

Amortized Complexity Verified

Tobias Nipkow

May 26, 2024

Abstract

A framework for the analysis of the amortized complexity of (functional) data structures is formalized in Isabelle/HOL and applied to a number of standard examples and to the following non-trivial ones: skew heaps, splay trees, splay heaps and pairing heaps. This work is described in [4] (except for pairing heaps). An extended version (including pairing heaps) is available online [5].

Contents

1	Amortized Complexity (Unary Operations)	3
1.1	Binary Counter	4
1.2	Dynamic tables: insert only	4
1.3	Stack with multipop	6
1.4	Queue	6
1.5	Dynamic tables: insert and delete	7
2	Amortized Complexity Framework	8
3	Simple Examples	10
3.1	Binary Counter	10
3.2	Stack with multipop	11
3.3	Dynamic tables: insert only	12
3.4	Dynamic tables: insert and delete	14
3.5	Queue	15
4	Skew Heap Analysis	17
5	Splay Tree	21
5.1	Basics	21
5.2	Splay Tree Analysis	22
5.3	Splay Tree Analysis (Optimal)	24
6	Splay Heap	28

7	Pairing Heaps	30
7.1	Binary Tree Representation	30
8	Pairing Heaps	33
8.1	Binary Tree Representation	33
8.2	Okasaki's Pairing Heap	36
8.3	Transfer of Tree Analysis to List Representation	39
8.4	Okasaki's Pairing Heap (Modified)	41

1 Amortized Complexity (Unary Operations)

```
theory Amortized_Framework0
imports Complex_Main
begin
```

This theory provides a simple amortized analysis framework where all operations act on a single data type, i.e. no union-like operations. This is the basis of the ITP 2015 paper by Nipkow. Although it is superseded by the model in *Amortized_Framework* that allows arbitrarily many parameters, it is still of interest because of its simplicity.

```
locale Amortized =
fixes init :: 's
fixes next :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  's
fixes inv :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  bool
fixes T :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes  $\Phi$  :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes U :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  real
assumes inv_init: inv init
assumes inv_next: inv s  $\implies$  inv(next f s)
assumes ppos: inv s  $\implies$   $\Phi s \geq 0$ 
assumes p0:  $\Phi init = 0$ 
assumes U: inv s  $\implies$   $T f s + \Phi(next f s) - \Phi s \leq U f s$ 
begin
```

```
fun state :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'o)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  's where
state f 0 = init |
state f (Suc n) = next (f n) (state f n)
```

```
lemma inv_state: inv(state f n)
<proof>
```

```
definition A :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'o)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  real where
A f i =  $T (f i) (state f i) + \Phi(state f (i+1)) - \Phi(state f i)$ 
```

```
lemma aeq:  $(\sum i < n. T (f i) (state f i)) = (\sum i < n. A f i) - \Phi(state f n)$ 
<proof>
```

```
corollary TA:  $(\sum i < n. T (f i) (state f i)) \leq (\sum i < n. A f i)$ 
<proof>
```

```
lemma aa1:  $A f i \leq U (f i) (state f i)$ 
<proof>
```

lemma *ub*: $(\sum i < n. T (f i) (state f i)) \leq (\sum i < n. U (f i) (state f i))$
<proof>

end

1.1 Binary Counter

locale *BinCounter*

begin

fun *incr* **where**

incr [] = [True] |

incr (False#bs) = True # bs |

incr (True#bs) = False # *incr* bs

fun *T_incr* :: *bool list* \Rightarrow *real* **where**

T_incr [] = 1 |

T_incr (False#bs) = 1 |

T_incr (True#bs) = *T_incr* bs + 1

definition Φ :: *bool list* \Rightarrow *real* **where**

Φ bs = *length*(*filter id* bs)

lemma *A_incr*: $T_incr\ bs + \Phi(incr\ bs) - \Phi\ bs = 2$

<proof>

interpretation *incr*: *Amortized*

where *init* = [] **and** *next* = %_. *incr* **and** *inv* = $\lambda_.$ True

and *T* = $\lambda_.$ *T_incr* **and** $\Phi = \Phi$ **and** *U* = $\lambda_.$ 2

<proof>

thm *incr.ub*

end

1.2 Dynamic tables: insert only

locale *DynTable1*

begin

fun *ins* :: *nat*nat* \Rightarrow *nat*nat* **where**

ins (n,l) = (n+1, if n<l then l else if l=0 then 1 else 2*l)

fun *T_ins* :: *nat*nat* \Rightarrow *real* **where**

$T_ins (n,l) = (if\ n<l\ then\ 1\ else\ n+1)$

fun *invar* :: *nat*nat* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
invar (n,l) = (l/2 \leq n \wedge n \leq l)

fun Φ :: *nat*nat* \Rightarrow *real* **where**
 Φ (n,l) = 2*(*real* n) - l

interpretation *ins*: *Amortized*
where *init* = (0::*nat*,0::*nat*)
and *next* = $\lambda_.$ *ins*
and *inv* = *invar*
and *T* = $\lambda_.$ *T_ins* **and** $\Phi = \Phi$ **and** *U* = $\lambda_.$ 3
<proof>

end

locale *table_insert* = *DynTable1* +
fixes *a* :: *real*
fixes *c* :: *real*
assumes *c1[arith]*: *c* > 1
assumes *ac2*: *a* \geq *c*/(*c* - 1)
begin

lemma *ac*: *a* \geq 1/(*c* - 1)
<proof>

lemma *a0[arith]*: *a* > 0
<proof>

definition *b* = 1/(*c* - 1)

lemma *b0[arith]*: *b* > 0
<proof>

fun *ins* :: *nat * nat* \Rightarrow *nat * nat* **where**
ins(n,l) = (n+1, if n<l then l else if l=0 then 1 else nat(*ceiling*(c*l)))

fun *pins* :: *nat * nat* \Rightarrow *real* **where**
pins(n,l) = *a**n - *b**l

interpretation *ins*: *Amortized*
where *init* = (0,0) **and** *next* = $\%_.$ *ins*
and *inv* = $\lambda(n,l).$ if l=0 then n=0 else n \leq l \wedge (*b*/*a*)*l \leq n

and $T = \lambda_ . T_ins$ **and** $\Phi = pins$ **and** $U = \lambda_ . a + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *ins.ub*

end

1.3 Stack with multipop

datatype $'a\ op_{stk} = Push\ 'a \mid Pop\ nat$

fun $next_stk :: 'a\ op_{stk} \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
 $next_stk\ (Push\ x)\ xs = x \# xs \mid$
 $next_stk\ (Pop\ n)\ xs = drop\ n\ xs$

fun $T_stk :: 'a\ op_{stk} \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $T_stk\ (Push\ x)\ xs = 1 \mid$
 $T_stk\ (Pop\ n)\ xs = min\ n\ (length\ xs)$

interpretation *stack: Amortized*

where $init = []$ **and** $next = next_stk$ **and** $inv = \lambda_ . True$
and $T = T_stk$ **and** $\Phi = length$ **and** $U = \lambda f _ . case\ f\ of\ Push\ _ \Rightarrow 2 \mid$
 $Pop\ _ \Rightarrow 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.4 Queue

See, for example, the book by Okasaki [6].

datatype $'a\ op_q = Enq\ 'a \mid Deq$

type_synonym $'a\ queue = 'a\ list * 'a\ list$

fun $next_q :: 'a\ op_q \Rightarrow 'a\ queue \Rightarrow 'a\ queue$ **where**
 $next_q\ (Enq\ x)\ (xs,ys) = (x\#\ xs,ys) \mid$
 $next_q\ Deq\ (xs,ys) = (if\ ys = []\ then\ ([],\ tl(rev\ xs))\ else\ (xs,tl\ ys))$

fun $T_q :: 'a\ op_q \Rightarrow 'a\ queue \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $T_q\ (Enq\ x)\ (xs,ys) = 1 \mid$
 $T_q\ Deq\ (xs,ys) = (if\ ys = []\ then\ length\ xs\ else\ 0)$

interpretation *queue: Amortized*

where $init = ([],[])$ **and** $next = next_q$ **and** $inv = \lambda_ . True$

and $T = T_q$ **and** $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys)$. *length xs* **and** $U = \lambda f _.$ *case f of Enq*
 $_ \Rightarrow 2 \mid Deq \Rightarrow 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

fun *balance* :: 'a queue \Rightarrow 'a queue **where**
balance(*xs,ys*) = (if *size xs* \leq *size ys* then (*xs,ys*) else ($[], ys @ rev xs$))

fun *next_q2* :: 'a op_q \Rightarrow 'a queue \Rightarrow 'a queue **where**
next_q2 (*Enq a*) (*xs,ys*) = *balance* (*a#xs,ys*) |
next_q2 *Deq* (*xs,ys*) = *balance* (*xs, tl ys*)

fun *T_q2* :: 'a op_q \Rightarrow 'a queue \Rightarrow real **where**
T_q2 (*Enq _*) (*xs,ys*) = 1 + (if *size xs* + 1 \leq *size ys* then 0 else *size xs*
 + 1 + *size ys*) |
T_q2 *Deq* (*xs,ys*) = (if *size xs* \leq *size ys* - 1 then 0 else *size xs* + (*size ys*
 - 1))

interpretation *queue2*: *Amortized*
where *init* = ($[], []$) **and** *next* = *next_q2*
and *inv* = $\lambda(xs,ys)$. *size xs* \leq *size ys*
and $T = T_q2$ **and** $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys)$. $2 * \textit{size xs}$
and $U = \lambda f _.$ *case f of Enq* $_ \Rightarrow 3 \mid Deq \Rightarrow 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

