

# Amortized Complexity Verified

Tobias Nipkow

December 14, 2021

## Abstract

A framework for the analysis of the amortized complexity of (functional) data structures is formalized in Isabelle/HOL and applied to a number of standard examples and to the following non-trivial ones: skew heaps, splay trees, splay heaps and pairing heaps. This work is described in [4] (except for pairing heaps). An extended version (including pairing heaps) is available online [5].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Amortized Complexity (Unary Operations)</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Binary Counter . . . . .	4
1.2	Dynamic tables: insert only . . . . .	5
1.3	Stack with multipop . . . . .	8
1.4	Queue . . . . .	9
1.5	Dynamic tables: insert and delete . . . . .	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Amortized Complexity Framework</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Simple Examples</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Binary Counter . . . . .	14
3.2	Stack with multipop . . . . .	15
3.3	Dynamic tables: insert only . . . . .	16
3.4	Dynamic tables: insert and delete . . . . .	20
3.5	Queue . . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Skew Heap Analysis</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Splay Tree</b>	<b>30</b>
5.1	Basics . . . . .	30
5.2	Splay Tree Analysis . . . . .	33
5.3	Splay Tree Analysis (Optimal) . . . . .	43
<b>6</b>	<b>Splay Heap</b>	<b>55</b>

<b>7</b>	<b>Pairing Heaps</b>	<b>61</b>
7.1	Binary Tree Representation . . . . .	61
<b>8</b>	<b>Pairing Heaps</b>	<b>67</b>
8.1	Binary Tree Representation . . . . .	67
8.2	Okasaki's Pairing Heap . . . . .	73
8.3	Transfer of Tree Analysis to List Representation . . . . .	81
8.4	Okasaki's Pairing Heap (Modified) . . . . .	84

# 1 Amortized Complexity (Unary Operations)

```
theory Amortized_Framework0
imports Complex_Main
begin
```

This theory provides a simple amortized analysis framework where all operations act on a single data type, i.e. no union-like operations. This is the basis of the ITP 2015 paper by Nipkow. Although it is superseded by the model in *Amortized\_Framework* that allows arbitrarily many parameters, it is still of interest because of its simplicity.

```
locale Amortized =
fixes init :: 's
fixes next :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  's
fixes inv :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  bool
fixes T :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes  $\Phi$  :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes U :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  real
assumes inv_init: inv init
assumes inv_next: inv s  $\implies$  inv(next f s)
assumes p0s: inv s  $\implies$   $\Phi s \geq 0$ 
assumes p0:  $\Phi init = 0$ 
assumes U: inv s  $\implies$  T f s +  $\Phi(next f s)$  -  $\Phi s \leq U f s$ 
begin
```

```
fun state :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'o)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  's where
state f 0 = init |
state f (Suc n) = next (f n) (state f n)
```

```
lemma inv_state: inv(state f n)
by(induction n)(simp_all add: inv_init inv_next)
```

```
definition A :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'o)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  real where
A f i = T (f i) (state f i) +  $\Phi(state f (i+1))$  -  $\Phi(state f i)$ 
```

```
lemma aeq: ( $\sum i < n. T (f i) (state f i)$ ) = ( $\sum i < n. A f i$ ) -  $\Phi(state f n)$ 
apply(induction n)
apply (simp add: p0)
apply (simp add: A_def)
done
```

```
corollary TA: ( $\sum i < n. T (f i) (state f i)$ )  $\leq$  ( $\sum i < n. A f i$ )
by (metis add.commute aeq diff_add_cancel le_add_same_cancel2 p0s[OF inv_state])
```

**lemma aa1:**  $A f i \leq U (f i) (state f i)$   
**by**(simp add: A\_def U inv\_state)

**lemma ub:**  $(\sum i < n. T (f i) (state f i)) \leq (\sum i < n. U (f i) (state f i))$   
**by** (metis (mono\_tags) aa1 order.trans sum\_mono TA)

**end**

## 1.1 Binary Counter

**locale** BinCounter  
**begin**

**fun** incr **where**  
 incr [] = [True] |  
 incr (False#bs) = True # bs |  
 incr (True#bs) = False # incr bs

**fun** T\_incr :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  real **where**  
 T\_incr [] = 1 |  
 T\_incr (False#bs) = 1 |  
 T\_incr (True#bs) = T\_incr bs + 1

**definition** p\_incr :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  real ( $\Phi$ ) **where**  
 $\Phi$  bs = length(filter id bs)

**lemma** A\_incr:  $T\_incr\ bs + \Phi(incr\ bs) - \Phi\ bs = 2$   
**apply**(induction bs rule: incr.induct)  
**apply** (simp\_all add: p\_incr\_def)  
**done**

**interpretation** incr: Amortized  
**where** init = [] **and** nxt = %\_. incr **and** inv =  $\lambda$ \_. True  
**and** T =  $\lambda$ \_. T\_incr **and**  $\Phi$  =  $\Phi$  **and** U =  $\lambda$ \_. 2  
**proof** (standard, goal\_cases)  
**case** 1 **show** ?case **by** simp  
**next**  
**case** 2 **show** ?case **by** simp  
**next**  
**case** 3 **show** ?case **by**(simp add: p\_incr\_def)  
**next**  
**case** 4 **show** ?case **by**(simp add: p\_incr\_def)  
**next**

```

  case 5 show ?case by(simp add: A_incr)
qed

```

```

thm incr_ub

```

```

end

```

## 1.2 Dynamic tables: insert only

```

fun T_ins :: nat × nat ⇒ real where
  T_ins (n,l) = (if n<l then 1 else n+1)

```

```

interpretation ins: Amortized

```

```

where init = (0::nat,0::nat)

```

```

and nxt = λ_. (n,l). (n+1, if n<l then l else if l=0 then 1 else 2*l)

```

```

and inv = λ(n,l). if l=0 then n=0 else n ≤ l ∧ l < 2*n

```

```

and T = λ_. T_ins and Φ = λ(n,l). 2*n - l and U = λ_. 3

```

```

proof (standard, goal_cases)

```

```

  case 1 show ?case by auto

```

```

next

```

```

  case (2 s) thus ?case by(cases s) auto

```

```

next

```

```

  case (3 s) thus ?case by(cases s)(simp split: if_splits)

```

```

next

```

```

  case 4 show ?case by(simp)

```

```

next

```

```

  case (5 s) thus ?case by(cases s) auto

```

```

qed

```

```

locale table_insert =

```

```

  fixes a :: real

```

```

  fixes c :: real

```

```

  assumes c1[arith]: c > 1

```

```

  assumes ac2: a ≥ c/(c - 1)

```

```

begin

```

```

lemma ac: a ≥ 1/(c - 1)

```

```

using ac2 by(simp add: field_simps)

```

```

lemma a0[arith]: a > 0

```

```

proof-

```

```

  have 1/(c - 1) > 0 using ac by simp

```

```

  thus ?thesis by (metis ac dual_order.strict_trans1)

```

```

qed

```

**definition**  $b = 1/(c - 1)$

**lemma**  $b0[arith]: b > 0$   
**using**  $ac$  **by** ( $simp$   $add: b\_def$ )

**fun**  $ins :: nat * nat \Rightarrow nat * nat$  **where**  
 $ins(n,l) = (n+1, \text{if } n < l \text{ then } l \text{ else if } l=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } nat(\text{ceiling}(c*l)))$

**fun**  $pins :: nat * nat \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $pins(n,l) = a*n - b*l$

**interpretation**  $ins$ : *Amortized*  
**where**  $init = (0,0)$  **and**  $next = \%\_ . ins$   
**and**  $inv = \lambda(n,l). \text{if } l=0 \text{ then } n=0 \text{ else } n \leq l \wedge (b/a)*l \leq n$   
**and**  $T = \lambda\_ . T\_ins$  **and**  $\Phi = pins$  **and**  $U = \lambda\_ \_ . a + 1$   
**proof** ( $standard, goal\_cases$ )  
  **case** 1 **show**  $?case$  **by**  $auto$   
**next**  
  **case** (2  $s$ )  
  **show**  $?case$   
  **proof** ( $cases\ s$ )  
    **case** [ $simp$ ]: ( $Pair\ n\ l$ )  
    **show**  $?thesis$   
    **proof**  $cases$   
      **assume**  $l=0$  **thus**  $?thesis$  **using** 2  $ac$   
      **by** ( $simp\ add: b\_def\ field\_simps$ )  
    **next**  
      **assume**  $l \neq 0$   
      **show**  $?thesis$   
      **proof**  $cases$   
        **assume**  $n < l$   
        **thus**  $?thesis$  **using** 2 **by** ( $simp\ add: algebra\_simps$ )  
      **next**  
        **assume**  $\neg n < l$   
        **hence** [ $simp$ ]:  $n=l$  **using** 2  $\langle l \neq 0 \rangle$  **by**  $simp$   
        **have** 1:  $(b/a) * ceiling(c * l) \leq real\ l + 1$   
        **proof**–  
          **have**  $(b/a) * ceiling(c * l) = ceiling(c * l)/(a*(c - 1))$   
          **by** ( $simp\ add: b\_def$ )  
          **also** **have**  $ceiling(c * l) \leq c*l + 1$  **by**  $simp$   
          **also** **have**  $\dots \leq c*(real\ l+1)$  **by** ( $simp\ add: algebra\_simps$ )  
          **also** **have**  $\dots / (a*(c - 1)) = (c/(a*(c - 1))) * (real\ l + 1)$  **by**  
 $simp$

```

also have  $c/(a*(c - 1)) \leq 1$  using ac2 by (simp add: field_simps)
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: divide_right_mono)
qed
have 2:  $real\ l + 1 \leq ceiling(c * real\ l)$ 
proof-
  have  $real\ l + 1 = of\_int(int(l)) + 1$  by simp
  also have  $\dots \leq ceiling(c * real\ l)$  using  $\langle l \neq 0 \rangle$ 
    by (simp only: int_less_real_le[symmetric] less_ceiling_iff)
    (simp add: mult_less_cancel_right1)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
from  $\langle l \neq 0 \rangle$  1 2 show ?thesis by simp (simp add: not_le zero_less_mult_iff)
qed
qed
qed
next
  case (3 s) thus ?case by (cases s) (simp add: field_simps split: if_splits)
next
  case 4 show ?case by (simp)
next
  case (5 s)
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case [simp]: (Pair n l)
    show ?thesis
    proof cases
      assume  $l=0$  thus ?thesis using 5 by (simp)
    next
      assume [arith]:  $l \neq 0$ 
      show ?thesis
      proof cases
        assume  $n < l$ 
        thus ?thesis using 5 ac by (simp add: algebra_simps b_def)
      next
        assume  $\neg n < l$ 
        hence [simp]:  $n=l$  using 5 by simp
        have  $T\_ins\ s + pins\ (ins\ s) - pins\ s = l + a + 1 + (-\ b * ceiling(c * l))$ 
        +  $b * l$ 
          using  $\langle l \neq 0 \rangle$ 
          by (simp add: algebra_simps less_trans[of -1::real 0])
          also have  $- b * ceiling(c * l) \leq - b * (c * l)$  by (simp add: ceiling_correct)
          also have  $l + a + 1 + - b * (c * l) + b * l = a + 1 + l * (1 - b * (c - 1))$ 

```

```

    by (simp add: algebra_simps)
    also have  $b*(c - 1) = 1$  by (simp add: b_def)
    also have  $a + 1 + (real\ l)*(1 - 1) = a+1$  by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

thm *ins.ub*

end

### 1.3 Stack with multipop

**datatype**  $'a\ op_{stk} = Push\ 'a \mid Pop\ nat$

**fun**  $next\_stk :: 'a\ op_{stk} \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$  **where**  
 $next\_stk\ (Push\ x)\ xs = x \# xs \mid$   
 $next\_stk\ (Pop\ n)\ xs = drop\ n\ xs$

**fun**  $T\_stk :: 'a\ op_{stk} \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $T\_stk\ (Push\ x)\ xs = 1 \mid$   
 $T\_stk\ (Pop\ n)\ xs = min\ n\ (length\ xs)$

**interpretation** *stack: Amortized*

**where**  $init = []$  **and**  $next = next\_stk$  **and**  $inv = \lambda\_ . True$

**and**  $T = T\_stk$  **and**  $\Phi = length$  **and**  $U = \lambda f \_ . case\ f\ of\ Push\ \_ \Rightarrow 2 \mid$   
 $Pop\ \_ \Rightarrow 0$

**proof** (*standard, goal\_cases*)

**case** 1 **show** ?case **by** auto

**next**

**case** (2 s) **thus** ?case **by** (cases s) auto

**next**

**case** 3 **thus** ?case **by** simp

**next**

**case** 4 **show** ?case **by** (simp)

**next**

**case** (5 \_ f) **thus** ?case **by** (cases f) auto

**qed**

## 1.4 Queue

See, for example, the book by Okasaki [6].

```
datatype 'a opq = Enq 'a | Deq
```

```
type_synonym 'a queue = 'a list * 'a list
```

```
fun nextq :: 'a opq ⇒ 'a queue ⇒ 'a queue where  
nextq (Enq x) (xs,ys) = (x#xs,ys) |  
nextq Deq (xs,ys) = (if ys = [] then ([], tl(rev xs)) else (xs,tl ys))
```

```
fun Tq :: 'a opq ⇒ 'a queue ⇒ real where  
Tq (Enq x) (xs,ys) = 1 |  
Tq Deq (xs,ys) = (if ys = [] then length xs else 0)
```

**interpretation** queue: Amortized

```
where init = ([],[]) and next = nextq and inv = λ_. True  
and T = Tq and Φ = λ(xs,ys). length xs and U = λf_. case f of Enq  
_ ⇒ 2 | Deq ⇒ 0
```

```
proof (standard, goal_cases)
```

```
  case 1 show ?case by auto
```

```
next
```

```
  case (2 s) thus ?case by(cases s) auto
```

```
next
```

```
  case (3 s) thus ?case by(cases s) auto
```

```
next
```

```
  case 4 show ?case by(simp)
```

```
next
```

```
  case (5 s f) thus ?case
```

```
    apply(cases s)
```

```
    apply(cases f)
```

```
    by auto
```

```
qed
```

```
fun balance :: 'a queue ⇒ 'a queue where
```

```
balance(xs,ys) = (if size xs ≤ size ys then (xs,ys) else ([], ys @ rev xs))
```

```
fun nextq2 :: 'a opq ⇒ 'a queue ⇒ 'a queue where
```

```
nextq2 (Enq a) (xs,ys) = balance (a#xs,ys) |
```

```
nextq2 Deq (xs,ys) = balance (xs, tl ys)
```

```
fun Tq2 :: 'a opq ⇒ 'a queue ⇒ real where
```

$T\_q2 (Enq \_) (xs,ys) = 1 + (\text{if } size\ xs + 1 \leq size\ ys \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } size\ xs + 1 + size\ ys) \mid$   
 $T\_q2\ Deq (xs,ys) = (\text{if } size\ xs \leq size\ ys - 1 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } size\ xs + (size\ ys - 1))$

**interpretation** *queue2: Amortized*

**where**  $init = ([],[])$  **and**  $next = next\_q2$

**and**  $inv = \lambda(xs,ys). size\ xs \leq size\ ys$

**and**  $T = T\_q2$  **and**  $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys). 2 * size\ xs$

**and**  $U = \lambda f \_ . \text{case } f \text{ of } Enq \_ \Rightarrow 3 \mid Deq \Rightarrow 0$

**proof** (*standard, goal\_cases*)

**case** 1 **show** ?case **by** *auto*

**next**

**case** (2 s f) **thus** ?case **by**(cases s) (cases f, *auto*)

**next**

**case** (3 s) **thus** ?case **by**(cases s) *auto*

**next**

**case** 4 **show** ?case **by**(*simp*)

**next**

**case** (5 s f) **thus** ?case

**apply**(cases s)

**apply**(cases f)

**by** (*auto simp: split: prod.splits*)

**qed**

## 1.5 Dynamic tables: insert and delete

**datatype**  $optb = Ins \mid Del$

**fun**  $next\_tb :: optb \Rightarrow nat*nat \Rightarrow nat*nat$  **where**

$next\_tb\ Ins (n,l) = (n+1, \text{if } n < l \text{ then } l \text{ else if } l=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 2*l) \mid$

$next\_tb\ Del (n,l) = (n - 1, \text{if } n=1 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } 4*(n - 1) < l \text{ then } l \text{ div } 2 \text{ else } l)$

**fun**  $T\_tb :: optb \Rightarrow nat*nat \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$T\_tb\ Ins (n,l) = (\text{if } n < l \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n+1) \mid$

$T\_tb\ Del (n,l) = (\text{if } n=1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else if } 4*(n - 1) < l \text{ then } n \text{ else } 1)$

**interpretation** *tb: Amortized*

**where**  $init = (0,0)$  **and**  $next = next\_tb$

**and**  $inv = \lambda(n,l). \text{if } l=0 \text{ then } n=0 \text{ else } n \leq l \wedge l \leq 4*n$

**and**  $T = T\_tb$  **and**  $\Phi = (\lambda(n,l). \text{if } 2*n < l \text{ then } l/2 - n \text{ else } 2*n - l)$

**and**  $U = \lambda f \_ . \text{case } f \text{ of } Ins \Rightarrow 3 \mid Del \Rightarrow 2$

```

proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case 1 show ?case by auto
next
  case (2 s f) thus ?case by(cases s, cases f) (auto split: if_splits)
next
  case (3 s) thus ?case by(cases s)(simp split: if_splits)
next
  case 4 show ?case by(simp)
next
  case (5 s f) thus ?case apply(cases s) apply(cases f)
    by (auto simp: field_simps)
qed

end

```

## 2 Amortized Complexity Framework

```

theory Amortized_Framework
imports Complex_Main
begin

```

This theory provides a framework for amortized analysis.

```

datatype 'a rose_tree = T 'a 'a rose_tree list

```

```

declare length_Suc_conv [simp]

```

```

locale Amortized =

```

```

fixes arity :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  nat

```

```

fixes exec :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  's list  $\Rightarrow$  's

```

```

fixes inv :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

fixes cost :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  's list  $\Rightarrow$  nat

```

```

fixes  $\Phi$  :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  real

```

```

fixes U :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  's list  $\Rightarrow$  real

```

```

assumes inv_exec:  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ss. \text{inv } s; \text{length } ss = \text{arity } f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{inv}(\text{exec } f \text{ } ss)$ 

```

```

assumes ppos:  $\text{inv } s \Longrightarrow \Phi \text{ } s \geq 0$ 

```

```

assumes U:  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ss. \text{inv } s; \text{length } ss = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$ 

```

```

 $\Longrightarrow \text{cost } f \text{ } ss + \Phi(\text{exec } f \text{ } ss) - \text{sum\_list } (\text{map } \Phi \text{ } ss) \leq U \text{ } f \text{ } ss$ 

```

```

begin

```

```

fun wf :: 'op rose_tree  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

```

wf (T f ts) = ( $\text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \wedge (\forall t \in \text{set } ts. \text{wf } t)$ )

```

```

fun state :: 'op rose_tree  $\Rightarrow$  's where

```

$state (T f ts) = exec f (map state ts)$

**lemma**  $inv\_state: wf ot \implies inv(state ot)$

**by**( $induction ot$ )( $simp\_all add: inv\_exec$ )

**definition**  $acost :: 'op \Rightarrow 's list \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$acost f ss = cost f ss + \Phi (exec f ss) - sum\_list (map \Phi ss)$

**fun**  $acost\_sum :: 'op rose\_tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$acost\_sum (T f ts) = acost f (map state ts) + sum\_list (map acost\_sum ts)$

**fun**  $cost\_sum :: 'op rose\_tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$cost\_sum (T f ts) = cost f (map state ts) + sum\_list (map cost\_sum ts)$

**fun**  $U\_sum :: 'op rose\_tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$U\_sum (T f ts) = U f (map state ts) + sum\_list (map U\_sum ts)$

**lemma**  $t\_sum\_a\_sum: wf ot \implies cost\_sum ot = acost\_sum ot - \Phi(state ot)$

**by** ( $induction ot$ ) ( $auto simp: acost\_def Let\_def sum\_list\_subtractf cong: map\_cong$ )

**corollary**  $t\_sum\_le\_a\_sum: wf ot \implies cost\_sum ot \leq acost\_sum ot$

**by** ( $metis add.commute t\_sum\_a\_sum diff\_add\_cancel le\_add\_same\_cancel2 ppos[OF inv\_state]$ )

**lemma**  $a\_le\_U: [\forall s \in set ss. inv s; length ss = arity f] \implies acost f ss \leq U f ss$

**by**( $simp add: acost\_def U$ )

**lemma**  $a\_sum\_le\_U\_sum: wf ot \implies acost\_sum ot \leq U\_sum ot$

**proof**( $induction ot$ )

**case** ( $T f ts$ )

**with**  $a\_le\_U[of map state ts f]$   $sum\_list\_mono$  **show**  $?case$

**by** ( $force simp: inv\_state$ )

**qed**

**corollary**  $t\_sum\_le\_U\_sum: wf ot \implies cost\_sum ot \leq U\_sum ot$

**by** ( $blast intro: t\_sum\_le\_a\_sum a\_sum\_le\_U\_sum order.trans$ )

**end**

**hide\\_const**  $T$

*Amortized2* supports the transfer of amortized analysis of one datatype (*Amortized arity exec inv cost  $\Phi$  U* on type '*s*') to an implementation (primed identifiers on type '*t*'). Function *hom* is assumed to be a homomorphism from '*t*' to '*s*', not just w.r.t. *exec* but also *cost* and *U*. The assumptions about *inv'* are weaker than the obvious  $inv' = inv \circ hom$ : the latter does not allow *inv* to be weaker than *inv'* (which we need in one application).

```

locale Amortized2 = Amortized arity exec inv cost  $\Phi$  U
  for arity :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  nat and exec and inv :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  bool and cost  $\Phi$  U +
fixes exec' :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  't list  $\Rightarrow$  't
fixes inv' :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  bool
fixes cost' :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  't list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
fixes U' :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  't list  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes hom :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's
assumes exec':  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ts. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies hom(exec' f ts) = exec f (map hom ts)$ 
assumes inv_exec':  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ss. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ss = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies inv'(exec' f ss)$ 
assumes inv_hom:  $inv' t \implies inv (hom t)$ 
assumes cost':  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ts. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies cost' f ts = cost f (map hom ts)$ 
assumes U':  $\llbracket \forall s \in \text{set } ts. \text{inv}' s; \text{length } ts = \text{arity } f \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies U' f ts = U f (map hom ts)$ 
begin

sublocale A': Amortized arity exec' inv' cost'  $\Phi$  o hom U'
proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case 1 thus ?case by(simp add: exec' inv_exec' inv_exec)
next
  case 2 thus ?case by(simp add: inv_hom ppos)
next
  case 3 thus ?case
  by(simp add: U exec' U' map_map[symmetric] cost' inv_exec inv_hom
del: map_map)
qed

end

end

```

### 3 Simple Examples

```

theory Amortized_Examples
imports Amortized_Framework

```

**begin**

This theory applies the amortized analysis framework to a number of simple classical examples.

