ z) \in R) \Longrightarrow
confluent\ R
unfolding confluent-def by (blast intro: joinableI)
lemma confluentD:
  confluent R \Longrightarrow (x, y) \in R \Longrightarrow (x, y') \in R \Longrightarrow (y, y') \in joinable R
unfolding confluent-def by blast
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{confluentE} :
  assumes confluent R and (x, y) \in R and (x, y') \in R
  obtains z where (y, z) \in R and (y', z) \in R
using assms unfolding confluent-def by (blast elim: joinableE)
lemma trans-joinable:
 assumes trans R and confluent R
  shows trans (joinable R)
proof (rule transI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y \ z
  assume (x, y) \in joinable R
  then obtain u where xu: (x, u) \in R and yu: (y, u) \in R by (rule\ joinable E)
  assume (y, z) \in joinable R
  then obtain v where yv: (y, v) \in R and zv: (z, v) \in R by (rule\ joinable E)
  from yu\ yv\ \langle confluent\ R\rangle obtain w where uw:(u,\ w)\in R and vw:(v,\ w)\in R
   by (blast elim: confluentE)
  from xu\ uw\ \langle trans\ R\rangle have (x,\ w)\in R by (blast\ elim:\ transE)
  moreover from zv \ vw \ \langle trans \ R \rangle have (z, \ w) \in R by (blast \ elim: \ transE)
```

```
ultimately show (x, z) \in joinable R by (rule joinable I) qed
```

5.1.3 Relation to reflexive transitive symmetric closure

```
lemma joinable-le-rtscl: joinable (R^*) \subset (R \cup R^{-1})^*
proof (rule subrelI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
  assume (x, y) \in joinable(R^*)
  then obtain z where xz: (x, z) \in R^* and yz: (y,z) \in R^* by (rule\ joinable E)
  from xz have (x, z) \in (R \cup R^{-1})^* by (blast intro: in-rtrancl-UnI)
  moreover from yz have (z, y) \in (R \cup R^{-1})^* by (blast intro: in-rtrancl-UnI
rtrancl-converseI)
  ultimately show (x, y) \in (R \cup R^{-1})^* by (rule rtrancl-trans)
qed
theorem joinable-eq-rtscl:
  assumes confluent (R^*)
 shows joinable (R^*) = (R \cup R^{-1})^*
proof
  show joinable (R^*) \subseteq (R \cup R^{-1})^* using joinable-le-rtscl.
  show joinable (R^*) \supseteq (R \cup R^{-1})^* proof (rule subrell)
   \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
   assume (x, y) \in (R \cup R^{-1})^*
   thus (x, y) \in joinable(R^*) proof (induction set: rtrancl)
     show (x, x) \in joinable (R^*) using joinable-refl refl-rtrancl.
   next
     case (step \ y \ z)
     have R \subseteq joinable (R^*) using refl-le-joinable refl-rtrancl by fast
     with \langle (y, z) \in R \cup R^{-1} \rangle have (y, z) \in joinable(R^*) using joinable-sym by
fast
     with \langle (x, y) \in joinable(R^*) \rangle show (x, z) \in joinable(R^*)
       using trans-joinable trans-rtrancl \langle confluent (R^*) \rangle by (blast dest: transD)
   qed
 qed
qed
5.1.4 Predicate version
definition joinablep :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
where joinable P x y \longleftrightarrow (\exists z. \ P \ x \ z \land P \ y \ z)
lemma joinablep-joinable[pred-set-conv]:
  joinable p (\lambda x \ y. \ (x, \ y) \in R) = (\lambda x \ y. \ (x, \ y) \in joinable \ R)
by (fastforce simp only: joinablep-def joinable-simp)
lemma reflp-joinablep: reflp P \Longrightarrow reflp (joinablep P)
by (blast intro: reflpI joinable-refl[to-pred] refl-onI[to-pred] dest: reflpD)
```

```
lemma joinablep-refl: reflp P \implies joinablep P x x using reflp-joinablep by (rule reflpD)
```

lemma reflp-le-joinablep: reflp $P \Longrightarrow P \leq joinablep \ P$ **by** (blast intro!: refl-le-joinable[to-pred] refl-onI[to-pred] dest: reflpD)

 \mathbf{end}

5.2 Combined beta and eta reduction of lambda terms

theory Beta-Eta imports HOL-Proofs-Lambda.Eta Joinable begin

5.2.1 Auxiliary lemmas

lemma liftn-lift-swap: liftn n (lift t k) k = lift (liftn n t k) k by (induction n) simp-all

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subst-liftn}$:

$$i \leq n + k \wedge k \leq i \Longrightarrow (liftn \ (Suc \ n) \ s \ k)[t/i] = liftn \ n \ s \ k$$
 by (induction s arbitrary: $i \ k \ t$) auto

lemma subst-lift2[simp]: (lift (lift t θ) θ) $[x/Suc \theta] = lift <math>t$ θ proof - have lift (lift t θ) θ = lift (lift t θ) (Suc θ) using lift-lift by simp

thus ?thesis by simp qed

lemma free-liftn:

free (liftn n t k) $i = (i < k \land free \ t \ i \lor k + n \le i \land free \ t \ (i - n))$ by (induction t arbitrary: k i) (auto simp add: Suc-diff-le)

5.2.2 Reduction

abbreviation beta-eta :: $dB \Rightarrow dB \Rightarrow bool \text{ (infixl } \langle \rightarrow_{\beta\eta} \rangle \text{ 50)}$ where beta-eta $\equiv sup \text{ beta eta}$

abbreviation beta-eta-reds :: $dB \Rightarrow dB \Rightarrow bool$ (infixl $\langle \rightarrow_{\beta\eta}^* \rangle 50$) where $s \rightarrow_{\beta\eta}^* t \equiv (beta-eta)^{**} s t$

lemma beta-into-beta-eta-reds: $s \to_{\beta} t \Longrightarrow s \to_{\beta\eta}^* t$ by auto

lemma eta-into-beta-eta-reds: $s \to_{\eta} t \Longrightarrow s \to_{\beta\eta}^* t$ by auto

lemma beta-reds-into-beta-eta-reds: $s \to_{\beta}^* t \Longrightarrow s \to_{\beta\eta}^* t$ by (auto intro: rtranclp-mono[THEN predicate2D])

```
lemma eta-reds-into-beta-eta-reds: s \to_{\eta^*} t \Longrightarrow s \to_{\beta\eta^*} t
by (auto intro: rtranclp-mono[THEN predicate2D])
lemma beta-eta-appL[intro]: s \to_{\beta\eta}^* s' \Longrightarrow s \circ t \to_{\beta\eta}^* s' \circ t
by (induction set: rtranclp) (auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
lemma beta-eta-app<br/>R[intro]: t\to_{\beta\eta}{}^* t'\Longrightarrow s\ ^\circ\ t\to_{\beta\eta}{}^* s\ ^\circ\ t'
by (induction set: rtranclp) (auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
lemma beta-eta-abs[intro]: t \to_{\beta\eta}^* t' \Longrightarrow Abs \ t \to_{\beta\eta}^* Abs \ t'
by (induction set: rtranclp) (auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
lemma beta-eta-lift: s \to_{\beta\eta}^* t \Longrightarrow lift \ s \ k \to_{\beta\eta}^* \ lift \ t \ k
proof (induction pred: rtranclp)
  case base show ?case ..
next
  case (step \ y \ z)
  hence lift y \ k \rightarrow_{\beta\eta} lift \ z \ k using lift-preserves-beta eta-lift by blast
  with step. IH show lift s \ k \rightarrow_{\beta\eta^*} lift \ z \ k by iprover
qed
lemma confluent-beta-eta-reds: Joinable.confluent \{(s, t). s \rightarrow_{\beta\eta}^* t\}
using confluent-beta-eta
unfolding diamond-def commute-def square-def
by (blast intro!: confluentI)
5.2.3
           Equivalence
Terms are equivalent iff they can be reduced to a common term.
definition term\text{-}equiv :: dB \Rightarrow dB \Rightarrow bool (infixl \leftrightarrow 50)
where term-equiv = joinablep beta-eta-reds
lemma term-equivI:
  assumes s \to_{\beta\eta}^* u and t \to_{\beta\eta}^* u
using assms unfolding term-equiv-def by (rule joinable I [to-pred])
lemma term-equivE:
  assumes s \leftrightarrow t
  obtains u where s \to_{\beta\eta}^* u and t \to_{\beta\eta}^* u
using assms unfolding term-equiv-def by (rule joinable E[to-pred])
lemma reds-into-equiv[elim]: s \to_{\beta\eta}^* t \Longrightarrow s \leftrightarrow t
by (blast intro: term-equivI)
lemma beta-into-equiv[elim]: s \to_{\beta} t \Longrightarrow s \leftrightarrow t
by (rule reds-into-equiv) (rule beta-into-beta-eta-reds)
```