1.5 Dynamic tables: insert and delete

datatype *optb* = *Ins* | *Del*

locale *DynTable2* = *DynTable1*
begin

fun *del* :: *nat*nat* \Rightarrow *nat*nat* **where**
del (*n,l*) = (*n* - 1, if *n=1* then 0 else if $4*(n - 1) < l$ then *l div 2* else *l*)

fun *T_del* :: *nat*nat* \Rightarrow real **where**
T_del (*n,l*) = (if *n=1* then 1 else if $4*(n - 1) < l$ then *n* else 1)

fun *next_tb* :: *optb* \Rightarrow *nat*nat* \Rightarrow *nat*nat* **where**
next_tb *Ins* = *ins* |
next_tb *Del* = *del*

fun *T_tb* :: *optb* \Rightarrow *nat*nat* \Rightarrow real **where**

```

T_tb Ins = T_ins |
T_tb Del = T_del

```

```

fun invar :: nat*nat ⇒ bool where
invar (n,l) = (n ≤ l)

```

```

fun Φ :: nat*nat ⇒ real where
Φ (n,l) = (if n < l/2 then l/2 - n else 2*n - l)

```

```

interpretation tb: Amortized
where init = (0,0) and nxt = nxt_tb
and inv = invar
and T = T_tb and Φ = Φ
and U = λf_. case f of Ins ⇒ 3 | Del ⇒ 2
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

end

```

2 Amortized Complexity Framework

```

theory Amortized_Framework
imports Complex_Main
begin

```

This theory provides a framework for amortized analysis.

```

datatype 'a rose_tree = T 'a 'a rose_tree list

```

```

declare length_Suc_conv [simp]

```

```

locale Amortized =
fixes arity :: 'op ⇒ nat
fixes exec :: 'op ⇒ 's list ⇒ 's
fixes inv :: 's ⇒ bool
fixes cost :: 'op ⇒ 's list ⇒ nat
fixes Φ :: 's ⇒ real
fixes U :: 'op ⇒ 's list ⇒ real
assumes inv_exec: [∀ s ∈ set ss. inv s; length ss = arity f ] ⇒ inv(exec
f ss)
assumes ppos: inv s ⇒ Φ s ≥ 0
assumes U: [∀ s ∈ set ss. inv s; length ss = arity f ]
⇒ cost f ss + Φ(exec f ss) - sum_list (map Φ ss) ≤ U f ss
begin

```


fun $wf :: 'op\ rose_tree \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf\ (T\ f\ ts) = (length\ ts = arity\ f \wedge (\forall t \in set\ ts.\ wf\ t))$

fun $state :: 'op\ rose_tree \Rightarrow 's$ **where**
 $state\ (T\ f\ ts) = exec\ f\ (map\ state\ ts)$

lemma $inv_state: wf\ ot \Longrightarrow inv(state\ ot)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $acost :: 'op \Rightarrow 's\ list \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $acost\ f\ ss = cost\ f\ ss + \Phi\ (exec\ f\ ss) - sum_list\ (map\ \Phi\ ss)$

fun $acost_sum :: 'op\ rose_tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $acost_sum\ (T\ f\ ts) = acost\ f\ (map\ state\ ts) + sum_list\ (map\ acost_sum\ ts)$

fun $cost_sum :: 'op\ rose_tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $cost_sum\ (T\ f\ ts) = cost\ f\ (map\ state\ ts) + sum_list\ (map\ cost_sum\ ts)$

fun $U_sum :: 'op\ rose_tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $U_sum\ (T\ f\ ts) = U\ f\ (map\ state\ ts) + sum_list\ (map\ U_sum\ ts)$

lemma $t_sum_a_sum: wf\ ot \Longrightarrow cost_sum\ ot = acost_sum\ ot - \Phi(state\ ot)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $t_sum_le_a_sum: wf\ ot \Longrightarrow cost_sum\ ot \leq acost_sum\ ot$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a_le_U: [\forall s \in set\ ss.\ inv\ s; length\ ss = arity\ f] \Longrightarrow acost\ f\ ss \leq U\ f\ ss$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a_sum_le_U_sum: wf\ ot \Longrightarrow acost_sum\ ot \leq U_sum\ ot$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $t_sum_le_U_sum: wf\ ot \Longrightarrow cost_sum\ ot \leq U_sum\ ot$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

hide_const T

Amortized2 supports the transfer of amortized analysis of one datatype (*Amortized arity exec inv cost Φ U* on type '*s*') to an implementation (primed identifiers on type '*t*'). Function *hom* is assumed to be a homomorphism from '*t*' to '*s*', not just w.r.t. *exec* but also *cost* and *U*. The assumptions about *inv'* are weaker than the obvious $inv' = inv \circ hom$: the latter does not allow *inv* to be weaker than *inv'* (which we need in one application).

```

locale Amortized2 = Amortized arity exec inv cost  $\Phi$  U
  for arity :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  nat and exec and inv :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  bool and cost  $\Phi$  U +
fixes exec' :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  't list  $\Rightarrow$  't
fixes inv' :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  bool
fixes cost' :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  't list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
fixes U' :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  't list  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes hom :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's
assumes exec':  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ts. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies hom(exec' f ts) = exec f (map hom ts)$ 
assumes inv_exec':  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ss. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ss = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies inv'(exec' f ss)$ 
assumes inv_hom:  $inv' t \implies inv (hom t)$ 
assumes cost':  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ts. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies cost' f ts = cost f (map hom ts)$ 
assumes U':  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ts. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies U' f ts = U f (map hom ts)$ 
begin

sublocale A': Amortized arity exec' inv' cost'  $\Phi$  o hom U'
  <proof>

end

end

```

3 Simple Examples

```

theory Amortized_Examples
imports Amortized_Framework
begin

```

This theory applies the amortized analysis framework to a number of simple classical examples.

3.1 Binary Counter

```

locale Bin_Counter

```

begin

datatype $op = Empty \mid Incr$

fun $arity :: op \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $arity\ Empty = 0 \mid$
 $arity\ Incr = 1$

fun $incr :: bool\ list \Rightarrow bool\ list$ **where**
 $incr\ [] = [True] \mid$
 $incr\ (False\#\ bs) = True\ \#\ bs \mid$
 $incr\ (True\#\ bs) = False\ \#\ incr\ bs$

fun $t_{incr} :: bool\ list \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $t_{incr}\ [] = 1 \mid$
 $t_{incr}\ (False\#\ bs) = 1 \mid$
 $t_{incr}\ (True\#\ bs) = t_{incr}\ bs + 1$

definition $\Phi :: bool\ list \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $\Phi\ bs = length(filter\ id\ bs)$

lemma $a_incr: t_{incr}\ bs + \Phi(incr\ bs) - \Phi\ bs = 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $exec :: op \Rightarrow bool\ list\ list \Rightarrow bool\ list$ **where**
 $exec\ Empty\ [] = [] \mid$
 $exec\ Incr\ [bs] = incr\ bs$

fun $cost :: op \Rightarrow bool\ list\ list \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $cost\ Empty\ _ = 1 \mid$
 $cost\ Incr\ [bs] = t_{incr}\ bs$

interpretation *Amortized*

where $exec = exec$ **and** $arity = arity$ **and** $inv = \lambda_ . True$
and $cost = cost$ **and** $\Phi = \Phi$ **and** $U = \lambda f\ _ . case\ f\ of\ Empty \Rightarrow 1 \mid Incr$
 $\Rightarrow 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

3.2 Stack with multipop

locale *Multipop*
begin

datatype 'a op = Empty | Push 'a | Pop nat

fun arity :: 'a op \Rightarrow nat **where**
arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Push _) = 1 |
arity (Pop _) = 1

fun exec :: 'a op \Rightarrow 'a list list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
exec Empty [] = [] |
exec (Push x) [xs] = x # xs |
exec (Pop n) [xs] = drop n xs

fun cost :: 'a op \Rightarrow 'a list list \Rightarrow nat **where**
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost (Push x) _ = 1 |
cost (Pop n) [xs] = min n (length xs)

interpretation Amortized

where arity = arity **and** exec = exec **and** inv = λ _. True

and cost = cost **and** Φ = length

and U = λ f_. case f of Empty \Rightarrow 1 | Push _ \Rightarrow 2 | Pop _ \Rightarrow 0
<proof>

end

3.3 Dynamic tables: insert only

locale Dyn_Tab1

begin

type_synonym tab = nat \times nat

datatype op = Empty | Ins

fun arity :: op \Rightarrow nat **where**
arity Empty = 0 |
arity Ins = 1

fun exec :: op \Rightarrow tab list \Rightarrow tab **where**
exec Empty [] = (0::nat,0::nat) |
exec Ins [(n,l)] = (n+1, if n<l then l else if l=0 then 1 else 2*l)

```

fun cost :: op ⇒ tab list ⇒ nat where
  cost Empty _ = 1 |
  cost Ins [(n,l)] = (if n<l then 1 else n+1)

interpretation Amortized
where exec = exec and arity = arity
and inv = λ(n,l). if l=0 then n=0 else n ≤ l ∧ l < 2*n
and cost = cost and Φ = λ(n,l). 2*n - l
and U = λf_. case f of Empty ⇒ 1 | Ins ⇒ 3
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale Dyn_Tab2 =
fixes a :: real
fixes c :: real
assumes c1[arith]: c > 1
assumes ac2: a ≥ c/(c - 1)
begin

lemma ac: a ≥ 1/(c - 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma a0[arith]: a > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

definition b = 1/(c - 1)

lemma b0[arith]: b > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

type_synonym tab = nat × nat

datatype op = Empty | Ins

fun arity :: op ⇒ nat where
  arity Empty = 0 |
  arity Ins = 1

fun ins :: tab ⇒ tab where
  ins(n,l) = (n+1, if n<l then l else if l=0 then 1 else nat(ceiling(c*l)))

fun exec :: op ⇒ tab list ⇒ tab where
  exec Empty [] = (0::nat,0::nat) |

