

# Amortized Complexity Verified

Tobias Nipkow

December 14, 2021

## Abstract

A framework for the analysis of the amortized complexity of (functional) data structures is formalized in Isabelle/HOL and applied to a number of standard examples and to the following non-trivial ones: skew heaps, splay trees, splay heaps and pairing heaps. This work is described in [4] (except for pairing heaps). An extended version (including pairing heaps) is available online [5].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Amortized Complexity (Unary Operations)</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Binary Counter . . . . .	4
1.2	Dynamic tables: insert only . . . . .	4
1.3	Stack with multipop . . . . .	5
1.4	Queue . . . . .	6
1.5	Dynamic tables: insert and delete . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Amortized Complexity Framework</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Simple Examples</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Binary Counter . . . . .	10
3.2	Stack with multipop . . . . .	11
3.3	Dynamic tables: insert only . . . . .	11
3.4	Dynamic tables: insert and delete . . . . .	13
3.5	Queue . . . . .	14
<b>4</b>	<b>Skew Heap Analysis</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Splay Tree</b>	<b>20</b>
5.1	Basics . . . . .	20
5.2	Splay Tree Analysis . . . . .	22
5.3	Splay Tree Analysis (Optimal) . . . . .	24
<b>6</b>	<b>Splay Heap</b>	<b>28</b>

<b>7</b>	<b>Pairing Heaps</b>	<b>30</b>
7.1	Binary Tree Representation . . . . .	30
<b>8</b>	<b>Pairing Heaps</b>	<b>32</b>
8.1	Binary Tree Representation . . . . .	32
8.2	Okasaki's Pairing Heap . . . . .	35
8.3	Transfer of Tree Analysis to List Representation . . . . .	39
8.4	Okasaki's Pairing Heap (Modified) . . . . .	41

# 1 Amortized Complexity (Unary Operations)

```
theory Amortized_Framework0
imports Complex_Main
begin
```

This theory provides a simple amortized analysis framework where all operations act on a single data type, i.e. no union-like operations. This is the basis of the ITP 2015 paper by Nipkow. Although it is superseded by the model in *Amortized\_Framework* that allows arbitrarily many parameters, it is still of interest because of its simplicity.

```
locale Amortized =
fixes init :: 's
fixes next :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  's
fixes inv :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  bool
fixes T :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes  $\Phi$  :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes U :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  real
assumes inv_init: inv init
assumes inv_next: inv s  $\implies$  inv(next f s)
assumes ppos: inv s  $\implies$   $\Phi s \geq 0$ 
assumes p0:  $\Phi init = 0$ 
assumes U: inv s  $\implies$   $T f s + \Phi(next f s) - \Phi s \leq U f s$ 
begin
```

```
fun state :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'o)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  's where
state f 0 = init |
state f (Suc n) = next (f n) (state f n)
```

```
lemma inv_state: inv(state f n)
<proof>
```

```
definition A :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'o)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  real where
A f i =  $T (f i) (state f i) + \Phi(state f (i+1)) - \Phi(state f i)$ 
```

```
lemma aeq:  $(\sum i < n. T (f i) (state f i)) = (\sum i < n. A f i) - \Phi(state f n)$ 
<proof>
```

```
corollary TA:  $(\sum i < n. T (f i) (state f i)) \leq (\sum i < n. A f i)$ 
<proof>
```

```
lemma aa1:  $A f i \leq U (f i) (state f i)$ 
<proof>
```

**lemma** *ub*:  $(\sum i < n. T (f i) (state f i)) \leq (\sum i < n. U (f i) (state f i))$   
*<proof>*

**end**

## 1.1 Binary Counter

**locale** *BinCounter*

**begin**

**fun** *incr* **where**

*incr* [] = [True] |

*incr* (False#bs) = True # bs |

*incr* (True#bs) = False # *incr* bs

**fun** *T\_incr* :: *bool list*  $\Rightarrow$  *real* **where**

*T\_incr* [] = 1 |

*T\_incr* (False#bs) = 1 |

*T\_incr* (True#bs) = *T\_incr* bs + 1

**definition** *p\_incr* :: *bool list*  $\Rightarrow$  *real* ( $\Phi$ ) **where**

$\Phi$  bs = *length*(*filter id* bs)

**lemma** *A\_incr*:  $T\_incr\ bs + \Phi(incr\ bs) - \Phi\ bs = 2$

*<proof>*

**interpretation** *incr*: *Amortized*

**where** *init* = [] **and** *next* = %\_. *incr* **and** *inv* =  $\lambda\_.$  True

**and** *T* =  $\lambda\_.$  *T\_incr* **and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and** *U* =  $\lambda\_.$  2

*<proof>*

**thm** *incr.ub*

**end**

## 1.2 Dynamic tables: insert only

**fun** *T\_ins* :: *nat*  $\times$  *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *real* **where**

*T\_ins* (n,l) = (if n < l then 1 else n+1)

**interpretation** *ins*: *Amortized*

**where** *init* = (0::nat,0::nat)

**and** *next* =  $\lambda_.$  (n,l). (n+1, if n < l then l else if l=0 then 1 else 2\*l)

**and** *inv* =  $\lambda_.$  (n,l). if l=0 then n=0 else  $n \leq l \wedge l < 2*n$

**and**  $T = \lambda\_.$   $T\_ins$  **and**  $\Phi = \lambda(n,l). 2*n - l$  **and**  $U = \lambda\_.$  3  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**locale** *table\_insert* =  
**fixes**  $a :: real$   
**fixes**  $c :: real$   
**assumes**  $c1[arith]: c > 1$   
**assumes**  $ac2: a \geq c/(c - 1)$   
**begin**

**lemma**  $ac: a \geq 1/(c - 1)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma**  $a0[arith]: a > 0$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**definition**  $b = 1/(c - 1)$

**lemma**  $b0[arith]: b > 0$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**fun**  $ins :: nat * nat \Rightarrow nat * nat$  **where**  
 $ins(n,l) = (n+1, \text{if } n < l \text{ then } l \text{ else if } l = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } \text{nat}(\text{ceiling}(c*l)))$

**fun**  $pins :: nat * nat \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $pins(n,l) = a*n - b*l$

**interpretation**  $ins$ : *Amortized*  
**where**  $init = (0,0)$  **and**  $nxt = \%.$   $ins$   
**and**  $inv = \lambda(n,l). \text{if } l = 0 \text{ then } n = 0 \text{ else } n \leq l \wedge (b/a)*l \leq n$   
**and**  $T = \lambda\_.$   $T\_ins$  **and**  $\Phi = pins$  **and**  $U = \lambda\_.$   $a + 1$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**thm**  $ins.ub$

**end**

### 1.3 Stack with multipop

**datatype**  $'a\ op_{stk} = Push\ 'a \mid Pop\ nat$

**fun**  $nxt\_stk :: 'a\ op_{stk} \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$  **where**  
 $nxt\_stk\ (Push\ x)\ xs = x \# xs \mid$   
 $nxt\_stk\ (Pop\ n)\ xs = drop\ n\ xs$

```

fun T_stk :: 'a op_stk  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  T_stk (Push x) xs = 1 |
  T_stk (Pop n) xs = min n (length xs)

```

**interpretation** stack: Amortized

```

where init = [] and nxt = nxt_stk and inv =  $\lambda$ _. True
and T = T_stk and  $\Phi$  = length and U =  $\lambda$ f_. case f of Push _  $\Rightarrow$  2 |
  Pop _  $\Rightarrow$  0
<proof>

```

## 1.4 Queue

See, for example, the book by Okasaki [6].

```

datatype 'a op_q = Enq 'a | Deq

```

```

type_synonym 'a queue = 'a list * 'a list

```

```

fun nxt_q :: 'a op_q  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  nxt_q (Enq x) (xs,ys) = (x#xs,ys) |
  nxt_q Deq (xs,ys) = (if ys = [] then ([], tl(rev xs)) else (xs,tl ys))

```

```

fun T_q :: 'a op_q  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  T_q (Enq x) (xs,ys) = 1 |
  T_q Deq (xs,ys) = (if ys = [] then length xs else 0)

```

**interpretation** queue: Amortized

```

where init = ([],[]) and nxt = nxt_q and inv =  $\lambda$ _. True
and T = T_q and  $\Phi$  =  $\lambda$ (xs,ys). length xs and U =  $\lambda$ f_. case f of Enq
  _  $\Rightarrow$  2 | Deq  $\Rightarrow$  0
<proof>

```

```

fun balance :: 'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  balance(xs,ys) = (if size xs  $\leq$  size ys then (xs,ys) else ([], ys @ rev xs))

```

```

fun nxt_q2 :: 'a op_q  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  nxt_q2 (Enq a) (xs,ys) = balance (a#xs,ys) |
  nxt_q2 Deq (xs,ys) = balance (xs, tl ys)

```

```

fun T_q2 :: 'a op_q  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  T_q2 (Enq _) (xs,ys) = 1 + (if size xs + 1  $\leq$  size ys then 0 else size xs)

```

+ 1 + size ys) |  
 $T\_q2\ Deq\ (xs,ys) = (\text{if } size\ xs \leq size\ ys - 1 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } size\ xs + (size\ ys - 1))$

**interpretation** *queue2*: Amortized  
**where** *init* = ([],[]) **and** *next* = *next\_q2*  
**and** *inv* =  $\lambda(xs,ys). size\ xs \leq size\ ys$   
**and**  $T = T\_q2$  **and**  $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys). 2 * size\ xs$   
**and**  $U = \lambda f \_ . \text{case } f \text{ of } Enq \_ \Rightarrow 3 \mid Deq \Rightarrow 0$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

## 1.5 Dynamic tables: insert and delete

**datatype** *optb* = *Ins* | *Del*

**fun** *next\_tb* :: *optb*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*\**nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*\**nat* **where**  
*next\_tb* *Ins* (*n*,*l*) = (*n*+1, *if* *n*<*l* *then* *l* *else* *if* *l*=0 *then* 1 *else* 2\**l*) |  
*next\_tb* *Del* (*n*,*l*) = (*n* - 1, *if* *n*=1 *then* 0 *else* *if* 4\*(*n* - 1)<*l* *then* *l* *div* 2 *else* *l*)

**fun** *T\_tb* :: *optb*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*\**nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *real* **where**  
*T\_tb* *Ins* (*n*,*l*) = (*if* *n*<*l* *then* 1 *else* *n*+1) |  
*T\_tb* *Del* (*n*,*l*) = (*if* *n*=1 *then* 1 *else* *if* 4\*(*n* - 1)<*l* *then* *n* *else* 1)

**interpretation** *tb*: Amortized  
**where** *init* = (0,0) **and** *next* = *next\_tb*  
**and** *inv* =  $\lambda(n,l). \text{if } l=0 \text{ then } n=0 \text{ else } n \leq l \wedge l \leq 4*n$   
**and**  $T = T\_tb$  **and**  $\Phi = (\lambda(n,l). \text{if } 2*n < l \text{ then } l/2 - n \text{ else } 2*n - l)$   
**and**  $U = \lambda f \_ . \text{case } f \text{ of } Ins \Rightarrow 3 \mid Del \Rightarrow 2$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

## 2 Amortized Complexity Framework

**theory** *Amortized\_Framework*  
**imports** *Complex\_Main*  
**begin**

This theory provides a framework for amortized analysis.