### 3.1 Binary Counter

**locale** *Bin\_Counter*

**begin**

**datatype** *op* = *Empty* | *Incr*

**fun** *arity* :: *op*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where**

*arity* *Empty* = 0 |

*arity* *Incr* = 1

**fun** *incr* :: *bool list*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool list* **where**

*incr* [] = [*True*] |

*incr* (*False*#*bs*) = *True* # *bs* |

*incr* (*True*#*bs*) = *False* # *incr* *bs*

**fun** *tincr* :: *bool list*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where**

*tincr* [] = 1 |

*tincr* (*False*#*bs*) = 1 |

*tincr* (*True*#*bs*) = *tincr* *bs* + 1

**definition**  $\Phi$  :: *bool list*  $\Rightarrow$  *real* **where**

$\Phi$  *bs* = *length*(*filter* *id* *bs*)

**lemma** *a\_incr*: *tincr* *bs* +  $\Phi$ (*incr* *bs*) -  $\Phi$  *bs* = 2

**apply**(*induction* *bs* *rule*: *incr.induct*)

**apply** (*simp\_all* *add*:  $\Phi$ \_def)

**done**

**fun** *exec* :: *op*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool list list*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool list* **where**

*exec* *Empty* [] = [] |

*exec* *Incr* [*bs*] = *incr* *bs*

**fun** *cost* :: *op*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool list list*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where**

*cost* *Empty* \_ = 1 |

*cost* *Incr* [*bs*] = *tincr* *bs*

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where** *exec* = *exec* **and** *arity* = *arity* **and** *inv* =  $\lambda$ \_. *True*

```

and cost = cost and  $\Phi = \Phi$  and  $U = \lambda f \_.$  case f of Empty  $\Rightarrow 1$  | Incr
 $\Rightarrow 2$ 
proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case 1 show ?case by simp
next
  case 2 show ?case by(simp add:  $\Phi\_def$ )
next
  case 3 thus ?case using a_incr by(auto simp:  $\Phi\_def$  split: op.split)
qed

end

```

### 3.2 Stack with multipop

```

locale Multipop
begin

```

```

datatype 'a op = Empty | Push 'a | Pop nat

```

```

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Push _) = 1 |
arity (Pop _) = 1

```

```

fun exec :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
exec Empty [] = [] |
exec (Push x) [xs] = x # xs |
exec (Pop n) [xs] = drop n xs

```

```

fun cost :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost (Push x) _ = 1 |
cost (Pop n) [xs] = min n (length xs)

```

```

interpretation Amortized

```

```

where arity = arity and exec = exec and inv =  $\lambda \_.$  True
and cost = cost and  $\Phi = length$ 
and  $U = \lambda f \_.$  case f of Empty  $\Rightarrow 1$  | Push _  $\Rightarrow 2$  | Pop _  $\Rightarrow 0$ 
proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case 1 show ?case by simp
next
  case 2 thus ?case by simp
next

```

```

    case 3 thus ?case by (auto split: op.split)
qed

```

```

end

```

### 3.3 Dynamic tables: insert only

```

locale Dyn_Tab1
begin

```

```

type_synonym tab = nat × nat

```

```

datatype op = Empty | Ins

```

```

fun arity :: op ⇒ nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity Ins = 1

```

```

fun exec :: op ⇒ tab list ⇒ tab where
exec Empty [] = (0::nat,0::nat) |
exec Ins [(n,l)] = (n+1, if n<l then l else if l=0 then 1 else 2*l)

```

```

fun cost :: op ⇒ tab list ⇒ nat where
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost Ins [(n,l)] = (if n<l then 1 else n+1)

```

```

interpretation Amortized

```

```

where exec = exec and arity = arity
and inv = λ(n,l). if l=0 then n=0 else n ≤ l ∧ l < 2*n
and cost = cost and Φ = λ(n,l). 2*n - l
and U = λf_. case f of Empty ⇒ 1 | Ins ⇒ 3
proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case (1 _ f) thus ?case by(cases f) (auto split: if_splits)
next
  case 2 thus ?case by(auto split: prod.splits)
next
  case 3 thus ?case by (auto split: op.split) linarith
qed

```

```

end

```

```

locale Dyn_Tab2 =
fixes a :: real
fixes c :: real

```

```

assumes  $c1[arith]: c > 1$ 
assumes  $ac2: a \geq c/(c - 1)$ 
begin

lemma  $ac: a \geq 1/(c - 1)$ 
using  $ac2$  by( $simp$   $add: field\_simps$ )

lemma  $a0[arith]: a > 0$ 
proof-
  have  $1/(c - 1) > 0$  using  $ac$  by  $simp$ 
  thus  $?thesis$  by ( $metis$   $ac$   $dual\_order.strict\_trans1$ )
qed

definition  $b = 1/(c - 1)$ 

lemma  $b0[arith]: b > 0$ 
using  $ac$  by ( $simp$   $add: b\_def$ )

type_synonym  $tab = nat \times nat$ 

datatype  $op = Empty \mid Ins$ 

fun  $arity :: op \Rightarrow nat$  where
 $arity$   $Empty = 0 \mid$ 
 $arity$   $Ins = 1$ 

fun  $ins :: tab \Rightarrow tab$  where
 $ins(n,l) = (n+1, \text{if } n < l \text{ then } l \text{ else if } l=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } nat(ceiling(c*l)))$ 

fun  $exec :: op \Rightarrow tab \text{ list} \Rightarrow tab$  where
 $exec$   $Empty [] = (0::nat, 0::nat) \mid$ 
 $exec$   $Ins [s] = ins\ s \mid$ 
 $exec$   $\_ \_ = (0,0)$ 

fun  $cost :: op \Rightarrow tab \text{ list} \Rightarrow nat$  where
 $cost$   $Empty \_ = 1 \mid$ 
 $cost$   $Ins [(n,l)] = (\text{if } n < l \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n+1)$ 

fun  $\Phi :: tab \Rightarrow real$  where
 $\Phi(n,l) = a*n - b*l$ 

interpretation  $Amortized$ 
where  $exec = exec$  and  $arity = arity$ 
and  $inv = \lambda(n,l). \text{if } l=0 \text{ then } n=0 \text{ else } n \leq l \wedge (b/a)*l \leq n$ 

```

```

and  $cost = cost$  and  $\Phi = \Phi$  and  $U = \lambda f \_ . case\ f\ of\ Empty \Rightarrow 1 \mid Ins \Rightarrow$ 
 $a + 1$ 
proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case ( $1\ ss\ f$ )
  show ?case
  proof (cases f)
    case Empty thus ?thesis using  $1$  by auto
  next
    case [simp]: Ins
    obtain  $n\ l$  where [simp]:  $ss = [(n,l)]$  using  $1(2)$  by (auto)
    show ?thesis
    proof cases
      assume  $l=0$  thus ?thesis using  $1\ ac$ 
      by (simp add: b_def field_simps)
    next
      assume  $l \neq 0$ 
      show ?thesis
      proof cases
        assume  $n < l$ 
        thus ?thesis using  $1$  by(simp add: algebra_simps)
      next
        assume  $\neg n < l$ 
        hence [simp]:  $n=l$  using  $1\ \langle l \neq 0 \rangle$  by simp
        have  $1: (b/a) * ceiling(c * l) \leq real\ l + 1$ 
        proof-
          have  $(b/a) * ceiling(c * l) = ceiling(c * l)/(a*(c - 1))$ 
          by(simp add: b_def)
          also have  $ceiling(c * l) \leq c*l + 1$  by simp
          also have  $\dots \leq c*(real\ l+1)$  by (simp add: algebra_simps)
          also have  $\dots / (a*(c - 1)) = (c/(a*(c - 1))) * (real\ l + 1)$  by
simp
          also have  $c/(a*(c - 1)) \leq 1$  using ac2 by (simp add: field_simps)
          finally show ?thesis by (simp add: divide_right_mono)
        qed
        have  $2: real\ l + 1 \leq ceiling(c * real\ l)$ 
        proof-
          have  $real\ l + 1 = of\_int(int(l)) + 1$  by simp
          also have  $\dots \leq ceiling(c * real\ l)$  using  $\langle l \neq 0 \rangle$ 
          by(simp only: int_less_real_le[symmetric] less_ceiling_iff)
          (simp add: mult_less_cancel_right1)
          finally show ?thesis .
        qed
      from  $\langle l \neq 0 \rangle\ 1\ 2$  show ?thesis by simp (simp add: not_le zero_less_mult_iff)
    qed

```

```

    qed
  qed
next
  case 2 thus ?case by(auto simp: field_simps split: if_splits prod.splits)
next
  case (3 ss f)
  show ?case
  proof (cases f)
    case Empty thus ?thesis using 3(2) by simp
  next
    case [simp]: Ins
    obtain n l where [simp]: ss = [(n,l)] using 3(2) by (auto)
    show ?thesis
    proof cases
      assume l=0 thus ?thesis using 3 by (simp)
    next
      assume [arith]: l≠0
      show ?thesis
      proof cases
        assume n<l
        thus ?thesis using 3 ac by(simp add: algebra_simps b_def)
      next
        assume ¬ n<l
        hence [simp]: n=l using 3 by simp
        have cost Ins [(n,l)] + Φ (ins (n,l)) - Φ(n,l) = l + a + 1 + (-
b*ceiling(c*l)) + b*l
          using ⟨l≠0⟩
          by(simp add: algebra_simps less_trans[of -1::real 0])
        also have - b * ceiling(c*l) ≤ - b * (c*l) by (simp add: ceil-
ing_correct)
        also have l + a + 1 + - b*(c*l) + b*l = a + 1 + l*(1 - b*(c -
1))
          by (simp add: algebra_simps)
        also have b*(c - 1) = 1 by(simp add: b_def)
        also have a + 1 + (real l)*(1 - 1) = a+1 by simp
        finally show ?thesis by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
end

```

### 3.4 Dynamic tables: insert and delete

**locale** *Dyn\_Tab3*

**begin**

**type\_synonym** *tab* = *nat* × *nat*

**datatype** *op* = *Empty* | *Ins* | *Del*

**fun** *arity* :: *op* ⇒ *nat* **where**

*arity* *Empty* = 0 |

*arity* *Ins* = 1 |

*arity* *Del* = 1

**fun** *exec* :: *op* ⇒ *tab list* ⇒ *tab* **where**

*exec* *Empty* [] = (0::*nat*, 0::*nat*) |

*exec* *Ins* [(*n*,*l*)] = (*n*+1, if *n*<*l* then *l* else if *l*=0 then 1 else 2\**l*) |

*exec* *Del* [(*n*,*l*)] = (*n*-1, if *n*≤1 then 0 else if 4\*(*n* - 1)<*l* then *l* div 2 else *l*)

**fun** *cost* :: *op* ⇒ *tab list* ⇒ *nat* **where**

*cost* *Empty* \_ = 1 |

*cost* *Ins* [(*n*,*l*)] = (if *n*<*l* then 1 else *n*+1) |

*cost* *Del* [(*n*,*l*)] = (if *n*≤1 then 1 else if 4\*(*n* - 1)<*l* then *n* else 1)

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where** *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec*

**and** *inv* = λ(*n*,*l*). if *l*=0 then *n*=0 else *n* ≤ *l* ∧ *l* ≤ 4\**n*

**and** *cost* = *cost* **and** Φ = (λ(*n*,*l*). if 2\**n* < *l* then *l*/2 - *n* else 2\**n* - *l*)

**and** *U* = λ*f*\_. case *f* of *Empty* ⇒ 1 | *Ins* ⇒ 3 | *Del* ⇒ 2

**proof** (*standard*, *goal\_cases*)

case (1 \_ *f*) **thus** ?*case* **by** (*cases* *f*) (*auto split: if\_splits*)

**next**

case 2 **thus** ?*case* **by**(*auto split: prod\_splits*)

**next**

case (3 \_ *f*) **thus** ?*case*

**by** (*cases* *f*)(*auto simp: field\_simps split: prod\_splits*)

**qed**

**end**

### 3.5 Queue

See, for example, the book by Okasaki [6].

```

locale Queue
begin

datatype 'a op = Empty | Enq 'a | Deq

type_synonym 'a queue = 'a list * 'a list

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  arity Empty = 0 |
  arity (Enq _) = 1 |
  arity Deq = 1

fun exec :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  exec Empty [] = ([],[]) |
  exec (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = (x#xs,ys) |
  exec Deq [(xs,ys)] = (if ys = [] then ([], tl(rev xs)) else (xs,tl ys))

fun cost :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  cost Empty _ = 0 |
  cost (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = 1 |
  cost Deq [(xs,ys)] = (if ys = [] then length xs else 0)

interpretation Amortized
where arity = arity and exec = exec and inv =  $\lambda$ _. True
and cost = cost and  $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys). \text{length } xs$ 
and  $U = \lambda f \_ . \text{case } f \text{ of } \text{Empty} \Rightarrow 0 \mid \text{Enq } \_ \Rightarrow 2 \mid \text{Deq} \Rightarrow 0$ 
proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case 1 show ?case by simp
next
  case 2 thus ?case by (auto split: prod.splits)
next
  case 3 thus ?case by(auto split: op.split)
qed

end

locale Queue2
begin

datatype 'a op = Empty | Enq 'a | Deq

type_synonym 'a queue = 'a list * 'a list

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where

```

```

arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Enq _) = 1 |
arity Deq = 1

```

```

fun adjust :: 'a queue ⇒ 'a queue where
adjust(xs,ys) = (if ys = [] then ([], rev xs) else (xs,ys))

```

```

fun exec :: 'a op ⇒ 'a queue list ⇒ 'a queue where
exec Empty [] = ([],[]) |
exec (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = adjust(x#xs,ys) |
exec Deq [(xs,ys)] = adjust (xs, tl ys)

```

```

fun cost :: 'a op ⇒ 'a queue list ⇒ nat where
cost Empty _ = 0 |
cost (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = 1 + (if ys = [] then size xs + 1 else 0) |
cost Deq [(xs,ys)] = (if tl ys = [] then size xs else 0)

```

**interpretation** Amortized

**where** arity = arity **and** exec = exec

**and** inv = λ\_. True

**and** cost = cost **and** Φ = λ(xs,ys). size xs

**and** U = λf\_. case f of Empty ⇒ 0 | Enq \_ ⇒ 2 | Deq ⇒ 0

**proof** (standard, goal\_cases)

case (1 \_ f) **thus** ?case **by** (cases f) (auto split: if\_splits)

**next**

case 2 **thus** ?case **by** (auto)

**next**

case (3 \_ f) **thus** ?case **by**(cases f) (auto split: if\_splits)

**qed**

**end**

**locale** Queue3

**begin**

**datatype** 'a op = Empty | Enq 'a | Deq

**type\_synonym** 'a queue = 'a list \* 'a list

**fun** arity :: 'a op ⇒ nat **where**

arity Empty = 0 |

arity (Enq \_) = 1 |

arity Deq = 1

```
fun balance :: 'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
balance(xs,ys) = (if size xs  $\leq$  size ys then (xs,ys) else ([], ys @ rev xs))
```

```
fun exec :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
exec Empty [] = ([],[]) |
exec (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = balance(x#xs,ys) |
exec Deq [(xs,ys)] = balance (xs, tl ys)
```

```
fun cost :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
cost Empty _ = 0 |
cost (Enq x) [(xs,ys)] = 1 + (if size xs + 1  $\leq$  size ys then 0 else size xs +
1 + size ys) |
cost Deq [(xs,ys)] = (if size xs  $\leq$  size ys - 1 then 0 else size xs + (size ys
- 1))
```

**interpretation** Amortized

```
where arity = arity and exec = exec
and inv =  $\lambda(xs,ys). \text{size } xs \leq \text{size } ys$ 
and cost = cost and  $\Phi = \lambda(xs,ys). 2 * \text{size } xs$ 
and U =  $\lambda f \_ . \text{case } f \text{ of } \text{Empty} \Rightarrow 0 \mid \text{Enq } \_ \Rightarrow 3 \mid \text{Deq} \Rightarrow 0$ 
proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case (1 _ f) thus ?case by (cases f) (auto split: if_splits)
next
  case 2 thus ?case by (auto)
next
  case (3 _ f) thus ?case by(cases f) (auto split: prod_splits)
qed

end
```

```
end
theory Priority_Queue_ops_merge
imports Main
begin
```

```
datatype 'a op = Empty | Insert 'a | Del_min | Merge
```

```
fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Insert _) = 1 |
arity Del_min = 1 |
arity Merge = 2
```

```
end
```

## 4 Skew Heap Analysis

**theory** *Skew\_Heap\_Analysis*

**imports**

*Complex\_Main*

*Skew\_Heap.Skew\_Heap*

*Amortized\_Framework*

*Priority\_Queue\_ops\_merge*

**begin**

The following proof is a simplified version of the one by Kaldewaij and Schoenmakers [3].

right-heavy:

**definition** *rh* :: 'a tree => 'a tree => nat **where**  
*rh* *l r* = (if size *l* < size *r* then 1 else 0)

Function  $\Gamma$  in [3]: number of right-heavy nodes on left spine.

**fun** *lrh* :: 'a tree => nat **where**  
*lrh* *Leaf* = 0 |  
*lrh* (*Node* *l* \_ *r*) = *rh* *l* *r* + *lrh* *l*

Function  $\Delta$  in [3]: number of not-right-heavy nodes on right spine.

**fun** *rlh* :: 'a tree => nat **where**  
*rlh* *Leaf* = 0 |  
*rlh* (*Node* *l* \_ *r*) = (1 - *rh* *l* *r*) + *rlh* *r*

**lemma** *Gexp*:  $2^{\wedge} \text{lrh } t \leq \text{size } t + 1$   
**by** (*induction* *t*) (*auto simp: rh\_def*)

**corollary** *Glog*:  $\text{lrh } t \leq \log 2 (\text{size1 } t)$   
**by** (*metis Gexp le\_log2\_of\_power size1\_size*)

**lemma** *Dexp*:  $2^{\wedge} \text{rlh } t \leq \text{size } t + 1$   
**by** (*induction* *t*) (*auto simp: rh\_def*)

**corollary** *Dlog*:  $\text{rlh } t \leq \log 2 (\text{size1 } t)$   
**by** (*metis Dexp le\_log2\_of\_power size1\_size*)

**function** *T\_merge* :: 'a::linorder tree => 'a tree => nat **where**  
*T\_merge* *Leaf* *t* = 1 |  
*T\_merge* *t* *Leaf* = 1 |  
*T\_merge* (*Node* *l1* *a1* *r1*) (*Node* *l2* *a2* *r2*) =  
 (if *a1* ≤ *a2* then *T\_merge* (*Node* *l2* *a2* *r2*) *r1* else *T\_merge* (*Node* *l1* *a1*  
*r1*) *r2*) + 1

**by** *pat\_completeness auto*  
**termination**  
**by** (*relation measure* ( $\lambda(x, y). \text{size } x + \text{size } y$ )) *auto*

**fun**  $\Phi :: 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{int}$  **where**  
 $\Phi \text{ Leaf} = 0 \mid$   
 $\Phi (\text{Node } l \_ r) = \Phi l + \Phi r + \text{rh } l r$

**lemma**  $\Phi\_nneg: \Phi t \geq 0$   
**by** (*induction t*) *auto*

**lemma** *plus\_log\_le\_2log\_plus*:  $\llbracket x > 0; y > 0; b > 1 \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \log b x + \log b y \leq 2 * \log b (x + y)$   
**by**(*subst mult\_2; rule add\_mono; auto*)

**lemma** *rh1*:  $\text{rh } l r \leq 1$   
**by**(*simp add: rh\_def*)

**lemma** *amor\_le\_long*:

$T\_merge t1 t2 + \Phi (\text{merge } t1 t2) - \Phi t1 - \Phi t2 \leq$   
 $\text{lrh}(\text{merge } t1 t2) + \text{rlh } t1 + \text{rlh } t2 + 1$

**proof** (*induction t1 t2 rule: merge.induct*)

**case 1 thus ?case by simp**

**next**

**case 2 thus ?case by simp**

**next**

**case** ( $\exists l1 a1 r1 l2 a2 r2$ )

**show** ?case

**proof** (*cases a1 ≤ a2*)

**case True**

**let**  $?t1 = \text{Node } l1 a1 r1$  **let**  $?t2 = \text{Node } l2 a2 r2$  **let**  $?m = \text{merge } ?t2$   
 $r1$

**have**  $T\_merge ?t1 ?t2 + \Phi (\text{merge } ?t1 ?t2) - \Phi ?t1 - \Phi ?t2$

$= T\_merge ?t2 r1 + 1 + \Phi ?m + \Phi l1 + \text{rh } ?m l1 - \Phi ?t1 - \Phi$   
 $?t2$

**using True by** (*simp*)

**also have**  $\dots = T\_merge ?t2 r1 + 1 + \Phi ?m + \text{rh } ?m l1 - \Phi r1 -$   
 $\text{rh } l1 r1 - \Phi ?t2$

**by** *simp*

**also have**  $\dots \leq \text{lrh } ?m + \text{rlh } ?t2 + \text{rlh } r1 + \text{rh } ?m l1 + 2 - \text{rh } l1 r1$

**using**  $\exists.IH(1)[OF \text{ True}]$  **by** *linarith*

**also have**  $\dots = \text{lrh } ?m + \text{rlh } ?t2 + \text{rlh } r1 + \text{rh } ?m l1 + 1 + (1 - \text{rh}$   
 $l1 r1)$

**using** *rh1[of l1 r1]* **by** (*simp*)

**also have**  $\dots = lrh\ ?m + rlh\ ?t2 + rlh\ ?t1 + rh\ ?m\ l1 + 1$   
**by** (*simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots = lrh\ (merge\ ?t1\ ?t2) + rlh\ ?t1 + rlh\ ?t2 + 1$   
**using** *True* **by**(*simp*)  
**finally show** *?thesis* .  
**next**  
**case** *False* **with** *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*  
**qed**  
**qed**

**lemma** *amor\_le*:

$T\_merge\ t1\ t2 + \Phi\ (merge\ t1\ t2) - \Phi\ t1 - \Phi\ t2 \leq$   
 $lrh(merge\ t1\ t2) + rlh\ t1 + rlh\ t2 + 1$   
**by**(*induction\ t1\ t2\ rule: merge.induct*)(*auto*)

**lemma** *a\_merge*:

$T\_merge\ t1\ t2 + \Phi(merge\ t1\ t2) - \Phi\ t1 - \Phi\ t2 \leq$   
 $3 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t1 + size1\ t2) + 1\ (is\ ?l \leq \_)$

**proof** –

**have**  $?l \leq lrh(merge\ t1\ t2) + rlh\ t1 + rlh\ t2 + 1$  **using** *amor\_le*[*of\ t1\ t2*] **by** *arith*

**also have**  $\dots = real(lrh(merge\ t1\ t2)) + rlh\ t1 + rlh\ t2 + 1$  **by** *simp*

**also have**  $\dots = real(lrh(merge\ t1\ t2)) + (real(rlh\ t1) + rlh\ t2) + 1$  **by** *simp*

**also have**  $rlh\ t1 \leq \log\ 2\ (size1\ t1)$  **by**(*rule\ Dlog*)

**also have**  $rlh\ t2 \leq \log\ 2\ (size1\ t2)$  **by**(*rule\ Dlog*)

**also have**  $lrh\ (merge\ t1\ t2) \leq \log\ 2\ (size1(merge\ t1\ t2))$  **by**(*rule\ Glog*)

**also have**  $size1(merge\ t1\ t2) = size1\ t1 + size1\ t2 - 1$  **by**(*simp\ add: size1\_size\ size\_merge*)

**also have**  $\log\ 2\ (size1\ t1 + size1\ t2 - 1) \leq \log\ 2\ (size1\ t1 + size1\ t2)$   
**by**(*simp\ add: size1\_size*)

**also have**  $\log\ 2\ (size1\ t1) + \log\ 2\ (size1\ t2) \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (real(size1\ t1) + (size1\ t2))$

**by**(*rule\ plus\_log\_le\_2log\_plus*) (*auto\ simp: size1\_size*)

**finally show** *?thesis* **by**(*simp*)

**qed**

**definition** *T\_insert* :: '*a*::*linorder*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* *tree*  $\Rightarrow$  *int* **where**

$T\_insert\ a\ t = T\_merge\ (Node\ Leaf\ a\ Leaf)\ t + 1$

**lemma** *a\_insert*:  $T\_insert\ a\ t + \Phi(skew\_heap.insert\ a\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 3 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t + 2) + 2$

**using** *a\_merge*[*of\ Node\ Leaf\ a\ Leaf\ t*]

**by** (*simp\ add: numeral\_eq\_Suc\ T\_insert\_def\ rh\_def*)

**definition**  $T\_del\_min :: ('a::linorder) tree \Rightarrow int$  **where**  
 $T\_del\_min\ t = (case\ t\ of\ Leaf \Rightarrow 1 \mid Node\ t1\ a\ t2 \Rightarrow T\_merge\ t1\ t2 + 1)$

**lemma**  $a\_del\_min$ :  $T\_del\_min\ t + \Phi(skew\_heap.del\_min\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 3 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t + 2) + 2$

**proof** (cases t)  
**case** Leaf **thus** ?thesis **by** (simp add: T\_del\_min\_def)  
**next**  
**case** (Node t1 \_ t2)  
**have** [arith]:  $\log\ 2\ (2 + (real\ (size\ t1) + real\ (size\ t2))) \leq \log\ 2\ (4 + (real\ (size\ t1) + real\ (size\ t2)))$  **by** simp  
**from** Node **show** ?thesis **using** a\_merge[of t1 t2]  
**by** (simp add: size1\_size T\_del\_min\_def rh\_def)  
**qed**

#### 4.0.1 Instantiation of Amortized Framework

**lemma**  $T\_merge\_nneg$ :  $T\_merge\ t1\ t2 \geq 0$   
**by**(induction t1 t2 rule: T\_merge.induct) auto

**fun**  $exec :: 'a::linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ tree\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ tree$  **where**  
 $exec\ Empty\ [] = Leaf \mid$   
 $exec\ (Insert\ a)\ [t] = skew\_heap.insert\ a\ t \mid$   
 $exec\ Del\_min\ [t] = skew\_heap.del\_min\ t \mid$   
 $exec\ Merge\ [t1,t2] = merge\ t1\ t2$

**fun**  $cost :: 'a::linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ tree\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $cost\ Empty\ [] = 1 \mid$   
 $cost\ (Insert\ a)\ [t] = T\_merge\ (Node\ Leaf\ a\ Leaf)\ t + 1 \mid$   
 $cost\ Del\_min\ [t] = (case\ t\ of\ Leaf \Rightarrow 1 \mid Node\ t1\ a\ t2 \Rightarrow T\_merge\ t1\ t2 + 1) \mid$   
 $cost\ Merge\ [t1,t2] = T\_merge\ t1\ t2$

**fun**  $U$  **where**  
 $U\ Empty\ [] = 1 \mid$   
 $U\ (Insert\ \_)\ [t] = 3 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t + 2) + 2 \mid$   
 $U\ Del\_min\ [t] = 3 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t + 2) + 2 \mid$   
 $U\ Merge\ [t1,t2] = 3 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t1 + size1\ t2) + 1$

**interpretation** Amortized  
**where**  $arity = arity$  **and**  $exec = exec$  **and**  $inv = \lambda\_.$  True  
**and**  $cost = cost$  **and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = U$   
**proof** (standard, goal\_cases)

```

    case 1 show ?case by simp
next
  case (2 t) show ?case using  $\Phi\_nneg[of\ t]$  by linarith
next
  case (3 ss f)
  show ?case
  proof (cases f)
    case Empty thus ?thesis using 3(2) by (auto)
  next
    case [simp]: (Insert a)
    obtain t where [simp]:  $ss = [t]$  using 3(2) by (auto)
    thus ?thesis using a_merge[of Node Leaf a Leaf t]
      by (simp add: numeral_eq_Suc insert_def rh_def T_merge_nneg)
  next
    case [simp]: Del_min
    obtain t where [simp]:  $ss = [t]$  using 3(2) by (auto)
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases t)
      case Leaf with Del_min show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case (Node t1 _ t2)
      have [arith]:  $\log 2 (2 + (\text{real } (\text{size } t1) + \text{real } (\text{size } t2))) \leq$ 
         $\log 2 (4 + (\text{real } (\text{size } t1) + \text{real } (\text{size } t2)))$  by simp
      from Del_min Node show ?thesis using a_merge[of t1 t2]
        by (simp add: size1_size T_merge_nneg)
    qed
  next
    case [simp]: Merge
    obtain t1 t2 where  $ss = [t1, t2]$  using 3(2) by (auto simp: numeral_eq_Suc)
    thus ?thesis using a_merge[of t1 t2] by (simp add: T_merge_nneg)
  qed
qed

end
theory Lemmas_log
imports Complex_Main
begin

lemma ld_sum_inequality:
  assumes  $x > 0\ y > 0$ 
  shows  $\log 2\ x + \log 2\ y + 2 \leq 2 * \log 2\ (x + y)$ 
proof -
  have 0:  $0 \leq (x-y)^2$  using assms by (simp)