```

exec *Ins* [*s*] = *ins s* |
exec *_ _* = (0,0)

fun *cost* :: *op* ⇒ *tab list* ⇒ *nat* **where**
cost *Empty _* = 1 |
cost *Ins [(n,l)]* = (if *n*<*l* then 1 else *n*+1)

fun Φ :: *tab* ⇒ *real* **where**
 $\Phi(n,l)$ = *a***n* - *b***l*

interpretation *Amortized*

where *exec* = *exec* **and** *arity* = *arity*

and *inv* = $\lambda(n,l)$. if *l*=0 then *n*=0 else $n \leq l \wedge (b/a)*l \leq n$

and *cost* = *cost* **and** Φ = Φ **and** *U* = λf *_*. case *f* of *Empty* ⇒ 1 | *Ins* ⇒

a + 1

⟨*proof*⟩

end

3.4 Dynamic tables: insert and delete

locale *Dyn_Tab3*

begin

type_synonym *tab* = *nat* × *nat*

datatype *op* = *Empty* | *Ins* | *Del*

fun *arity* :: *op* ⇒ *nat* **where**

arity *Empty* = 0 |

arity *Ins* = 1 |

arity *Del* = 1

fun *exec* :: *op* ⇒ *tab list* ⇒ *tab* **where**

exec *Empty* [] = (0::*nat*,0::*nat*) |

exec *Ins [(n,l)]* = (*n*+1, if *n*<*l* then *l* else if *l*=0 then 1 else 2**l*) |

exec *Del [(n,l)]* = (*n*-1, if *n*≤1 then 0 else if 4*(*n* - 1)<*l* then *l* div 2 else *l*)

fun *cost* :: *op* ⇒ *tab list* ⇒ *nat* **where**

cost *Empty _* = 1 |

cost *Ins [(n,l)]* = (if *n*<*l* then 1 else *n*+1) |

cost *Del [(n,l)]* = (if *n*≤1 then 1 else if 4*(*n* - 1)<*l* then *n* else 1)

```

interpretation Amortized
where arity = arity and exec = exec
and inv =  $\lambda(n,l)$ . if  $l=0$  then  $n=0$  else  $n \leq l \wedge l \leq 4*n$ 
and cost = cost and  $\Phi = (\lambda(n,l)$ . if  $2*n < l$  then  $l/2 - n$  else  $2*n - l$ )
and U =  $\lambda f \_.$  case f of Empty  $\Rightarrow 1$  | Ins  $\Rightarrow 3$  | Del  $\Rightarrow 2$ 
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

```

3.5 Queue

See, for example, the book by Okasaki [6].

```

locale Queue
begin

```

```

datatype 'a op = Empty | Enq 'a | Deq

```

```

type_synonym 'a queue = 'a list * 'a list

```

```

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Enq _) = 1 |
arity Deq = 1

```

```

fun exec :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
exec Empty [] = ([], []) |
exec (Enq x) [(xs, ys)] = (x # xs, ys) |
exec Deq [(xs, ys)] = (if ys = [] then ([], tl(rev xs)) else (xs, tl ys))

```

```

fun cost :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
cost Empty _ = 0 |
cost (Enq x) [(xs, ys)] = 1 |
cost Deq [(xs, ys)] = (if ys = [] then length xs else 0)

```

```

interpretation Amortized
where arity = arity and exec = exec and inv =  $\lambda \_.$  True
and cost = cost and  $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys)$ . length xs
and U =  $\lambda f \_.$  case f of Empty  $\Rightarrow 0$  | Enq _  $\Rightarrow 2$  | Deq  $\Rightarrow 0$ 
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

end

```

```

locale Queue2
begin

```

```

datatype 'a op = Empty | Enq 'a | Deq

type_synonym 'a queue = 'a list * 'a list

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  arity Empty = 0 |
  arity (Enq _) = 1 |
  arity Deq = 1

fun adjust :: 'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  adjust(xs,ys) = (if ys = [] then ([], rev xs) else (xs,ys))

fun exec :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  exec Empty [] = ([],[]) |
  exec (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = adjust(x#xs,ys) |
  exec Deq [(xs,ys)] = adjust (xs, tl ys)

fun cost :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  cost Empty _ = 0 |
  cost (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = 1 + (if ys = [] then size xs + 1 else 0) |
  cost Deq [(xs,ys)] = (if tl ys = [] then size xs else 0)

interpretation Amortized
where arity = arity and exec = exec
and inv =  $\lambda$ _. True
and cost = cost and  $\Phi$  =  $\lambda$ (xs,ys). size xs
and U =  $\lambda$ f_. case f of Empty  $\Rightarrow$  0 | Enq _  $\Rightarrow$  2 | Deq  $\Rightarrow$  0
  <proof>

end

locale Queue3
begin

datatype 'a op = Empty | Enq 'a | Deq

type_synonym 'a queue = 'a list * 'a list

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  arity Empty = 0 |
  arity (Enq _) = 1 |
  arity Deq = 1

```



```
fun balance :: 'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
balance(xs,ys) = (if size xs  $\leq$  size ys then (xs,ys) else ([], ys @ rev xs))
```

```
fun exec :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
exec Empty [] = ([],[]) |
exec (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = balance(x#xs,ys) |
exec Deq [(xs,ys)] = balance (xs, tl ys)
```

```
fun cost :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
cost Empty _ = 0 |
cost (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = 1 + (if size xs + 1  $\leq$  size ys then 0 else size xs +
1 + size ys) |
cost Deq [(xs,ys)] = (if size xs  $\leq$  size ys - 1 then 0 else size xs + (size ys
- 1))
```

interpretation Amortized

```
where arity = arity and exec = exec
and inv =  $\lambda(xs,ys). \text{size } xs \leq \text{size } ys$ 
and cost = cost and  $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys). 2 * \text{size } xs$ 
and U =  $\lambda f \_.$  case f of Empty  $\Rightarrow$  0 | Enq _  $\Rightarrow$  3 | Deq  $\Rightarrow$  0
<proof>
```

end

end

```
theory Priority_Queue_ops_merge
imports Main
begin
```

```
datatype 'a op = Empty | Insert 'a | Del_min | Merge
```

```
fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Insert _) = 1 |
arity Del_min = 1 |
arity Merge = 2
```

end

4 Skew Heap Analysis

```
theory Skew_Heap_Analysis
imports
```

Complex_Main
Skew_Heap.Skew_Heap
Amortized_Framework
HOL-Data_Structures.Define_Time_Function
Priority_Queue_ops_merge

begin

The following proof is a simplified version of the one by Kaldewaij and Schoenmakers [3].

right-heavy:

definition $rh :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $rh\ l\ r = (if\ size\ l < size\ r\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$

Function Γ in [3]: number of right-heavy nodes on left spine.

fun $lrh :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $lrh\ Leaf = 0$ |
 $lrh\ (Node\ l_r) = rh\ l\ r + lrh\ l$

Function Δ in [3]: number of not-right-heavy nodes on right spine.

fun $rlh :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $rlh\ Leaf = 0$ |
 $rlh\ (Node\ l_r) = (1 - rh\ l\ r) + rlh\ r$

lemma $Gexp: 2^{\wedge} lrh\ t \leq size\ t + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $Glog: lrh\ t \leq log\ 2\ (size1\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Dexp: 2^{\wedge} rlh\ t \leq size\ t + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $Dlog: rlh\ t \leq log\ 2\ (size1\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

time_fun $merge$

fun $\Phi :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow int$ **where**
 $\Phi\ Leaf = 0$ |
 $\Phi\ (Node\ l_r) = \Phi\ l + \Phi\ r + rh\ l\ r$

lemma $\Phi_nneg: \Phi\ t \geq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *plus_log_le_2log_plus*: $\llbracket x > 0; y > 0; b > 1 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \log b x + \log b y \leq 2 * \log b (x + y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rh1*: $rh\ l\ r \leq 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *amor_le_long*:
 $T_merge\ t1\ t2 + \Phi (merge\ t1\ t2) - \Phi\ t1 - \Phi\ t2 \leq$
 $lrh(merge\ t1\ t2) + rlh\ t1 + rlh\ t2 + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *amor_le*:
 $T_merge\ t1\ t2 + \Phi (merge\ t1\ t2) - \Phi\ t1 - \Phi\ t2 \leq$
 $lrh(merge\ t1\ t2) + rlh\ t1 + rlh\ t2 + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a_merge*:
 $T_merge\ t1\ t2 + \Phi(merge\ t1\ t2) - \Phi\ t1 - \Phi\ t2 \leq$
 $3 * \log 2 (size1\ t1 + size1\ t2) + 1$ (**is** $?l \leq _$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Command *time_fun* does not work for *skew_heap.insert* and *skew_heap.del_min* because they are the result of a locale and not what they seem. However, their manual definition is trivial:

definition *T_insert* :: $'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow int$ **where**
 $T_insert\ a\ t = T_merge\ (Node\ Leaf\ a\ Leaf)\ t$

lemma *a_insert*: $T_insert\ a\ t + \Phi(skew_heap.insert\ a\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 3 * \log$
 $2 (size1\ t + 2) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *T_del_min* :: $('a::linorder)\ tree \Rightarrow int$ **where**
 $T_del_min\ t = (case\ t\ of\ Leaf \Rightarrow 0 \mid Node\ t1\ a\ t2 \Rightarrow T_merge\ t1\ t2)$

lemma *a_del_min*: $T_del_min\ t + \Phi(skew_heap.del_min\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 3$
 $* \log 2 (size1\ t + 2) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.0.1 Instantiation of Amortized Framework

lemma *T_merge_nneg*: $T_merge\ t1\ t2 \geq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

fun exec :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ 'a tree where
exec Empty [] = Leaf |
exec (Insert a) [t] = skew_heap.insert a t |
exec Del_min [t] = skew_heap.del_min t |
exec Merge [t1,t2] = merge t1 t2

```

