

Algebraic Numbers in Isabelle/HOL*

René Thiemann, Akihisa Yamada, and Sebastiaan Joosten

September 13, 2023

Abstract

Based on existing libraries for matrices, factorization of integer polynomials, and Sturm’s theorem, we formalized algebraic numbers in Isabelle/HOL. Our development serves as an implementation for real and complex numbers, and it admits to compute roots and completely factorize real and complex polynomials, provided that all coefficients are rational numbers. Moreover, we provide two implementations to display algebraic numbers, an injective one that reveals the representing polynomial, or an approximative one that only displays a fixed amount of digits.

To this end, we mechanized several results on resultants.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Auxiliary Algorithms	5
3	Algebraic Numbers – Excluding Addition and Multiplication	5
3.1	Polynomial Evaluation of Integer and Rational Polynomials in Fields	6
3.2	Algebraic Numbers – Definition, Inverse, and Roots	7
4	Resultants	17
4.1	Bivariate Polynomials	17
4.1.1	Evaluation of Bivariate Polynomials	18
4.1.2	Swapping the Order of Variables	20
4.2	Resultant	22
4.2.1	Sylvester matrices and vector representation of polynomials	23
4.2.2	Homomorphism and Resultant	24

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

4.2.3	Resultant as Polynomial Expression	25
4.2.4	Resultant as Nonzero Polynomial Expression	27
5	Algebraic Numbers: Addition and Multiplication	28
5.1	Addition of Algebraic Numbers	29
5.1.1	<i>poly-add</i> has desired root	29
5.1.2	<i>poly-add</i> is nonzero	30
5.1.3	Summary for addition	32
5.2	Division of Algebraic Numbers	33
5.2.1	Summary for division	34
5.3	Multiplication of Algebraic Numbers	35
5.4	Summary: Closure Properties of Algebraic Numbers	35
5.5	More on algebraic integers	36
6	Separation of Roots: Sturm	37
6.1	Interface for Separating Roots	38
6.2	Implementing Sturm on Rational Polynomials	40
7	Getting Small Representative Polynomials via Factorization	42
8	The minimal polynomial of an algebraic number	44
9	Algebraic Numbers – Preliminary Implementation	46
10	Cauchy’s Root Bound	49
11	Real Algebraic Numbers	50
11.1	Real Algebraic Numbers – Innermost Layer	52
11.1.1	Basic Definitions	52
11.2	Real Algebraic Numbers = Rational + Irrational Real Algebraic Numbers	55
11.2.1	Definitions and Algorithms on Raw Type	55
11.2.2	Definitions and Algorithms on Quotient Type	56
11.2.3	Sign	56
11.2.4	Normalization: Bounds Close Together	56
11.2.5	Comparisons	60
11.2.6	Negation	60
11.2.7	Inverse	61
11.2.8	Floor	62
11.2.9	Generic Factorization and Bisection Framework	62
11.2.10	Addition	65
11.2.11	Multiplication	66
11.2.12	Root	68
11.2.13	Embedding of Rational Numbers	70
11.2.14	Definitions and Algorithms on Type with Invariant	73

11.3 Real Algebraic Numbers as Implementation for Real Numbers	80
12 Real Roots	81
13 Complex Roots of Real Valued Polynomials	83
13.1 Compare Instance for Complex Numbers	86
14 Interval Arithmetic	87
14.1 Syntactic Class Instantiations	87
14.2 Class Instantiations	88
14.3 Membership	89
14.4 Convergence	89
14.5 Complex Intervals	90
15 Complex Algebraic Numbers	93
15.1 Complex Roots	94
16 Show for Real Algebraic Numbers – Interface	100
17 Show for Real (Algebraic) Numbers – Approximate Representation	101
18 Show for Real (Algebraic) Numbers – Unique Representation	101
19 Algebraic Number Tests	102
19.1 Stand-Alone Examples	103
19.2 Example Application: Compute Norms of Eigenvalues	103
20 Explicit Constants for External Code	104
20.1 Operations on Real Algebraic Numbers	104
20.2 Operations on Complex Algebraic Numbers	105
20.3 Export Constants in Haskell	105

1 Introduction

Isabelle’s previous implementation of irrational numbers was limited: it only admitted numbers expressed in the form “ $a + b\sqrt{c}$ ” for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$, and even computations like $\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{3}$ led to a runtime error [3].

In this work, we provide full support for the *real algebraic numbers*, i.e., the real numbers that are expressed as roots of non-zero integer polynomials, and we also partially support complex algebraic numbers.

Most of the results on algebraic numbers have been taken from a textbook by Bhubaneswar Mishra [2]. Also Wikipedia provided valuable help.

Concerning the real algebraic numbers, we first had to prove that they form a field. To show that the addition and multiplication of real algebraic numbers are also real algebraic numbers, we formalize the theory of *resultants*, which are the determinants of specific matrices, where the size of these matrices depend on the degree of the polynomials. To this end, we utilized the matrix library provided in the Jordan-Normal-Form AFP-entry [4] where the matrix dimension can arbitrarily be chosen at runtime.

Given real algebraic numbers x and y expressed as the roots of polynomials, we compute a polynomial that has $x+y$ or $x \cdot y$ as its root via resultants. In order to guarantee that the resulting polynomial is non-zero, we needed the result that multivariate polynomials over fields form a unique factorization domain (UFD). To this end, we initially proved that polynomials over some UFD are again a UFD, relying upon results in HOL-algebra.

When performing actual computations with algebraic numbers, it is important to reduce the degree of the representing polynomials. To this end, we use the existing Berlekamp-Zassenhaus factorization algorithm. This is crucial for the default show-function for real algebraic numbers which requires the unique minimal polynomial representing the algebraic number – but an alternative which displays only an approximative value is also available.

In order to support tests on whether a given algebraic number is a rational number, we also make use of the fact that we compute the minimal polynomial.

The formalization of Sturm’s method [1] was crucial to separate the different roots of a fixed polynomial. We could nearly use it as it is, and just copied some function definition so that Sturm’s method now is available to separate the real roots of rational polynomial, where all computations are now performed over \mathbb{Q} .

With all the mentioned ingredients we implemented all arithmetic operations on real algebraic numbers, i.e., addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, comparison, n -th root, floor- and ceiling, and testing on membership in \mathbb{Q} . Moreover, we provide a method to create real algebraic numbers from a given rational polynomial, a method which computes precisely the set of real roots of a rational polynomial.

The absence of an equivalent to Sturm’s method for the complex numbers in Isabelle/HOL prevented us from having native support for complex algebraic numbers. Instead, we represent complex algebraic numbers as their real and imaginary part: note that a complex number is algebraic if and only if both the real and the imaginary part are real algebraic numbers. This equivalence also admitted us to design an algorithm which computes all complex roots of a rational polynomial. It first constructs a set of polynomials which represent all real and imaginary parts of all complex roots, yielding a superset of all roots, and afterwards the set just is just filtered.

By the fundamental theorem of algebra, we then also have a factorization algorithm for polynomials over \mathbb{C} with rational coefficients.

Finally, for factorizing a rational polynomial over \mathbb{R} , we first factorize it over \mathbb{C} , and then combine each pair of complex conjugate roots.

As future it would be interesting to include the result that the set of complex algebraic numbers is algebraically closed, i.e., at the moment we are limited to determine the complex roots of a polynomial over \mathbb{Q} , and cannot determine the real or complex roots of an polynomial having arbitrary algebraic coefficients.

Finally, an analog to Sturm's method for the complex numbers would be welcome, in order to have a smaller representation: for instance, currently the complex roots of $1 + x + x^3$ are computed as “root #1 of $1 + x + x^3$ ”, “(root #1 of $-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4}x + x^3$) + (root #1 of $-\frac{31}{64} + \frac{9}{16}x^2 - \frac{3}{2}x^4 + x^6$)i””, and “(root #1 of $-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4}x + x^3$) + (root #2 of $-\frac{31}{64} + \frac{9}{16}x^2 - \frac{3}{2}x^4 + x^6$)i””.

2 Auxiliary Algorithms

3 Algebraic Numbers – Excluding Addition and Multiplication

This theory contains basic definition and results on algebraic numbers, namely that algebraic numbers are closed under negation, inversion, n -th roots, and that every rational number is algebraic. For all of these closure properties, corresponding polynomial witnesses are available.

Moreover, this theory contains the uniqueness result, that for every algebraic number there is exactly one content-free irreducible polynomial with positive leading coefficient for it. This result is stronger than similar ones which you find in many textbooks. The reason is that here we do not require a least degree construction.

This is essential, since given some content-free irreducible polynomial for x , how should we check whether the degree is optimal. In the formalized result, this is not required. The result is proven via GCDs, and that the GCD does not change when executed on the rational numbers or on the reals or complex numbers, and that the GCD of a rational polynomial can be expressed via the GCD of integer polynomials.

Many results are taken from the textbook [2, pages 317ff].

```
theory Algebraic-Numbers-Prelim
imports
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Newton-Interpolation
  Polynomial-Factorization.Gauss-Lemma
  Berlekamp-Zassenhaus.Unique-Factorization-Poly
  Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
```

```

begin

lemma primitive-imp-unit-iff:
  fixes p :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1,semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly
  assumes pr: primitive p
  shows p dvd 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  degree p = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma dvd-all-coeffs-imp-dvd:
  assumes  $\forall a \in \text{set } (\text{coeffs } p). c \text{ dvd } a$  shows [:c:] dvd p
⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-content:
  fixes p :: 'a::{comm-semiring-1,semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly
  assumes irreducible p shows degree p = 0  $\vee$  primitive p
⟨proof⟩

lemma linear-irreducible-field:
  fixes p :: 'a :: field poly
  assumes deg: degree p = 1 shows irreducible p
⟨proof⟩

lemma linear-irreducible-int:
  fixes p :: int poly
  assumes deg: degree p = 1 and cp: content p dvd 1
  shows irreducible p
⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-connect-rev:
  fixes p :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1,semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly
  assumes irr: irreducible p and deg: degree p > 0
  shows irreducibled p
⟨proof⟩

```

3.1 Polynomial Evaluation of Integer and Rational Polynomials in Fields.

abbreviation ipoly **where** ipoly f x ≡ poly (of-int-poly f) x

lemma poly-map-poly-code[code-unfold]: poly (map-poly h p) x = fold-coeffs ($\lambda a b. h a + x * b$) p 0
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation real-of-int-poly :: int poly \Rightarrow real poly **where**
 real-of-int-poly ≡ of-int-poly

abbreviation real-of-rat-poly :: rat poly \Rightarrow real poly **where**

real-of-rat-poly \equiv *map-poly of-rat*

lemma *of-rat-of-int[simp]*: *of-rat* \circ *of-int* = *of-int* \langle proof \rangle

lemma *ipoly-of-rat[simp]*: *ipoly p (of-rat y)* = *of-rat (ipoly p y)*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *ipoly-of-real[simp]*:
ipoly p (of-real x :: 'a :: {field,real-algebra-1}) = *of-real (ipoly p x)*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *finite-ipoly-roots*: **assumes** *p* $\neq 0$
shows *finite {x :: real. ipoly p x = 0}*
 \langle proof \rangle

3.2 Algebraic Numbers – Definition, Inverse, and Roots

A number *x* is algebraic iff it is the root of an integer polynomial. Whereas the Isabelle distribution this is defined via the embedding of integers in an field via \mathbb{Z} , we work with integer polynomials of type *int* and then use *ipoly* for evaluating the polynomial at a real or complex point.

lemma *algebraic-altdef-ipoly*:
shows *algebraic x* \longleftrightarrow $(\exists p. \text{ipoly } p \text{ } x = 0 \wedge p \neq 0)$
 \langle proof \rangle

Definition of being algebraic with explicit witness polynomial.

definition *represents :: int poly \Rightarrow 'a :: field-char-0 \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** *represents 51*)
where *p represents x* = $(\text{ipoly } p \text{ } x = 0 \wedge p \neq 0)$

lemma *representsI[intro]*: *ipoly p x = 0 \Longrightarrow p $\neq 0 \Longrightarrow p \text{ represents } x$*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *representsD*:
assumes *p represents x* **shows** *p $\neq 0$ and ipoly p x = 0* \langle proof \rangle

lemma *representsE*:
assumes *p represents x and p $\neq 0 \Longrightarrow \text{ipoly } p \text{ } x = 0 \Longrightarrow \text{thesis}$*
shows *thesis* \langle proof \rangle

lemma *represents-imp-degree*:
fixes *x :: 'a :: field-char-0*
assumes *p represents x* **shows** *degree p $\neq 0$*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *representsE-full[elim]*:
assumes *rep: p represents x*
and *main: p $\neq 0 \Longrightarrow \text{ipoly } p \text{ } x = 0 \Longrightarrow \text{degree } p \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \text{thesis}$*
shows *thesis*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *represents-of-rat*[simp]: $p \text{ represents } (\text{of-rat } x) = p \text{ represents } x$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *represents-of-real*[simp]: $p \text{ represents } (\text{of-real } x) = p \text{ represents } x$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *algebraic-iff-represents*: $\text{algebraic } x \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. p \text{ represents } x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *represents-irr-non-0*:
 assumes *irr*: irreducible p and *ap*: $p \text{ represents } x$ and *x0*: $x \neq 0$
 shows *poly p 0* ≠ 0
 ⟨*proof*⟩

The polynomial encoding a rational number.

definition *poly-rat* :: *rat* ⇒ *int poly* **where**
 $\text{poly-rat } x = (\text{case quotient-of } x \text{ of } (n,d) \Rightarrow [:-n,d:])$

definition *abs-int-poly*:: *int poly* ⇒ *int poly* **where**
 $\text{abs-int-poly } p \equiv \text{if lead-coeff } p < 0 \text{ then } -p \text{ else } p$

lemma *pos-poly-abs-poly*[simp]:
 shows *lead-coeff (abs-int-poly p) > 0* ⟷ $p \neq 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *abs-int-poly-0*[simp]: $\text{abs-int-poly } 0 = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *abs-int-poly-eq-0-iff*[simp]: $\text{abs-int-poly } p = 0 \longleftrightarrow p = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *degree-abs-int-poly*[simp]: $\text{degree } (\text{abs-int-poly } p) = \text{degree } p$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *abs-int-poly-dvd*[simp]: $\text{abs-int-poly } p \text{ dvd } q \longleftrightarrow p \text{ dvd } q$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *idom*) *irreducible-uminus*[simp]: $\text{irreducible } (-x) \longleftrightarrow \text{irreducible } x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *irreducible-abs-int-poly*[simp]:
 $\text{irreducible } (\text{abs-int-poly } p) \longleftrightarrow \text{irreducible } p$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *coeff-abs-int-poly*[simp]:
 $\text{coeff } (\text{abs-int-poly } p) n = (\text{if lead-coeff } p < 0 \text{ then } -\text{coeff } p n \text{ else } \text{coeff } p n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lead-coeff-abs-int-poly*[simp]:
 $\text{lead-coeff } (\text{abs-int-poly } p) = \text{abs } (\text{lead-coeff } p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ipoly-abs-int-poly-eq-zero-iff*[simp]:
ipoly (abs-int-poly p) (x :: 'a :: comm-ring-1) = 0 \longleftrightarrow *ipoly p x = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abs-int-poly-represents*[simp]:
abs-int-poly p represents x \longleftrightarrow *p represents x* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *content-pCons*[simp]: *content (pCons a p) = gcd a (content p)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *content-uminus*[simp]:
fixes *p :: 'a :: ring-gcd poly* **shows** *content (-p) = content p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primitive-abs-int-poly*[simp]:
primitive (abs-int-poly p) \longleftrightarrow primitive p
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abs-int-poly-inv*[simp]: *smult (sgn (lead-coeff p)) (abs-int-poly p) = p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *cf-pos :: int poly \Rightarrow bool* **where**
cf-pos p = (content p = 1 \wedge lead-coeff p > 0)

definition *cf-pos-poly :: int poly \Rightarrow int poly* **where**
cf-pos-poly f = (let
 c = content f;
 *d = (sgn (lead-coeff f) * c)*
in sdiv-poly f d)