```

**have**  $2 \text{ powr } (2 + \log 2 x + \log 2 y) = 4 * x * y$  **using** *assms*  
**by**(*simp add: powr\_add*)  
**also have**  $4 * x * y \leq (x+y)^2$  **using** *0* **by**(*simp add: algebra\_simps numeral\_eq\_Suc*)  
**also have**  $\dots = 2 \text{ powr } (\log 2 (x + y) * 2)$  **using** *assms*  
**by**(*simp add: powr\_powr[symmetric] powr\_numeral*)  
**finally show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: mult\_ac*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *ld\_ld\_1\_less*:

$\llbracket x > 0; y > 0 \rrbracket \implies 1 + \log 2 x + \log 2 y < 2 * \log 2 (x+y)$   
**using** *ld\_sum\_inequality[of x y]* **by** *linarith*

**lemma** *ld\_le\_2ld*:

**assumes**  $x \geq 0 \ y \geq 0$  **shows**  $\log 2 (1+x+y) \leq 1 + \log 2 (1+x) + \log 2 (1+y)$

**proof** –

**have**  $1: 1+x+y \leq (x+1)*(y+1)$  **using** *assms*  
**by**(*simp add: algebra\_simps*)  
**show** *?thesis*  
**apply**(*rule powr\_le\_cancel\_iff[of 2, THEN iffD1]*)  
**apply** *simp*  
**using** *assms 1* **by**(*simp add: powr\_add algebra\_simps*)

**qed**

**lemma** *ld\_ld\_less2*: **assumes**  $x \geq 2 \ y \geq 2$

**shows**  $1 + \log 2 x + \log 2 y \leq 2 * \log 2 (x + y - 1)$

**proof**–

**from** *assms* **have**  $2*x \leq x*x \ 2*y \leq y*y$  **by** *simp\_all*  
**hence**  $1: 2 * x * y \leq (x + y - 1)^2$   
**by**(*simp add: numeral\_eq\_Suc algebra\_simps*)  
**show** *?thesis*  
**apply**(*rule powr\_le\_cancel\_iff[of 2, THEN iffD1]*)  
**apply** *simp*  
**using** *assms 1* **by**(*simp add: powr\_add log\_powr[symmetric] powr\_numeral*)

**qed**

**end**

## 5 Splay Tree

### 5.1 Basics

**theory** *Splay\_Tree\_Analysis\_Base*

**imports**

*Lemmas\_log*

*Splay\_Tree.Splay\_Tree*

**begin**

**declare** *size1\_size*[*simp*]

**abbreviation**  $\varphi\ t == \log\ 2\ (size1\ t)$

**fun**  $\Phi :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$\Phi\ Leaf = 0$  |

$\Phi\ (Node\ l\ a\ r) = \varphi\ (Node\ l\ a\ r) + \Phi\ l + \Phi\ r$

**fun** *T\_splay* :: '*a*::*linorder*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* *tree*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where**

*T\_splay* *x* *Leaf* = 1 |

*T\_splay* *x* (*Node* *AB* *b* *CD*) =

(*case* *cmp* *x* *b* of

*EQ*  $\Rightarrow$  1 |

*LT*  $\Rightarrow$  (*case* *AB* of

*Leaf*  $\Rightarrow$  1 |

*Node* *A* *a* *B*  $\Rightarrow$

(*case* *cmp* *x* *a* of *EQ*  $\Rightarrow$  1 |

*LT*  $\Rightarrow$  if *A* = *Leaf* then 1 else *T\_splay* *x* *A* + 1 |

*GT*  $\Rightarrow$  if *B* = *Leaf* then 1 else *T\_splay* *x* *B* + 1)) |

*GT*  $\Rightarrow$  (*case* *CD* of

*Leaf*  $\Rightarrow$  1 |

*Node* *C* *c* *D*  $\Rightarrow$

(*case* *cmp* *x* *c* of *EQ*  $\Rightarrow$  1 |

*LT*  $\Rightarrow$  if *C* = *Leaf* then 1 else *T\_splay* *x* *C* + 1 |

*GT*  $\Rightarrow$  if *D* = *Leaf* then 1 else *T\_splay* *x* *D* + 1)))

**lemma** *T\_splay\_simps*[*simp*]:

*T\_splay* *a* (*Node* *l* *a* *r*) = 1

$x < b \Longrightarrow T\_splay\ x\ (Node\ Leaf\ b\ CD) = 1$

$a < b \Longrightarrow T\_splay\ a\ (Node\ (Node\ A\ a\ B)\ b\ CD) = 1$

$x < a \Longrightarrow x < b \Longrightarrow T\_splay\ x\ (Node\ (Node\ A\ a\ B)\ b\ CD) =$   
(if *A* = *Leaf* then 1 else *T\_splay* *x* *A* + 1)

$x < b \Longrightarrow a < x \Longrightarrow T\_splay\ x\ (Node\ (Node\ A\ a\ B)\ b\ CD) =$   
(if *B* = *Leaf* then 1 else *T\_splay* *x* *B* + 1)

$b < x \implies T\_splay\ x\ (Node\ AB\ b\ Leaf) = 1$   
 $b < a \implies T\_splay\ a\ (Node\ AB\ b\ (Node\ C\ a\ D)) = 1$   
 $b < x \implies x < c \implies T\_splay\ x\ (Node\ AB\ b\ (Node\ C\ c\ D)) =$   
 (if  $C=Leaf$  then 1 else  $T\_splay\ x\ C + 1$ )  
 $b < x \implies c < x \implies T\_splay\ x\ (Node\ AB\ b\ (Node\ C\ c\ D)) =$   
 (if  $D=Leaf$  then 1 else  $T\_splay\ x\ D + 1$ )  
**by auto**

**declare**  $T\_splay.simps(2)[simp\ del]$

**definition**  $T\_insert :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_insert\ x\ t = 1 + (if\ t = Leaf\ then\ 0\ else\ T\_splay\ x\ t)$

**fun**  $T\_splay\_max :: 'a::linorder\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_splay\_max\ Leaf = 1$  |  
 $T\_splay\_max\ (Node\ A\ a\ Leaf) = 1$  |  
 $T\_splay\_max\ (Node\ A\ a\ (Node\ B\ b\ C)) = (if\ C=Leaf\ then\ 1\ else\ T\_splay\_max$   
 $C + 1)$

**definition**  $T\_delete :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_delete\ x\ t =$   
 $1 + (if\ t = Leaf\ then\ 0$   
 $\quad else\ T\_splay\ x\ t +$   
 $\quad (case\ splay\ x\ t\ of$   
 $\quad\quad Node\ l\ a\ r \Rightarrow if\ x \neq a\ then\ 0\ else\ if\ l = Leaf\ then\ 0\ else\ T\_splay\_max$   
 $\quad\quad l))$

**lemma**  $ex\_in\_set\_tree: t \neq Leaf \implies bst\ t \implies$   
 $\exists x' \in set\_tree\ t. splay\ x'\ t = splay\ x\ t \wedge T\_splay\ x'\ t = T\_splay\ x\ t$

**proof**(*induction x t rule: splay.induct*)  
**case** (6  $x\ b\ c\ A$ )  
**hence**  $splay\ x\ A \neq Leaf$  **by** *simp*  
**then obtain**  $A1\ u\ A2$  **where** [*simp*]:  $splay\ x\ A = Node\ A1\ u\ A2$   
**by** (*metis tree.exhaust*)  
**have**  $b < c$   $bst\ A$  **using** 6.prem1 **by** *auto*  
**from** 6.IH[*OF*  $\langle A \neq Leaf \rangle \langle bst\ A \rangle$ ]  
**obtain**  $x'$  **where**  $x' \in set\_tree\ A$   $splay\ x'\ A = splay\ x\ A$   $T\_splay\ x'\ A =$   
 $T\_splay\ x\ A$   
**by** *blast*  
**moreover hence**  $x' < b$  **using** 6.prem2 (2) **by** *auto*  
**ultimately show** ?*case* **using**  $\langle x < c \rangle \langle x < b \rangle \langle b < c \rangle \langle bst\ A \rangle$  **by** *force*

**next**  
**case** (8  $a\ x\ c\ B$ )  
**hence**  $splay\ x\ B \neq Leaf$  **by** *simp*

```

then obtain B1 u B2 where [simp]: splay x B = Node B1 u B2
  by (metis tree.exhaust)
have a < c bst B using 8.prem1 by auto
from 8.IH[OF ‹B ≠ Leaf› ‹bst B›]
obtain x' where x' ∈ set_tree B splay x' B = splay x B T_splay x' B =
T_splay x B
  by blast
moreover hence a < x' & x' < c using 8.prem2 by simp
ultimately show ?case using ‹x < c› ‹a < x› ‹a < c› ‹bst B› by force
next
case (11 b x c C)
hence splay x C ≠ Leaf by simp
then obtain C1 u C2 where [simp]: splay x C = Node C1 u C2
  by (metis tree.exhaust)
have b < c bst C using 11.prem1 by auto
from 11.IH[OF ‹C ≠ Leaf› ‹bst C›]
obtain x' where x' ∈ set_tree C splay x' C = splay x C T_splay x' C
= T_splay x C
  by blast
moreover hence b < x' & x' < c using 11.prem2 by simp
ultimately show ?case using ‹b < x› ‹x < c› ‹b < c› ‹bst C› by force
next
case (14 a x c D)
hence splay x D ≠ Leaf by simp
then obtain D1 u D2 where [simp]: splay x D = Node D1 u D2
  by (metis tree.exhaust)
have a < c bst D using 14.prem1 by auto
from 14.IH[OF ‹D ≠ Leaf› ‹bst D›]
obtain x' where x' ∈ set_tree D splay x' D = splay x D T_splay x' D
= T_splay x D
  by blast
moreover hence c < x' using 14.prem2 by simp
ultimately show ?case using ‹a < x› ‹c < x› ‹a < c› ‹bst D› by force
qed (auto simp: le_less)

```

```

datatype 'a op = Empty | Splay 'a | Insert 'a | Delete 'a

```

```

fun arity :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ nat where
  arity Empty = 0 |
  arity (Splay x) = 1 |
  arity (Insert x) = 1 |
  arity (Delete x) = 1

```

```

fun exec :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ 'a tree where
exec Empty [] = Leaf |
exec (Splay x) [t] = splay x t |
exec (Insert x) [t] = Splay_Tree.insert x t |
exec (Delete x) [t] = Splay_Tree.delete x t

```

```

fun cost :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ nat where
cost Empty [] = 1 |
cost (Splay x) [t] = T_splay x t |
cost (Insert x) [t] = T_insert x t |
cost (Delete x) [t] = T_delete x t

```

**end**

## 5.2 Splay Tree Analysis

```

theory Splay_Tree_Analysis
imports
  Splay_Tree_Analysis_Base
  Amortized_Framework
begin

```

### 5.2.1 Analysis of splay

```

definition A_splay :: 'a::linorder ⇒ 'a tree ⇒ real where
A_splay a t = T_splay a t + Φ(splay a t) - Φ t

```

The following lemma is an attempt to prove a generic lemma that covers both zig-zig cases. However, the lemma is not as nice as one would like. Hence it is used only once, as a demo. Ideally the lemma would involve function  $A\_splay$ , but that is impossible because this involves  $splay$  and thus depends on the ordering. We would need a truly symmetric version of  $splay$  that takes the ordering as an explicit argument. Then we could define all the symmetric cases by one final equation  $splay2 (<) t = splay2 (\lambda x y. \neg x < y)$  ( $mirror\ t$ ). This would simplify the code and the proofs.

```

lemma zig_zig: fixes lx x rx lb b rb a ra u lb1 lb2
defines [simp]: X == Node lx (x) rx defines[simp]: B == Node lb b rb
defines [simp]: t == Node B a ra defines [simp]: A' == Node rb a ra
defines [simp]: t' == Node lb1 u (Node lb2 b A')
assumes hyps: lb ≠ ⟨⟩ and IH: T_splay x lb + Φ lb1 + Φ lb2 - Φ lb ≤ 2
  * φ lb - 3 * φ X + 1 and
  prems: size lb = size lb1 + size lb2 + 1 X ∈ subtrees lb
shows T_splay x lb + Φ t' - Φ t ≤ 3 * (φ t - φ X)
proof -
  define B' where [simp]: B' = Node lb2 b A'

```

```

have  $T\_splay\ x\ lb + \Phi\ t' - \Phi\ t = T\_splay\ x\ lb + \Phi\ lb1 + \Phi\ lb2 - \Phi\ lb$ 
+  $\varphi\ B' + \varphi\ A' - \varphi\ B$ 
  using prems
  by(auto simp: A_splay_def size_if_splay algebra_simps in_set_tree_if
split: tree.split)
also have  $\dots \leq 2 * \varphi\ lb + \varphi\ B' + \varphi\ A' - \varphi\ B - 3 * \varphi\ X + 1$ 
  using IH prems(2) by(auto simp: algebra_simps)
also have  $\dots \leq \varphi\ lb + \varphi\ B' + \varphi\ A' - 3 * \varphi\ X + 1$  by(simp)
also have  $\dots \leq \varphi\ B' + 2 * \varphi\ t - 3 * \varphi\ X$ 
  using prems ld_ld_1_less[of size1 lb size1 A']
  by(simp add: size_if_splay)
also have  $\dots \leq 3 * \varphi\ t - 3 * \varphi\ X$ 
  using prems by(simp add: size_if_splay)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma A_splay_ub:  $\llbracket\ bst\ t; Node\ l\ x\ r : subtrees\ t\ \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies A\_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - \varphi(Node\ l\ x\ r)) + 1$ 
proof(induction x t rule: splay.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case 2 thus ?case by (auto simp: A_splay_def)
next
  case 4 hence False by(fastforce dest: in_set_tree_if) thus ?case ..
next
  case 5 hence False by(fastforce dest: in_set_tree_if) thus ?case ..
next
  case 7 hence False by(fastforce dest: in_set_tree_if) thus ?case ..
next
  case 10 hence False by(fastforce dest: in_set_tree_if) thus ?case ..
next
  case 12 hence False by(fastforce dest: in_set_tree_if) thus ?case ..
next
  case 13 hence False by(fastforce dest: in_set_tree_if) thus ?case ..
next
  case ( $3\ x\ b\ A\ B\ CD$ )

  let ?t =  $\langle\langle A, x, B \rangle, b, CD\rangle$ 
  let ?t' =  $\langle A, x, \langle B, b, CD \rangle \rangle$ 
  have  $*$ :  $l = A \wedge r = B$  using 3.prems by(fastforce dest: in_set_tree_if)
  have  $A\_splay\ x\ ?t = 1 + \Phi\ ?t' - \Phi\ ?t$  using 3.hyps by (simp add:
A_splay_def)
  also have  $\dots = 1 + \varphi\ ?t' + \varphi\ \langle B, b, CD \rangle - \varphi\ ?t - \varphi\ \langle A, x, B \rangle$ 
by(simp)

```

**also have**  $\dots = 1 + \varphi \langle B, b, CD \rangle - \varphi \langle A, x, B \rangle$  **by** (*simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 1 + \varphi ?t - \varphi(\text{Node } A \ x \ B)$   
**using** *log\_le\_cancel\_iff*[of 2 *size1*(*Node B b CD*) *size1 ?t*] **by** (*simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 1 + 3 * (\varphi ?t - \varphi(\text{Node } A \ x \ B))$   
**using** *log\_le\_cancel\_iff*[of 2 *size1*(*Node A x B*) *size1 ?t*] **by** (*simp*)  
**finally show** *?case* **using** \* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**case** (*9 b x AB C D*)  
  
**let** *?A* =  $\langle AB, b, \langle C, x, D \rangle \rangle$   
**have**  $x \notin \text{set\_tree } AB$  **using** *9.prem*(1) **by** *auto*  
**with** *9* **show** *?case* **using**  
*log\_le\_cancel\_iff*[of 2 *size1*(*Node AB b C*) *size1 ?A*]  
*log\_le\_cancel\_iff*[of 2 *size1*(*Node C x D*) *size1 ?A*]  
**by** (*auto simp: A\_splay\_def algebra\_simps simp del:log\_le\_cancel\_iff*)  
**next**  
**case** (*6 x a b A B C*)  
**hence** \*:  $\langle l, x, r \rangle \in \text{subtrees } A$  **by**(*fastforce dest: in\_set\_tree\_if*)  
**obtain** *A1 a' A2* **where** *sp: splay x A = Node A1 a' A2*  
**using** *splay\_not\_Leaf*[*OF*  $\langle A \neq \text{Leaf} \rangle$ ] **by** *blast*  
**let** *?X* = *Node l x r* **let** *?AB* = *Node A a B* **let** *?ABC* = *Node ?AB b C*  
**let** *?A'* = *Node A1 a' A2*  
**let** *?BC* = *Node B b C* **let** *?A2BC* = *Node A2 a ?BC* **let** *?A1A2BC* =  
*Node A1 a' ?A2BC*  
**have** 0:  $\varphi ?A1A2BC = \varphi ?ABC$  **using** *sp* **by**(*simp add: size\_if\_splay*)  
**have** 1:  $\Phi ?A1A2BC - \Phi ?ABC = \Phi A1 + \Phi A2 + \varphi ?A2BC + \varphi ?BC$   
 $- \Phi A - \varphi ?AB$   
**using** 0 **by** (*simp*)  
**have**  $A\_splay \ x \ ?ABC = T\_splay \ x \ A + 1 + \Phi ?A1A2BC - \Phi ?ABC$   
**using** *6.hyps sp* **by**(*simp add: A\_splay\_def*)  
**also have**  $\dots = T\_splay \ x \ A + 1 + \Phi A1 + \Phi A2 + \varphi ?A2BC + \varphi$   
 $?BC - \Phi A - \varphi ?AB$   
**using** 1 **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots = T\_splay \ x \ A + \Phi ?A' - \varphi ?A' - \Phi A + \varphi ?A2BC + \varphi$   
 $?BC - \varphi ?AB + 1$   
**by**(*simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots = A\_splay \ x \ A + \varphi ?A2BC + \varphi ?BC - \varphi ?AB - \varphi ?A'$   
 $+ 1$   
**using** *sp* **by**(*simp add: A\_splay\_def*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 3 * \varphi A + \varphi ?A2BC + \varphi ?BC - \varphi ?AB - \varphi ?A' - 3$   
 $* \varphi ?X + 2$   
**using** *6.IH 6.prem*(1) \* **by**(*simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots = 2 * \varphi A + \varphi ?A2BC + \varphi ?BC - \varphi ?AB - 3 * \varphi ?X$   
 $+ 2$

```

    using sp by(simp add: size_if_splay)
  also have ... <  $\varphi A + \varphi ?A2BC + \varphi ?BC - 3 * \varphi ?X + 2$  by(simp)
  also have ... <  $\varphi ?A2BC + 2 * \varphi ?ABC - 3 * \varphi ?X + 1$ 
    using sp ld_ld_1_less[of size1 A size1 ?BC]
    by(simp add: size_if_splay)
  also have ... <  $3 * \varphi ?ABC - 3 * \varphi ?X + 1$ 
    using sp by(simp add: size_if_splay)
  finally show ?case by simp
next
case (8 a x b B A C)
hence *:  $\langle l, x, r \rangle \in \text{subtrees } B$  by(fastforce dest: in_set_tree_if)
obtain B1 b' B2 where sp:  $\text{splay } x B = \text{Node } B1 b' B2$ 
  using splay_not_Leaf[OF  $\langle B \neq \text{Leaf} \rangle$ ] by blast
let ?X = Node l x r let ?AB = Node A a B let ?ABC = Node ?AB b C
let ?B' = Node B1 b' B2
let ?AB1 = Node A a B1 let ?B2C = Node B2 b C let ?AB1B2C =
Node ?AB1 b' ?B2C
  have 0:  $\varphi ?AB1B2C = \varphi ?ABC$  using sp by(simp add: size_if_splay)
  have 1:  $\Phi ?AB1B2C - \Phi ?ABC = \Phi B1 + \Phi B2 + \varphi ?AB1 + \varphi ?B2C$ 
-  $\Phi B - \varphi ?AB$ 
    using 0 by (simp)
  have A_splay  $x ?ABC = T\_splay x B + 1 + \Phi ?AB1B2C - \Phi ?ABC$ 
    using 8.hyps sp by(simp add: A_splay_def)
  also have ... =  $T\_splay x B + 1 + \Phi B1 + \Phi B2 + \varphi ?AB1 + \varphi ?B2C$ 
-  $\Phi B - \varphi ?AB$ 
    using 1 by simp
  also have ... =  $T\_splay x B + \Phi ?B' - \varphi ?B' - \Phi B + \varphi ?AB1 + \varphi$ 
 $?B2C - \varphi ?AB + 1$ 
    by simp
  also have ... =  $A\_splay x B + \varphi ?AB1 + \varphi ?B2C - \varphi ?AB - \varphi ?B'$ 
+ 1
    using sp by (simp add: A_splay_def)
  also have ...  $\leq 3 * \varphi B + \varphi ?AB1 + \varphi ?B2C - \varphi ?AB - \varphi ?B' - 3$ 
 $* \varphi ?X + 2$ 
    using 8.IH 8.prem1 by(simp)
  also have ... =  $2 * \varphi B + \varphi ?AB1 + \varphi ?B2C - \varphi ?AB - 3 * \varphi ?X +$ 
2
    using sp by(simp add: size_if_splay)
  also have ... <  $\varphi B + \varphi ?AB1 + \varphi ?B2C - 3 * \varphi ?X + 2$  by(simp)
  also have ... <  $\varphi B + 2 * \varphi ?ABC - 3 * \varphi ?X + 1$ 
    using sp ld_ld_1_less[of size1 ?AB1 size1 ?B2C]
    by(simp add: size_if_splay)
  also have ... <  $3 * \varphi ?ABC - 3 * \varphi ?X + 1$  by(simp)
  finally show ?case by simp

```

**next**  
**case** (11  $b\ x\ c\ C\ A\ D$ )  
**hence** \*:  $\langle l, x, r \rangle \in \text{subtrees } C$  **by**(*fastforce dest: in\_set\_tree\_if*)  
**obtain**  $C1\ c'\ C2$  **where**  $sp: \text{splay } x\ C = \text{Node } C1\ c'\ C2$   
**using** *splay\_not\_Leaf[OF  $\langle C \neq \text{Leaf} \rangle$ ]* **by** *blast*  
**let**  $?X = \text{Node } l\ x\ r$  **let**  $?CD = \text{Node } C\ c\ D$  **let**  $?ACD = \text{Node } A\ b\ ?CD$   
**let**  $?C' = \text{Node } C1\ c'\ C2$   
**let**  $?C2D = \text{Node } C2\ c\ D$  **let**  $?AC1 = \text{Node } A\ b\ C1$   
**have**  $A\_splay\ x\ ?ACD = A\_splay\ x\ C + \varphi\ ?C2D + \varphi\ ?AC1 - \varphi\ ?CD$   
 $- \varphi\ ?C' + 1$   
**using** *11.hyps sp*  
**by**(*auto simp: A\_splay\_def size\_if\_splay algebra\_simps split: tree.split*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 3 * \varphi\ C + \varphi\ ?C2D + \varphi\ ?AC1 - \varphi\ ?CD - \varphi\ ?C' - 3$   
 $* \varphi\ ?X + 2$   
**using** *11.IH 11.prem1* \* **by**(*auto simp: algebra\_simps*)  
**also have**  $\dots = 2 * \varphi\ C + \varphi\ ?C2D + \varphi\ ?AC1 - \varphi\ ?CD - 3 * \varphi\ ?X$   
 $+ 2$   
**using**  $sp$  **by**(*simp add: size\_if\_splay*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq \varphi\ C + \varphi\ ?C2D + \varphi\ ?AC1 - 3 * \varphi\ ?X + 2$  **by**(*simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq \varphi\ C + 2 * \varphi\ ?ACD - 3 * \varphi\ ?X + 1$   
**using**  $sp\ ld\_ld\_1\_less$ [*of size1 ?C2D size1 ?AC1*]  
**by**(*simp add: size\_if\_splay algebra\_simps*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 3 * \varphi\ ?ACD - 3 * \varphi\ ?X + 1$  **by**(*simp*)  
**finally show**  $?case$  **by**  $simp$

**next**  
**case** (14  $a\ x\ b\ CD\ A\ B$ )  
**hence**  $0: x \notin \text{set\_tree } B \wedge x \notin \text{set\_tree } A$   
**using** *14.prem1*  $\langle b < x \rangle$  **by**(*auto*)  
**hence**  $1: x \in \text{set\_tree } CD$  **using** *14.prem1*  $\langle b < x \rangle\ \langle a < x \rangle$  **by** (*auto*)  
**obtain**  $C\ c\ D$  **where**  $sp: \text{splay } x\ CD = \text{Node } C\ c\ D$   
**using** *splay\_not\_Leaf[OF  $\langle CD \neq \text{Leaf} \rangle$ ]* **by** *blast*  
**from** *zig\_zig*[*of CD x D C l r \_ b B a A*] *14 sp 0*  
**show**  $?case$  **by**(*auto simp: A\_splay\_def size\_if\_splay algebra\_simps*)

qed

**lemma**  $A\_splay\_ub2$ : **assumes**  $bst\ t\ x : \text{set\_tree } t$

**shows**  $A\_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - 1) + 1$

**proof** –

**from** *assms*(2) **obtain**  $l\ r$  **where**  $N: \text{Node } l\ x\ r : \text{subtrees } t$

**by** (*metis set\_treeE*)

**have**  $A\_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - \varphi(\text{Node } l\ x\ r)) + 1$  **by**(*rule A\_splay\_ub*[*OF assms*(1)  $N$ ])