```
lemma eta-into-equiv[elim]: s \to_{\eta} t \Longrightarrow s \leftrightarrow t
by (rule reds-into-equiv) (rule eta-into-beta-eta-reds)
lemma beta-reds-into-equiv[elim]: s \to_{\beta}^* t \Longrightarrow s \leftrightarrow t
by (rule reds-into-equiv) (rule beta-reds-into-beta-eta-reds)
lemma eta-reds-into-equiv[elim]: s \to_{\eta}^{*} t \Longrightarrow s \leftrightarrow t
by (rule reds-into-equiv) (rule eta-reds-into-beta-eta-reds)
lemma term\text{-}refl[iff]: t \leftrightarrow t
unfolding term-equiv-def by (blast intro: joinablep-refl reflpI)
lemma term\text{-}sym[sym]: (s \leftrightarrow t) \Longrightarrow (t \leftrightarrow s)
unfolding term-equiv-def by (rule joinable-sym[to-pred])
lemma converse p-term [simp]: converse (\leftrightarrow) = (\leftrightarrow)
by (auto simp add: fun-eq-iff intro: term-sym)
lemma term-trans[trans]: s \leftrightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \leftrightarrow u \Longrightarrow s \leftrightarrow u
unfolding term-equiv-def
\mathbf{using} \ trans-joinable[to-pred] \ trans-rtrancl[to-pred] \ confluent-beta-eta-reds
by (blast elim: transpE)
lemma term-beta-trans[trans]: s \leftrightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \rightarrow_{\beta} u \Longrightarrow s \leftrightarrow u
by (fast dest!: beta-into-beta-eta-reds intro: term-trans)
lemma term-eta-trans[trans]: s \leftrightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \rightarrow_{\eta} u \Longrightarrow s \leftrightarrow u
by (fast dest!: eta-into-beta-eta-reds intro: term-trans)
lemma equiv-appL[intro]: s \leftrightarrow s' \Longrightarrow s \circ t \leftrightarrow s' \circ t
unfolding term-equiv-def using beta-eta-appL
by (iprover intro: joinable-subst[to-pred])
lemma equiv-appR[intro]: t \leftrightarrow t' \Longrightarrow s \circ t \leftrightarrow s \circ t'
unfolding term-equiv-def using beta-eta-appR
by (iprover intro: joinable-subst[to-pred])
lemma equiv-app: s \leftrightarrow s' \Longrightarrow t \leftrightarrow t' \Longrightarrow s \circ t \leftrightarrow s' \circ t'
by (blast intro: term-trans)
lemma equiv-abs[intro]: t \leftrightarrow t' \Longrightarrow Abs \ t \leftrightarrow Abs \ t'
unfolding term-equiv-def using beta-eta-abs
by (iprover intro: joinable-subst[to-pred])
lemma equiv-lift: s \leftrightarrow t \Longrightarrow lift \ s \ k \leftrightarrow lift \ t \ k
by (auto intro: term-equivI beta-eta-lift elim: term-equivE)
lemma equiv-liftn: s \leftrightarrow t \Longrightarrow liftn \ n \ s \ k \leftrightarrow liftn \ n \ t \ k
by (induction n) (auto intro: equiv-lift)
```

Our definition is equivalent to the symmetric and transitive closure of the reduction relation.

```
lemma equiv-eq-rtscl-reds: term-equiv = (sup\ beta-eta beta-eta^{-1-1})^{**} unfolding term-equiv-def using confluent-beta-eta-reds by (rule\ joinable-eq-rtscl[to-pred])
```

end

5.3 Combinators defined as closed lambda terms

```
theory Combinators
imports Beta-Eta
begin
definition I-def: \mathcal{I} = Abs (Var \theta)
definition B-def: \mathcal{B} = Abs \ (Abs \ (Var \ 2 \circ (Var \ 1 \circ Var \ 0))))
definition T-def: \mathcal{T} = Abs (Abs (Var 0 \circ Var 1)) — reverse application
lemma I-eval: \mathcal{I} \circ x \to_{\beta} x
proof -
  have \mathcal{I} \circ x \to_{\beta} Var \ \theta[x/\theta] unfolding I-def ...
  then show ?thesis by simp
lemma I-equiv[iff]: \mathcal{I} \circ x \leftrightarrow x
using I-eval ..
lemma I-closed[simp]: liftn n \mathcal{I} k = \mathcal{I}
unfolding I-def by simp
lemma B-eval1: \mathcal{B} \circ g \to_{\beta} Abs (Abs (lift (lift g \theta) \theta \circ (Var 1 \circ Var \theta)))
proof -
  have \mathcal{B} \circ g \to_{\mathcal{B}} Abs \ (Abs \ (Var \ 2 \circ (Var \ 1 \circ Var \ 0))) \ [g/\theta] unfolding B-def ..
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: numerals)
qed
lemma B-eval2: \mathcal{B} \circ g \circ f \to_{\beta^*} Abs (lift g \circ 0 \circ (lift f \circ Var \circ 0))
proof -
  have \mathcal{B} \circ g \circ f \rightarrow_{\beta^*} Abs \ (Abs \ (lift \ (lift \ g \ \theta) \ \theta \circ (Var \ 1 \circ Var \ \theta))) \circ f
    using B-eval1 by blast
  also have ... \rightarrow_{\beta} Abs (lift (lift g \theta) \theta \circ (Var 1 \circ Var \theta)) [f/\theta]..
  also have ... = Abs (lift g \ \theta \circ (lift \ f \ \theta \circ Var \ \theta)) by <math>simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma B-eval: \mathcal{B} \circ g \circ f \circ x \to_{\beta^*} g \circ (f \circ x)
proof -
  have \mathcal{B} \circ g \circ f \circ x \to_{\beta^*} Abs (lift g \theta \circ (lift f \theta \circ Var \theta)) \circ x
```

```
using B-eval2 by blast
  also have ... \rightarrow_{\beta} (lift \ g \ \theta \ ^{\circ} (lift \ f \ \theta \ ^{\circ} \ Var \ \theta)) \ [x/\theta] ..
  also have ... = g \circ (f \circ x) by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma B-equiv[iff]: \mathcal{B} \circ g \circ f \circ x \leftrightarrow g \circ (f \circ x)
using B-eval ..
lemma B-closed[simp]: liftn n \mathcal{B} k = \mathcal{B}
unfolding B-def by simp
lemma T-eval1: \mathcal{T} \circ x \to_{\beta} Abs (Var \theta \circ lift x \theta)
  have \mathcal{T} \circ x \to_{\beta} Abs (Var \theta \circ Var 1) [x/\theta] unfolding T-def ...
  then show ?thesis by simp
lemma T-eval: \mathcal{T} \circ x \circ f \to_{\beta}^* f \circ x
  have \mathcal{T} \circ x \circ f \to_{\beta^*} Abs (Var \ \theta \circ lift \ x \ \theta) \circ f
    using T-eval1 by blast
  also have ... \rightarrow_{\beta} (Var \theta ° lift x \theta) [f/\theta] ..
  also have \dots = f \circ x by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma T-equiv[iff]: \mathcal{T} \circ x \circ f \leftrightarrow f \circ x
using T-eval ..
lemma T-closed[simp]: liftn n \mathcal{T} k = \mathcal{T}
unfolding T-def by simp
```

5.4 Idiomatic terms – Properties and operations

theory Idiomatic-Terms imports Combinators begin

end

This theory proves the correctness of the normalisation algorithm for arbitrary applicative functors. We generalise the normal form using a framework for bracket abstraction algorithms. Both approaches justify lifting certain classes of equations. We model this as implications of term equivalences, where unlifting of idiomatic terms is expressed syntactically.

5.4.1 Basic definitions

