

Program Construction and Verification Components Based on Kleene Algebra

Victor B. F. Gomes and Georg Struth

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Variants of Kleene algebra support program construction and verification by algebraic reasoning. This entry provides a verification component for Hoare logic based on Kleene algebra with tests, verification components for weakest preconditions and strongest postconditions based on Kleene algebra with domain and a component for step-wise refinement based on refinement Kleene algebra with tests. In addition to these components for the partial correctness of while programs, a verification component for total correctness based on divergence Kleene algebras and one for (partial correctness) of recursive programs based on domain quantales are provided. Finally we have integrated memory models for programs with pointers and a program trace semantics into the weakest precondition component.

Contents

1	Introductory Remarks	3
2	Two Standalone Components	4
2.1	Component Based on Kleene Algebra with Tests	4
2.1.1	KAT: Definition and Basic Properties	4
2.1.2	Propositional Hoare Logic	6
2.1.3	Soundness and Relation KAT	6
2.1.4	Embedding Predicates in Relations	7
2.1.5	Store and Assignment	8
2.1.6	Verification Example	8
2.1.7	Definition of Refinement KAT	8
2.1.8	Propositional Refinement Calculus	9
2.1.9	Soundness and Relation RKAT	9
2.1.10	Assignment Laws	9
2.1.11	Refinement Example	9
2.2	Component Based on Kleene Algebra with Domain	10
2.2.1	KAD: Definitions and Basic Properties	10

2.2.2	wp Calculus	13
2.2.3	Soundness and Relation KAD	14
2.2.4	Embedding Predicates in Relations	14
2.2.5	Store and Assignment	15
2.2.6	Verification Example	15
2.2.7	Propositional Hoare Logic	16
2.2.8	Definition of Refinement KAD	16
2.2.9	Propositional Refinement Calculus	16
2.2.10	Soundness and Relation RKAD	17
2.2.11	Assignment Laws	17
2.2.12	Refinement Example	17
3	Isomorphisms Between Predicates, Sets and Relations	18
3.1	Isomorphism Between Sets and Relations	18
3.2	Isomorphism Between Predicates and Sets	19
3.3	Isomorphism Between Predicates and Relations	20
4	Components Based on Kleene Algebra with Tests	22
4.1	Verification Component	22
4.1.1	Definitions of Hoare Triple	22
4.1.2	Syntax for Conditionals and Loops	22
4.1.3	Propositional Hoare Logic	23
4.1.4	Store and Assignment	24
4.1.5	Simplified Hoare Rules	24
4.1.6	Verification Examples	26
4.1.7	Verification Examples with Automated VCG	27
4.2	Refinement Component	28
4.2.1	RKAT: Definition and Basic Properties	28
4.2.2	Propositional Refinement Calculus	28
4.2.3	Models of Refinement KAT	29
4.2.4	Assignment Laws	29
4.2.5	Simplified Refinement Laws	30
4.2.6	Refinement Examples	30
5	Components Based on Kleene Algebra with Domain	31
5.1	Verification Component for Backward Reasoning	31
5.1.1	Additional Facts for KAD	31
5.1.2	Syntax for Conditionals and Loops	31
5.1.3	Basic Weakest (Liberal) Precondition Calculus	31
5.1.4	Store and Assignment	33
5.1.5	Simplifications	33
5.1.6	Verification Examples	34
5.1.7	Verification Examples with Automated VCG	36
5.2	Verification Component for Forward Reasoning	38

5.2.1	Basic Strongest Postcondition Calculus	38
5.2.2	Floyd’s Assignment Rule	39
5.2.3	Verification Examples	40
5.3	Verification Component for Total Correctness	42
5.3.1	Relation Divergence Kleene Algebras	42
5.3.2	Meta-Equational Loop Rule	43
5.3.3	Noethericity and Absence of Divergence	44
5.3.4	Verification Examples	45
5.4	Two Extensions	45
5.4.1	KAD Component with Trace Semantics	45
5.4.2	KAD Component for Pointer Programs	50
6	Bringing KAT Components into Scope of KAD	50
7	Component for Recursive Programs	52
7.1	Lattice-Ordered Monoids with Domain	52
7.2	Boolean Monoids with Domain	53
7.3	Boolean Monoids with Range	54
7.4	Quantales	55
7.5	Domain Quantales	56
7.6	Boolean Domain Quantales	57
7.7	Relational Model of Boolean Domain Quantales	58
7.8	Modal Boolean Quantales	58
7.9	Recursion Rule	58

1 Introductory Remarks

These Isabelle theories provide program construction and verification components for simple while programs based on variants of Kleene algebra with tests and Kleene algebra with domain, as well as a component for parameterless recursive programs based on domain quantales. The general approach consists in using the algebras for deriving verification conditions for the control flow of programs. They are linked by formal soundness proofs with denotational program semantics of the store and data domain—here predominantly with a relational semantics. Assignment laws can then be derived in this semantics. Program construction and verification tasks are performed within the concrete semantics as well; structured syntax for programs could easily be added, but is not provided at the moment.

All components are correct by construction relative to Isabelle’s small trustworthy core, as our soundness proofs make the axiomatic extensions provided by the algebras consistent with respect to it.

The main components are integrated into previous AFP entries for Kleene algebras [3], Kleene algebras with tests [1] and Kleene algebras with do-

main [5]. As an overview and perhaps for educational purposes, we have also added two standalone components based on Hoare logic and weakest (liberal) preconditions that use only Isabelle's main libraries.

Background information on the general approach and the first main component, which is based on Kleene algebra with tests, can be found in [2]. An introduction to Kleene algebra with domain is given in [4]; a paper describing the corresponding verification component in detail is in preparation.

We are planning to add further components and expand and restructure the existing ones in the future. We would like to invite anyone interested in the algebraic approach to collaborate with us on these and contribute to this project.

2 Two Standalone Components

```
theory VC-KAT-scratch
imports Main
begin
```

2.1 Component Based on Kleene Algebra with Tests

This component supports the verification and step-wise refinement of simple while programs in a partial correctness setting.

2.1.1 KAT: Definition and Basic Properties

```
notation times (infixl  $\leftrightarrow$  70)
```

```
class plus-ord = plus + ord +
assumes less-eq-def:  $x \leq y \leftrightarrow x + y = y$ 
and less-def:  $x < y \leftrightarrow x \leq y \wedge x \neq y$ 

class dioid = semiring + one + zero + plus-ord +
assumes add-idem [simp]:  $x + x = x$ 
and mult-onel [simp]:  $1 \cdot x = x$ 
and mult-oner [simp]:  $x \cdot 1 = x$ 
and add-zerol [simp]:  $0 + x = x$ 
and annil [simp]:  $0 \cdot x = 0$ 
and annir [simp]:  $x \cdot 0 = 0$ 
```

```
begin
```

```
subclass monoid-mult
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
subclass order
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma mult-isol:  $x \leq y \Rightarrow z \cdot x \leq z \cdot y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-isor:  $x \leq y \Rightarrow x \cdot z \leq y \cdot z$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-iso:  $x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-lub:  $x + y \leq z \longleftrightarrow x \leq z \wedge y \leq z$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class kleene-algebra = dioid +
  fixes star :: 'a ⇒ 'a ( $\langle\text{-}\star\rangle$  [101] 100)
  assumes star-unfoldl:  $1 + x \cdot x^* \leq x^*$ 
  and star-unfoldr:  $1 + x^* \cdot x \leq x^*$ 
  and star-inductl:  $z + x \cdot y \leq y \Rightarrow x^* \cdot z \leq y$ 
  and star-inductr:  $z + y \cdot x \leq y \Rightarrow z \cdot x^* \leq y$ 

begin

lemma star-sim:  $x \cdot y \leq z \cdot x \Rightarrow x \cdot y^* \leq z^* \cdot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class kat = kleene-algebra +
  fixes at :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  assumes test-one [simp]: at (at 1) = 1
  and test-mult [simp]: at (at (at x) · at (at y)) = at (at y) · at (at x)
  and test-mult-comp [simp]: at x · at (at x) = 0
  and test-de-morgan: at x + at y = at (at (at x) · at (at y))

begin

definition t-op :: 'a ⇒ 'a ( $\langle t\text{-}\rangle$  [100] 101) where
  t x = at (at x)

lemma t-n [simp]: t (at x) = at x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma t-comm: t x · t y = t y · t x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma t-idem [simp]: t x · t x = t x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *t-mult-closed* [*simp*]: $t (t x \cdot t y) = t x \cdot t y$
(proof)

2.1.2 Propositional Hoare Logic

definition $H :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $H p x q \longleftrightarrow t p \cdot x \leq x \cdot t q$

definition *if-then-else* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (*if - then - else - fi*) [64,64,64] 63)
where
 $\text{if } p \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = t p \cdot x + \text{at } p \cdot y$

definition *while* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (*while - do - od*) [64,64] 63) **where**
 $\text{while } p \text{ do } x \text{ od} = (t p \cdot x)^* \cdot \text{at } p$

definition *while-inv* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (*while - inv - do - od*) [64,64,64] 63)
where
 $\text{while } p \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od} = \text{while } p \text{ do } x \text{ od}$

lemma *H-skip*: $H p 1 p$
(proof)

lemma *H-cons*: $t p \leq t p' \implies t q' \leq t q \implies H p' x q' \implies H p x q$
(proof)

lemma *H-seq*: $H r y q \implies H p x r \implies H p (x \cdot y) q$
(proof)

lemma *H-cond*: $H (t p \cdot t r) x q \implies H (t p \cdot \text{at } r) y q \implies H p (\text{if } r \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}) q$
(proof)

lemma *H-loop*: $H (t p \cdot t r) x p \implies H p (\text{while } r \text{ do } x \text{ od}) (t p \cdot \text{at } r)$
(proof)

lemma *H-while-inv*: $t p \leq t i \implies t i \cdot \text{at } r \leq t q \implies H (t i \cdot t r) x i \implies H p (\text{while } r \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od}) q$
(proof)

end

2.1.3 Soundness and Relation KAT

notation *relcomp* (**infixl** $\langle;\rangle$ 70)

interpretation *rel-d*: *diodid* *Id* $\{\}$ (\cup) $(;)$ (\subseteq) (\subset)
(proof)

lemma (**in** *diodid*) *power-inductl*: $z + x \cdot y \leq y \implies x \wedge i \cdot z \leq y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in diooid) power-inductr: $z + y \cdot x \leq y \implies z \cdot x \wedge i \leq y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma power-is-relpow: rel-d.power $X i = X \wedge i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rel-star-def: $X^* = (\bigcup i. \text{rel-d.power } X i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rel-star-contl: $X ; Y^* = (\bigcup i. X ; \text{rel-d.power } Y i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rel-star-contr: $X^* ; Y = (\bigcup i. (\text{rel-d.power } X i) ; Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition rel-at :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel **where**
 $\text{rel-at } X = \text{Id} \cap -X$

interpretation rel-kat: kat Id {} (\cup) (\cdot) (\subseteq) (\subset) rtrancl rel-at
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.1.4 Embedding Predicates in Relations

type-synonym 'a pred = 'a \Rightarrow bool

abbreviation p2r :: 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel ($\langle \cdot \rangle$) **where**
 $\lceil P \rceil \equiv \{(s,s) \mid s. P s\}$

lemma t-p2r [simp]: rel-kat.t-op $\lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma p2r-neg-hom [simp]: rel-at $\lceil P \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. \neg P s \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma p2r-conj-hom [simp]: $\lceil P \rceil \cap \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. P s \wedge Q s \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma p2r-conj-hom-var [simp]: $\lceil P \rceil ; \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. P s \wedge Q s \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma p2r-disj-hom [simp]: $\lceil P \rceil \cup \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. P s \vee Q s \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma impl-prop [simp]: $\lceil P \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. P s \rightarrow Q s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.1.5 Store and Assignment

type-synonym $'a store = string \Rightarrow 'a$

definition $gets :: string \Rightarrow ('a store \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a store rel (\langle \cdot ::= \cdot \rangle [70, 65] 61)$
where

$$v ::= e = \{(s, s(v := e s)) \mid s. True\}$$

lemma $H\text{-assign}: rel\text{-kat}.H \lceil \lambda s. P (s (v := e s)) \rceil (v ::= e) \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-assign-var}: (\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q (s (v := e s))) \implies rel\text{-kat}.H \lceil P \rceil (v ::= e) \lceil Q \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $H\text{-sugar} :: 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow bool (\langle PRE - - POST \rangle [64, 64, 64] 63)$
where
 $PRE P X POST Q \equiv rel\text{-kat}.H \lceil P \rceil X \lceil Q \rceil$

abbreviation $if\text{-then}\text{-else}\text{-sugar} :: 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel (\langle IF - THEN - ELSE - FI \rangle [64, 64, 64] 63)$
where
 $IF P THEN X ELSE Y FI \equiv rel\text{-kat}.if\text{-then}\text{-else} \lceil P \rceil X Y$

abbreviation $while\text{-inv}\text{-sugar} :: 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel (\langle WHILE - INV - DO - OD \rangle [64, 64, 64] 63)$
where
 $WHILE P INV I DO X OD \equiv rel\text{-kat}.while\text{-inv} \lceil P \rceil \lceil I \rceil X$

2.1.6 Verification Example

lemma $euclid:$

$$\begin{aligned} & PRE (\lambda s :: nat store. s "x" = x \wedge s "y" = y) \\ & (\text{WHILE } (\lambda s. s "y" \neq 0) \text{ INV } (\lambda s. gcd (s "x") (s "y")) = gcd x y) \\ & \quad DO \\ & \quad ("z" ::= (\lambda s. s "y")); \\ & \quad ("y" ::= (\lambda s. s "x" mod s "y")); \\ & \quad ("x" ::= (\lambda s. s "z")) \\ & \quad OD \\ & POST (\lambda s. s "x" = gcd x y) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.1.7 Definition of Refinement KAT