**also have**  $\dots \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - 1) + 1$  **by**(*simp add: field\_simps*)

finally show *?thesis* .  
qed

lemma *A\_splay\_ub3*: assumes *bst t* shows  $A\_splay\ x\ t \leq 3 * \varphi\ t + 1$   
proof cases

assume  $t = Leaf$  thus *?thesis* by(*simp add: A\_splay\_def*)  
next  
assume  $t \neq Leaf$   
from *ex\_in\_set\_tree*[*OF this assms*] obtain  $x'$  where  
a':  $x' \in set\_tree\ t$   $splay\ x'\ t = splay\ x\ t$   $T\_splay\ x'\ t = T\_splay\ x\ t$   
by *blast*  
show *?thesis* using *A\_splay\_ub2*[*OF assms a'(1)*] by(*simp add: A\_splay\_def a'*)  
qed

## 5.2.2 Analysis of insert

lemma *amor\_insert*: assumes *bst t*  
shows  $T\_insert\ x\ t + \Phi(Splay\_Tree.insert\ x\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 4 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ t) + 3$  (is  $?l \leq ?r$ )

proof cases

assume  $t = Leaf$  thus *?thesis* by(*simp add: T\_insert\_def*)  
next  
assume  $t \neq Leaf$   
then obtain  $l\ e\ r$  where [*simp*]:  $splay\ x\ t = Node\ l\ e\ r$   
by (*metis tree.exhaust splay\_Leaf\_iff*)  
let  $?t = real(T\_splay\ x\ t)$   
let  $?Plr = \Phi\ l + \Phi\ r$  let  $?Ps = \Phi\ t$   
let  $?slr = real(size1\ l) + real(size1\ r)$  let  $?LR = \log\ 2\ (1 + ?slr)$   
have *opt*:  $?t + \Phi\ (splay\ x\ t) - ?Ps \leq 3 * \log\ 2\ (real\ (size1\ t)) + 1$   
using *A\_splay\_ub3*[*OF <bst t>, simplified A\_splay\_def, of x*] by (*simp*)  
from *less\_linear*[*of e x*]  
show *?thesis*  
proof (*elim disjE*)  
assume  $e=x$   
have *nneg*:  $\log\ 2\ (1 + real\ (size\ t)) \geq 0$  by *simp*  
thus *?thesis* using  $\langle t \neq Leaf \rangle$  *opt*  $\langle e=x \rangle$   
apply(*simp add: T\_insert\_def algebra\_simps*) using *nneg* by *arith*  
next  
let  $?L = \log\ 2\ (real(size1\ l) + 1)$   
assume  $e < x$  hence  $e \neq x$  by *simp*  
hence  $?l = (?t + ?Plr - ?Ps) + ?L + ?LR + 1$   
using  $\langle t \neq Leaf \rangle$   $\langle e < x \rangle$  by(*simp add: T\_insert\_def*)  
also have  $?t + ?Plr - ?Ps \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ ?slr + 1$

```

    using opt size_splay[of x t,symmetric] by(simp)
  also have ?L ≤ log 2 ?slr by(simp)
  also have ?LR ≤ log 2 ?slr + 1
  proof -
    have ?LR ≤ log 2 (2 * ?slr) by (simp add:)
    also have ... ≤ log 2 ?slr + 1
      by (simp add: log_mult del:distrib_left_numeral)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  finally show ?thesis using size_splay[of x t,symmetric] by (simp)
next
let ?R = log 2 (2 + real(size r))
assume x < e hence e ≠ x by simp
hence ?l = (?t + ?Plr - ?Ps) + ?R + ?LR + 1
  using ‹t ≠ Leaf› ‹x < e› by(simp add: T_insert_def)
also have ?t + ?Plr - ?Ps ≤ 2 * log 2 ?slr + 1
  using opt size_splay[of x t,symmetric] by(simp)
also have ?R ≤ log 2 ?slr by(simp)
also have ?LR ≤ log 2 ?slr + 1
  proof -
    have ?LR ≤ log 2 (2 * ?slr) by (simp add:)
    also have ... ≤ log 2 ?slr + 1
      by (simp add: log_mult del:distrib_left_numeral)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  finally show ?thesis using size_splay[of x t, symmetric] by simp
qed
qed

```

### 5.2.3 Analysis of delete

**definition**  $A\_splay\_max :: 'a::linorder\ tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $A\_splay\_max\ t = T\_splay\_max\ t + \Phi(splay\_max\ t) - \Phi\ t$

**lemma**  $A\_splay\_max\_ub: t \neq Leaf \implies A\_splay\_max\ t \leq 3 * (\varphi\ t - 1) + 1$

**proof**(*induction t rule: splay\_max.induct*)

**case 1 thus ?case by (simp)**

**next**

**case (2 A)**

**thus ?case using one\_le\_log\_cancel\_iff[of 2 size1 A + 1]**

**by (simp add: A\_splay\_max\_def del: one\_le\_log\_cancel\_iff)**

**next**

**case (3 l b rl c rr)**

```

show ?case
proof cases
  assume rr = Leaf
  thus ?thesis
    using one_le_log_cancel_iff[of 2 1 + size1 rl]
      one_le_log_cancel_iff[of 2 1 + size1 l + size1 rl]
      log_le_cancel_iff[of 2 size1 l + size1 rl 1 + size1 l + size1 rl]
    by (auto simp: A_splay_max_def field_simps
      simp del: log_le_cancel_iff one_le_log_cancel_iff)
next
  assume rr ≠ Leaf
  then obtain l' u r' where sp: splay_max rr = Node l' u r'
    using splay_max_Leaf_iff tree.exhaust by blast
  hence 1: size rr = size l' + size r' + 1
    using size_splay_max[of rr, symmetric] by (simp)
  let ?C = Node rl c rr let ?B = Node l b ?C
  let ?B' = Node l b rl let ?C' = Node ?B' c l'
  have A_splay_max ?B = A_splay_max rr + φ ?B' + φ ?C' - φ rr
  - φ ?C + 1 using 3.prem3 sp 1
    by (auto simp add: A_splay_max_def)
  also have ... ≤ 3 * (φ rr - 1) + φ ?B' + φ ?C' - φ rr - φ ?C + 2
    using 3 ⟨rr ≠ Leaf⟩ by auto
  also have ... = 2 * φ rr + φ ?B' + φ ?C' - φ ?C - 1 by simp
  also have ... ≤ φ rr + φ ?B' + φ ?C' - 1 by simp
  also have ... ≤ 2 * φ ?B + φ ?C' - 2
    using ld_ld_1_less[of size1 ?B' size1 rr] by (simp add:)
  also have ... ≤ 3 * φ ?B - 2 using 1 by simp
  finally show ?case by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma A_splay_max_ub3: A_splay_max t ≤ 3 * φ t + 1
proof cases
  assume t = Leaf thus ?thesis by (simp add: A_splay_max_def)
next
  assume t ≠ Leaf
  show ?thesis using A_splay_max_ub[OF ⟨t ≠ Leaf⟩] by (simp)
qed

```

```

lemma amor_delete: assumes bst t
shows T_delete a t + Φ(Splay_Tree.delete a t) - Φ t ≤ 6 * log 2 (size1
t) + 3
proof (cases t)
  case Leaf thus ?thesis by (simp add: Splay_Tree.delete_def T_delete_def)

```

```

next
  case [simp]: (Node l s x r s)
  then obtain l e r where sp[simp]: splay a (Node l s x r s) = Node l e r
    by (metis tree.exhaust splay_Leaf_iff)
  let ?t = real(T_splay a t)
  let ?Plr =  $\Phi$  l +  $\Phi$  r let ?Ps =  $\Phi$  t
  let ?slr = real(size1 l) + real(size1 r) let ?LR = log 2 (1 + ?slr)
  let ?lslr = log 2 (real (size ls) + (real (size rs) + 2))
  have ?lslr  $\geq$  0 by simp
  have opt: ?t +  $\Phi$  (splay a t) - ?Ps  $\leq$  3 * log 2 (real (size1 t)) + 1
    using A_splay_ub3[OF ‹bst t›, simplified A_splay_def, of a]
    by (simp add: field_simps)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases e=a)
    case False thus ?thesis
      using opt apply(simp add: Splay_Tree.delete_def T_delete_def
field_simps)
      using ‹?lslr  $\geq$  0› by arith
    next
      case [simp]: True
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases l)
        case Leaf
          have 1: log 2 (real (size r) + 2)  $\geq$  0 by(simp)
          show ?thesis
          using Leaf opt apply(simp add: Splay_Tree.delete_def T_delete_def
field_simps)
          using 1 ‹?lslr  $\geq$  0› by arith
        next
          case (Node ll y lr)
          then obtain l' y' r' where [simp]: splay_max (Node ll y lr) = Node
l' y' r'
          using splay_max_Leaf_iff tree.exhaust by blast
          have bst l using bst_splay[OF ‹bst t›, of a] by simp
          have  $\Phi$  r'  $\geq$  0 apply (induction r') by (auto)
          have optm: real(T_splay_max l) +  $\Phi$  (splay_max l) -  $\Phi$  l  $\leq$  3 *  $\varphi$ 
l + 1
          using A_splay_max_ub3[of l, simplified A_splay_max_def] by
(simp add: field_simps Node)
          have 1: log 2 (2+(real(size l')+real(size r)))  $\leq$  log 2 (2+(real(size
l)+real(size r)))
          using size_splay_max[of l] Node by simp
          have 2: log 2 (2 + (real (size l') + real (size r')))  $\geq$  0 by simp
          have 3: log 2 (size1 l' + size1 r)  $\leq$  log 2 (size1 l' + size1 r') + log 2

```

```

?slr
  apply simp using 1 2 by arith
  have 4:  $\log 2 (\text{real}(\text{size } ll) + (\text{real}(\text{size } lr) + 2)) \leq ?slr$ 
    using size_if_splay[OF sp] Node by simp
  show ?thesis using add_mono[OF opt optm] Node 3
    apply(simp add: Splay_Tree.delete_def T_delete_def field_simps)
    using 4  $\langle \Phi \ r' \geq 0 \rangle$  by arith
qed
qed
qed

```

#### 5.2.4 Overall analysis

**fun**  $U$  **where**

```

U Empty [] = 1 |
U (Splay _) [t] = 3 * log 2 (size1 t) + 1 |
U (Insert _) [t] = 4 * log 2 (size1 t) + 3 |
U (Delete _) [t] = 6 * log 2 (size1 t) + 3

```

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where**  $\text{arity} = \text{arity}$  **and**  $\text{exec} = \text{exec}$  **and**  $\text{inv} = \text{bst}$

**and**  $\text{cost} = \text{cost}$  **and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = U$

**proof** (*standard, goal\_cases*)

**case** ( $1 \text{ ss } f$ ) **show** ?case

**proof** (*cases f*)

**case** *Empty* **thus** ?thesis **using** 1 **by** *auto*

**next**

**case** (*Splay a*)

**then obtain**  $t$  **where**  $\text{ss} = [t]$  *bst t* **using** 1 **by** *auto*

**with** *Splay bst\_splay*[OF  $\langle \text{bst } t \rangle$ , of  $a$ ] **show** ?thesis

**by** (*auto split: tree.split*)

**next**

**case** (*Insert a*)

**then obtain**  $t$  **where**  $\text{ss} = [t]$  *bst t* **using** 1 **by** *auto*

**with** *bst\_splay*[OF  $\langle \text{bst } t \rangle$ , of  $a$ ] *Insert* **show** ?thesis

**by** (*auto simp: splay\_bstL*[OF  $\langle \text{bst } t \rangle$ ] *splay\_bstR*[OF  $\langle \text{bst } t \rangle$ ] *split: tree.split*)

**next**

**case** (*Delete a*)

**then obtain**  $t$  **where**  $\text{ss} = [t]$  *bst t* **using** 1 **by** *auto*

**with** 1 *Delete* **show** ?thesis **by**(*simp add: bst\_delete*)

**qed**

**next**

**case** ( $2 \ t$ ) **thus** ?case **by** (*induction t*) *auto*

```

next
  case ( $\exists$  ss f)
  show ?case (is ?l  $\leq$  ?r)
  proof(cases f)
    case Empty thus ?thesis using  $\exists(2)$  by(simp add: A_splay_def)
  next
    case (Splay a)
    then obtain t where ss = [t] bst t using  $\exists$  by auto
    thus ?thesis using Splay A_splay_ub $\exists$ [OF ‹bst t›] by(simp add: A_splay_def)
  next
    case [simp]: (Insert a)
    then obtain t where [simp]: ss = [t] and bst t using  $\exists$  by auto
    thus ?thesis using amor_insert[of t a] by auto
  next
    case [simp]: (Delete a)
    then obtain t where [simp]: ss = [t] and bst t using  $\exists$  by auto
    thus ?thesis using amor_delete[of t a] by auto
qed
qed
end

```

### 5.3 Splay Tree Analysis (Optimal)

```

theory Splay_Tree_Analysis_Optimal
imports
  Splay_Tree_Analysis_Base
  Amortized_Framework
  HOL-Library.Sum_of_Squares
begin

```

This analysis follows Schoenmakers [7].

#### 5.3.1 Analysis of splay

```

locale Splay_Analysis =
fixes  $\alpha$  :: real and  $\beta$  :: real
assumes a1[arith]:  $\alpha > 1$ 
assumes A1:  $\llbracket 1 \leq x; 1 \leq y; 1 \leq z \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $(x+y) * (y+z) \text{ powr } \beta \leq (x+y) \text{ powr } \beta * (x+y+z)$ 
assumes A2:  $\llbracket 1 \leq l'; 1 \leq r'; 1 \leq lr; 1 \leq r \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\alpha * (l'+r') * (lr+r) \text{ powr } \beta * (lr+r'+r) \text{ powr } \beta$ 
   $\leq (l'+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+lr+r') \text{ powr } \beta * (l'+lr+r'+r)$ 
assumes A3:  $\llbracket 1 \leq l'; 1 \leq r'; 1 \leq ll; 1 \leq r \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\alpha * (l'+r') * (l'+ll) \text{ powr } \beta * (r'+r) \text{ powr } \beta$ 

```

$\leq (l'+r')$  powr  $\beta * (l'+ll+r')$  powr  $\beta * (l'+ll+r'+r)$   
**begin**

**lemma** *nl2*:  $\llbracket ll \geq 1; lr \geq 1; r \geq 1 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\log \alpha (ll + lr) + \beta * \log \alpha (lr + r)$   
 $\leq \beta * \log \alpha (ll + lr) + \log \alpha (ll + lr + r)$   
**apply**(rule powr\_le\_cancel\_iff[THEN iffD1, OF a1])  
**apply**(simp add: powr\_add mult.commute[of  $\beta$ ] powr\_powr[symmetric] A1)  
**done**

**definition**  $\varphi :: 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
 $\varphi \ t1 \ t2 = \beta * \log \alpha (\text{size1 } t1 + \text{size1 } t2)$

**fun**  $\Phi :: 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
 $\Phi \ \text{Leaf} = 0 \mid$   
 $\Phi \ (\text{Node } l \_ r) = \Phi \ l + \Phi \ r + \varphi \ l \ r$

**definition**  $A :: 'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
 $A \ a \ t = T\_splay \ a \ t + \Phi (\text{splay } a \ t) - \Phi \ t$

**lemma**  $A\_simps[simp]$ :  $A \ a \ (\text{Node } l \ a \ r) = 1$   
 $a < b \implies A \ a \ (\text{Node } (\text{Node } ll \ a \ lr) \ b \ r) = \varphi \ lr \ r - \varphi \ lr \ ll + 1$   
 $b < a \implies A \ a \ (\text{Node } l \ b \ (\text{Node } rl \ a \ rr)) = \varphi \ rl \ l - \varphi \ rr \ rl + 1$   
**by**(auto simp add: A\_def  $\varphi\_def$  algebra\_simps)

**lemma**  $A\_ub$ :  $\llbracket \text{bst } t; \text{Node } la \ a \ ra : \text{subtrees } t \rrbracket$   
 $\implies A \ a \ t \leq \log \alpha ((\text{size1 } t)/(\text{size1 } la + \text{size1 } ra)) + 1$

**proof**(induction a t rule: splay.induct)  
**case** 1 **thus** ?case **by** simp  
**next**  
**case** 2 **thus** ?case **by** auto  
**next**  
**case** 4 **hence** False **by**(fastforce dest: in\_set\_tree\_if) **thus** ?case ..  
**next**  
**case** 5 **hence** False **by**(fastforce dest: in\_set\_tree\_if) **thus** ?case ..  
**next**  
**case** 7 **hence** False **by**(fastforce dest: in\_set\_tree\_if) **thus** ?case ..  
**next**  
**case** 10 **hence** False **by**(fastforce dest: in\_set\_tree\_if) **thus** ?case ..  
**next**  
**case** 12 **hence** False **by**(fastforce dest: in\_set\_tree\_if) **thus** ?case ..  
**next**

```

case 13 hence False by(fastforce dest: in_set_tree_if) thus ?case ..
next
case (3 b a lb rb ra)
have  $b \notin \text{set\_tree } ra$  using 3.prem1 by auto
thus ?case using 3.prem1,2 nl2[of size1 lb size1 rb size1 ra]
by (auto simp:  $\varphi\_def$  log_divide algebra_simps)
next
case (9 a b la lb rb)
have  $b \notin \text{set\_tree } la$  using 9.prem1 by auto
thus ?case using 9.prem1,2 nl2[of size1 rb size1 lb size1 la]
by (auto simp add:  $\varphi\_def$  log_divide algebra_simps)
next
case (6 x b a lb rb ra)
hence 0:  $x \notin \text{set\_tree } rb \wedge x \notin \text{set\_tree } ra$  using 6.prem1 by auto
hence 1:  $x \in \text{set\_tree } lb$  using 6.prem1  $\langle x < b \rangle$  by (auto)
then obtain lu u ru where sp: splay x lb = Node lu u ru
using 6.prem1,2 by(cases splay x lb) auto
have  $b < a$  using 6.prem1,2 by (auto)
let ?lu = real (size1 lu) let ?ru = real (size1 ru)
let ?rb = real(size1 rb) let ?r = real(size1 ra)
have  $1 + \log \alpha (?lu + ?ru) + \beta * \log \alpha (?rb + ?r) + \beta * \log \alpha (?rb + ?ru + ?r) \leq$ 
 $\beta * \log \alpha (?lu + ?ru) + \beta * \log \alpha (?lu + ?rb + ?ru) + \log \alpha (?lu + ?rb + ?ru + ?r)$  (is ?L ≤ ?R)
proof(rule powr_le_cancel_iff[THEN iffD1, OF a1])
show  $\alpha \text{ powr } ?L \leq \alpha \text{ powr } ?R$  using A2[of ?lu ?ru ?rb ?r]
by(simp add: powr_add add_ac mult.commute[of  $\beta$ ] powr_powr[symmetric])
qed
thus ?case using 6 0 1 sp
by(auto simp: A_def  $\varphi\_def$  size_if_splay algebra_simps log_divide)
next
case (8 b x a rb lb ra)
hence 0:  $x \notin \text{set\_tree } lb \wedge x \notin \text{set\_tree } ra$  using 8.prem1 by(auto)
hence 1:  $x \in \text{set\_tree } rb$  using 8.prem1  $\langle b < x \rangle \langle x < a \rangle$  by (auto)
then obtain lu u ru where sp: splay x rb = Node lu u ru
using 8.prem1,2 by(cases splay x rb) auto
let ?lu = real (size1 lu) let ?ru = real (size1 ru)
let ?lb = real(size1 lb) let ?r = real(size1 ra)
have  $1 + \log \alpha (?lu + ?ru) + \beta * \log \alpha (?lu + ?lb) + \beta * \log \alpha (?ru + ?r) \leq$ 
 $\beta * \log \alpha (?lu + ?ru) + \beta * \log \alpha (?lu + ?lb + ?ru) + \log \alpha (?lu + ?lb + ?ru + ?r)$  (is ?L ≤ ?R)
proof(rule powr_le_cancel_iff[THEN iffD1, OF a1])
show  $\alpha \text{ powr } ?L \leq \alpha \text{ powr } ?R$  using A3[of ?lu ?ru ?lb ?r]

```

```

      by(simp add: powr_add mult.commute[of  $\beta$ ] powr_powr[symmetric])
    qed
  thus ?case using 8 0 1 sp
    by(auto simp add: A_def size_if_splay  $\varphi$ _def log_divide algebra_simps)
next
  case (11 a x b lb la rb)
  hence 0:  $x \notin \text{set\_tree } rb \wedge x \notin \text{set\_tree } la$  using 11.prem1 by (auto)
  hence 1:  $x \in \text{set\_tree } lb$  using 11.prem1 <a<x> <x<b> by (auto)
  then obtain lu u ru where sp: splay x lb = Node lu u ru
    using 11.prem1,2 by(cases splay x lb) auto
  let ?lu = real (size1 lu) let ?ru = real (size1 ru)
  let ?l = real(size1 la) let ?rb = real(size1 rb)
  have 1 + log  $\alpha$  (?lu + ?ru) +  $\beta * \log \alpha$  (?lu + ?l) +  $\beta * \log \alpha$  (?ru + ?rb)  $\leq$ 
     $\beta * \log \alpha$  (?lu + ?ru) +  $\beta * \log \alpha$  (?lu + ?ru + ?rb) + log  $\alpha$  (?lu + ?l + ?ru + ?rb) (is ?L $\leq$ ?R)
  proof(rule powr_le_cancel_iff[THEN iffD1, OF a1])
    show  $\alpha$  powr ?L  $\leq$   $\alpha$  powr ?R using A3[of ?ru ?lu ?rb ?l]
      by(simp add: powr_add mult.commute[of  $\beta$ ] powr_powr[symmetric])
      (simp add: algebra_simps)
    qed
  thus ?case using 11 0 1 sp
    by(auto simp add: A_def size_if_splay  $\varphi$ _def log_divide algebra_simps)
next
  case (14 a x b rb la lb)
  hence 0:  $x \notin \text{set\_tree } lb \wedge x \notin \text{set\_tree } la$  using 14.prem1 by (auto)
  hence 1:  $x \in \text{set\_tree } rb$  using 14.prem1 <a<x> <b<x> by (auto)
  then obtain lu u ru where sp: splay x rb = Node lu u ru
    using 14.prem1,2 by(cases splay x rb) auto
  let ?la = real(size1 la) let ?lb = real(size1 lb)
  let ?lu = real (size1 lu) let ?ru = real (size1 ru)
  have 1 + log  $\alpha$  (?lu + ?ru) +  $\beta * \log \alpha$  (?la + ?lb) +  $\beta * \log \alpha$  (?lu + ?la + ?lb)  $\leq$ 
     $\beta * \log \alpha$  (?lu + ?ru) +  $\beta * \log \alpha$  (?lu + ?lb + ?ru) + log  $\alpha$  (?lu + ?lb + ?ru + ?la) (is ?L $\leq$ ?R)
  proof(rule powr_le_cancel_iff[THEN iffD1, OF a1])
    show  $\alpha$  powr ?L  $\leq$   $\alpha$  powr ?R using A2[of ?ru ?lu ?lb ?la]
      by(simp add: powr_add add_ac mult.commute[of  $\beta$ ] powr_powr[symmetric])
    qed
  thus ?case using 14 0 1 sp
    by(auto simp add: A_def size_if_splay  $\varphi$ _def log_divide algebra_simps)
  qed

```

lemma A\_ub2: assumes bst t a : set\_tree t

**shows**  $A\ a\ t \leq \log \alpha ((\text{size1 } t)/2) + 1$   
**proof** –  
**from** *assms(2)* **obtain** *la ra* **where**  $N: \text{Node } la\ a\ ra : \text{subtrees } t$   
**by** (*metis set\_treeE*)  
**have**  $A\ a\ t \leq \log \alpha ((\text{size1 } t)/(\text{size1 } la + \text{size1 } ra)) + 1$   
**by**(*rule A\_ub[OF assms(1) N]*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq \log \alpha ((\text{size1 } t)/2) + 1$  **by**(*simp add: field\_simps*)  
**finally show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

**lemma** *A\_ub3*: **assumes** *bst t* **shows**  $A\ a\ t \leq \log \alpha (\text{size1 } t) + 1$   
**proof** *cases*  
**assume**  $t = \text{Leaf}$  **thus** *?thesis* **by**(*simp add: A\_def*)  
**next**  
**assume**  $t \neq \text{Leaf}$   
**from** *ex\_in\_set\_tree[OF this assms]* **obtain** *a'* **where**  
 $a': a' \in \text{set\_tree } t \text{ splay } a' t = \text{splay } a t \ T\_splay\ a' t = T\_splay\ a t$   
**by** *blast*  
**have** [*arith*]:  $\log \alpha 2 > 0$  **by** *simp*  
**show** *?thesis* **using** *A\_ub2[OF assms a'(1)]* **by**(*simp add: A\_def a'*  
*log\_divide*)  
**qed**

**definition** *Am* ::  $'a::\text{linorder tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
 $Am\ t = T\_splay\_max\ t + \Phi(\text{splay\_max } t) - \Phi\ t$

**lemma** *Am\_simp3'*:  $\llbracket c < b; \text{bst } rr; rr \neq \text{Leaf} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$   
 $Am\ (\text{Node } l\ c\ (\text{Node } rl\ b\ rr)) =$   
 $(\text{case } \text{splay\_max } rr \text{ of } \text{Node } rrl\_ rrr \Rightarrow$   
 $Am\ rr + \varphi\ rrl\ (\text{Node } l\ c\ rl) + \varphi\ l\ rl - \varphi\ rl\ rr - \varphi\ rrl\ rrr + 1)$   
**by**(*auto simp: Am\_def phi\_def size\_if\_splay\_max algebra\_simps neq\_Leaf\_iff*  
*split: tree.split*)