```
{\bf datatype} \ 'a \ itrm =
    Opaque 'a \mid Pure dB
 | IAp 'a itrm 'a itrm (infixl \leftrightarrow 150)
primrec opaque :: 'a itrm \Rightarrow 'a list
where
    opaque (Opaque x) = [x]
  | opaque (Pure -) = []
  | opaque (f \diamond x) = opaque f @ opaque x
abbreviation iorder x \equiv length (opaque x)
inductive itrm-cong :: ('a\ itrm \Rightarrow 'a\ itrm \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a\ itrm \Rightarrow 'a\ itrm \Rightarrow bool
for R
where
    into-itrm-cong: R \ x \ y \Longrightarrow itrm-cong \ R \ x \ y
   pure-cong[intro]: x \leftrightarrow y \Longrightarrow itrm-cong R (Pure x) (Pure y)
    ap-cong: itrm-cong R f f' \Longrightarrow itrm-cong R x x' \Longrightarrow itrm-cong R (f \diamond x) (f' \diamond
x'
    itrm-refl[iff]: itrm-cong R x x
    itrm-sym[sym]: itrm-cong R x y \Longrightarrow itrm-cong R y x
   itrm-trans[trans]: itrm-cong R x y \Longrightarrow itrm-cong R y z \Longrightarrow itrm-cong R x z
lemma ap-congL[intro]: itrm-cong R f f' \Longrightarrow itrm-cong R (f \diamond x) (f' \diamond x)
by (blast intro: ap-cong)
lemma ap\text{-}congR[intro]: itrm\text{-}cong\ R\ x\ x' \Longrightarrow itrm\text{-}cong\ R\ (f \diamond x)\ (f \diamond x')
by (blast intro: ap-cong)
Idiomatic terms are similar iff they have the same structure, and all con-
tained lambda terms are equivalent.
abbreviation similar :: 'a \ itrm \Rightarrow 'a \ itrm \Rightarrow bool \ (infixl \iff 50)
where x \cong y \equiv itrm\text{-}cong \ (\lambda \text{- -. } False) \ x \ y
lemma pure-similarE:
 assumes Pure x' \cong y
  obtains y' where y = Pure y' and x' \leftrightarrow y'
  define x :: 'a itrm where <math>x = Pure x'
  from assms have x \cong y unfolding x-def.
  then have (\forall x''. x = Pure x'' \longrightarrow (\exists y'. y = Pure y' \land x'' \leftrightarrow y')) \land
    (\forall x''. \ y = Pure \ x'' \longrightarrow (\exists y'. \ x = Pure \ y' \land x'' \leftrightarrow y'))
  proof (induction)
    case pure-cong thus ?case by (auto intro: term-sym)
    case itrm-trans thus ?case by (fastforce intro: term-trans)
  qed simp-all
  with that show thesis unfolding x-def by blast
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma opaque-similarE:
 assumes Opaque x' \cong y
  obtains y' where y = Opaque y' and x' = y'
proof -
  define x :: 'a itrm where <math>x = Opaque x'
  from assms have x \cong y unfolding x-def.
  then have (\forall x''. x = Opaque x'' \longrightarrow (\exists y'. y = Opaque y' \land x'' = y')) \land
   (\forall x''. y = Opaque x'' \longrightarrow (\exists y'. x = Opaque y' \land x'' = y'))
  by induction fast+
  with that show thesis unfolding x-def by blast
qed
lemma ap-similarE:
  assumes x1 \diamond x2 \cong y
 obtains y1\ y2 where y = y1 \diamond y2 and x1 \cong y1 and x2 \cong y2
proof -
  from assms
  have (\forall x1' x2'. x1 \diamond x2 = x1' \diamond x2' \longrightarrow (\exists y1 y2. y = y1 \diamond y2 \land x1' \cong y1 \land x2')
x2' \cong y2)) \wedge
    (\forall x1' x2'. y = x1' \diamond x2' \longrightarrow (\exists y1 y2. x1 \diamond x2 = y1 \diamond y2 \land x1' \cong y1 \land x2')
\cong y2)
  proof (induction)
   case ap-cong thus ?case by (blast intro: itrm-sym)
  next
   case trans: itrm-trans thus ?case by (fastforce intro: itrm-trans)
 qed simp-all
  with that show thesis by blast
qed
The following relations define semantic equivalence of idiomatic terms. We
consider equivalences that hold universally in all idioms, as well as arbitrary
specialisations using additional laws.
inductive idiom-rule :: 'a itrm \Rightarrow 'a itrm \Rightarrow bool
where
    idiom-id: idiom-rule (Pure \mathcal{I} \diamond x) x
   idiom-comp: idiom-rule (Pure \mathcal{B} \diamond g \diamond f \diamond x) (g \diamond (f \diamond x))
   idiom\text{-}hom: idiom\text{-}rule\ (Pure\ f \diamond Pure\ x)\ (Pure\ (f \circ x))
   idiom-xchng: idiom-rule (f \diamond Pure \ x) \ (Pure \ (\mathcal{T} \circ x) \diamond f)
abbreviation itrm-equiv :: 'a itrm \Rightarrow 'a itrm \Rightarrow bool (infix) \langle \simeq \rangle 50)
where x \simeq y \equiv itrm\text{-}cong\ idiom\text{-}rule\ x\ y
lemma idiom-rule-into-equiv: idiom-rule x y \Longrightarrow x \simeq y...
lemmas itrm-id = idiom-id[THEN idiom-rule-into-equiv]
lemmas itrm-comp = idiom-comp[THEN idiom-rule-into-equiv]
```

lemmas itrm-hom = idiom-hom[THEN idiom-rule-into-equiv]

```
lemmas itrm-xchng = idiom-xchng[THEN idiom-rule-into-equiv]
lemma similar-into-equiv: x \cong y \Longrightarrow x \simeq y
by (induction pred: itrm-cong) (auto intro: ap-cong itrm-sym itrm-trans)
lemma opaque-equiv: x \simeq y \Longrightarrow opaque x = opaque y
proof (induction pred: itrm-cong)
 case (into-itrm-cong \ x \ y)
 thus ?case by induction auto
qed simp-all
lemma iorder-equiv: x \simeq y \Longrightarrow iorder \ x = iorder \ y
by (auto dest: opaque-equiv)
locale special-idiom =
 fixes extra-rule :: 'a itrm \Rightarrow 'a itrm \Rightarrow bool
begin
definition idiom-ext-rule = sup idiom-rule extra-rule
abbreviation itrm-ext-equiv :: 'a itrm \Rightarrow 'a itrm \Rightarrow bool (infixl \langle \simeq^+ \rangle 50)
where x \simeq^+ y \equiv itrm\text{-}cong\ idiom\text{-}ext\text{-}rule\ x\ y
lemma equiv-into-ext-equiv: x \simeq y \Longrightarrow x \simeq^+ y
unfolding idiom-ext-rule-def
by (induction pred: itrm-cong)
  (auto intro: into-itrm-cong ap-cong itrm-sym itrm-trans)
lemmas itrm-ext-id = itrm-id[THEN equiv-into-ext-equiv]
lemmas itrm-ext-comp = itrm-comp[THEN equiv-into-ext-equiv]
lemmas itrm-ext-hom = itrm-hom[THEN equiv-into-ext-equiv]
lemmas itrm-ext-xchng = itrm-xchng[THEN equiv-into-ext-equiv]
end
5.4.2 Syntactic unlifting
With generalisation of variables primrec unlift':: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ itrm \Rightarrow nat
\Rightarrow dB
where
   unlift' \ n \ (Opaque -) \ i = Var \ i
  | unlift' n (Pure x) i = liftn n x 0
 | unlift' n (f \diamond x) i = unlift' n f (i + iorder x) \circ unlift' n x i
abbreviation unlift x \equiv (Abs \widehat{\ }iorder \ x) \ (unlift' \ (iorder \ x) \ x \ \theta)
lemma funpow-Suc-inside: (f \cap Suc \ n) \ x = (f \cap n) \ (f \ x)
using funpow-Suc-right unfolding comp-def by metis
```

```
lemma absn-cong[intro]: s \leftrightarrow t \Longrightarrow (Abs \widehat{n}) s \leftrightarrow (Abs \widehat{n}) t
by (induction n) auto
lemma free-unlift: free (unlift' n \times i) j \Longrightarrow j \ge n \vee (j \ge i \wedge j < i + iorder \times i)
proof (induction x arbitrary: i)
  case (Opaque x)
  thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Pure \ x)
  thus ?case using free-liftn by simp
next
  case (IAp \ x \ y)
 thus ?case by fastforce
qed
lemma unlift-subst: j \leq i \land j \leq n \Longrightarrow (unlift'(Suc\ n)\ t\ (Suc\ i))[s/j] = unlift'\ n
proof (induction t arbitrary: i)
  case (Opaque x)
  thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Pure \ x)
  thus ?case using subst-liftn by simp
next
  case (IAp \ x \ y)
 hence j \leq i + iorder y by simp
  with IAp show ?case by auto
qed
lemma unlift'-equiv: x \simeq y \Longrightarrow unlift' \ n \ x \ i \leftrightarrow unlift' \ n \ y \ i
proof (induction arbitrary: n i pred: itrm-cong)
  case (into-itrm-cong x y) thus ?case
 proof induction
    case (idiom-id \ x)
    show ?case using I-equiv[symmetric] by simp
    case (idiom\text{-}comp\ g\ f\ x)
    let ?G = unlift' \ n \ g \ (i + iorder \ f + iorder \ x)
    let ?F = unlift' n f (i + iorder x)
    let ?X = unlift' n x i
   \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{unlift'}\ n\ (g \diamond (f \diamond x))\ i = \ ?G \ ^{\circ} \ (\ ?F \ ^{\circ}\ ?X)
      by (simp add: add.assoc)
    moreover have unlift' n (Pure \mathcal{B} \diamond g \diamond f \diamond x) i = \mathcal{B} \circ ?G \circ ?F \circ ?X
     by (simp add: add.commute add.left-commute)
   moreover have ?G \circ (?F \circ ?X) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B} \circ ?G \circ ?F \circ ?X using B\text{-}equiv[symmetric]
    ultimately show ?case by simp
  next
    case (idiom-hom f x)
```