lemma *sgn-is-unit*[intro!]:
fixes *x :: 'a :: linordered-idom*
assumes *x \neq 0*
shows *sgn x dvd 1* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-pos-poly-0*[simp]: *cf-pos-poly 0 = 0* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-pos-poly-eq-0*[simp]: *cf-pos-poly f = 0* \longleftrightarrow *f = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
shows *cf-pos-poly-main*: *smult (sgn (lead-coeff f) * content f) (cf-pos-poly f) = f* **(is** *?g1*)

```

and content-cf-pos-poly[simp]: content (cf-pos-poly f) = (if f = 0 then 0 else
1) (is ?g2)
and lead-coeff-cf-pos-poly[simp]: lead-coeff (cf-pos-poly f) > 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  f ≠ 0 (is
?g3)
and cf-pos-poly-dvd[simp]: cf-pos-poly f dvd f (is ?g4)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma irreducible-connect-int:
fixes p :: int poly
assumes ir: irreducibled p and c: content p = 1
shows irreducible p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma
fixes x :: 'a :: {idom,ring-char-0}
shows ipoly-cf-pos-poly-eq-0[simp]: ipoly (cf-pos-poly p) x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ipoly p x = 0
and degree-cf-pos-poly[simp]: degree (cf-pos-poly p) = degree p
and cf-pos-cf-pos-poly[intro]: p ≠ 0  $\implies$  cf-pos (cf-pos-poly p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cf-pos-poly-eq-1: cf-pos-poly f = 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  degree f = 0  $\wedge$  f ≠ 0 (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
?r)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma irr-cf-poly-rat[simp]: irreducible (poly-rat x)
lead-coeff (poly-rat x) > 0 primitive (poly-rat x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-rat[simp]: ipoly (poly-rat x) (of-rat x :: 'a :: field-char-0) = 0 ipoly
(poly-rat x) x = 0
poly-rat x ≠ 0 ipoly (poly-rat x) y = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  y = (of-rat x :: 'a)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-rat-represents-of-rat: (poly-rat x) represents (of-rat x) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ipoly-smult-0-iff: assumes c: c ≠ 0
shows (ipoly (smult c p) x = (0 :: real)) = (ipoly p x = 0)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma not-irreducibleD:
assumes ¬ irreducible x and x ≠ 0 and ¬ x dvd 1
shows ∃ y z. x = y * z  $\wedge$  ¬ y dvd 1  $\wedge$  ¬ z dvd 1 ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cf-pos-poly-represents[simp]: (cf-pos-poly p) represents x  $\longleftrightarrow$  p represents x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coprime-prod:
  a  $\neq 0 \implies c \neq 0 \implies \text{coprime}(a * b) (c * d) \implies \text{coprime}(b (d::'a::\{semiring-gcd\}))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma smult-prod:
  smult a b = monom a 0 * b
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma degree-map-poly-2:
  assumes f (lead-coeff p)  $\neq 0$ 
  shows degree (map-poly f p) = degree p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma irreducible-cf-pos-poly:
  assumes irr: irreducible p and deg: degree p  $\neq 0$ 
  shows irreducible (cf-pos-poly p) (is irreducible ?p)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale dvd-preserving-hom = comm-semiring-1-hom +
  assumes hom-eq-mult-hom-imp: hom x = hom y * hz  $\implies \exists z. hz = hom z \wedge x = y * z$ 
  begin

    lemma hom-dvd-hom-iff[simp]: hom x dvd hom y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x dvd y
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    sublocale unit-preserving-hom
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    sublocale zero-hom-0
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  end

  lemma smult-inverse-monom:p  $\neq 0 \implies \text{smult}(\text{inverse } c) (p::rat poly) = 1 \longleftrightarrow p = [: c :]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma of-int-monom:of-int-poly p = [:rat-of-int c:]  $\longleftrightarrow$  p = [: c :]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma degree-0-content:
    fixes p :: int poly
    assumes deg: degree p = 0 shows content p = abs (coeff p 0)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma prime-elem-imp-gcd-eq:
  fixes x::'a:: ring-gcd
  shows prime-elem x  $\implies$  gcd x y = normalize x  $\vee$  gcd x y = 1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma irreducible-pos-gcd:
  fixes p :: int poly
  assumes ir: irreducible p and pos: lead-coeff p > 0 shows gcd p q  $\in$  {1,p}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma irreducible-pos-gcd-twice:
  fixes p q :: int poly
  assumes p: irreducible p lead-coeff p > 0
  and q: irreducible q lead-coeff q > 0
  shows gcd p q = 1  $\vee$  p = q
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

interpretation of-rat-hom: field-hom-0' of-rat $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma poly-zero-imp-not-unit:
  assumes poly p x = 0 shows  $\neg$  p dvd 1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma poly-prod-mset-zero-iff:
  fixes x :: 'a :: idom
  shows poly (prod-mset F) x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists f \in \# F$ . poly f x = 0)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-imp-represents-irreducible:
  fixes x :: 'a :: field-char-0
  assumes algebraic x
  shows  $\exists p$ . p represents x  $\wedge$  irreducible p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-imp-represents-irreducible-cf-pos:
  assumes algebraic (x::'a::field-char-0)
  shows  $\exists p$ . p represents x  $\wedge$  irreducible p  $\wedge$  lead-coeff p > 0  $\wedge$  primitive p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gcd-of-int-poly: gcd (of-int-poly f) (of-int-poly g :: 'a :: {field-char-0,field-gcd})
  poly) =
  smult (inverse (of-int (lead-coeff (gcd f g)))) (of-int-poly (gcd f g))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-imp-represents-unique:
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0,field-gcd}
  assumes algebraic x
  shows  $\exists! p$ . p represents x  $\wedge$  irreducible p  $\wedge$  lead-coeff p > 0 (is Ex1 ?p)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ipoly-poly-compose*:
 fixes $x :: 'a :: idom$
 shows $ipoly(p \circ_p q) x = ipoly p(ipoly q x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-0[simp]*: *algebraic 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-1[simp]*: *algebraic 1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Polynomial for unary minus.

definition *poly-uminus :: 'a :: ring-1 poly \Rightarrow 'a poly* **where** [code del]:
$$poly-uminus p \equiv \sum_{i \leq \text{degree } p} i \cdot \text{monom}((-1)^i * \text{coeff } p i)$$

lemma *poly-uminus-pCons-pCons[simp]*:
 $poly-uminus(pCons a(pCons b p)) = pCons a(pCons(-b)(poly-uminus p))$ (**is**
 $?l = ?r$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *poly-uminus-inner :: 'a :: ring-1 list \Rightarrow 'a poly*
where $poly-uminus-inner [] = 0$
 | $poly-uminus-inner [a] = [:a:]$
 | $poly-uminus-inner (a \# b \# cs) = pCons a(pCons(-b)(poly-uminus-inner cs))$

lemma *poly-uminus-code[code,simp]*: $poly-uminus p = poly-uminus-inner(\text{coeffs } p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-uminus-inner-0[simp]*: $poly-uminus-inner as = 0 \longleftrightarrow Poly as = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *degree-poly-uminus-inner[simp]*: $\text{degree}(poly-uminus-inner as) = \text{degree}(Poly as)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ipoly-uminus-inner[simp]*:
 $ipoly(poly-uminus-inner as)(x :: 'a :: comm-ring-1) = ipoly(Poly as)(-x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *represents-uminus*: **assumes** $alg: p \text{ represents } x$
 shows $(poly-uminus p) \text{ represents } (-x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *content-poly-uminus-inner[simp]*:
 fixes $as :: 'a :: ring-gcd$ list

shows *content* (*poly-uminus-inner as*) = *content* (*Poly as*)
(proof)

Multiplicative inverse is represented by *reflect-poly*.

lemma *inverse-pow-minus*: **assumes** $x \neq (0 :: 'a :: field)$
and $i \leq n$
shows *inverse* $x^{\wedge} n * x^{\wedge} i = \text{inverse } x^{\wedge} (n - i)$
(proof)

lemma (in *inj-idom-hom*) *reflect-poly-hom*:
reflect-poly (*map-poly hom p*) = *map-poly hom* (*reflect-poly p*)
(proof)

lemma *ipoly-reflect-poly*: **assumes** $x: (x :: 'a :: field-char-0) \neq 0$
shows *ipoly* (*reflect-poly p*) $x = x^{\wedge} (\text{degree } p) * \text{ipoly } p (\text{inverse } x)$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
(proof)

lemma *represents-inverse*: **assumes** $x: x \neq 0$
and *alg*: *p represents x*
shows (*reflect-poly p*) *represents* (*inverse x*)
(proof)

lemma *inverse-roots*: **assumes** $x: (x :: 'a :: field-char-0) \neq 0$
shows *ipoly* (*reflect-poly p*) $x = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{ipoly } p (\text{inverse } x) = 0$
(proof)

context
fixes $n :: nat$
begin

Polynomial for n-th root.

definition *poly-nth-root* :: $'a :: idom$ *poly* \Rightarrow $'a poly$ **where**
 $\text{poly-nth-root } p = p \circ_p \text{monom } 1 n$

lemma *ipoly-nth-root*:
fixes $x :: 'a :: idom$
shows *ipoly* (*poly-nth-root p*) $x = \text{ipoly } p (x^{\wedge} n)$
(proof)

context
assumes $n: n \neq 0$
begin
lemma *poly-nth-root-0* [*simp*]: *poly-nth-root p* = 0 \longleftrightarrow $p = 0$
(proof)

lemma *represents-nth-root*:
assumes $y^{\wedge} n = x$ **and** *alg*: *p represents x*
shows (*poly-nth-root p*) *represents y*
(proof)

```

lemma represents-nth-root-odd-real:
  assumes alg: p represents x and odd: odd n
  shows (poly-nth-root p) represents (root n x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-nth-root-pos-real:
  assumes alg: p represents x and pos: x > 0
  shows (poly-nth-root p) represents (root n x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-nth-root-neg-real:
  assumes alg: p represents x and neg: x < 0
  shows (poly-uminus (poly-nth-root (poly-uminus p))) represents (root n x)
  ⟨proof⟩
end
end

lemma represents-csqrt:
  assumes alg: p represents x shows (poly-nth-root 2 p) represents (csqrt x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-sqrt:
  assumes alg: p represents x and pos: x ≥ 0
  shows (poly-nth-root 2 p) represents (sqrt x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-degree:
  assumes p represents x shows degree p ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

Polynomial for multiplying a rational number with an algebraic number.

definition poly-mult-rat-main where
  poly-mult-rat-main n d (f :: 'a :: idom poly) = (let fs = coeffs f; k = length fs in
  poly-of-list (map (λ (fi, i). fi * d ^ i * n ^ (k - Suc i)) (zip fs [0 ..< k])))

definition poly-mult-rat :: rat ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly where
  poly-mult-rat r p ≡ case quotient-of r of (n,d) ⇒ poly-mult-rat-main n d p

lemma coeff-poly-mult-rat-main: coeff (poly-mult-rat-main n d f) i = coeff f i * n
  ^ (degree f - i) * d ^ i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-poly-mult-rat-main: n ≠ 0 ⇒ degree (poly-mult-rat-main n d f) =
  (if d = 0 then 0 else degree f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ipoly-mult-rat-main:
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field,ring-char-0}

```

```

assumes  $d \neq 0$  and  $n \neq 0$ 
shows  $\text{ipoly}(\text{poly-mult-rat-main } n \ d \ p) \ x = \text{of-int } n \ ^{\wedge} \ \text{degree } p * \text{ipoly } p \ (x * \text{of-int } d / \text{of-int } n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{degree-poly-mult-rat}[\text{simp}]$ : assumes  $r \neq 0$  shows  $\text{degree}(\text{poly-mult-rat } r \ p) = \text{degree } p$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{ipoly-mult-rat}$ :
assumes  $r \neq 0$ 
shows  $\text{ipoly}(\text{poly-mult-rat } r \ p) \ x = \text{of-int}(\text{fst}(\text{quotient-of } r)) \ ^{\wedge} \ \text{degree } p * \text{ipoly } p \ (x * \text{inverse}(\text{of-rat } r))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{poly-mult-rat-main-0}[\text{simp}]$ :
assumes  $n \neq 0 \ d \neq 0$  shows  $\text{poly-mult-rat-main } n \ d \ p = 0 \longleftrightarrow p = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{poly-mult-rat-0}[\text{simp}]$ : assumes  $r \neq 0$  shows  $\text{poly-mult-rat } r \ p = 0 \longleftrightarrow p = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{represents-mult-rat}$ :
assumes  $r: r \neq 0$  and  $p \text{ represents } x$  shows  $(\text{poly-mult-rat } r \ p) \text{ represents } (\text{of-rat } r * x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Polynomial for adding a rational number on an algebraic number. Again, we do not have to factor afterwards.

```

definition  $\text{poly-add-rat} :: \text{rat} \Rightarrow \text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly}$  where
 $\text{poly-add-rat } r \ p \equiv \text{case quotient-of } r \text{ of } (n, d) \Rightarrow$ 
 $(\text{poly-mult-rat-main } d \ 1 \ p \circ_p [-n, d:])$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{poly-add-rat-code}[\text{code}]$ :  $\text{poly-add-rat } r \ p \equiv \text{case quotient-of } r \text{ of } (n, d) \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{let } p' = (\text{let } fs = \text{coeffs } p; k = \text{length } fs \text{ in } \text{poly-of-list}(\text{map } (\lambda(f_i, i). f_i * d \ ^{\wedge} (k - \text{Suc } i)) (\text{zip } fs [0..<k])))$ ;
 $\quad p'' = p' \circ_p [-n, d:]$ 
 $\quad \text{in } p''$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{degree-poly-add-rat}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{degree}(\text{poly-add-rat } r \ p) = \text{degree } p$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{ipoly-add-rat}$ :  $\text{ipoly}(\text{poly-add-rat } r \ p) \ x = (\text{of-int}(\text{snd}(\text{quotient-of } r)) \ ^{\wedge} \ \text{degree } p) * \text{ipoly } p \ (x - \text{of-rat } r)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-add-rat-0[simp]: poly-add-rat r p = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  p = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-rat-roots: ipoly (poly-add-rat r p) x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ipoly p (x - of-rat r) =
0
⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-add-rat:
assumes p represents x shows (poly-add-rat r p) represents (of-rat r + x)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas pos-mult[simplified,simp] = mult-less-cancel-left-pos[of - 0] mult-less-cancel-left-pos[of
- - 0]

lemma ipoly-add-rat-pos-neg:
ipoly (poly-add-rat r p) (x::'a::linordered-field) < 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ipoly p (x - of-rat r) <
0
ipoly (poly-add-rat r p) (x::'a::linordered-field) > 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ipoly p (x - of-rat r) >
0
⟨proof⟩

lemma sgn-ipoly-add-rat[simp]:
sgn (ipoly (poly-add-rat r p) (x::'a::linordered-field)) = sgn (ipoly p (x - of-rat
r)) (is sgn ?l = sgn ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-nonzero-represents:
assumes deg: degree p ≠ 0 shows ∃ x :: complex. p represents x
⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Resultants

We need some results on resultants to show that a suitable prime for Berlekamp's algorithm always exists if the input is square free. Most of this theory has been developed for algebraic numbers, though. We moved this theory here, so that algebraic numbers can already use the factorization algorithm of this entry.

4.1 Bivariate Polynomials

```

theory Bivariate-Polynomials
imports
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
  Subresultants.More-Homomorphisms
  Berlekamp-Zassenhaus.Unique-Factorization-Poly

```

```
begin
```

4.1.1 Evaluation of Bivariate Polynomials

```
definition poly2 :: 'a::comm-semiring-1 poly poly ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  where poly2 p x y = poly (poly p [: y :]) x
```

```
lemma poly2-by-map: poly2 p x = poly (map-poly (λc. poly c x) p)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly2-const[simp]: poly2 [:[:a:]:] x y = a ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly2-smult[simp,hom-distrib]: poly2 (smult a p) x y = poly a x * poly2 p
  x y ⟨proof⟩
```

```
interpretation poly2-hom: comm-semiring-hom λp. poly2 p x y ⟨proof⟩
```

```
interpretation poly2-hom: comm-ring-hom λp. poly2 p x y ⟨proof⟩
```

```
interpretation poly2-hom: idom-hom λp. poly2 p x y ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly2-pCons[simp,hom-distrib]: poly2 (pCons a p) x y = poly a x + y *
  poly2 p x y ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly2-monom: poly2 (monom a n) x y = poly a x * y ^ n ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-poly-as-poly2: poly2 p x (poly q x) = poly (poly p q) x ⟨proof⟩
```

The following lemma is an extension rule for bivariate polynomials.