```

fun cost :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ nat where
cost Empty [] = 1 |
cost (Insert a) [t] = T_merge (Node Leaf a Leaf) t + 1 |
cost Del_min [t] = (case t of Leaf ⇒ 1 | Node t1 a t2 ⇒ T_merge t1 t2
+ 1) |
cost Merge [t1,t2] = T_merge t1 t2

```

```

fun U where
U Empty [] = 1 |
U (Insert _) [t] = 3 * log 2 (size1 t + 2) + 2 |
U Del_min [t] = 3 * log 2 (size1 t + 2) + 2 |
U Merge [t1,t2] = 3 * log 2 (size1 t1 + size1 t2) + 1

```

interpretation *Amortized*

```

where arity = arity and exec = exec and inv = λ_. True
and cost = cost and Φ = Φ and U = U
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

theory Lemmas_log
imports Complex_Main
begin

```

lemma *ld_sum_inequality*:

```

assumes x > 0 y > 0
shows log 2 x + log 2 y + 2 ≤ 2 * log 2 (x + y)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *ld_ld_1_less*:

```

[[x > 0; y > 0]] ⇒ 1 + log 2 x + log 2 y < 2 * log 2 (x+y)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *ld_le_2ld*:

```

assumes x ≥ 0 y ≥ 0 shows log 2 (1+x+y) ≤ 1 + log 2 (1+x) + log
2 (1+y)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ld_ld_less2: assumes  $x \geq 2 \ y \geq 2$ 
  shows  $1 + \log 2 \ x + \log 2 \ y \leq 2 * \log 2 \ (x + y - 1)$ 
  <proof>

end

```

5 Splay Tree

5.1 Basics

```

theory Splay_Tree_Analysis_Base
imports
  Lemmas_log
  Splay_Tree.Splay_Tree
  HOL-Data_Structures.Define_Time_Function
begin

declare size1_size[simp]

abbreviation  $\varphi \ t == \log 2 \ (size1 \ t)$ 

fun  $\Phi :: 'a \ tree \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
   $\Phi \ Leaf = 0 \ |$ 
   $\Phi \ (Node \ l \ a \ r) = \varphi \ (Node \ l \ a \ r) + \Phi \ l + \Phi \ r$ 

time_fun cmp
time_fun splay equations splay.simps(1) splay_code

lemma T_splay_simps[simp]:
   $T\_splay \ a \ (Node \ l \ a \ r) = 1$ 
   $x < b \Longrightarrow T\_splay \ x \ (Node \ Leaf \ b \ CD) = 1$ 
   $a < b \Longrightarrow T\_splay \ a \ (Node \ (Node \ A \ a \ B) \ b \ CD) = 1$ 
   $x < a \Longrightarrow x < b \Longrightarrow T\_splay \ x \ (Node \ (Node \ A \ a \ B) \ b \ CD) =$ 
     $(if \ A = Leaf \ then \ 1 \ else \ T\_splay \ x \ A + 1)$ 
   $x < b \Longrightarrow a < x \Longrightarrow T\_splay \ x \ (Node \ (Node \ A \ a \ B) \ b \ CD) =$ 
     $(if \ B = Leaf \ then \ 1 \ else \ T\_splay \ x \ B + 1)$ 
   $b < x \Longrightarrow T\_splay \ x \ (Node \ AB \ b \ Leaf) = 1$ 
   $b < a \Longrightarrow T\_splay \ a \ (Node \ AB \ b \ (Node \ C \ a \ D)) = 1$ 
   $b < x \Longrightarrow x < c \Longrightarrow T\_splay \ x \ (Node \ AB \ b \ (Node \ C \ c \ D)) =$ 
     $(if \ C = Leaf \ then \ 1 \ else \ T\_splay \ x \ C + 1)$ 
   $b < x \Longrightarrow c < x \Longrightarrow T\_splay \ x \ (Node \ AB \ b \ (Node \ C \ c \ D)) =$ 
     $(if \ D = Leaf \ then \ 1 \ else \ T\_splay \ x \ D + 1)$ 
  <proof>

```

```

declare T_splay.simps(2)[simp del]

time_fun insert

lemma T_insert_simp: T_insert x t = (if t = Leaf then 0 else T_splay x t)
⟨proof⟩

time_fun splay_max

time_fun delete

lemma ex_in_set_tree: t ≠ Leaf ⇒ bst t ⇒
  ∃ x' ∈ set_tree t. splay x' t = splay x t ∧ T_splay x' t = T_splay x t
⟨proof⟩

datatype 'a op = Empty | Splay 'a | Insert 'a | Delete 'a

fun arity :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Splay x) = 1 |
arity (Insert x) = 1 |
arity (Delete x) = 1

fun exec :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ 'a tree where
exec Empty [] = Leaf |
exec (Splay x) [t] = splay x t |
exec (Insert x) [t] = Splay_Tree.insert x t |
exec (Delete x) [t] = Splay_Tree.delete x t

fun cost :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ nat where
cost Empty [] = 1 |
cost (Splay x) [t] = T_splay x t |
cost (Insert x) [t] = T_insert x t |
cost (Delete x) [t] = T_delete x t