```
show ?case by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case (idiom\text{-}xchng\ f\ x)
    let ?F = unlift' n f i
    let ?X = liftn \ n \ x \ \theta
    have unlift' \ n \ (f \diamond Pure \ x) \ i = ?F \circ ?X \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
   moreover have unlift' n (Pure (\mathcal{T} \circ x) \diamond f) i = \mathcal{T} \circ ?X \circ ?F by simp
    moreover have ?F \circ ?X \leftrightarrow \mathcal{T} \circ ?X \circ ?F using T-equiv[symmetric].
    ultimately show ?case by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{next}
  case pure-cong
  thus ?case by (auto intro: equiv-liftn)
\mathbf{next}
  case (ap\text{-}cong f f' x x')
  from \langle x \simeq x' \rangle have iorder-eq: iorder x = iorder x' by (rule iorder-equiv)
 have unlift' \ n \ (f \diamond x) \ i = unlift' \ n \ f \ (i + iorder \ x) \circ unlift' \ n \ x \ i \ by \ simp
 moreover have unlift' \ n \ (f' \diamond x') \ i = unlift' \ n \ f' \ (i + iorder \ x) \circ unlift' \ n \ x' \ i
    using iorder-eq by simp
  ultimately show ?case using ap-cong.IH by (auto intro: equiv-app)
next
  case itrm-refl
  thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case itrm-sym
  thus ?case using term-sym by simp
next
  case itrm-trans
 thus ?case using term-trans by blast
lemma unlift-equiv: x \simeq y \Longrightarrow unlift \ x \leftrightarrow unlift \ y
proof -
  assume x \simeq y
 then have unlift' (iorder y) x \ 0 \leftrightarrow unlift' (iorder y) y \ 0 by (rule unlift'-equiv)
 moreover from \langle x \simeq y \rangle have iorder x = iorder y by (rule iorder-equiv)
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
Preserving variables primrec unlift-vars :: nat \Rightarrow nat \ itrm \Rightarrow dB
    unlift-vars n (Opaque i) = Var i
   unlift-vars n (Pure x) = liftn x \theta
  | unlift\text{-}vars \ n \ (x \diamond y) = unlift\text{-}vars \ n \ x \circ unlift\text{-}vars \ n \ y
lemma all-pure-unlift-vars: opaque x = [] \implies x \simeq Pure (unlift-vars 0 x)
proof (induction x)
  case (Opaque x) then show ?case by simp
next
```

```
case (Pure x) then show ?case by simp
next
  case (IAp \ x \ y)
  then have no-opaque: opaque x = [] opaque y = [] by simp+
  then have unlift-ap: unlift-vars \theta (x \diamond y) = unlift-vars \theta x \circ unlift-vars \theta y
  from no-opaque IAp.IH have x \diamond y \simeq Pure (unlift-vars 0 x) \diamond Pure (unlift-vars
\theta y
   by (blast intro: ap-cong)
 also have ... \simeq Pure \ (unlift\text{-}vars \ 0 \ x \circ unlift\text{-}vars \ 0 \ y) by (rule \ itrm\text{-}hom)
 also have ... = Pure (unlift-vars \theta (x \diamond y)) by (simp only: unlift-ap)
 finally show ?case.
qed
          Canonical forms
5.4.3
inductive-set CF :: 'a itrm set
where
   pure-cf[iff]: Pure x \in CF
  | ap\text{-}cf[intro]: f \in CF \Longrightarrow f \diamond Opaque \ x \in CF
primrec CF-pure :: 'a itrm \Rightarrow dB
where
    CF-pure (Opaque -) = undefined
  | CF-pure (Pure \ x) = x
 \mid CF-pure (x \diamond -) = CF-pure x
lemma ap-cfD1 [dest]: f \diamond x \in CF \Longrightarrow f \in CF
by (rule CF.cases) auto
lemma ap-cfD2[dest]: f \diamond x \in CF \Longrightarrow \exists x'. \ x = Opaque \ x'
by (rule CF.cases) auto
lemma opaque-not-cf[simp]: Opaque x \in CF \Longrightarrow False
by (rule CF.cases) auto
lemma cf-unlift:
 assumes x \in CF
   shows CF-pure x \leftrightarrow unlift x
using assms proof (induction set: CF)
  case (pure-cf x)
  show ?case by simp
next
  case (ap-cf f x)
  let ?n = iorder f + 1
  have unlift\ (f \diamond Opaque\ x) = (Abs^?n)\ (unlift'\ ?n\ f\ 1 \circ Var\ 0)
   by simp
  also have ... = (Abs \widehat{\ \ }iorder f) \ (Abs \ (unlift' ?n f 1 \circ Var \theta))
   using funpow-Suc-inside by simp
```

```
also have ... \leftrightarrow unlift\ f\ proof\ -
   have \neg free (unlift' ?n f 1) 0 using free-unlift by fastforce
   hence Abs (unlift' ?n f 1 ° Var 0) \rightarrow_n (unlift' ?n f 1)[Var 0/0] ..
   also have \dots = unlift' (iorder f) f \theta
     using unlift-subst by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 le0)
   finally show ?thesis
     by (simp add: r-into-rtranclp absn-cong eta-into-equiv)
  finally show ?case
   using ap-cf.IH by (auto intro: term-sym term-trans)
lemma cf-similarI:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ x\in\mathit{CF}\ y\in\mathit{CF}
     and opaque x = opaque y
     and CF-pure x \leftrightarrow CF-pure y
   shows x \cong y
using assms proof (induction arbitrary: y)
  case (pure-cf x)
 hence opaque y = [] by auto
  with \langle y \in CF \rangle obtain y' where y = Pure y' by cases auto
  with pure-cf.prems show ?case by auto
  case (ap\text{-}cf f x)
  from \langle opaque\ (f \diamond\ Opaque\ x) = opaque\ y \rangle
 obtain y1 \ y2 where opaque y = y1 \ @ y2
   and opaque f = y1 and [x] = y2 by fastforce
  from \langle [x] = y2 \rangle obtain y' where y2 = [y'] and x = y'
   by auto
  with \langle y \in CF \rangle and \langle opaque \ y = y1 @ y2 \rangle obtain g
   where opaque g = y1 and y-split: y = g \diamond Opaque y' g \in CF by cases auto
  with ap\text{-}cf.prems \langle opaque \ f = y1 \rangle
 have opaque f = opaque g \ CF-pure f \leftrightarrow CF-pure g \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
 with ap\text{-}cf.IH \langle g \in CF \rangle have f \cong g by simp
 with ap-cf.prems y-split \langle x = y' \rangle show ?case by (auto intro: ap-cong)
qed
lemma cf-similarD:
 assumes in-cf: x \in CF y \in CF
     and similar: x \cong y
   shows CF-pure x \leftrightarrow CF-pure y \land opaque x = opaque y
using assms
by (blast intro!: similar-into-equiv opaque-equiv cf-unlift unlift-equiv
     intro: term-trans term-sym)
Equivalent idiomatic terms in canonical form are similar. This justifies
speaking of a normal form.
lemma cf-unique:
 assumes in-cf: x \in CF \ y \in CF
```

```
and equiv: x \simeq y
   shows x \cong y
using in-cf proof (rule cf-similarI)
  from equiv show opaque x = opaque y by (rule opaque-equiv)
  from equiv have unlift x \leftrightarrow unlift y by (rule unlift-equiv)
  thus CF-pure x \leftrightarrow CF-pure y
   using cf-unlift[OF in-cf(1)] cf-unlift[OF in-cf(2)]
   by (auto intro: term-sym term-trans)
qed
         Normalisation of idiomatic terms
\mathbf{primrec} \ norm\text{-}pn :: dB \Rightarrow 'a \ itrm \Rightarrow 'a \ itrm
where
    norm-pn f (Opaque x) = undefined
   norm\text{-}pn \ f \ (Pure \ x) = Pure \ (f \circ x)
  | norm\text{-}pn f (n \diamond x) = norm\text{-}pn (\mathcal{B} \circ f) n \diamond x
primrec norm-nn :: 'a itrm \Rightarrow 'a itrm \Rightarrow 'a itrm
where
    norm-nn \ n \ (Opaque \ x) = undefined
  \mid norm-nn \ n \ (Pure \ x) = norm-pn \ (\mathcal{T} \circ x) \ n
 \mid norm\text{-}nn \ n \ (n' \diamond x) = norm\text{-}nn \ (norm\text{-}pn \ \mathcal{B} \ n) \ n' \diamond x
primrec norm :: 'a itrm \Rightarrow 'a itrm
where
    norm (Opaque x) = Pure \mathcal{I} \diamond Opaque x
   norm (Pure x) = Pure x
  | norm (f \diamond x) = norm-nn (norm f) (norm x)
lemma norm-pn-in-cf:
 assumes x \in CF
   shows norm-pn f x \in CF
using assms
by (induction \ x \ arbitrary: f) auto
lemma norm-nn-in-cf:
 assumes n \in CF \ n' \in CF
   shows norm\text{-}nn \ n' \in \mathit{CF}
using assms(2,1)
by (induction n' arbitrary: n) (auto intro: norm-pn-in-cf)
lemma norm-in-cf: norm x \in CF
by (induction \ x) (auto \ intro: norm-nn-in-cf)
```

lemma norm-pn-equiv:

```
assumes x \in CF
    shows norm-pn f x \simeq Pure f \diamond x
using assms proof (induction x arbitrary: f)
  case (pure-cf x)
  have Pure\ (f \circ x) \simeq Pure\ f \diamond Pure\ x\ using\ itrm-hom[symmetric].
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (ap-cf \ n \ x)
  from ap-cf.IH have norm-pn (\mathcal{B} \circ f) n \simeq Pure (\mathcal{B} \circ f) \diamond n.
  then have norm-pn (\mathcal{B} \circ f) n \diamond Opaque x \simeq Pure (\mathcal{B} \circ f) \diamond n \diamond Opaque x ...
  also have ... \simeq Pure \mathcal{B} \diamond Pure f \diamond n \diamond Opaque x
    using itrm-hom[symmetric] by blast
 also have ... \simeq Pure \ f \diamond (n \diamond Opaque \ x) using itrm-comp.
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma norm-nn-equiv:
 assumes n \in CF \ n' \in CF
   shows norm-nn \ n' \simeq n \diamond n'
using assms(2,1) proof (induction n' arbitrary: n)
  case (pure-cf x)
  then have norm-pn (\mathcal{T} \circ x) n \simeq Pure (\mathcal{T} \circ x) \diamond n by (rule norm-pn-equiv)
 also have ... \simeq n \diamond Pure \ x \ using \ itrm-xchng[symmetric].
  finally show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (ap - cf n' x)
  have norm-nn (norm-pn \mathcal{B} n) n' \diamond Opaque x \simeq Pure \mathcal{B} \diamond n \diamond n' \diamond Opaque x
    from \langle n \in CF \rangle have norm-pn \mathcal{B} n \in CF by (rule norm-pn-in-cf)
    with ap-cf.IH have norm-nn (norm-pn \mathcal{B} n) n' \simeq norm-pn \mathcal{B} n \diamond n'.
    also have ... \simeq Pure \ \mathcal{B} \diamond n \diamond n' using norm-pn-equiv \langle n \in CF \rangle by blast
    finally show norm-nn (norm-pn \mathcal{B} n) n' \simeq Pure \mathcal{B} \diamond n \diamond n'.
  qed
  also have ... \simeq n \diamond (n' \diamond Opaque x) using itrm-comp.
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma norm-equiv: norm x \simeq x
proof (induction)
  case (Opaque x)
 have Pure \mathcal{I} \diamond Opaque \ x \simeq Opaque \ x \ using \ itrm-id.
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Pure x)
 show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (IAp f x)
 have norm f \in CF and norm x \in CF by (rule norm-in-cf)+
  then have norm-nn (norm f) (norm x) \simeq norm f \diamond norm x
```

```
by (rule norm-nn-equiv)
 also have ... \simeq f \diamond x using IAp.IH ..
 finally show ?case by simp
lemma normal-form: obtains n where n \simeq x and n \in CF
using norm-equiv norm-in-cf ..
         Lifting with normal forms
5.4.5
lemma nf-unlift:
 assumes equiv: n \simeq x and cf: n \in CF
   shows CF-pure n \leftrightarrow unlift x
proof -
  from cf have CF-pure n \leftrightarrow unlift n by (rule\ cf-unlift)
 also from equiv have unlift n \leftrightarrow unlift x by (rule unlift-equiv)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem nf-lifting:
 assumes opaque: opaque x = opaque y
     and base-eq: unlift x \leftrightarrow unlift y
   shows x \simeq y
proof -
  obtain n where nf-x: n \simeq x \ n \in CF by (rule\ normal-form)
 obtain n' where nf-y: n' \simeq y \ n' \in CF by (rule normal-form)
 from nf-x have CF-pure <math>n \leftrightarrow unlift x by (rule \ nf-unlift)
 also note base-eq
 also from nf-y have unlift\ y \leftrightarrow CF-pure n' by (rule\ nf-unlift[THEN\ term-sym])
 finally have pure-eq: CF-pure n \leftrightarrow CF-pure n'.
 from nf-x(1) have opaque n = opaque x by (rule opaque-equiv)
 also note opaque
  also from nf-y(1) have opaque y = opaque n' by (rule opaque-equiv[THEN
 finally have opaque-eq: opaque n = opaque n'.
 from nf-x(1) have x \simeq n ..
 also have n \simeq n'
   using nf-x nf-y pure-eq opaque-eq
   by (blast intro: similar-into-equiv cf-similarI)
 also from nf-y(1) have n' \simeq y.
 finally show x \simeq y.
qed
```

5.4.6 Bracket abstraction, twice

Preliminaries: Sequential application of variables definition frees :: $dB \Rightarrow nat \ set$

```
where [simp]: frees t = \{i. free \ t \ i\}
definition var\text{-}dist :: nat \ list \Rightarrow dB \Rightarrow dB
where var\text{-}dist = fold \ (\lambda i \ t. \ t \circ Var \ i)
lemma var-dist-Nil[simp]: var-dist [] t = t
unfolding var-dist-def by simp
lemma var-dist-Cons[simp]: var-dist (v \# vs) t = var-dist vs (t \circ Var v)
\mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{var-dist-def}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{simp}
lemma var-dist-append1: var-dist (vs @ [v]) t = var-dist vs t \circ Var v
unfolding var-dist-def by simp
lemma var-dist-frees: frees (var-dist vs t) = frees t \cup set vs
by (induction vs arbitrary: t) auto
lemma \ var-dist-subst-lt:
 \forall v \in set \ vs. \ i < v \Longrightarrow (var\text{-}dist \ vs \ s)[t/i] = var\text{-}dist \ (map \ (\lambda v. \ v - 1) \ vs) \ (s[t/i])
by (induction vs arbitrary: s) simp-all
lemma var-dist-subst-gt:
 \forall v \in set \ vs. \ v < i \Longrightarrow (var\text{-}dist \ vs \ s)[t/i] = var\text{-}dist \ vs \ (s[t/i])
by (induction vs arbitrary: s) simp-all
definition vsubst :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
where vsubst u \ v \ w = (if \ u < w \ then \ u \ else \ if \ u = w \ then \ v \ else \ u - 1)
lemma vsubst-subst[simp]: (Var\ u)[Var\ v/w] = Var\ (vsubst\ u\ v\ w)
unfolding vsubst-def by simp
lemma vsubst-subst-lt[simp]: u < w \implies vsubst u v w = u
unfolding vsubst-def by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ var	ext{-}dist	ext{-}subst	ext{-}Var:
  (var\text{-}dist\ vs\ s)[Var\ i/j] = var\text{-}dist\ (map\ (\lambda v.\ vsubst\ v\ i\ j)\ vs)\ (s[Var\ i/j])
by (induction vs arbitrary: s) simp-all
lemma var-dist-cong: s \leftrightarrow t \Longrightarrow var-dist vs s \leftrightarrow var-dist vs t
by (induction vs arbitrary: s t) auto
Preliminaries: Eta reductions with permuted variables lemma absn-subst:
((Abs \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) \ s)[t/k] = (Abs \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) \ (s[liftn \ n \ t \ \theta/k+n])
by (induction n arbitrary: t k) (simp-all add: liftn-lift-swap)
lemma absn-beta-equiv: (Abs^Suc n) s \circ t \leftrightarrow (Abs^n) (s[liftn n t 0/n])
proof -
  have (Abs \widehat{\ \ } Suc\ n)\ s \circ t = Abs\ ((Abs \widehat{\ \ \ } n)\ s) \circ t by simp
  also have ... \leftrightarrow ((Abs^n) s)[t/0] by (rule beta-into-equiv) (rule beta-beta)
```

```
also have ... = (Abs \hat{n}) (s[liftn \ n \ t \ 0/n]) by (simp \ add: \ absn-subst)
  finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma absn-dist-eta: (Abs \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) (var-dist (rev [0..< n]) (liftn n t 0)) <math>\leftrightarrow t
proof (induction \ n)
  case \theta show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc \ n)
  let ?dist-range = \lambda a \ k. var-dist (rev [a..<k]) (liftn k t 0)
  have append: rev [0..<Suc n] = rev [1..<Suc n] @ [0] by (simp add: upt-rec)
  have dist-last: ?dist-range 0 (Suc n) = ?dist-range 1 (Suc n) ° Var 0
   unfolding append var-dist-append1 ..
  have \neg free (?dist-range 1 (Suc n)) 0 proof -
   have frees (?dist\text{-range } 1 \ (Suc \ n)) = frees (liftn (Suc \ n) \ t \ 0) \cup \{1..n\}
     unfolding var-dist-frees by fastforce
   then have 0 \notin frees (?dist-range 1 (Suc n)) by simp
   then show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  then have Abs (?dist-range 0 (Suc n)) \rightarrow_{\eta} (?dist-range 1 (Suc n))[Var 0/0]
   unfolding dist-last by (rule eta)
  also have ... = var-dist (rev [0...< n]) ((liftn (Suc n) t \theta)[Var \theta/\theta]) proof -
   have \forall v \in set \ (rev \ [1.. < Suc \ n]). \ 0 < v \ by \ auto
   moreover have rev [0... < n] = map (\lambda v. v - 1) (rev [1... < Suc n]) by (induction
n) simp-all
   ultimately show ?thesis by (simp only: var-dist-subst-lt)
  ged
  also have ... = ?dist-range 0 n using subst-liftn[of 0 n 0 t Var 0] by simp
  finally have Abs (?dist-range 0 (Suc n)) \leftrightarrow ?dist-range 0 n ...
  then have (Abs \widehat{\ \ } Suc\ n) (?dist-range 0 (Suc\ n)) \leftrightarrow (Abs \widehat{\ \ \ } n) (?dist-range 0 n)
   unfolding funpow-Suc-inside by (rule absn-cong)
  also from Suc.IH have ... \leftrightarrow t.
  finally show ?case.
qed
primrec strip\text{-}context :: nat \Rightarrow dB \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow dB
where
    strip\text{-}context\ n\ (Var\ i)\ k = (if\ i < k\ then\ Var\ i\ else\ Var\ (i-n))
   strip\text{-}context\ n\ (Abs\ t)\ k = Abs\ (strip\text{-}context\ n\ t\ (Suc\ k))
  \mid strip\text{-}context \ n \ (s \circ t) \ k = strip\text{-}context \ n \ s \ k \circ strip\text{-}context \ n \ t \ k
lemma strip\text{-}context\text{-}liftn: strip\text{-}context\ n\ (liftn\ (m+n)\ t\ k)\ k=liftn\ m\ t\ k
by (induction t arbitrary: k) simp-all
lemma liftn-strip-context:
  assumes \forall i \in frees \ t. \ i < k \lor k + n \le i
   shows lift n (strip-context n t k) k = t
using assms proof (induction t arbitrary: k)
```