```
class rkat = kat +
fixes R :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
assumes R1: H p (R p q) q
and R2: H p x q ⇒ x ≤ R p q
```

begin

2.1.8 Propositional Refinement Calculus

lemma $R\text{-skip}$: $1 \leq R p p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-cons}$: $t p \leq t p' \implies t q' \leq t q \implies R p' q' \leq R p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-seq}$: $(R p r) \cdot (R r q) \leq R p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-cond}$: if v then $(R (t v \cdot t p) q)$ else $(R (\text{at } v \cdot t p) q)$ fi $\leq R p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-loop}$: while q do $(R (t p \cdot t q) p)$ od $\leq R p (t p \cdot \text{at } q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.1.9 Soundness and Relation RKAT

definition $rel\text{-}R :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel$ where
 $rel\text{-}R P Q = \bigcup \{X. rel\text{-kat}.H P X Q\}$

interpretation $rel\text{-rkat}$: rkat Id {} (\cup) (\cdot) (\subseteq) (\subset) rtranc rel-at rel-R
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.1.10 Assignment Laws

lemma $R\text{-assign}$: $(\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q (s (v := e s))) \implies (v := e) \subseteq rel\text{-}R [P] [Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-assigr}$: $(\forall s. Q' s \longrightarrow Q (s (v := e s))) \implies (rel\text{-}R [P] [Q']) ; (v := e) \subseteq rel\text{-}R [P] [Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-assgnl}$: $(\forall s. P s \longrightarrow P' (s (v := e s))) \implies (v := e) ; (rel\text{-}R [P] [Q]) \subseteq rel\text{-}R [P] [Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.1.11 Refinement Example

lemma $var\text{-swap-ref1}$:
 $rel\text{-}R [\lambda s. s''x'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b] [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$
 $\supseteq (z'' ::= (\lambda s. s''x'')) ; rel\text{-}R [\lambda s. s''z'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b] [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $var\text{-swap-ref2}$:
 $rel\text{-}R [\lambda s. s''z'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b] [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$

```

 $\supseteq ("x'':= (\lambda s. s ''y'')); rel-R [\lambda s. s ''z'' = a \wedge s ''x'' = b] [\lambda s. s ''x'' = b \wedge s ''y'' = a]$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *var-swap-ref3*:

```

 $rel-R [\lambda s. s ''z'' = a \wedge s ''x'' = b] [\lambda s. s ''x'' = b \wedge s ''y'' = a]$ 
 $\supseteq ("y'':= (\lambda s. s ''z'')); rel-R [\lambda s. s ''x'' = b \wedge s ''y'' = a] [\lambda s. s ''x'' = b \wedge s ''y'' = a]$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *var-swap-ref-var*:

```

 $rel-R [\lambda s. s ''x'' = a \wedge s ''y'' = b] [\lambda s. s ''x'' = b \wedge s ''y'' = a]$ 
 $\supseteq ("z'':= (\lambda s. s ''x'')); ("x'':= (\lambda s. s ''y'')); ("y'':= (\lambda s. s ''z''))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

2.2 Component Based on Kleene Algebra with Domain

This component supports the verification and step-wise refinement of simple while programs in a partial correctness setting.

theory *VC-KAD-scratch*

imports *Main*

begin

2.2.1 KAD: Definitions and Basic Properties

notation *times* (*infixl* \leftrightarrow 70)

```

class plus-ord = plus + ord +
assumes less-eq-def:  $x \leq y \longleftrightarrow x + y = y$ 
and less-def:  $x < y \longleftrightarrow x \leq y \wedge x \neq y$ 

```

```

class diod = semiring + one + zero + plus-ord +
assumes add-idem [simp]:  $x + x = x$ 
and mult-onel [simp]:  $1 \cdot x = x$ 
and mult-oner [simp]:  $x \cdot 1 = x$ 
and add-zerol [simp]:  $0 + x = x$ 
and annil [simp]:  $0 \cdot x = 0$ 
and annir [simp]:  $x \cdot 0 = 0$ 

```

begin

```

subclass monoid-mult
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

subclass order
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mult-isor:  $x \leq y \implies x \cdot z \leq y \cdot z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-isol:  $x \leq y \implies z \cdot x \leq z \cdot y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma add-iso:  $x \leq y \implies z + x \leq z + y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma add-ub:  $x \leq x + y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma add-lub:  $x + y \leq z \longleftrightarrow x \leq z \wedge y \leq z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

class kleene-algebra = dioid +
  fixes star :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a (\cdot^*)$ ' [101] 100)
  assumes star-unfoldl:  $1 + x \cdot x^* \leq x^*$ 
  and star-unfoldr:  $1 + x^* \cdot x \leq x^*$ 
  and star-inductl:  $z + x \cdot y \leq y \implies x^* \cdot z \leq y$ 
  and star-inductr:  $z + y \cdot x \leq y \implies z \cdot x^* \leq y$ 

begin

lemma star-sim:  $x \cdot y \leq z \cdot x \implies x \cdot y^* \leq z^* \cdot x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

class antiduality-kleene-algebra = kleene-algebra +
  fixes ad :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a (\cdot ad)$ ' 
  assumes as1 [simp]:  $ad x \cdot x = 0$ 
  and as2 [simp]:  $ad (x \cdot y) + ad (x \cdot ad (ad y)) = ad (x \cdot ad (ad y))$ 
  and as3 [simp]:  $ad (ad x) + ad x = 1$ 

begin

definition dom-op :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a (\cdot d)$ ' where
   $d x = ad (ad x)$ 

lemma a-subid-aux:  $ad x \cdot y \leq y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma d1-a [simp]:  $d x \cdot x = x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma a-mul-d [simp]:  $ad x \cdot d x = 0$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-d-closed* [*simp*]: $d(ad x) = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-idem* [*simp*]: $ad x \cdot ad x = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *meet-ord*: $ad x \leq ad y \longleftrightarrow ad x \cdot ad y = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *d-wloc*: $x \cdot y = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \cdot d y = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gla-1*: $ad x \cdot y = 0 \implies ad x \leq ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a2-eq* [*simp*]: $ad(x \cdot d y) = ad(x \cdot y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-supdist*: $ad(x + y) \leq ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-antitone*: $x \leq y \implies ad y \leq ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-comm*: $ad x \cdot ad y = ad y \cdot ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-closed* [*simp*]: $d(ad x \cdot ad y) = ad x \cdot ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-exp* [*simp*]: $ad(ad x \cdot y) = d x + ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *d1-sum-var*: $x + y \leq (d x + d y) \cdot (x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a4*: $ad(x + y) = ad x \cdot ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kat-prop*: $d x \cdot y \leq y \cdot d z \longleftrightarrow d x \cdot y \cdot ad z = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *shunt*: $ad x \leq ad y + ad z \longleftrightarrow ad x \cdot d y \leq ad z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2.2 wp Calculus

definition if-then-else :: ' $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ ($\langle \text{if} - \text{then} - \text{else} - \text{fi} \rangle [64,64,64]$) 63)

where

$$\text{if } p \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = d p \cdot x + ad p \cdot y$$

definition while :: ' $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ ($\langle \text{while} - \text{do} - \text{od} \rangle [64,64]$) 63) **where**

$$\text{while } p \text{ do } x \text{ od} = (d p \cdot x)^* \cdot ad p$$

definition while-inv :: ' $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ ($\langle \text{while} - \text{inv} - \text{do} - \text{od} \rangle [64,64,64]$) 63)

where

$$\text{while } p \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od} = \text{while } p \text{ do } x \text{ od}$$

definition wp :: ' $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ **where**

$$wp x p = ad (x \cdot ad p)$$

lemma demod: $d p \leq wp x q \longleftrightarrow d p \cdot x \leq x \cdot d q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wp-weaken: $wp x p \leq wp (x \cdot ad q) (d p \cdot ad q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wp-seq [simp]: $wp (x \cdot y) q = wp x (wp y q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wp-seq-var: $p \leq wp x r \implies r \leq wp y q \implies p \leq wp (x \cdot y) q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wp-cond-var [simp]: $wp (\text{if } p \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}) q = (ad p + wp x q) \cdot (d p + wp y q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wp-cond-aux1 [simp]: $d p \cdot wp (\text{if } p \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}) q = d p \cdot wp x q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wp-cond-aux2 [simp]: $ad p \cdot wp (\text{if } p \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}) q = ad p \cdot wp y q$

lemma wp-cond [simp]: $wp (\text{if } p \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}) q = (d p \cdot wp x q) + (ad p \cdot wp y q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wp-star-induct-var: $d q \leq wp x q \implies d q \leq wp (x^*) q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wp-while: $d p \cdot d r \leq wp x p \implies d p \leq wp (\text{while } r \text{ do } x \text{ od}) (d p \cdot ad r)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma wp-while-inv: $d p \leq d i \implies d i \cdot ad r \leq d q \implies d i \cdot d r \leq wp x i \implies d p \leq wp (\text{while } r \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od}) q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wp-while-inv-break*: $d p \leq wp y i \implies d i \cdot ad r \leq d q \implies d i \cdot d r \leq wp x i \implies d p \leq wp (y \cdot (\text{while } r \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od})) q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.2.3 Soundness and Relation KAD

notation *relcomp* (infixl $\langle ; \rangle$ 70)

interpretation *rel-d*: *diodid Id* {} (\cup) (;) (\subseteq) (\subset)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *diodid*) *pow-inductl*: $z + x \cdot y \leq y \implies x \wedge i \cdot z \leq y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *diodid*) *pow-inductr*: $z + y \cdot x \leq y \implies z \cdot x \wedge i \leq y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *power-is-relpow*: *rel-d.power X i = X $\wedge\wedge^i$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-star-def*: $X^* = (\bigcup i. \text{rel-d.power } X i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-star-contl*: $X ; Y^* = (\bigcup i. X ; \text{rel-d.power } Y i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-star-contr*: $X^* ; Y = (\bigcup i. (\text{rel-d.power } X i) ; Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *rel-ad* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a rel* **where**
rel-ad R = {(x,x) | x. $\neg (\exists y. (x,y) \in R)}$

interpretation *rel-aka*: *antidomain-kleene-algebra Id* {} (\cup) (;) (\subseteq) (\subset) *rtrancl*
rel-ad
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2.4 Embedding Predicates in Relations

type-synonym '*a pred* = '*a* \Rightarrow *bool*

abbreviation *p2r* :: '*a pred* \Rightarrow '*a rel* ($\langle \lceil - \rceil \rangle$) **where**
 $\lceil P \rceil \equiv \{(s,s) \mid s. P s\}$

lemma *d-p2r [simp]*: *rel-aka.dom-op* $\lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *p2r-neg-hom* [simp]: rel-ad $\lceil P \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. \neg P s \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *p2r-conj-hom* [simp]: $\lceil P \rceil \cap \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. P s \wedge Q s \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *p2r-conj-hom-var* [simp]: $\lceil P \rceil ; \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. P s \wedge Q s \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *p2r-disj-hom* [simp]: $\lceil P \rceil \cup \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. P s \vee Q s \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2.5 Store and Assignment

type-synonym $'a store = string \Rightarrow 'a$

definition *gets* :: $string \Rightarrow ('a store \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a store rel (\langle - ::= \rightarrow [70, 65] 61)$
where
 $v ::= e = \{(s, s (v := e s)) | s. True\}$

lemma *wp-assign* [simp]: rel-aka.wp $(v ::= e) \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. Q (s (v := e s)) \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *spec-sugar* :: $'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow bool (\langle PRE - - POST \rightarrow [64, 64, 64] 63) where$
 $PRE P X POST Q \equiv rel-aka.dom-op \lceil P \rceil \subseteq rel-aka.wp X \lceil Q \rceil$

abbreviation *if-then-else-sugar* :: $'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel (\langle IF - THEN - ELSE - FI \rangle [64, 64, 64] 63) where$
 $IF P THEN X ELSE Y FI \equiv rel-aka.if-then-else \lceil P \rceil X Y$

abbreviation *while-inv-sugar* :: $'a pred \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel (\langle WHILE - INV - DO - OD \rangle [64, 64, 64] 63) where$
 $WHILE P INV I DO X OD \equiv rel-aka.while-inv \lceil P \rceil \lceil I \rceil X$

2.2.6 Verification Example

lemma *euclid*:

$PRE (\lambda s::nat store. s "x" = x \wedge s "y" = y)$
 $(WHILE (\lambda s. s "y" \neq 0) INV (\lambda s. gcd (s "x") (s "y")) (s "y") = gcd x y)$
 DO
 $("z" ::= (\lambda s. s "y"));$
 $("y" ::= (\lambda s. s "x" mod s "y"));$
 $("x" ::= (\lambda s. s "z"))$
 $OD)$
 $POST (\lambda s. s "x" = gcd x y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *antidomain-kleene-algebra*
begin

2.2.7 Propositional Hoare Logic

definition $H :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ where

$$H p x q \longleftrightarrow d p \leq wp x q$$

lemma $H\text{-skip}$: $H p 1 p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-cons}$: $d p \leq d p' \implies d q' \leq d q \implies H p' x q' \implies H p x q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-seq}$: $H p x r \implies H r y q \implies H p (x \cdot y) q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-cond}$: $H (d p \cdot d r) x q \implies H (d p \cdot ad r) y q \implies H p (\text{if } r \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}) q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-loop}$: $H (d p \cdot d r) x p \implies H p (\text{while } r \text{ do } x \text{ od}) (d p \cdot ad r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-while-inv}$: $d p \leq d i \implies d i \cdot ad r \leq d q \implies H (d i \cdot d r) x i \implies H p (\text{while } r \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od}) q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.2.8 Definition of Refinement KAD

class $rkad = \text{antidomain-kleene-algebra} +$
fixes $R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $R\text{-def}$: $x \leq R p q \longleftrightarrow d p \leq wp x q$

begin

2.2.9 Propositional Refinement Calculus

lemma HR : $H p x q \longleftrightarrow x \leq R p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $wp\text{-R1}$: $d p \leq wp (R p q) q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $wp\text{-R2}$: $x \leq R (wp x q) q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $wp\text{-R3}$: $d p \leq wp x q \implies x \leq R p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-R1}$: $H p (R p q) q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-}R2$: $H p x q \implies x \leq R p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-skip}$: $1 \leq R p p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-cons}$: $d p \leq d p' \implies d q' \leq d q \implies R p' q' \leq R p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-seq}$: $(R p r) \cdot (R r q) \leq R p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-cond}$: if v then $(R (d v \cdot d p) q)$ else $(R (ad v \cdot d p) q)$ fi $\leq R p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-loop}$: while q do $(R (d p \cdot d q) p)$ od $\leq R p (d p \cdot ad q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.2.10 Soundness and Relation RKAD

definition $rel\text{-}R :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel$ where
 $rel\text{-}R P Q = \bigcup \{X. rel\text{-}aka.dom\text{-}op P \subseteq rel\text{-}aka.wp X Q\}$

interpretation $rel\text{-rkad}$: $rkad Id \{\} (\cup) (;) (\subseteq) (\subset) rtranc rel\text{-ad} rel\text{-}R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2.11 Assignment Laws

lemma $R\text{-assign}$: $(\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q (s (v := e s))) \implies (v := e) \subseteq rel\text{-}R [P] [Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-assign-var}$: $(\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q (s (v := e s))) \implies rel\text{-aka.H} [P] (v := e)$
 $[Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-assignr}$: $(\forall s. Q' s \longrightarrow Q (s (v := e s))) \implies (rel\text{-}R [P] [Q']) ; (v := e) \subseteq rel\text{-}R [P] [Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-assignl}$: $(\forall s. P s \longrightarrow P' (s (v := e s))) \implies (v := e) ; (rel\text{-}R [P] [Q])$
 $\subseteq rel\text{-}R [P] [Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2.12 Refinement Example

lemma $var\text{-swap-ref1}$:

```

rel-R [λs. s "x" = a ∧ s "y" = b] [λs. s "x" = b ∧ s "y" = a]
      ⊇ ("z" ::= (λs. s "x")); rel-R [λs. s "z" = a ∧ s "y" = b] [λs. s "x" = b ∧ s
      "y" = a]
      ⟨proof⟩

lemma var-swap-ref2:
  rel-R [λs. s "z" = a ∧ s "y" = b] [λs. s "x" = b ∧ s "y" = a]
  ⊇ ("x" ::= (λs. s "y")); rel-R [λs. s "z" = a ∧ s "x" = b] [λs. s "x" = b ∧ s
  "y" = a]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma var-swap-ref3:
  rel-R [λs. s "z" = a ∧ s "x" = b] [λs. s "x" = b ∧ s "y" = a]
  ⊇ ("y" ::= (λs. s "z")); rel-R [λs. s "x" = b ∧ s "y" = a] [λs. s "x" = b ∧ s
  "y" = a]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma var-swap-ref-var:
  rel-R [λs. s "x" = a ∧ s "y" = b] [λs. s "x" = b ∧ s "y" = a]
  ⊇ ("z" ::= (λs. s "x")); ("x" ::= (λs. s "y")); ("y" ::= (λs. s "z"))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 Isomorphisms Between Predicates, Sets and Relations

```

theory P2S2R
imports Main

```

```
begin
```

```

notation relcomp (infixl <;> 70)
notation inf (infixl <⊓> 70)
notation sup (infixl <⊔> 65)
notation Id-on (<s2r>)
notation Domain (<r2s>)
notation Collect (<p2s>)

```

```

definition rel-n :: 'a rel ⇒ 'a rel where
  rel-n ≡ (λX. Id ∩ − X)

```

```

lemma subid-meet: R ⊆ Id ⇒ S ⊆ Id ⇒ R ∩ S = R ; S
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.1 Isomorphism Between Sets and Relations

```

lemma srs: r2s ∘ s2r = id

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rsr: R \subseteq Id \implies s2r(r2s R) = R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2r\text{-inj}: inj\ s2r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2s\text{-inj}: R \subseteq Id \implies S \subseteq Id \implies r2s R = r2s S \implies R = S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2r\text{-surj}: \forall R \subseteq Id. \exists A. R = s2r A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2s\text{-surj}: \forall A. \exists R \subseteq Id. A = r2s R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2r\text{-union-hom}: s2r(A \cup B) = s2r A \cup s2r B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2r\text{-inter-hom}: s2r(A \cap B) = s2r A \cap s2r B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2r\text{-inter-hom-var}: s2r(A \cap B) = s2r A ; s2r B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2r\text{-compl-hom}: s2r(-A) = rel-n(s2r A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2s\text{-union-hom}: r2s(R \cup S) = r2s R \cup r2s S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2s\text{-inter-hom}: R \subseteq Id \implies S \subseteq Id \implies r2s(R \cap S) = r2s R \cap r2s S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2s\text{-inter-hom-var}: R \subseteq Id \implies S \subseteq Id \implies r2s(R ; S) = r2s R \cap r2s S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2s\text{-ad-hom}: R \subseteq Id \implies r2s(rel-n R) = -r2s R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 Isomorphism Between Predicates and Sets

type-synonym $'a pred = 'a \Rightarrow bool$

definition $s2p :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a pred$ **where**
 $s2p S = (\lambda x. x \in S)$

lemma $sps [simp]: s2p \circ p2s = id$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma psp [*simp*]: $p2s \circ s2p = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2p\text{-bij}$: $bij\ s2p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2s\text{-bij}$: $bij\ p2s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2p\text{-compl-hom}$: $s2p\ (-\ A) = -\ (s2p\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2p\text{-inter-hom}$: $s2p\ (A \cap B) = (s2p\ A) \sqcap (s2p\ B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $s2p\text{-union-hom}$: $s2p\ (A \cup B) = (s2p\ A) \sqcup (s2p\ B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2s\text{-neg-hom}$: $p2s\ (-\ P) = -\ (p2s\ P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2s\text{-conj-hom}$: $p2s\ (P \sqcap Q) = p2s\ P \cap p2s\ Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2s\text{-disj-hom}$: $p2s\ (P \sqcup Q) = p2s\ P \cup p2s\ Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.3 Isomorphism Between Predicates and Relations

definition $p2r :: 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel$ **where**
 $p2r\ P = \{(s,s) | s. P\ s\}$

definition $r2p :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a pred$ **where**
 $r2p\ R = (\lambda x. x \in Domain\ R)$

lemma $p2r\text{-subid}$: $p2r\ P \subseteq Id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2s2r$: $p2r = s2r \circ p2s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2s2p$: $r2p = s2p \circ r2s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma prp [*simp*]: $r2p \circ p2r = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rpr: R \subseteq Id \implies p2r(r2p R) = R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2r\text{-inj}: inj\ p2r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2p\text{-inj}: R \subseteq Id \implies S \subseteq Id \implies r2p R = r2p S \implies R = S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2r\text{-surj}: \forall R \subseteq Id. \exists P. R = p2r P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2p\text{-surj}: \forall P. \exists R \subseteq Id. P = r2p R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2r\text{-neg-hom}: p2r(-P) = rel-n(p2r P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2r\text{-conj-hom}\ [simp]: p2r P \cap p2r Q = p2r(P \sqcap Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2r\text{-conj-hom-var}\ [simp]: p2r P ; p2r Q = p2r(P \sqcap Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2r\text{-id-neg}\ [simp]: Id \cap -p2r p = p2r(-p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $[simp]: p2r bot = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p2r\text{-disj-hom}\ [simp]: p2r P \cup p2r Q = p2r(P \sqcup Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2p\text{-ad-hom}: R \subseteq Id \implies r2p(rel-n R) = -(r2p R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2p\text{-inter-hom}: R \subseteq Id \implies S \subseteq Id \implies r2p(R \cap S) = (r2p R) \sqcap (r2p S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r2p\text{-inter-hom-var}: R \subseteq Id \implies S \subseteq Id \implies r2p(R ; S) = (r2p R) \sqcap (r2p S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rel\text{-to}\text{-pred}\text{-union-hom}: R \subseteq Id \implies S \subseteq Id \implies r2p(R \cup S) = (r2p R) \sqcup (r2p S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

4 Components Based on Kleene Algebra with Tests

4.1 Verification Component

This component supports the verification of simple while programs in a partial correctness setting.

```
theory VC-KAT
imports ..../P2S2R
  KAT-and-DRA.PHL-KAT
  KAT-and-DRA.KAT-Models
```

```
begin
```

This first part changes some of the facts from the AFP KAT theories. It should be added to KAT in the next AFP version. Currently these facts provide an interface between the KAT theories and the verification component.

```
no-notation if-then-else (<if - then - else - fi> [64,64,64] 63)
no-notation while (<while - do - od> [64,64] 63)
unbundle no floor-ceiling-syntax
```

```
notation relcomp (infixl <;> 70)
notation p2r (<[-]>)
```

```
context kat
```

```
begin
```

4.1.1 Definitions of Hoare Triple

```
definition H :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  H p x q ⟷ t p · x ≤ x · t q
```

```
lemma H-var1: H p x q ⟷ t p · x · n q = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma H-var2: H p x q ⟷ t p · x = t p · x · t q
  ⟨proof⟩
```

4.1.2 Syntax for Conditionals and Loops

```
definition ifthenelse :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (<if - then - else - fi> [64,64,64] 63)
  where
    if p then x else y fi = (t p · x + n p · y)
```

```
definition while :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (<while - do - od> [64,64] 63) where
  while b do x od = (t b · x)* · n b
```

```
definition while-inv :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (<while - inv - do - od> [64,64,64] 63)
  where
```

while p inv i do x od = while p do x od

4.1.3 Propositional Hoare Logic

lemma $H\text{-skip}$: $H p \ 1 \ p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-cons-1}$: $t \ p \leq t \ p' \implies H \ p' \ x \ q \implies H \ p \ x \ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-cons-2}$: $t \ q' \leq t \ q \implies H \ p \ x \ q' \implies H \ p \ x \ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-cons}$: $t \ p \leq t \ p' \implies t \ q' \leq t \ q \implies H \ p' \ x \ q' \implies H \ p \ x \ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-seq-swap}$: $H \ p \ x \ r \implies H \ r \ y \ q \implies H \ p \ (x \cdot y) \ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-seq}$: $H \ r \ y \ q \implies H \ p \ x \ r \implies H \ p \ (x \cdot y) \ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-exp1}$: $H \ (t \ p \cdot t \ r) \ x \ q \implies H \ p \ (t \ r \cdot x) \ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-exp2}$: $H \ p \ x \ q \implies H \ p \ (x \cdot t \ r) \ (t \ q \cdot t \ r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-cond-iff}$: $H \ p \ (\text{if } r \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \ \text{fi}) \ q \longleftrightarrow H \ (t \ p \cdot t \ r) \ x \ q \wedge H \ (t \ p \cdot n \ r) \ y \ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-cond}$: $H \ (t \ p \cdot t \ r) \ x \ q \implies H \ (t \ p \cdot n \ r) \ y \ q \implies H \ p \ (\text{if } r \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \ \text{fi}) \ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-loop}$: $H \ (t \ p \cdot t \ r) \ x \ p \implies H \ p \ (\text{while } r \text{ do } x \ \text{od}) \ (t \ p \cdot n \ r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-while-inv}$: $t \ p \leq t \ i \implies t \ i \cdot n \ r \leq t \ q \implies H \ (t \ i \cdot t \ r) \ x \ i \implies H \ p \ (\text{while } r \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \ \text{od}) \ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Finally we prove a frame rule.

lemma $H\text{-frame}$: $H \ p \ x \ p \implies H \ q \ x \ r \implies H \ (t \ p \cdot t \ q) \ x \ (t \ p \cdot t \ r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

4.1.4 Store and Assignment

The proper verification component starts here.

type-synonym $'a store = string \Rightarrow 'a$

lemma $t\text{-}p2r$ [simp]: $\text{rel-diodid-tests.t } \lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $impl\text{-}prop$ [simp]: $\lceil P \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Id\text{-}simp$ [simp]: $Id \cap (- Id \cup X) = Id \cap X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Id\text{-}p2r$ [simp]: $Id \cap \lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Id\text{-}p2r\text{-}simp$ [simp]: $Id \cap (- Id \cup \lceil P \rceil) = \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Next we derive the assignment command and assignment rules.

definition $gets :: string \Rightarrow ('a store \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a store rel (\cdot ::= \rightarrow [70, 65] 61)$
where