end

```

5.2 Splay Tree Analysis

```

theory Splay_Tree_Analysis
imports
  Splay_Tree_Analysis_Base

```

begin

5.2.1 Analysis of splay

definition $A_splay :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $A_splay\ a\ t = T_splay\ a\ t + \Phi(splay\ a\ t) - \Phi\ t$

The following lemma is an attempt to prove a generic lemma that covers both zig-zig cases. However, the lemma is not as nice as one would like. Hence it is used only once, as a demo. Ideally the lemma would involve function A_splay , but that is impossible because this involves $splay$ and thus depends on the ordering. We would need a truly symmetric version of $splay$ that takes the ordering as an explicit argument. Then we could define all the symmetric cases by one final equation $splay2\ (<) t = splay2\ (\lambda x\ y. \neg x < y)$ (*mirror* t). This would simplify the code and the proofs.

lemma zig_zig : **fixes** $lx\ x\ rx\ lb\ b\ rb\ a\ ra\ u\ lb1\ lb2$
defines $[simp]: X == Node\ lx\ (x)\ rx$ **defines** $[simp]: B == Node\ lb\ b\ rb$
defines $[simp]: t == Node\ B\ a\ ra$ **defines** $[simp]: A' == Node\ rb\ a\ ra$
defines $[simp]: t' == Node\ lb1\ u\ (Node\ lb2\ b\ A')$
assumes $hypos: lb \neq \langle \rangle$ **and** $IH: T_splay\ x\ lb + \Phi\ lb1 + \Phi\ lb2 - \Phi\ lb \leq 2 * \varphi\ lb - 3 * \varphi\ X + 1$ **and**
 $prems: size\ lb = size\ lb1 + size\ lb2 + 1\ X \in subtrees\ lb$
shows $T_splay\ x\ lb + \Phi\ t' - \Phi\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - \varphi\ X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma A_splay_ub : $\llbracket bst\ t; Node\ l\ x\ r : subtrees\ t \rrbracket$
 $\implies A_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - \varphi(Node\ l\ x\ r)) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma A_splay_ub2 : **assumes** $bst\ t\ x : set_tree\ t$
shows $A_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - 1) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma A_splay_ub3 : **assumes** $bst\ t$ **shows** $A_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * \varphi\ t + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.2 Analysis of insert

lemma $amor_insert$: **assumes** $bst\ t$
shows $T_insert\ x\ t + \Phi(Splay_Tree.insert\ x\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 4 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t) + 2$ (**is** $?l \leq ?r$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.3 Analysis of delete

definition $A_splay_max :: 'a::linorder\ tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $A_splay_max\ t = T_splay_max\ t + \Phi(splay_max\ t) - \Phi\ t$

lemma $A_splay_max_ub: t \neq Leaf \implies A_splay_max\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - 1) + 1$
<proof>

lemma $A_splay_max_ub3: A_splay_max\ t \leq 3 * \varphi\ t + 1$
<proof>

lemma $amor_delete: assumes\ bst\ t$
shows $T_delete\ a\ t + \Phi(Splay_Tree.delete\ a\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 6 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t) + 2$
<proof>

5.2.4 Overall analysis

fun U **where**
 $U\ Empty\ [] = 1$ |
 $U\ (Splay\ _)\ [t] = 3 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t) + 1$ |
 $U\ (Insert\ _)\ [t] = 4 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t) + 3$ |
 $U\ (Delete\ _)\ [t] = 6 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t) + 3$

interpretation $Amortized$
where $arity = arity$ **and** $exec = exec$ **and** $inv = bst$
and $cost = cost$ **and** $\Phi = \Phi$ **and** $U = U$
<proof>

end

5.3 Splay Tree Analysis (Optimal)

theory $Splay_Tree_Analysis_Optimal$
imports
 $Splay_Tree_Analysis_Base$
 $Amortized_Framework$
 $HOL-Library.Sum_of_Squares$
begin

This analysis follows Schoenmakers [7].

5.3.1 Analysis of splay

locale $Splay_Analysis =$

fixes $\alpha :: \text{real}$ **and** $\beta :: \text{real}$
assumes $a1[\text{arith}]$: $\alpha > 1$
assumes $A1$: $\llbracket 1 \leq x; 1 \leq y; 1 \leq z \rrbracket \implies$
 $(x+y) * (y+z) \text{ powr } \beta \leq (x+y) \text{ powr } \beta * (x+y+z)$
assumes $A2$: $\llbracket 1 \leq l'; 1 \leq r'; 1 \leq lr; 1 \leq r \rrbracket \implies$
 $\alpha * (l'+r') * (lr+r) \text{ powr } \beta * (lr+r'+r) \text{ powr } \beta$
 $\leq (l'+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+lr+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+lr+r'+r)$
assumes $A3$: $\llbracket 1 \leq l'; 1 \leq r'; 1 \leq ll; 1 \leq r \rrbracket \implies$
 $\alpha * (l'+r') * (l'+ll) \text{ powr } \beta * (r'+r) \text{ powr } \beta$
 $\leq (l'+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+ll+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+ll+r'+r)$
begin

lemma $nl2$: $\llbracket ll \geq 1; lr \geq 1; r \geq 1 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\log \alpha (ll + lr) + \beta * \log \alpha (lr + r)$
 $\leq \beta * \log \alpha (ll + lr) + \log \alpha (ll + lr + r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\varphi :: 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $\varphi \ t1 \ t2 = \beta * \log \alpha (\text{size1 } t1 + \text{size1 } t2)$

fun $\Phi :: 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $\Phi \ \text{Leaf} = 0 \mid$
 $\Phi \ (\text{Node } l _ r) = \Phi \ l + \Phi \ r + \varphi \ l \ r$

definition $A :: 'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $A \ a \ t = T_splay \ a \ t + \Phi(\text{splay } a \ t) - \Phi \ t$

lemma $A_simps[\text{simp}]$: $A \ a \ (\text{Node } l \ a \ r) = 1$
 $a < b \implies A \ a \ (\text{Node } (\text{Node } ll \ a \ lr) \ b \ r) = \varphi \ lr \ r - \varphi \ lr \ ll + 1$
 $b < a \implies A \ a \ (\text{Node } l \ b \ (\text{Node } rl \ a \ rr)) = \varphi \ rl \ l - \varphi \ rr \ rl + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma A_ub : $\llbracket \text{bst } t; \text{Node } la \ a \ ra : \text{subtrees } t \rrbracket$
 $\implies A \ a \ t \leq \log \alpha ((\text{size1 } t)/(\text{size1 } la + \text{size1 } ra)) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma A_ub2 : **assumes** $\text{bst } t \ a : \text{set_tree } t$
shows $A \ a \ t \leq \log \alpha ((\text{size1 } t)/2) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma A_ub3 : **assumes** $\text{bst } t$ **shows** $A \ a \ t \leq \log \alpha (\text{size1 } t) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $Am :: 'a::linorder\ tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $Am\ t = T_splay_max\ t + \Phi(splay_max\ t) - \Phi\ t$

lemma Am_simp3' : $\llbracket c < b; bst\ rr; rr \neq Leaf \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $Am\ (Node\ l\ c\ (Node\ rl\ b\ rr)) =$
 $(case\ splay_max\ rr\ of\ Node\ rrl_ rrr \Rightarrow$
 $Am\ rr + \varphi\ rrl\ (Node\ l\ c\ rl) + \varphi\ l\ rl - \varphi\ rl\ rr - \varphi\ rrl\ rrr + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Am_ub : $\llbracket bst\ t; t \neq Leaf \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Am\ t \leq \log\ \alpha\ ((size1\ t)/2) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Am_ub3 : **assumes** $bst\ t$ **shows** $Am\ t \leq \log\ \alpha\ (size1\ t) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

5.3.2 Optimal Interpretation

lemma $mult_root_eq_root$:
 $n > 0 \Longrightarrow y \geq 0 \Longrightarrow root\ n\ x * y = root\ n\ (x * (y \wedge n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mult_root_eq_root2$:
 $n > 0 \Longrightarrow y \geq 0 \Longrightarrow y * root\ n\ x = root\ n\ ((y \wedge n) * x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $powr_inverse_numeral$:
 $0 < x \Longrightarrow x\ powr\ (1 / numeral\ n) = root\ (numeral\ n)\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $root_simps = mult_root_eq_root\ mult_root_eq_root2\ powr_inverse_numeral$

lemma $nl31$: $\llbracket (l'::real) \geq 1; r' \geq 1; lr \geq 1; r \geq 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $4 * (l' + r') * (lr + r) \leq (l' + lr + r' + r) \wedge 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nl32$: **assumes** $(l'::real) \geq 1\ r' \geq 1\ lr \geq 1\ r \geq 1$
shows $4 * (l' + r') * (lr + r) * (lr + r' + r) \leq (l' + lr + r' + r) \wedge 3$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nl3: assumes $(l'::real) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ lr \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$
shows $4 * (l' + r')^2 * (lr + r) * (lr + r' + r)$
 $\leq (l' + lr + r') * (l' + lr + r' + r)^3$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nl41: assumes $(l'::real) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ ll \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$
shows $4 * (l' + ll) * (r' + r) \leq (l' + ll + r' + r)^2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nl42: assumes $(l'::real) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ ll \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$
shows $4 * (l' + r') * (l' + ll) * (r' + r) \leq (l' + ll + r' + r)^3$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nl4: assumes $(l'::real) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ ll \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$
shows $4 * (l' + r')^2 * (l' + ll) * (r' + r)$
 $\leq (l' + ll + r') * (l' + ll + r' + r)^3$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cancel: $x > (0::real) \implies c * x^2 * y * z \leq u * v \implies c * x^3 * y * z \leq x * u * v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation S34: *Splay_Analysis root 3 4 1/3*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma log4_log2: $\log_4 x = \log_2 x / 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare $\log_base_root[simp]$

lemma A34_ub: assumes $bst \ t$
shows $S34.A \ a \ t \leq (3/2) * \log_2 (size1 \ t) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Am34_ub: assumes $bst \ t$
shows $S34.Am \ t \leq (3/2) * \log_2 (size1 \ t) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3.3 Overall analysis

fun U **where**
 $U \ Empty \ [] = 1 \ |$

```

U (Splay _) [t] = (3/2) * log 2 (size1 t) + 1 |
U (Insert _) [t] = 2 * log 2 (size1 t) + 3/2 |
U (Delete _) [t] = 3 * log 2 (size1 t) + 2

```

interpretation *Amortized*

where *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *inv* = *bst*
and *cost* = *cost* **and** $\Phi = S34.\Phi$ **and** *U* = *U*
<proof>

end

theory *Priority_Queue_ops*

imports *Main*

begin

datatype 'a op = *Empty* | *Insert* 'a | *Del_min*

fun *arity* :: 'a op \Rightarrow nat **where**

```

arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Insert _) = 1 |
arity Del_min = 1

```

end

6 Splay Heap

theory *Splay_Heap_Analysis*

imports

Splay_Tree.Splay_Heap

Amortized_Framework

Priority_Queue_ops

Lemmas_log

begin

Timing functions must be kept in sync with the corresponding functions on splay heaps.

fun *T_part* :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a tree \Rightarrow nat **where**

```

T_part p Leaf = 1 |
T_part p (Node l a r) =
  (if a  $\leq$  p then
    case r of
      Leaf  $\Rightarrow$  1 |
      Node rl b rr  $\Rightarrow$  if b  $\leq$  p then T_part p rr + 1 else T_part p rl + 1
    else case l of
      Leaf  $\Rightarrow$  1 |

```

$Node\ ll\ b\ lr \Rightarrow \text{if } b \leq p \text{ then } T_part\ p\ lr + 1 \text{ else } T_part\ p\ ll + 1)$

definition $T_in :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$T_in\ x\ h = T_part\ x\ h$

fun $T_dm :: 'a::linorder\ tree \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$T_dm\ Leaf = 1$ |

$T_dm\ (Node\ Leaf_ r) = 1$ |

$T_dm\ (Node\ (Node\ ll\ a\ lr)\ b\ r) = (\text{if } ll=Leaf \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } T_dm\ ll + 1)$

abbreviation $\varphi\ t == \log\ 2\ (size1\ t)$

fun $\Phi :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**

$\Phi\ Leaf = 0$ |

$\Phi\ (Node\ l\ a\ r) = \Phi\ l + \Phi\ r + \varphi\ (Node\ l\ a\ r)$

lemma $amor_del_min$: $T_dm\ t + \Phi\ (del_min\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 2 * \varphi\ t + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma zig_zig :

fixes $s\ u\ r\ r1'\ r2'\ T\ a\ b$

defines $t == Node\ s\ a\ (Node\ u\ b\ r)$ **and** $t' == Node\ (Node\ s\ a\ u)\ b\ r1'$

assumes $size\ r1' \leq size\ r$

$T_part\ p\ r + \Phi\ r1' + \Phi\ r2' - \Phi\ r \leq 2 * \varphi\ r + 1$

shows $T_part\ p\ r + 1 + \Phi\ t' + \Phi\ r2' - \Phi\ t \leq 2 * \varphi\ t + 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma zig_zag :

fixes $s\ u\ r\ r1'\ r2'\ a\ b$

defines $t \equiv Node\ s\ a\ (Node\ r\ b\ u)$ **and** $t1' == Node\ s\ a\ r1'$ **and** $t2' \equiv Node\ u\ b\ r2'$

assumes $size\ r = size\ r1' + size\ r2'$

$T_part\ p\ r + \Phi\ r1' + \Phi\ r2' - \Phi\ r \leq 2 * \varphi\ r + 1$

shows $T_part\ p\ r + 1 + \Phi\ t1' + \Phi\ t2' - \Phi\ t \leq 2 * \varphi\ t + 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $amor_partition$: $bst_wrt\ (\leq)\ t \Longrightarrow partition\ p\ t = (l',r')$

$\Longrightarrow T_part\ p\ t + \Phi\ l' + \Phi\ r' - \Phi\ t \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t) + 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

fun $exec :: 'a::linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ tree\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ tree$ **where**

$exec\ Empty\ [] = Leaf$ |

$exec\ (Insert\ a)\ [t] = insert\ a\ t$ |

$exec\ Del_min\ [t] = del_min\ t$

```

fun cost :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ nat where
  cost Empty [] = 1 |
  cost (Insert a) [t] = T_in a t |
  cost Del_min [t] = T_dm t

```

```

fun U where
  U Empty [] = 1 |
  U (Insert _) [t] = 3 * log 2 (size1 t + 1) + 1 |
  U Del_min [t] = 2 * φ t + 1

```

interpretation *Amortized*

```

where arity = arity and exec = exec and inv = bst_wrt (≤)
and cost = cost and Φ = Φ and U = U
⟨proof⟩

```

end

7 Pairing Heaps

7.1 Binary Tree Representation

```

theory Pairing_Heap_Tree_Analysis
imports

```

```

  Pairing_Heap.Pairing_Heap_Tree
  Amortized_Framework
  Priority_Queue_ops_merge
  Lemmas_log

```

```

begin

```

Verification of logarithmic bounds on the amortized complexity of pairing heaps [2, 1].