```
lemma poly2-ext:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: {ring-char-0,idom} poly poly
  assumes ⋀x y. poly2 p x y = poly2 q x y shows p = q
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
abbreviation (input) coeff-lift2 == λa. [:[: a :]:]
```

```
lemma coeff-lift2-lift: coeff-lift2 = coeff-lift ∘ coeff-lift ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition poly-lift = map-poly coeff-lift
```

```
definition poly-lift2 = map-poly coeff-lift2
```

```
lemma degree-poly-lift[simp]: degree (poly-lift p) = degree p
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-lift-0[simp]: poly-lift 0 = 0 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-lift-0-iff[simp]: poly-lift p = 0 ↔ p = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-lift-pCons[simp]:
  poly-lift (pCons a p) = pCons [:a:] (poly-lift p)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma coeff-poly-lift[simp]:
  fixes p:: 'a :: comm-monoid-add poly
  shows coeff (poly-lift p) i = coeff-lift (coeff p i)
  <proof>

lemma pcompose-conv-poly: pcompose p q = poly (poly-lift p) q
  <proof>

interpretation poly-lift-hom: inj-comm-monoid-add-hom poly-lift
  <proof>
interpretation poly-lift-hom: inj-comm-semiring-hom poly-lift
  <proof>
interpretation poly-lift-hom: inj-comm-ring-hom poly-lift <proof>
interpretation poly-lift-hom: inj-idom-hom poly-lift <proof>

lemma (in comm-monoid-add-hom) map-poly-hom-coeff-lift[simp, hom-distrib]:  

  map-poly hom (coeff-lift a) = coeff-lift (hom a) <proof>

lemma (in comm-ring-hom) map-poly-coeff-lift-hom:  

  map-poly (coeff-lift ∘ hom) p = map-poly (map-poly hom) (map-poly coeff-lift p)  

  <proof>

lemma poly-poly-lift[simp]:
  fixes p :: 'a :: comm-semiring-0 poly
  shows poly (poly-lift p) [:x:] = [: poly p x :]
  <proof>

lemma degree-poly-lift2[simp]:
  degree (poly-lift2 p) = degree p <proof>

lemma poly-lift2-0[simp]: poly-lift2 0 = 0 <proof>

lemma poly-lift2-0-iff[simp]: poly-lift2 p = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  p = 0
  <proof>

lemma poly-lift2-pCons[simp]:
  poly-lift2 (pCons a p) = pCons [:a:] (poly-lift2 p)
  <proof>

lemma poly-lift2-lift: poly-lift2 = poly-lift ∘ poly-lift (is ?l = ?r)
  <proof>

lemma poly2-poly-lift[simp]: poly2 (poly-lift p) x y = poly p y <proof>

lemma poly-lift2-nonzero:
  assumes p ≠ 0 shows poly-lift2 p ≠ 0
  <proof>

```

4.1.2 Swapping the Order of Variables

definition

poly-y-x p $\equiv \sum_{i \leq \text{degree } p} (\text{coeff } p i) \cdot \text{monom} (\text{monom} (\text{coeff} (\text{coeff } p i) j) i)$

lemma *poly-y-x-fix-y-deg*:

assumes *ydeg*: $\forall i \leq \text{degree } p. \text{degree} (\text{coeff } p i) \leq d$

shows *poly-y-x p* = $(\sum_{i \leq \text{degree } p} \sum_{j \leq d} (\text{monom} (\text{monom} (\text{coeff} (\text{coeff } p i) j) i))$

i) *j*)

(is $- = \text{sum} (\lambda i. \text{sum} (?f i) -) -$ **)**

{proof}

lemma *poly-y-x-fixed-deg*:

fixes *p* :: *'a* :: *comm-monoid-add poly poly*

defines *d* $\equiv \text{Max} \{ \text{degree} (\text{coeff } p i) \mid i. i \leq \text{degree } p \}$

shows *poly-y-x p* = $(\sum_{i \leq \text{degree } p} \sum_{j \leq d} (\text{monom} (\text{monom} (\text{coeff} (\text{coeff } p i) j) i))$

i) *j*)

{proof}

lemma *poly-y-x-swapped*:

fixes *p* :: *'a* :: *comm-monoid-add poly poly*

defines *d* $\equiv \text{Max} \{ \text{degree} (\text{coeff } p i) \mid i. i \leq \text{degree } p \}$

shows *poly-y-x p* = $(\sum_{j \leq d} \sum_{i \leq \text{degree } p} (\text{monom} (\text{monom} (\text{coeff} (\text{coeff } p i) j) i))$

i) *j*)

{proof}

lemma *poly2-poly-y-x[simp]*: *poly2 (poly-y-x p) x y* = *poly2 p y x*

{proof}

context begin

private lemma *poly-monom-mult*:

fixes *p* :: *'a* :: *comm-semiring-1*

shows *poly (monom p i * q ^ j) y* = *poly (monom p j * [:y:] ^ i) (poly q y)*

{proof}

lemma *poly-poly-y-x*:

fixes *p* :: *'a* :: *comm-semiring-1 poly poly*

shows *poly (poly (poly-y-x p) q) y* = *poly (poly p [:y:]) (poly q y)*

{proof}

end

interpretation *poly-y-x-hom*: *zero-hom poly-y-x* *{proof}*

interpretation *poly-y-x-hom*: *one-hom poly-y-x* *{proof}*

lemma *map-poly-sum-commute*:

assumes *h 0 = 0* $\forall p q. h(p + q) = h p + h q$

shows *sum (λi. map-poly h (f i)) S* = *map-poly h (sum f S)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x\text{-}const$: $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x[:p:] = poly\text{-}lift p$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x\text{-}pCons$:

shows $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x(pCons a p) = poly\text{-}lift a + map\text{-}poly(pCons 0)(poly\text{-}y\text{-}x p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x\text{-}pCons\text{-}0$: $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x(pCons 0 p) = map\text{-}poly(pCons 0)(poly\text{-}y\text{-}x p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x\text{-}map\text{-}poly\text{-}pCons\text{-}0$: $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x(map\text{-}poly(pCons 0)p) = pCons 0$
($poly\text{-}y\text{-}x p$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x\text{-}hom$: $comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add\text{-}hom$ $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x :: 'a :: comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add$
 $poly\text{ }poly \Rightarrow -$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$poly\text{-}y\text{-}x$ is bijective.

lemma $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x\text{-}poly\text{-}lift$:

fixes $p :: 'a :: comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add$ $poly$
shows $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x(poly\text{-}lift p) = [:p:]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x\text{-}id[simp]$:

fixes $p :: 'a :: comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add$ $poly$ $poly$
shows $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x(poly\text{-}y\text{-}x p) = p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x\text{-}hom$:

$bijective\text{ }poly\text{-}y\text{-}x :: 'a :: comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add$ $poly$ $poly \Rightarrow -$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $inv\text{-}poly\text{-}y\text{-}x[simp]$: $Hilbert\text{-}Choice.inv\text{ }poly\text{-}y\text{-}x = poly\text{-}y\text{-}x$ $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x\text{-}hom$: $comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add\text{-}isom$ $poly\text{-}y\text{-}x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pCons\text{-}as\text{-}add$:

fixes $p :: 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1$ $poly$
shows $pCons a p = [:a:] + monom 1 1 * p$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mult\text{-}pCons\text{-}0$: $(*)(pCons 0 1) = pCons 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pCons\text{-}0\text{-}as\text{-}mult$:

shows $pCons(0 :: 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1) = (\lambda p. pCons 0 1 * p)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma map-poly-pCons-0-as-mult:
  fixes p :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly poly
  shows map-poly (pCons 0) p = [:pCons 0 1:] * p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-y-x-monom:
  fixes a :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly
  shows poly-y-x (monom a n) = smult (monom 1 n) (poly-lift a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-y-x-smult:
  fixes c :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly
  shows poly-y-x (smult c p) = poly-lift c * poly-y-x p (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation poly-y-x-hom:
  comm-semiring-isom poly-y-x :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly poly ⇒ -
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation poly-y-x-hom: comm-ring-isom poly-y-x⟨proof⟩
interpretation poly-y-x-hom: idom-isom poly-y-x⟨proof⟩

lemma Max-degree-coeff-pCons:
  Max { degree (coeff (pCons a p) i) | i. i ≤ degree (pCons a p) } =
  max (degree a) (Max { degree (coeff p x) | x. x ≤ degree p })
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-poly-y-x:
  fixes p :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly poly
  assumes p ≠ 0
  shows degree (poly-y-x p) = Max { degree (coeff p i) | i. i ≤ degree p }
  (is - = ?d p)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

4.2 Resultant

This theory contains facts about resultants which are required for addition and multiplication of algebraic numbers.

The results are taken from the textbook [2, pages 227ff and 235ff].
```

theory Resultant
imports

- HOL-Computational-Algebra.Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra*
- Subresultants.Resultant-Prelim*
- Berlekamp-Zassenhaus.Unique-Factorization-Poly*
- Bivariate-Polynomials*

begin

4.2.1 Sylvester matrices and vector representation of polynomials

```

definition vec-of-poly-rev-shifted where
  vec-of-poly-rev-shifted p n j ≡
    vec n (λi. if i ≤ j ∧ j ≤ degree p + i then coeff p (degree p + i - j) else 0)

lemma vec-of-poly-rev-shifted-dim[simp]: dim-vec (vec-of-poly-rev-shifted p n j) = n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma col-sylvester:
  fixes p q
  defines m ≡ degree p and n ≡ degree q
  assumes j: j < m+n
  shows col (sylvester-mat p q) j =
    vec-of-poly-rev-shifted p n j @v vec-of-poly-rev-shifted q m j (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-on-diff-nat2: inj-on (λi. (n::nat) - i) {..n} ⟨proof⟩

lemma image-diff-atMost: (λi. (n::nat) - i) ` {..n} = {..n} (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sylvester-sum-mat-upper:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly
  defines m ≡ degree p and n ≡ degree q
  assumes i: i < n
  shows (∑j<m+n. monom (sylvester-mat p q $$ (i,j)) (m + n - Suc j)) =
    monom 1 (n - Suc i) * p (is sum ?f - = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sylvester-sum-mat-lower:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly
  defines m ≡ degree p and n ≡ degree q
  assumes ni: n ≤ i and inn: i < m+n
  shows (∑j<m+n. monom (sylvester-mat p q $$ (i,j)) (m + n - Suc j)) =
    monom 1 (m + n - Suc i) * q (is sum ?f - = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition vec-of-poly p ≡ let m = degree p in vec (Suc m) (λi. coeff p (m-i))

definition poly-of-vec v ≡ let d = dim-vec v in ∑i<d. monom (v $ (d - Suc i))

lemma poly-of-vec-of-poly[simp]:
  fixes p :: 'a :: comm-monoid-add poly
  shows poly-of-vec (vec-of-poly p) = p
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-of-vec-0[simp]: poly-of-vec (0v n) = 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-of-vec-0-iff[simp]:
  fixes v :: 'a :: comm-monoid-add vec
  shows poly-of-vec v = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  v = 0v (dim-vec v) (is ?v = -  $\longleftrightarrow$  - = ?z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-sum-smaller:
  assumes n > 0 finite A
  shows ( $\bigwedge$  x. x ∈ A  $\implies$  degree (f x) < n)  $\implies$  degree ( $\sum$  x ∈ A. f x) < n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-poly-of-vec-less:
  fixes v :: 'a :: comm-monoid-add vec
  assumes dim: dim-vec v > 0
  shows degree (poly-of-vec v) < dim-vec v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-poly-of-vec:
  coeff (poly-of-vec v) i = (if i < dim-vec v then v $ (dim-vec v - Suc i) else 0)
  (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vec-of-poly-rev-shifted-scalar-prod:
  fixes p v
  defines q ≡ poly-of-vec v
  assumes m[simp]: degree p = m and n: dim-vec v = n
  assumes j: j < m+n
  shows vec-of-poly-rev-shifted p n (n+m-Suc j) · v = coeff (p * q) j (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sylvester-vec-poly:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-semiring-0 poly
  defines m ≡ degree p
  and n ≡ degree q
  assumes v: v ∈ carrier-vec (m+n)
  shows poly-of-vec (transpose-mat (sylvester-mat p q) *v v) =
    poly-of-vec (vec-first v n) * p + poly-of-vec (vec-last v m) * q (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.2.2 Homomorphism and Resultant

Here we prove Lemma 7.3.1 of the textbook.

```

lemma(in comm-ring-hom) resultant-sub-map-poly:
  fixes p q :: 'a poly
  shows hom (resultant-sub m n p q) = resultant-sub m n (map-poly hom p)
    (map-poly hom q)
  (is ?l = ?r')

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.2.3 Resultant as Polynomial Expression

context begin

This context provides notions for proving Lemma 7.2.1 of the textbook.

private fun *mk-poly-sub* **where**

mk-poly-sub A l 0 = A

| *mk-poly-sub A l (Suc j) = mat-addcol (monom 1 (Suc j)) l (l-Suc j) (mk-poly-sub A l j)*

definition *mk-poly A = mk-poly-sub (map-mat coeff-lift A) (dim-col A - 1)*
(dim-col A - 1)

private lemma *mk-poly-sub-dim[simp]*:

dim-row (mk-poly-sub A l j) = dim-row A

dim-col (mk-poly-sub A l j) = dim-col A

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *mk-poly-sub-carrier*:

assumes *A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc shows mk-poly-sub A l j ∈ carrier-mat nr nc*

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *mk-poly-dim[simp]*:

dim-col (mk-poly A) = dim-col A

dim-row (mk-poly A) = dim-row A

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *mk-poly-sub-others[simp]*:

assumes *l ≠ j' and i < dim-row A and j' < dim-col A*

shows *mk-poly-sub A l j \$\$ (i,j') = A \$\$ (i,j')*

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *mk-poly-others[simp]*:

assumes *i: i < dim-row A and j: j < dim-col A - 1*

shows *mk-poly A \$\$ (i,j) = [: A \$\$ (i,j) :]*

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *mk-poly-delete[simp]*:

assumes *i: i < dim-row A*

shows *mat-delete (mk-poly A) i (dim-col A - 1) = map-mat coeff-lift (mat-delete A i (dim-col A - 1))*

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *col-mk-poly-sub[simp]*:

assumes *l ≠ j' and j' < dim-col A*

shows *col (mk-poly-sub A l j) j' = col A j'*

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *det-mk-poly-sub*:

assumes *A: (A :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n and i: i < n*

shows *det (mk-poly-sub A (n-1) i) = det A*

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *det-mk-poly*:

fixes *A :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 mat*

shows *det (mk-poly A) = [: det A :]*

$\langle proof \rangle$ **fun** *mk-poly2-row* **where**

mk-poly2-row A d j pv 0 = pv

| *mk-poly2-row A d j pv (Suc n) =*

mk-poly2-row A d j pv n |_v n ↦ pv \$ n + monom (A\$\$ (n,j)) d

private fun *mk-poly2-col* **where**

mk-poly2-col A pv 0 = pv

| *mk-poly2-col A pv (Suc m) =*

```

 $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}row A m (dim\text{-}col A - Suc m) (mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}col A pv m) (dim\text{-}row A)$ 

private definition  $mk\text{-}poly2 A \equiv mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}col A (0_v (dim\text{-}row A)) (dim\text{-}col A)$ 

private lemma  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}row\text{-}dim[simp]: dim\text{-}vec (mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}row A d j pv i) = dim\text{-}vec pv$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}col\text{-}dim[simp]: dim\text{-}vec (mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}col A pv j) = dim\text{-}vec pv$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}row:$ 
  assumes  $n: n \leq dim\text{-}vec pv$ 
  shows  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}row A d j pv n \$ i = (if i < n then pv \$ i + monom (A \$\$ (i,j)) d else pv \$ i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}row\text{-}col:$ 
  assumes  $dim[simp]: dim\text{-}vec pv = n dim\text{-}row A = n$  and  $j: j < dim\text{-}col A$ 
  shows  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}row A d j pv n = pv + map\text{-}vec (\lambda a. monom a d) (col A j)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}col:$ 
  fixes  $pv :: 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1 poly vec$  and  $A :: 'a mat$ 
  assumes  $i: i < dim\text{-}row A$  and  $dim: dim\text{-}row A = dim\text{-}vec pv$ 
  shows  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}col A pv j \$ i = pv \$ i + (\sum j' < j. monom (A \$\$ (i, dim\text{-}col A - Suc j')) j')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}pre:$ 
  fixes  $A :: 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1 mat$ 
  assumes  $i: i < dim\text{-}row A$ 
  shows  $mk\text{-}poly2 A \$ i = (\sum j' < dim\text{-}col A. monom (A \$\$ (i, dim\text{-}col A - Suc j')) j')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $mk\text{-}poly2:$ 
  fixes  $A :: 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1 mat$ 
  assumes  $i: i < dim\text{-}row A$ 
  and  $c: dim\text{-}col A > 0$ 
  shows  $mk\text{-}poly2 A \$ i = (\sum j' < dim\text{-}col A. monom (A \$\$ (i,j')) (dim\text{-}col A - Suc j'))$ 
   $\langle is ?l = sum ?f ?S \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}sylvester\text{-}upper:$ 
  fixes  $p q :: 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1 poly$ 
  assumes  $i: i < degree q$ 
  shows  $mk\text{-}poly2 (sylvester\text{-}mat p q) \$ i = monom 1 (degree q - Suc i) * p$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $mk\text{-}poly2\text{-}sylvester\text{-}lower:$ 
  fixes  $p q :: 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1 poly$ 
  assumes  $mi: i \geq degree q$  and  $imn: i < degree p + degree q$ 
  shows  $mk\text{-}poly2 (sylvester\text{-}mat p q) \$ i = monom 1 (degree p + degree q - Suc i) * q$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $foo:$ 
  fixes  $v :: 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1 vec$ 
  shows  $monom 1 d \cdot v map\text{-}vec coeff\text{-}lift v = map\text{-}vec (\lambda a. monom a d) v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma  $mk\text{-}poly\text{-}sub\text{-}corresp:$ 
  assumes  $dimA[simp]: dim\text{-}col A = Suc l$  and  $dimpv[simp]: dim\text{-}vec pv = dim\text{-}row A$ 
  and  $j: j < dim\text{-}col A$ 
  shows  $pv + col (mk\text{-}poly\text{-}sub (map\text{-}mat coeff\text{-}lift A) l j) l =$ 