**datatype** 'a *rose\_tree* = *T* 'a 'a *rose\_tree* *list*

**declare** *length\_Suc\_conv* [*simp*]

```

locale Amortized =
fixes arity :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  nat
fixes exec :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  's list  $\Rightarrow$  's
fixes inv :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  bool
fixes cost :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  's list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
fixes  $\Phi$  :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes U :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  's list  $\Rightarrow$  real
assumes inv_exec:  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ss. \text{inv } s; \text{length } ss = \text{arity } f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{inv}(\text{exec } f \text{ } ss)$ 
assumes ppos:  $\text{inv } s \Longrightarrow \Phi \text{ } s \geq 0$ 
assumes U:  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ss. \text{inv } s; \text{length } ss = \text{arity } f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{cost } f \text{ } ss + \Phi(\text{exec } f \text{ } ss) - \text{sum\_list } (\text{map } \Phi \text{ } ss) \leq U \text{ } f \text{ } ss$ 
begin

fun wf :: 'op rose_tree  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
wf (T f ts) = (length ts = arity f  $\wedge$  ( $\forall t \in \text{set } ts. \text{wf } t$ ))

fun state :: 'op rose_tree  $\Rightarrow$  's where
state (T f ts) = exec f (map state ts)

lemma inv_state: wf ot  $\Longrightarrow$  inv(state ot)
<proof>

definition acost :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  's list  $\Rightarrow$  real where
acost f ss = cost f ss +  $\Phi$  (exec f ss) - sum_list (map  $\Phi$  ss)

fun acost_sum :: 'op rose_tree  $\Rightarrow$  real where
acost_sum (T f ts) = acost f (map state ts) + sum_list (map acost_sum ts)

fun cost_sum :: 'op rose_tree  $\Rightarrow$  real where
cost_sum (T f ts) = cost f (map state ts) + sum_list (map cost_sum ts)

fun U_sum :: 'op rose_tree  $\Rightarrow$  real where
U_sum (T f ts) = U f (map state ts) + sum_list (map U_sum ts)

lemma t_sum_a_sum: wf ot  $\Longrightarrow$  cost_sum ot = acost_sum ot -  $\Phi$ (state ot)
<proof>

corollary t_sum_le_a_sum: wf ot  $\Longrightarrow$  cost_sum ot  $\leq$  acost_sum ot
<proof>

```

**lemma**  $a\_le\_U$ :  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ss. \text{inv } s; \text{length } ss = \text{arity } f \rrbracket \implies \text{acost } f \text{ } ss \leq U \text{ } f \text{ } ss$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\_sum\_le\_U\_sum$ :  $wf \text{ } ot \implies \text{acost\_sum } ot \leq U\_sum \text{ } ot$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary**  $t\_sum\_le\_U\_sum$ :  $wf \text{ } ot \implies \text{cost\_sum } ot \leq U\_sum \text{ } ot$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**hide\_const**  $T$

*Amortized2* supports the transfer of amortized analysis of one datatype (*Amortized arity exec inv cost  $\Phi$  U* on type '*s*') to an implementation (primed identifiers on type '*t*'). Function *hom* is assumed to be a homomorphism from '*t*' to '*s*', not just w.r.t. *exec* but also *cost* and *U*. The assumptions about *inv'* are weaker than the obvious  $inv' = inv \circ hom$ : the latter does not allow *inv* to be weaker than *inv'* (which we need in one application).

**locale** *Amortized2* = *Amortized arity exec inv cost  $\Phi$  U*

**for**  $\text{arity} :: 'op \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  **and**  $\text{exec} \text{ and } \text{inv} :: 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **and**  $\text{cost } \Phi \text{ } U +$

**fixes**  $\text{exec}' :: 'op \Rightarrow 't \text{ list} \Rightarrow 't$

**fixes**  $\text{inv}' :: 't \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**fixes**  $\text{cost}' :: 'op \Rightarrow 't \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

**fixes**  $U' :: 'op \Rightarrow 't \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

**fixes**  $\text{hom} :: 't \Rightarrow 's$

**assumes**  $\text{exec}'$ :  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ts. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{hom}(\text{exec}' f \text{ } ts) = \text{exec } f \text{ } (\text{map } \text{hom } ts)$

**assumes**  $\text{inv\_exec}'$ :  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ss. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ss = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{inv}'(\text{exec}' f \text{ } ss)$

**assumes**  $\text{inv\_hom}$ :  $\text{inv}' t \implies \text{inv } (\text{hom } t)$

**assumes**  $\text{cost}'$ :  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ts. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{cost}' f \text{ } ts = \text{cost } f \text{ } (\text{map } \text{hom } ts)$

**assumes**  $U'$ :  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ts. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$

$\implies U' f \text{ } ts = U f \text{ } (\text{map } \text{hom } ts)$

**begin**

**sublocale**  $A'$ : *Amortized arity exec' inv' cost'  $\Phi$  o hom U'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**end**

### 3 Simple Examples

```
theory Amortized_Examples
imports Amortized_Framework
begin
```

This theory applies the amortized analysis framework to a number of simple classical examples.

#### 3.1 Binary Counter

```
locale Bin_Counter
begin
```

```
datatype op = Empty | Incr
```

```
fun arity :: op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity Incr = 1
```

```
fun incr :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  bool list where
incr [] = [True] |
incr (False#bs) = True # bs |
incr (True#bs) = False # incr bs
```

```
fun t_incr :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
t_incr [] = 1 |
t_incr (False#bs) = 1 |
t_incr (True#bs) = t_incr bs + 1
```

```
definition  $\Phi$  :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  real where
 $\Phi$  bs = length(filter id bs)
```

```
lemma a_incr: t_incr bs +  $\Phi$ (incr bs) -  $\Phi$  bs = 2
<proof>
```

```
fun exec :: op  $\Rightarrow$  bool list list  $\Rightarrow$  bool list where
exec Empty [] = [] |
exec Incr [bs] = incr bs
```

```
fun cost :: op  $\Rightarrow$  bool list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost Incr [bs] = t_incr bs
```

```

interpretation Amortized
where exec = exec and arity = arity and inv =  $\lambda\_.$  True
and cost = cost and  $\Phi$  =  $\Phi$  and U =  $\lambda f \_.$  case f of Empty  $\Rightarrow 1$  | Incr
 $\Rightarrow 2$ 
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

```

### 3.2 Stack with multipop

```

locale Multipop
begin

datatype 'a op = Empty | Push 'a | Pop nat

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Push _) = 1 |
arity (Pop _) = 1

fun exec :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
exec Empty [] = [] |
exec (Push x) [xs] = x # xs |
exec (Pop n) [xs] = drop n xs

fun cost :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost (Push x) _ = 1 |
cost (Pop n) [xs] = min n (length xs)

```

```

interpretation Amortized
where arity = arity and exec = exec and inv =  $\lambda\_.$  True
and cost = cost and  $\Phi$  = length
and U =  $\lambda f \_.$  case f of Empty  $\Rightarrow 1$  | Push _  $\Rightarrow 2$  | Pop _  $\Rightarrow 0$ 
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

```

### 3.3 Dynamic tables: insert only

```

locale Dyn_Tab1
begin

```

```

type_synonym tab = nat × nat

datatype op = Empty | Ins

fun arity :: op ⇒ nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity Ins = 1

fun exec :: op ⇒ tab list ⇒ tab where
exec Empty [] = (0::nat,0::nat) |
exec Ins [(n,l)] = (n+1, if n<l then l else if l=0 then 1 else 2*l)

fun cost :: op ⇒ tab list ⇒ nat where
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost Ins [(n,l)] = (if n<l then 1 else n+1)

interpretation Amortized
where exec = exec and arity = arity
and inv = λ(n,l). if l=0 then n=0 else n ≤ l ∧ l < 2*n
and cost = cost and Φ = λ(n,l). 2*n - l
and U = λf_. case f of Empty ⇒ 1 | Ins ⇒ 3
⟨proof⟩

end

locale Dyn_Tab2 =
fixes a :: real
fixes c :: real
assumes c1[arith]: c > 1
assumes ac2: a ≥ c/(c - 1)
begin

lemma ac: a ≥ 1/(c - 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma a0[arith]: a > 0
⟨proof⟩

definition b = 1/(c - 1)

lemma b0[arith]: b > 0
⟨proof⟩

type_synonym tab = nat × nat

```

**datatype**  $op = Empty \mid Ins$

**fun**  $arity :: op \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $arity\ Empty = 0 \mid$   
 $arity\ Ins = 1$

**fun**  $ins :: tab \Rightarrow tab$  **where**  
 $ins(n,l) = (n+1, \text{if } n < l \text{ then } l \text{ else if } l=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } nat(\text{ceiling}(c*l)))$

**fun**  $exec :: op \Rightarrow tab\ list \Rightarrow tab$  **where**  
 $exec\ Empty\ [] = (0::nat, 0::nat) \mid$   
 $exec\ Ins\ [s] = ins\ s \mid$   
 $exec\ \_ \_ = (0,0)$

**fun**  $cost :: op \Rightarrow tab\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $cost\ Empty\ \_ = 1 \mid$   
 $cost\ Ins\ [(n,l)] = (\text{if } n < l \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n+1)$

**fun**  $\Phi :: tab \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $\Phi(n,l) = a*n - b*l$

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where**  $exec = exec$  **and**  $arity = arity$