```

fun len :: 'a tree ⇒ nat where
  len Leaf = 0
| len (Node _ _ r) = 1 + len r

```

```

fun Φ :: 'a tree ⇒ real where
  Φ Leaf = 0
| Φ (Node l x r) = log 2 (size (Node l x r)) + Φ l + Φ r

```

```

lemma link_size[simp]: size (link hp) = size hp
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma size_pass1: size (pass1 hp) = size hp

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size_pass2*: $size (pass_2 hp) = size hp$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size_merge*:

$is_root h1 \implies is_root h2 \implies size (merge h1 h2) = size h1 + size h2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_insert$: $is_root hp \implies \Phi (insert x hp) - \Phi hp \leq \log 2 (size hp + 1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_merge$:

assumes $h1 = Node hs1 x1 Leaf h2 = Node hs2 x2 Leaf$

shows $\Phi (merge h1 h2) - \Phi h1 - \Phi h2 \leq \log 2 (size h1 + size h2) + 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

fun *ub_pass1* :: 'a tree \Rightarrow real **where**

$ub_pass1 (Node _ _ Leaf) = 0$

$| ub_pass1 (Node hs1 _ (Node hs2 _ Leaf)) = 2 * \log 2 (size hs1 + size hs2 + 2)$

$| ub_pass1 (Node hs1 _ (Node hs2 _ hs)) = 2 * \log 2 (size hs1 + size hs2 + size hs + 2)$

$- 2 * \log 2 (size hs) - 2 + ub_pass1 hs$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_pass1_ub_pass1$: $hs \neq Leaf \implies \Phi (pass_1 hs) - \Phi hs \leq ub_pass1 hs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_pass1$: **assumes** $hs \neq Leaf$

shows $\Phi (pass_1 hs) - \Phi hs \leq 2 * \log 2 (size hs) - len hs + 2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_pass2$: $hs \neq Leaf \implies \Phi (pass_2 hs) - \Phi hs \leq \log 2 (size hs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_del_min$: **assumes** $hs \neq Leaf$

shows $\Phi (del_min (Node hs x Leaf)) - \Phi (Node hs x Leaf)$

$\leq 3 * \log 2 (size hs) - len hs + 2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_root_merge*:

$is_root h1 \implies is_root h2 \implies is_root (merge h1 h2)$

<proof>

lemma *is_root_insert*: *is_root h* \implies *is_root (insert x h)*

<proof>

lemma *is_root_del_min*:

assumes *is_root h* **shows** *is_root (del_min h)*

<proof>

lemma *pass1_len*: *len (pass1 h)* \leq *len h*

<proof>

fun *exec* :: '*a* :: *linorder op* \Rightarrow '*a tree list* \Rightarrow '*a tree* **where**

exec Empty [] = *Leaf |*

exec Del_min [h] = *del_min h |*

exec (Insert x) [h] = *insert x h |*

exec Merge [h1,h2] = *merge h1 h2*

fun *T_{pass1}* :: '*a tree* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

T_{pass1} Leaf = 1

| *T_{pass1} (Node _ _ Leaf)* = 1

| *T_{pass1} (Node _ _ (Node _ _ ry))* = *T_{pass1} ry* + 1

fun *T_{pass2}* :: '*a tree* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

T_{pass2} Leaf = 1

| *T_{pass2} (Node _ _ rx)* = *T_{pass2} rx* + 1

fun *cost* :: '*a* :: *linorder op* \Rightarrow '*a tree list* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

cost Empty [] = 1

| *cost Del_min [Leaf]* = 1

| *cost Del_min [Node lx _ _]* = *T_{pass2} (pass1 lx)* + *T_{pass1} lx*

| *cost (Insert a) _* = 1

| *cost Merge _* = 1

fun *U* :: '*a* :: *linorder op* \Rightarrow '*a tree list* \Rightarrow *real* **where**

U Empty [] = 1

| *U (Insert a) [h]* = $\log 2$ (*size h* + 1) + 1

| *U Del_min [h]* = $3 * \log 2$ (*size h* + 1) + 4

| *U Merge [h1,h2]* = $\log 2$ (*size h1* + *size h2* + 1) + 2

interpretation *Amortized*

where *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *cost* = *cost* **and** *inv* = *is_root*

and Φ = Φ **and** *U* = *U*

<proof>

end

8 Pairing Heaps

8.1 Binary Tree Representation

theory *Pairing_Heap_Tree_Analysis2*

imports

Pairing_Heap.Pairing_Heap_Tree

Amortized_Framework

Priority_Queue_ops_merge

Lemmas_log

begin

Verification of logarithmic bounds on the amortized complexity of pairing heaps. As in [2, 1], except that the treatment of *pass*₁ is simplified. TODO: convert the other Pairing Heap analyses to this one.

fun *len* :: 'a tree ⇒ nat **where**

len Leaf = 0

| *len (Node _ _ r)* = 1 + *len r*

fun Φ :: 'a tree ⇒ real **where**

Φ *Leaf* = 0

| Φ (*Node l x r*) = $\log 2$ (*size (Node l x r)*) + Φ *l* + Φ *r*

lemma *link_size[simp]*: *size (link hp)* = *size hp*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *size_pass1*: *size (pass₁ hp)* = *size hp*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *size_pass2*: *size (pass₂ hp)* = *size hp*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *size_merge*:

is_root h1 ⇒ *is_root h2* ⇒ *size (merge h1 h2)* = *size h1* + *size h2*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma $\Delta\Phi$ _insert: *is_root hp* ⇒ Φ (*insert x hp*) - Φ *hp* ≤ $\log 2$ (*size hp* + 1)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma $\Delta\Phi$ _merge:

assumes $h1 = \text{Node } hs1 \ x1 \ \text{Leaf } h2 = \text{Node } hs2 \ x2 \ \text{Leaf}$
shows $\Phi (\text{merge } h1 \ h2) - \Phi \ h1 - \Phi \ h2 \leq \log 2 (\text{size } h1 + \text{size } h2) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_pass1$: $\Phi (\text{pass}_1 \ hs) - \Phi \ hs \leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size } hs + 1) - \text{len } hs + 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_pass2$: $hs \neq \text{Leaf} \implies \Phi (\text{pass}_2 \ hs) - \Phi \ hs \leq \log 2 (\text{size } hs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\Delta\Phi_pass2'$: $\Phi (\text{pass}_2 \ hs) - \Phi \ hs \leq \log 2 (\text{size } hs + 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_del_min$:
 $\Phi (\text{del_min } (\text{Node } hs \ x \ \text{Leaf})) - \Phi (\text{Node } hs \ x \ \text{Leaf})$
 $\leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size } hs + 1) - \text{len } hs + 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma is_root_merge :
 $is_root \ h1 \implies is_root \ h2 \implies is_root (\text{merge } h1 \ h2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma is_root_insert : $is_root \ h \implies is_root (\text{insert } x \ h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $is_root_del_min$:
assumes $is_root \ h$ **shows** $is_root (\text{del_min } h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $pass1_len$: $\text{len } (\text{pass}_1 \ h) \leq \text{len } h$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun $exec$:: $'a :: \text{linorder } op \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{tree } \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{tree}$ **where**
 $exec \ \text{Empty} \ [] = \text{Leaf} \ |$
 $exec \ \text{Del_min} \ [h] = \text{del_min } h \ |$
 $exec \ (\text{Insert } x) \ [h] = \text{insert } x \ h \ |$
 $exec \ \text{Merge} \ [h1, h2] = \text{merge } h1 \ h2$

fun T_pass1 :: $'a \ \text{tree} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $T_pass1 \ (\text{Node} \ _ \ _ \ (\text{Node} \ _ \ _ \ hs')) = T_pass1 \ hs' + 1 \ |$
 $T_pass1 \ h = 1$

fun T_pass2 :: $'a \ \text{tree} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$T_pass_2 \text{ Leaf} = 1$
 $| T_pass_2 (\text{Node } _ _ \text{ hs}) = T_pass_2 \text{ hs} + 1$

fun $T_del_min :: ('a::linorder) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $T_del_min \text{ Leaf} = 1$ |
 $T_del_min (\text{Node } \text{hs } _ _) = T_pass_2 (\text{pass}_1 \text{ hs}) + T_pass_1 \text{ hs} + 1$

fun $T_insert :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $T_insert \text{ a h} = 1$

fun $T_merge :: 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $T_merge \text{ h1 h2} = 1$