```

```

mk-poly2-col A pv (Suc j)
⟨proof⟩ lemma col-mk-poly-mk-poly2:
  fixes A :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 mat
  assumes dim: dim-col A > 0
  shows col (mk-poly A) (dim-col A - 1) = mk-poly2 A
⟨proof⟩ lemma mk-poly-mk-poly2:
  fixes A :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 mat
  assumes dim: dim-col A > 0 and i: i < dim-row A
  shows mk-poly A $$ (i, dim-col A - 1) = mk-poly2 A $ i
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-poly-sylvester-upper:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly
  defines m ≡ degree p and n ≡ degree q
  assumes i: i < n
  shows mk-poly (sylvester-mat p q) $$ (i, m + n - 1) = monom 1 (n - Suc i)
* p (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-poly-sylvester-lower:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly
  defines m ≡ degree p and n ≡ degree q
  assumes ni: n ≤ i and imn: i < m+n
  shows mk-poly (sylvester-mat p q) $$ (i, m + n - 1) = monom 1 (m + n -
Suc i) * q (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

```

The next lemma corresponds to Lemma 7.2.1.

```

lemma resultant-as-poly:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly
  assumes degp: degree p > 0 and degq: degree q > 0
  shows ∃ p' q'. degree p' < degree q ∧ degree q' < degree p ∧
    [: resultant p q :] = p' * p + q' * q
⟨proof⟩

end

```

4.2.4 Resultant as Nonzero Polynomial Expression

```

lemma resultant-zero:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly
  assumes deg: degree p > 0 ∨ degree q > 0
    and xp: poly p x = 0 and xq: poly q x = 0
  shows resultant p q = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-resultant-zero:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly poly
  assumes deg: degree p > 0 ∨ degree q > 0
  assumes p0: poly2 p x y = 0 and q0: poly2 q x y = 0

```

```

shows poly (resultant p q) x = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma resultant-as-nonzero-poly-weak:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: idom poly
  assumes degp: degree p > 0 and degq: degree q > 0
    and r0: resultant p q ≠ 0
  shows ∃ p' q'. degree p' < degree q ∧ degree q' < degree p ∧
    [: resultant p q :] = p' * p + q' * q ∧ p' ≠ 0 ∧ q' ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

```

Next lemma corresponds to Lemma 7.2.2 of the textbook

```

lemma resultant-as-nonzero-poly:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: idom poly
  defines m ≡ degree p and n ≡ degree q
  assumes degp: m > 0 and degq: n > 0
  shows ∃ p' q'. degree p' < n ∧ degree q' < m ∧
    [: resultant p q :] = p' * p + q' * q ∧ p' ≠ 0 ∧ q' ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

```

Corresponds to Lemma 7.2.3 of the textbook

```

lemma resultant-zero-imp-common-factor:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: ufd poly
  assumes deg: degree p > 0 ∨ degree q > 0 and r0: resultant p q = 0
  shows ¬ coprime p q
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma resultant-non-zero-imp-coprime:
  assumes nz: resultant (f :: 'a :: field poly) g ≠ 0
    and nz': f ≠ 0 ∨ g ≠ 0
  shows coprime f g
⟨proof⟩

```

end

5 Algebraic Numbers: Addition and Multiplication

This theory contains the remaining field operations for algebraic numbers, namely addition and multiplication.

```

theory Algebraic-Numbers
  imports
    Algebraic-Numbers-Prelim
    Resultant
    Polynomial-Factorization.Polynomial-Divisibility
  begin

```

```

interpretation coeff-hom: monoid-add-hom λp. coeff p i ⟨proof⟩

```

```

interpretation coeff-hom: comm-monoid-add-hom  $\lambda p. \text{coeff } p i$  ⟨proof⟩
interpretation coeff-hom: group-add-hom  $\lambda p. \text{coeff } p i$  ⟨proof⟩
interpretation coeff-hom: ab-group-add-hom  $\lambda p. \text{coeff } p i$  ⟨proof⟩
interpretation coeff-0-hom: monoid-mult-hom  $\lambda p. \text{coeff } p 0$  ⟨proof⟩
interpretation coeff-0-hom: semiring-hom  $\lambda p. \text{coeff } p 0$  ⟨proof⟩
interpretation coeff-0-hom: comm-monoid-mult-hom  $\lambda p. \text{coeff } p 0$  ⟨proof⟩
interpretation coeff-0-hom: comm-semiring-hom  $\lambda p. \text{coeff } p 0$  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.1 Addition of Algebraic Numbers

definition $x-y \equiv [: 0, 1 :], -1 :]$

definition $\text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y p = \text{poly-lift } p \circ_p x-y$

lemma $\text{coeff-}xy\text{-power}:$
assumes $k \leq n$
shows $\text{coeff } (x-y \wedge n :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly poly}) k =$
 $\text{monom } (\text{of-nat } (n \text{ choose } (n - k)) * (-1) \wedge k) (n - k)$
⟨proof⟩

The following polynomial represents the sum of two algebraic numbers.

definition $\text{poly-add} :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly}$ **where**
 $\text{poly-add } p q = \text{resultant } (\text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y p) (\text{poly-lift } q)$

5.1.1 poly-add has desired root

interpretation $\text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y\text{-hom}:$
 $\text{comm-ring-hom } \text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{poly2-}x\text{-}y[\text{simp}]:$
fixes $x :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1}$
shows $\text{poly2 } x-y x y = x - y$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{degree-}x\text{-minus-}y[\text{simp}]:$
fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{idom poly}$
shows $\text{degree } (\text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y p) = \text{degree } p$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y\text{-pCons}[\text{simp}]:$
 $\text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y (p\text{Cons } a p) = [:[: a :]:] + \text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y p * x-y$
⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y[\text{simp}]:$
fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly}$
shows $\text{poly } (\text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y p) q x = \text{poly } p (x - \text{poly } q x)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{poly2-}x\text{-minus-}y[\text{simp}]:$
fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly}$
shows $\text{poly2 } (\text{poly-}x\text{-minus-}y p) x y = \text{poly } p (x-y)$ ⟨proof⟩

```

interpretation x-y-mult-hom: zero-hom-0  $\lambda p :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly poly}$ . x-y *
 $p$ 
<proof>

lemma x-y-nonzero[simp]: x-y  $\neq 0$  <proof>

lemma degree-x-y[simp]: degree x-y = 1 <proof>

interpretation x-y-mult-hom: inj-comm-monoid-add-hom  $\lambda p :: 'a :: \text{idom poly poly}$ .
x-y *  $p$ 
<proof>

interpretation poly-x-minus-y-hom: inj-idom-hom poly-x-minus-y
<proof>

lemma poly-add:
  fixes  $p q :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly}$ 
  assumes  $q \neq 0$  and  $x: \text{poly } p \ x = 0$  and  $y: \text{poly } q \ y = 0$ 
  shows  $\text{poly} (\text{poly-add } p \ q) (x+y) = 0$ 
<proof>

```

5.1.2 *poly-add* is nonzero

We first prove that *poly-lift* preserves factorization. The result will be essential also in the next section for division of algebraic numbers.

```

interpretation poly-lift-hom:
  unit-preserving-hom poly-lift ::  $'a :: \{\text{comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors}\}$ 
poly  $\Rightarrow$  -
<proof>

interpretation poly-lift-hom:
  factor-preserving-hom poly-lift ::  $'a :: \text{idom poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly poly}$ 
<proof>

```

We now show that *poly-x-minus-y* is a factor-preserving homomorphism. This is essential for this section. This is easy since *poly-x-minus-y* can be represented as the composition of two factor-preserving homomorphisms.

```

lemma poly-x-minus-y-as-comp: poly-x-minus-y =  $(\lambda p. p \circ_p x-y) \circ \text{poly-lift}$ 
<proof>
context idom-isom begin
  sublocale comm-semiring-isom <proof>
end

```

```

interpretation poly-x-minus-y-hom:
  factor-preserving-hom poly-x-minus-y ::  $'a :: \text{idom poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly poly}$ 
<proof>

```

Now we show that results of *poly-x-minus-y* and *poly-lift* are coprime.

```

lemma poly-y-x-const[simp]: poly-y-x [:[:a:]:] = [:[:a:]:] <proof>

```

```

context begin

private abbreviation y-x == [: [: 0, -1 :], 1 :]

lemma poly-y-x-x-y[simp]: poly-y-x x-y = y-x ⟨proof⟩ lemma y-x[simp]: fixes x :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 shows poly2 y-x x y = y - x
⟨proof⟩ definition poly-y-minus-x p ≡ poly-lift p ∘p y-x

private lemma poly-y-minus-x-0[simp]: poly-y-minus-x 0 = 0 ⟨proof⟩ lemma
poly-y-minus-x-pCons[simp]:
  poly-y-minus-x (pCons a p) = [:[: a :]:] + poly-y-minus-x p * y-x ⟨proof⟩ lemma
poly-y-x-poly-x-minus-y:
  fixes p :: 'a :: idom poly
  shows poly-y-x (poly-x-minus-y p) = poly-y-minus-x p
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-poly-y-minus-x[simp]:
  fixes p :: 'a :: idom poly
  shows degree (poly-y-x (poly-x-minus-y p)) = degree p
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma dvd-all-coeffs-iff:
  fixes x :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1
  shows (∀ pi ∈ set (coeffs p). x dvd pi) ↔ (∀ i. x dvd coeff p i) (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma primitive-imp-no-constant-factor:
  fixes p :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly
  assumes pr: primitive p and F: mset-factors F p and ff: f ∈# F
  shows degree f ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-poly-x-minus-y-poly-lift:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: ufd poly
  assumes degp: degree p > 0 and degq: degree q > 0
  and pr: primitive p
  shows coprime (poly-x-minus-y p) (poly-lift q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-add-nonzero:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: ufd poly
  assumes p0: p ≠ 0 and q0: q ≠ 0 and x: poly p x = 0 and y: poly q y = 0
  and pr: primitive p
  shows poly-add p q ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

```

5.1.3 Summary for addition

Now we lift the results to one that uses *ipoly*, by showing some homomorphism lemmas.

```

lemma (in comm-ring-hom) map-poly-x-minus-y:
  map-poly (map-poly hom) (poly-x-minus-y p) = poly-x-minus-y (map-poly hom p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm-ring-hom) hom-poly-lift[simp]:
  map-poly (map-poly hom) (poly-lift q) = poly-lift (map-poly hom q)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lead-coeff-poly-x-minus-y:
  fixes p :: 'a::idom poly
  shows lead-coeff (poly-x-minus-y p) = [:lead-coeff p * ((- 1) ^ degree p):] (is ?l
  = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-coeff-poly-x-minus-y:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: {idom, semiring-char-0} poly
  shows degree (coeff (poly-x-minus-y p) i) = degree p - i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-0-poly-x-minus-y [simp]: coeff (poly-x-minus-y p) 0 = p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in idom-hom) poly-add-hom:
  assumes p0: hom (lead-coeff p) ≠ 0 and q0: hom (lead-coeff q) ≠ 0
  shows map-poly hom (poly-add p q) = poly-add (map-poly hom p) (map-poly hom q)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in zero-hom) hom-lead-coeff-nonzero-imp-map-poly-hom:
  assumes hom (lead-coeff p) ≠ 0
  shows map-poly hom p ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ipoly-poly-add:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: idom
  assumes p0: (of-int (lead-coeff p) :: 'a) ≠ 0 and q0: (of-int (lead-coeff q) :: 'a)
  ≠ 0
  and x: ipoly p x = 0 and y: ipoly q y = 0
  shows ipoly (poly-add p q) (x+y) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm-monoid-gcd) gcd-list-eq-0-iff[simp]: listged xs = 0 ↔ (∀ x ∈
set xs. x = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *primitive-field-poly*[simp]: *primitive* ($p :: 'a :: \text{field poly}$) $\longleftrightarrow p \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ipoly-poly-add-nonzero*:
fixes $x y :: 'a :: \text{field}$
assumes $p \neq 0$ **and** $q \neq 0$ **and** *ipoly* $p x = 0$ **and** *ipoly* $q y = 0$
and (*of-int* (*lead-coeff* $p :: 'a$) $\neq 0$) **and** (*of-int* (*lead-coeff* $q :: 'a$) $\neq 0$)
shows *poly-add* $p q \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *represents-add*:
assumes $x: p \text{ represents } x$ **and** $y: q \text{ represents } y$
shows (*poly-add* $p q$) *represents* $(x + y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.2 Division of Algebraic Numbers

definition *poly-x-mult-y* **where**
 $[\text{code del}]: \text{poly-x-mult-y } p \equiv (\sum i \leq \text{degree } p. \text{ monom} (\text{monom} (\text{coeff } p i) i) i)$

lemma *coeff-poly-x-mult-y*:
shows *coeff* (*poly-x-mult-y* p) $i = \text{monom} (\text{coeff } p i) i$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-x-mult-y-code*[*code*]: *poly-x-mult-y* $p = (\text{let } cs = \text{coeffs } p$
in *poly-of-list* (*map* ($\lambda (i, ai). \text{monom } ai i$) (*zip* [$0 .. < \text{length } cs$] cs)))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *poly-div* :: $'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly}$ **where**
 $\text{poly-div } p q = \text{resultant} (\text{poly-x-mult-y } p) (\text{poly-lift } q)$

poly-div has desired roots.

lemma *poly2-poly-x-mult-y*:
fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly}$
shows *poly2* (*poly-x-mult-y* p) $x y = \text{poly } p (x * y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-div*:
fixes $p q :: 'a :: \text{field poly}$
assumes $q0: q \neq 0$ **and** $x: \text{poly } p x = 0$ **and** $y: \text{poly } q y = 0$ **and** $y0: y \neq 0$
shows *poly* (*poly-div* $p q$) $(x/y) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

poly-div is nonzero.

interpretation *poly-x-mult-y-hom*: *ring-hom* *poly-x-mult-y* :: $'a :: \{\text{idom}, \text{ring-char-0}\}$
 $\text{poly} \Rightarrow -$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *poly-x-mult-y-hom*: *inj-ring-hom* *poly-x-mult-y* :: '*a* :: {*idom, ring-char-0*}

poly \Rightarrow -
{proof}

lemma *degree-poly-x-mult-y*[simp]:

fixes *p* :: '*a* :: {*idom, ring-char-0*} *poly*
shows *degree* (*poly-x-mult-y p*) = *degree p* (**is** ?*l* = ?*r*)
{proof}

interpretation *poly-x-mult-y-hom*: *unit-preserving-hom* *poly-x-mult-y* :: '*a* :: *field-char-0*
poly \Rightarrow -
{proof}

lemmas *poly-y-x-o-poly-lift* = *o-def*[*of poly-y-x poly-lift, unfolded poly-y-x-poly-lift*]

lemma *irreducible-dvd-degree*: **assumes** (*f*::'*a*::*field poly*) *dvd g*
irreducible g
degree f > 0
shows *degree f* = *degree g*
{proof}

lemma *coprime-poly-x-mult-y-poly-lift*:
fixes *p q* :: '*a* :: *field-char-0 poly*
assumes *degp: degree p > 0 and degq: degree q > 0*
and nz: poly p 0 ≠ 0 ∨ poly q 0 ≠ 0
shows *coprime (poly-x-mult-y p) (poly-lift q)*
{proof}

lemma *poly-div-nonzero*:
fixes *p q* :: '*a* :: *field-char-0 poly*
assumes *p0: p ≠ 0 and q0: q ≠ 0 and x: poly p x = 0 and y: poly q y = 0*
and p-0: poly p 0 ≠ 0 ∨ poly q 0 ≠ 0
shows *poly-div p q ≠ 0*
{proof}

5.2.1 Summary for division

Now we lift the results to one that uses *ipoly*, by showing some homomorphism lemmas.