```
case (Abs\ t)
 have \forall i \in frees \ t. \ i < Suc \ k \lor Suc \ k + n \le i \ proof
   fix i assume free: i \in frees t
   show i < Suc \ k \lor Suc \ k + n \le i proof (cases \ i > 0)
     assume i > 0
     with free Abs.prems have i-1 < k \lor k + n \le i-1 by simp
     then show ?thesis by arith
   qed simp
 qed
  with Abs.IH show ?case by simp
qed auto
lemma absn-dist-eta-free:
 assumes \forall i \in frees \ t. \ n \leq i
  shows (Abs^{n}) (var\text{-}dist\ (rev\ [0..< n])\ t) \leftrightarrow strip\text{-}context\ n\ t\ 0\ (is\ ?lhs\ t\leftrightarrow n)
?rhs)
proof
 have ?lhs (lift n ?rhs 0) \leftrightarrow ?rhs by (rule absn-dist-eta)
 moreover have liftn n ?rhs \theta = t
   using assms by (auto intro: liftn-strip-context)
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{qed}
definition perm\text{-}vars :: nat \Rightarrow nat \ list \Rightarrow bool
where perm-vars n vs \longleftrightarrow distinct vs \land set vs = \{0... < n\}
lemma perm-vars-distinct: perm-vars n vs \Longrightarrow distinct vs
unfolding perm-vars-def by simp
lemma perm-vars-length: perm-vars n vs \Longrightarrow length vs = n
unfolding perm-vars-def using distinct-card by force
lemma perm-vars-lt: perm-vars n vs \Longrightarrow \forall i \in set \ vs. \ i < n
unfolding perm-vars-def by simp
lemma perm-vars-nth-lt: perm-vars n vs \Longrightarrow i < n \Longrightarrow vs \mid i < n
using perm-vars-length perm-vars-lt by simp
lemma perm-vars-inj-on-nth:
 assumes perm-vars n vs
   shows inj-on (nth vs) \{0..< n\}
proof (rule inj-onI)
 fix i j
 assume i \in \{0..< n\} and j \in \{0..< n\}
 with assms have i < length vs and j < length vs
   using perm-vars-length by simp+
 moreover from assms have distinct vs by (rule perm-vars-distinct)
 moreover assume vs ! i = vs ! j
 ultimately show i = j using nth-eq-iff-index-eq by blast
```

```
qed
```

```
abbreviation perm-vars-inv :: nat \Rightarrow nat \ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
where perm-vars-inv n vs i \equiv the-inv-into \{0...< n\} ((!) vs) i
lemma perm-vars-inv-nth:
  assumes perm-vars n vs
      and i < n
   shows perm-vars-inv n vs (vs ! i) = i
using assms by (auto intro: the-inv-into-f-f perm-vars-inj-on-nth)
lemma dist-perm-eta:
  assumes perm-vars: perm-vars n vs
  obtains vs' where \bigwedge t. \forall i \in frees \ t. n \leq i \Longrightarrow
    (Abs \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) (var-dist \ vs' ((Abs \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) (var-dist \ vs (liftn \ n \ t \ \theta)))) \leftrightarrow strip-context \ n
proof -
  define vsubsts where vsubsts n vs' vs =
   map (\lambda v.
      if \ v < n - length \ vs' \ then \ v
      else if v < n then vs' ! (n - v - 1) + (n - length vs')
      else \ v - length \ vs') vs \ for \ n \ vs' \ vs
  let ?app\text{-}vars = \lambda t \ n \ vs' \ vs. \ var\text{-}dist \ vs' \ ((Abs \widehat{\ \ } n) \ (var\text{-}dist \ vs \ (liftn \ n \ t \ \theta)))
   fix t :: dB and vs' :: nat list
   assume partial: length vs' \leq n
   let ?m = n - length vs'
   have ?app-vars t n vs' vs \leftrightarrow (Abs^\?m) (var-dist (vsubsts n vs' vs) (lift n?m t
\theta))
   using partial proof (induction vs' arbitrary: vs n)
     {\bf case}\ {\it Nil}
    then have vsubsts n \mid vs = vs unfolding vsubsts-def by (auto intro: map-idI)
     then show ?case by simp
      case (Cons v vs')
     define n' where n' = n - 1
     have Suc-n': Suc\ n' = n unfolding n'-def using Cons.prems by simp
     have vs'-length: length vs' \leq n' unfolding n'-def using Cons.prems by simp
     let ?m' = n' - length vs'
     have m'-conv: ?m' = n - length (v \# vs') unfolding n'-def by simp
      have ?app-vars t n (v \# vs') vs = ?app-vars t (Suc n') (v \# vs') vs
       unfolding Suc-n'...
      also have ... \leftrightarrow var\text{-}dist \ vs' \ ((Abs \widehat{\ \ } Suc \ n') \ (var\text{-}dist \ vs \ (liftn \ (Suc \ n') \ t \ \theta))
        unfolding var-dist-Cons ..
    also have ... \leftrightarrow ?app-vars t \ n' \ vs' \ (vsubsts \ n \ [v] \ vs) proof (rule var-dist-cong)
```

```
have map (\lambda vv. \ vsubst \ vv \ (v + n') \ n') \ vs = vsubsts \ n \ [v] \ vs
         unfolding Suc-n'[symmetric] vsubsts-def vsubst-def
         by (auto cong: if-cong)
       then have (var\text{-}dist\ vs\ (liftn\ (Suc\ n')\ t\ \theta))[liftn\ n'\ (Var\ v)\ \theta/n']
                = var\text{-}dist (vsubsts n [v] vs) (liftn n' t 0)
         using var-dist-subst-Var subst-liftn by simp
       then show (Abs \widehat{\ \ } Suc\ n')\ (var\ dist\ vs\ (liftn\ (Suc\ n')\ t\ 0)) \circ Var\ v \\ \leftrightarrow (Abs \widehat{\ \ } n')\ (var\ dist\ (vsubsts\ n\ [v]\ vs)\ (liftn\ n'\ t\ 0))
         by (fastforce intro: absn-beta-equiv[THEN term-trans])
     qed
     also have ... \leftrightarrow (Abs^?m') (var-dist (vsubsts n' vs' (vsubsts n [v] vs)) (liftn
?m' t \theta))
       using vs'-length Cons.IH by blast
     also have ... = (Abs^{?}m') (var-dist (vsubsts n (v # vs') vs) (liftn ?m' t 0))
     proof -
       have vsubsts n' vs' (vsubsts (Suc n') [v] vs) = vsubsts (Suc n') (v # vs') vs
         unfolding vsubsts-def
         using vs'-length [[linarith-split-limit=10]]
         by auto
       then show ?thesis unfolding Suc-n' by simp
     finally show ?case unfolding m'-conv.
   qed
 note partial-appd = this
  define vs' where vs' = map (\lambda i. n - perm-vars-inv n vs (n - i - 1) - 1)
[\theta ... < n]
 from perm-vars have vs-length: length vs = n by (rule perm-vars-length)
 have vs'-length: length vs' = n unfolding vs'-def by simp
 have map (\lambda v. vs'! (n - v - 1)) vs = rev [0..< n] proof –
   have length vs = length (rev [0..< n])
     unfolding vs-length by simp
   then have list-all2 (\lambda v \ v'. \ vs' \ ! \ (n-v-1) = v') vs (rev [\theta..< n]) proof
     fix i assume i < length vs
     then have i < n unfolding vs-length.
     then have vs! i < n using perm-vars perm-vars-nth-lt by simp
     with \langle i < n \rangle have vs'! (n - vs! i - 1) = n - perm-vars-inv n vs (vs! i)
- 1
       unfolding vs'-def by simp
     also from \langle i < n \rangle have ... = n - i - 1 using perm-vars perm-vars-inv-nth
\mathbf{by} \ simp
     also from \langle i < n \rangle have ... = rev [0... < n] ! i  by (simp \ add: \ rev-nth)
     finally show vs'! (n - vs! i - 1) = rev [0..< n]! i.
   then show ?thesis
     unfolding list.rel-eq[symmetric]
```