**and**  $inv = \lambda(n,l). \text{if } l=0 \text{ then } n=0 \text{ else } n \leq l \wedge (b/a)*l \leq n$

**and**  $cost = cost$  **and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = \lambda f \_ . \text{case } f \text{ of } Empty \Rightarrow 1 \mid Ins \Rightarrow$   
 $a + 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

### 3.4 Dynamic tables: insert and delete

**locale**  $Dyn\_Tab3$

**begin**

**type\_synonym**  $tab = nat \times nat$

**datatype**  $op = Empty \mid Ins \mid Del$

**fun**  $arity :: op \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $arity\ Empty = 0 \mid$   
 $arity\ Ins = 1 \mid$   
 $arity\ Del = 1$

```

fun exec :: op ⇒ tab list ⇒ tab where
exec Empty [] = (0::nat,0::nat) |
exec Ins [(n,l)] = (n+1, if n<l then l else if l=0 then 1 else 2*l) |
exec Del [(n,l)] = (n-1, if n≤1 then 0 else if 4*(n-1)<l then l div 2 else
l)

```

```

fun cost :: op ⇒ tab list ⇒ nat where
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost Ins [(n,l)] = (if n<l then 1 else n+1) |
cost Del [(n,l)] = (if n≤1 then 1 else if 4*(n-1)<l then n else 1)

```

**interpretation** *Amortized*

```

where arity = arity and exec = exec
and inv = λ(n,l). if l=0 then n=0 else n ≤ l ∧ l ≤ 4*n
and cost = cost and Φ = (λ(n,l). if 2*n < l then l/2 - n else 2*n - l)
and U = λf_. case f of Empty ⇒ 1 | Ins ⇒ 3 | Del ⇒ 2
⟨proof⟩

```

**end**

### 3.5 Queue

See, for example, the book by Okasaki [6].

**locale** *Queue*

**begin**

```

datatype 'a op = Empty | Enq 'a | Deq

```

```

type_synonym 'a queue = 'a list * 'a list

```

```

fun arity :: 'a op ⇒ nat where

```

```

arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Enq _) = 1 |
arity Deq = 1

```

```

fun exec :: 'a op ⇒ 'a queue list ⇒ 'a queue where

```

```

exec Empty [] = ([],[]) |
exec (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = (x#xs,ys) |
exec Deq [(xs,ys)] = (if ys = [] then ([], tl(rev xs)) else (xs,tl ys)

```

```

fun cost :: 'a op ⇒ 'a queue list ⇒ nat where

```

```

cost Empty _ = 0 |
cost (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = 1 |

```

$cost\ Deq\ [(xs,ys)] = (if\ ys = []\ then\ length\ xs\ else\ 0)$

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where**  $arity = arity$  **and**  $exec = exec$  **and**  $inv = \lambda\_ . True$

**and**  $cost = cost$  **and**  $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys) . length\ xs$

**and**  $U = \lambda f\_ . case\ f\ of\ Empty \Rightarrow 0\ |\ Enq\ \_ \Rightarrow 2\ |\ Deq \Rightarrow 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**locale** *Queue2*

**begin**

**datatype**  $'a\ op = Empty\ |\ Enq\ 'a\ |\ Deq$

**type\_synonym**  $'a\ queue = 'a\ list * 'a\ list$

**fun**  $arity :: 'a\ op \Rightarrow nat$  **where**

$arity\ Empty = 0\ |\$

$arity\ (Enq\ \_) = 1\ |\$

$arity\ Deq = 1$

**fun**  $adjust :: 'a\ queue \Rightarrow 'a\ queue$  **where**

$adjust(xs,ys) = (if\ ys = []\ then\ ([],\ rev\ xs)\ else\ (xs,ys))$

**fun**  $exec :: 'a\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ queue\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ queue$  **where**

$exec\ Empty\ [] = ([],[])\ |\$

$exec\ (Enq\ x)\ [(xs,ys)] = adjust(x\#\ xs,ys)\ |\$

$exec\ Deq\ [(xs,ys)] = adjust\ (xs,\ tl\ ys)$

**fun**  $cost :: 'a\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ queue\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**

$cost\ Empty\ \_ = 0\ |\$

$cost\ (Enq\ x)\ [(xs,ys)] = 1 + (if\ ys = []\ then\ size\ xs + 1\ else\ 0)\ |\$

$cost\ Deq\ [(xs,ys)] = (if\ tl\ ys = []\ then\ size\ xs\ else\ 0)$

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where**  $arity = arity$  **and**  $exec = exec$

**and**  $inv = \lambda\_ . True$

**and**  $cost = cost$  **and**  $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys) . size\ xs$

**and**  $U = \lambda f\_ . case\ f\ of\ Empty \Rightarrow 0\ |\ Enq\ \_ \Rightarrow 2\ |\ Deq \Rightarrow 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

```

locale Queue3
begin

datatype 'a op = Empty | Enq 'a | Deq

type_synonym 'a queue = 'a list * 'a list

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  arity Empty = 0 |
  arity (Enq _) = 1 |
  arity Deq = 1

fun balance :: 'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  balance(xs,ys) = (if size xs  $\leq$  size ys then (xs,ys) else ([], ys @ rev xs))

fun exec :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  exec Empty [] = ([],[]) |
  exec (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = balance(x#xs,ys) |
  exec Deq [(xs,ys)] = balance (xs, tl ys)

fun cost :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  cost Empty _ = 0 |
  cost (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = 1 + (if size xs + 1  $\leq$  size ys then 0 else size xs +
  1 + size ys) |
  cost Deq [(xs,ys)] = (if size xs  $\leq$  size ys - 1 then 0 else size xs + (size ys
  - 1))

interpretation Amortized
where arity = arity and exec = exec
and inv =  $\lambda(xs,ys).$  size xs  $\leq$  size ys
and cost = cost and  $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys).$  2 * size xs
and U =  $\lambda f \_.$  case f of Empty  $\Rightarrow$  0 | Enq _  $\Rightarrow$  3 | Deq  $\Rightarrow$  0
  <proof>

end

end
theory Priority_Queue_ops_merge
imports Main
begin

datatype 'a op = Empty | Insert 'a | Del_min | Merge

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where

```

```

arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Insert _) = 1 |
arity Del_min = 1 |
arity Merge = 2

```

**end**

## 4 Skew Heap Analysis

**theory** *Skew\_Heap\_Analysis*

**imports**

```

Complex_Main
Skew_Heap.Skew_Heap
Amortized_Framework
Priority_Queue_ops_merge

```

**begin**

The following proof is a simplified version of the one by Kaldewaij and Schoenmakers [3].

right-heavy:

**definition** *rh* :: 'a tree => 'a tree => nat **where**  
*rh* *l r* = (if size *l* < size *r* then 1 else 0)

Function  $\Gamma$  in [3]: number of right-heavy nodes on left spine.

**fun** *lrh* :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**  
*lrh* Leaf = 0 |  
*lrh* (Node *l* \_ *r*) = *rh* *l r* + *lrh* *l*

Function  $\Delta$  in [3]: number of not-right-heavy nodes on right spine.

**fun** *rlh* :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**  
*rlh* Leaf = 0 |  
*rlh* (Node *l* \_ *r*) = (1 - *rh* *l r*) + *rlh* *r*

**lemma** *Gexp*:  $2^{\wedge} \text{lrh } t \leq \text{size } t + 1$   
<proof>

**corollary** *Glog*:  $\text{lrh } t \leq \log 2 (\text{size1 } t)$   
<proof>

**lemma** *Dexp*:  $2^{\wedge} \text{rlh } t \leq \text{size } t + 1$   
<proof>

**corollary** *Dlog*:  $\text{rlh } t \leq \log 2 (\text{size1 } t)$   
<proof>

**function**  $T\_merge :: 'a::linorder\ tree \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_merge\ Leaf\ t = 1 \mid$   
 $T\_merge\ t\ Leaf = 1 \mid$   
 $T\_merge\ (Node\ l1\ a1\ r1)\ (Node\ l2\ a2\ r2) =$   
 (if  $a1 \leq a2$  then  $T\_merge\ (Node\ l2\ a2\ r2)\ r1$  else  $T\_merge\ (Node\ l1\ a1$   
 $r1)\ r2) + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
**termination**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun**  $\Phi :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow int$  **where**  
 $\Phi\ Leaf = 0 \mid$   
 $\Phi\ (Node\ l\ _\ r) = \Phi\ l + \Phi\ r + rh\ l\ r$

**lemma**  $\Phi\_nneg: \Phi\ t \geq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $plus\_log\_le\_2log\_plus: \llbracket x > 0; y > 0; b > 1 \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \log\ b\ x + \log\ b\ y \leq 2 * \log\ b\ (x + y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rh1: rh\ l\ r \leq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $amor\_le\_long:$   
 $T\_merge\ t1\ t2 + \Phi\ (merge\ t1\ t2) - \Phi\ t1 - \Phi\ t2 \leq$   
 $lrh(merge\ t1\ t2) + rlh\ t1 + rlh\ t2 + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $amor\_le:$   
 $T\_merge\ t1\ t2 + \Phi\ (merge\ t1\ t2) - \Phi\ t1 - \Phi\ t2 \leq$   
 $lrh(merge\ t1\ t2) + rlh\ t1 + rlh\ t2 + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\_merge:$   
 $T\_merge\ t1\ t2 + \Phi(merge\ t1\ t2) - \Phi\ t1 - \Phi\ t2 \leq$   
 $3 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t1 + size1\ t2) + 1\ (is\ ?l \leq \_)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $T\_insert :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow int$  **where**  
 $T\_insert\ a\ t = T\_merge\ (Node\ Leaf\ a\ Leaf)\ t + 1$

**lemma**  $a\_insert: T\_insert\ a\ t + \Phi(skew\_heap.insert\ a\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 3 * \log$

2 (size1 t + 2) + 2  
 <proof>

**definition** *T\_del\_min* :: ('a::linorder) tree  $\Rightarrow$  int **where**  
*T\_del\_min* t = (case t of Leaf  $\Rightarrow$  1 | Node t1 a t2  $\Rightarrow$  T\_merge t1 t2 + 1)

**lemma** *a\_del\_min*: *T\_del\_min* t +  $\Phi$ (skew\_heap.del\_min t) -  $\Phi$  t  $\leq$  3  
 \* log 2 (size1 t + 2) + 2  
 <proof>