lemma (**in inj-comm-ring-hom**) *poly-x-mult-y-hom*:
poly-x-mult-y (map-poly hom p) = map-poly (map-poly hom) (poly-x-mult-y p)
{proof}

lemma (**in inj-comm-ring-hom**) *poly-div-hom*:
map-poly hom (poly-div p q) = poly-div (map-poly hom p) (map-poly hom q)
{proof}

lemma *ipoly-poly-div*:
fixes *x y* :: '*a* :: *field-char-0*

assumes $q \neq 0$ **and** $\text{ipoly } p \ x = 0$ **and** $\text{ipoly } q \ y = 0$ **and** $y \neq 0$
shows $\text{ipoly} (\text{poly-div } p \ q) (x/y) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ipoly-poly-div-nonzero*:
fixes $x \ y :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$
assumes $p \neq 0$ **and** $q \neq 0$ **and** $\text{ipoly } p \ x = 0$ **and** $\text{ipoly } q \ y = 0$ **and** $\text{poly } p \ 0 \neq 0 \vee \text{poly } q \ 0 \neq 0$
shows $\text{poly-div } p \ q \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *represents-div*:
fixes $x \ y :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$
assumes $p \ \text{represents } x$ **and** $q \ \text{represents } y$ **and** $\text{poly } q \ 0 \neq 0$
shows $(\text{poly-div } p \ q) \ \text{represents } (x / y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3 Multiplication of Algebraic Numbers

definition *poly-mult* **where** $\text{poly-mult } p \ q \equiv \text{poly-div } p \ (\text{reflect-poly } q)$

lemma *represents-mult*:
assumes $px: p \ \text{represents } x$ **and** $qy: q \ \text{represents } y$ **and** $q \cdot 0: \text{poly } q \ 0 \neq 0$
shows $(\text{poly-mult } p \ q) \ \text{represents } (x * y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4 Summary: Closure Properties of Algebraic Numbers

lemma *algebraic-representsI*: $p \ \text{represents } x \implies \text{algebraic } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-of-rat*: $\text{algebraic } (\text{of-rat } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-uminus*: $\text{algebraic } x \implies \text{algebraic } (-x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-inverse*: $\text{algebraic } x \implies \text{algebraic } (\text{inverse } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-plus*: $\text{algebraic } x \implies \text{algebraic } y \implies \text{algebraic } (x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-div*:
assumes $x: \text{algebraic } x$ **and** $y: \text{algebraic } y$ **shows** $\text{algebraic } (x/y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-times*: $\text{algebraic } x \implies \text{algebraic } y \implies \text{algebraic } (x * y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-root*: *algebraic* $x \implies \text{algebraic}(\text{root } n \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-nth-root*: $n \neq 0 \implies \text{algebraic } x \implies y^{\wedge n} = x \implies \text{algebraic } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.5 More on algebraic integers

definition *poly-add-sign* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1}$ **where**
 $\text{poly-add-sign } m \ n = \text{signof} (\lambda i. \text{if } i < n \text{ then } m + i \text{ else if } i < m + n \text{ then } i - n \text{ else } i)$

lemma *lead-coeff-poly-add*:
fixes $p \ q :: 'a :: \{\text{idom}, \text{semiring-char-0}\}$ *poly*
defines $m \equiv \text{degree } p$ **and** $n \equiv \text{degree } q$
assumes *lead-coeff* $p = 1$ *lead-coeff* $q = 1$ $m > 0$ $n > 0$
shows *lead-coeff* (*poly-add* $p \ q :: 'a \text{ poly}$) = *poly-add-sign* $m \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lead-coeff-poly-mult*:
fixes $p \ q :: 'a :: \{\text{idom}, \text{ring-char-0}\}$ *poly*
defines $m \equiv \text{degree } p$ **and** $n \equiv \text{degree } q$
assumes *lead-coeff* $p = 1$ *lead-coeff* $q = 1$ $m > 0$ $n > 0$
assumes *coeff* $q \ 0 \neq 0$
shows *lead-coeff* (*poly-mult* $p \ q :: 'a \text{ poly}$) = 1
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-int-plus* [intro]:
fixes $x \ y :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$
assumes *algebraic-int* x *algebraic-int* y
shows *algebraic-int* ($x + y$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-int-times* [intro]:
fixes $x \ y :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$
assumes *algebraic-int* x *algebraic-int* y
shows *algebraic-int* ($x * y$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-int-power* [intro]:
algebraic-int ($x :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$) $\implies \text{algebraic-int}(x^{\wedge n})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-int-diff* [intro]:
fixes $x \ y :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$
assumes *algebraic-int* x *algebraic-int* y
shows *algebraic-int* ($x - y$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma algebraic-int-sum [intro]:
  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{algebraic-int}(\text{sum } f A)$ )
     $\implies \text{algebraic-int}(\text{sum } f A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-int-prod [intro]:
  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{algebraic-int}(\text{prod } f A)$ )
     $\implies \text{algebraic-int}(\text{prod } f A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-int-nth-root-real-iff:
   $\text{algebraic-int}(\text{root } n x) \longleftrightarrow n = 0 \vee \text{algebraic-int } x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-int-power-iff:
   $\text{algebraic-int}(x^{\wedge} n :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}) \longleftrightarrow n = 0 \vee \text{algebraic-int } x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-int-power-iff' [simp]:
   $n > 0 \implies \text{algebraic-int}(x^{\wedge} n :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}) \longleftrightarrow \text{algebraic-int } x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-int-sqrt-iff [simp]:  $\text{algebraic-int}(\text{sqrt } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{algebraic-int } x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-int-csqrt-iff [simp]:  $\text{algebraic-int}(\text{csqrt } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{algebraic-int } x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma algebraic-int-norm-complex [intro]:
  assumes  $\text{algebraic-int}(z :: \text{complex})$ 
  shows  $\text{algebraic-int}(\text{norm } z)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

hide-const (open) x-y

end

```

6 Separation of Roots: Sturm

We adapt the existing theory on Sturm's theorem to work on rational numbers instead of real numbers. The reason is that we want to implement real numbers as real algebraic numbers with the help of Sturm's theorem to separate the roots. To this end, we just copy the definitions of the algorithms w.r.t. Sturm and let them be executed on rational numbers. We then prove that corresponds to a homomorphism and therefore can transfer the existing soundness results.

theory Sturm-Rat

```

imports
  Sturm-Sequences.Sturm-Theorem
  Algebraic-Numbers-Prelim
  Berlekamp-Zassenhaus.Square-Free-Int-To-Square-Free-GFp
begin

hide-const (open) UnivPoly.coeff

lemma root-primitive-part [simp]:
  fixes p :: 'a :: {semiring-gcd, semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly
  shows poly (primitive-part p) x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  poly p x = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma irreducible-primitive-part:
  assumes irreducible p and degree p > 0
  shows primitive-part p = p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

6.1 Interface for Separating Roots

For a given rational polynomial, we need to know how many real roots are in a given closed interval, and how many real roots are in an interval $(-\infty, r]$.

```

datatype root-info = Root-Info (l-r: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  nat) (number-root: rat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)
hide-const (open) l-r
hide-const (open) number-root

```

```

definition count-roots-interval-sf :: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\times$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  nat) where
  count-roots-interval-sf p = (let ps = sturm-squarefree p
    in (( $\lambda$  a b. sign-changes ps a - sign-changes ps b + (if poly p a = 0 then 1 else 0)),
        ( $\lambda$  a. sign-changes-neg-inf ps - sign-changes ps a)))

```

```

definition count-roots-interval :: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\times$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  nat)
where
  count-roots-interval p = (let ps = sturm p
    in (( $\lambda$  a b. sign-changes ps a - sign-changes ps b + (if poly p a = 0 then 1 else 0)),
        ( $\lambda$  a. sign-changes-neg-inf ps - sign-changes ps a)))

```

```

lemma count-roots-interval-iff: square-free p  $\Longrightarrow$  count-roots-interval p = count-roots-interval-sf p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma count-roots-interval-sf: assumes p: p  $\neq$  0
  and cr: count-roots-interval-sf p = (cr,nr)
  shows a  $\leq$  b  $\Longrightarrow$  cr a b = (card {x. a  $\leq$  x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  b  $\wedge$  poly p x = 0})

```

```

nr a = card {x. x ≤ a ∧ poly p x = 0}
⟨proof⟩

lemma count-roots-interval: assumes cr: count-roots-interval p = (cr,nr)
and sf: square-free p
shows a ≤ b ⇒ cr a b = (card {x. a ≤ x ∧ x ≤ b ∧ poly p x = 0})
nr a = card {x. x ≤ a ∧ poly p x = 0}
⟨proof⟩

definition root-cond :: int poly × rat × rat ⇒ real ⇒ bool where
root-cond plr x = (case plr of (p,l,r) ⇒ of-rat l ≤ x ∧ x ≤ of-rat r ∧ ipoly p x
= 0)

definition root-info-cond :: root-info ⇒ int poly ⇒ bool where
root-info-cond ri p ≡ (forall a b. a ≤ b → root-info.l-r ri a b = card {x. root-cond
(p,a,b) x})
∧ (forall a. root-info.number-root ri a = card {x. x ≤ real-of-rat a ∧ ipoly p x =
0})

lemma root-info-condD: root-info-cond ri p ⇒ a ≤ b ⇒ root-info.l-r ri a b =
card {x. root-cond (p,a,b) x}
root-info-cond ri p ⇒ root-info.number-root ri a = card {x. x ≤ real-of-rat a ∧
ipoly p x = 0}
⟨proof⟩

definition count-roots-interval-sf-rat :: int poly ⇒ root-info where
count-roots-interval-sf-rat p = (let pp = real-of-int-poly p;
(cr,nr) = count-roots-interval-sf pp
in Root-Info (λ a b. cr (of-rat a) (of-rat b)) (λ a. nr (of-rat a)))

definition count-roots-interval-rat :: int poly ⇒ root-info where
[code del]: count-roots-interval-rat p = (let pp = real-of-int-poly p;
(cr,nr) = count-roots-interval pp
in Root-Info (λ a b. cr (of-rat a) (of-rat b)) (λ a. nr (of-rat a)))

definition count-roots-rat :: int poly ⇒ nat where
[code del]: count-roots-rat p = (count-roots (real-of-int-poly p))

lemma count-roots-interval-sf-rat: assumes p: p ≠ 0
shows root-info-cond (count-roots-interval-sf-rat p) p
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-rat-of-int-poly: map-poly of-rat (of-int-poly p) = of-int-poly p
⟨proof⟩

lemma square-free-of-int-poly: assumes square-free p
shows square-free (of-int-poly p :: 'a :: {field-gcd, field-char-0} poly)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma count-roots-interval-rat: assumes sf: square-free p
shows root-info-cond (count-roots-interval-rat p) p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma count-roots-rat: count-roots-rat p = card {x. ipoly p x = (0 :: real)}
⟨proof⟩

```

6.2 Implementing Sturm on Rational Polynomials

```

function sturm-aux-rat where
sturm-aux-rat (p :: rat poly) q =
  (if degree q = 0 then [p,q] else p # sturm-aux-rat q (-(p mod q)))
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sturm-aux-rat: sturm-aux (real-of-rat-poly p) (real-of-rat-poly q) =
  map real-of-rat-poly (sturm-aux-rat p q)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition sturm-rat where sturm-rat p = sturm-aux-rat p (pderiv p)

```

```

lemma sturm-rat: sturm (real-of-rat-poly p) = map real-of-rat-poly (sturm-rat p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition poly-number-rootat :: rat poly ⇒ rat where
poly-number-rootat p ≡ sgn (coeff p (degree p))

```

```

definition poly-neg-number-rootat :: rat poly ⇒ rat where
poly-neg-number-rootat p ≡ if even (degree p) then sgn (coeff p (degree p))
else -sgn (coeff p (degree p))

```

```

lemma poly-number-rootat: poly-inf (real-of-rat-poly p) = real-of-rat (poly-number-rootat
p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-neg-number-rootat: poly-neg-inf (real-of-rat-poly p) = real-of-rat (poly-neg-number-rootat
p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition sign-changes-rat where
sign-changes-rat ps (x::rat) =
  length (remdups-adj (filter (λx. x ≠ 0) (map (λp. sgn (poly p x)) ps))) − 1

```

```

definition sign-changes-number-rootat where
sign-changes-number-rootat ps =
  length (remdups-adj (filter (λx. x ≠ 0) (map poly-number-rootat ps))) − 1

```

```

definition sign-changes-neg-number-rootat where
  sign-changes-neg-number-rootat ps =
    length (remdups-adj (filter ( $\lambda x. x \neq 0$ ) (map poly-neg-number-rootat ps))) -
    1

lemma real-of-rat-list-neq: list-neq (map real-of-rat xs) 0
  = map real-of-rat (list-neq xs 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-of-rat-remdups-adj: remdups-adj (map real-of-rat xs) = map real-of-rat
  (remdups-adj xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sign-changes-rat: sign-changes (map real-of-rat-poly ps) (real-of-rat x)
  = sign-changes-rat ps x (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sign-changes-neg-number-rootat: sign-changes-neg-inf (map real-of-rat-poly
  ps)
  = sign-changes-neg-number-rootat ps (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sign-changes-number-rootat: sign-changes-inf (map real-of-rat-poly ps)
  = sign-changes-number-rootat ps (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-roots-interval-rat-code[code]:
  count-roots-interval-rat p = (let rp = map-poly rat-of-int p; ps = sturm-rat rp
    in Root-Info
      ( $\lambda a b. sign\text{-}changes\text{-}rat ps a - sign\text{-}changes\text{-}rat ps b + (if poly rp a = 0 then 1 else 0))$ 
      ( $\lambda a. sign\text{-}changes\text{-}neg\text{-}number\text{-}rootat ps - sign\text{-}changes\text{-}rat ps a)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma count-roots-rat-code[code]:
  count-roots-rat p = (let rp = map-poly rat-of-int p in if p = 0 then 0 else let ps
  = sturm-rat rp
    in sign-changes-neg-number-rootat ps - sign-changes-number-rootat ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

hide-const (open) count-roots-interval-sf-rat

Finally we provide an even more efficient implementation which avoids the "poly p x = 0" test, but it is restricted to irreducible polynomials.

```

definition root-info :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  root-info where
  root-info p = (if degree p = 1 then
    (let x = Rat.Fract (- coeff p 0) (coeff p 1)
      in Root-Info ( $\lambda l r. if l \leq x \wedge x \leq r then 1 else 0$ ) ( $\lambda b. if x \leq b then 1 else 0$ ))
    else
  )

```

```

(let rp = map-poly rat-of-int p; ps = sturm-rat rp in
Root-Info (λ a b. sign-changes-rat ps a – sign-changes-rat ps b)
(λ a. sign-changes-neg-number-rootat ps – sign-changes-rat ps a)))

lemma root-info:
assumes irr: irreducible p and deg: degree p > 0
shows root-info-cond (root-info p) p
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7 Getting Small Representative Polynomials via Factorization

In this theory we import a factorization algorithm for integer polynomials to turn a representing polynomial of some algebraic number into a list of irreducible polynomials where exactly one list element represents the same number. Moreover, we prove that the certain polynomial operations preserve irreducibility, so that no factorization is required.

```

theory Factors-of-Int-Poly
imports
  Berlekamp-Zassenhaus.Factorize-Int-Poly
  Algebraic-Numbers-Prelim
begin

lemma degree-of-gcd: degree (gcd q r) ≠ 0 ↔
degree (gcd (of-int-poly q :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd} poly) (of-int-poly r)) ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

definition factors-of-int-poly :: int poly ⇒ int poly list where
factors-of-int-poly p = map (abs-int-poly o fst) (snd (factorize-int-poly p))

lemma factors-of-int-poly-const: assumes degree p = 0
shows factors-of-int-poly p = []
⟨proof⟩

lemma factors-of-int-poly:
defines rp ≡ ipoly :: int poly ⇒ 'a :: {field-gcd, field-char-0} ⇒ 'a
assumes factors-of-int-poly p = qs
shows ⋀ q. q ∈ set qs ⇒ irreducible q ∧ lead-coeff q > 0 ∧ degree q ≤ degree
p ∧ degree q ≠ 0
p ≠ 0 ⇒ rp p x = 0 ↔ (∃ q ∈ set qs. rp q x = 0)
p ≠ 0 ⇒ rp p x = 0 ⇒ ∃! q ∈ set qs. rp q x = 0
distinct qs
⟨proof⟩

lemma factors-int-poly-represents:

```

```

fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0,field-gcd}
assumes p: p represents x
shows  $\exists q \in \text{set}(\text{factors-of-int-poly } p).$ 
    q represents x  $\wedge$  irreducible q  $\wedge$  lead-coeff q > 0  $\wedge$  degree q  $\leq$  degree p
⟨proof⟩

corollary irreducible-represents-imp-degree:
fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0,field-gcd}
assumes irreducible f and f represents x and g represents x
shows degree f  $\leq$  degree g
⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-preservation:
fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0,field-gcd}
assumes irr: irreducible p
and x: p represents x
and y: q represents y
and deg: degree p  $\geq$  degree q
and f:  $\bigwedge q. q \text{ represents } y \implies (f q) \text{ represents } x \wedge \text{degree } (f q) \leq \text{degree } q$ 
and pr: primitive q
shows irreducible q
⟨proof⟩

declare irreducible-const-poly-iff [simp]

lemma poly-uminus-irreducible:
assumes p: irreducible (p :: int poly) and deg: degree p  $\neq 0$ 
shows irreducible (poly-uminus p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma reflect-poly-irreducible:
fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0,field-gcd}
assumes p: irreducible p and x: p represents x and x0: x  $\neq 0$ 
shows irreducible (reflect-poly p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-add-rat-irreducible:
assumes p: irreducible p and deg: degree p  $\neq 0$ 
shows irreducible (cf-pos-poly (poly-add-rat r p))
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-mult-rat-irreducible:
assumes p: irreducible p and deg: degree p  $\neq 0$  and r: r  $\neq 0$ 
shows irreducible (cf-pos-poly (poly-mult-rat r p))
⟨proof⟩

interpretation coeff-lift-hom:
  factor-preserving-hom coeff-lift :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1,semiring-no-zero-divisors}
   $\Rightarrow -$ 

```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

8 The minimal polynomial of an algebraic number

```
theory Min-Int-Poly
imports
  Algebraic-Numbers-Prelim
begin
```

Given an algebraic number x in a field, the minimal polynomial is the unique irreducible integer polynomial with positive leading coefficient that has x as a root.