```
using list.rel-map
     \mathbf{by} auto
  qed
  then have vs'-vs: vsubsts n vs' vs = rev [0..< n]
   unfolding vsubsts-def vs'-length
   using perm-vars perm-vars-lt
   by (auto intro: map-ext[THEN trans])
  let ?appd-vars = \lambda t n. var-dist (rev [0..< n]) t
   \mathbf{fix} \ t
   assume not-free: \forall i \in frees \ t. \ n \leq i
   have ?app\text{-}vars\ t\ n\ vs'\ vs \leftrightarrow ?appd\text{-}vars\ t\ n\ \mathbf{for}\ t
     using partial-appd[of vs'] vs'-length vs'-vs by simp
   then have (Abs^{n}) (?app-vars t n vs' vs) \leftrightarrow (Abs^{n}) (?appd-vars t n)
     by (rule absn-conq)
   also have ... \leftrightarrow strip-context n t 0
     using not-free by (rule absn-dist-eta-free)
   finally have (Abs \hat{n}) (?app-vars t \ n \ vs' \ vs) \leftrightarrow strip-context \ n \ t \ 0.
  with that show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma liftn-absn: liftn n ((Abs^nm) t) k = (Abs ^nm) (liftn n t (k + m))
by (induction m arbitrary: k) auto
lemma liftn-var-dist-lt:
  \forall i \in set \ vs. \ i < k \Longrightarrow liftn \ n \ (var-dist \ vs \ t) \ k = var-dist \ vs \ (liftn \ n \ t \ k)
by (induction vs arbitrary: t) auto
liftn \ n \ t \ k'
proof (induction t arbitrary: k k')
  case (Abs\ t)
  have \forall i \in frees \ t. \ i < Suc \ k \lor Suc \ k' \le i \ proof
   fix i assume i \in frees t
   show i < Suc \ k \lor Suc \ k' \le i \ \mathbf{proof} \ (cases \ i = 0)
     assume i = 0 then show ?thesis by simp
   next
     assume i \neq 0
     from Abs.prems(2) have \forall i. free t (Suc i) \longrightarrow i < k \lor k' \le i by auto
     then have \forall i. \ 0 < i \land free \ t \ i \longrightarrow i-1 < k \lor k' \le i-1 \ \text{by } simp
     then have \forall i. \ 0 < i \land free \ t \ i \longrightarrow i < Suc \ k \lor Suc \ k' \le i \ by \ auto
     with \langle i \neq 0 \rangle \langle i \in frees \ t \rangle show ?thesis by simp
   qed
  qed
  with Abs.IH Abs.prems(1) show ?case by auto
qed auto
```

```
lemma liftn-liftn0: \forall i \in frees \ t. \ k \leq i \implies liftn \ n \ t \ k = liftn \ n \ t \ 0
using liftn-context-conv by auto
lemma dist-perm-eta-equiv:
  assumes perm-vars: perm-vars n vs
      and not-free: \forall i \in frees \ s. \ n \leq i \ \forall i \in frees \ t. \ n \leq i
      and perm-equiv: (Abs^{n}) (var-dist\ vs\ s) \leftrightarrow (Abs^{n}) (var-dist\ vs\ t)
    shows strip\text{-}context \ n \ s \ \theta \leftrightarrow strip\text{-}context \ n \ t \ \theta
proof -
  from perm-vars have vs-lt-n: \forall i \in set \ vs. \ i < n \ using \ perm-vars-lt \ by \ simp
  obtain vs' where
    etas: \bigwedge t. \ \forall i \in frees \ t. \ n \leq i \Longrightarrow
         (Abs \widehat{n}) (var-dist \ vs' ((Abs \widehat{n}) (var-dist \ vs (liftn \ n \ t \ \theta)))) \leftrightarrow strip-context
n t \theta
    using perm-vars dist-perm-eta by blast
  have strip\text{-}context \ n \ s \ 0 \leftrightarrow (Abs \widehat{\ \ } n) \ (var\text{-}dist \ vs' \ ((Abs \widehat{\ \ \ } n) \ (var\text{-}dist \ vs \ (liftn \ n) \ (var\text{-}dist \ vs' \ (n) \ (var\text{-}dist \ vs' \ (n) \ (n) \ (n) \ (n) \ (n) \ (n)
s \theta))))
    using etas[THEN\ term-sym]\ not-free(1).
  also have ... \leftrightarrow (Abs^{\hat{}}n) (var\text{-}dist\ vs'((Abs^{\hat{}}n)\ (var\text{-}dist\ vs\ (liftn\ n\ t\ 0))))
  proof (rule absn-cong, rule var-dist-cong)
    have (Abs \hat{n}) (var-dist\ vs\ (liftn\ n\ s\ 0)) = (Abs \hat{n}) (var-dist\ vs\ (liftn\ n\ s\ n))
       using not-free(1) liftn-liftn\theta[of s n] by simp
    also have ... = (Abs \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) (lift n (var-dist vs s) n)
      using vs-lt-n liftn-var-dist-lt by simp
    also have ... = liftn n ((Abs^{n}) (var-dist vs s)) \theta
      using liftn-absn by simp
    also have ... \leftrightarrow liftn n ((Abs^n) (var-dist vs t)) 0
      using perm-equiv by (rule equiv-liftn)
    also have ... = (Abs^{n}) (lift n (var-dist vs t) n)
      using liftn-absn by simp
    also have ... = (Abs^n) (var-dist vs (liftn n t n))
      using vs-lt-n liftn-var-dist-lt by simp
    also have ... = (Abs \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) (var-dist vs (lift n t \theta))
      using not-free(2) liftn-liftn0[of\ t\ n] by simp
    finally show (Abs \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) (var\text{-}dist\ vs\ (liftn\ n\ s\ \theta)) \leftrightarrow ....
  qed
  also have ... \leftrightarrow strip-context n t \theta
    using etas \ not\text{-}free(2).
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
General notion of bracket abstraction for lambda terms definition
foldr\text{-}option :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ option) \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ option
where foldr-option f xs e = foldr (\lambda a b. Option.bind b (f a)) xs (Some e)
lemma bind-eq-SomeE:
  assumes Option.bind x f = Some y
  obtains x' where x = Some \ x' and f \ x' = Some \ y
```

```
using assms by (auto iff: bind-eq-Some-conv)
lemma foldr-option-Nil[simp]: foldr-option f[] e = Some e
unfolding foldr-option-def by simp
lemma foldr-option-Cons-SomeE:
 assumes foldr-option f(x\#xs) e = Some y
 obtains y' where foldr-option f xs e = Some y' and f x y' = Some y
using assms unfolding foldr-option-def by (auto elim: bind-eq-SomeE)
locale bracket-abstraction =
 fixes term-bracket :: nat \Rightarrow dB \Rightarrow dB option
 assumes bracket-app: term-bracket i s = Some \ s' \Longrightarrow s' \circ \ Var \ i \leftrightarrow s
 assumes bracket-frees: term-bracket i s = Some \ s' \Longrightarrow frees \ s' = frees \ s - \{i\}
begin
definition term-brackets :: nat list \Rightarrow dB \Rightarrow dB option
where term-brackets = foldr-option term-bracket
lemma term-brackets-Nil[simp]: term-brackets [] t = Some t
unfolding term-brackets-def by simp
lemma term-brackets-Cons-SomeE:
 assumes term-brackets (v \# vs) t = Some t'
 obtains s' where term-brackets vs t = Some \ s' and term-bracket v \ s' = Some
using assms unfolding term-brackets-def by (elim foldr-option-Cons-SomeE)
lemma term-brackets-ConsI:
 assumes term-brackets vs t = Some t'
     and term-bracket v\ t' = Some\ t''
   shows term-brackets (v\#vs) t=Some\ t''
using assms unfolding term-brackets-def foldr-option-def by simp
lemma term-brackets-dist:
 assumes term-brackets vs t = Some t'
   shows var-dist vs t' \leftrightarrow t
proof -
  from assms have \forall t''. t' \leftrightarrow t'' \longrightarrow var\text{-}dist \ vs \ t'' \leftrightarrow t
  proof (induction vs arbitrary: t')
   case Nil then show ?case by (simp add: term-sym)
  \mathbf{next}
   case (Cons \ v \ vs)
   from Cons. prems obtain u where
       inner: term-brackets \ vs \ t = Some \ u \ \mathbf{and}
       step: term-bracket \ v \ u = Some \ t'
     by (auto elim: term-brackets-Cons-SomeE)
   from step have red1: t' \circ Var \ v \leftrightarrow u \ by \ (rule \ bracket-app)
   show ?case proof rule+
```

```
with red1 have red: t'' \circ Var v \leftrightarrow u
       using term-sym term-trans by blast
     have var-dist (v \# vs) t'' = var-dist vs (t'' \circ Var v) by simp
     also have ... \leftrightarrow t using Cons.IH[OF inner] red[symmetric] by blast
     finally show var-dist (v \# vs) t'' \leftrightarrow t.
   qed
 qed
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
end
Bracket abstraction for idiomatic terms We consider idiomatic terms
with explicitly assigned variables.
\mathbf{lemma}\ strip\text{-}unlift\text{-}vars:
 assumes opaque x = []
 shows strip-context n (unlift-vars n x) \theta = unlift-vars <math>\theta x
using assms by (induction x) (simp-all add: strip-context-liftn[where m=0, sim-
plified])
lemma unlift-vars-frees: \forall i \in frees (unlift-vars \ n \ x). \ i \in set (opaque \ x) \lor n \le i
by (induction x) (auto simp add: free-liftn)
locale itrm-abstraction = special-idiom extra-rule for extra-rule :: nat itrm \Rightarrow - +
 fixes itrm-bracket :: nat \Rightarrow nat \ itrm \Rightarrow nat \ itrm \ option
 assumes itrm-bracket-ap: itrm-bracket i x = Some \ x' \Longrightarrow x' \diamond Opaque \ i \simeq^+ x
 assumes itrm-bracket-opaque:
   itrm-bracket \ i \ x = Some \ x' \Longrightarrow set \ (opaque \ x') = set \ (opaque \ x) - \{i\}
begin
definition itrm-brackets = foldr-option itrm-bracket
lemma itrm-brackets-Nil[simp]: itrm-brackets [] x = Some x
unfolding itrm-brackets-def by simp
lemma itrm-brackets-Cons-SomeE:
 assumes itrm-brackets (v\#vs) x = Some x'
 obtains y' where itrm-brackets vs x = Some y' and itrm-bracket v y' = Some
using assms unfolding itrm-brackets-def by (elim foldr-option-Cons-SomeE)
definition opaque\text{-}dist = fold\ (\lambda i\ y.\ y \diamond\ Opaque\ i)
lemma opaque-dist-cong: x \simeq^+ y \Longrightarrow opaque-dist vs x \simeq^+ opaque-dist vs y
unfolding opaque-dist-def
by (induction vs arbitrary: x y) (simp-all add: ap-congL)
```

fix $t^{\prime\prime}$ assume $t^{\prime} \leftrightarrow t^{\prime\prime}$