$v ::= e = \{(s, s (v := e s)) | s. True\}$

lemma $H\text{-}assign\text{-}prop$: $\lceil \lambda s. P (s (v := e s)) \rceil ; (v ::= e) = (v ::= e) ; \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-}assign$: $\text{rel-kat.H } \lceil \lambda s. P (s (v := e s)) \rceil (v ::= e) \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-}assign\text{-}var$: $(\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q (s (v := e s))) \Longrightarrow \text{rel-kat.H } \lceil P \rceil (v ::= e) \lceil Q \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-}assign\text{-}iff$ [simp]: $\text{rel-kat.H } \lceil P \rceil (v ::= e) \lceil Q \rceil \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q (s (v := e s)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $H\text{-}assign\text{-}floyd$: $\text{rel-kat.H } \lceil P \rceil (v ::= e) \lceil \lambda s. \exists w. s v = e (s(v := w)) \wedge P (s(v := w)) \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.1.5 Simplified Hoare Rules

lemma $sH\text{-}cons\text{-}1$: $\forall s. P s \longrightarrow P' s \Longrightarrow \text{rel-kat.H } \lceil P \rceil X \lceil Q \rceil \Longrightarrow \text{rel-kat.H } \lceil P \rceil X \lceil Q \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sH-cons-2*: $\forall s. Q' s \rightarrow Q s \Rightarrow \text{rel-kat.H} [P] X [Q'] \Rightarrow \text{rel-kat.H} [P] X [Q]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sH-cons*: $\forall s. P s \rightarrow P' s \Rightarrow \forall s. Q' s \rightarrow Q s \Rightarrow \text{rel-kat.H} [P] X [Q'] \Rightarrow \text{rel-kat.H} [P] X [Q]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sH-cond*: $\text{rel-kat.H} [P \sqcap T] X [Q] \Rightarrow \text{rel-kat.H} [P \sqcap - T] Y [Q] \Rightarrow \text{rel-kat.H} [P] (\text{rel-kat.ifthenelse} [T] X Y) [Q]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sH-cond-iff*: $\text{rel-kat.H} [P] (\text{rel-kat.ifthenelse} [T] X Y) [Q] \leftrightarrow (\text{rel-kat.H} [P \sqcap T] X [Q] \wedge \text{rel-kat.H} [P \sqcap - T] Y [Q])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sH-while-inv*: $\forall s. P s \rightarrow I s \Rightarrow \forall s. I s \wedge \neg R s \rightarrow Q s \Rightarrow \text{rel-kat.H} [I \sqcap R] X [I] \Rightarrow \text{rel-kat.H} [P] (\text{rel-kat.while-inv} [R] [I] X) [Q]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sH-H*: $\text{rel-kat.H} [P] X [Q] \leftrightarrow (\forall s s'. P s \rightarrow (s, s') \in X \rightarrow Q s')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Finally we provide additional syntax for specifications and commands.

abbreviation *H-sugar* :: $'a \text{ pred} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ pred} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ($\langle \text{PRE} \dots \text{POST} \rangle$
 $[64,64,64] 63$) **where**
 $\text{PRE } P \text{ } X \text{ } \text{POST } Q \equiv \text{rel-kat.H} [P] X [Q]$

abbreviation *if-then-else-sugar* :: $'a \text{ pred} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ ($\langle \text{IF} \dots \text{THEN} \dots \text{ELSE} \dots \text{FI} \rangle$
 $[64,64,64] 63$) **where**
 $\text{IF } P \text{ THEN } X \text{ ELSE } Y \text{ FI} \equiv \text{rel-kat.ifthenelse} [P] X Y$

abbreviation *while-sugar* :: $'a \text{ pred} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ ($\langle \text{WHILE} \dots \text{DO} \dots \text{OD} \rangle$
 $[64,64] 63$) **where**
 $\text{WHILE } P \text{ DO } X \text{ OD} \equiv \text{rel-kat.while} [P] X$

abbreviation *while-inv-sugar* :: $'a \text{ pred} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ ($\langle \text{WHILE} \dots \text{INV} \dots \text{DO} \dots \text{OD} \rangle$
 $[64,64,64] 63$) **where**
 $\text{WHILE } P \text{ INV } I \text{ DO } X \text{ OD} \equiv \text{rel-kat.while-inv} [P] [I] X$

lemma *H-cond-iff2[simp]*: $\text{PRE } p (\text{IF } r \text{ THEN } x \text{ ELSE } y \text{ FI}) \text{ POST } q \leftrightarrow (\text{PRE } (p \sqcap r) x \text{ POST } q) \wedge (\text{PRE } (p \sqcap - r) y \text{ POST } q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

4.1.6 Verification Examples

theory VC-KAT-Examples

imports VC-KAT

begin

lemma euclid:

PRE ($\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y$)
(WHILE ($\lambda s. s''y'' \neq 0$) *INV* ($\lambda s. \text{gcd}(s''x'') (s''y'') = \text{gcd } x \ y$)
DO
 $(''z'':= (\lambda s. s''y''));$
 $(''y'':= (\lambda s. s''x'' \text{ mod } s''y''));$
 $(''x'':= (\lambda s. s''z''))$
OD)
POST ($\lambda s. s''x'' = \text{gcd } x \ y$)
{proof}

lemma maximum:

PRE ($\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. \text{True}$)
(IF ($\lambda s. s''x'' \geq s''y''$)
THEN ($''z'':= (\lambda s. s''x'')$)
ELSE ($''z'':= (\lambda s. s''y'')$)
FI)
POST ($\lambda s. s''z'' = \max(s''x'') (s''y'')$)
{proof}

lemma integer-division:

PRE ($\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. s''x'' \geq 0$)
 $(''q'':= (\lambda s. 0));$
 $(''r'':= (\lambda s. s''x''));$
(WHILE ($\lambda s. s''y'' \leq s''r''$) *INV* ($\lambda s. s''x'' = s''q'' * s''y'' + s''r'' \wedge s''r'' \geq 0$)
DO
 $(''q'':= (\lambda s. s''q'' + 1));$
 $(''r'':= (\lambda s. s''r'' - s''y''))$
OD)
POST ($\lambda s. s''x'' = s''q'' * s''y'' + s''r'' \wedge s''r'' \geq 0 \wedge s''r'' < s''y''$)
{proof}

lemma imp-reverse:

PRE ($\lambda s :: \text{'a list store}. s''x'' = X$)
 $(''y'':= (\lambda s. []));$
(WHILE ($\lambda s. s''x'' \neq []$) *INV* ($\lambda s. \text{rev}(s''x'') @ s''y'' = \text{rev } X$)
DO
 $(''y'':= (\lambda s. \text{hd}(s''x'') \# s''y''));$
 $(''x'':= (\lambda s. \text{tl}(s''x'')))$
OD)
POST ($\lambda s. s''y'' = \text{rev } X$)
{proof}

end

4.1.7 Verification Examples with Automated VCG

```
theory VC-KAT-Examples2
  imports VC-KAT HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin
```

The following simple tactic for verification condition generation has been implemented with the Eisbach proof methods language.

named-theorems *hl-intro*

```
declare sH-while-inv [hl-intro]
  rel-kat.H-seq [hl-intro]
  H-assign-var [hl-intro]
  rel-kat.H-cond [hl-intro]
```

method *hoare* = (*rule* *hl-intro*; *hoare?*)

lemma *euclid*:

```
PRE ( $\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y$ )
(WHILE ( $\lambda s. s''y'' \neq 0$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. \text{gcd}(s''x'') (s''y'') = \text{gcd } x \ y$ )
DO
  ( $s''z'' := (\lambda s. s''y'')$ );
  ( $s''y'' := (\lambda s. s''x'' \text{ mod } s''y'')$ );
  ( $s''x'' := (\lambda s. s''z'')$ )
OD)
POST ( $\lambda s. s''x'' = \text{gcd } x \ y$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *integer-division*:

```
PRE ( $\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. s''x'' \geq 0$ )
( $s''q'' := (\lambda s. 0)$ );
( $s''r'' := (\lambda s. s''x'')$ );
(WHILE ( $\lambda s. s''y'' \leq s''r''$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. s''x'' = s''q'' * s''y'' + s''r'' \wedge s''r'' \geq 0$ )
DO
  ( $s''q'' := (\lambda s. s''q'' + 1)$ );
  ( $s''r'' := (\lambda s. s''r'' - s''y'')$ )
OD)
POST ( $\lambda s. s''x'' = s''q'' * s''y'' + s''r'' \wedge s''r'' \geq 0 \wedge s''r'' < s''y''$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *imp-reverse*:

```
PRE ( $\lambda s :: \text{'a list store}. s''x'' = X$ )
( $s''y'' := (\lambda s. [])$ );
(WHILE ( $\lambda s. s''x'' \neq []$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. \text{rev}(s''x'') @ s''y'' = \text{rev } X$ )
DO
  ( $s''y'' := (\lambda s. \text{hd}(s''x'') \# s''y'')$ );
```

```

("x'':= (λs. tl (s "x'"))
OD)
POST (λs. s "y"= rev X )
⟨proof⟩

```

end

4.2 Refinement Component

```

theory RKAT
  imports AVC-KAT/VC-KAT

```

begin

4.2.1 RKAT: Definition and Basic Properties

A refinement KAT is a KAT expanded by Morgan's specification statement.

```

class rkat = kat +
  fixes R :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  assumes spec-def: x ≤ R p q ⟷ H p x q

```

begin

```

lemma R1: H p (R p q) q
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma R2: H p x q ⟹ x ≤ R p q
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.2.2 Propositional Refinement Calculus

```

lemma R-skip: 1 ≤ R p p
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma R-cons: t p ≤ t p' ⟹ t q' ≤ t q ⟹ R p' q' ≤ R p q
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma R-seq: (R p r) · (R r q) ≤ R p q
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma R-cond: if v then (R (t v · t p) q) else (R (n v · t p) q) fi ≤ R p q
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma R-loop: while q do (R (t p · t q) p) od ≤ R p (t p · n q)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma R-zero-one: x ≤ R 0 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *R-one-zero*: $R \ 1 \ 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

4.2.3 Models of Refinement KAT

theory *RKAT-Models*
imports *RKAT*

begin

So far only the relational model is developed.

definition *rel-R* :: $'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel$ **where**
 $rel-R \ P \ Q = \bigcup \{X. \ rel-kat.H \ P \ X \ Q\}$

interpretation *rel-rkat*: *rkat* (\cup) (\cdot) *Id* {} (\subseteq) (\subset) *rtranc* ($\lambda X. \ Id \cap - \ X$) *rel-R*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *VC-RKAT*
imports ../*RKAT-Models*

begin

This component supports the step-wise refinement of simple while programs in a partial correctness setting.

4.2.4 Assignment Laws

The store model is taken from KAT

lemma *R-assign*: $(\forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow Q \ (s \ (v := e \ s))) \implies (v ::= e) \subseteq rel-R \ [P] \ [Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *R-assignr*: $(\forall s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q \ (s \ (v := e \ s))) \implies (rel-R \ [P] \ [Q']) ; (v ::= e) \subseteq rel-R \ [P] \ [Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *R-assignl*: $(\forall s. \ P \ s \longrightarrow P' \ (s \ (v := e \ s))) \implies (v ::= e) ; (rel-R \ [P'] \ [Q]) \subseteq rel-R \ [P] \ [Q]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.2.5 Simplified Refinement Laws

```

lemma R-cons:  $(\forall s. P s \rightarrow P' s) \Rightarrow (\forall s. Q' s \rightarrow Q s) \Rightarrow \text{rel-R } [P] \sqsubseteq [Q]$ 
 $\subseteq \text{rel-R } [P] \sqsubseteq [Q]$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma if-then-else-ref:  $X \subseteq X' \Rightarrow Y \subseteq Y' \Rightarrow \text{IF } P \text{ THEN } X \text{ ELSE } Y \text{ FI} \subseteq$ 
 $\text{IF } P \text{ THEN } X' \text{ ELSE } Y' \text{ FI}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma while-ref:  $X \subseteq X' \Rightarrow \text{WHILE } P \text{ DO } X \text{ OD} \subseteq \text{WHILE } P \text{ DO } X' \text{ OD}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

4.2.6 Refinement Examples

```

theory VC-RKAT-Examples
  imports VC-RKAT
  begin

```

Currently there is only one example, and no tactic for automating refinement proofs is provided.

```

lemma var-swap-ref1:
   $\text{rel-R } [\lambda s. s''x'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b] \sqsubseteq [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$ 
   $\supseteq (s''z'':= (\lambda s. s''x'')); \text{rel-R } [\lambda s. s''z'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b] \sqsubseteq [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma var-swap-ref2:
   $\text{rel-R } [\lambda s. s''z'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b] \sqsubseteq [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$ 
   $\supseteq (s''x'':= (\lambda s. s''y'')); \text{rel-R } [\lambda s. s''z'' = a \wedge s''x'' = b] \sqsubseteq [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma var-swap-ref3:
   $\text{rel-R } [\lambda s. s''z'' = a \wedge s''x'' = b] \sqsubseteq [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$ 
   $\supseteq (s''y'':= (\lambda s. s''z'')); \text{rel-R } [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a] \sqsubseteq [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma var-swap-ref-var:
   $\text{rel-R } [\lambda s. s''x'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b] \sqsubseteq [\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a]$ 
   $\supseteq (s''z'':= (\lambda s. s''x'')); (s''x'':= (\lambda s. s''y'')); (s''y'':= (\lambda s. s''z''))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

5 Components Based on Kleene Algebra with Domain

```
theory VC-KAD
imports KAD.Modal-Kleene-Algebra-Models ..//P2S2R
begin
```

5.1 Verification Component for Backward Reasoning

This component supports the verification of simple while programs in a partial correctness setting.

```
unbundle no floor-ceiling-syntax
```

```
notation p2r (<[ - ]>)
notation r2p (<[ - ]>)

context antidomain-kleene-algebra
begin
```

5.1.1 Additional Facts for KAD

```
lemma fbox-shunt: d p · d q ≤ |x| t ↔ d p ≤ ad q + |x| t
⟨proof⟩
```

5.1.2 Syntax for Conditionals and Loops

```
definition cond :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (<if - then - else - fi> [64,64,64] 63) where
  if p then x else y fi = d p · x + ad p · y

definition while :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (<while - do - od> [64,64] 63) where
  while p do x od = (d p · x)* · ad p

definition whilei :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (<while - inv - do - od> [64,64,64] 63) where
  while p inv i do x od = while p do x od
```