Note that we assume characteristic 0 since the material upon which all of this builds also assumes it.

```
definition min-int-poly :: 'a :: field-char-0 ⇒ int poly where
  min-int-poly x =
    (if algebraic x then THE p. p represents x ∧ irreducible p ∧ lead-coeff p > 0
     else [:0, 1:])
```

```
lemma
```

```
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
  shows min-int-poly-represents [intro]: algebraic x ⇒ min-int-poly x represents x
  and min-int-poly-irreducible [intro]: irreducible (min-int-poly x)
  and lead-coeff-min-int-poly-pos: lead-coeff (min-int-poly x) > 0
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma
```

```
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
  shows degree-min-int-poly-pos [intro]: degree (min-int-poly x) > 0
  and degree-min-int-poly-nonzero [simp]: degree (min-int-poly x) ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma min-int-poly-primitive [intro]:
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
  shows primitive (min-int-poly x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma min-int-poly-content [simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
  shows content (min-int-poly x) = 1
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ipoly-min-int-poly [simp]:
  algebraic x ⇒ ipoly (min-int-poly x) (x :: 'a :: {field-gcd, field-char-0}) = 0
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int-poly-nonzero* [*simp*]:
 fixes *x* :: '*a* :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
 shows *min-int-poly x* $\neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int-poly-normalize* [*simp*]:
 fixes *x* :: '*a* :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
 shows *normalize (min-int-poly x)* = *min-int-poly x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int-poly-prime-elem* [*intro*]:
 fixes *x* :: '*a* :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
 shows *prime-elem (min-int-poly x)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int-poly-prime* [*intro*]:
 fixes *x* :: '*a* :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
 shows *prime (min-int-poly x)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int-poly-unique*:
 fixes *x* :: '*a* :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
 assumes *p represents x irreducible p lead-coeff p > 0*
 shows *min-int-poly x* = *p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int-poly-of-int* [*simp*]:
 min-int-poly (of-int n :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}) = [:−*of-int n*, 1:]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int-poly-of-nat* [*simp*]:
 min-int-poly (of-nat n :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}) = [:−*of-nat n*, 1:]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int-poly-0* [*simp*]: *min-int-poly (0 :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd})* = [:0, 1:]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int-poly-1* [*simp*]: *min-int-poly (1 :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd})* = [:−1, 1:]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-min-int-poly-0-eq-0-iff* [*simp*]:
 fixes *x* :: '*a* :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
 assumes *algebraic x*
 shows *poly (min-int-poly x) 0 = 0* \longleftrightarrow *x = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma min-int-poly-eqI:
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
  assumes p represents x irreducible p lead-coeff p ≥ 0
  shows min-int-poly x = p
  ⟨proof⟩

  Implementation for real and rational numbers

lemma min-int-poly-of-rat: min-int-poly (of-rat r :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd})
= poly-rat r
  ⟨proof⟩

definition min-int-poly-real :: real ⇒ int poly where
  [simp]: min-int-poly-real = min-int-poly

lemma min-int-poly-real-code-unfold [code-unfold]: min-int-poly = min-int-poly-real
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-int-poly-real-basic-impl[code]: min-int-poly-real (real-of-rat x) = poly-rat
x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-int-poly-rat-code-unfold [code-unfold]: min-int-poly = poly-rat
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

9 Algebraic Numbers – Preliminary Implementation

This theory gathers some preliminary results to implement algebraic numbers, e.g., it defines an invariant to have unique representing polynomials and shows that polynomials for unary minus and inversion preserve this invariant.

```

theory Algebraic-Numbers-Pre-Impl
imports
  Abstract-Rewriting.SN-Order-Carrier
  Deriving.Compare-Rat
  Deriving.Compare-Real
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-IArray-Impl
  Algebraic-Numbers
  Sturm-Rat
  Factors-of-Int-Poly
  Min-Int-Poly
begin

```

For algebraic numbers, it turned out that *gcd-int-poly* is not preferable to the default implementation of *gcd*, which just implements Collin’s primitive

remainder sequence.

declare gcd-int-poly-code[code-unfold del]

lemma ex1-imp-Collect-singleton: $(\exists !x. P x) \wedge P x \longleftrightarrow \text{Collect } P = \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ex1-Collect-singleton[consumes 2]:
assumes $\exists !x. P x$ **and** $P x$ **and** $\text{Collect } P = \{x\} \implies \text{thesis}$ **shows** thesis
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ex1-iff-Collect-singleton: $P x \implies (\exists !x. P x) \longleftrightarrow \text{Collect } P = \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes f

assumes bij: bij f

begin

lemma bij-imp-ex1-iff: $(\exists !x. P (f x)) \longleftrightarrow (\exists !y. P y)$ (**is** ?l = ?r)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bij-ex1-imp-the-shift:

assumes ex1: $\exists !y. P y$ **shows** $(\text{THE } x. P (f x)) = \text{Hilbert-Choice.inv } f (\text{THE } y. P y)$ (**is** ?l = ?r)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bij-imp-Collect-image: $\{x. P (f x)\} = \text{Hilbert-Choice.inv } f ` \{y. P y\}$ (**is** ?l = ?g ` -)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bij-imp-card-image: $\text{card } (f ` X) = \text{card } X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

definition poly-cond :: int poly \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $\text{poly-cond } p = (\text{lead-coeff } p > 0 \wedge \text{irreducible } p)$

lemma poly-condI[intro]:
assumes lead-coeff $p > 0$ **and** irreducible p **shows** poly-cond p $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma poly-condD:
assumes poly-cond p
shows irreducible p **and** lead-coeff $p > 0$ **and** root-free p **and** square-free p **and**
 $p \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma poly-condE[elim]:
assumes poly-cond p

and irreducible $p \implies \text{lead-coeff } p > 0 \implies \text{root-free } p \implies \text{square-free } p \implies$
 $p \neq 0 \implies \text{thesis}$
shows *thesis*
(proof)

lemma *poly-cond-abs-int-poly*[simp]: *irreducible* $p \implies \text{poly-cond} (\text{abs-int-poly } p)$
(proof)

definition *poly-uminus-abs* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *int poly* **where**
 $\text{poly-uminus-abs } p = \text{abs-int-poly} (\text{poly-uminus } p)$

lemma *irreducible-poly-uminus*[simp]: *irreducible* $p \implies \text{irreducible} (\text{poly-uminus} (p :: \text{int poly}))$
(proof)

lemma *irreducible-poly-uminus-abs*[simp]: *irreducible* $p \implies \text{irreducible} (\text{poly-uminus-abs} p)$
(proof)

lemma *poly-cond-poly-uminus-abs*[simp]: *poly-cond* $p \implies \text{poly-cond} (\text{poly-uminus-abs } p)$
(proof)

lemma *ipoly-poly-uminus-abs-zero*[simp]: *ipoly* (*poly-uminus-abs* p) ($x :: 'a :: \text{idom}$)
 $= 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{ipoly } p (-x) = 0$
(proof)

lemma *degree-poly-uminus-abs*[simp]: *degree* (*poly-uminus-abs* p) = *degree* p
(proof)

definition *poly-inverse* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *int poly* **where**
 $\text{poly-inverse } p = \text{abs-int-poly} (\text{reflect-poly } p)$

lemma *irreducible-poly-inverse*[simp]: *coeff* $p 0 \neq 0 \implies \text{irreducible } p \implies \text{irreducible} (\text{poly-inverse } p)$
(proof)

lemma *degree-poly-inverse*[simp]: *coeff* $p 0 \neq 0 \implies \text{degree} (\text{poly-inverse } p) = \text{degree } p$
(proof)

lemma *ipoly-poly-inverse*[simp]: **assumes** *coeff* $p 0 \neq 0$
shows *ipoly* (*poly-inverse* p) ($x :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$) = 0 \longleftrightarrow *ipoly* $p (\text{inverse } x)$
 $= 0$
(proof)

lemma *ipoly-roots-finite*: $p \neq 0 \implies \text{finite } \{x :: 'a :: \{\text{idom}, \text{ring-char-0}\}. \text{ipoly } p x = 0\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma root-sign-change: assumes
  p0: poly (p::real poly) x = 0 and
  pd-ne0: poly (pderiv p) x ≠ 0
obtains d where
  0 < d
  sgn (poly p (x - d)) ≠ sgn (poly p (x + d))
  sgn (poly p (x - d)) ≠ 0
  0 ≠ sgn (poly p (x + d))
  ∀ d' > 0. d' ≤ d → sgn (poly p (x + d')) = sgn (poly p (x + d)) ∧ sgn (poly p (x - d')) = sgn (poly p (x - d))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gt-rat-sign-change-square-free:
assumes ur: ∃! x. root-cond plr x
  and plr[simp]: plr = (p,l,r)
  and sf: square-free p and in-interval: l ≤ y y ≤ r
  and py0: ipoly p y ≠ 0 and pr0: ipoly p r ≠ 0
shows (sgn (ipoly p y) = sgn (ipoly p r)) = (of-rat y > (THE x. root-cond plr x)) (is ?gt = -)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition algebraic-real :: real ⇒ bool where
  [simp]: algebraic-real = algebraic

```

```

lemma algebraic-real-iff[code-unfold]: algebraic = algebraic-real ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

10 Cauchy's Root Bound

This theory contains a formalization of Cauchy's root bound, i.e., given an integer polynomial it determines a bound b such that all real or complex roots of the polynomials have a norm below b .

```

theory Cauchy-Root-Bound
imports
  Algebraic-Numbers-Pre-Impl
begin

hide-const (open) UnivPoly.coeff
hide-const (open) Module.smult

Division of integers, rounding to the upper value.

definition div-ceiling :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  div-ceiling x y = (let q = x div y in if q * y = x then q else q + 1)

```

```

definition root-bound :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  rat where
  root-bound  $p \equiv$  let
     $n = \text{degree } p;$ 
     $m = 1 + \text{div-ceiling}(\max\text{-list}\text{-non-empty}(\text{map}(\lambda i. \text{abs}(\text{coeff } p i)) [0..<n]))$ 
      ( $\text{abs}(\text{lead-coeff } p)$ )
    — round to the next higher number  $2^n$ , so that bisection will
    — stay on integers for as long as possible
    in of-int ( $2^{\lceil \log\text{-ceiling } 2 m \rceil}$ )
  
```

lemma root-imp-deg-nonzero: **assumes** $p \neq 0$ poly $p x = 0$
shows degree $p \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cauchy-root-bound: **fixes** $x :: 'a :: \text{real-normed-field}$
assumes $x: \text{poly } p x = 0$ **and** $p: p \neq 0$
shows norm $x \leq 1 + \max\text{-list}\text{-non-empty}(\text{map}(\lambda i. \text{norm}(\text{coeff } p i)) [0 .. < \text{degree } p])$
 / norm (lead-coeff p) (**is** $- \leq - + ?\text{max} / ?\text{nlc}$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma div-le-div-ceiling: $x \text{ div } y \leq \text{div-ceiling } x y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma div-ceiling: **assumes** $q: q \neq 0$
shows (of-int $x :: 'a :: \text{floor-ceiling}$) / of-int $q \leq \text{of-int}(\text{div-ceiling } x q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma max-list-non-empty-map: **assumes** hom: $\bigwedge x y. \max(f x) (f y) = f(\max x y)$
shows $xs \neq [] \implies \max\text{-list}\text{-non-empty}(\text{map } f xs) = f(\max\text{-list}\text{-non-empty} xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma root-bound: **assumes** root-bound $p = B$ **and** deg: $\text{degree } p > 0$
shows ipoly $p (x :: 'a :: \text{real-normed-field}) = 0 \implies \text{norm } x \leq \text{of-rat } B B \geq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

11 Real Algebraic Numbers

Whereas we previously only proved the closure properties of algebraic numbers, this theory adds the numeric computations that are required to separate the roots, and to pick unique representatives of algebraic numbers.

The development is split into three major parts. First, an ambiguous representation of algebraic numbers is used, afterwards another layer is used with special treatment of rational numbers which still does not admit unique representatives, and finally, a quotient type is created modulo the equiva-

lence.

The theory also contains a code-setup to implement real numbers via real algebraic numbers.

The results are taken from the textbook [2, pages 329ff].

```

theory Real-Algebraic-Numbers
imports
  Algebraic-Numbers-Pre-Impl
begin

lemma ex1-imp-Collect-singleton:  $(\exists !x. P x) \wedge P x \longleftrightarrow \text{Collect } P = \{x\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ex1-Collect-singleton[consumes 2]:
  assumes  $\exists !x. P x$  and  $P x$  and  $\text{Collect } P = \{x\} \implies \text{thesis}$  shows  $\text{thesis}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ex1-iff-Collect-singleton:  $P x \implies (\exists !x. P x) \longleftrightarrow \text{Collect } P = \{x\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bij-imp-card: assumes bij:  $\text{bij } f$  shows  $\text{card } \{x. P (f x)\} = \text{card } \{x. P x\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bij-add: bij  $(\lambda x. x + y :: 'a :: \text{group-add})$  (is ?g1)
  and bij-minus: bij  $(\lambda x. x - y :: 'a)$  (is ?g2)
  and inv-add[simp]: Hilbert-Choice.inv  $(\lambda x. x + y) = (\lambda x. x - y)$  (is ?g3)
  and inv-minus[simp]: Hilbert-Choice.inv  $(\lambda x. x - y) = (\lambda x. x + y)$  (is ?g4)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas ex1-shift[simp] = bij-imp-ex1-iff[OF bij-add] bij-imp-ex1-iff[OF bij-minus]

lemma ex1-the-shift:
  assumes ex1:  $\exists !y :: 'a :: \text{group-add}. P y$ 
  shows  $(\text{THE } x. P (x + d)) = (\text{THE } y. P y) - d$ 
  and  $(\text{THE } x. P (x - d)) = (\text{THE } y. P y) + d$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma card-shift-image[simp]:
  shows  $\text{card } ((\lambda x :: 'a :: \text{group-add}. x + d) ` X) = \text{card } X$ 
  and  $\text{card } ((\lambda x. x - d) ` X) = \text{card } X$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma irreducible-root-free:
  fixes p ::  $'a :: \{\text{idom}, \text{comm-ring-1}\}$  poly
  assumes irr: irreducible p shows root-free p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

11.1 Real Algebraic Numbers – Innermost Layer

We represent a real algebraic number α by a tuple (p,l,r) : α is the unique root in the interval $[l,r]$ and l and r have the same sign. We always assume that p is normalized, i.e., p is the unique irreducible and positive content-free polynomial which represents the algebraic number.

This representation clearly admits duplicate representations for the same number, e.g. $(...,x-3, 3,3)$ is equivalent to $(...,x-3,2,10)$.