**lemma** *Am\_ub*:  $\llbracket \text{bst } t; t \neq \text{Leaf} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Am\ t \leq \log \alpha ((\text{size1 } t)/2) + 1$   
**proof**(*induction t rule: splay\_max.induct*)  
**case 1** **thus** *?case* **by** (*simp*)  
**next**  
**case 2** **thus** *?case* **by** (*simp add: Am\_def*)  
**next**  
**case** ( $\exists\ l\ b\ rl\ c\ rr$ )  
**show** *?case*  
**proof** *cases*  
**assume**  $rr = \text{Leaf}$

```

thus ?thesis
  using nl2[of 1 size1 rl size1 l] log_le_cancel_iff[of  $\alpha$  2 2 + real(size
rl)]
  by(auto simp: Am_def  $\varphi$ _def log_divide field_simps
      simp del: log_le_cancel_iff)
next
  assume rr  $\neq$  Leaf
  then obtain l' u r' where sp: splay_max rr = Node l' u r'
  using splay_max_Leaf_iff tree.exhaust by blast
  hence 1: size rr = size l' + size r' + 1
  using size_splay_max[of rr] by(simp)
  let ?l = real (size1 l) let ?rl = real (size1 rl)
  let ?l' = real (size1 l') let ?r' = real (size1 r')
  have 1 + log  $\alpha$  (?l' + ?r') +  $\beta$  * log  $\alpha$  (?l + ?rl) +  $\beta$  * log  $\alpha$  (?l' +
?l + ?rl)  $\leq$ 
     $\beta$  * log  $\alpha$  (?l' + ?r') +  $\beta$  * log  $\alpha$  (?l' + ?rl + ?r') + log  $\alpha$  (?l' + ?rl
+ ?r' + ?l) (is ?L $\leq$ ?R)
  proof(rule powr_le_cancel_iff[THEN iffD1, OF a1])
    show  $\alpha$  powr ?L  $\leq$   $\alpha$  powr ?R using A2[of ?r' ?l' ?rl ?l]
    by(simp add: powr_add add.commute add.left_commute mult.commute[of
 $\beta$ ] powr_powr[symmetric])
  qed
  thus ?case using 3 sp 1  $\langle$ rr  $\neq$  Leaf $\rangle$ 
  by(auto simp add: Am_simp3'  $\varphi$ _def log_divide algebra_simps)
qed
qed

```

**lemma** Am\_ub3: **assumes** bst t **shows** Am t  $\leq$  log  $\alpha$  (size1 t) + 1

**proof** cases

**assume** t = Leaf **thus** ?thesis **by**(simp add: Am\_def)

**next**

**assume** t  $\neq$  Leaf

**have** [arith]: log  $\alpha$  2  $>$  0 **by** simp

**show** ?thesis **using** Am\_ub[OF assms  $\langle$ t  $\neq$  Leaf $\rangle$ ] **by**(simp add: Am\_def
log\_divide)

**qed**

**end**

### 5.3.2 Optimal Interpretation

**lemma** mult\_root\_eq\_root:

$n > 0 \implies y \geq 0 \implies \text{root } n \ x * y = \text{root } n \ (x * (y \wedge n))$

**by**(simp add: real\_root\_mult real\_root\_pos2)

**lemma** *mult\_root\_eq\_root2*:

$n > 0 \implies y \geq 0 \implies y * \text{root } n \ x = \text{root } n \ ((y \wedge n) * x)$

**by** (*simp add: real\_root\_mult real\_root\_pos2*)

**lemma** *powr\_inverse\_numeral*:

$0 < x \implies x \text{ powr } (1 / \text{numeral } n) = \text{root } (\text{numeral } n) \ x$

**by** (*simp add: root\_powr\_inverse*)

**lemmas** *root\_simps = mult\_root\_eq\_root mult\_root\_eq\_root2 powr\_inverse\_numeral*

**lemma** *nl31*:  $\llbracket (l'::\text{real}) \geq 1; r' \geq 1; lr \geq 1; r \geq 1 \rrbracket \implies$

$4 * (l' + r') * (lr + r) \leq (l' + lr + r' + r) \wedge 2$

**by** (*sos (((A < 0 \* R < 1) + (R < 1 \* (R < 1 \* [r + ~1\*l' + lr + ~1\*r'] \wedge 2))))*)

**lemma** *nl32*: **assumes**  $(l'::\text{real}) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ lr \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$

**shows**  $4 * (l' + r') * (lr + r) * (lr + r' + r) \leq (l' + lr + r' + r) \wedge 3$

**proof** –

**have** 1:  $lr + r' + r \leq l' + lr + r' + r$  **using** *assms by arith*

**have** 2:  $0 \leq (l' + lr + r' + r) \wedge 2$  **by** (*rule zero\_le\_power2*)

**have** 3:  $0 \leq lr + r' + r$  **using** *assms by arith*

**from** *mult\_mono[OF nl31[OF assms] 1 2 3]* **show** *?thesis*

**by** (*simp add: ac\_simps numeral\_eq\_Suc*)

**qed**

**lemma** *nl3*: **assumes**  $(l'::\text{real}) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ lr \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$

**shows**  $4 * (l' + r') \wedge 2 * (lr + r) * (lr + r' + r)$

$\leq (l' + lr + r') * (l' + lr + r' + r) \wedge 3$

**proof**–

**have** 1:  $l' + r' \leq l' + lr + r'$  **using** *assms by arith*

**have** 2:  $0 \leq (l' + lr + r' + r) \wedge 3$  **using** *assms by simp*

**have** 3:  $0 \leq l' + r'$  **using** *assms by arith*

**from** *mult\_mono[OF nl32[OF assms] 1 2 3]* **show** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *power2\_eq\_square* **by** (*simp only: ac\_simps*)

**qed**

**lemma** *nl41*: **assumes**  $(l'::\text{real}) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ ll \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$

**shows**  $4 * (l' + ll) * (r' + r) \leq (l' + ll + r' + r) \wedge 2$

**using** *assms by (sos (((A < 0 \* R < 1) + (R < 1 \* (R < 1 \* [r + ~1\*l' + ~1\*ll + r'] \wedge 2))))*)

**lemma** *nl42*: **assumes**  $(l'::\text{real}) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ ll \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$

**shows**  $4 * (l' + r') * (l' + ll) * (r' + r) \leq (l' + ll + r' + r)^3$   
**proof** –  
  **have** 1:  $l' + r' \leq l' + ll + r' + r$  **using** *assms* **by** *arith*  
  **have** 2:  $0 \leq (l' + ll + r' + r)^2$  **by** (*rule zero\_le\_power2*)  
  **have** 3:  $0 \leq l' + r'$  **using** *assms* **by** *arith*  
  **from** *mult\_mono*[*OF nl41*[*OF assms*] 1 2 3] **show** *?thesis*  
  **by**(*simp add: ac\_simps numeral\_eq\_Suc del: distrib\_right\_numeral*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *nl4*: **assumes**  $(l'::real) \geq 1 \ r' \geq 1 \ ll \geq 1 \ r \geq 1$   
**shows**  $4 * (l' + r')^2 * (l' + ll) * (r' + r)$   
 $\leq (l' + ll + r') * (l' + ll + r' + r)^3$

**proof**–  
  **have** 1:  $l' + r' \leq l' + ll + r'$  **using** *assms* **by** *arith*  
  **have** 2:  $0 \leq (l' + ll + r' + r)^3$  **using** *assms* **by** *simp*  
  **have** 3:  $0 \leq l' + r'$  **using** *assms* **by** *arith*  
  **from** *mult\_mono*[*OF nl42*[*OF assms*] 1 2 3] **show** *?thesis*  
  **unfolding** *power2\_eq\_square* **by** (*simp only: ac\_simps*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *cancel*:  $x > (0::real) \implies c * x^2 * y * z \leq u * v \implies c * x^3 * y * z \leq x * u * v$   
**by**(*simp add: power2\_eq\_square power3\_eq\_cube*)

**interpretation** *S34*: *Splay\_Analysis root 3 4 1/3*

**proof** (*standard, goal\_cases*)  
  **case** 2 **thus** *?case*  
  **by**(*simp add: root\_simps*)  
  (*auto simp: numeral\_eq\_Suc split\_mult\_pos\_le intro!: mult\_mono*)  
**next**  
  **case** 3 **thus** *?case* **by**(*simp add: root\_simps cancel nl3*)  
**next**  
  **case** 4 **thus** *?case* **by**(*simp add: root\_simps cancel nl4*)  
**qed** *simp*

**lemma** *log4\_log2*:  $\log 4 x = \log 2 x / 2$

**proof** –  
  **have**  $\log 4 x = \log (2^2) x$  **by** *simp*  
  **also have**  $\dots = \log 2 x / 2$  **by**(*simp only: log\_base\_pow*)  
  **finally show** *?thesis* .  
**qed**

**declare** *log\_base\_root*[*simp*]

**lemma**  $A_{34\_ub}$ : **assumes**  $bst\ t$   
**shows**  $S_{34}.A\ a\ t \leq (3/2) * \log 2\ (size1\ t) + 1$   
**proof** –  
  **have**  $S_{34}.A\ a\ t \leq \log\ (root\ 3\ 4)\ (size1\ t) + 1$  **by**( $rule\ S_{34}.A\_ub3[OF\ assms]$ )  
  **also have**  $\dots = (3/2) * \log 2\ (size\ t + 1) + 1$  **by**( $simp\ add:\ log4\_log2$ )  
  **finally show**  $?thesis$  **by**  $simp$   
**qed**

**lemma**  $Am_{34\_ub}$ : **assumes**  $bst\ t$   
**shows**  $S_{34}.Am\ t \leq (3/2) * \log 2\ (size1\ t) + 1$   
**proof** –  
  **have**  $S_{34}.Am\ t \leq \log\ (root\ 3\ 4)\ (size1\ t) + 1$  **by**( $rule\ S_{34}.Am\_ub3[OF\ assms]$ )  
  **also have**  $\dots = (3/2) * \log 2\ (size1\ t) + 1$  **by**( $simp\ add:\ log4\_log2$ )  
  **finally show**  $?thesis$  **by**  $simp$   
**qed**

### 5.3.3 Overall analysis

**fun**  $U$  **where**

$U\ Empty\ [] = 1$  |  
 $U\ (Splay\ \_) [t] = (3/2) * \log 2\ (size1\ t) + 1$  |  
 $U\ (Insert\ \_) [t] = 2 * \log 2\ (size1\ t) + 5/2$  |  
 $U\ (Delete\ \_) [t] = 3 * \log 2\ (size1\ t) + 3$

**interpretation**  $Amortized$

**where**  $arity = arity$  **and**  $exec = exec$  **and**  $inv = bst$

**and**  $cost = cost$  **and**  $\Phi = S_{34}.\Phi$  **and**  $U = U$

**proof** ( $standard, goal\_cases$ )

**case**  $(1\ ss\ f)$  **show**  $?case$

**proof** ( $cases\ f$ )

**case**  $Empty$  **thus**  $?thesis$  **using**  $1$  **by**  $auto$

**next**

**case**  $(Splay\ a)$

**then obtain**  $t$  **where**  $ss = [t]$   $bst\ t$  **using**  $1$  **by**  $auto$

**with**  $Splay\ bst\_splay[OF\ \langle bst\ t \rangle, of\ a]$  **show**  $?thesis$

**by** ( $auto\ split:\ tree.split$ )

**next**

**case**  $(Insert\ a)$

**then obtain**  $t$  **where**  $ss = [t]$   $bst\ t$  **using**  $1$  **by**  $auto$

**with**  $bst\_splay[OF\ \langle bst\ t \rangle, of\ a]\ Insert$  **show**  $?thesis$

**by** ( $auto\ simp:\ splay\_bstL[OF\ \langle bst\ t \rangle]\ splay\_bstR[OF\ \langle bst\ t \rangle]\ split:$

```

tree.split)
next
  case (Delete a)
  then obtain t where ss = [t] bst t using 1 by auto
  with 1 Delete show ?thesis by(simp add: bst_delete)
qed
next
  case (2 t) show ?case by(induction t)(simp_all add: S34.φ_def)
next
  case (3 ss f)
  show ?case (is ?l ≤ ?r)
  proof(cases f)
    case Empty thus ?thesis using 3(2) by(simp add: S34.A_def)
  next
    case (Splay a)
    then obtain t where ss = [t] bst t using 3 by auto
    thus ?thesis using S34.A_ub3[OF ‹bst t›] Splay
      by(simp add: S34.A_def log4_log2)
  next
    case [simp]: (Insert a)
    obtain t where [simp]: ss = [t] and bst t using 3 by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof cases
      assume t = Leaf thus ?thesis by(simp add: S34.φ_def log4_log2
T_insert_def)
    next
      assume t ≠ Leaf
      then obtain l e r where [simp]: splay a t = Node l e r
        by (metis tree.exhaust splay_Leaf_iff)
      let ?t = real(T_splay a t)
      let ?Plr = S34.Φ l + S34.Φ r let ?Ps = S34.Φ t
      let ?slr = real(size1 l) + real(size1 r) let ?LR = log 2 (1 + ?slr)
      have opt: ?t + S34.Φ (splay a t) - ?Ps ≤ 3/2 * log 2 (real (size1
t)) + 1
        using S34.A_ub3[OF ‹bst t›, simplified S34.A_def, of a]
        by (simp add: log4_log2)
      from less_linear[of e a]
      show ?thesis
      proof (elim disjE)
        assume e=a
        have nneg: log 2 (1 + real (size t)) ≥ 0 by simp
        thus ?thesis using ‹t ≠ Leaf› opt ‹e=a›
          apply(simp add: field_simps T_insert_def) using nneg by arith
      next

```

```

    let ?L = log 2 (real(size1 l) + 1)
    assume e < a hence e ≠ a by simp
    hence ?l = (?t + ?Plr - ?Ps) + ?L / 2 + ?LR / 2 + 1
      using ‹t ≠ Leaf› ‹e < a› by(simp add: S34.φ_def log4_log2)
T_insert_def)
  also have ?t + ?Plr - ?Ps ≤ log 2 ?slr + 1
    using opt_size_splay[of a t,symmetric]
    by(simp add: S34.φ_def log4_log2)
  also have ?L/2 ≤ log 2 ?slr / 2 by(simp)
  also have ?LR/2 ≤ log 2 ?slr / 2 + 1/2
  proof -
    have ?LR/2 ≤ log 2 (2 * ?slr) / 2 by simp
    also have ... ≤ log 2 ?slr / 2 + 1/2
      by (simp add: log_mult del:distrib_left_numeral)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  finally show ?thesis using size_splay[of a t,symmetric] by simp
next
let ?R = log 2 (2 + real(size r))
assume a < e hence e ≠ a by simp
hence ?l = (?t + ?Plr - ?Ps) + ?R / 2 + ?LR / 2 + 1
  using ‹t ≠ Leaf› ‹a < e› by(simp add: S34.φ_def log4_log2)
T_insert_def)
  also have ?t + ?Plr - ?Ps ≤ log 2 ?slr + 1
    using opt_size_splay[of a t,symmetric]
    by(simp add: S34.φ_def log4_log2)
  also have ?R/2 ≤ log 2 ?slr / 2 by(simp)
  also have ?LR/2 ≤ log 2 ?slr / 2 + 1/2
  proof -
    have ?LR/2 ≤ log 2 (2 * ?slr) / 2 by simp
    also have ... ≤ log 2 ?slr / 2 + 1/2
      by (simp add: log_mult del:distrib_left_numeral)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  finally show ?thesis using size_splay[of a t,symmetric] by simp
qed
qed
next
case [simp]: (Delete a)
obtain t where [simp]: ss = [t] and bst t using 3 by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases t)
  case Leaf thus ?thesis
  by(simp add: Splay_Tree.delete_def T_delete_def S34.φ_def log4_log2)

```

```

next
  case [simp]: (Node ls x rs)
  then obtain l e r where sp[simp]: splay a (Node ls x rs) = Node l e r
    by (metis tree.exhaust splay_Leaf_iff)
  let ?t = real(T_splay a t)
  let ?Plr = S34.Φ l + S34.Φ r let ?Ps = S34.Φ t
  let ?slr = real(size1 l) + real(size1 r) let ?LR = log 2 (1 + ?slr)
  let ?lslr = log 2 (real (size ls) + (real (size rs) + 2))
  have ?lslr ≥ 0 by simp
  have opt: ?t + S34.Φ (splay a t) - ?Ps ≤ 3/2 * log 2 (real (size1
t)) + 1
    using S34.A_ub3[OF ‹bst t›, simplified S34.A_def, of a]
    by (simp add: log4_log2 field_simps)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases e=a)
    case False thus ?thesis using opt
      apply (simp add: Splay_Tree.delete_def T_delete_def field_simps)
      using ‹?lslr ≥ 0› by arith
  next
    case [simp]: True
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases l)
      case Leaf
      have S34.φ Leaf r ≥ 0 by (simp add: S34.φ_def)
      thus ?thesis using Leaf opt
        apply (simp add: Splay_Tree.delete_def T_delete_def field_simps)
        using ‹?lslr ≥ 0› by arith
    next
      case (Node ll y lr)
      then obtain l' y' r' where [simp]:
        splay_max (Node ll y lr) = Node l' y' r'
        using splay_max_Leaf_iff tree.exhaust by blast
      have bst l using bst_splay[OF ‹bst t›, of a] by simp
      have S34.Φ r' ≥ 0 apply (induction r') by (auto simp add:
S34.φ_def)
      have optm: real(T_splay_max l) + S34.Φ (splay_max l) - S34.Φ
l
        ≤ 3/2 * log 2 (real (size1 l)) + 1
        using S34.Am_ub3[OF ‹bst l›, simplified S34.Am_def]
        by (simp add: log4_log2 field_simps Node)
      have 1: log 4 (2+(real(size l')+real(size r))) ≤
        log 4 (2+(real(size l)+real(size r)))
        using size_splay_max[of l] Node by simp
      have 2: log 4 (2 + (real (size l') + real (size r'))) ≥ 0 by simp

```

```

    have 3:  $S34.\varphi\ l'\ r \leq S34.\varphi\ l'\ r' + S34.\varphi\ l\ r$ 
      apply(simp add: S34. $\varphi\_def$ ) using 1 2 by arith
    have 4:  $\log 2\ (\text{real}(\text{size}\ ll) + (\text{real}(\text{size}\ lr) + 2)) \leq ?lslr$ 
      using size_if_splay[OF sp] Node by simp
    show ?thesis using add_mono[OF opt optm] Node 3
    apply(simp add: Splay_Tree.delete_def T_delete_def field_simps)
      using 4  $\langle S34.\Phi\ r' \geq 0 \rangle$  by arith
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

end
theory Priority_Queue_ops
imports Main
begin

datatype 'a op = Empty | Insert 'a | Del_min

fun arity :: 'a op  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
arity Empty = 0 |
arity (Insert _) = 1 |
arity Del_min = 1

end

```

## 6 Splay Heap

```

theory Splay_Heap_Analysis
imports
  Splay_Tree.Splay_Heap
  Amortized_Framework
  Priority_Queue_ops
  Lemmas_log
begin

```

Timing functions must be kept in sync with the corresponding functions on splay heaps.

```

fun T_part :: 'a::linorder  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
T_part p Leaf = 1 |
T_part p (Node l a r) =
  (if  $a \leq p$  then
   case r of

```

$Leaf \Rightarrow 1 \mid$   
 $Node\ rl\ b\ rr \Rightarrow \text{if } b \leq p \text{ then } T\_part\ p\ rr + 1 \text{ else } T\_part\ p\ rl + 1$   
*else case l of*  
 $Leaf \Rightarrow 1 \mid$   
 $Node\ ll\ b\ lr \Rightarrow \text{if } b \leq p \text{ then } T\_part\ p\ lr + 1 \text{ else } T\_part\ p\ ll + 1)$

**definition**  $T\_in :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_in\ x\ h = T\_part\ x\ h$

**fun**  $T\_dm :: 'a::linorder\ tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_dm\ Leaf = 1 \mid$   
 $T\_dm\ (Node\ Leaf\ _\ r) = 1 \mid$   
 $T\_dm\ (Node\ (Node\ ll\ a\ lr)\ b\ r) = (\text{if } ll=Leaf \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } T\_dm\ ll + 1)$

**abbreviation**  $\varphi\ t == \log\ 2\ (size1\ t)$

**fun**  $\Phi :: 'a\ tree \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $\Phi\ Leaf = 0 \mid$   
 $\Phi\ (Node\ l\ a\ r) = \Phi\ l + \Phi\ r + \varphi\ (Node\ l\ a\ r)$

**lemma**  $amor\_del\_min: T\_dm\ t + \Phi\ (del\_min\ t) - \Phi\ t \leq 2 * \varphi\ t + 1$

**proof**(*induction t rule: T\_dm.induct*)

**case**  $(\exists\ ll\ a\ lr\ b\ r)$

**let**  $?t = Node\ (Node\ ll\ a\ lr)\ b\ r$

**show**  $?case$

**proof** *cases*

**assume**  $[simp]: ll = Leaf$

**have**  $1: \log\ 2\ (real\ (size1\ lr) + real\ (size1\ r))$

$\leq 3 * \log\ 2\ (1 + (real\ (size1\ lr) + real\ (size1\ r)))$  (**is**  $?l \leq 3 * ?r$ )

**proof**  $-$

**have**  $?l \leq ?r$  **by**(*simp add: size1\_size*)

**also have**  $\dots \leq 3 * ?r$  **by**(*simp*)

**finally show**  $?thesis$  .

**qed**

**have**  $2: \log\ 2\ (1 + real\ (size1\ lr)) \geq 0$  **by** *simp*

**thus**  $?case$  **apply** *simp* **using**  $1\ 2$  **by** *linarith*

**next**

**assume**  $ll[simp]: \neg ll = Leaf$

**let**  $?l' = del\_min\ ll$

**let**  $?s = Node\ ll\ a\ lr$  **let**  $?t = Node\ ?s\ b\ r$

**let**  $?s' = Node\ lr\ b\ r$  **let**  $?t' = Node\ ?l'\ a\ ?s'$

**have**  $0: \varphi\ ?t' \leq \varphi\ ?t$  **by**(*simp add: size1\_size*)

**have**  $1: \varphi\ ll < \varphi\ ?s$  **by**(*simp add: size1\_size*)

**have**  $2: \log\ 2\ (size1\ ll + size1\ ?s') \leq \log\ 2\ (size1\ ?t)$  **by**(*simp add:*

*size1\_size*)  
**have**  $T\_dm\ ?t + \Phi\ (del\_min\ ?t) - \Phi\ ?t$   
 $= 1 + T\_dm\ ll + \Phi\ (del\_min\ ?t) - \Phi\ ?t$  **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 2 + 2 * \varphi\ ll + \Phi\ ll - \Phi\ ?l' + \Phi\ (del\_min\ ?t) - \Phi\ ?t$   
**using**  $3\ ll$  **by** *linarith*  
**also have**  $\dots = 2 + 2 * \varphi\ ll + \varphi\ ?t' + \varphi\ ?s' - \varphi\ ?t - \varphi\ ?s$  **by** (*simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 2 + \varphi\ ll + \varphi\ ?s'$  **using**  $0\ 1$  **by** *linarith*  
**also have**  $\dots < 2 * \varphi\ ?t + 1$  **using**  $2\ ld\_ld\_1\_less$  [*of size1 ll size1 ?s'*]  
**by** (*simp add: size1\_size*)  
**finally show** *?case* **by** *simp*  
**qed**  
**qed** *auto*