#### 4.0.1 Instantiation of Amortized Framework

**lemma** *T\_merge\_nneg*: *T\_merge* t1 t2  $\geq$  0  
 <proof>

**fun** *exec* :: 'a::linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree **where**  
*exec* Empty [] = Leaf |  
*exec* (Insert a) [t] = skew\_heap.insert a t |  
*exec* Del\_min [t] = skew\_heap.del\_min t |  
*exec* Merge [t1,t2] = merge t1 t2

**fun** *cost* :: 'a::linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**  
*cost* Empty [] = 1 |  
*cost* (Insert a) [t] = T\_merge (Node Leaf a Leaf) t + 1 |  
*cost* Del\_min [t] = (case t of Leaf  $\Rightarrow$  1 | Node t1 a t2  $\Rightarrow$  T\_merge t1 t2  
 + 1) |  
*cost* Merge [t1,t2] = T\_merge t1 t2

**fun** *U* **where**  
*U* Empty [] = 1 |  
*U* (Insert \_) [t] = 3 \* log 2 (size1 t + 2) + 2 |  
*U* Del\_min [t] = 3 \* log 2 (size1 t + 2) + 2 |  
*U* Merge [t1,t2] = 3 \* log 2 (size1 t1 + size1 t2) + 1

**interpretation** *Amortized*  
**where** *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *inv* =  $\lambda\_.$  True  
**and** *cost* = *cost* **and**  $\Phi$  =  $\Phi$  **and** *U* = *U*  
 <proof>

**end**  
**theory** *Lemmas\_log*  
**imports** *Complex\_Main*  
**begin**

**lemma** *ld\_sum\_inequality*:  
**assumes**  $x > 0$   $y > 0$   
**shows**  $\log 2 x + \log 2 y + 2 \leq 2 * \log 2 (x + y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ld\_ld\_1\_less*:  
 $\llbracket x > 0; y > 0 \rrbracket \implies 1 + \log 2 x + \log 2 y < 2 * \log 2 (x+y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ld\_le\_2ld*:  
**assumes**  $x \geq 0$   $y \geq 0$  **shows**  $\log 2 (1+x+y) \leq 1 + \log 2 (1+x) + \log 2 (1+y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ld\_ld\_less2*: **assumes**  $x \geq 2$   $y \geq 2$   
**shows**  $1 + \log 2 x + \log 2 y \leq 2 * \log 2 (x + y - 1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 5 Splay Tree

### 5.1 Basics

**theory** *Splay\_Tree\_Analysis\_Base*  
**imports**  
*Lemmas\_log*  
*Splay\_Tree.Splay\_Tree*  
**begin**

**declare** *size1\_size*[*simp*]

**abbreviation**  $\varphi t == \log 2 (size1 t)$

**fun**  $\Phi :: 'a tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $\Phi Leaf = 0$  |  
 $\Phi (Node l a r) = \varphi (Node l a r) + \Phi l + \Phi r$

**fun** *T\_splay* ::  $'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
*T\_splay*  $x Leaf = 1$  |  
*T\_splay*  $x (Node AB b CD) =$   
*(case cmp x b of*  
*EQ \Rightarrow 1* |

```

LT ⇒ (case AB of
  Leaf ⇒ 1 |
  Node A a B ⇒
    (case cmp x a of EQ ⇒ 1 |
      LT ⇒ if A = Leaf then 1 else T_splay x A + 1 |
      GT ⇒ if B = Leaf then 1 else T_splay x B + 1)) |
GT ⇒ (case CD of
  Leaf ⇒ 1 |
  Node C c D ⇒
    (case cmp x c of EQ ⇒ 1 |
      LT ⇒ if C = Leaf then 1 else T_splay x C + 1 |
      GT ⇒ if D = Leaf then 1 else T_splay x D + 1)))

```

**lemma** *T\_splay\_simps*[simp]:

```

T_splay a (Node l a r) = 1
x < b ⇒ T_splay x (Node Leaf b CD) = 1
a < b ⇒ T_splay a (Node (Node A a B) b CD) = 1
x < a ⇒ x < b ⇒ T_splay x (Node (Node A a B) b CD) =
  (if A = Leaf then 1 else T_splay x A + 1)
x < b ⇒ a < x ⇒ T_splay x (Node (Node A a B) b CD) =
  (if B = Leaf then 1 else T_splay x B + 1)
b < x ⇒ T_splay x (Node AB b Leaf) = 1
b < a ⇒ T_splay a (Node AB b (Node C a D)) = 1
b < x ⇒ x < c ⇒ T_splay x (Node AB b (Node C c D)) =
  (if C = Leaf then 1 else T_splay x C + 1)
b < x ⇒ c < x ⇒ T_splay x (Node AB b (Node C c D)) =
  (if D = Leaf then 1 else T_splay x D + 1)
⟨proof⟩

```

**declare** *T\_splay\_simps(2)*[simp del]

**definition** *T\_insert* :: 'a::linorder ⇒ 'a tree ⇒ nat **where**  
*T\_insert* x t = 1 + (if t = Leaf then 0 else T\_splay x t)

**fun** *T\_splay\_max* :: 'a::linorder tree ⇒ nat **where**  
*T\_splay\_max* Leaf = 1 |  
*T\_splay\_max* (Node A a Leaf) = 1 |  
*T\_splay\_max* (Node A a (Node B b C)) = (if C = Leaf then 1 else T\_splay\_max C + 1)

**definition** *T\_delete* :: 'a::linorder ⇒ 'a tree ⇒ nat **where**  
*T\_delete* x t =  
 1 + (if t = Leaf then 0  
 else T\_splay x t +

(*case splay x t of*  
*Node l a r  $\Rightarrow$  if  $x \neq a$  then 0 else if  $l = \text{Leaf}$  then 0 else  $T\_splay\_max$*   
*l))*

**lemma** *ex\_in\_set\_tree:  $t \neq \text{Leaf} \implies \text{bst } t \implies$*   
 $\exists x' \in \text{set\_tree } t. \text{splay } x' t = \text{splay } x t \wedge T\_splay x' t = T\_splay x t$   
*<proof>*

**datatype** *'a op = Empty | Splay 'a | Insert 'a | Delete 'a*

**fun** *arity :: 'a::linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where*  
*arity Empty = 0 |*  
*arity (Splay x) = 1 |*  
*arity (Insert x) = 1 |*  
*arity (Delete x) = 1*

**fun** *exec :: 'a::linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree where*  
*exec Empty [] = Leaf |*  
*exec (Splay x) [t] = splay x t |*  
*exec (Insert x) [t] = Splay\_Tree.insert x t |*  
*exec (Delete x) [t] = Splay\_Tree.delete x t*

**fun** *cost :: 'a::linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where*  
*cost Empty [] = 1 |*  
*cost (Splay x) [t] = T\_splay x t |*  
*cost (Insert x) [t] = T\_insert x t |*  
*cost (Delete x) [t] = T\_delete x t*

**end**

## 5.2 Splay Tree Analysis

**theory** *Splay\_Tree\_Analysis*  
**imports**  
*Splay\_Tree\_Analysis\_Base*  
*Amortized\_Framework*  
**begin**

### 5.2.1 Analysis of splay

**definition** *A\_splay :: 'a::linorder  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  real where*  
 $A\_splay a t = T\_splay a t + \Phi(\text{splay } a t) - \Phi t$

The following lemma is an attempt to prove a generic lemma that covers

both zig-zig cases. However, the lemma is not as nice as one would like. Hence it is used only once, as a demo. Ideally the lemma would involve function  $A\_splay$ , but that is impossible because this involves  $splay$  and thus depends on the ordering. We would need a truly symmetric version of  $splay$  that takes the ordering as an explicit argument. Then we could define all the symmetric cases by one final equation  $splay2 (<) t = splay2 (\lambda x y. \neg x < y) (mirror\ t)$ . This would simplify the code and the proofs.

**lemma**  $zig\_zig$ : **fixes**  $lx\ x\ rx\ lb\ b\ rb\ a\ ra\ u\ lb1\ lb2$   
**defines**  $[simp]: X == Node\ lx\ (x)\ rx$  **defines**  $[simp]: B == Node\ lb\ b\ rb$   
**defines**  $[simp]: t == Node\ B\ a\ ra$  **defines**  $[simp]: A' == Node\ rb\ a\ ra$   
**defines**  $[simp]: t' == Node\ lb1\ u\ (Node\ lb2\ b\ A')$   
**assumes**  $hyps: lb \neq \langle \rangle$  **and**  $IH: T\_splay\ x\ lb + \Phi\ lb1 + \Phi\ lb2 - \Phi\ lb \leq 2 * \varphi\ lb - 3 * \varphi\ X + 1$  **and**  
 $prems: size\ lb = size\ lb1 + size\ lb2 + 1\ X \in subtrees\ lb$   
**shows**  $T\_splay\ x\ lb + \Phi\ t' - \Phi\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - \varphi\ X)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $A\_splay\_ub$ :  $\llbracket bst\ t; Node\ l\ x\ r : subtrees\ t \rrbracket$   
 $\implies A\_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - \varphi(Node\ l\ x\ r)) + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $A\_splay\_ub2$ : **assumes**  $bst\ t\ x : set\_tree\ t$   
**shows**  $A\_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - 1) + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $A\_splay\_ub3$ : **assumes**  $bst\ t$  **shows**  $A\_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * \varphi\ t + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 5.2.2 Analysis of insert

**lemma**  $amor\_insert$ : **assumes**  $bst\ t$   
**shows**  $T\_insert\ x\ t + \Phi(Splay\_Tree.insert\ x\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 4 * \log\ 2 (size1\ t) + 3$  (**is**  $?l \leq ?r$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 5.2.3 Analysis of delete

**definition**  $A\_splay\_max :: 'a::linorder\ tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $A\_splay\_max\ t = T\_splay\_max\ t + \Phi(splay\_max\ t) - \Phi\ t$

**lemma**  $A\_splay\_max\_ub$ :  $t \neq Leaf \implies A\_splay\_max\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - 1) + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *A\_splay\_max\_ub3*:  $A\_splay\_max\ t \leq 3 * \varphi\ t + 1$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *amor\_delete*: **assumes** *bst t*  
**shows**  $T\_delete\ a\ t + \Phi(Splay\_Tree.delete\ a\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 6 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t) + 3$   
 ⟨proof⟩

#### 5.2.4 Overall analysis

**fun** *U* **where**  
*U Empty* [] = 1 |  
*U (Splay \_)* [t] = 3 \* log 2 (size1 t) + 1 |  
*U (Insert \_)* [t] = 4 \* log 2 (size1 t) + 3 |  
*U (Delete \_)* [t] = 6 \* log 2 (size1 t) + 3

**interpretation** *Amortized*  
**where** *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *inv* = *bst*  
**and** *cost* = *cost* **and**  $\Phi$  =  $\Phi$  **and** *U* = *U*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

### 5.3 Splay Tree Analysis (Optimal)

**theory** *Splay\_Tree\_Analysis\_Optimal*  
**imports**  
*Splay\_Tree\_Analysis\_Base*  
*Amortized\_Framework*  
*HOL-Library.Sum\_of\_Squares*  
**begin**

This analysis follows Schoenmakers [7].