11.1.1 Basic Definitions

```

type-synonym real-alg-1 = int poly × rat × rat

fun poly-real-alg-1 :: real-alg-1 ⇒ int poly where poly-real-alg-1 (p,-,-) = p
fun rai-ub :: real-alg-1 ⇒ rat where rai-ub (-,-,r) = r
fun rai-lb :: real-alg-1 ⇒ rat where rai-lb (-,l,-) = l

abbreviation roots-below p x ≡ {y :: real. y ≤ x ∧ ipoly p y = 0}

abbreviation (input) unique-root :: real-alg-1 ⇒ bool where
unique-root plr ≡ (Ǝ! x. root-cond plr x)

abbreviation the-unique-root :: real-alg-1 ⇒ real where
the-unique-root plr ≡ (THE x. root-cond plr x)

abbreviation real-of-1 where real-of-1 ≡ the-unique-root

lemma root-condI[intro]:
assumes of-rat (rai-lb plr) ≤ x and x ≤ of-rat (rai-ub plr) and ipoly (poly-real-alg-1
plr) x = 0
shows root-cond plr x
⟨proof⟩

lemma root-condE[elim]:
assumes root-cond plr x
and of-rat (rai-lb plr) ≤ x ⇒ x ≤ of-rat (rai-ub plr) ⇒ ipoly (poly-real-alg-1
plr) x = 0 ⇒ thesis
shows thesis
⟨proof⟩

lemma
assumes ur: unique-root plr
defines x ≡ the-unique-root plr and p ≡ poly-real-alg-1 plr and l ≡ rai-lb plr
and r ≡ rai-ub plr
shows unique-rootD: of-rat l ≤ x x ≤ of-rat r ipoly p x = 0 root-cond plr x
x = y ⇔ root-cond plr y y = x ⇔ root-cond plr y
and the-unique-root-eqI: root-cond plr y ⇒ y = x root-cond plr y ⇒ x = y
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unique-rootE:
  assumes ur: unique-root plr
  defines x ≡ the-unique-root plr and p ≡ poly-real-alg-1 plr and l ≡ rai-lb plr
  and r ≡ rai-ub plr
  assumes main: of-rat l ≤ x ⇒ x ≤ of-rat r ⇒ ipoly p x = 0 ⇒ root-cond
  plr x ⇒
    ( $\bigwedge y. x = y \longleftrightarrow \text{root-cond plr } y$ ) ⇒ ( $\bigwedge y. y = x \longleftrightarrow \text{root-cond plr } y$ ) ⇒
    thesis
  shows thesis ⟨proof⟩

lemma unique-rootI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge y. \text{root-cond plr } y \Rightarrow x = y \text{ root-cond plr } x$ 
  shows unique-root plr ⟨proof⟩

definition invariant-1 :: real-alg-1 ⇒ bool where
  invariant-1 tup ≡ case tup of (p,l,r) ⇒
    unique-root (p,l,r) ∧ sgn l = sgn r ∧ poly-cond p

lemma invariant-1I:
  assumes unique-root plr and sgn (rai-lb plr) = sgn (rai-ub plr) and poly-cond
  (poly-real-alg-1 plr)
  shows invariant-1 plr
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  assumes invariant-1 plr
  defines x ≡ the-unique-root plr and p ≡ poly-real-alg-1 plr and l ≡ rai-lb plr
  and r ≡ rai-ub plr
  shows invariant-1D: root-cond plr x
    sgn l = sgn r sgn x = of-rat (sgn r) unique-root plr poly-cond p degree p > 0
    primitive p
    and invariant-1-root-cond:  $\bigwedge y. \text{root-cond plr } y \longleftrightarrow y = x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-1E[elim]:
  assumes invariant-1 plr
  defines x ≡ the-unique-root plr and p ≡ poly-real-alg-1 plr and l ≡ rai-lb plr
  and r ≡ rai-ub plr
  assumes main: root-cond plr x ⇒
    sgn l = sgn r ⇒ sgn x = of-rat (sgn r) ⇒ unique-root plr ⇒ poly-cond p
    ⇒ degree p > 0 ⇒
    primitive p ⇒ thesis
  shows thesis ⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-1-realI:
  fixes plr :: real-alg-1
  defines p ≡ poly-real-alg-1 plr and l ≡ rai-lb plr and r ≡ rai-ub plr
  assumes x: root-cond plr x and sgn l = sgn r

```

```

and ur: unique-root plr
and poly-cond p
shows invariant-1 plr  $\wedge$  real-of-1 plr = x
⟨proof⟩

lemma real-of-1-0:
assumes invariant-1 (p,l,r)
shows [simp]: the-unique-root (p,l,r) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  r = 0
and [dest]: l = 0  $\implies$  r = 0
and [intro]: r = 0  $\implies$  l = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-1-pos: assumes rc: invariant-1 (p,l,r)
shows [simp]: the-unique-root (p,l,r) > 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  r > 0 (is ?x > 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  -)
and [simp]: the-unique-root (p,l,r) < 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  r < 0
and [simp]: the-unique-root (p,l,r)  $\leq$  0  $\longleftrightarrow$  r  $\leq$  0
and [simp]: the-unique-root (p,l,r)  $\geq$  0  $\longleftrightarrow$  r  $\geq$  0
and [intro]: r > 0  $\implies$  l > 0
and [dest]: l > 0  $\implies$  r > 0
and [intro]: r < 0  $\implies$  l < 0
and [dest]: l < 0  $\implies$  r < 0
⟨proof⟩

definition invariant-1-2 where
invariant-1-2 rai  $\equiv$  invariant-1 rai  $\wedge$  degree (poly-real-alg-1 rai) > 1

definition poly-cond2 where poly-cond2 p  $\equiv$  poly-cond p  $\wedge$  degree p > 1

lemma poly-cond2I[intro!]: poly-cond p  $\implies$  degree p > 1  $\implies$  poly-cond2 p ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-cond2D:
assumes poly-cond2 p
shows poly-cond p and degree p > 1 ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-cond2E[elim!]:
assumes poly-cond2 p and poly-cond p  $\implies$  degree p > 1  $\implies$  thesis shows thesis
⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-1-2-poly-cond2: invariant-1-2 rai  $\implies$  poly-cond2 (poly-real-alg-1 rai)
⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-1-2I[intro!]:
assumes invariant-1 rai and degree (poly-real-alg-1 rai) > 1 shows invariant-1-2 rai
⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-1-2E[elim!]:
```

```

assumes invariant-1-2 rai
  and invariant-1 rai ==> degree (poly-real-alg-1 rai) > 1 ==> thesis
shows thesis ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma invariant-1-2-realI:
  fixes plr :: real-alg-1
  defines p ≡ poly-real-alg-1 plr and l ≡ rai-lb plr and r ≡ rai-ub plr
  assumes x: root-cond plr x and sgn: sgn l = sgn r and ur: unique-root plr and
  p: poly-cond2 p
  shows invariant-1-2 plr ∧ real-of-1 plr = x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

11.2 Real Algebraic Numbers = Rational + Irrational Real Algebraic Numbers

In the next representation of real algebraic numbers, we distinguish between rational and irrational numbers. The advantage is that whenever we only work on rational numbers, there is not much overhead involved in comparison to the existing implementation of real numbers which just supports the rational numbers. For irrational numbers we additionally store the number of the root, counting from left to right. For instance $-\sqrt{2}$ and $\sqrt{2}$ would be root number 1 and 2 of $x^2 - 2$.

11.2.1 Definitions and Algorithms on Raw Type

```
datatype real-alg-2 = Rational rat | Irrational nat real-alg-1
```

```

fun invariant-2 :: real-alg-2 ⇒ bool where
  invariant-2 (Irrational n rai) = (invariant-1-2 rai)
    ∧ n = card(roots-below (poly-real-alg-1 rai) (real-of-1 rai)))
  | invariant-2 (Rational r) = True

```

```

fun real-of-2 :: real-alg-2 ⇒ real where
  real-of-2 (Rational r) = of-rat r
  | real-of-2 (Irrational n rai) = real-of-1 rai

```

```

definition of-rat-2 :: rat ⇒ real-alg-2 where
  [code-unfold]: of-rat-2 = Rational

```

```

lemma of-rat-2: real-of-2 (of-rat-2 x) = of-rat x invariant-2 (of-rat-2 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

typedef real-alg-3 = Collect invariant-2
morphisms rep-real-alg-3 Real-Alg-Invariant
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-real-alg-3
```

```
lift-definition real-of-3 :: real-alg-3 ⇒ real is real-of-2 ⟨proof⟩
```

11.2.2 Definitions and Algorithms on Quotient Type

```
quotient-type real-alg = real-alg-3 / λ x y. real-of-3 x = real-of-3 y
morphisms rep-real-alg Real-Alg-Quotient
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition real-of :: real-alg ⇒ real is real-of-3 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma real-of-inj: (real-of x = real-of y) = (x = y)
⟨proof⟩
```

11.2.3 Sign

```
definition sgn-1 :: real-alg-1 ⇒ rat where
sgn-1 x = sgn (rai-ub x)
```

```
lemma sgn-1: invariant-1 x ==> real-of-rat (sgn-1 x) = sgn (real-of-1 x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sgn-1-inj: invariant-1 x ==> invariant-1 y ==> real-of-1 x = real-of-1 y ==>
sgn-1 x = sgn-1 y
⟨proof⟩
```

11.2.4 Normalization: Bounds Close Together

```
lemma unique-root-lr: assumes ur: unique-root plr shows rai-lb plr ≤ rai-ub plr
(is ?l ≤ ?r)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
locale map-poly-zero-hom-0 = base: zero-hom-0
begin
sublocale zero-hom-0 map-poly hom ⟨proof⟩
end
interpretation of-int-poly-hom:
map-poly-zero-hom-0 of-int :: int ⇒ 'a :: {ring-1, ring-char-0} ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma roots-below-the-unique-root:
assumes ur: unique-root (p,l,r)
shows roots-below p (the-unique-root (p,l,r)) = roots-below p (of-rat r) (is roots-below
p ?x = -)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma unique-root-sub-interval:
```

```

assumes ur: unique-root (p,l,r)
    and rc: root-cond (p,l',r') (the-unique-root (p,l,r))
    and between: l ≤ l' r' ≤ r
shows unique-root (p,l',r')
    and the-unique-root (p,l',r') = the-unique-root (p,l,r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-1-sub-interval:
assumes rc: invariant-1 (p,l,r)
    and sub: root-cond (p,l',r') (the-unique-root (p,l,r))
    and between: l ≤ l' r' ≤ r
shows invariant-1 (p,l',r') and real-of-1 (p,l',r') = real-of-1 (p,l,r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rational-root-free-degree-iff: assumes rf: root-free (map-poly rat-of-int p)
and rt: ipoly p x = 0
shows (x ∈ ℚ) = (degree p = 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rational-poly-cond-iff: assumes poly-cond p and ipoly p x = 0 and degree
p > 1
shows (x ∈ ℚ) = (degree p = 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-cond-degree-gt-1: assumes poly-cond p degree p > 1 ipoly p x = 0
shows x ∉ ℚ
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-cond2-no-rat-root: assumes poly-cond2 p
shows ipoly p (real-of-rat x) ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

context
fixes p :: int poly
and x :: rat
begin

lemma gt-rat-sign-change:
assumes ur: unique-root plr
defines p ≡ poly-real-alg-1 plr and l ≡ rai-lb plr and r ≡ rai-ub plr
assumes p: poly-cond2 p and in-interval: l ≤ y y ≤ r
shows (sgn (ipoly p y) = sgn (ipoly p r)) = (of-rat y > the-unique-root plr)
⟨proof⟩

definition tighten-poly-bounds :: rat ⇒ rat ⇒ rat ⇒ rat × rat × rat where
tighten-poly-bounds l r sr = (let m = (l + r) / 2; sm = sgn (ipoly p m) in
    if sm = sr
    then (l,m,sm) else (m,r,sr))

```

```

lemma tighten-poly-bounds: assumes res: tighten-poly-bounds l r sr = (l',r',sr')
and ur: unique-root (p,l,r)
and p: poly-cond2 p
and sr: sr = sgn (ipoly p r)
shows root-cond (p,l',r') (the-unique-root (p,l,r)) l ≤ l' l' ≤ r' r' ≤ r
      (r' - l') = (r - l) / 2 sr' = sgn (ipoly p r')
⟨proof⟩

partial-function (tailrec) tighten-poly-bounds-epsilon :: rat ⇒ rat ⇒ rat ⇒ rat ×
rat × rat where
  [code]: tighten-poly-bounds-epsilon l r sr = (if r - l ≤ x then (l,r,sr) else
    (case tighten-poly-bounds l r sr of (l',r',sr') ⇒ tighten-poly-bounds-epsilon l' r'
    sr')))

partial-function (tailrec) tighten-poly-bounds-for-x :: rat ⇒ rat ⇒ rat ⇒
rat × rat × rat where
  [code]: tighten-poly-bounds-for-x l r sr = (if x < l ∨ r < x then (l, r, sr) else
    (case tighten-poly-bounds l r sr of (l',r',sr') ⇒ tighten-poly-bounds-for-x l' r'
    sr')))

lemma tighten-poly-bounds-epsilon:
assumes ur: unique-root (p,l,r)
defines u: u ≡ the-unique-root (p,l,r)
assumes p: poly-cond2 p
and res: tighten-poly-bounds-epsilon l r sr = (l',r',sr')
and sr: sr = sgn (ipoly p r)
and x: x > 0
shows l ≤ l' r' ≤ r root-cond (p,l',r') u r' - l' ≤ x sr' = sgn (ipoly p r')
⟨proof⟩

lemma tighten-poly-bounds-for-x:
assumes ur: unique-root (p,l,r)
defines u: u ≡ the-unique-root (p,l,r)
assumes p: poly-cond2 p
and res: tighten-poly-bounds-for-x l r sr = (l',r',sr')
and sr: sr = sgn (ipoly p r)
shows l ≤ l' l' ≤ r' r' ≤ r root-cond (p,l',r') u ∉ (l' ≤ x ∧ x ≤ r') sr' = sgn
(ipoly p r') unique-root (p,l',r')
⟨proof⟩
end

definition real-alg-precision :: rat where
  real-alg-precision ≡ Rat.Fract 1 2

lemma real-alg-precision: real-alg-precision > 0
⟨proof⟩

definition normalize-bounds-1-main :: rat ⇒ real-alg-1 ⇒ real-alg-1 where
  normalize-bounds-1-main eps rai = (case rai of (p,l,r) ⇒

```

```

let (l',r',sr') = tighten-poly-bounds-epsilon p eps l r (sgn (ipoly p r));
fr = rat-of-int (floor r');
(l'',r'',-) = tighten-poly-bounds-for-x p fr l' r' sr'
in (p,l'',r'')

```

definition normalize-bounds-1 :: real-alg-1 \Rightarrow real-alg-1 **where**
normalize-bounds-1 = (normalize-bounds-1-main real-alg-precision)

context

fixes $p\ q$ **and** $l\ r :: \text{rat}$
assumes cong: $\bigwedge x. \text{real-of-rat } l \leq x \implies x \leq \text{of-rat } r \implies (\text{ipoly } p x = (0 :: \text{real})) = (\text{ipoly } q x = 0)$

begin

lemma root-cond-cong: root-cond $(p,l,r) = \text{root-cond } (q,l,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma the-unique-root-cong:
the-unique-root $(p,l,r) = \text{the-unique-root } (q,l,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma unique-root-cong:
unique-root $(p,l,r) = \text{unique-root } (q,l,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma normalize-bounds-1-main: **assumes** eps: $\text{eps} > 0$ **and** rc: invariant-1-2 x
defines y: $y \equiv \text{normalize-bounds-1-main } \text{eps } x$
shows invariant-1-2 $y \wedge (\text{real-of-1 } y = \text{real-of-1 } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma normalize-bounds-1: **assumes** x: invariant-1-2 x
shows invariant-1-2 (normalize-bounds-1 x) $\wedge (\text{real-of-1 } (\text{normalize-bounds-1 } x) = \text{real-of-1 } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma normalize-bound-1-poly: poly-real-alg-1 (normalize-bounds-1 rai) = poly-real-alg-1 rai
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition real-alg-2-main :: root-info \Rightarrow real-alg-1 \Rightarrow real-alg-2 **where**
real-alg-2-main ri rai \equiv let $p = \text{poly-real-alg-1 } rai$
 $\quad \text{in } (\text{if } \text{degree } p = 1 \text{ then Rational } (\text{Rat.Fract } (- \text{coeff } p 0) (\text{coeff } p 1)))$
 $\quad \text{else } (\text{case } \text{normalize-bounds-1 } rai \text{ of } (p',l,r) \Rightarrow$
 $\quad \quad \text{Irrational } (\text{root-info.number-root } ri r) (p',l,r)))$

definition real-alg-2 :: real-alg-1 \Rightarrow real-alg-2 **where**
real-alg-2 rai \equiv let $p = \text{poly-real-alg-1 } rai$
 $\quad \text{in } (\text{if } \text{degree } p = 1 \text{ then Rational } (\text{Rat.Fract } (- \text{coeff } p 0) (\text{coeff } p 1)))$
 $\quad \text{else } (\text{case } \text{normalize-bounds-1 } rai \text{ of } (p',l,r) \Rightarrow$

```

Irrational (root-info.number-root (root-info p) r) (p',l,r)))
```

lemma degree-1-ipoly: **assumes** degree $p = \text{Suc } 0$
shows ipoly $p x = 0 \longleftrightarrow (x = \text{real-of-rat} (\text{Rat.Fract} (- \text{coeff } p 0) (\text{coeff } p 1)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma invariant-1-degree-0:
assumes inv: invariant-1 rai
shows degree (poly-real-alg-1 rai) $\neq 0$ (**is** degree ?p $\neq 0$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma real-alg-2-main:
assumes inv: invariant-1 rai
defines [simp]: $p \equiv \text{poly-real-alg-1 rai}$
assumes ric: irreducible (poly-real-alg-1 rai) \implies root-info-cond ri (poly-real-alg-1 rai)
shows invariant-2 (real-alg-2-main ri rai) real-of-2 (real-alg-2-main ri rai) = real-of-1 rai
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma real-alg-2: **assumes** invariant-1 rai
shows invariant-2 (real-alg-2 rai) real-of-2 (real-alg-2 rai) = real-of-1 rai
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma invariant-2-realI:
fixes plr :: real-alg-1
defines $p \equiv \text{poly-real-alg-1 plr}$ **and** $l \equiv \text{rai-lb plr}$ **and** $r \equiv \text{rai-ub plr}$
assumes x: root-cond plr x **and** sgn: sgn l = sgn r
and ur: unique-root plr
and p: poly-cond p
shows invariant-2 (real-alg-2 plr) \wedge real-of-2 (real-alg-2 plr) = x
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.2.5 Comparisons

```

fun compare-rat-1 :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-1  $\Rightarrow$  order where  

  compare-rat-1 x (p,l,r) = (if  $x < l$  then Lt else if  $x > r$  then Gt else  

    if sgn (ipoly p x) = sgn(ipoly p r) then Gt else Lt)
```

lemma compare-rat-1: **assumes** rai: invariant-1-2 y
shows compare-rat-1 x y = compare (of-rat x) (real-of-1 y)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cf-pos-0[simp]: \neg cf-pos 0
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.2.6 Negation