**lemma** *zig\_zig*:  
**fixes**  $s\ u\ r\ r1'\ r2'\ T\ a\ b$   
**defines**  $t == Node\ s\ a\ (Node\ u\ b\ r)$  **and**  $t' == Node\ (Node\ s\ a\ u)\ b\ r1'$   
**assumes**  $size\ r1' \leq size\ r$   
 $T\_part\ p\ r + \Phi\ r1' + \Phi\ r2' - \Phi\ r \leq 2 * \varphi\ r + 1$   
**shows**  $T\_part\ p\ r + 1 + \Phi\ t' + \Phi\ r2' - \Phi\ t \leq 2 * \varphi\ t + 1$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $1: \varphi\ r \leq \varphi\ (Node\ u\ b\ r)$  **by** (*simp add: size1\_size*)  
**have**  $2: \log\ 2\ (real\ (size1\ s + size1\ u + size1\ r1')) \leq \varphi\ t$   
**using** *assms(3)* **by** (*simp add: t\_def size1\_size*)  
**from**  $ld\_ld\_1\_less$  [*of size1 s + size1 u size1 r*]  
**have**  $1 + \varphi\ r + \log\ 2\ (size1\ s + size1\ u) \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (size1\ s + size1\ u + size1\ r)$   
**by** (*simp add: size1\_size*)  
**thus** *?thesis* **using** *assms 1 2* **by** (*simp add: algebra\_simps*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *zig\_zag*:  
**fixes**  $s\ u\ r\ r1'\ r2'\ a\ b$   
**defines**  $t \equiv Node\ s\ a\ (Node\ r\ b\ u)$  **and**  $t1' \equiv Node\ s\ a\ r1'$  **and**  $t2' \equiv Node\ u\ b\ r2'$   
**assumes**  $size\ r = size\ r1' + size\ r2'$   
 $T\_part\ p\ r + \Phi\ r1' + \Phi\ r2' - \Phi\ r \leq 2 * \varphi\ r + 1$   
**shows**  $T\_part\ p\ r + 1 + \Phi\ t1' + \Phi\ t2' - \Phi\ t \leq 2 * \varphi\ t + 1$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $1: \varphi\ r \leq \varphi\ (Node\ u\ b\ r)$  **by** (*simp add: size1\_size*)  
**have**  $2: \varphi\ r \leq \varphi\ t$  **by** (*simp add: t\_def size1\_size*)  
**from**  $ld\_ld\_less2$  [*of size1 s + size1 r1' size1 u + size1 r2'*]  
**have**  $1 + \log\ 2\ (size1\ s + size1\ r1') + \log\ 2\ (size1\ u + size1\ r2') \leq 2 * \varphi\ t$

```

    by(simp add: assms(4) size1_size t_def ac_simps)
  thus ?thesis using assms 1 2 by (simp add: algebra_simps)
qed

lemma amor_partition: bst_wrt ( $\leq$ ) t  $\implies$  partition p t = (l',r')
 $\implies$  T_part p t +  $\Phi$  l' +  $\Phi$  r' -  $\Phi$  t  $\leq$  2 * log 2 (size1 t) + 1
proof(induction p t arbitrary: l' r' rule: partition.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (2 p l a r)
  show ?case
  proof cases
    assume a  $\leq$  p
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases r)
      case Leaf thus ?thesis using  $\langle a \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1 by fastforce
    next
      case [simp]: (Node rl b rr)
      let ?t = Node l a r
      show ?thesis
      proof cases
        assume b  $\leq$  p
        with  $\langle a \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1 obtain rrl
          where 0: partition p rr = (rrl, r') l' = Node (Node l a rl) b rrl
          by (auto split: tree.splits prod.splits)
        have size rrl  $\leq$  size rr
          using size_partition[OF 0(1)] by (simp add: size1_size)
        with 0  $\langle a \leq p \rangle$   $\langle b \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1(1) 2.IH(1)[OF _ Node, of rrl r']
          zig_zig[where s=l and u=rl and r=rr and r1'=rrl and r2'=r']
and p=p, of a b]
        show ?thesis by (simp add: algebra_simps)
      next
        assume  $\neg b \leq p$ 
        with  $\langle a \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1 obtain rll rlr
          where 0: partition p rl = (rll, rlr) l' = Node l a rll r' = Node rlr
b rr
          by (auto split: tree.splits prod.splits)
        from 0  $\langle a \leq p \rangle$   $\langle \neg b \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1(1) 2.IH(2)[OF _ Node, of rll
rlr]
          size_partition[OF 0(1)]
          zig_zag[where s=l and u=rr and r=rl and r1'=rll and r2'=rlr]
and p=p, of a b]
        show ?thesis by (simp add: algebra_simps)
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

qed
next
  assume  $\neg a \leq p$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases l)
    case Leaf thus ?thesis using  $\langle \neg a \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1 by fastforce
  next
    case [simp]: (Node ll b lr)
    let ?t = Node l a r
    show ?thesis
    proof cases
      assume  $b \leq p$ 
      with  $\langle \neg a \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1 obtain lrl lrr
        where 0: partition p lr = (lrl, lrr) l' = Node ll b lrl r' = Node lrr
a r
      by (auto split: tree.splits prod.splits)
      from 0  $\langle \neg a \leq p \rangle$   $\langle b \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1(1) 2.IH(3)[OF _ Node, of lrl
lrr]
      size_partition[OF 0(1)]
      zig_zag[where s=r and u=ll and r=lr and r1'=lrr and r2'=lr
and p=p, of a b]
      show ?thesis by (auto simp: algebra_simps)
    next
      assume  $\neg b \leq p$ 
      with  $\langle \neg a \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1 obtain llr
        where 0: partition p ll = (l', llr) r' = Node llr b (Node lr a r)
      by (auto split: tree.splits prod.splits)
      have size llr  $\leq$  size ll
      using size_partition[OF 0(1)] by (simp add: size1_size)
      with 0  $\langle \neg a \leq p \rangle$   $\langle \neg b \leq p \rangle$  2.prem1(1) 2.IH(4)[OF _ Node, of l'
lrr]
      zig_zig[where s=r and u=lr and r=ll and r1'=llr and r2'=l'
and p=p, of a b]
      show ?thesis by (auto simp: algebra_simps)
    qed
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

fun exec :: 'a::linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree where
exec Empty [] = Leaf |
exec (Insert a) [t] = insert a t |
exec Del_min [t] = del_min t

```

```

fun cost :: 'a::linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ nat where
  cost Empty [] = 1 |
  cost (Insert a) [t] = T_in a t |
  cost Del_min [t] = T_dm t

fun U where
  U Empty [] = 1 |
  U (Insert _) [t] = 3 * log 2 (size1 t + 1) + 1 |
  U Del_min [t] = 2 * φ t + 1

interpretation Amortized
where arity = arity and exec = exec and inv = bst_wrt (≤)
and cost = cost and Φ = Φ and U = U
proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case (1 _ f) thus ?case
    by(cases f)
      (auto simp: insert_def bst_del_min dest!: bst_partition split: prod.splits)
next
  case (2 h) thus ?case by(induction h) (auto simp: size1_size)
next
  case (3 s f)
  show ?case
  proof (cases f)
    case Empty with 3 show ?thesis by(auto)
  next
    case Del_min with 3 show ?thesis by(auto simp: amor_del_min)
  next
    case [simp]: (Insert x)
    then obtain t where [simp]: s = [t] bst_wrt (≤) t using 3 by auto
    { fix l r assume 1: partition x t = (l,r)
      have log 2 (1 + size t) < log 2 (2 + size t) by simp
      with 1 amor_partition[OF ‹bst_wrt (≤) t› 1] size_partition[OF 1]
    have ?thesis
      by(simp add: T_in_def insert_def algebra_simps size1_size
        del: log_less_cancel_iff) }
    thus ?thesis by(simp add: insert_def split: prod.split)
  qed
qed

end

```

## 7 Pairing Heaps

### 7.1 Binary Tree Representation

**theory** *Pairing\_Heap\_Tree\_Analysis*

**imports**

*Pairing\_Heap.Pairing\_Heap\_Tree*

*Amortized\_Framework*

*Priority\_Queue\_ops\_merge*

*Lemmas\_log*

**begin**

Verification of logarithmic bounds on the amortized complexity of pairing heaps [2, 1].

**fun** *len* :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**

*len* Leaf = 0

| *len* (Node \_ \_ r) = 1 + *len* r

**fun**  $\Phi$  :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  real **where**

$\Phi$  Leaf = 0

|  $\Phi$  (Node l x r) = log 2 (size (Node l x r)) +  $\Phi$  l +  $\Phi$  r

**lemma** *link\_size[simp]*: size (link hp) = size hp

**by** (cases hp rule: link.cases) simp\_all

**lemma** *size\_pass1*: size (pass1 hp) = size hp

**by** (induct hp rule: pass1.induct) simp\_all

**lemma** *size\_pass2*: size (pass2 hp) = size hp

**by** (induct hp rule: pass2.induct) simp\_all

**lemma** *size\_merge*:

*is\_root* h1  $\Longrightarrow$  *is\_root* h2  $\Longrightarrow$  size (merge h1 h2) = size h1 + size h2

**by** (simp split: tree.splits)

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi$ \_insert: *is\_root* hp  $\Longrightarrow$   $\Phi$  (insert x hp) -  $\Phi$  hp  $\leq$  log 2 (size hp + 1)

**by** (simp split: tree.splits)

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi$ \_merge:

**assumes** h1 = Node hs1 x1 Leaf h2 = Node hs2 x2 Leaf

**shows**  $\Phi$  (merge h1 h2) -  $\Phi$  h1 -  $\Phi$  h2  $\leq$  log 2 (size h1 + size h2) + 1

**proof** -

**let** ?hs = Node hs1 x1 (Node hs2 x2 Leaf)

**have**  $\Phi$  (merge h1 h2) =  $\Phi$  (link ?hs) **using** assms **by** simp

**also have**  $\dots = \Phi \text{ hs1} + \Phi \text{ hs2} + \log 2 (\text{size hs1} + \text{size hs2} + 1) + \log 2 (\text{size hs1} + \text{size hs2} + 2)$   
**by** *(simp add: algebra\_simps)*  
**also have**  $\dots = \Phi \text{ hs1} + \Phi \text{ hs2} + \log 2 (\text{size hs1} + \text{size hs2} + 1) + \log 2 (\text{size h1} + \text{size h2})$   
**using** *assms by simp*  
**finally have**  $\Phi (\text{merge h1 h2}) = \dots$   
**have**  $\Phi (\text{merge h1 h2}) - \Phi \text{ h1} - \Phi \text{ h2} =$   
 $\log 2 (\text{size hs1} + \text{size hs2} + 1) + \log 2 (\text{size h1} + \text{size h2})$   
 $- \log 2 (\text{size hs1} + 1) - \log 2 (\text{size hs2} + 1)$   
**using** *assms by (simp add: algebra\_simps)*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq \log 2 (\text{size h1} + \text{size h2}) + 1$   
**using** *ld\_le\_2ld[of size hs1 size hs2] assms by (simp add: algebra\_simps)*  
**finally show** *?thesis* .  
**qed**

**fun** *ub\_pass1* :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  real **where**  
 $\text{ub\_pass1} (\text{Node } \_ \_ \text{Leaf}) = 0$   
 $|\ \text{ub\_pass1} (\text{Node } \text{hs1 } \_ (\text{Node } \text{hs2 } \_ \text{Leaf})) = 2 * \log 2 (\text{size hs1} + \text{size hs2} + 2)$   
 $|\ \text{ub\_pass1} (\text{Node } \text{hs1 } \_ (\text{Node } \text{hs2 } \_ \text{hs})) = 2 * \log 2 (\text{size hs1} + \text{size hs2} + \text{size hs} + 2)$   
 $\quad - 2 * \log 2 (\text{size hs}) - 2 + \text{ub\_pass1 } \text{hs}$

**lemma**  $\Delta \Phi \text{\_pass1\_ub\_pass1}$ :  $\text{hs} \neq \text{Leaf} \implies \Phi (\text{pass1 } \text{hs}) - \Phi \text{ hs} \leq \text{ub\_pass1 } \text{hs}$

**proof** *(induction hs rule: ub\_pass1.induct)*  
**case**  $(2 \text{ lx } x \text{ ly } y)$   
**have**  $\log 2 (\text{size lx} + \text{size ly} + 1) - \log 2 (\text{size ly} + 1)$   
 $\leq \log 2 (\text{size lx} + \text{size ly} + 1)$  **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq \log 2 (\text{size lx} + \text{size ly} + 2)$  **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 2 * \dots$  **by** *simp*  
**finally show** *?case by (simp add: algebra\_simps)*

**next**  
**case**  $(3 \text{ lx } x \text{ ly } y \text{ lz } z \text{ rz})$   
**let**  $?ry = \text{Node } \text{lz } z \text{ rz}$   
**let**  $?rx = \text{Node } \text{ly } y \text{ ?ry}$   
**let**  $?h = \text{Node } \text{lx } x \text{ ?rx}$   
**let**  $?t = \log 2 (\text{size lx} + \text{size ly} + 1) - \log 2 (\text{size ly} + \text{size ?ry} + 1)$   
**have**  $\Phi (\text{pass1 } ?h) - \Phi ?h \leq ?t + \text{ub\_pass1 } ?ry$   
**using** *3.IH by (simp add: size\_pass1 algebra\_simps)*  
**moreover have**  $\log 2 (\text{size lx} + \text{size ly} + 1) + 2 * \log 2 (\text{size ?ry}) + 2$   
 $\leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size ?h}) + \log 2 (\text{size ly} + \text{size ?ry} + 1)$  **(is ?l  $\leq$  ?r)**  
**proof** –

**have**  $?l \leq 2 * \log 2 (size\ lx + size\ ly + size\ ?ry + 1) + \log 2 (size\ ?ry)$   
**using** *ld\_sum\_inequality* [*of size lx + size ly + 1 size ?ry*] **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 2 * \log 2 (size\ lx + size\ ly + size\ ?ry + 2) + \log 2 (size\ ?ry)$   
**by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq ?r$  **by** *simp*  
**finally show** *?thesis* .  
**qed**  
**ultimately show** *?case* **by** *simp*  
**qed** *simp\_all*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1$ : **assumes**  $hs \neq Leaf$   
**shows**  $\Phi (pass_1\ hs) - \Phi\ hs \leq 2 * \log 2 (size\ hs) - len\ hs + 2$   
**proof** –  
**from** *assms* **have**  $ub\_pass_1\ hs \leq 2 * \log 2 (size\ hs) - len\ hs + 2$   
**by** (*induct hs rule: ub\_pass\_1.induct*) (*simp\_all add: algebra\_simps*)  
**thus** *?thesis* **using**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1\_ub\_pass_1$  [*OF assms*] *order\_trans* **by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2$ :  $hs \neq Leaf \implies \Phi (pass_2\ hs) - \Phi\ hs \leq \log 2 (size\ hs)$   
**proof** (*induction hs*)  
**case** (*Node lx x rx*)  
**thus** *?case*  
**proof** (*cases rx*)  
**case** 1: (*Node ly y ry*)  
**let**  $?h = Node\ lx\ x\ rx$   
**obtain** *la a* **where** 2:  $pass_2\ rx = Node\ la\ a\ Leaf$   
**using** *pass\_2\_struct 1* **by** *force*  
**hence** 3:  $size\ rx = size\ \dots$  **using** *size\_pass\_2* **by** *metis*  
**have** *link*:  $\Phi(link(Node\ lx\ x\ (pass_2\ rx))) - \Phi\ lx - \Phi (pass_2\ rx) =$   
 $\log 2 (size\ lx + size\ rx + 1) + \log 2 (size\ lx + size\ rx) - \log 2 (size\ rx)$   
**using** 2 3 **by** (*simp add: algebra\_simps*)  
**have**  $\Phi (pass_2\ ?h) - \Phi\ ?h =$   
 $\Phi (link (Node\ lx\ x\ (pass_2\ rx))) - \Phi\ lx - \Phi\ rx - \log 2 (size\ lx + size\ rx + 1)$   
**by** (*simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots = \Phi (pass_2\ rx) - \Phi\ rx + \log 2 (size\ lx + size\ rx) - \log 2 (size\ rx)$   
**using** *link* **by** *linarith*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq \log 2 (size\ lx + size\ rx)$   
**using** *Node.IH 1* **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq \log 2 (size\ ?h)$  **using** 1 **by** *simp*  
**finally show** *?thesis* .

qed simp  
 qed simp

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_del\_min$ : **assumes**  $hs \neq Leaf$   
**shows**  $\Phi (del\_min (Node\ hs\ x\ Leaf)) - \Phi (Node\ hs\ x\ Leaf)$   
 $\leq 3 * \log 2 (size\ hs) - len\ hs + 2$

**proof** -  
 let  $?h = Node\ hs\ x\ Leaf$   
 let  $?\Delta\Phi_1 = \Phi\ hs - \Phi\ ?h$   
 let  $?\Delta\Phi_2 = \Phi(pass_2(pass_1\ hs)) - \Phi\ hs$   
 let  $?\Delta\Phi = \Phi (del\_min\ ?h) - \Phi\ ?h$   
**have**  $\Phi(pass_2(pass_1\ hs)) - \Phi (pass_1\ hs) \leq \log 2 (size\ hs)$   
**using**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2$  [of  $pass_1\ hs$ ] **assms** **by** (metis eq\_size\_0 size\_pass1)  
**moreover have**  $\Phi (pass_1\ hs) - \Phi\ hs \leq 2 * \dots - len\ hs + 2$   
**by** (rule  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1$  [OF  $assms$ ])  
**moreover have**  $?\Delta\Phi \leq ?\Delta\Phi_2$  **by** simp  
**ultimately show**  $?thesis$  **using**  $assms$  **by** linarith  
 qed

**lemma**  $is\_root\_merge$ :  
 $is\_root\ h1 \implies is\_root\ h2 \implies is\_root (merge\ h1\ h2)$   
**by** (simp split: tree.splits)

**lemma**  $is\_root\_insert$ :  $is\_root\ h \implies is\_root (insert\ x\ h)$   
**by** (simp split: tree.splits)

**lemma**  $is\_root\_del\_min$ :  
**assumes**  $is\_root\ h$  **shows**  $is\_root (del\_min\ h)$   
**proof** (cases  $h$ )  
 case [simp]: (Node  $lx\ x\ rx$ )  
**have**  $rx = Leaf$  **using**  $assms$  **by** simp  
**thus**  $?thesis$   
**proof** (cases  $lx$ )  
 case (Node  $ly\ y\ ry$ )  
**then obtain**  $la\ a\ ra$  **where**  $pass_1\ lx = Node\ a\ la\ ra$   
**using**  $pass_1\_struct$  **by** blast  
**moreover obtain**  $lb\ b$  **where**  $pass_2\ \dots = Node\ b\ lb\ Leaf$   
**using**  $pass_2\_struct$  **by** blast  
**ultimately show**  $?thesis$  **using**  $assms$  **by** simp  
 qed simp  
 qed simp

**lemma**  $pass_1\_len$ :  $len (pass_1\ h) \leq len\ h$   
**by** (induct  $h$  rule:  $pass_1.induct$ ) simp\_all

```

fun exec :: 'a :: linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ 'a tree where
  exec Empty [] = Leaf |
  exec Del_min [h] = del_min h |
  exec (Insert x) [h] = insert x h |
  exec Merge [h1,h2] = merge h1 h2

```

```

fun Tpass1 :: 'a tree ⇒ nat where
  Tpass1 Leaf = 1
| Tpass1 (Node _ _ Leaf) = 1
| Tpass1 (Node _ _ (Node _ _ ry)) = Tpass1 ry + 1

```

```

fun Tpass2 :: 'a tree ⇒ nat where
  Tpass2 Leaf = 1
| Tpass2 (Node _ _ rx) = Tpass2 rx + 1

```

```

fun cost :: 'a :: linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ nat where
  cost Empty [] = 1
| cost Del_min [Leaf] = 1
| cost Del_min [Node lx _ _] = Tpass2 (pass1 lx) + Tpass1 lx
| cost (Insert a) _ = 1
| cost Merge _ = 1

```

```

fun U :: 'a :: linorder op ⇒ 'a tree list ⇒ real where
  U Empty [] = 1
| U (Insert a) [h] = log 2 (size h + 1) + 1
| U Del_min [h] = 3*log 2 (size h + 1) + 4
| U Merge [h1,h2] = log 2 (size h1 + size h2 + 1) + 2

```

**interpretation** Amortized

**where** arity = arity **and** exec = exec **and** cost = cost **and** inv = is\_root  
**and** Φ = Φ **and** U = U

**proof** (standard, goal\_cases)

**case** (1 \_ f) **thus** ?case **using** is\_root\_insert is\_root\_del\_min is\_root\_merge  
  **by** (cases f) (auto simp: numeral\_eq\_Suc)

**next**

**case** (2 s) **show** ?case **by** (induct s) simp\_all

**next**

**case** (3 ss f) **show** ?case

**proof** (cases f)

**case** Empty **with** 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (auto)

**next**

**case** (Insert x)

**then obtain** h **where** ss = [h] is\_root h **using** 3 **by** auto

```

thus ?thesis using Insert  $\Delta\Phi\_insert$  3 by auto
next
case [simp]: (Del_min)
then obtain h where [simp]: ss = [h] using 3 by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases h)
case [simp]: (Node lx x rx)
have  $T_{pass2} (pass1\ lx) + T_{pass1}\ lx \leq len\ lx + 2$ 
by (induct lx rule: pass1.induct) simp_all
hence  $cost\ f\ ss \leq \dots$  by simp
moreover have  $\Phi (del\_min\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq 3*log\ 2 (size\ h + 1) - len$ 
lx + 2
proof (cases lx)
case [simp]: (Node ly y ry)
have  $\Phi (del\_min\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq 3*log\ 2 (size\ lx) - len\ lx + 2$ 
using  $\Delta\Phi\_del\_min[of\ lx\ x]$  3 by simp
also have  $\dots \leq 3*log\ 2 (size\ h + 1) - len\ lx + 2$  by fastforce
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed (insert 3, simp)
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed simp
next
case [simp]: Merge
then obtain h1 h2 where [simp]: ss = [h1,h2] and 1: is_root h1
is_root h2
using 3 by (auto simp: numeral_eq_Suc)
show ?thesis
proof (cases h1)
case Leaf thus ?thesis by (cases h2) auto
next
case h1: Node
show ?thesis
proof (cases h2)
case Leaf thus ?thesis using h1 by simp
next
case h2: Node
have  $\Phi (merge\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\ h1 - \Phi\ h2 \leq log\ 2 (real (size\ h1 + size$ 
h2)) + 1
apply(rule  $\Delta\Phi\_merge$ ) using h1 h2 1 by auto
also have  $\dots \leq log\ 2 (size\ h1 + size\ h2 + 1) + 1$  by (simp add:
h1)
finally show ?thesis by(simp add: algebra_simps)
qed
qed

```

```

qed
qed

end

```

## 8 Pairing Heaps

### 8.1 Binary Tree Representation

**theory** *Pairing\_Heap\_Tree\_Analysis2*

**imports**

```

  Pairing_Heap.Pairing_Heap_Tree
  Amortized_Framework
  Priority_Queue_ops_merge
  Lemmas_log

```

**begin**

Verification of logarithmic bounds on the amortized complexity of pairing heaps. As in [2, 1], except that the treatment of *pass*<sub>1</sub> is simplified. TODO: convert the other Pairing Heap analyses to this one.

```

fun len :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  len Leaf = 0
| len (Node _ _ r) = 1 + len r

```

```

fun  $\Phi$  :: 'a tree  $\Rightarrow$  real where
   $\Phi$  Leaf = 0
|  $\Phi$  (Node l x r) = log 2 (size (Node l x r)) +  $\Phi$  l +  $\Phi$  r

```

```

lemma link_size[simp]: size (link hp) = size hp
by (cases hp rule: link.cases) simp_all

```

```

lemma size_pass1: size (pass1 hp) = size hp
by (induct hp rule: pass1.induct) simp_all

```

```

lemma size_pass2: size (pass2 hp) = size hp
by (induct hp rule: pass2.induct) simp_all

```

```

lemma size_merge:
  is_root h1  $\Longrightarrow$  is_root h2  $\Longrightarrow$  size (merge h1 h2) = size h1 + size h2
by (simp split: tree.splits)

```

```

lemma  $\Delta\Phi$ _insert: is_root hp  $\Longrightarrow$   $\Phi$  (insert x hp) -  $\Phi$  hp  $\leq$  log 2 (size
hp + 1)
by (simp split: tree.splits)

```

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_merge$ :

**assumes**  $h1 = Node\ hs1\ x1\ Leaf\ h2 = Node\ hs2\ x2\ Leaf$

**shows**  $\Phi\ (merge\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\ h1 - \Phi\ h2 \leq \log\ 2\ (size\ h1 + size\ h2) + 1$

**proof** –

**let**  $?hs = Node\ hs1\ x1\ (Node\ hs2\ x2\ Leaf)$

**have**  $\Phi\ (merge\ h1\ h2) = \Phi\ (link\ ?hs)$  **using** *assms* **by** *simp*

**also have**  $\dots = \Phi\ hs1 + \Phi\ hs2 + \log\ 2\ (size\ hs1 + size\ hs2 + 1) + \log\ 2\ (size\ hs1 + size\ hs2 + 2)$

**by** (*simp add: algebra\_simps*)

**also have**  $\dots = \Phi\ hs1 + \Phi\ hs2 + \log\ 2\ (size\ hs1 + size\ hs2 + 1) + \log\ 2\ (size\ h1 + size\ h2)$

**using** *assms* **by** *simp*

**finally have**  $\Phi\ (merge\ h1\ h2) = \dots$

**have**  $\Phi\ (merge\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\ h1 - \Phi\ h2 =$

$\log\ 2\ (size\ hs1 + size\ hs2 + 1) + \log\ 2\ (size\ h1 + size\ h2)$

$- \log\ 2\ (size\ hs1 + 1) - \log\ 2\ (size\ hs2 + 1)$

**using** *assms* **by** (*simp add: algebra\_simps*)

**also have**  $\dots \leq \log\ 2\ (size\ h1 + size\ h2) + 1$

**using** *ld\_le\_2ld*[of *size hs1 size hs2*] *assms* **by** (*simp add: algebra\_simps*)

**finally show** *?thesis* .

**qed**

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1$ :  $\Phi\ (pass_1\ hs) - \Phi\ hs \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\ hs + 1) - len\ hs + 2$

**proof** (*induction hs rule: pass\_1.induct*)

**case** (*1 hs1 x hs2 y hs*)

**let**  $?h = Node\ hs1\ x\ (Node\ hs2\ y\ hs)$

**let**  $?n1 = size\ hs1$

**let**  $?n2 = size\ hs2$  **let**  $?m = size\ hs$

**have**  $\Phi\ (pass_1\ ?h) - \Phi\ ?h = \Phi\ (pass_1\ hs) + \log\ 2\ (?n1 + ?n2 + 1) - \Phi\ hs - \log\ 2\ (?n2 + ?m + 1)$

**by** (*simp add: size\_pass\_1 algebra\_simps*)

**also have**  $\dots \leq \log\ 2\ (?n1 + ?n2 + 1) - \log\ 2\ (?n2 + ?m + 1) + 2 * \log\ 2\ (?m + 1) - len\ hs + 2$

**using** *1.IH* **by** (*simp*)

**also have**  $\dots \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (?n1 + ?n2 + ?m + 2) - \log\ 2\ (?n2 + ?m + 1) + \log\ 2\ (?m + 1) - len\ hs$

**using** *ld\_sum\_inequality* [of *?n1 + ?n2 + 1 ?m + 1*] **by** (*simp*)

**also have**  $\dots \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (?n1 + ?n2 + ?m + 2) - len\ hs$  **by** *simp*

**also have**  $\dots = 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\ ?h) - len\ ?h + 2$  **by** *simp*

**also have**  $\dots \leq 2 * \log\ 2\ (size\ ?h + 1) - len\ ?h + 2$  **by** *simp*

**finally show** *?case* .

**qed** *simp\_all*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2: hs \neq Leaf \implies \Phi (pass_2 hs) - \Phi hs \leq \log 2 (size hs)$   
**proof** (*induction hs*)  
**case** (*Node hs1 x hs*)  
**thus** *?case*  
**proof** (*cases hs*)  
**case** *1: (Node hs2 y r)*  
**let** *?h = Node hs1 x hs*  
**obtain** *hs3 a* **where** *2: pass\_2 hs = Node hs3 a Leaf*  
**using** *pass\_2\_struct 1* **by** *force*  
**hence** *3: size hs = size ...* **using** *size\_pass\_2* **by** *metis*  
**have** *link:  $\Phi(link(Node hs1 x (pass_2 hs))) - \Phi hs1 - \Phi (pass_2 hs) =$*   
 $\log 2 (size hs1 + size hs + 1) + \log 2 (size hs1 + size hs) - \log 2$   
*(size hs)*  
**using** *2 3* **by** (*simp add: algebra\_simps*)  
**have**  $\Phi (pass_2 ?h) - \Phi ?h =$   
 $\Phi (link (Node hs1 x (pass_2 hs))) - \Phi hs1 - \Phi hs - \log 2 (size hs1$   
 $+ size hs + 1)$   
**by** (*simp*)  
**also have**  $\dots = \Phi (pass_2 hs) - \Phi hs + \log 2 (size hs1 + size hs) - \log$   
 $2 (size hs)$   
**using** *link* **by** *linarith*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq \log 2 (size hs1 + size hs)$   
**using** *Node.IH(2) 1* **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\dots \leq \log 2 (size ?h)$  **using** *1* **by** *simp*  
**finally show** *?thesis .*  
**qed** *simp*  
**qed** *simp*