```
lemma itrm-brackets-dist:
 assumes defined: itrm-brackets vs \ x = Some \ x'
   shows opaque-dist vs x' \simeq^+ x
proof -
 define x'' where x'' = x'
 have x' \simeq^+ x'' unfolding x''-def...
 with defined show opaque-dist vs x'' \simeq^+ x
   unfolding opaque-dist-def
   proof (induction vs arbitrary: x' x'')
       case Nil then show ?case unfolding itrm-brackets-def by (simp add:
itrm-sym)
   next
     case (Cons v vs)
     from Cons.prems(1) obtain y'
      where defined': itrm-brackets vs \ x = Some \ y'
        and itrm-bracket v y' = Some x'
      by (rule itrm-brackets-Cons-SomeE)
     then have x' \diamond Opaque \ v \simeq^+ \ y' by (elim \ itrm-bracket-ap)
     then have x'' \diamond Opaque \ v \simeq^+ y'
      using Cons.prems(2) by (blast intro: itrm-sym itrm-trans)
     note this[symmetric]
     with defined have fold (\lambda i \ y. \ y \diamond Opaque \ i) vs (x'' \diamond Opaque \ v) \simeq^+ x
      using Cons.IH by blast
     then show ?case by simp
   qed
qed
lemma itrm-brackets-opaque:
 assumes itrm-brackets vs x = Some x'
   shows set (opaque x') = set (opaque x) - set vs
using assms proof (induction vs arbitrary: x')
 then show ?case unfolding itrm-brackets-def by simp
next
 case (Cons \ v \ vs)
 then show ?case
   by (auto elim: itrm-brackets-Cons-SomeE dest!: itrm-bracket-opaque)
qed
lemma itrm-brackets-all:
 assumes all-opaque: set (opaque x) \subseteq set vs
     and defined: itrm-brackets vs \ x = Some \ x'
   shows opaque x' = []
proof -
 from defined have set (opaque x') = set (opaque x) – set vs
   by (rule itrm-brackets-opaque)
 with all-opaque have set (opaque x') = {} by simp
 then show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ itrm\text{-}brackets\text{-}all\text{-}unlift\text{-}vars:
 assumes all-opaque: set (opaque x) \subseteq set vs
     and defined: itrm-brackets vs x = Some x'
   shows x' \simeq^+ Pure (unlift-vars 0 x')
proof (rule equiv-into-ext-equiv)
 from assms have opaque x' = [] by (rule itrm-brackets-all)
 then show x' \simeq Pure (unlift-vars 0 x') by (rule all-pure-unlift-vars)
qed
end
5.4.7
         Lifting with bracket abstraction
locale\ lifted-bracket = bracket-abstraction + itrm-abstraction +
 assumes bracket-compat:
   set\ (opaque\ x) \subseteq \{0... < n\} \Longrightarrow i < n \Longrightarrow
     term-bracket i (unlift-vars n x) = map-option (unlift-vars n) (itrm-bracket i
x)
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ brackets-unlift-vars-swap:
 assumes all-opaque: set (opaque x) \subseteq \{0..< n\}
     and vs-bound: set vs \subseteq \{0..< n\}
     and defined: itrm-brackets vs x = Some x'
   shows term-brackets vs (unlift-vars n x) = Some (unlift-vars n x')
using vs-bound defined proof (induction vs arbitrary: x')
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons v vs)
 then obtain y'
   where ivs: itrm-brackets vs x = Some y'
     and iv: itrm-bracket v \ y' = Some \ x'
   by (elim itrm-brackets-Cons-SomeE)
 with Cons have term-brackets vs (unlift-vars n x) = Some (unlift-vars n y')
   by auto
 moreover {
   have Some (unlift-vars n x') = map-option (unlift-vars n) (itrm-bracket v y')
     unfolding iv by simp
   moreover have set (opaque y') \subseteq \{0..< n\}
     using all-opaque ivs by (auto dest: itrm-brackets-opaque)
   moreover have v < n using Cons.prems by simp
   ultimately have term-bracket v (unlift-vars n y') = Some (unlift-vars n x')
     using bracket-compat by auto
 ultimately show ?case by (rule term-brackets-ConsI)
qed
```

```
theorem bracket-lifting:
 assumes all-vars: set (opaque x) \cup set (opaque y) \subseteq {0..<n}
     and perm\text{-}vars: perm\text{-}vars \ n \ vs
     and defined: itrm-brackets vs x = Some x' itrm-brackets vs y = Some y'
     and base-eq: (Abs^{n}) (unlift-vars n x) \leftrightarrow (Abs^{n}) (unlift-vars n y)
   shows x \simeq^+ y
proof -
  from perm-vars have set-vs: set vs = \{0..< n\}
   unfolding perm-vars-def by simp
 have x-swap: term-brackets vs (unlift-vars n x) = Some (unlift-vars n x')
   using all-vars set-vs defined(1) by (auto intro: brackets-unlift-vars-swap)
 have y-swap: term-brackets vs (unlift-vars n y) = Some (unlift-vars n y')
   using all-vars set-vs defined(2) by (auto intro: brackets-unlift-vars-swap)
 from all-vars have set (opaque x) \subseteq set vs unfolding set-vs by simp
  then have complete-x: opaque x' = []
   using defined(1) itrm-brackets-all by blast
  then have ux-frees: \forall i \in frees (unlift-vars \ n \ x'). \ n \leq i
   using unlift-vars-frees by fastforce
  from all-vars have set (opaque y) \subseteq set vs unfolding set-vs by simp
  then have complete-y: opaque y' = []
    using defined(2) itrm-brackets-all by blast
  then have uy-frees: \forall i \in frees (unlift-vars \ n \ y'). \ n \leq i
   using unlift-vars-frees by fastforce
 have x \simeq^+ opaque-dist vs x'
   using defined(1) by (rule itrm-brackets-dist[symmetric])
 also have ... \simeq^+ opaque-dist vs (Pure (unlift-vars 0 x'))
   using all-vars set-vs defined (1)
   by (auto intro: opaque-dist-cong itrm-brackets-all-unlift-vars)
  also have ... \simeq^+ opaque-dist vs (Pure (unlift-vars 0 \ y'))
  proof (rule opaque-dist-cong, rule pure-cong)
   have (Abs \widehat{\ \ } n) (var\text{-}dist\ vs\ (unlift\text{-}vars\ n\ x')) \leftrightarrow (Abs \widehat{\ \ \ } n) (unlift\text{-}vars\ n\ x)
     using x-swap term-brackets-dist by auto
   also have ... \leftrightarrow (Abs \widehat{\phantom{a}} n) (unlift-vars n y) using base-eq.
   also have ... \leftrightarrow (Abs^{n}) (var-dist vs (unlift-vars n y'))
     using y-swap term-brackets-dist[THEN term-sym] by auto
   finally have strip\text{-}context\ n\ (unlift\text{-}vars\ n\ x')\ 0 \leftrightarrow strip\text{-}context\ n\ (unlift\text{-}vars\ n\ x')
n y') \theta
     using perm-vars ux-frees uy-frees
     by (intro dist-perm-eta-equiv)
   then show unlift-vars 0 x' \leftrightarrow unlift-vars 0 y'
     using strip-unlift-vars complete-x complete-y by simp
  also have ... \simeq^+ opaque-dist vs y' proof (rule opaque-dist-cong)
   show Pure (unlift-vars 0 \ y') \simeq^+ \ y'
     using all-vars set-vs defined(2) itrm-brackets-all-unlift-vars[THEN itrm-sym]
```

```
by blast qed also have ... \simeq^+ y using defined(2) by (rule\ itrm\ brackets\ dist) finally show ?thesis. qed end
```

References

- [1] J. Gibbons and R. Bird. Be kind, rewind: A modest proposal about traversal. May 2012.
- [2] J. Gibbons and R. Hinze. Just do it: Simple monadic equational reasoning. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP 2011)*, pages 2–14. ACM, 2011.
- [3] R. Hinze. Lifting operators and laws. 2010.
- [4] G. Hutton and D. Fulger. Reasoning about effects: Seeing the wood through the trees. In *Trends in Functional Programming (TFP 2008)*, 2008.
- [5] C. McBride and R. Paterson. Applicative programming with effects. Journal of Functional Programming, 18(01):1–13, 2008.