5.1.3 Basic Weakest (Liberal) Precondition Calculus

In the setting of Kleene algebra with domain, the wlp operator is the forward modal box operator of antidomain Kleene algebra.

```
lemma fbox-export1: ad p + |x| q = |d p · x| q
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fbox-export2: |x| p ≤ |x · ad q| (d p · ad q)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *fbox-export3*: $|x \cdot ad p| q = |x| (d p + d q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-seq* [simp]: $|x \cdot y| q = |x| |y| q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-seq-var*: $p' \leq |y| q \implies p \leq |x| p' \implies p \leq |x \cdot y| q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-cond-var* [simp]: $|if p then x else y fi| q = (ad p + |x| q) \cdot (d p + |y| q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-cond-aux1* [simp]: $d p \cdot |if p then x else y fi| q = d p \cdot |x| q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-cond-aux2* [simp]: $ad p \cdot |if p then x else y fi| q = ad p \cdot |y| q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-cond* [simp]: $|if p then x else y fi| q = (d p \cdot |x| q) + (ad p \cdot |y| q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-cond-var2* [simp]: $|if p then x else y fi| q = if p then |x| q else |y| q fi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-while-unfold*: $|while t do x od| p = (d t + d p) \cdot (ad t + |x| |while t do x od| p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-while-var1*: $d t \cdot |while t do x od| p = d t \cdot |x| |while t do x od| p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-while-var2*: $ad t \cdot |while t do x od| p \leq d p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-while*: $d p \cdot d t \leq |x| p \implies d p \leq |while t do x od| (d p \cdot ad t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-while-var*: $d p = |d t \cdot x| p \implies d p \leq |while t do x od| (d p \cdot ad t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-whilei*: $d p \leq d i \implies d i \cdot ad t \leq d q \implies d i \cdot d t \leq |x| i \implies d p \leq |while t inv i do x od| q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The next rule is used for dealing with while loops after a series of sequential steps.

lemma *fbox-whilei-break*: $d p \leq |y| i \implies d i \cdot ad t \leq d q \implies d i \cdot d t \leq |x| i \implies d p \leq |y \cdot (while t inv i do x od)| q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Finally we derive a frame rule.

lemma *fbox-frame*: $d p \cdot x \leq x \cdot d p \implies d q \leq |x| t \implies d p \cdot d q \leq |x| (d p \cdot d t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-frame-var*: $d p \leq |x| p \implies d q \leq |x| t \implies d p \cdot d q \leq |x| (d p \cdot d t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

5.1.4 Store and Assignment

type-synonym $'a store = string \Rightarrow 'a$

notation *rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox* ($\langle wp \rangle$)
and *rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fdia* ($\langle relfdia \rangle$)

definition *gets* :: $string \Rightarrow ('a store \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a store rel (\langle - ::= - \rangle [70, 65] 61)$
where

$$v ::= e = \{(s, s (v := e s)) \mid s. \text{True}\}$$

lemma *assign-prop*: $\lceil \lambda s. P (s (v := e s)) \rceil ; (v ::= e) = (v ::= e) ; \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wp-assign* [*simp*]: $wp (v ::= e) \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \lambda s. Q (s (v := e s)) \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wp-assign-var* [*simp*]: $\lceil wp (v ::= e) \lceil Q \rceil \rceil = (\lambda s. Q (s (v := e s)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wp-assign-det*: $wp (v ::= e) \lceil Q \rceil = relfdia (v ::= e) \lceil Q \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.1.5 Simplifications

notation *rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.ads-d* ($\langle rdom \rangle$)

abbreviation *spec-sugar* :: $'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow bool (\langle PRE - - POST \rangle [64, 64, 64] 63)$ **where**
 $PRE P X POST Q \equiv rdom \lceil P \rceil \subseteq wp X \lceil Q \rceil$

abbreviation *cond-sugar* :: $'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel (\langle IF - THEN - ELSE - FI \rangle [64, 64, 64] 63)$ **where**
 $IF P THEN X ELSE Y FI \equiv rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.cond \lceil P \rceil X Y$

abbreviation *whilei-sugar* :: $'a pred \Rightarrow 'a pred \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel (\langle WHILE - INV - DO - OD \rangle [64, 64, 64] 63)$ **where**
 $WHILE P INV I DO X OD \equiv rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.whilei \lceil P \rceil \lceil I \rceil X$

lemma *d-p2r* [*simp*]: $\text{rdom } \lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p2r-conj-hom-var-symm* [*simp*]: $\lceil P \rceil ; \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil P \sqcap Q \rceil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p2r-neg-hom* [*simp*]: *rel-ad* $\lceil P \rceil = \lceil \neg P \rceil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wp-trafo*: $\lfloor \text{wp } X \lceil Q \rceil \rfloor = (\lambda s. \forall s'. (s, s') \in X \longrightarrow Q s')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wp-trafo-var*: $\lfloor \text{wp } X \lceil Q \rceil \rfloor s = (\forall s'. (s, s') \in X \longrightarrow Q s')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wp-simp*: $\text{rdom } \lceil \lfloor \text{wp } X \lceil Q \rceil \rfloor \rceil = \text{wp } X Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wp-simp-var* [*simp*]: $\text{wp } \lceil P \rceil \lceil Q \rceil = \lceil \neg P \sqcup Q \rceil$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *impl-prop* [*simp*]: $\lceil P \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p2r-eq-prop* [*simp*]: $\lceil P \rceil = \lceil Q \rceil \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. P s \longleftrightarrow Q s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *impl-prop-var* [*simp*]: $\text{rdom } \lceil P \rceil \subseteq \text{rdom } \lceil Q \rceil \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. P s \longrightarrow Q s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p2r-eq-prop-var* [*simp*]: $\text{rdom } \lceil P \rceil = \text{rdom } \lceil Q \rceil \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. P s \longleftrightarrow Q s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wp-whilei*: $(\forall s. P s \longrightarrow I s) \implies (\forall s. (I \sqcap \neg T) s \longrightarrow Q s) \implies (\forall s. (I \sqcap T) s \longrightarrow \lfloor \text{wp } X \lceil I \rceil \rfloor s)$
 $\implies (\forall s. P s \longrightarrow \lfloor \text{wp } (\text{WHILE } T \text{ INV } I \text{ DO } X \text{ OD}) \lceil Q \rceil \rfloor s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5.1.6 Verification Examples

theory *VC-KAD-Examples*
imports *VC-KAD*

begin

lemma *euclid*:

$\text{PRE } (\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y)$
 $(\text{ WHILE } (\lambda s. s''y'' \neq 0) \text{ INV } (\lambda s. \text{gcd } (s''x'') (s''y'') = \text{gcd } x y)$
 $\quad \text{DO}$
 $\quad (''z'' ::= (\lambda s. s''y''));$
 $\quad (''y'' ::= (\lambda s. s''x'' \text{ mod } s''y''));$
 $\quad (''x'' ::= (\lambda s. s''z''))$
 $\quad \text{OD})$
 $\text{POST } (\lambda s. s''x'' = \text{gcd } x y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma euclid-diff:

$\text{PRE } (\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0)$
 $(\text{ WHILE } (\lambda s. s''x'' \neq s''y'') \text{ INV } (\lambda s. \text{gcd } (s''x'') (s''y'') = \text{gcd } x y)$
 $\quad \text{DO}$
 $\quad (\text{IF } (\lambda s. s''x'' > s''y'')$
 $\quad \quad \text{THEN } (''x'' ::= (\lambda s. s''x'' - s''y''))$
 $\quad \quad \text{ELSE } (''y'' ::= (\lambda s. s''y'' - s''x''))$
 $\quad \quad \text{FI})$
 $\quad \text{OD})$
 $\text{POST } (\lambda s. s''x'' = \text{gcd } x y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma variable-swap:

$\text{PRE } (\lambda s. s''x'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b)$
 $\quad (''z'' ::= (\lambda s. s''x''));$
 $\quad (''x'' ::= (\lambda s. s''y''));$
 $\quad (''y'' ::= (\lambda s. s''z''))$
 $\text{POST } (\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma maximum:

$\text{PRE } (\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. \text{True})$
 $(\text{IF } (\lambda s. s''x'' \geq s''y'')$
 $\quad \text{THEN } (''z'' ::= (\lambda s. s''x''))$
 $\quad \text{ELSE } (''z'' ::= (\lambda s. s''y''))$
 $\quad \text{FI})$
 $\text{POST } (\lambda s. s''z'' = \max (s''x'') (s''y''))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma integer-division:

$\text{PRE } (\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. x \geq 0)$
 $\quad (''q'' ::= (\lambda s. 0));$
 $\quad (''r'' ::= (\lambda s. x));$
 $\text{ (WHILE } (\lambda s. y \leq s''r'') \text{ INV } (\lambda s. x = s''q'' * y + s''r'' \wedge s''r'' \geq 0)$
 $\quad \text{DO}$
 $\quad \quad (''q'' ::= (\lambda s. s''q'' + 1));$
 $\quad \quad (''r'' ::= (\lambda s. s''r'' - y))$
 $\quad \text{OD})$
 $\text{POST } (\lambda s. x = s''q'' * y + s''r'' \wedge s''r'' \geq 0 \wedge s''r'' < y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma factorial:

PRE ($\lambda s :: nat\ store.\ True$)
 $(''x'' ::= (\lambda s.\ 0));$
 $(''y'' ::= (\lambda s.\ 1));$
 $(WHILE\ (\lambda s.\ s\ ''x'' \neq x0)\ INV\ (\lambda s.\ s\ ''y'' = fact\ (s\ ''x''))$
DO
 $(''x'' ::= (\lambda s.\ s\ ''x'' + 1));$
 $(''y'' ::= (\lambda s.\ s\ ''y'' \cdot s\ ''x''))$
OD
POST ($\lambda s.\ s\ ''y'' = fact\ x0$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma my-power:

PRE ($\lambda s :: nat\ store.\ True$)
 $(''i'' ::= (\lambda s.\ 0));$
 $(''y'' ::= (\lambda s.\ 1));$
 $(WHILE\ (\lambda s.\ s\ ''i'' < n)\ INV\ (\lambda s.\ s\ ''y'' = x \wedge (s\ ''i'') \wedge s\ ''i'' \leq n)$
DO
 $(''y'' ::= (\lambda s.\ (s\ ''y'') * x));$
 $(''i'' ::= (\lambda s.\ s\ ''i'' + 1))$
OD
POST ($\lambda s.\ s\ ''y'' = x \wedge n$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma imp-reverse:

PRE ($\lambda s :: 'a\ list\ store.\ s\ ''x'' = X$)
 $(''y'' ::= (\lambda s.\ []));$
 $(WHILE\ (\lambda s.\ s\ ''x'' \neq [])\ INV\ (\lambda s.\ rev\ (s\ ''x'') @ s\ ''y'' = rev\ X)$
DO
 $(''y'' ::= (\lambda s.\ hd\ (s\ ''x'') \# s\ ''y''));$
 $(''x'' ::= (\lambda s.\ tl\ (s\ ''x'')))$
OD
POST ($\lambda s.\ s\ ''y'' = rev\ X$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

5.1.7 Verification Examples with Automated VCG

```
theory VC-KAD-Examples2
imports VC-KAD HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin
```

We have provide a simple tactic in the Eisbach proof method language. Additional simplification steps are sometimes needed to bring the resulting verification conditions into shape for first-order automated theorem proving.

named-theorems ht

```

declare rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-whilei [ht]
  rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox-seq-var [ht]
  subset-refl[ht]

method hoare = (rule ht; hoare?)

lemma euclid2:
  PRE ( $\lambda s::nat\ store. s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y$ )
  (WHILE ( $\lambda s. s''y'' \neq 0$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. gcd(s''x'') (s''y'') = gcd x y$ )
    DO
      ("z'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s''y''$ ));
      ("y'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s''x'' mod s''y''$ ));
      ("x'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s''z''$ ))
    OD)
  POST ( $\lambda s. s''x'' = gcd x y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma euclid-diff2:
  PRE ( $\lambda s::nat\ store. s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0$ )
  (WHILE ( $\lambda s. s''x'' \neq s''y''$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. gcd(s''x'') (s''y'') = gcd x y$ )
    DO
      (IF ( $\lambda s. s''x'' > s''y''$ )
        THEN ("x'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s''x'' - s''y''$ ))
        ELSE ("y'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s''y'' - s''x''$ ))
        FI)
    OD)
  POST ( $\lambda s. s''x'' = gcd x y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma integer-division2:
  PRE ( $\lambda s::nat\ store. x \geq 0$ )
  ("q'' ::= ( $\lambda s. 0$ ));
  ("r'' ::= ( $\lambda s. x$ ));
  (WHILE ( $\lambda s. y \leq s''r''$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. x = s''q'' * y + s''r'' \wedge s''r'' \geq 0$ )
    DO
      ("q'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s''q'' + 1$ ));
      ("r'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s''r'' - y$ ))
    OD)
  POST ( $\lambda s. x = s''q'' * y + s''r'' \wedge s''r'' \geq 0 \wedge s''r'' < y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma factorial2:
  PRE ( $\lambda s::nat\ store. True$ )
  ("x'' ::= ( $\lambda s. 0$ ));
  ("y'' ::= ( $\lambda s. 1$ ));
  (WHILE ( $\lambda s. s''x'' \neq x0$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. s''y'' = fact(s''x'')$ )
    DO
      ("x'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s''x'' + 1$ ));

```

```

("y'':= (\lambda s. s ''y'' * s ''x''))  

OD)  

POST (λs. s ''y'' = fact x0)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma my-power2:  

PRE (λs::nat store. True)  

(''i'':= (λs. 0));  

(''y'':= (λs. 1));  

(WHILE (λs. s ''i'' < n) INV (λs. s ''y'' = x ∧ (s ''i'') ∧ s ''i'' ≤ n)  

DO  

  (''y'':= (λs. (s ''y'') * x));  

  (''i'':= (λs. s ''i'' + 1))  

OD)  

POST (λs. s ''y'' = x ∧ n)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma imp-reverse2:  

PRE (λs:: 'a list store. s ''x'' = X)  

(''y'':= (λs. []));  

(WHILE (λs. s ''x'' ≠ []) INV (λs. rev (s ''x'') @ s ''y'' = rev X)  

DO  

  (''y'':= (λs. hd (s ''x'') # s ''y''));  

  (''x'':= (λs. tl (s ''x''))) )  

OD)  

POST (λs. s ''y'' = rev X )  

⟨proof⟩

end

```

5.2 Verification Component for Forward Reasoning

```

theory VC-KAD-dual
  imports VC-KAD
begin

```

```

context modal-kleene-algebra
begin

```

This component supports the verification of simple while programs in a partial correctness setting.

5.2.1 Basic Strongest Postcondition Calculus

In modal Kleene algebra, strongest postconditions are backward diamond operators. These are linked with forward boxes aka weakest preconditions by a Galois connection. This duality has been implemented in the AFP entry for Kleene algebra with domain and is picked up automatically in the following proofs.