**corollary**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2': \Phi (pass_2 hs) - \Phi hs \leq \log 2 (size hs + 1)$   
**proof** *cases*  
**assume** *hs = Leaf* **thus** *?thesis* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**assume** *hs  $\neq$  Leaf*  
**hence**  $\log 2 (size hs) \leq \log 2 (size hs + 1)$  **using** *eq\_size\_0* **by** *fastforce*  
**then show** *?thesis* **using**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2'[OF \langle hs \neq Leaf \rangle]$  **by** *linarith*  
**qed**

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_del\_min:$   
 $\Phi (del\_min (Node hs x Leaf)) - \Phi (Node hs x Leaf)$   
 $\leq 2 * \log 2 (size hs + 1) - len hs + 2$   
**proof**  $-$   
**have**  $\Phi (del\_min (Node hs x Leaf)) - \Phi (Node hs x Leaf) =$   
 $\Phi (pass_2 (pass_1 hs)) - (\log 2 (1 + real (size hs)) + \Phi hs)$  **by** *simp*

**also have**  $\dots \leq \Phi (\text{pass}_1 \text{ hs}) - \Phi \text{ hs}$   
**using**  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{pass}2'}$  [of  $\text{pass}_1 \text{ hs}$ ] **by** (*simp add: size\_pass1*)  
**also have**  $\dots \leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size hs} + 1) - \text{len hs} + 2$  **by** (*rule  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{pass}1}$* )  
**finally show** *?thesis* .  
**qed**

**lemma** *is\_root\_merge*:  
 $\text{is\_root } h1 \implies \text{is\_root } h2 \implies \text{is\_root } (\text{merge } h1 \ h2)$   
**by** (*simp split: tree.splits*)

**lemma** *is\_root\_insert*:  $\text{is\_root } h \implies \text{is\_root } (\text{insert } x \ h)$   
**by** (*simp split: tree.splits*)

**lemma** *is\_root\_del\_min*:  
**assumes**  $\text{is\_root } h$  **shows**  $\text{is\_root } (\text{del\_min } h)$   
**proof** (*cases h*)  
**case** [*simp*]: (*Node hs1 x hs*)  
**have**  $hs = \text{Leaf}$  **using** *assms* **by** *simp*  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**proof** (*cases hs1*)  
**case** (*Node hs2 y hs'*)  
**then obtain** *la a ra* **where**  $\text{pass}_1 \text{ hs1} = \text{Node } a \ \text{la } \ \text{ra}$   
**using** *pass1\_struct* **by** *blast*  
**moreover obtain** *lb b* **where**  $\text{pass}_2 \dots = \text{Node } b \ \text{lb } \ \text{Leaf}$   
**using** *pass2\_struct* **by** *blast*  
**ultimately show** *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** *simp*  
**qed** *simp*  
**qed** *simp*

**lemma** *pass1\_len*:  $\text{len } (\text{pass}_1 \ h) \leq \text{len } h$   
**by** (*induct h rule: pass1.induct*) *simp\_all*

**fun** *exec* :: '*a* :: *linorder* *op*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* *tree list*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* *tree* **where**  
*exec* *Empty* [] = *Leaf* |  
*exec* *Del\_min* [*h*] = *del\_min h* |  
*exec* (*Insert x*) [*h*] = *insert x h* |  
*exec* *Merge* [*h1*,*h2*] = *merge h1 h2*

**fun** *T\_pass1* :: '*a* *tree*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where**  
*T\_pass1* (*Node* \_ \_ (*Node* \_ \_ *hs'*)) = *T\_pass1 hs' + 1* |  
*T\_pass1 h* = 1

**fun** *T\_pass2* :: '*a* *tree*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where**  
*T\_pass2 Leaf* = 1

|  $T\_pass_2 (Node \_ \_ hs) = T\_pass_2 hs + 1$

**fun**  $T\_del\_min :: ('a::linorder) tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_del\_min Leaf = 1$  |  
 $T\_del\_min (Node hs \_ \_) = T\_pass_2 (pass_1 hs) + T\_pass_1 hs + 1$

**fun**  $T\_insert :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_insert a h = 1$

**fun**  $T\_merge :: 'a tree \Rightarrow 'a tree \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $T\_merge h1 h2 = 1$

**lemma**  $A\_del\_min$ : **assumes**  $is\_root h$   
**shows**  $T\_del\_min h + \Phi(del\_min h) - \Phi h \leq 2 * \log 2 (size h + 1) + 5$   
**proof** ( $cases h$ )  
  **case** [ $simp$ ]: ( $Node hs1 x hs$ )  
  **have**  $T\_pass_2 (pass_1 hs1) + real(T\_pass_1 hs1) \leq real(len hs1) + 2$   
  **by** ( $induct hs1 rule: pass_1.induct$ )  $simp\_all$   
  **moreover have**  $\Phi (del\_min h) - \Phi h \leq 2 * \log 2 (size h + 1) - len hs1 + 2$   
  **proof** -  
  **have**  $\Phi (del\_min h) - \Phi h \leq 2 * \log 2 (size hs1 + 1) - len hs1 + 2$   
  **using**  $\Delta\Phi\_del\_min[of hs1 x]$  **assms** **by**  $simp$   
  **also have**  $\dots \leq 2 * \log 2 (size h + 1) - len hs1 + 2$  **by**  $fastforce$   
  **finally show**  $?thesis$  .  
  **qed**  
  **ultimately show**  $?thesis$  **by**( $simp$ )  
**qed**  $simp$

**lemma**  $A\_insert$ :  $is\_root h \implies T\_insert a h + \Phi(insert a h) - \Phi h \leq \log 2 (size h + 1) + 1$   
**by**( $drule \Delta\Phi\_insert$ )  $simp$

**lemma**  $A\_merge$ : **assumes**  $is\_root h1$   $is\_root h2$   
**shows**  $T\_merge h1 h2 + \Phi(merge h1 h2) - \Phi h1 - \Phi h2 \leq \log 2 (size h1 + size h2 + 1) + 2$   
**proof** ( $cases h1$ )  
  **case**  $Leaf$  **thus**  $?thesis$  **by** ( $cases h2$ )  $auto$   
**next**  
  **case**  $h1: Node$   
  **show**  $?thesis$   
  **proof** ( $cases h2$ )  
  **case**  $Leaf$  **thus**  $?thesis$  **using**  $h1$  **by**  $simp$   
  **next**

```

case h2: Node
  have  $\Phi$  (merge h1 h2) -  $\Phi$  h1 -  $\Phi$  h2  $\leq \log 2$  (real (size h1 + size
h2)) + 1
  apply(rule  $\Delta\Phi$ _merge) using h1 h2 assms by auto
  also have ...  $\leq \log 2$  (size h1 + size h2 + 1) + 1 by (simp add: h1)
  finally show ?thesis by(simp add: algebra_simps)
qed
qed

```

```

fun cost :: 'a :: linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  cost Empty [] = 1
| cost Del_min [h] = T_del_min h
| cost (Insert a) [h] = T_insert a h
| cost Merge [h1,h2] = T_merge h1 h2

```

```

fun U :: 'a :: linorder op  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree list  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  U Empty [] = 1
| U (Insert a) [h] =  $\log 2$  (size h + 1) + 1
| U Del_min [h] = 2 *  $\log 2$  (size h + 1) + 5
| U Merge [h1,h2] =  $\log 2$  (size h1 + size h2 + 1) + 2

```

**interpretation** *Amortized*

**where** *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *cost* = *cost* **and** *inv* = *is\_root*  
**and**  $\Phi$  =  $\Phi$  **and** *U* = *U*

**proof** (*standard*, *goal\_cases*)

```

  case (1_f) thus ?case using is_root_insert is_root_del_min is_root_merge
  by (cases f) (auto simp: numeral_eq_Suc)

```

**next**

```

  case (2_s) show ?case by (induct s) simp_all

```

**next**

```

  case ( $\exists$  ss f) show ?case

```

**proof** (*cases* *f*)

```

  case Empty with  $\exists$  show ?thesis by(auto)

```

**next**

```

  case Insert

```

```

  then obtain h where ss = [h] is_root h using  $\exists$  by auto

```

```

  thus ?thesis using Insert  $\Delta\Phi$ _insert  $\exists$  by auto

```

**next**

```

  case Del_min

```

```

  then obtain h where [simp]: ss = [h] using  $\exists$  by auto

```

```

  show ?thesis using A_del_min[of h]  $\exists$  Del_min by simp

```

**next**

```

  case Merge

```

```

  then obtain h1 h2 where ss = [h1,h2] is_root h1 is_root h2

```

```

    using 3 by (auto simp: numeral_eq_Suc)
    with A_merge[of h1 h2] Merge show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
end

```

## 8.2 Okasaki's Pairing Heap

```

theory Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis
imports

```

```

  Pairing_Heap.Pairing_Heap_List1
  Amortized_Framework
  Priority_Queue_ops_merge
  Lemmas_log

```

```

begin

```

Amortized analysis of pairing heaps as defined by Okasaki [6].

```

fun hps where

```

```

hps (Hp _ hs) = hs

```

```

lemma merge_Empty[simp]: merge heap.Empty h = h
by(cases h) auto

```

```

lemma merge2: merge (Hp x lx) h = (case h of heap.Empty  $\Rightarrow$  Hp x lx |
(Hp y ly)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (if x < y then Hp x (Hp y ly # lx) else Hp y (Hp x lx # ly)))
by(auto split: heap.split)

```

```

lemma pass1_Nil_iff: pass1 hs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  hs = []
by(cases hs rule: pass1.cases) auto

```

### 8.2.1 Invariant

```

fun no_Empty :: 'a :: linorder heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
no_Empty heap.Empty = False |
no_Empty (Hp x hs) = ( $\forall$  h  $\in$  set hs. no_Empty h)

```

```

abbreviation no_Emptys :: 'a :: linorder heap list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
no_Emptys hs  $\equiv$   $\forall$  h  $\in$  set hs. no_Empty h

```

```

fun is_root :: 'a :: linorder heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
is_root heap.Empty = True |
is_root (Hp x hs) = no_Emptys hs

```

**lemma** *is\_root\_if\_no\_Empty*: *no\_Empty h*  $\implies$  *is\_root h*  
**by**(*cases h*) *auto*

**lemma** *no\_Empty\_hps*: *no\_Empty h*  $\implies$  *no\_Empty\_hps h*  
**by**(*induction h*) *auto*

**lemma** *no\_Empty\_merge*:  $\llbracket$  *no\_Empty h1*; *no\_Empty h2*  $\rrbracket \implies$  *no\_Empty*  
(*merge h1 h2*)  
**by** (*cases (h1,h2)* *rule: merge.cases*) *auto*

**lemma** *is\_root\_merge*:  $\llbracket$  *is\_root h1*; *is\_root h2*  $\rrbracket \implies$  *is\_root* (*merge h1*  
*h2*)  
**by** (*cases (h1,h2)* *rule: merge.cases*) *auto*

**lemma** *no\_Empty\_pass1*:  
*no\_Empty\_hs*  $\implies$  *no\_Empty\_hs (pass1 hs)*  
**by**(*induction hs* *rule: pass1.induct*)(*auto simp: no\_Empty\_merge*)

**lemma** *is\_root\_pass2*: *no\_Empty\_hs*  $\implies$  *is\_root (pass2 hs)*  
**proof**(*induction hs*)  
**case** (*Cons \_ hs*)  
**show** *?case*  
**proof** *cases*  
**assume** *hs* =  $\llbracket$  **thus** *?thesis* **using** *Cons* **by** (*auto simp: is\_root\_if\_no\_Empty*)  
**next**  
**assume** *hs*  $\neq$   $\llbracket$  **thus** *?thesis* **using** *Cons* **by**(*auto simp: is\_root\_merge*  
*is\_root\_if\_no\_Empty*)  
**qed**  
**qed** *simp*

## 8.2.2 Complexity

**fun** *size\_hp* :: '*a* *heap*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where**  
*size\_hp heap.Empty* = 0 |  
*size\_hp (Hp x hs)* = *sum\_list*(*map size\_hp hs*) + 1

**abbreviation** *size\_hps* **where**  
*size\_hps hs*  $\equiv$  *sum\_list*(*map size\_hp hs*)

**fun**  $\Phi$ <sub>*hps*</sub> :: '*a* *heap list*  $\Rightarrow$  *real* **where**  
 $\Phi$ <sub>*hps*</sub>  $\llbracket$  = 0 |  
 $\Phi$ <sub>*hps*</sub> (*heap.Empty # hs*) =  $\Phi$ <sub>*hps*</sub> *hs* |  
 $\Phi$ <sub>*hps*</sub> (*Hp x hsl # hsr*) =

$\Phi\_hps\ hsl + \Phi\_hps\ hsr + \log 2\ (size\_hps\ hsl + size\_hps\ hsr + 1)$

**fun**  $\Phi :: 'a\ heap \Rightarrow real$  **where**

$\Phi\ heap.Empty = 0$  |

$\Phi\ (Hp\_hs) = \Phi\_hps\ hs + \log 2\ (size\_hps(hs)+1)$

**lemma**  $\Phi\_hps\_ge0: \Phi\_hps\ hs \ge 0$

**by** (*induction hs rule:  $\Phi\_hps.induct$* ) *auto*

**lemma** *no\_Empty\_ge0: no\_Empty h  $\implies size\_hp\ h > 0$*

**by**(*cases h*) *auto*

**declare** *algebra\_simps[simp]*

**lemma**  $\Phi\_hps1: \Phi\_hps\ [h] = \Phi\ h$

**by**(*cases h*) *auto*

**lemma** *size\_hp\_merge: size\_hp(merge h1 h2) = size\_hp h1 + size\_hp h2*

**by** (*induction h1 h2 rule: merge.induct*) *simp\_all*

**lemma** *pass1\_size[simp]: size\_hps (pass1 hs) = size\_hps hs*

**by** (*induct hs rule: pass1.induct*) (*simp\_all add: size\_hp\_merge*)

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_insert:$

$\Phi\ (Pairing\_Heap\_List1.insert\ x\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq \log 2\ (size\_hp\ h + 1)$

**by**(*cases h*)(*auto simp: size\_hp\_merge*)

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_merge:$

$\Phi\ (merge\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\ h1 - \Phi\ h2$

$\leq \log 2\ (size\_hp\ h1 + size\_hp\ h2 + 1) + 1$

**proof**(*induction h1 h2 rule: merge.induct*)

**case** ( $\exists\ x\ lx\ y\ ly$ )

**thus** *?case*

**using** *ld\_le\_2ld[of size\_hps lx size\_hps ly]*

*log\_le\_cancel\_iff[of 2 size\_hps lx + size\_hps ly + 2 size\_hps lx + size\_hps ly + 3]*

**by** (*auto simp del: log\_le\_cancel\_iff*)

**qed** *auto*

**fun** *sum\_ub* :: *'a heap list  $\Rightarrow real$*  **where**

*sum\_ub [] = 0*

| *sum\_ub [ ] = 0*

| *sum\_ub [h1, h2] = 2\*log 2 (size\_hp h1 + size\_hp h2)*

|  $sum\_ub (h1 \# h2 \# hs) = 2 * \log 2 (size\_hp h1 + size\_hp h2 + size\_hps hs)$   
 $- 2 * \log 2 (size\_hps hs) - 2 + sum\_ub hs$

**lemma**  $\Delta \Phi\_pass1\_sum\_ub: no\_Empty hs \implies$

$\Phi\_hps (pass1 hs) - \Phi\_hps hs \leq sum\_ub hs$  (**is**  $\_ \implies ?P hs$ )

**proof** (*induction hs rule: sum\_ub.induct*)

**case** ( $\exists h1 h2$ )

**then obtain**  $x hsx y hsy$  **where** [*simp*]:  $h1 = Hp x hsx h2 = Hp y hsy$

**by simp** (*meson no\_Empty.elims(2)*)

**have**  $0: \bigwedge x y::real. 0 \leq x \implies x \leq y \implies x \leq 2 * y$  **by** *linarith*

**show**  $?case$  **using**  $\exists$  **by** (*auto simp add: add\_increasing 0*)

**next**

**case** ( $\_ h1 h2 h3 hs$ )

**hence IH:**  $?P(h3 \# hs)$  **by** *auto*

**from**  $\_4.prem$ s **obtain**  $x hsx y hsy$  **where** [*simp*]:  $h1 = Hp x hsx h2 = Hp y hsy$

**by simp** (*meson no\_Empty.elims(2)*)

**from**  $\_4.prem$ s **have**  $s3: size\_hp h3 > 0$

**apply** *auto* **using** *size\_hp.elims* **by** *force*

**let**  $?ry = h3 \# hs$

**let**  $?rx = Hp y hsy \# ?ry$

**let**  $?h = Hp x hsx \# ?rx$

**have**  $\Phi\_hps(pass1 ?h) - \Phi\_hps ?h$

$\leq \log 2 (1 + size\_hps hsx + size\_hps hsy) - \log 2 (1 + size\_hps hsy + size\_hps ?ry) + sum\_ub ?ry$

**using** *IH* **by** *simp*

**also have**  $\log 2 (1 + size\_hps hsx + size\_hps hsy) - \log 2 (1 + size\_hps hsy + size\_hps ?ry)$

$\leq 2 * \log 2 (size\_hps ?h) - 2 * \log 2 (size\_hps ?ry) - 2$

**proof**  $-$

**have**  $\log 2 (1 + size\_hps hsx + size\_hps hsy) + \log 2 (size\_hps ?ry) - 2 * \log 2 (size\_hps ?h)$

$= \log 2 ((1 + size\_hps hsx + size\_hps hsy) / (size\_hps ?h)) + \log 2 (size\_hps ?ry / size\_hps ?h)$

**using**  $s3$  **by** (*simp add: log\_divide*)

**also have**  $\dots \leq -2$

**proof**  $-$

**have**  $2 + \dots$

$\leq 2 * \log 2 ((1 + size\_hps hsx + size\_hps hsy) / size\_hps ?h + size\_hps ?ry / size\_hps ?h)$

**using** *ld\_sum\_inequality* [*of*  $(1 + size\_hps hsx + size\_hps hsy) / size\_hps ?h (size\_hps ?ry / size\_hps ?h)$ ] **using**  $s3$  **by** *simp*

**also have**  $\dots \leq 0$  **by** (*simp add: field\_simps log\_divide add\_pos\_nonneg*)

**finally show** *?thesis* **by** *linarith*  
**qed**  
**finally have**  $\log 2 (1 + \text{size\_hps } h_{sx} + \text{size\_hps } h_{sy}) + \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } ?ry) + 2$   
 $\leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } ?h)$  **by** *simp*  
**moreover have**  $\log 2 (\text{size\_hps } ?ry) \leq \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } ?rx)$  **using** *s3*  
**by** *simp*  
**ultimately have**  $\log 2 (1 + \text{size\_hps } h_{sx} + \text{size\_hps } h_{sy}) - \dots$   
 $\leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } ?h) - 2 * \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } ?ry) - 2$  **by** *linarith*  
**thus** *?thesis* **by** *simp*  
**qed**  
**finally show** *?case* **by** (*simp*)  
**qed** *simp\_all*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1$ : **assumes**  $hs \neq []$  *no\_Empty* *hs*  
**shows**  $\Phi\_hps (pass_1 hs) - \Phi\_hps hs \leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } hs) - \text{length } hs + 2$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\text{sum\_ub } hs \leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } hs) - \text{length } hs + 2$   
**using** *assms* **by** (*induct* *hs* *rule*: *sum\_ub.induct*) (*auto* *dest*: *no\_Empty\_ge0*)  
**thus** *?thesis* **using**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1\_sum\_ub[OF \text{assms}(2)]$  **by** *linarith*  
**qed**

**lemma** *size\_hps\_pass2*:  $hs \neq [] \implies \text{no\_Empty } hs \implies$   
 $\text{no\_Empty}(pass_2 hs) \ \& \ \text{size\_hps } hs = \text{size\_hps}(hps(pass_2 hs)) + 1$   
**apply**(*induction* *hs* *rule*:  $\Phi\_hps.induct$ )  
**apply** (*fastforce* *simp*: *merge2 split*: *heap.split*) +  
**done**

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2$ :  $hs \neq [] \implies \text{no\_Empty } hs \implies$   
 $\Phi (pass_2 hs) - \Phi\_hps hs \leq \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } hs)$   
**proof** (*induction* *hs*)  
**case** (*Cons* *h* *hs*)  
**thus** *?case*  
**proof** *cases*  
**assume**  $hs = []$   
**thus** *?thesis* **using** *Cons* **by** (*auto* *simp* *add*:  $\Phi\_hps1$  *dest*: *no\_Empty\_ge0*)  
**next**  
**assume**  $*$ :  $hs \neq []$   
**obtain** *x* *hs2* **where** [*simp*]:  $h = Hp \ x \ hs2$   
**using** *Cons.prem*(2) **by** *simp* (*meson* *no\_Empty.elims*(2))  
**show** *?thesis*  
**proof** (*cases* *pass\_2* *hs*)  
**case** *Empty* **thus** *?thesis* **using**  $\Phi\_hps\_ge0$  [*of* *hs*]

```

    by(simp add: add_increasing xt1(3)[OF mult_2, OF add_increasing])
  next
    case (Hp y hs3)
      with Cons * size_hps_pass2[of hs] show ?thesis by(auto simp:
add_mono)
    qed
  qed
qed simp

```

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi_{del\_min}$ : **assumes**  $hps\ h \neq []\ no\_Empty\ h$

**shows**  $\Phi\ (del\_min\ h) - \Phi\ h$   
 $\leq 3 * \log\ 2\ (size\_hps(hps\ h)) - length(hps\ h) + 2$

**proof** –

**obtain**  $x\ hs$  **where**  $[simp]: h = Hp\ x\ hs$  **using**  $assms(2)$  **by**  $(cases\ h)$   
 $auto$

**let**  $?\Delta\Phi_1 = \Phi\_hps(hps\ h) - \Phi\ h$

**let**  $?\Delta\Phi_2 = \Phi(pass_2(pass_1(hps\ h))) - \Phi\_hps(hps\ h)$

**let**  $?\Delta\Phi = \Phi\ (del\_min\ h) - \Phi\ h$

**have**  $\Phi(pass_2(pass_1(hps\ h))) - \Phi\_hps(pass_1(hps\ h)) \leq \log\ 2\ (size\_hps(hps\ h))$

**using**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass2[of\ pass_1(hps\ h)]$  **using**  $assms$

**by**  $(auto\ simp: pass_1\_Nil\_iff\ no\_Empty\_pass_1\ dest: no\_Empty\_hps)$

**moreover have**  $\Phi\_hps(pass_1(hps\ h)) - \Phi\_hps(hps\ h) \leq 2 * \dots - length(hps\ h) + 2$

**using**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass_1[OF\ assms(1)\ no\_Empty\_hps[OF\ assms(2)]]$  **by**  $blast$

**moreover have**  $?\Delta\Phi_1 \leq 0$  **by**  $simp$

**moreover have**  $?\Delta\Phi = ?\Delta\Phi_1 + ?\Delta\Phi_2$  **by**  $simp$

**ultimately show**  $?thesis$  **by**  $linarith$

**qed**

**fun**  $exec :: 'a :: linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ heap$  **where**

$exec\ Empty\ [] = heap.Empty\ |$

$exec\ Del\_min\ [h] = del\_min\ h\ |$

$exec\ (Insert\ x)\ [h] = Pairing\_Heap\_List1.insert\ x\ h\ |$

$exec\ Merge\ [h1,h2] = merge\ h1\ h2$

**fun**  $T_{pass_1} :: 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**

$T_{pass_1}\ [] = 1$

$| T_{pass_1}\ [_] = 1$

$| T_{pass_1}\ (\_ \# \_ \# hs) = 1 + T_{pass_1}\ hs$

**fun**  $T_{pass_2} :: 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**

$T_{pass_2}\ [] = 1$

|  $T_{pass2} (\_ \# hs) = 1 + T_{pass2} hs$

**fun**  $cost :: 'a :: linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $cost\ Empty\ \_ = 1$  |  
 $cost\ Del\_min\ [heap.Empty] = 1$  |  
 $cost\ Del\_min\ [Hp\ x\ hs] = T_{pass2}\ (pass1\ hs) + T_{pass1}\ hs$  |  
 $cost\ (Insert\ a)\ \_ = 1$  |  
 $cost\ Merge\ \_ = 1$

**fun**  $U :: 'a :: linorder\ op \Rightarrow 'a\ heap\ list \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $U\ Empty\ \_ = 1$  |  
 $U\ (Insert\ a)\ [h] = \log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h + 1) + 1$  |  
 $U\ Del\_min\ [h] = 3 * \log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h + 1) + 4$  |  
 $U\ Merge\ [h1,h2] = \log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h1 + size\_hp\ h2 + 1) + 2$

**interpretation**  $pairing$ : *Amortized*

**where**  $arity = arity$  **and**  $exec = exec$  **and**  $cost = cost$  **and**  $inv = is\_root$   
**and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = U$