lemma A_del_min : **assumes** $is_root \text{ h}$
shows $T_del_min \text{ h} + \Phi(\text{del_min } \text{h}) - \Phi \text{ h} \leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size } \text{h} + 1) + 5$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma A_insert : $is_root \text{ h} \implies T_insert \text{ a h} + \Phi(\text{insert } \text{a h}) - \Phi \text{ h} \leq$
 $\log 2 (\text{size } \text{h} + 1) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma A_merge : **assumes** $is_root \text{ h1 } is_root \text{ h2}$
shows $T_merge \text{ h1 h2} + \Phi(\text{merge } \text{h1 h2}) - \Phi \text{ h1} - \Phi \text{ h2} \leq \log 2 (\text{size } \text{h1} + \text{size } \text{h2} + 1) + 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun $cost :: 'a :: linorder \text{ op} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $cost \text{ Empty } [] = 1$
 $| cost \text{ Del_min } [\text{h}] = T_del_min \text{ h}$
 $| cost (\text{Insert } \text{a}) [\text{h}] = T_insert \text{ a h}$
 $| cost \text{ Merge } [\text{h1}, \text{h2}] = T_merge \text{ h1 h2}$

fun $U :: 'a :: linorder \text{ op} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree list} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $U \text{ Empty } [] = 1$
 $| U (\text{Insert } \text{a}) [\text{h}] = \log 2 (\text{size } \text{h} + 1) + 1$
 $| U \text{ Del_min } [\text{h}] = 2 * \log 2 (\text{size } \text{h} + 1) + 5$
 $| U \text{ Merge } [\text{h1}, \text{h2}] = \log 2 (\text{size } \text{h1} + \text{size } \text{h2} + 1) + 2$

interpretation Amortized

where $arity = arity$ **and** $exec = exec$ **and** $cost = cost$ **and** $inv = is_root$
and $\Phi = \Phi$ **and** $U = U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

8.2 Okasaki's Pairing Heap

theory *Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis*

imports

Pairing_Heap.Pairing_Heap_List1

Amortized_Framework

Priority_Queue_ops_merge

Lemmas_log

begin

Amortized analysis of pairing heaps as defined by Okasaki [6].

fun *hps* **where**

hps (*Hp* _ *hs*) = *hs*

lemma *merge_Empty[simp]*: *merge heap.Empty h* = *h*

<proof>

lemma *merge2*: *merge (Hp x lx) h* = (*case h of heap.Empty* \Rightarrow *Hp x lx* |
(Hp y ly) \Rightarrow

(*if x < y then Hp x (Hp y ly # lx) else Hp y (Hp x lx # ly)*))

<proof>

lemma *pass1_Nil_iff*: *pass1 hs* = [] \longleftrightarrow *hs* = []

<proof>

8.2.1 Invariant

fun *no_Empty* :: 'a :: *linorder heap* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

no_Empty heap.Empty = *False* |

no_Empty (Hp x hs) = ($\forall h \in$ *set hs*. *no_Empty h*)

abbreviation *no_Emptys* :: 'a :: *linorder heap list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

no_Emptys hs \equiv $\forall h \in$ *set hs*. *no_Empty h*

fun *is_root* :: 'a :: *linorder heap* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

is_root heap.Empty = *True* |

is_root (Hp x hs) = *no_Emptys hs*

lemma *is_root_if_no_Empty*: *no_Empty h* \Longrightarrow *is_root h*

<proof>

lemma *no_Emptys_hps*: *no_Empty h* \Longrightarrow *no_Emptys(hps h)*

<proof>

lemma *no_Empty_merge*: $\llbracket \text{no_Empty } h1; \text{no_Empty } h2 \rrbracket \implies \text{no_Empty } (\text{merge } h1 \ h2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is_root_merge*: $\llbracket \text{is_root } h1; \text{is_root } h2 \rrbracket \implies \text{is_root } (\text{merge } h1 \ h2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no_Empty_pass1*:
 $\text{no_Empty } hs \implies \text{no_Empty } (\text{pass}_1 \ hs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is_root_pass2*: $\text{no_Empty } hs \implies \text{is_root}(\text{pass}_2 \ hs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.2.2 Complexity

fun *size_hp* :: 'a heap \Rightarrow nat **where**
size_hp heap.Empty = 0 |
size_hp (Hp x hs) = sum_list(map *size_hp* hs) + 1

abbreviation *size_hps* **where**
size_hps hs \equiv sum_list(map *size_hp* hs)

fun Φ _hps :: 'a heap list \Rightarrow real **where**
 Φ _hps [] = 0 |
 Φ _hps (heap.Empty # hs) = Φ _hps hs |
 Φ _hps (Hp x hsl # hsr) =
 Φ _hps hsl + Φ _hps hsr + log 2 (size_hps hsl + size_hps hsr + 1)

fun Φ :: 'a heap \Rightarrow real **where**
 Φ heap.Empty = 0 |
 Φ (Hp _ hs) = Φ _hps hs + log 2 (size_hps hs + 1)

lemma Φ _hps_ge0: Φ _hps hs \geq 0
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no_Empty_ge0*: $\text{no_Empty } h \implies \text{size_hp } h > 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare algebra_simps[simp]

lemma Φ _hps1: Φ _hps [h] = Φ h
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size_hp_merge*: $size_hp(merge\ h1\ h2) = size_hp\ h1 + size_hp\ h2$

<proof>

lemma *pass1_size[simp]*: $size_hps\ (pass1\ hs) = size_hps\ hs$

<proof>

lemma $\Delta\Phi_insert$:

$\Phi\ (Pairing_Heap_List1.insert\ x\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq \log\ 2\ (size_hp\ h + 1)$

<proof>

lemma $\Delta\Phi_merge$:

$\Phi\ (merge\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\ h1 - \Phi\ h2$
 $\leq \log\ 2\ (size_hp\ h1 + size_hp\ h2 + 1) + 1$

<proof>

fun *sum_ub* :: 'a heap list \Rightarrow real **where**

sum_ub [] = 0

| *sum_ub* [_] = 0

| *sum_ub* [h1, h2] = $2 * \log\ 2\ (size_hp\ h1 + size_hp\ h2)$

| *sum_ub* (h1 # h2 # hs) = $2 * \log\ 2\ (size_hp\ h1 + size_hp\ h2 + size_hps\ hs)$

$- 2 * \log\ 2\ (size_hps\ hs) - 2 + sum_ub\ hs$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_pass1_sum_ub$: $no_Empty\ hs \Longrightarrow$

$\Phi_hps\ (pass1\ hs) - \Phi_hps\ hs \leq sum_ub\ hs$ (**is** _ \Longrightarrow ?P hs)

<proof>

lemma $\Delta\Phi_pass1$: **assumes** $hs \neq []$ $no_Empty\ hs$

shows $\Phi_hps\ (pass1\ hs) - \Phi_hps\ hs \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (size_hps\ hs) - length\ hs + 2$

<proof>

lemma *size_hps_pass2*: $hs \neq [] \Longrightarrow no_Empty\ hs \Longrightarrow$

$no_Empty\ (pass2\ hs) \ \&\ size_hps\ hs = size_hps\ (hps\ (pass2\ hs)) + 1$

<proof>

lemma $\Delta\Phi_pass2$: $hs \neq [] \Longrightarrow no_Empty\ hs \Longrightarrow$

$\Phi\ (pass2\ hs) - \Phi_hps\ hs \leq \log\ 2\ (size_hps\ hs)$

<proof>

lemma $\Delta\Phi_del_min$: **assumes** $hps\ h \neq []$ $no_Empty\ h$

shows $\Phi\ (del_min\ h) - \Phi\ h$

$\leq 3 * \log 2 (size_hps(hps\ h)) - length(hps\ h) + 2$
 <proof>

fun *exec* :: 'a :: linorder op \Rightarrow 'a heap list \Rightarrow 'a heap **where**
exec Empty [] = heap.Empty |
exec Del_min [h] = del_min h |
exec (Insert x) [h] = Pairing_Heap_List1.insert x h |
exec Merge [h1,h2] = merge h1 h2

fun *T_{pass1}* :: 'a heap list \Rightarrow nat **where**
T_{pass1} [] = 1
 | *T_{pass1}* [_] = 1
 | *T_{pass1}* (_ # _ # hs) = 1 + *T_{pass1}* hs

fun *T_{pass2}* :: 'a heap list \Rightarrow nat **where**
T_{pass2} [] = 1
 | *T_{pass2}* (_ # hs) = 1 + *T_{pass2}* hs

fun *cost* :: 'a :: linorder op \Rightarrow 'a heap list \Rightarrow nat **where**
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost Del_min [heap.Empty] = 1 |
cost Del_min [Hp x hs] = *T_{pass2}* (pass1 hs) + *T_{pass1}* hs |
cost (Insert a) _ = 1 |
cost Merge _ = 1

fun *U* :: 'a :: linorder op \Rightarrow 'a heap list \Rightarrow real **where**
U Empty _ = 1 |
U (Insert a) [h] = log 2 (size_hp h + 1) + 1 |
U Del_min [h] = 3*log 2 (size_hp h + 1) + 4 |
U Merge [h1,h2] = log 2 (size_hp h1 + size_hp h2 + 1) + 2

interpretation *pairing*: Amortized

where *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *cost* = *cost* **and** *inv* = *is_root*
and Φ = Φ **and** *U* = *U*
 <proof>

end

8.3 Transfer of Tree Analysis to List Representation

theory *Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis2*
imports
Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis

Pairing_Heap_Tree_Analysis

begin

This theory transfers the amortized analysis of the tree-based pairing heaps to Okasaki's pairing heaps.

abbreviation $is_root' == Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.is_root$

abbreviation $del_min' == Pairing_Heap_List1.del_min$

abbreviation $insert' == Pairing_Heap_List1.insert$

abbreviation $merge' == Pairing_Heap_List1.merge$

abbreviation $pass_1' == Pairing_Heap_List1.pass_1$

abbreviation $pass_2' == Pairing_Heap_List1.pass_2$

abbreviation $T_{pass_1}' == Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.T_{pass_1}$

abbreviation $T_{pass_2}' == Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.T_{pass_2}$

fun $homs :: 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ tree$ **where**