```

lemma r-ad [simp]:  $r \ (ad \ p) = ad \ p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bdia-export1:  $\langle x | (r \ p \cdot r \ t) = \langle r \ t \cdot x | \ p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bdia-export2:  $r \ p \cdot \langle x | \ q = \langle x \cdot r \ p | \ q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bdia-seq [simp]:  $\langle x \cdot y | \ q = \langle y | \ \langle x | \ q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bdia-seq-var:  $\langle x | \ p \leq p' \implies \langle y | \ p' \leq q \implies \langle x \cdot y | \ p \leq q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bdia-cond-var [simp]:  $\langle \text{if } p \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} | \ q = \langle x | (d \ p \cdot r \ q) + \langle y | (ad \ p \cdot r \ q)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bdia-while:  $\langle x | (d \ t \cdot r \ p) \leq r \ p \implies \langle \text{while } t \text{ do } x \text{ od} | \ p \leq r \ p \cdot ad \ t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bdia-whilei:  $r \ p \leq r \ i \implies r \ i \cdot ad \ t \leq r \ q \implies \langle x | (d \ t \cdot r \ i) \leq r \ i \implies$ 
 $\langle \text{while } t \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od} | \ p \leq r \ q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bdia-whilei-break:  $\langle y | \ p \leq r \ i \implies r \ i \cdot ad \ t \leq r \ q \implies \langle x | (d \ t \cdot r \ i) \leq r \ i$ 
 $\implies \langle y \cdot (\text{while } t \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od}) | \ p \leq r \ q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

5.2.2 Floyd's Assignment Rule

```

lemma bdia-assign [simp]: rel-antirange-kleene-algebra.bdia ( $v ::= e$ )  $\lceil P \rceil = \lceil \lambda s.$ 
 $\exists w. \ s \ v = e \ (s(v := w)) \wedge P \ (s(v:=w)) \rceil$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma d-p2r [simp]: rel-antirange-kleene-algebra.ars-r  $\lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation fspec-sugar :: 'a pred  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a pred  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle FPRE \dashv POST$ 
 $\dashv [64,64,64] \ 63 \rangle$  where
 $FPRE \ P \ X \ POST \ Q \equiv \text{rel-antirange-kleene-algebra.bdia } X \lceil P \rceil \subseteq \text{rel-antirange-kleene-algebra.ars-r}$ 
 $\lceil Q \rceil$ 

end

```

5.2.3 Verification Examples

theory VC-KAD-dual-Examples
imports VC-KAD-dual

begin

The proofs are essentially the same as with forward boxes.

lemma euclid:

FPRE ($\lambda s::nat\ store. s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y$)
($\text{WHILE } (\lambda s. s''y'' \neq 0) \text{ INV } (\lambda s. \text{gcd } (s''x'') (s''y'') = \text{gcd } x\ y)$
DO
 $(''z'' ::= (\lambda s. s''y''));$
 $(''y'' ::= (\lambda s. s''x'' \text{ mod } s''y''));$
 $(''x'' ::= (\lambda s. s''z''))$
OD)
POST ($\lambda s. s''x'' = \text{gcd } x\ y$)
{proof}

lemma euclid-diff:

FPRE ($\lambda s::nat\ store. s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0$)
($\text{WHILE } (\lambda s. s''x'' \neq s''y'') \text{ INV } (\lambda s. \text{gcd } (s''x'') (s''y'') = \text{gcd } x\ y)$
DO
 $(\text{IF } (\lambda s. s''x'' > s''y'') \text{ THEN } (''x'' ::= (\lambda s. s''x'' - s''y''))$
 $\text{ELSE } (''y'' ::= (\lambda s. s''y'' - s''x''))$
FI)
OD)
POST ($\lambda s. s''x'' = \text{gcd } x\ y$)
{proof}

lemma variable-swap:

FPRE ($\lambda s. s''x'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b$)
 $(''z'' ::= (\lambda s. s''x''));$
 $(''x'' ::= (\lambda s. s''y''));$
 $(''y'' ::= (\lambda s. s''z''))$
POST ($\lambda s. s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a$)
{proof}

lemma maximum:

FPRE ($\lambda s::nat\ store. \text{True}$)
 $(\text{IF } (\lambda s. s''x'' \geq s''y'') \text{ THEN } (''z'' ::= (\lambda s. s''x''))$
 $\text{ELSE } (''z'' ::= (\lambda s. s''y''))$
FI)
POST ($\lambda s. s''z'' = \max (s''x'') (s''y'')$)
{proof}

lemma integer-division:

FPRE ($\lambda s::nat\ store. x \geq 0$)

```

("q'':= (\lambda s. 0));
("r'':= (\lambda s. x));
(WHILE (\lambda s. y ≤ s 'r'') INV (\lambda s. x = s ''q'' * y + s ''r'' ∧ s ''r'' ≥ 0)
DO
  ("q'':= (\lambda s. s ''q'' + 1));
  ("r'':= (\lambda s. s ''r'' - y))
OD)
POST (\lambda s. x = s ''q'' * y + s ''r'' ∧ s ''r'' ≥ 0 ∧ s ''r'' < y)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma factorial:

```

FPRE (λs::nat store. True)
("x'':= (\lambda s. 0));
("y'':= (\lambda s. 1));
(WHILE (\lambda s. s ''x'' ≠ x0) INV (\lambda s. s ''y'' = fact (s ''x''))
DO
  ("x'':= (\lambda s. s ''x'' + 1));
  ("y'':= (\lambda s. s ''y'' · s ''x''))
OD)
POST (\lambda s. s ''y'' = fact x0)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma my-power:

```

FPRE (λs::nat store. True)
("i'':= (\lambda s. 0));
("y'':= (\lambda s. 1));
(WHILE (\lambda s. s ''i'' < n) INV (\lambda s. s ''y'' = x ^ (s ''i'') ∧ s ''i'' ≤ n)
DO
  ("y'':= (\lambda s. (s ''y'') * x));
  ("i'':= (\lambda s. s ''i'' + 1))
OD)
POST (\lambda s. s ''y'' = x ^ n)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma imp-reverse:

```

FPRE (λs:: 'a list store. s ''x'' = X)
("y'':= (\lambda s. []));
(WHILE (\lambda s. s ''x'' ≠ []) INV (\lambda s. rev (s ''x'') @ s ''y'' = rev X)
DO
  ("y'':= (\lambda s. hd (s ''x'') # s ''y''));
  ("x'':= (\lambda s. tl (s ''x'')))
OD)
POST (\lambda s. s ''y'' = rev X )
⟨proof⟩

```

end

5.3 Verification Component for Total Correctness

theory *VC-KAD-wf*

imports *VC-KAD KAD.Modal-Kleene-Algebra-Applications*

begin

This component supports the verification of simple while programs in a total correctness setting.

5.3.1 Relation Divergence Kleene Algebras

Divergence Kleene algebras have been formalised in the AFP entry for Kleene algebra with domain. The nabla or divergence operation models those states of a relation from which infinitely ascending chains may start.

definition *rel-nabla* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a rel* **where**
 $\text{rel-nabla } X = \bigcup \{P. P \subseteq \text{reldia } X P\}$

definition *rel-nabla-bin* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a rel* **where**
 $\text{rel-nabla-bin } X Q = \bigcup \{P. P \subseteq \text{reldia } X P \cup \text{rdom } Q\}$

lemma *rel-nabla-d-closed* [*simp*]: $\text{rdom} (\text{rel-nabla } x) = \text{rel-nabla } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-nabla-bin-d-closed* [*simp*]: $\text{rdom} (\text{rel-nabla-bin } x q) = \text{rel-nabla-bin } x q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-nabla-unfold*: $\text{rel-nabla } X \subseteq \text{reldia } X (\text{rel-nabla } X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-nabla-bin-unfold*: $\text{rel-nabla-bin } X Q \subseteq \text{reldia } X (\text{rel-nabla-bin } X Q) \cup \text{rdom } Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-nabla-coinduct-var*: $P \subseteq \text{reldia } X P \implies P \subseteq \text{rel-nabla } X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-nabla-bin-coinduct*: $P \subseteq \text{reldia } X P \cup \text{rdom } Q \implies P \subseteq \text{rel-nabla-bin } X Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The two fusion lemmas are, in fact, hard-coded fixpoint fusion proofs. They might be replaced by more generic fusion proofs eventually.

lemma *nabla-fusion1*: $\text{rel-nabla } X \cup \text{reldia } (X^*) Q \subseteq \text{rel-nabla-bin } X Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-ad-inter-seq*: $\text{rel-ad } X \cap \text{rel-ad } Y = \text{rel-ad } X ; \text{rel-ad } Y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fusion2-aux2*: $rdom (\text{rel-nabla-bin } X Q) \subseteq rdom (\text{rel-nabla-bin } X Q \cap \text{rel-ad} (\text{reldia } (X^*) Q) \cup \text{reldia } (X^*) Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-fusion2*: $\text{rel-nabla-bin } X Q \subseteq \text{rel-nabla } X \cup \text{reldia } (X^*) Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-nabla-coinduct*: $P \subseteq \text{reldia } X P \cup rdom Q \implies P \subseteq \text{rel-nabla } X \cup \text{reldia } (\text{rtrancl } X) Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *rel-fdivka*: *fdivergence-kleene-algebra* $\text{rel-ad} (\cup) (\;) \text{ Id } \{\} (\subseteq) (\subset)$
 rtrancl rel-nabla
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3.2 Meta-Equational Loop Rule

context *fdivergence-kleene-algebra*
begin

The rule below is inspired by Arden' rule from language theory. It can be used in total correctness proofs.

lemma *fdia-arden*: $\nabla x = 0 \implies d p \leq d q + |x\rangle p \implies d p \leq |x^*\rangle q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-arden-eq*: $\nabla x = 0 \implies d p = d q + |x\rangle p \implies d p = |x^*\rangle q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-arden-iff*: $\nabla x = 0 \implies (d p = d q + |x\rangle p \longleftrightarrow d p = |x^*\rangle q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *|x^*| p ≤ |x| p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-arden*: $\nabla x = 0 \implies d q \cdot |x| p \leq d p \implies |x^*| q \leq d p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-arden-eq*: $\nabla x = 0 \implies d q \cdot |x| p = d p \implies |x^*| q = d p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-arden-iff*: $\nabla x = 0 \implies (d p = d q \cdot |x| p \longleftrightarrow d p = |x^*| q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-arden-while-iff*: $\nabla (d t \cdot x) = 0 \implies (d p = (d t + d q) \cdot |d t \cdot x| p \longleftrightarrow d p = |\text{while } t \text{ do } x \text{ od}| q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-arden-whilei*: $\nabla (d t \cdot x) = 0 \implies (d i = (d t + d q) \cdot |d t \cdot x|) \cdot i \implies d i = |\text{while } t \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od}| q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-arden-whilei-iff*: $\nabla (d t \cdot x) = 0 \implies (d i = (d t + d q) \cdot |d t \cdot x|) \cdot i \longleftrightarrow d i = |\text{while } t \text{ inv } i \text{ do } x \text{ od}| q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3.3 Noethericity and Absence of Divergence

Noetherian elements have been defined in the AFP entry for Kleene algebra with domain. First we show that noethericity and absence of divergence coincide. Then we turn to the relational model and show that noetherian relations model terminating programs.

lemma *noether-nabla*: *Noetherian* $x \implies \nabla x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-noether-iff*: *Noetherian* $x \longleftrightarrow \nabla x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-preloeb-iff*: $\nabla x = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{PreLoebian } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *rel-nabla-prop*: *rel-nabla* $R = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall P. P \subseteq \text{reldia } R \rightarrow P = \{\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-rel-im1*: $s2r ((\text{converse } R) `` P) = \text{reldia } R (s2r P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-rel-im2*: $s2r ((\text{converse } R) `` (r2s (rdom P))) = \text{reldia } R P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-nabla-aux*: $(P \subseteq (\text{converse } R) `` P \rightarrow P = \{\}) \longleftrightarrow (s2r P \subseteq \text{reldia } R (s2r P) \rightarrow s2r P = \{\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A relation is noetherian if its converse is wellfounded. Hence a relation is noetherian if and only if its divergence is empty. In the relational program semantics, noetherian programs terminate.

lemma *wf-nabla*: *wf* $(\text{converse } R) \longleftrightarrow \text{rel-nabla } R = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

5.3.4 Verification Examples

```

theory VC-KAD-wf-Examples
  imports VC-KAD-wf
begin

The example should be taken with a grain of salt. More work is needed to
make the while rule cooperate with simplification.

lemma euclid:
  rel-nabla (
    | $\lambda s::nat\ store.\ 0 < s\ "y"\rceil$  ;
    (( $"z"$  ::= ( $\lambda s.\ s\ "y"$ )) ;
    ( $"y"$  ::= ( $\lambda s.\ s\ "x"\ mod\ s\ "y"$ )) ;
    ( $"x"$  ::= ( $\lambda s.\ s\ "z"$ )))
  = {}
  ==>
  PRE ( $\lambda s::nat\ store.\ s\ "x" = x \wedge s\ "y" = y$ )
  (WHILE ( $s\ "y" \neq 0$ ) INV ( $\lambda s.\ gcd\ (s\ "x")\ (s\ "y") = gcd\ x\ y$ )
  DO
    ( $"z"$  ::= ( $\lambda s.\ s\ "y"$ ));
    ( $"y"$  ::= ( $\lambda s.\ s\ "x"\ mod\ s\ "y"$ ));
    ( $"x"$  ::= ( $\lambda s.\ s\ "z"$ ))
  OD)
  POST ( $\lambda s.\ s\ "x" = gcd\ x\ y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The termination assumption is now explicit in the verification proof. Here it is left untouched. Means beyond these components are required for discharging it.

end

5.4 Two Extensions

5.4.1 KAD Component with Trace Semantics

```

theory Path-Model-Example
  imports VC-KAD HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin

```

This component supports the verification of simple while programs in a partial correctness setting based on a program trace semantics.