#### 5.3.1 Analysis of splay

**locale** *Splay\_Analysis* =  
**fixes**  $\alpha :: real$  **and**  $\beta :: real$   
**assumes** *a1[arith]*:  $\alpha > 1$   
**assumes** *A1*:  $\llbracket 1 \leq x; 1 \leq y; 1 \leq z \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(x+y) * (y+z) \text{ powr } \beta \leq (x+y) \text{ powr } \beta * (x+y+z)$   
**assumes** *A2*:  $\llbracket 1 \leq l'; 1 \leq r'; 1 \leq lr; 1 \leq r \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\alpha * (l'+r') * (lr+r) \text{ powr } \beta * (lr+r'+r) \text{ powr } \beta$   
 $\leq (l'+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+lr+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+lr+r'+r)$   
**assumes** *A3*:  $\llbracket 1 \leq l'; 1 \leq r'; 1 \leq ll; 1 \leq r \rrbracket \implies$

$$\alpha * (l'+r') * (l'+ll) \text{ powr } \beta * (r'+r) \text{ powr } \beta \\ \leq (l'+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+ll+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+ll+r'+r)$$

**begin**

**lemma** *nl2*:  $\llbracket ll \geq 1; lr \geq 1; r \geq 1 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\log \alpha (ll + lr) + \beta * \log \alpha (lr + r)$   
 $\leq \beta * \log \alpha (ll + lr) + \log \alpha (ll + lr + r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\varphi :: 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
 $\varphi \ t1 \ t2 = \beta * \log \alpha (size1 \ t1 + size1 \ t2)$

**fun**  $\Phi :: 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
 $\Phi \ \text{Leaf} = 0 \mid$   
 $\Phi \ (\text{Node } l \_ r) = \Phi \ l + \Phi \ r + \varphi \ l \ r$

**definition**  $A :: 'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
 $A \ a \ t = T\_splay \ a \ t + \Phi(\text{splay } a \ t) - \Phi \ t$

**lemma**  $A\_simps[simp]$ :  $A \ a \ (\text{Node } l \ a \ r) = 1$   
 $a < b \implies A \ a \ (\text{Node } (\text{Node } ll \ a \ lr) \ b \ r) = \varphi \ lr \ r - \varphi \ lr \ ll + 1$   
 $b < a \implies A \ a \ (\text{Node } l \ b \ (\text{Node } rl \ a \ rr)) = \varphi \ rl \ l - \varphi \ rr \ rl + 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $A\_ub$ :  $\llbracket \text{bst } t; \text{Node } la \ a \ ra : \text{subtrees } t \rrbracket$   
 $\implies A \ a \ t \leq \log \alpha ((size1 \ t)/(size1 \ la + size1 \ ra)) + 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $A\_ub2$ : **assumes**  $\text{bst } t \ a : \text{set\_tree } t$   
**shows**  $A \ a \ t \leq \log \alpha ((size1 \ t)/2) + 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $A\_ub3$ : **assumes**  $\text{bst } t$  **shows**  $A \ a \ t \leq \log \alpha (size1 \ t) + 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $Am :: 'a::\text{linorder} \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
 $Am \ t = T\_splay\_max \ t + \Phi(\text{splay\_max } t) - \Phi \ t$

**lemma**  $Am\_simp3'$ :  $\llbracket c < b; \text{bst } rr; rr \neq \text{Leaf} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $Am \ (\text{Node } l \ c \ (\text{Node } rl \ b \ rr)) =$   
 $(\text{case } \text{splay\_max } rr \ \text{of } \text{Node } rrl \_ \ rrr \implies$

$Am\ rr + \varphi\ rrl\ (Node\ l\ c\ rl) + \varphi\ l\ rl - \varphi\ rl\ rr - \varphi\ rrl\ rrr + 1$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *Am\_ub*:  $\llbracket bst\ t; t \neq Leaf \rrbracket \implies Am\ t \leq \log\ \alpha\ ((size1\ t)/2) + 1$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *Am\_ub3*: **assumes** *bst t* **shows**  $Am\ t \leq \log\ \alpha\ (size1\ t) + 1$   
 <proof>

**end**

### 5.3.2 Optimal Interpretation

**lemma** *mult\_root\_eq\_root*:  
 $n > 0 \implies y \geq 0 \implies root\ n\ x * y = root\ n\ (x * (y \wedge n))$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *mult\_root\_eq\_root2*:  
 $n > 0 \implies y \geq 0 \implies y * root\ n\ x = root\ n\ ((y \wedge n) * x)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *powr\_inverse\_numeral*:  
 $0 < x \implies x\ powr\ (1 / numeral\ n) = root\ (numeral\ n)\ x$   
 <proof>

**lemmas** *root\_simps = mult\_root\_eq\_root mult\_root\_eq\_root2 powr\_inverse\_numeral*

**lemma** *nl31*:  $\llbracket (l'::real) \geq 1; r' \geq 1; lr \geq 1; r \geq 1 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $4 * (l' + r') * (lr + r) \leq (l' + lr + r' + r)^2$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *nl32*: **assumes**  $(l'::real) \geq 1\ r' \geq 1\ lr \geq 1\ r \geq 1$   
**shows**  $4 * (l' + r') * (lr + r) * (lr + r' + r) \leq (l' + lr + r' + r)^3$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *nl3*: **assumes**  $(l'::real) \geq 1\ r' \geq 1\ lr \geq 1\ r \geq 1$   
**shows**  $4 * (l' + r')^2 * (lr + r) * (lr + r' + r)$   
 $\leq (l' + lr + r') * (l' + lr + r' + r)^3$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *nl41*: **assumes**  $(l'::real) \geq 1\ r' \geq 1\ ll \geq 1\ r \geq 1$   
**shows**  $4 * (l' + ll) * (r' + r) \leq (l' + ll + r' + r)^2$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *nl42*: **assumes**  $(l'::real) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ ll \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$   
**shows**  $4 * (l' + r') * (l' + ll) * (r' + r) \leq (l' + ll + r' + r)^3$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *nl4*: **assumes**  $(l'::real) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ ll \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$   
**shows**  $4 * (l' + r')^2 * (l' + ll) * (r' + r)$   
 $\leq (l' + ll + r') * (l' + ll + r' + r)^3$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cancel*:  $x > (0::real) \implies c * x^2 * y * z \leq u * v \implies c * x^3 * y * z \leq x * u * v$   
*<proof>*

**interpretation** *S34*: *Splay\_Analysis root 3 4 1/3*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *log4\_log2*:  $\log_4 x = \log_2 x / 2$   
*<proof>*

**declare** *log\_base\_root*[*simp*]

**lemma** *A34\_ub*: **assumes** *bst t*  
**shows** *S34.A*  $a \ t \leq (3/2) * \log_2 (\text{size1 } t) + 1$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Am34\_ub*: **assumes** *bst t*  
**shows** *S34.Am*  $t \leq (3/2) * \log_2 (\text{size1 } t) + 1$   
*<proof>*

### 5.3.3 Overall analysis

**fun** *U* **where**

*U Empty* [] = 1 |  
*U (Splay \_)* [t] = (3/2) \* log\_2 (size1 t) + 1 |  
*U (Insert \_)* [t] = 2 \* log\_2 (size1 t) + 5/2 |  
*U (Delete \_)* [t] = 3 \* log\_2 (size1 t) + 3

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where** *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *inv* = *bst*  
**and** *cost* = *cost* **and**  $\Phi = \text{S34}.\Phi$  **and** *U* = *U*  
*<proof>*

```

end
theory Priority_Queue_ops
imports Main
begin

datatype 'a op = Empty | Insert 'a | Del_min

fun arity :: 'a op ⇒ nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Insert _) = 1 |
arity Del_min = 1

end

```

## 6 Splay Heap

```

theory Splay_Heap_Analysis
imports
  Splay_Tree.Splay_Heap
  Amortized_Framework
  Priority_Queue_ops
  Lemmas_log
begin

```

Timing functions must be kept in sync with the corresponding functions on splay heaps.

```

fun T_part :: 'a::linorder ⇒ 'a tree ⇒ nat where
T_part p Leaf = 1 |
T_part p (Node l a r) =
  (if a ≤ p then
    case r of
      Leaf ⇒ 1 |
      Node rl b rr ⇒ if b ≤ p then T_part p rr + 1 else T_part p rl + 1
    else case l of
      Leaf ⇒ 1 |
      Node ll b lr ⇒ if b ≤ p then T_part p lr + 1 else T_part p ll + 1)

```

```

definition T_in :: 'a::linorder ⇒ 'a tree ⇒ nat where
T_in x h = T_part x h

```

```

fun T_dm :: 'a::linorder tree ⇒ nat where
T_dm Leaf = 1 |
T_dm (Node Leaf _ r) = 1 |

```

$T\_dm (Node (Node ll a lr) b r) = (if ll=Leaf then 1 else T\_dm ll + 1)$

**abbreviation**  $\varphi t == \log 2 (size1 t)$

**fun**  $\Phi :: 'a tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$\Phi Leaf = 0$  |

$\Phi (Node l a r) = \Phi l + \Phi r + \varphi (Node l a r)$

**lemma** *amor\_del\_min*:  $T\_dm t + \Phi (del\_min t) - \Phi t \leq 2 * \varphi t + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zig\_zig*:

**fixes**  $s u r r1' r2' T a b$

**defines**  $t == Node s a (Node u b r)$  **and**  $t' == Node (Node s a u) b r1'$

**assumes**  $size r1' \leq size r$

$T\_part p r + \Phi r1' + \Phi r2' - \Phi r \leq 2 * \varphi r + 1$

**shows**  $T\_part p r + 1 + \Phi t' + \Phi r2' - \Phi t \leq 2 * \varphi t + 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zig\_zag*:

**fixes**  $s u r r1' r2' a b$

**defines**  $t \equiv Node s a (Node r b u)$  **and**  $t1' \equiv Node s a r1'$  **and**  $t2' \equiv Node u b r2'$

**assumes**  $size r = size r1' + size r2'$

$T\_part p r + \Phi r1' + \Phi r2' - \Phi r \leq 2 * \varphi r + 1$

**shows**  $T\_part p r + 1 + \Phi t1' + \Phi t2' - \Phi t \leq 2 * \varphi t + 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amor\_partition*:  $bst\_wrt (\leq) t \implies partition p t = (l', r')$

$\implies T\_part p t + \Phi l' + \Phi r' - \Phi t \leq 2 * \log 2 (size1 t) + 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *exec* ::  $'a::linorder op \Rightarrow 'a tree list \Rightarrow 'a tree$  **where**

*exec* *Empty* [] = *Leaf* |

*exec* (*Insert* *a*) [t] = *insert* *a* t |

*exec* *Del\_min* [t] = *del\_min* t

**fun** *cost* ::  $'a::linorder op \Rightarrow 'a tree list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**

*cost* *Empty* [] = 1 |

*cost* (*Insert* *a*) [t] = *T\_in* *a* t |

*cost* *Del\_min* [t] = *T\_dm* t

**fun** *U* **where**

*U* *Empty* [] = 1 |

$U \text{ (Insert \_)} [t] = 3 * \log 2 (\text{size1 } t + 1) + 1 \mid$   
 $U \text{ Del\_min } [t] = 2 * \varphi t + 1$

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where** *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *inv* = *bst\_wrt* ( $\leq$ )

**and** *cost* = *cost* **and**  $\Phi$  =  $\Phi$  **and** *U* = *U*

*<proof>*

**end**

## 7 Pairing Heaps

### 7.1 Binary Tree Representation

**theory** *Pairing\_Heap\_Tree\_Analysis*

**imports**

*Pairing\_Heap.Pairing\_Heap\_Tree*

*Amortized\_Framework*

*Priority\_Queue\_ops\_merge*

*Lemmas\_log*

**begin**

Verification of logarithmic bounds on the amortized complexity of pairing heaps [2, 1].

**fun** *len* :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**

*len Leaf* = 0

| *len (Node \_ \_ r)* = 1 + *len r*

**fun**  $\Phi$  :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  real **where**

$\Phi \text{ Leaf}$  = 0

|  $\Phi \text{ (Node } l \ x \ r)$  =  $\log 2 (\text{size (Node } l \ x \ r)) + \Phi \ l + \Phi \ r$

**lemma** *link\_size[simp]*: *size (link hp)* = *size hp*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *size\_pass1*: *size (pass1 hp)* = *size hp*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *size\_pass2*: *size (pass2 hp)* = *size hp*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *size\_merge*:

*is\_root h1*  $\implies$  *is\_root h2*  $\implies$  *size (merge h1 h2)* = *size h1* + *size h2*

*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_insert: is\_root\ hp \implies \Phi (insert\ x\ hp) - \Phi\ hp \leq \log\ 2\ (size\ hp + 1)$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_merge:$

**assumes**  $h1 = Node\ hs1\ x1\ Leaf\ h2 = Node\ hs2\ x2\ Leaf$

**shows**  $\Phi (merge\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\ h1 - \Phi\ h2 \leq \log\ 2\ (size\ h1 + size\ h2) + 1$   
 <proof>

**fun**  $ub\_pass1 :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$ub\_pass1\ (Node\ \_ \_ Leaf) = 0$

$| ub\_pass1\ (Node\ hs1\ \_ (Node\ hs2\ \_ Leaf)) = 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\ hs1 + size\ hs2 + 2)$

$| ub\_pass1\ (Node\ hs1\ \_ (Node\ hs2\ \_ hs)) = 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\ hs1 + size\ hs2 + size\ hs + 2)$   
 $- 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\ hs) - 2 + ub\_pass1\ hs$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1\_ub\_pass1: hs \neq Leaf \implies \Phi (pass1\ hs) - \Phi\ hs \leq ub\_pass1\ hs$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1: assumes\ hs \neq Leaf$

**shows**  $\Phi (pass1\ hs) - \Phi\ hs \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\ hs) - len\ hs + 2$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2: hs \neq Leaf \implies \Phi (pass2\ hs) - \Phi\ hs \leq \log\ 2\ (size\ hs)$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_del\_min: assumes\ hs \neq Leaf$

**shows**  $\Phi (del\_min\ (Node\ hs\ x\ Leaf)) - \Phi (Node\ hs\ x\ Leaf)$   
 $\leq 3 * \log\ 2\ (size\ hs) - len\ hs + 2$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $is\_root\_merge:$

$is\_root\ h1 \implies is\_root\ h2 \implies is\_root\ (merge\ h1\ h2)$

<proof>

**lemma**  $is\_root\_insert: is\_root\ h \implies is\_root\ (insert\ x\ h)$

<proof>

**lemma**  $is\_root\_del\_min:$

**assumes**  $is\_root\ h$  **shows**  $is\_root\ (del\_min\ h)$

<proof>

**lemma** *pass1\_len*:  $\text{len } (\text{pass1 } h) \leq \text{len } h$   
 <proof>

**fun** *exec* :: 'a :: linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree **where**  
*exec* *Empty* [] = *Leaf* |  
*exec* *Del\_min* [h] = *del\_min* h |  
*exec* (*Insert* x) [h] = *insert* x h |  
*exec* *Merge* [h1,h2] = *merge* h1 h2

**fun** *T<sub>pass1</sub>* :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**  
*T<sub>pass1</sub>* *Leaf* = 1  
 | *T<sub>pass1</sub>* (*Node* \_ \_ *Leaf*) = 1  
 | *T<sub>pass1</sub>* (*Node* \_ \_ (*Node* \_ \_ ry)) = *T<sub>pass1</sub>* ry + 1

**fun** *T<sub>pass2</sub>* :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**  
*T<sub>pass2</sub>* *Leaf* = 1  
 | *T<sub>pass2</sub>* (*Node* \_ \_ rx) = *T<sub>pass2</sub>* rx + 1

**fun** *cost* :: 'a :: linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**  
*cost* *Empty* [] = 1  
 | *cost* *Del\_min* [*Leaf*] = 1  
 | *cost* *Del\_min* [*Node* lx \_ \_] = *T<sub>pass2</sub>* (*pass1* lx) + *T<sub>pass1</sub>* lx  
 | *cost* (*Insert* a) \_ = 1  
 | *cost* *Merge* \_ = 1

**fun** *U* :: 'a :: linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  real **where**  
*U* *Empty* [] = 1  
 | *U* (*Insert* a) [h] =  $\log 2 (\text{size } h + 1) + 1$   
 | *U* *Del\_min* [h] =  $3 * \log 2 (\text{size } h + 1) + 4$   
 | *U* *Merge* [h1,h2] =  $\log 2 (\text{size } h1 + \text{size } h2 + 1) + 2$

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where** *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *cost* = *cost* **and** *inv* = *is\_root*  
**and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = U$   
 <proof>

**end**

## 8 Pairing Heaps

### 8.1 Binary Tree Representation

**theory** *Pairing\_Heap\_Tree\_Analysis2*

**imports**

*Pairing\_Heap.Pairing\_Heap\_Tree*  
*Amortized\_Framework*  
*Priority\_Queue\_ops\_merge*  
*Lemmas\_log*

**begin**

Verification of logarithmic bounds on the amortized complexity of pairing heaps. As in [2, 1], except that the treatment of *pass*<sub>1</sub> is simplified. TODO: convert the other Pairing Heap analyses to this one.

**fun** *len* :: 'a tree ⇒ nat **where**

*len* Leaf = 0  
| *len* (Node \_ \_ r) = 1 + *len* r

**fun**  $\Phi$  :: 'a tree ⇒ real **where**

$\Phi$  Leaf = 0  
|  $\Phi$  (Node l x r) = log 2 (size (Node l x r)) +  $\Phi$  l +  $\Phi$  r

**lemma** *link\_size[simp]*: size (link hp) = size hp  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *size\_pass1*: size (pass<sub>1</sub> hp) = size hp  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *size\_pass2*: size (pass<sub>2</sub> hp) = size hp  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *size\_merge*:

*is\_root* h1 ⇒ *is\_root* h2 ⇒ size (merge h1 h2) = size h1 + size h2  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_insert$ : *is\_root* hp ⇒  $\Phi$  (insert x hp) −  $\Phi$  hp ≤ log 2 (size hp + 1)  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_merge$ :

**assumes** h1 = Node hs1 x1 Leaf h2 = Node hs2 x2 Leaf  
**shows**  $\Phi$  (merge h1 h2) −  $\Phi$  h1 −  $\Phi$  h2 ≤ log 2 (size h1 + size h2) + 1  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1$ :  $\Phi$  (pass<sub>1</sub> hs) −  $\Phi$  hs ≤ 2 \* log 2 (size hs + 1) − len hs + 2  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi_{pass2}$ :  $hs \neq Leaf \implies \Phi (pass_2 hs) - \Phi hs \leq \log 2 (size hs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary**  $\Delta\Phi_{pass2}'$ :  $\Phi (pass_2 hs) - \Phi hs \leq \log 2 (size hs + 1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi_{del\_min}$ :  
 $\Phi (del\_min (Node hs x Leaf)) - \Phi (Node hs x Leaf)$   
 $\leq 2 * \log 2 (size hs + 1) - len hs + 2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $is\_root\_merge$ :  
 $is\_root h1 \implies is\_root h2 \implies is\_root (merge h1 h2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $is\_root\_insert$ :  $is\_root h \implies is\_root (insert x h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $is\_root\_del\_min$ :  
**assumes**  $is\_root h$  **shows**  $is\_root (del\_min h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $pass1\_len$ :  $len (pass_1 h) \leq len h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun**  $exec$  ::  $'a :: linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ tree\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ tree$  **where**  
 $exec\ Empty\ [] = Leaf$  |  
 $exec\ Del\_min\ [h] = del\_min\ h$  |  
 $exec\ (Insert\ x)\ [h] = insert\ x\ h$  |  
 $exec\ Merge\ [h1,h2] = merge\ h1\ h2$