**proof** ( $standard, goal\_cases$ )

**case** ( $1\ ss\ f$ ) **show**  $?thesis$

**proof** ( $cases\ f$ )

**case**  $Empty$  **with**  $1$  **show**  $?thesis$  **by**  $simp$

**next**

**case**  $Insert$  **thus**  $?thesis$  **using**  $1$  **by** ( $auto\ simp: is\_root\_merge$ )

**next**

**case**  $Merge$

**thus**  $?thesis$  **using**  $1$  **by** ( $auto\ simp: is\_root\_merge\ numeral\_eq\_Suc$ )

**next**

**case** [ $simp$ ]:  $Del\_min$

**then** **obtain**  $h$  **where** [ $simp$ ]:  $ss = [h]$  **using**  $1$  **by**  $auto$

**show**  $?thesis$

**proof** ( $cases\ h$ )

**case** [ $simp$ ]: ( $Hp\ \_ hs$ )

**show**  $?thesis$

**proof**  $cases$

**assume**  $hs = []$  **thus**  $?thesis$  **by**  $simp$

**next**

**assume**  $hs \neq []$  **thus**  $?thesis$

**using**  $1(1)\ no\_Emptys\_pass1$  **by** ( $auto\ intro: is\_root\_pass2$ )

**qed**

**qed**  $simp$

**qed**

**next**

**case** ( $2\ s$ ) **show**  $?thesis$  **by** ( $cases\ s$ ) ( $auto\ simp: \Phi\_hps\_ge0$ )

```

next
  case ( $\exists$  ss f) show ?case
proof (cases f)
  case Empty with  $\exists$  show ?thesis by(auto)
next
  case Insert thus ?thesis using  $\Delta\Phi\_insert$   $\exists$  by auto
next
  case [simp]: Del_min
  then obtain h where [simp]: ss = [h] using  $\exists$  by auto
  show ?thesis
proof (cases h)
  case [simp]: (Hp x hs)
  have  $T_{pass2}(pass1\ hs) + T_{pass1}\ hs \leq 2 + length\ hs$ 
  by (induct hs rule: pass1.induct) simp_all
  hence  $cost\ f\ ss \leq \dots$  by simp
  moreover have  $\Phi\ (del\_min\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq 3*\log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h + 1) -$ 
length hs + 2
  proof (cases hs = [])
    case False
    hence  $\Phi\ (del\_min\ h) - \Phi\ h \leq 3*\log\ 2\ (size\_hps\ hs) - length\ hs +$ 
2
    using  $\Delta\Phi\_del\_min[of\ h]$   $\exists(1)$  by simp
    also have  $\dots \leq 3*\log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h + 1) - length\ hs + 2$ 
    using False  $\exists(1)$  size_hps_pass2 by fastforce
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed simp
next
  case [simp]: Merge
  then obtain h1 h2 where [simp]: ss = [h1, h2]
  using  $\exists$  by(auto simp: numeral_eq_Suc)
  show ?thesis
proof (cases h1 = heap.Empty  $\vee$  h2 = heap.Empty)
  case True thus ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  then obtain x1 x2 hs1 hs2 where [simp]: h1 = Hp x1 hs1 h2 = Hp
x2 hs2
  by (meson hps.cases)
  have  $\Phi\ (merge\ h1\ h2) - \Phi\ h1 - \Phi\ h2 \leq \log\ 2\ (size\_hp\ h1 + size\_hp$ 
h2 + 1) + 1
  using  $\Delta\Phi\_merge[of\ h1\ h2]$  by simp
  thus ?thesis by(simp)

```

```

    qed
  qed
qed
end

```

### 8.3 Transfer of Tree Analysis to List Representation

```

theory Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis2
imports
  Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis
  Pairing_Heap_Tree_Analysis
begin

```

This theory transfers the amortized analysis of the tree-based pairing heaps to Okasaki's pairing heaps.

```

abbreviation is_root' == Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.is_root
abbreviation del_min' == Pairing_Heap_List1.del_min
abbreviation insert' == Pairing_Heap_List1.insert
abbreviation merge' == Pairing_Heap_List1.merge
abbreviation pass1' == Pairing_Heap_List1.pass1
abbreviation pass2' == Pairing_Heap_List1.pass2
abbreviation Tpass1' == Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.Tpass1
abbreviation Tpass2' == Pairing_Heap_List1_Analysis.Tpass2

```

```

fun homs :: 'a heap list  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree where
  homs [] = Leaf |
  homs (Hp x lhs # rhs) = Node (homs lhs) x (homs rhs)

```

```

fun hom :: 'a heap  $\Rightarrow$  'a tree where
  hom heap.Empty = Leaf |
  hom (Hp x hs) = (Node (homs hs) x Leaf)

```

```

lemma homs_pass1': no_Emptys hs  $\implies$  homs(pass1' hs) = pass1 (homs
hs)

```

```

apply(induction hs rule: Pairing_Heap_List1.pass1.induct)
  subgoal for h1 h2
    apply(case_tac h1)
    apply simp
    apply(case_tac h2)
    apply (auto)
  done
  apply simp
subgoal for h

```

```

apply(case_tac h)
  apply (auto)
done
done

```

```

lemma hom_merge':  $\llbracket \text{no\_Emptyys } lhs; \text{Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.is\_root } h \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{hom } (\text{merge}' (Hp \ x \ lhs) \ h) = \text{link } \langle \text{homs } lhs, \ x, \ \text{hom } h \rangle$ 
by(cases h) auto

```

```

lemma hom_pass2':  $\text{no\_Emptyys } hs \implies \text{hom}(\text{pass2}' \ hs) = \text{pass2} \ (\text{homs } hs)$ 
by(induction hs rule: homs.induct) (auto simp: hom_merge' is_root_pass2)

```

```

lemma del_min':  $\text{is\_root}' \ h \implies \text{hom}(\text{del\_min}' \ h) = \text{del\_min} \ (\text{hom } h)$ 
by(cases h)
  (auto simp: homs_pass1' hom_pass2' no_Emptyys_pass1 is_root_pass2)

```

```

lemma insert':  $\text{is\_root}' \ h \implies \text{hom}(\text{insert}' \ x \ h) = \text{insert } x \ (\text{hom } h)$ 
by(cases h)(auto)

```

```

lemma merge':
   $\llbracket \text{is\_root}' \ h1; \text{is\_root}' \ h2 \rrbracket \implies \text{hom}(\text{merge}' \ h1 \ h2) = \text{merge} \ (\text{hom } h1)$ 
  (hom h2)
apply(cases h1)
  apply(simp)
apply(cases h2)
  apply(auto)
done

```

```

lemma T_pass1':  $\text{no\_Emptyys } hs \implies T_{\text{pass1}'} \ hs = T_{\text{pass1}}(\text{homs } hs)$ 
apply(induction hs rule: Pairing_Heap_List1.pass1.induct)
  subgoal for h1 h2
    apply(case_tac h1)
    apply simp
    apply(case_tac h2)
    apply (auto)
  done
  apply simp
subgoal for h
apply(case_tac h)
  apply (auto)
done
done

```

**lemma**  $T\_pass2'$ :  $no\_Emptyys\ hs \implies T_{pass2'}\ hs = T_{pass2}(homs\ hs)$   
**by**(*induction*  $hs$  *rule*:  $homs.induct$ ) (*auto simp*:  $hom\_merge'\ is\_root\_pass2$ )

**lemma**  $size\_hp$ :  $is\_root'\ h \implies size\_hp\ h = size\ (hom\ h)$   
**proof**(*induction*  $h$ )

**case** ( $Hp\_hs$ ) **thus** ?*case*  
   **apply**(*induction*  $hs$  *rule*:  $homs.induct$ )  
   **apply** *simp*  
   **apply** *force*  
   **apply** *simp*  
   **done**  
**qed** *simp*

**interpretation** *Amortized2*

**where**  $arity = arity$  **and**  $exec = exec$  **and**  $inv = is\_root$   
**and**  $cost = cost$  **and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = U$   
**and**  $hom = hom$

**and**  $exec' = Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.exec$   
**and**  $cost' = Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.cost$  **and**  $inv' = is\_root'$   
**and**  $U' = Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.U$

**proof** (*standard*, *goal\_cases*)

**case** ( $1\_f$ ) **thus** ?*case*  
   **by** (*cases*  $f$ )(*auto simp*:  $merge'\ del\_min'\ numeral\_eq\_Suc$ )

**next**

**case** ( $2\ ts\ f$ )  
  **show** ?*case*  
  **proof**(*cases*  $f$ )  
   **case** [*simp*]:  $Del\_min$   
   **then obtain**  $h$  **where** [*simp*]:  $ts = [h]$  **using** 2 **by** *auto*  
   **show** ?*thesis* **using** 2  
   **by**(*cases*  $h$ ) (*auto simp*:  $is\_root\_pass2\ no\_Emptyys\_pass1$ )

**qed** (*insert* 2,  
   *auto simp*:  $Pairing\_Heap\_List1\_Analysis.is\_root\_merge\ numeral\_eq\_Suc$ )

**next**

**case** ( $3\ t$ ) **thus** ?*case* **by** (*cases*  $t$ ) (*auto*)

**next**

**case** ( $4\ ts\ f$ ) **show** ?*case*  
  **proof** (*cases*  $f$ )  
   **case** [*simp*]:  $Del\_min$   
   **then obtain**  $h$  **where** [*simp*]:  $ts = [h]$  **using** 4 **by** *auto*  
   **show** ?*thesis* **using** 4  
   **by** (*cases*  $h$ )(*auto simp*:  $T\_pass1'\ T\_pass2'\ no\_Emptyys\_pass1\ homs\_pass1'$ )

**qed** (*insert* 4, *auto*)

**next**

```

  case (5__f) thus ?case by(cases f) (auto simp: size_hp numeral_eq_Suc)
qed

```

```
end
```

## 8.4 Okasaki's Pairing Heap (Modified)

```
theory Pairing_Heap_List2_Analysis
```

```
imports
```

```
  Pairing_Heap.Pairing_Heap_List2
```

```
  Amortized_Framework
```

```
  Priority_Queue_ops_merge
```

```
  Lemmas_log
```

```
begin
```

Amortized analysis of a modified version of the pairing heaps defined by Okasaki [6].

```
fun lift_hp :: 'b ⇒ ('a hp ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a heap ⇒ 'b where
```

```
lift_hp c f None = c |
```

```
lift_hp c f (Some h) = f h
```

```
fun size_hps :: 'a hp list ⇒ nat where
```

```
size_hps (Hp x hsl # hsr) = size_hps hsl + size_hps hsr + 1 |
```

```
size_hps [] = 0
```

```
definition size_hp :: 'a hp ⇒ nat where
```

```
[simp]: size_hp h = size_hps(hps h) + 1
```

```
fun Φ_hps :: 'a hp list ⇒ real where
```

```
Φ_hps [] = 0 |
```

```
Φ_hps (Hp x hsl # hsr) = Φ_hps hsl + Φ_hps hsr + log 2 (size_hps hsl  
+ size_hps hsr + 1)
```

```
definition Φ_hp :: 'a hp ⇒ real where
```

```
[simp]: Φ_hp h = Φ_hps (hps h) + log 2 (size_hps(hps(h))+1)
```

```
abbreviation Φ :: 'a heap ⇒ real where
```

```
Φ ≡ lift_hp 0 Φ_hp
```

```
abbreviation size_heap :: 'a heap ⇒ nat where
```

```
size_heap ≡ lift_hp 0 size_hp
```

```
lemma Φ_hps_ge0: Φ_hps hs ≥ 0
```

```
by (induction hs rule: size_hps.induct) auto
```

**declare** *algebra\_simps*[*simp*]

**lemma** *size\_hps\_Cons*[*simp*]:  $\text{size\_hps}(h \# hs) = \text{size\_hp } h + \text{size\_hps } hs$   
**by**(*cases h*) *simp*

**lemma** *link2*:  $\text{link } (Hp \ x \ lx) \ h = (\text{case } h \text{ of } (Hp \ y \ ly) \Rightarrow$   
*(if*  $x < y$  *then*  $Hp \ x \ (Hp \ y \ ly \ \# \ lx)$  *else*  $Hp \ y \ (Hp \ x \ lx \ \# \ ly)$ *)*)  
**by**(*simp split: hp.split*)

**lemma** *size\_hps\_link*:  $\text{size\_hps}(\text{hps } (\text{link } h1 \ h2)) = \text{size\_hp } h1 + \text{size\_hp } h2 - 1$   
**by** (*induction rule: link.induct*) *simp\_all*

**lemma** *pass1\_size*[*simp*]:  $\text{size\_hps } (\text{pass}_1 \ hs) = \text{size\_hps } hs$   
**by** (*induct hs rule: pass1.induct*) (*simp\_all add: size\_hps\_link*)

**lemma** *pass2\_None*[*simp*]:  $\text{pass}_2 \ hs = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow hs = []$   
**by**(*cases hs*) *auto*

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_insert$ :  
 $\Phi (\text{Pairing\_Heap\_List2.insert } x \ h) - \Phi \ h \leq \log 2 (\text{size\_heap } h + 1)$   
**by**(*induct h*)(*auto simp: link2 split: hp.split*)

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_link$ :  $\Phi\_hp (\text{link } h1 \ h2) - \Phi\_hp \ h1 - \Phi\_hp \ h2 \leq 2 * \log 2$   
 $(\text{size\_hp } h1 + \text{size\_hp } h2)$   
**by** (*induction h1 h2 rule: link.induct*) (*simp add: add\_increasing*)

**fun** *sum\_ub* :: '*a* *hp list*  $\Rightarrow$  *real* **where**  
 $\text{sum\_ub } [] = 0$   
 $| \text{sum\_ub } [Hp \ \_ \ \_] = 0$   
 $| \text{sum\_ub } [Hp \ \_ \ lx, Hp \ \_ \ ly] = 2 * \log 2 (2 + \text{size\_hps } lx + \text{size\_hps } ly)$   
 $| \text{sum\_ub } (Hp \ \_ \ lx \ \# \ Hp \ \_ \ ly \ \# \ ry) = 2 * \log 2 (2 + \text{size\_hps } lx + \text{size\_hps } ly + \text{size\_hps } ry)$   
 $\quad - 2 * \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } ry) - 2 + \text{sum\_ub } ry$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1\_sum\_ub$ :  $\Phi\_hps (\text{pass}_1 \ h) - \Phi\_hps \ h \leq \text{sum\_ub } h$   
**proof** (*induction h rule: sum\_ub.induct*)  
**case** ( $\exists \ lx \ x \ ly \ y$ )  
**have**  $0: \bigwedge x \ y :: \text{real}. 0 \leq x \implies x \leq y \implies x \leq 2 * y$  **by** *linarith*  
**show** *?case* **by** (*simp add: add\_increasing 0*)  
**next**

**case** ( $4\ x\ h_{sx}\ y\ h_{sy}\ z\ h_{size\_hp}$ )  
**let**  $?ry = z \# h_{size\_hp}$   
**let**  $?rx = Hp\ y\ h_{sy} \# ?ry$   
**let**  $?h = Hp\ x\ h_{sx} \# ?rx$   
**have**  $\Phi\_hps(pass_1\ ?h) - \Phi\_hps\ ?h$   
 $\leq \log 2\ (1 + size\_hps\ h_{sx} + size\_hps\ h_{sy}) - \log 2\ (1 + size\_hps\ h_{sy}$   
 $+ size\_hps\ ?ry) + sum\_ub\ ?ry$   
**using**  $4.IH$  **by**  $simp$   
**also have**  $\log 2\ (1 + size\_hps\ h_{sx} + size\_hps\ h_{sy}) - \log 2\ (1 + size\_hps$   
 $h_{sy} + size\_hps\ ?ry)$   
 $\leq 2*\log 2\ (size\_hps\ ?h) - 2*\log 2\ (size\_hps\ ?ry) - 2$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\log 2\ (1 + size\_hps\ h_{sx} + size\_hps\ h_{sy}) + \log 2\ (size\_hps\ ?ry)$   
 $- 2*\log 2\ (size\_hps\ ?h)$   
 $= \log 2\ ((1 + size\_hps\ h_{sx} + size\_hps\ h_{sy}) / (size\_hps\ ?h)) + \log 2$   
 $(size\_hps\ ?ry / size\_hps\ ?h)$   
**by** ( $simp\ add: \log\_divide$ )  
**also have**  $\dots \leq -2$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $2 + \dots$   
 $\leq 2*\log 2\ ((1 + size\_hps\ h_{sx} + size\_hps\ h_{sy}) / size\_hps\ ?h +$   
 $size\_hps\ ?ry / size\_hps\ ?h)$   
**using**  $ld\_sum\_inequality$  [ $of\ (1 + size\_hps\ h_{sx} + size\_hps\ h_{sy}) /$   
 $size\_hps\ ?h\ (size\_hps\ ?ry / size\_hps\ ?h)$ ] **by**  $simp$   
**also have**  $\dots \leq 0$  **by** ( $simp\ add: field\_simps\ \log\_divide\ add\_pos\_nonneg$ )  
**finally show**  $?thesis$  **by**  $linarith$   
**qed**  
**finally have**  $\log 2\ (1 + size\_hps\ h_{sx} + size\_hps\ h_{sy}) + \log 2\ (size\_hps$   
 $?ry) + 2$   
 $\leq 2*\log 2\ (size\_hps\ ?h)$  **by**  $simp$   
**moreover have**  $\log 2\ (size\_hps\ ?ry) \leq \log 2\ (size\_hps\ ?rx)$  **by**  $simp$   
**ultimately have**  $\log 2\ (1 + size\_hps\ h_{sx} + size\_hps\ h_{sy}) - \dots$   
 $\leq 2*\log 2\ (size\_hps\ ?h) - 2*\log 2\ (size\_hps\ ?ry) - 2$  **by**  $linarith$   
**thus**  $?thesis$  **by**  $simp$   
**qed**  
**finally show**  $?case$  **by** ( $simp$ )  
**qed**  $simp\_all$

**lemma**  $\Delta\Phi\_pass1$ : **assumes**  $hs \neq []$   
**shows**  $\Phi\_hps\ (pass_1\ hs) - \Phi\_hps\ hs \leq 2 * \log 2\ (size\_hps\ hs) - length$   
 $hs + 2$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $sum\_ub\ hs \leq 2 * \log 2\ (size\_hps\ hs) - length\ hs + 2$

```

    using assms by (induct hs rule: sum_ub.induct) (simp_all)
    thus ?thesis using  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{pass1\_sum\_ub}}$ [of hs] by linarith
qed

```

```

lemma size_hps_pass2: pass2 hs = Some h  $\implies$  size_hps hs = size_hps(hps h)+1
apply (induction hs arbitrary: h rule:  $\Phi_{\text{hps.induct}}$ )
apply (auto simp: link2 split: option.split hp.split)
done

```

```

lemma  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{pass2}}$ : hs  $\neq []$   $\implies$   $\Phi(\text{pass2 } hs) - \Phi_{\text{hps}} hs \leq \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } hs)$ 

```

```

proof (induction hs)
  case (Cons h hs)
    thus ?case
    proof -
      obtain x hs2 where [simp]: h = Hp x hs2 by (metis hp.exhaust)
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases pass2 hs)
        case [simp]: (Some h2)
          obtain y hs3 where [simp]: h2 = Hp y hs3 by (metis hp.exhaust)
          from size_hps_pass2[OF Some] Cons show ?thesis
            by (cases hs=[])(auto simp: add_mono)
          qed simp
        qed
      qed simp

```

```

lemma  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{del\_min}}$ : assumes hps h  $\neq []$ 
  shows  $\Phi(\text{del\_min } (\text{Some } h)) - \Phi(\text{Some } h)$ 
   $\leq 3 * \log 2 (\text{size\_hps}(hps h) - \text{length}(hps h) + 2)$ 
proof -
  let ? $\Delta\Phi_1 = \Phi_{\text{hps}}(hps h) - \Phi_{\text{hp}} h$ 
  let ? $\Delta\Phi_2 = \Phi(\text{pass2}(\text{pass1 } (hps h))) - \Phi_{\text{hps}} (hps h)$ 
  let ? $\Delta\Phi = \Phi(\text{del\_min } (\text{Some } h)) - \Phi(\text{Some } h)$ 
  have  $\Phi(\text{pass2}(\text{pass1}(hps h))) - \Phi_{\text{hps}}(\text{pass1}(hps h)) \leq \log 2 (\text{size\_hps}(hps h))$ 
  using  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{pass2}}$ [of pass1(hps h)] using size_hps.elims assms by force
  moreover have  $\Phi_{\text{hps}}(\text{pass1 } (hps h)) - \Phi_{\text{hps}}(hps h) \leq 2 * \dots - \text{length}(hps h) + 2$ 
  using  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{pass1}}$ [OF assms] by blast
  moreover have ? $\Delta\Phi_1 \leq 0$  by (cases h) simp
  moreover have ? $\Delta\Phi = ?\Delta\Phi_1 + ?\Delta\Phi_2$  by (cases h) simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by linarith
qed

```

```

fun exec :: 'a :: linorder op ⇒ 'a heap list ⇒ 'a heap where
exec Empty [] = None |
exec Del_min [h] = del_min h |
exec (Insert x) [h] = Pairing_Heap_List2.insert x h |
exec Merge [h1,h2] = merge h1 h2

```

```

fun Tpass1 :: 'a hp list ⇒ nat where
Tpass1 [] = 1
| Tpass1 [ ] = 1
| Tpass1 ( _ # _ # hs ) = 1 + Tpass1 hs

```

```

fun Tpass2 :: 'a hp list ⇒ nat where
Tpass2 [] = 1 |
Tpass2 ( _ # hs ) = 1 + Tpass2 hs

```

```

fun cost :: 'a :: linorder op ⇒ 'a heap list ⇒ nat where
cost Empty _ = 1 |
cost Del_min [None] = 1 |
cost Del_min [Some(Hp x hs)] = 1 + Tpass2 (pass1 hs) + Tpass1 hs |
cost (Insert a) _ = 1 |
cost Merge _ = 1

```

```

fun U :: 'a :: linorder op ⇒ 'a heap list ⇒ real where
U Empty _ = 1 |
U (Insert a) [h] = log 2 (size_heap h + 1) + 1 |
U Del_min [h] = 3*log 2 (size_heap h + 1) + 5 |
U Merge [h1,h2] = 2*log 2 (size_heap h1 + size_heap h2 + 1) + 1

```

**interpretation** *pairing*: Amortized

**where** *arity* = *arity* **and** *exec* = *exec* **and** *cost* = *cost* **and** *inv* = λ\_. *True*

**and**  $\Phi = \Phi$  **and**  $U = U$

**proof** (*standard*, *goal\_cases*)

**case** (2 *s*) **show** ?*case* **by** (*cases* *s*) (*auto simp: Φ\_hps\_ge0*)

**next**

**case** (3 *ss* *f*) **show** ?*case*

**proof** (*cases* *f*)

**case** *Empty* **with** 3 **show** ?*thesis* **by**(*auto*)

**next**

**case** *Insert*

**thus** ?*thesis* **using** *Insert*  $\Delta\Phi\_insert$  3 **by** *auto*

**next**

**case** [*simp*]: *Del\_min*

```

then obtain ho where [simp]: ss = [ho] using 3 by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases ho)
  case [simp]: (Some h)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases h)
      case [simp]: (Hp x hs)
        have  $T_{pass2} (pass1 hs) + T_{pass1} hs \leq 2 + \text{length } hs$ 
          by (induct hs rule: pass1.induct) simp_all
        hence  $\text{cost } f \text{ ss} \leq 1 + \dots$  by simp
        moreover have  $\Phi (\text{del\_min } ho) - \Phi ho \leq 3 * \log 2 (\text{size\_heap } ho$ 
+ 1) -  $\text{length } hs + 2$ 
        proof (cases hs = [])
          case False
            hence  $\Phi (\text{del\_min } ho) - \Phi ho \leq 3 * \log 2 (\text{size\_hps } hs) - \text{length}$ 
hs + 2
            using  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{del\_min}}$ [of h] by simp
            also have  $\dots \leq 3 * \log 2 (\text{size\_heap } ho + 1) - \text{length } hs + 2$ 
              using False size_hps.elims by force
            finally show ?thesis .
        qed simp
        ultimately show ?thesis by simp
      qed
    qed simp
  next
  case [simp]: Merge
    then obtain ho1 ho2 where [simp]: ss = [ho1, ho2]
      using 3 by (auto simp: numeral_eq_Suc)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ho1 = None  $\vee$  ho2 = None)
      case True thus ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False
        then obtain h1 h2 where [simp]: ho1 = Some h1 ho2 = Some h2
          by auto
        have  $\Phi (\text{merge } ho1 ho2) - \Phi ho1 - \Phi ho2 \leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size\_heap}$ 
ho1 +  $\text{size\_heap } ho2)$ 
          using  $\Delta\Phi_{\text{link}}$ [of h1 h2] by simp
        also have  $\dots \leq 2 * \log 2 (\text{size\_hp } h1 + \text{size\_hp } h2 + 1)$  by (simp)
        finally show ?thesis by (simp)
      qed
    qed
  qed simp

```

end

## References

- [1] H. Brinkop. Verifikation der amortisierten Laufzeit von Pairing Heaps in Isabelle, 2015. Bachelor’s Thesis, Fakultät für Informatik, Technische Universität München.
- [2] M. L. Fredman, R. Sedgewick, D. D. Sleator, and R. E. Tarjan. The pairing heap: A new form of self-adjusting heap. *Algorithmica*, 1(1):111–129, 1986.
- [3] A. Kaldewaij and B. Schoenmakers. The derivation of a tighter bound for top-down skew heaps. *Information Processing Letters*, 37:265–271, 1991.
- [4] T. Nipkow. Amortized complexity verified. In C. Urban and X. Zhang, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2015)*, volume 9236 of *LNCS*, pages 310–324. Springer, 2015.
- [5] T. Nipkow and H. Brinkop. Amortized complexity verified, 2016. Submitted for publication.
- [6] C. Okasaki. *Purely Functional Data Structures*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [7] B. Schoenmakers. A systematic analysis of splaying. *Information Processing Letters*, 45:41–50, 1993.