Program traces are modelled as non-empty paths or state sequences. The non-empty path model of Kleene algebra is taken from the AFP entry for Kleene algebra. Here we show that sets of paths form antidomain Kleene Algebras.

```

definition pp-a :: ' $a$  ppath set  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  ppath set where
  pp-a X = {(Node u) | u.  $\neg (\exists v \in X. u = pp\text{-first } v)$ }

```

interpretation *ppath-aka*: *antidomain-kleene-algebra* $pp\text{-}a \ (\cup) \ pp\text{-}prod \ pp\text{-}one \ \{\}$
 $(\subseteq) \ (\subset) \ pp\text{-}star$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A verification component can then be built with little effort, by and large reusing parts of the relational components that are generic with respect to the store.

definition *pp-gets* :: *string* \Rightarrow $('a \ store \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ store \ ppath \ set \ (\langle - \ \mathbin{::=} \ - \rangle [70, 65] \ 61)$ **where**

$v \ ::= \ e = \{Cons \ s \ (Node \ (s \ (v \ ::= \ e \ s))) \mid s. \ True\}$

definition *p2pp* :: $'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ ppath \ set$ **where**
 $p2pp \ P = \{Node \ s \ |s. \ P \ s\}$

lemma *pp-a-neg* [*simp*]: $pp\text{-}a \ (p2pp \ Q) = p2pp \ (-Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ppath-assign* [*simp*]: $ppath\text{-}aka.fbox \ (v \ ::= \ e) \ (p2pp \ Q) = p2pp \ (\lambda s. \ Q \ (s(v \ ::= \ e \ s)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

no-notation *spec-sugar* ($\langle PRE \ - \ - \ POST \ \mathbin{\rightarrow} [64,64,64] \ 63$)
and *relcomp* (*infixl* $\langle ; \rangle \ 70$)
and *cond-sugar* ($\langle IF \ - \ THEN \ - \ ELSE \ - \ FI \rangle [64,64,64] \ 63$)
and *whilei-sugar* ($\langle WHILE \ - \ INV \ - \ DO \ - \ OD \rangle [64,64,64] \ 63$)
and *gets* ($\langle - \ \mathbin{::=} \ - \rangle [70, 65] \ 61$)
and *rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.fbox* (*wp*)
and *rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra.ads-d* (*rdom*)
and *p2r* ($\langle \lceil - \rceil \rangle$)

notation *ppath-aka.fbox* (*wp*)
and *ppath-aka.ads-d* (*rdom*)
and *p2pp* ($\langle \lceil - \rceil \rangle$)
and *pp-prod* (*infixl* $\langle ; \rangle \ 70$)

abbreviation *spec-sugar* :: $'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ ppath \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow bool \ (\langle PRE \ - \ - \ POST \ \mathbin{\rightarrow} [64,64,64] \ 63 \rangle \text{ where } PRE \ P \ X \ POST \ Q \equiv rdom \ \lceil P \rceil \subseteq wp \ X \ \lceil Q \rceil)$

abbreviation *cond-sugar* :: $'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ ppath \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ ppath \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ ppath \ set \ (\langle IF \ - \ THEN \ - \ ELSE \ - \ FI \rangle [64,64,64] \ 63) \text{ where } IF \ P \ THEN \ X \ ELSE \ Y \ FI \equiv ppath\text{-}aka.cond \ \lceil P \rceil \ X \ Y$

abbreviation *whilei-sugar* :: $'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ pred \Rightarrow 'a \ ppath \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ ppath \ set \ (\langle WHILE \ - \ INV \ - \ DO \ - \ OD \rangle [64,64,64] \ 63) \text{ where } WHILE \ P \ INV \ I \ DO \ X \ OD \equiv ppath\text{-}aka.whilei \ \lceil P \rceil \ \lceil I \rceil \ X$

lemma [*simp*]: $p2pp \ P \cup p2pp \ Q = p2pp \ (P \sqcup Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $p2pp\ P; p2pp\ Q = p2pp\ (P \sqcap Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*intro!*]: $P \leq Q \implies \lceil P \rceil \subseteq \lceil Q \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $rdom\ \lceil P \rceil = \lceil P \rceil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *euclid*:

PRE $(\lambda s::nat\ store.\ s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y)$
 $(\text{WHILE } (\lambda s.\ s''y'' \neq 0) \text{ INV } (\lambda s.\ gcd\ (s''x'')\ (s''y'') = gcd\ x\ y)$
 $\quad DO$
 $\quad (\text{''z''} := (\lambda s.\ s''y''));$
 $\quad (\text{''y''} := (\lambda s.\ s''x'' \text{ mod } s''y''));$
 $\quad (\text{''x''} := (\lambda s.\ s''z''))$
 $\quad OD)$
 $\text{POST } (\lambda s.\ s''x'' = gcd\ x\ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *euclid-diff*:

PRE $(\lambda s::nat\ store.\ s''x'' = x \wedge s''y'' = y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0)$
 $(\text{WHILE } (\lambda s.\ s''x'' \neq s''y'') \text{ INV } (\lambda s.\ gcd\ (s''x'')\ (s''y'') = gcd\ x\ y)$
 $\quad DO$
 $\quad (\text{IF } (\lambda s.\ s''x'' > s''y'')$
 $\quad \quad THEN\ (\text{''x''} := (\lambda s.\ s''x'' - s''y''))$
 $\quad \quad ELSE\ (\text{''y''} := (\lambda s.\ s''y'' - s''x''))$
 $\quad \quad FI)$
 $\quad OD)$
 $\text{POST } (\lambda s.\ s''x'' = gcd\ x\ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *variable-swap*:

PRE $(\lambda s.\ s''x'' = a \wedge s''y'' = b)$
 $(\text{''z''} := (\lambda s.\ s''x''));$
 $(\text{''x''} := (\lambda s.\ s''y''));$
 $(\text{''y''} := (\lambda s.\ s''z''))$
 $\text{POST } (\lambda s.\ s''x'' = b \wedge s''y'' = a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maximum*:

PRE $(\lambda s:: nat\ store.\ True)$
 $(\text{IF } (\lambda s.\ s''x'' \geq s''y'')$
 $\quad THEN\ (\text{''z''} := (\lambda s.\ s''x''))$
 $\quad ELSE\ (\text{''z''} := (\lambda s.\ s''y''))$
 $\quad FI)$
 $\text{POST } (\lambda s.\ s''z'' = \max\ (s''x'')\ (s''y''))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *integer-division*:

```

PRE ( $\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. x \geq 0$ )
  (''q'' ::= ( $\lambda s. 0$ ));
  (''r'' ::= ( $\lambda s. x$ ));
  (WHILE ( $\lambda s. y \leq s ''r''$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. x = s ''q'' * y + s ''r'' \wedge s ''r'' \geq 0$ )
    DO
      (''q'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s ''q'' + 1$ ));
      (''r'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s ''r'' - y$ ))
    OD)
  POST ( $\lambda s. x = s ''q'' * y + s ''r'' \wedge s ''r'' \geq 0 \wedge s ''r'' < y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

We now reconsider these examples with an Eisbach tactic.

named-theorems *ht*

```

declare ppath-aka.fbox-whilei [ht]
ppath-aka.fbox-seq-var [ht]
subset-refl[ht]

```

method *hoare* = (rule *ht*; *hoare?*)

lemma *euclid2*:

```

PRE ( $\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. s ''x'' = x \wedge s ''y'' = y$ )
  (WHILE ( $\lambda s. s ''y'' \neq 0$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. \text{gcd}(s ''x'') (s ''y'') = \text{gcd } x \ y$ )
    DO
      (''z'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s ''y''$ ));
      (''y'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s ''x'' \text{ mod } s ''y''$ ));
      (''x'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s ''z''$ ))
    OD)
  POST ( $\lambda s. s ''x'' = \text{gcd } x \ y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *euclid-diff2*:

```

PRE ( $\lambda s :: \text{nat store}. s ''x'' = x \wedge s ''y'' = y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0$ )
  (WHILE ( $\lambda s. s ''x'' \neq s ''y''$ ) INV ( $\lambda s. \text{gcd}(s ''x'') (s ''y'') = \text{gcd } x \ y$ )
    DO
      (IF ( $\lambda s. s ''x'' > s ''y''$ )
        THEN (''x'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s ''x'' - s ''y''$ ))
        ELSE (''y'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s ''y'' - s ''x''$ )))
      FI)
    OD)
  POST ( $\lambda s. s ''x'' = \text{gcd } x \ y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *variable-swap2*:

```

PRE ( $\lambda s. s ''x'' = a \wedge s ''y'' = b$ )
  (''z'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s ''x''$ ));
  (''x'' ::= ( $\lambda s. s ''y''$ ));

```

$(''y'':=(\lambda s. s ''z''))$
 $POST (\lambda s. s ''x'' = b \wedge s ''y'' = a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maximum2*:

$PRE (\lambda s:: nat store. True)$
 $(IF (\lambda s. s ''x'' \geq s ''y'')$
 $THEN (''z'':=(\lambda s. s ''x''))$
 $ELSE (''z'':=(\lambda s. s ''y''))$
 $FI)$
 $POST (\lambda s. s ''z'' = \max (s ''x'') (s ''y''))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *integer-division2*:

$PRE (\lambda s:: nat store. x \geq 0)$
 $(''q'':=(\lambda s. 0));$
 $(''r'':=(\lambda s. x));$
 $(WHILE (\lambda s. y \leq s ''r'') INV (\lambda s. x = s ''q'' * y + s ''r'' \wedge s ''r'' \geq 0)$
 DO
 $(''q'':=(\lambda s. s ''q'' + 1));$
 $(''r'':=(\lambda s. s ''r'' - y))$
 $OD)$
 $POST (\lambda s. x = s ''q'' * y + s ''r'' \wedge s ''r'' \geq 0 \wedge s ''r'' < y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *my-power2*:

$PRE (\lambda s:: nat store. True)$
 $(''i'':=(\lambda s. 0));$
 $(''y'':=(\lambda s. 1));$
 $(WHILE (\lambda s. s ''i'' < n) INV (\lambda s. s ''y'' = x ^ (s ''i'') \wedge s ''i'' \leq n)$
 DO
 $(''y'':=(\lambda s. (s ''y'') * x));$
 $(''i'':=(\lambda s. s ''i'' + 1))$
 $OD)$
 $POST (\lambda s. s ''y'' = x ^ n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-reverse2*:

$PRE (\lambda s:: 'a list store. s ''x'' = X)$
 $(''y'':=(\lambda s. []));$
 $(WHILE (\lambda s. s ''x'' \neq []) INV (\lambda s. rev (s ''x'') @ s ''y'' = rev X)$
 DO
 $(''y'':=(\lambda s. hd (s ''x'') \# s ''y''));$
 $(''x'':=(\lambda s. tl (s ''x''))))$
 $OD)$
 $POST (\lambda s. s ''y'' = rev X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

5.4.2 KAD Component for Pointer Programs

```
theory Pointer-Examples
imports VC-KAD-Examples2 HOL-Hoare.Heap
```

```
begin
```

This component supports the verification of simple while programs with pointers in a partial correctness setting.

All we do here is integrating Nipkow's implementation of pointers and heaps.