**fun**  $T_{pass1}$  ::  $'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T_{pass1}\ (Node\ \_ \_ (Node\ \_ \_ hs')) = T_{pass1}\ hs' + 1$  |  
 $T_{pass1}\ h = 1$

**fun**  $T_{pass2}$  ::  $'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T_{pass2}\ Leaf = 1$   
|  $T_{pass2}\ (Node\ \_ \_ hs) = T_{pass2}\ hs + 1$

**fun**  $T_{del\_min}$  ::  $('a::linorder)\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T_{del\_min}\ Leaf = 1$  |  
 $T_{del\_min}\ (Node\ hs\ \_ \_) = T_{pass2}\ (pass_1\ hs) + T_{pass1}\ hs + 1$

**fun**  $T_{insert}$  ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**

$T\_insert\ a\ h = 1$

**fun**  $T\_merge :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_merge\ h1\ h2 = 1$

**lemma**  $A\_del\_min$ : **assumes**  $is\_root\ h$   
**shows**  $T\_del\_min\ h + \Phi(del\_min\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\ h + 1) + 5$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $A\_insert$ :  $is\_root\ h \implies T\_insert\ a\ h + \Phi(insert\ a\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq$   
 $\log\ 2\ (size\ h + 1) + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $A\_merge$ : **assumes**  $is\_root\ h1\ is\_root\ h2$   
**shows**  $T\_merge\ h1\ h2 + \Phi(merge\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\ h1 - \Phi\ h2 \leq \log\ 2\ (size$   
 $h1 + size\ h2 + 1) + 2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun**  $cost :: 'a :: linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ tree\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $cost\ Empty\ [] = 1$   
 $| cost\ Del\_min\ [h] = T\_del\_min\ h$   
 $| cost\ (Insert\ a)\ [h] = T\_insert\ a\ h$   
 $| cost\ Merge\ [h1,h2] = T\_merge\ h1\ h2$

**fun**  $U :: 'a :: linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ tree\ list \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $U\ Empty\ [] = 1$   
 $| U\ (Insert\ a)\ [h] = \log\ 2\ (size\ h + 1) + 1$   
 $| U\ Del\_min\ [h] = 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\ h + 1) + 5$   
 $| U\ Merge\ [h1,h2] = \log\ 2\ (size\ h1 + size\ h2 + 1) + 2$

**interpretation**  $Amortized$

**where**  $arity = arity$  **and**  $exec = exec$  **and**  $cost = cost$  **and**  $inv = is\_root$   
**and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = U$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 8.2 Okasaki's Pairing Heap

**theory**  $Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis$   
**imports**  
 $Pairing\_Heap.Pairing\_Heap\_List1$   
 $Amortized\_Framework$   
 $Priority\_Queue\_ops\_merge$

*Lemmas\_log*  
**begin**

Amortized analysis of pairing heaps as defined by Okasaki [6].

**fun** *hps* **where**  
*hps* (*Hp* \_ *hs*) = *hs*

**lemma** *merge\_Empty[simp]*: *merge heap.Empty h = h*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *merge2*: *merge (Hp x lx) h = (case h of heap.Empty ⇒ Hp x lx |*  
*(Hp y ly) ⇒*  
*(if x < y then Hp x (Hp y ly # lx) else Hp y (Hp x lx # ly)))*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *pass1\_Nil\_iff*: *pass1 hs = [] ⟷ hs = []*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

### 8.2.1 Invariant

**fun** *no\_Empty* :: '*a* :: *linorder heap* ⇒ *bool* **where**  
*no\_Empty heap.Empty = False* |  
*no\_Empty (Hp x hs) = (∀ h ∈ set hs. no\_Empty h)*

**abbreviation** *no\_Emptyys* :: '*a* :: *linorder heap list* ⇒ *bool* **where**  
*no\_Emptyys hs ≡ ∀ h ∈ set hs. no\_Empty h*

**fun** *is\_root* :: '*a* :: *linorder heap* ⇒ *bool* **where**  
*is\_root heap.Empty = True* |  
*is\_root (Hp x hs) = no\_Emptyys hs*

**lemma** *is\_root\_if\_no\_Empty*: *no\_Empty h ⟹ is\_root h*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *no\_Emptyys\_hps*: *no\_Empty h ⟹ no\_Emptyys(hps h)*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *no\_Empty\_merge*:  $\llbracket \text{no\_Empty } h1; \text{no\_Empty } h2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{no\_Empty}$   
*(merge h1 h2)*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *is\_root\_merge*:  $\llbracket \text{is\_root } h1; \text{is\_root } h2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{is\_root (merge } h1$   
*h2)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *no\_Empty\_pass1*:

*no\_Empty hs  $\implies$  no\_Empty (pass<sub>1</sub> hs)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *is\_root\_pass2*: *no\_Empty hs  $\implies$  is\_root(pass<sub>2</sub> hs)*

*<proof>*

### 8.2.2 Complexity

**fun** *size\_hp* :: *'a heap  $\Rightarrow$  nat* **where**

*size\_hp heap.Empty = 0* |

*size\_hp (Hp x hs) = sum\_list(map size\_hp hs) + 1*

**abbreviation** *size\_hps* **where**

*size\_hps hs  $\equiv$  sum\_list(map size\_hp hs)*

**fun**  $\Phi$ \_hps :: *'a heap list  $\Rightarrow$  real* **where**

$\Phi$ \_hps [] = 0 |

$\Phi$ \_hps (heap.Empty # hs) =  $\Phi$ \_hps hs |

$\Phi$ \_hps (Hp x hsl # hsr) =

$\Phi$ \_hps hsl +  $\Phi$ \_hps hsr +  $\log 2$  (size\_hps hsl + size\_hps hsr + 1)

**fun**  $\Phi$  :: *'a heap  $\Rightarrow$  real* **where**

$\Phi$  heap.Empty = 0 |

$\Phi$  (Hp \_ hs) =  $\Phi$ \_hps hs +  $\log 2$  (size\_hps(hs)+1)

**lemma**  $\Phi$ \_hps\_ge0:  $\Phi$ \_hps hs  $\geq 0$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *no\_Empty\_ge0*: *no\_Empty h  $\implies$  size\_hp h > 0*

*<proof>*

**declare** *algebra\_simps*[simp]

**lemma**  $\Phi$ \_hps1:  $\Phi$ \_hps [h] =  $\Phi$  h

*<proof>*

**lemma** *size\_hp\_merge*: *size\_hp(merge h1 h2) = size\_hp h1 + size\_hp h2*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *pass1\_size*[simp]: *size\_hps (pass<sub>1</sub> hs) = size\_hps hs*

*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_insert$ :

$$\Phi (Pairing\_Heap\_List1.insert\ x\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq \log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h + 1)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_merge$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi (merge\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\ h1 - \Phi\ h2 \\ & \leq \log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h1 + size\_hp\ h2 + 1) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

*<proof>*

**fun**  $sum\_ub :: 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$$sum\_ub\ [] = 0$$

$$| sum\_ub\ [_] = 0$$

$$| sum\_ub\ [h1, h2] = 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h1 + size\_hp\ h2)$$

$$| sum\_ub\ (h1 \# h2 \# hs) = 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h1 + size\_hp\ h2 + size\_hps\ hs)$$

$$- 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\_hps\ hs) - 2 + sum\_ub\ hs$$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1\_sum\_ub$ :  $no\_Emptyys\ hs \Longrightarrow$

$$\Phi\_hps\ (pass1\ hs) - \Phi\_hps\ hs \leq sum\_ub\ hs\ (\mathbf{is}\ \_ \Longrightarrow ?P\ hs)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1$ : **assumes**  $hs \neq []$   $no\_Emptyys\ hs$

$$\mathbf{shows}\ \Phi\_hps\ (pass1\ hs) - \Phi\_hps\ hs \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\_hps\ hs) - length\ hs + 2$$

*<proof>*

**lemma**  $size\_hps\_pass2$ :  $hs \neq [] \Longrightarrow no\_Emptyys\ hs \Longrightarrow$

$$no\_Emptyys\ (pass2\ hs) \ \&\ size\_hps\ hs = size\_hps\ (hps\ (pass2\ hs)) + 1$$

*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2$ :  $hs \neq [] \Longrightarrow no\_Emptyys\ hs \Longrightarrow$

$$\Phi\ (pass2\ hs) - \Phi\_hps\ hs \leq \log\ 2\ (size\_hps\ hs)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_del\_min$ : **assumes**  $hps\ h \neq []$   $no\_Empty\ h$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{shows}\ \Phi\ (del\_min\ h) - \Phi\ h \\ & \leq 3 * \log\ 2\ (size\_hps\ (hps\ h)) - length\ (hps\ h) + 2 \end{aligned}$$

*<proof>*

**fun**  $exec :: 'a :: linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ heap$  **where**

$$exec\ Empty\ [] = heap.Empty\ |$$

```

exec Del_min [h] = del_min h |
exec (Insert x) [h] = Pairing_Heap_List1.insert x h |
exec Merge [h1,h2] = merge h1 h2

```

```

fun T_pass1 :: 'a heap list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  T_pass1 [] = 1
| T_pass1 [_] = 1
| T_pass1 (_ # _ # hs) = 1 + T_pass1 hs

```

```

fun T_pass2 :: 'a heap list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  T_pass2 [] = 1
| T_pass2 (_ # hs) = 1 + T_pass2 hs

```

```

fun cost :: 'a :: linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a heap list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost Del_min [heap.Empty] = 1 |
cost Del_min [Hp x hs] = T_pass2 (pass1 hs) + T_pass1 hs |
cost (Insert a) _ = 1 |
cost Merge _ = 1

```

```

fun U :: 'a :: linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a heap list  $\Rightarrow$  real where
U Empty _ = 1 |
U (Insert a) [h] = log 2 (size_hp h + 1) + 1 |
U Del_min [h] = 3*log 2 (size_hp h + 1) + 4 |
U Merge [h1,h2] = log 2 (size_hp h1 + size_hp h2 + 1) + 2

```

**interpretation** pairing: Amortized

**where** arity = arity **and** exec = exec **and** cost = cost **and** inv = is\_root  
**and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = U$   
<proof>

**end**

### 8.3 Transfer of Tree Analysis to List Representation

**theory** Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis2

**imports**

Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis

Pairing\_Heap\_Tree\_Analysis

**begin**

This theory transfers the amortized analysis of the tree-based pairing heaps to Okasaki's pairing heaps.