$homs [] = Leaf \mid$

$homs (Hp\ x\ lhs\ \# \ rhs) = Node\ (homs\ lhs)\ x\ (homs\ rhs)$

fun $hom :: 'a\ heap \Rightarrow 'a\ tree$ **where**

$hom\ heap.Empty = Leaf \mid$

$hom\ (Hp\ x\ hs) = (Node\ (homs\ hs)\ x\ Leaf)$

lemma $homs_pass1'$: $no_Emptys\ hs \Longrightarrow homs(pass_1'\ hs) = pass_1\ (homs\ hs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hom_merge' : $\llbracket no_Emptys\ lhs; Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.is_root\ h \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow hom\ (merge'\ (Hp\ x\ lhs)\ h) = link\ \langle homs\ lhs,\ x,\ hom\ h \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hom_pass2' : $no_Emptys\ hs \Longrightarrow hom(pass_2'\ hs) = pass_2\ (homs\ hs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma del_min' : $is_root'\ h \Longrightarrow hom(del_min'\ h) = del_min\ (hom\ h)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $insert'$: $is_root'\ h \Longrightarrow hom(insert'\ x\ h) = insert\ x\ (hom\ h)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $merge'$:

$\llbracket is_root'\ h1; is_root'\ h2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow hom(merge'\ h1\ h2) = merge\ (hom\ h1)\ (hom\ h2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $T_{pass1}' : no_Emptyys\ hs \implies T_{pass1}'\ hs = T_{pass1}(homs\ hs)$
 <proof>

lemma $T_{pass2}' : no_Emptyys\ hs \implies T_{pass2}'\ hs = T_{pass2}(homs\ hs)$
 <proof>

lemma $size_hp : is_root'\ h \implies size_hp\ h = size\ (hom\ h)$
 <proof>

interpretation *Amortized2*

where $arity = arity$ **and** $exec = exec$ **and** $inv = is_root$

and $cost = cost$ **and** $\Phi = \Phi$ **and** $U = U$

and $hom = hom$

and $exec' = Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.exec$

and $cost' = Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.cost$ **and** $inv' = is_root'$

and $U' = Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.U$

<proof>

end

8.4 Okasaki's Pairing Heap (Modified)

theory *Pairing_Heap_List2_Analysis*

imports

Pairing_Heap.Pairing_Heap_List2

Amortized_Framework

Priority_Queue_ops_merge

Lemmas_log

begin

Amortized analysis of a modified version of the pairing heaps defined by Okasaki [6].

fun $lift_hp :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a\ hp \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a\ heap \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**

$lift_hp\ c\ f\ None = c$ |

$lift_hp\ c\ f\ (Some\ h) = f\ h$

fun $size_hps :: 'a\ hp\ list \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$size_hps(Hp\ x\ hsl\ \# hsr) = size_hps\ hsl + size_hps\ hsr + 1$ |

$size_hps\ [] = 0$

definition $size_hp :: 'a\ hp \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

[simp]: $size_hp\ h = size_hps(hps\ h) + 1$

fun Φ_hps :: 'a hp list \Rightarrow real **where**
 $\Phi_hps [] = 0$ |
 $\Phi_hps (Hp\ x\ hsl\ \# \ hsr) = \Phi_hps\ hsl + \Phi_hps\ hsr + \log\ 2\ (size_hps\ hsl + size_hps\ hsr + 1)$

definition Φ_hp :: 'a hp \Rightarrow real **where**
 $[simp]: \Phi_hp\ h = \Phi_hps\ (hps\ h) + \log\ 2\ (size_hps(hps(h))+1)$

abbreviation Φ :: 'a heap \Rightarrow real **where**
 $\Phi \equiv lift_hp\ 0\ \Phi_hp$

abbreviation $size_heap$:: 'a heap \Rightarrow nat **where**
 $size_heap \equiv lift_hp\ 0\ size_hp$

lemma Φ_hps_ge0 : $\Phi_hps\ hs \geq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare algebra_simps[simp]

lemma $size_hps_Cons$ [simp]: $size_hps(h\ \# \ hs) = size_hp\ h + size_hps\ hs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $link2$: $link\ (Hp\ x\ lx)\ h = (case\ h\ of\ (Hp\ y\ ly) \Rightarrow$
 $(if\ x < y\ then\ Hp\ x\ (Hp\ y\ ly\ \# \ lx)\ else\ Hp\ y\ (Hp\ x\ lx\ \# \ ly)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $size_hps_link$: $size_hps(hps\ (link\ h1\ h2)) = size_hp\ h1 + size_hp\ h2 - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pass1_size$ [simp]: $size_hps\ (pass1\ hs) = size_hps\ hs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pass2_None$ [simp]: $pass2\ hs = None \longleftrightarrow hs = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_insert$:
 $\Phi\ (Pairing_Heap_List2.insert\ x\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq \log\ 2\ (size_heap\ h + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Delta\Phi_link$: $\Phi_hp\ (link\ h1\ h2) - \Phi_hp\ h1 - \Phi_hp\ h2 \leq 2 * \log\ 2$
 $(size_hp\ h1 + size_hp\ h2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *sum_ub* :: 'a hp list \Rightarrow real **where**
 sum_ub [] = 0
 | *sum_ub* [Hp _ _] = 0
 | *sum_ub* [Hp _ lx, Hp _ ly] = 2*log 2 (2 + *size_hps* lx + *size_hps* ly)
 | *sum_ub* (Hp _ lx # Hp _ ly # ry) = 2*log 2 (2 + *size_hps* lx + *size_hps*
 ly + *size_hps* ry)
 - 2*log 2 (*size_hps* ry) - 2 + *sum_ub* ry

lemma $\Delta\Phi_{pass1_sum_ub}$: $\Phi_{hps} (pass_1 h) - \Phi_{hps} h \leq sum_ub h$
 <proof>

lemma $\Delta\Phi_{pass1}$: **assumes** $hs \neq []$
shows $\Phi_{hps} (pass_1 hs) - \Phi_{hps} hs \leq 2 * \log 2 (size_hps hs) - length$
 $hs + 2$
 <proof>

lemma *size_hps_pass2*: $pass_2 hs = Some h \implies size_hps hs = size_hps(hps$
 $h)+1$
 <proof>

lemma $\Delta\Phi_{pass2}$: $hs \neq [] \implies \Phi (pass_2 hs) - \Phi_{hps} hs \leq \log 2 (size_hps$
 $hs)$
 <proof>

lemma $\Delta\Phi_{del_min}$: **assumes** $hps h \neq []$
shows $\Phi (del_min (Some h)) - \Phi (Some h)$
 $\leq 3 * \log 2 (size_hps(hps h)) - length(hps h) + 2$
 <proof>

fun *exec* :: 'a :: linorder op \Rightarrow 'a heap list \Rightarrow 'a heap **where**
exec Empty [] = None |
exec Del_min [h] = *del_min* h |
exec (Insert x) [h] = *Pairing_Heap_List2.insert* x h |
exec Merge [h1,h2] = *merge* h1 h2

fun *T_{pass1}* :: 'a hp list \Rightarrow nat **where**
T_{pass1} [] = 1
 | *T_{pass1}* [_] = 1
 | *T_{pass1}* (_ # _ # hs) = 1 + *T_{pass1}* hs

fun $T_{pass2} :: 'a \text{ hp list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$T_{pass2} [] = 1$ |

$T_{pass2} (_ \# hs) = 1 + T_{pass2} hs$

fun $cost :: 'a :: \text{linorder op} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ heap list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$cost \text{ Empty } _ = 1$ |

$cost \text{ Del_min } [None] = 1$ |

$cost \text{ Del_min } [Some(Hp x hs)] = 1 + T_{pass2} (pass1 hs) + T_{pass1} hs$ |

$cost (\text{Insert } a) _ = 1$ |

$cost \text{ Merge } _ = 1$

fun $U :: 'a :: \text{linorder op} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ heap list} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

$U \text{ Empty } _ = 1$ |

$U (\text{Insert } a) [h] = \log 2 (\text{size_heap } h + 1) + 1$ |

$U \text{ Del_min } [h] = 3 * \log 2 (\text{size_heap } h + 1) + 5$ |

$U \text{ Merge } [h1, h2] = 2 * \log 2 (\text{size_heap } h1 + \text{size_heap } h2 + 1) + 1$

interpretation *pairing*: *Amortized*

where $arity = arity$ **and** $exec = exec$ **and** $cost = cost$ **and** $inv = \lambda _ . True$

and $\Phi = \Phi$ **and** $U = U$

<proof>

end

References

- [1] H. Brinkop. Verifikation der amortisierten Laufzeit von Pairing Heaps in Isabelle, 2015. Bachelor's Thesis, Fakultät für Informatik, Technische Universität München.
- [2] M. L. Fredman, R. Sedgewick, D. D. Sleator, and R. E. Tarjan. The pairing heap: A new form of self-adjusting heap. *Algorithmica*, 1(1):111–129, 1986.
- [3] A. Kaldewaij and B. Schoenmakers. The derivation of a tighter bound for top-down skew heaps. *Information Processing Letters*, 37:265–271, 1991.
- [4] T. Nipkow. Amortized complexity verified. In C. Urban and X. Zhang, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2015)*, volume 9236 of *LNCS*, pages 310–324. Springer, 2015.
- [5] T. Nipkow and H. Brinkop. Amortized complexity verified, 2016. Submitted for publication.

- [6] C. Okasaki. *Purely Functional Data Structures*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [7] B. Schoenmakers. A systematic analysis of splaying. *Information Processing Letters*, 45:41–50, 1993.