```
type-synonym 'a state = string ⇒ ('a ref + ('a ⇒ 'a ref))
```

```
lemma list-reversal:
```

```
PRE (λs :: 'a state. List (projr (s "h'')) (projl (s "p'')) Ps
      ∧ List (projr (s "h'')) (projl (s "q'')) Qs
      ∧ set Ps ∩ set Qs = {})
( WHILE (λs. projl (s "p'') ≠ Null)
  INV (λs. ∃ps qs. List (projr (s "h'')) (projl (s "p'')) ps
      ∧ List (projr (s "h'')) (projl (s "q'')) qs
      ∧ set ps ∩ set qs = {} ∧ rev ps @ qs = rev Ps @ Qs)
  DO
    ("r'':= (λs. s "p'"));
    ("p'':= (λs. Inl (projr (s "h'') (addr (projl (s "p''))))));
    ("h'':= (λs. Inr ((projr (s "h''))(addr (projl (s "r'')) := projl (s "q'')))));
    ("q'':= (λs. s "r'"))
  OD)
POST (λs. List (projr (s "h'')) (projl (s "q'')) (rev Ps @ Qs))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

6 Bringing KAT Components into Scope of KAD

```
theory KAD-is-KAT
imports KAD.Antidomain-Semiring
KAT-and-DRA.KAT
AVC-KAD/VC-KAD
AVC-KAT/VC-KAT
```

```
begin
```

```
context antidomain-kleene-algebra
begin
```

Every Kleene algebra with domain is a Kleene algebra with tests. This fact should eventually move into the AFP KAD entry.

```
sublocale kat (+) (·) 1 0 (≤) (<) star antidomain-op
⟨proof⟩
```

The next statement links the wp operator with the Hoare triple.

lemma *H-kat-to-kad*: $H \ p \ x \ q \longleftrightarrow d \ p \leq |x| \ (d \ q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *H-eq*: $P \subseteq Id \implies Q \subseteq Id \implies \text{rel-kat}.H \ P \ X \ Q = \text{rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra}.H$

$P \ X \ Q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

no-notation *VC-KAD.spec-sugar* (*PRE* - - *POST* $\rightarrow [64, 64, 64]$ 63)
and *VC-KAD.cond-sugar* (*IF* - *THEN* - *ELSE* - *FI*) $[64, 64, 64]$ 63)
and *VC-KAD.gets* ($\leftarrow ::= \rightarrow [70, 65]$ 61)

Next we provide some syntactic sugar.

lemma *H-from-kat*: $\text{PRE} \ p \ x \ \text{POST} \ q = (\lceil p \rceil \leq (\text{rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra}.fbox$

$x) \lceil q \rceil)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cond-iff*: $\text{rel-kat}.ifthenelse \lceil P \rceil \ X \ Y = \text{rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra}.cond$

$\lceil P \rceil \ X \ Y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gets-iff*: $v ::= e = \text{VC-KAD}.gets \ v \ e$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Finally we present two examples to test the integration.

lemma *maximum*:

PRE ($\lambda s :: \text{nat store. True}$)

(IF ($\lambda s. s \ "x" \geq s \ "y"$)

THEN ($"z" ::= (\lambda s. s \ "x")$)

ELSE ($"z" ::= (\lambda s. s \ "y")$)

FI)

POST ($\lambda s. s \ "z" = \max(s \ "x", s \ "y")$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maximum2*:

PRE ($\lambda s :: \text{nat store. True}$)

(IF ($\lambda s. s \ "x" \geq s \ "y"$)

THEN ($"z" ::= (\lambda s. s \ "x")$)

ELSE ($"z" ::= (\lambda s. s \ "y")$)

FI)

POST ($\lambda s. s \ "z" = \max(s \ "x", s \ "y")$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

7 Component for Recursive Programs

```
theory Domain-Quantale
  imports KAD.Modal-Kleene-Algebra
```

```
begin
```

This component supports the verification and step-wise refinement of recursive programs in a partial correctness setting.

```
notation
```

```
  times (infixl <..> 70) and
  bot (<⊥>) and
  top (<⊤>) and
  inf (infixl <⊓> 65) and
  sup (infixl <⊔> 65)
```

7.1 Lattice-Ordered Monoids with Domain

```
class bd-lattice-ordered-monoid = bounded-lattice + distrib-lattice + monoid-mult
+
  assumes left-distrib:  $x \cdot (y \sqcup z) = x \cdot y \sqcup x \cdot z$ 
  and right-distrib:  $(x \sqcup y) \cdot z = x \cdot z \sqcup y \cdot z$ 
  and bot-annil [simp]:  $\perp \cdot x = \perp$ 
  and bot-annir [simp]:  $x \cdot \perp = \perp$ 
```

```
begin
```

```
sublocale semiring-one-zero (⊔) (·) 1 bot
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
sublocale dioid-one-zero (⊔) (·) 1 bot (≤) (<)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
no-notation ads-d (<d>)
  and ars-r (<r>)
  and antirange-op (<ar -> [999] 1000)
```

```
class domain-bdlo-monoid = bd-lattice-ordered-monoid +
  assumes rdv:  $(z \sqcap x \cdot \top) \cdot y = z \cdot y \sqcap x \cdot \top$ 
```

```
begin
```

```
definition d x = 1 ⊓ x · ⊤
```

```
sublocale ds: domain-semiring (⊔) (·) 1 ⊥ d (≤) (<)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

7.2 Boolean Monoids with Domain

```
class boolean-monoid = boolean-algebra + monoid-mult +
  assumes left-distrib':  $x \cdot (y \sqcup z) = x \cdot y \sqcup x \cdot z$ 
  and right-distrib':  $(x \sqcup y) \cdot z = x \cdot z \sqcup y \cdot z$ 
  and bot-annil' [simp]:  $\perp \cdot x = \perp$ 
  and bot-annir' [simp]:  $x \cdot \perp = \perp$ 
```

```
begin
```

```
subclass bd-lattice-ordered-monoid
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inf-bot-iff-le:  $x \sqcap y = \perp \longleftrightarrow x \leq -y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
class domain-boolean-monoid = boolean-monoid +
  assumes rdv':  $(z \sqcap x \cdot \top) \cdot y = z \cdot y \sqcap x \cdot \top$ 
```

```
begin
```

```
sublocale dbl: domain-bdlo-monoid 1 (·) (⊓) (≤) (<) (⊔) ⊥ ⊤
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition a x = 1 ⊓ -(dbl.d x)
```

```
lemma a-d-iff: a x = 1 ⊓ -(x · ⊤)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma topr: -(x · ⊤) · ⊤ = -(x · ⊤)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dd-a: dbl.d x = a (a x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ad-a [simp]: a (dbl.d x) = a x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma da-a [simp]: dbl.d (a x) = a x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma a1 [simp]: a x · x = ⊥
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma a2 [simp]: a (x · y) ⊔ a (x · a (a y)) = a (x · a (a y))
```

```
<proof>
```

```
lemma a3 [simp]: a (a x) ⊔ a x = 1  
<proof>
```

```
subclass domain-bdlo-monoid <proof>
```

The next statement shows that every boolean monoid with domain is an antidomain semiring. In this setting the domain operation has been defined explicitly.

```
sublocale ad: antidomain-semiring a (⊔) (·) 1 ⊥ (≤) (<)  
  rewrites ad-eq: ad.ads-d x = d x  
<proof>
```

```
end
```

7.3 Boolean Monoids with Range

```
class range-boolean-monoid = boolean-monoid +  
  assumes ldv': y · (z ▷ ⊤ · x) = y · z ▷ ⊤ · x
```

```
begin
```

```
definition r x = 1 ▷ ⊤ · x
```

```
definition ar x = 1 ▷ -(r x)
```

```
lemma ar-r-iff: ar x = 1 ▷ -(⊤ · x)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma topl: ⊤ · -(⊤ · x) = -(⊤ · x)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma r-ar: r x = ar (ar x)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma ar-ar [simp]: ar (r x) = ar x  
<proof>
```

```
lemma rar-ar [simp]: r (ar x) = ar x  
<proof>
```

```
lemma ar1 [simp]: x · ar x = ⊥  
<proof>
```

```
lemma ars: r (r x · y) = r (x · y)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma ar2 [simp]: ar (x · y) ⊔ ar (ar (ar x) · y) = ar (ar (ar x) · y)
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma ar3 [simp]: ar (ar x) ⊔ ar x = 1
⟨proof⟩

sublocale ar: antirange-semiring (⊔) (·) 1 ⊥ ar (≤) (<)
  rewrites ar-eq: ar.ars-r x = r x
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.4 Quantales

This part will eventually move into an AFP quantale entry.

```

class quantale = complete-lattice + monoid-mult +
  assumes Sup-distr: Sup X · y = Sup {z. ∃x ∈ X. z = x · y}
  and Sup-distl: x · Sup Y = Sup {z. ∃y ∈ Y. z = x · y}

begin

lemma bot-annil'' [simp]: ⊥ · x = ⊥
⟨proof⟩

lemma bot-annirr'' [simp]: x · ⊥ = ⊥
⟨proof⟩

lemma sup-distl: x · (y ⊔ z) = x · y ⊔ x · z
⟨proof⟩

lemma sup-distr: (x ⊔ y) · z = x · z ⊔ y · z
⟨proof⟩

sublocale semiring-one-zero (⊔) (·) 1 ⊥
⟨proof⟩

sublocale dioïd-one-zero (⊔) (·) 1 ⊥ (≤) (<)

lemma Sup-sup-pred: x ⊔ Sup{y. P y} = Sup{y. y = x ∨ P y}
⟨proof⟩

definition star :: 'a ⇒ 'a where
  star x = (SUP i. x ^ i)

lemma star-def-var1: star x = Sup{y. ∃i. y = x ^ i}
⟨proof⟩

lemma star-def-var2: star x = Sup{x ^ i | i. True}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma star-unfoldl' [simp]:  $1 \sqcup x \cdot (\text{star } x) = \text{star } x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma star-unfoldr' [simp]:  $1 \sqcup (\text{star } x) \cdot x = \text{star } x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in dioid-one-zero) power-inductl:  $z + x \cdot y \leq y \implies (x \wedge n) \cdot z \leq y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in dioid-one-zero) power-inductr:  $z + y \cdot x \leq y \implies z \cdot (x \wedge n) \leq y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma star-inductl':  $z \sqcup x \cdot y \leq y \implies (\text{star } x) \cdot z \leq y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma star-inductr':  $z \sqcup y \cdot x \leq y \implies z \cdot (\text{star } x) \leq y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

end

Distributive quantales are often assumed to satisfy infinite distributivity laws between joins and meets, but finite ones suffice for our purposes.

class distributive-quantale = quantale + distrib-lattice

begin

subclass bd-lattice-ordered-monoid
⟨proof⟩

lemma $(1 \sqcap x \cdot \top) \cdot x = x$

⟨proof⟩

end

7.5 Domain Quantales

class domain-quantale = distributive-quantale +
assumes rdv'': $(z \sqcap x \cdot \top) \cdot y = z \cdot y \sqcap x \cdot \top$

begin

subclass domain-bdlo-monoid
⟨proof⟩

```

end

class range-quantale = distributive-quantale +
assumes ldv'':  $y \cdot (z \sqcap \top \cdot x) = y \cdot z \sqcap \top \cdot x$ 

class boolean-quantale = quantale + complete-boolean-algebra

begin

subclass boolean-monoid
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $(1 \sqcap x \cdot \top) \cdot x = x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $(1 \sqcap -(x \cdot \top)) \cdot x = \perp$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.6 Boolean Domain Quantales

```

class domain-boolean-quantale = domain-quantale + boolean-quantale

begin

subclass domain-boolean-monoid
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-eq: ad.fbox x q = Sup{d p | p. d p · x ≤ x · d q}
⟨proof⟩

lemma fdia-eq: ad.fdia x p = Inf{d q | q. x · d p ≤ d q · x}
⟨proof⟩

```

The specification statement can be defined explicitly.

```

definition R :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a where
  R p q ≡ Sup{x. (d p) · x ≤ x · d q}

lemma x ≤ R p q ⇒ d p ≤ ad.fbox x (d q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma d p ≤ ad.fbox x (d q) ⇒ x ≤ R p q
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.7 Relational Model of Boolean Domain Quantales

```
interpretation rel-dbq: domain-boolean-quantale
  ⟨(−)⟩ uminus ⟨(∩)⟩ ⟨(≤)⟩ ⟨(⊂)⟩ ⟨(∪)⟩ ⟨({})⟩ UNIV ⟨(∩)⟩ ⟨(∪)⟩ Id ⟨(O)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

7.8 Modal Boolean Quantales

```
class range-boolean-quantale = range-quantale + boolean-quantale
```

```
begin
```

```
subclass range-boolean-monoid
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fbox-eq: ar.bbox x (r q) = Sup{r p | p. x · r p ≤ (r q) · x}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fdia-eq: ar.bdia x (r p) = Inf{r q | q. (r p) · x ≤ x · r q}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
class modal-boolean-quantale = domain-boolean-quantale + range-boolean-quantale
+
```

```
assumes domrange' [simp]: d (r x) = r x
and rangedom' [simp]: r (d x) = d x
```

```
begin
```

```
sublocale mka: modal-kleene-algebra (⊔) (·) 1 ⊥ (≤) (<) star a ar
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
no-notation fbox (⟨( |] -)⟩ [61,81] 82)
  and antidomain-semiringl-class.fbox (⟨( |] -)⟩ [61,81] 82)
```

```
notation ad.fbox (⟨( |] -)⟩ [61,81] 82)
```

7.9 Recursion Rule

```
lemma recursion: mono (f :: 'a ⇒ 'a :: domain-boolean-quantale) ⇒
  (A x. d p ≤ |x] d q ⇒ d p ≤ |f x] d q) ⇒ d p ≤ |lfp f] d q
  ⟨proof⟩
```

We have already tested this rule in the context of test quantales [2], which is based on a formalisation of quantales that is currently not in the AFP. The two theories will be merged as soon as the quantale is available in the AFP.

end

References

- [1] A. Armstrong, V. B. F. Gomes, and G. Struth. Kleene algebra with tests and demonic refinement algebras. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2014.
- [2] A. Armstrong, V. B. F. Gomes, and G. Struth. Building program construction and verification tools from algebraic principles. *Formal Aspects of Computing*, 28(2):265–293, 2016.
- [3] A. Armstrong, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Kleene algebra. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2013.
- [4] J. Desharnais and G. Struth. Internal axioms for domain semirings. *Science of Computer Programming*, 76(3):181–203, 2011.
- [5] V. B. F. Gomes, W. Guttman, P. Höfner, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Kleene algebra with domain. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016.