**abbreviation** is\_root' == Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.is\_root

**abbreviation**  $del\_min' == Pairing\_Heap\_List1.del\_min$   
**abbreviation**  $insert' == Pairing\_Heap\_List1.insert$   
**abbreviation**  $merge' == Pairing\_Heap\_List1.merge$   
**abbreviation**  $pass_1' == Pairing\_Heap\_List1.pass_1$   
**abbreviation**  $pass_2' == Pairing\_Heap\_List1.pass_2$   
**abbreviation**  $T_{pass_1}' == Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.T_{pass_1}$   
**abbreviation**  $T_{pass_2}' == Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.T_{pass_2}$

**fun**  $homs :: 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ tree$  **where**  
 $homs [] = Leaf$  |  
 $homs (Hp\ x\ lhs\ \#\ rhs) = Node\ (homs\ lhs)\ x\ (homs\ rhs)$

**fun**  $hom :: 'a\ heap \Rightarrow 'a\ tree$  **where**  
 $hom\ heap.Empty = Leaf$  |  
 $hom\ (Hp\ x\ hs) = (Node\ (homs\ hs)\ x\ Leaf)$

**lemma**  $homs\_pass1'$ :  $no\_Emptyys\ hs \Longrightarrow homs(pass_1'\ hs) = pass_1\ (homs\ hs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $hom\_merge'$ :  $\llbracket no\_Emptyys\ lhs; Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.is\_root\ h \rrbracket$   
 $\Longrightarrow hom\ (merge'\ (Hp\ x\ lhs)\ h) = link\ (homs\ lhs,\ x,\ hom\ h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $hom\_pass2'$ :  $no\_Emptyys\ hs \Longrightarrow hom(pass_2'\ hs) = pass_2\ (homs\ hs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $del\_min'$ :  $is\_root'\ h \Longrightarrow hom(del\_min'\ h) = del\_min\ (hom\ h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $insert'$ :  $is\_root'\ h \Longrightarrow hom(insert'\ x\ h) = insert\ x\ (hom\ h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $merge'$ :  
 $\llbracket is\_root'\ h1; is\_root'\ h2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow hom(merge'\ h1\ h2) = merge\ (hom\ h1)$   
 $(hom\ h2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $T_{pass_1}'$ :  $no\_Emptyys\ hs \Longrightarrow T_{pass_1}'\ hs = T_{pass_1}(homs\ hs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $T_{pass_2}'$ :  $no\_Emptyys\ hs \Longrightarrow T_{pass_2}'\ hs = T_{pass_2}(homs\ hs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *size\_hp*:  $is\_root' h \implies size\_hp h = size (hom h)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**interpretation** *Amortized2*

**where** *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *inv* = *is\_root*

**and** *cost* = *cost* **and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and** *U* = *U*

**and** *hom* = *hom*

**and** *exec'* = *Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.exec*

**and** *cost'* = *Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.cost* **and** *inv'* = *is\_root'*

**and** *U'* = *Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.U*

⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

## 8.4 Okasaki's Pairing Heap (Modified)

**theory** *Pairing\_Heap\_List2\_Analysis*

**imports**

*Pairing\_Heap.Pairing\_Heap\_List2*

*Amortized\_Framework*

*Priority\_Queue\_ops\_merge*

*Lemmas\_log*

**begin**

Amortized analysis of a modified version of the pairing heaps defined by Okasaki [6].

**fun** *lift\_hp* :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a hp  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a heap  $\Rightarrow$  'b **where**

*lift\_hp* c f None = c |

*lift\_hp* c f (Some h) = f h

**fun** *size\_hps* :: 'a hp list  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**

*size\_hps*(Hp x hsl # hsr) = *size\_hps* hsl + *size\_hps* hsr + 1 |

*size\_hps* [] = 0

**definition** *size\_hp* :: 'a hp  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**

[*simp*]: *size\_hp* h = *size\_hps*(hps h) + 1

**fun**  $\Phi\_hps$  :: 'a hp list  $\Rightarrow$  real **where**

$\Phi\_hps$  [] = 0 |

$\Phi\_hps$  (Hp x hsl # hsr) =  $\Phi\_hps$  hsl +  $\Phi\_hps$  hsr + log 2 (size\_hps hsl + size\_hps hsr + 1)

**definition**  $\Phi\_hp$  :: 'a hp  $\Rightarrow$  real **where**

[simp]:  $\Phi\_hp\ h = \Phi\_hps\ (hps\ h) + \log\ 2\ (size\_hps(hps(h))+1)$

**abbreviation**  $\Phi :: 'a\ heap \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$\Phi \equiv lift\_hp\ 0\ \Phi\_hp$

**abbreviation**  $size\_heap :: 'a\ heap \Rightarrow nat$  **where**

$size\_heap \equiv lift\_hp\ 0\ size\_hp$

**lemma**  $\Phi\_hps\_ge0$ :  $\Phi\_hps\ hs \geq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**declare**  $algebra\_simps[simp]$

**lemma**  $size\_hps\_Cons[simp]$ :  $size\_hps(h\ \#\ hs) = size\_hp\ h + size\_hps\ hs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $link2$ :  $link\ (Hp\ x\ lx)\ h = (case\ h\ of\ (Hp\ y\ ly) \Rightarrow$

$(if\ x < y\ then\ Hp\ x\ (Hp\ y\ ly\ \#\ lx)\ else\ Hp\ y\ (Hp\ x\ lx\ \#\ ly)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $size\_hps\_link$ :  $size\_hps(hps\ (link\ h1\ h2)) = size\_hp\ h1 + size\_hp\ h2 - 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $pass1\_size[simp]$ :  $size\_hps\ (pass1\ hs) = size\_hps\ hs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $pass2\_None[simp]$ :  $pass2\ hs = None \longleftrightarrow hs = []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_insert$ :

$\Phi\ (Pairing\_Heap\_List2.insert\ x\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq \log\ 2\ (size\_heap\ h + 1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_link$ :  $\Phi\_hp\ (link\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\_hp\ h1 - \Phi\_hp\ h2 \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h1 + size\_hp\ h2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**fun**  $sum\_ub :: 'a\ hp\ list \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$sum\_ub\ [] = 0$

$| sum\_ub\ [Hp\ \_ \_] = 0$

$| sum\_ub\ [Hp\ \_ \ lx, Hp\ \_ \ ly] = 2 * \log\ 2\ (2 + size\_hps\ lx + size\_hps\ ly)$

$| sum\_ub\ (Hp\ \_ \ lx\ \# \ Hp\ \_ \ ly\ \# \ ry) = 2 * \log\ 2\ (2 + size\_hps\ lx + size\_hps\ ry)$

$ly + size\_hps\ ry)$   
 $- 2 * \log 2 (size\_hps\ ry) - 2 + sum\_ub\ ry$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1\_sum\_ub$ :  $\Phi\_hps (pass1\ h) - \Phi\_hps\ h \leq sum\_ub\ h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1$ : **assumes**  $hs \neq []$   
**shows**  $\Phi\_hps (pass1\ hs) - \Phi\_hps\ hs \leq 2 * \log 2 (size\_hps\ hs) - length\ hs + 2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $size\_hps\_pass2$ :  $pass2\ hs = Some\ h \implies size\_hps\ hs = size\_hps(hs\ h) + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2$ :  $hs \neq [] \implies \Phi (pass2\ hs) - \Phi\_hps\ hs \leq \log 2 (size\_hps\ hs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_del\_min$ : **assumes**  $hps\ h \neq []$   
**shows**  $\Phi (del\_min (Some\ h)) - \Phi (Some\ h)$   
 $\leq 3 * \log 2 (size\_hps(hps\ h)) - length(hps\ h) + 2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun**  $exec :: 'a :: linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ heap$  **where**  
 $exec\ Empty\ [] = None$  |  
 $exec\ Del\_min\ [h] = del\_min\ h$  |  
 $exec\ (Insert\ x)\ [h] = Pairing\_Heap\_List2.insert\ x\ h$  |  
 $exec\ Merge\ [h1,h2] = merge\ h1\ h2$

**fun**  $T_{pass1} :: 'a\ hp\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T_{pass1}\ [] = 1$   
 $| T_{pass1}\ [_] = 1$   
 $| T_{pass1}\ (_\#\_ \# hs) = 1 + T_{pass1}\ hs$

**fun**  $T_{pass2} :: 'a\ hp\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T_{pass2}\ [] = 1$  |  
 $T_{pass2}\ (_\# hs) = 1 + T_{pass2}\ hs$

**fun**  $cost :: 'a :: linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $cost\ Empty\ _ = 1$  |

```

cost Del_min [None] = 1 |
cost Del_min [Some(Hp x hs)] = 1 + Tpass2 (pass1 hs) + Tpass1 hs |
cost (Insert a) _ = 1 |
cost Merge _ = 1

```

**fun**  $U :: 'a :: \text{linorder } op \Rightarrow 'a \text{ heap list} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**

```

U Empty _ = 1 |
U (Insert a) [h] = log 2 (size_heap h + 1) + 1 |
U Del_min [h] = 3*log 2 (size_heap h + 1) + 5 |
U Merge [h1,h2] = 2*log 2 (size_heap h1 + size_heap h2 + 1) + 1

```

**interpretation** *pairing: Amortized*

**where**  $arity = arity$  **and**  $exec = exec$  **and**  $cost = cost$  **and**  $inv = \lambda\_ . True$

**and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = U$

*<proof>*

**end**

## References

- [1] H. Brinkop. Verifikation der amortisierten Laufzeit von Pairing Heaps in Isabelle, 2015. Bachelor’s Thesis, Fakultät für Informatik, Technische Universität München.
- [2] M. L. Fredman, R. Sedgewick, D. D. Sleator, and R. E. Tarjan. The pairing heap: A new form of self-adjusting heap. *Algorithmica*, 1(1):111–129, 1986.
- [3] A. Kaldewaij and B. Schoenmakers. The derivation of a tighter bound for top-down skew heaps. *Information Processing Letters*, 37:265–271, 1991.
- [4] T. Nipkow. Amortized complexity verified. In C. Urban and X. Zhang, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2015)*, volume 9236 of *LNCS*, pages 310–324. Springer, 2015.
- [5] T. Nipkow and H. Brinkop. Amortized complexity verified, 2016. Submitted for publication.
- [6] C. Okasaki. *Purely Functional Data Structures*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [7] B. Schoenmakers. A systematic analysis of splaying. *Information Processing Letters*, 45:41–50, 1993.