```

fun uminus-1 :: real-alg-1  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-1 where  

  uminus-1 (p,l,r) = (abs-int-poly (poly-uminus p), -r, -l)
```

```

lemma uminus-1: assumes x: invariant-1 x
  defines y: y ≡ uminus-1 x
  shows invariant-1 y ∧ (real-of-1 y = - real-of-1 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uminus-1-2:
  assumes x: invariant-1-2 x
  defines y: y ≡ uminus-1 x
  shows invariant-1-2 y ∧ (real-of-1 y = - real-of-1 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun uminus-2 :: real-alg-2 ⇒ real-alg-2 where
  uminus-2 (Rational r) = Rational (-r)
  | uminus-2 (Irrational n x) = real-alg-2 (uminus-1 x)

lemma uminus-2: assumes invariant-2 x
  shows real-of-2 (uminus-2 x) = uminus (real-of-2 x)
  invariant-2 (uminus-2 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

declare uminus-1.simps[simp del]

lift-definition uminus-3 :: real-alg-3 ⇒ real-alg-3 is uminus-2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uminus-3: real-of-3 (uminus-3 x) = - real-of-3 x
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation real-alg :: uminus
begin
lift-definition uminus-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg is uminus-3
  ⟨proof⟩
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma uminus-real-alg: - (real-of x) = real-of (- x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

11.2.7 Inverse

```

fun inverse-1 :: real-alg-1 ⇒ real-alg-2 where
  inverse-1 (p,l,r) = real-alg-2 (abs-int-poly (reflect-poly p), inverse r, inverse l)

lemma invariant-1-2-of-rat: assumes rc: invariant-1-2 rai
  shows real-of-1 rai ≠ of-rat x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inverse-1:
  assumes rcx: invariant-1-2 x
  defines y: y ≡ inverse-1 x
  shows invariant-2 y ∧ (real-of-2 y = inverse (real-of-1 x))
  ⟨proof⟩

fun inverse-2 :: real-alg-2 ⇒ real-alg-2 where
  inverse-2 (Rational r) = Rational (inverse r)
  | inverse-2 (Irrational n x) = inverse-1 x

lemma inverse-2: assumes invariant-2 x
  shows real-of-2 (inverse-2 x) = inverse (real-of-2 x)
  invariant-2 (inverse-2 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition inverse-3 :: real-alg-3 ⇒ real-alg-3 is inverse-2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-3: real-of-3 (inverse-3 x) = inverse (real-of-3 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

11.2.8 Floor

```

fun floor-1 :: real-alg-1 ⇒ int where
  floor-1 (p,l,r) = (let
    (l',r',sr') = tighten-poly-bounds-epsilon p (1/2) l r (sgn (ipoly p r));
    fr = floor r';
    fl = floor l';
    fr' = rat-of-int fr
    in (if fr = fl then fr else
        let (l'',r'',sr'') = tighten-poly-bounds-for-x p fr' l' r' sr'
        in if fr' < l'' then fr else fl))

lemma floor-1: assumes invariant-1-2 x
  shows floor (real-of-1 x) = floor-1 x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

11.2.9 Generic Factorization and Bisection Framework

```

lemma card-1-Collect-ex1: assumes card (Collect P) = 1
  shows ∃! x. P x
  ⟨proof⟩

fun sub-interval :: rat × rat ⇒ rat × rat ⇒ bool where
  sub-interval (l,r) (l',r') = (l' ≤ l ∧ r ≤ r')

fun in-interval :: rat × rat ⇒ real ⇒ bool where
  in-interval (l,r) x = (of-rat l ≤ x ∧ x ≤ of-rat r)

definition converges-to :: (nat ⇒ rat × rat) ⇒ real ⇒ bool where

```

```

converges-to f x ≡ (forall n. in-interval (f n) x ∧ sub-interval (f (Suc n)) (f n))
                     ∧ (forall (eps :: real) > 0. ∃ n l r. f n = (l,r) ∧ of-rat r - of-rat l ≤ eps)

context
  fixes bnd-update :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  and bnd-get :: 'a ⇒ rat × rat
begin

definition at-step :: (nat ⇒ rat × rat) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  at-step f n a ≡ ∀ i. bnd-get ((bnd-update ^ i) a) = f (n + i)

partial-function (tailrec) select-correct-factor-main
  :: 'a ⇒ (int poly × root-info)list ⇒ (int poly × root-info)list
    ⇒ rat ⇒ rat ⇒ nat ⇒ (int poly × root-info) × rat × rat where
    [code]: select-correct-factor-main bnd todo old l r n = (case todo of Nil
      ⇒ if n = 1 then (hd old, l, r) else let bnd' = bnd-update bnd in (case bnd-get
      bnd' of (l,r) ⇒
        select-correct-factor-main bnd' old [] l r 0)
      | Cons (p,ri) todo ⇒ let m = root-info.l-r ri l r in
        if m = 0 then select-correct-factor-main bnd todo old l r n
        else select-correct-factor-main bnd todo ((p,ri) # old) l r (n + m))

definition select-correct-factor :: 'a ⇒ (int poly × root-info)list ⇒
  (int poly × root-info) × rat × rat where
  select-correct-factor init polys = (case bnd-get init of (l,r) ⇒
    select-correct-factor-main init polys [] l r 0)

lemma select-correct-factor-main: assumes conv: converges-to f x
  and at: at-step f i a
  and res: select-correct-factor-main a todo old l r n = ((q,ri-fin),(l-fin,r-fin))
  and bnd: bnd-get a = (l,r)
  and ri: ∀ q ri. (q,ri) ∈ set todo ∪ set old ⇒ root-info-cond ri q
  and q0: ∀ q ri. (q,ri) ∈ set todo ∪ set old ⇒ q ≠ 0
  and ex: ∃ q. q ∈ fst ‘set todo ∪ fst ‘set old ∧ ipoly q x = 0
  and dist: distinct (map fst (todo @ old))
  and old: ∀ q ri. (q,ri) ∈ set old ⇒ root-info.l-r ri l r ≠ 0
  and un: ∀ x :: real. (∃ q. q ∈ fst ‘set todo ∪ fst ‘set old ∧ ipoly q x = 0) ⇒
    ∃! q. q ∈ fst ‘set todo ∪ fst ‘set old ∧ ipoly q x = 0
  and n: n = sum-list (map (λ (q,ri). root-info.l-r ri l r) old)
  shows unique-root (q,l-fin,r-fin) ∧ (q,ri-fin) ∈ set todo ∪ set old ∧ x = the-unique-root
  (q,l-fin,r-fin)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma select-correct-factor: assumes
  conv: converges-to ((bnd-update ^ i) init) x
  and res: select-correct-factor init polys = ((q,ri),(l,r))
  and ri: ∀ q ri. (q,ri) ∈ set polys ⇒ root-info-cond ri q
  and q0: ∀ q ri. (q,ri) ∈ set polys ⇒ q ≠ 0
  and ex: ∃ q. q ∈ fst ‘set polys ∧ ipoly q x = 0

```

```

and dist: distinct (map fst polys)
and un:  $\bigwedge x :: \text{real}.$  ( $\exists q. q \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set polys} \wedge \text{ipoly } q x = 0$ )  $\implies$ 
     $\exists! q. q \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set polys} \wedge \text{ipoly } q x = 0$ 
shows unique-root (q,l,r)  $\wedge$  (q,ri)  $\in$  set polys  $\wedge$  x = the-unique-root (q,l,r)
⟨proof⟩

definition real-alg-2' :: root-info  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2 where
[code del]: real-alg-2' ri p l r = (
  if degree p = 1 then Rational (Rat.Fract (- coeff p 0) (coeff p 1)) else
  real-alg-2-main ri (case tighten-poly-bounds-for-x p 0 l r (sgn (ipoly p r)) of
    (l',r',sr')  $\Rightarrow$  (p, l', r'))
)

lemma real-alg-2'-code[code]: real-alg-2' ri p l r =
(if degree p = 1 then Rational (Rat.Fract (- coeff p 0) (coeff p 1))
else case normalize-bounds-1
  (case tighten-poly-bounds-for-x p 0 l r (sgn (ipoly p r)) of (l', r', sr')  $\Rightarrow$  (p,
l', r'))  

  of (p', l, r)  $\Rightarrow$  Irrational (root-info.number-root ri r) (p', l, r))
⟨proof⟩

definition real-alg-2'' :: root-info  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2 where
real-alg-2'' ri p l r = (case normalize-bounds-1
  (case tighten-poly-bounds-for-x p 0 l r (sgn (ipoly p r)) of (l', r', sr')  $\Rightarrow$  (p,
l', r'))  

  of (p', l, r)  $\Rightarrow$  Irrational (root-info.number-root ri r) (p', l, r))

lemma real-alg-2'': degree p  $\neq$  1  $\implies$  real-alg-2'' ri p l r = real-alg-2' ri p l r
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-cond-degree-0-imp-no-root:
fixes x :: 'b :: {comm-ring-1,ring-char-0}
assumes pc: poly-cond p and deg: degree p = 0 shows ipoly p x  $\neq$  0
⟨proof⟩

lemma real-alg-2':
assumes ur: unique-root (q,l,r) and pc: poly-cond q and ri: root-info-cond ri q
shows invariant-2 (real-alg-2' ri q l r)  $\wedge$  real-of-2 (real-alg-2' ri q l r) =  

the-unique-root (q,l,r) (is -  $\wedge$  - = ?x)
⟨proof⟩

definition select-correct-factor-int-poly :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2 where
select-correct-factor-int-poly init p  $\equiv$ 
let qs = factors-of-int-poly p;
polys = map ( $\lambda q. (q, \text{root-info } q)$ ) qs;
((q,ri),(l,r)) = select-correct-factor init polys
in real-alg-2' ri q l r

lemma select-correct-factor-int-poly: assumes
conv: converges-to ( $\lambda i. \text{bnd-get} ((\text{bnd-update } \wedge i) \text{ init}))$  x

```

```

and rai: select-correct-factor-int-poly init p = rai
and x: ipoly p x = 0
and p: p ≠ 0
shows invariant-2 rai ∧ real-of-2 rai = x
⟨proof⟩
end

```

11.2.10 Addition

```

lemma ipoly-0-0[simp]: ipoly f (0:'a:{comm-ring-1,ring-char-0}) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  poly
f 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add-rat-roots-below[simp]: roots-below (poly-add-rat r p) x = ( $\lambda y. y + of-rat$ 
r) ` roots-below p (x - of-rat r)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add-rat-root-cond:
shows root-cond (cf-pos-poly (poly-add-rat m p),l,r) x = root-cond (p, l - m, r
- m) (x - of-rat m)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add-rat-unique-root: unique-root (cf-pos-poly (poly-add-rat m p), l, r) =
unique-root (p, l - m, r - m)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun add-rat-1 :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-1  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-1 where
add-rat-1 r1 (p2,l2,r2) = (
let p = cf-pos-poly (poly-add-rat r1 p2);
(l,r,sr) = tighten-poly-bounds-for-x p 0 (l2+r1) (r2+r1) (sgn (ipoly p
(r2+r1)))
in
(p,l,r))

```

```

lemma poly-real-alg-1-add-rat[simp]:
poly-real-alg-1 (add-rat-1 r y) = cf-pos-poly (poly-add-rat r (poly-real-alg-1 y))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sgn-cf-pos:
assumes lead-coeff p > 0 shows sgn (ipoly (cf-pos-poly p) (x:'a:{linordered-field})) =
sgn (ipoly p x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add-rat-1: fixes r1 :: rat assumes inv-y: invariant-1-2 y
defines z  $\equiv$  add-rat-1 r1 y
shows invariant-1-2 z  $\wedge$  (real-of-1 z = of-rat r1 + real-of-1 y)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun tighten-poly-bounds-binary :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  (rat × rat × rat) × rat ×

```

```

rat × rat ⇒ (rat × rat × rat) × rat × rat × rat where
  tighten-poly-bounds-binary cr1 cr2 ((l1,r1,sr1),(l2,r2,sr2)) =
    (tighten-poly-bounds cr1 l1 r1 sr1, tighten-poly-bounds cr2 l2 r2 sr2)

lemma tighten-poly-bounds-binary:
  assumes ur: unique-root (p1,l1,r1) unique-root (p2,l2,r2) and pt: poly-cond2
  p1 poly-cond2 p2
  defines x ≡ the-unique-root (p1,l1,r1) and y ≡ the-unique-root (p2,l2,r2)
  assumes bnd: ⋀ l1 r1 l2 r2 l r sr1 sr2. I l1 ⇒ I l2 ⇒ root-cond (p1,l1,r1) x
  ⇒ root-cond (p2,l2,r2) y ⇒
    bnd ((l1,r1,sr1),(l2,r2,sr2)) = (l,r) ⇒ of-rat l ≤ f x y ∧ f x y ≤ of-rat r
  and approx: ⋀ l1 r1 l2 r2 l1' r1' l2' r2' l l' r r' sr1 sr2 sr1' sr2'.
    I l1 ⇒ I l2 ⇒
      l1 ≤ r1 ⇒ l2 ≤ r2 ⇒
      (l,r) = bnd ((l1,r1,sr1), (l2,r2,sr2)) ⇒
      (l',r') = bnd ((l1',r1',sr1'), (l2',r2',sr2')) ⇒
      (l1',r1') ∈ {(l1,(l1+r1)/2),((l1+r1)/2,r1)} ⇒
      (l2',r2') ∈ {(l2,(l2+r2)/2),((l2+r2)/2,r2)} ⇒
      (r' - l') ≤ 3/4 * (r - l) ∧ l ≤ l' ∧ r' ≤ r
    and I-mono: ⋀ l l'. I l ⇒ l ≤ l' ⇒ I l'
    and I: I l1 I l2
    and sr: sr1 = sgn (ipoly p1 r1) sr2 = sgn (ipoly p2 r2)
  shows converges-to (λ i. bnd ((tighten-poly-bounds-binary p1 p2 ^~ i) ((l1,r1,sr1),(l2,r2,sr2))))
    (f x y)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun add-1 :: real-alg-1 ⇒ real-alg-1 ⇒ real-alg-2 where
  add-1 (p1,l1,r1) (p2,l2,r2) = (
    select-correct-factor-int-poly
    (tighten-poly-bounds-binary p1 p2)
    (λ ((l1,r1,sr1),(l2,r2,sr2)). (l1 + l2, r1 + r2))
    ((l1,r1,sgn (ipoly p1 r1)),(l2,r2, sgn (ipoly p2 r2)))
    (poly-add p1 p2))

lemma add-1:
  assumes x: invariant-1-2 x and y: invariant-1-2 y
  defines z: z ≡ add-1 x y
  shows invariant-2 z ∧ (real-of-2 z = real-of-1 x + real-of-1 y)
  ⟨proof⟩

declare add-rat-1.simps[simp del]
declare add-1.simps[simp del]

```

11.2.11 Multiplication

```

context
begin
private fun mult-rat-1-pos :: rat ⇒ real-alg-1 ⇒ real-alg-2 where
  mult-rat-1-pos r1 (p2,l2,r2) = real-alg-2 (cf-pos-poly (poly-mult-rat r1 p2), l2*r1,

```

```

r2*r1)

private fun mult-1-pos :: real-alg-1  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-1  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2 where
mult-1-pos (p1,l1,r1) (p2,l2,r2) =
  select-correct-factor-int-poly
    (tighten-poly-bounds-binary p1 p2)
    ( $\lambda ((l1,r1,sr1),(l2,r2,sr2)). (l1 * l2, r1 * r2)$ )
    ((l1,r1,sgn (ipoly p1 r1)),(l2,r2, sgn (ipoly p2 r2)))
    (poly-mult p1 p2)

fun mult-rat-1 :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-1  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2 where
mult-rat-1 x y =
  (if x < 0 then uminus-2 (mult-rat-1-pos (-x) y)
   else if x = 0 then Rational 0 else (mult-rat-1-pos x y))

fun mult-1 :: real-alg-1  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-1  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2 where
mult-1 x y = (case (x,y) of ((p1,l1,r1),(p2,l2,r2))  $\Rightarrow$ 
  if r1 > 0 then
    if r2 > 0 then mult-1-pos x y
    else uminus-2 (mult-1-pos x (uminus-1 y))
  else if r2 > 0 then uminus-2 (mult-1-pos (uminus-1 x) y)
  else mult-1-pos (uminus-1 x) (uminus-1 y))

lemma mult-rat-1-pos: fixes r1 :: rat assumes r1: r1 > 0 and y: invariant-1 y
defines z: z  $\equiv$  mult-rat-1-pos r1 y
shows invariant-2 z  $\wedge$  (real-of-2 z = of-rat r1 * real-of-1 y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-1-pos: assumes x: invariant-1-2 x and y: invariant-1-2 y
defines z: z  $\equiv$  mult-1-pos x y
assumes pos: real-of-1 x > 0 real-of-1 y > 0
shows invariant-2 z  $\wedge$  (real-of-2 z = real-of-1 x * real-of-1 y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-1: assumes x: invariant-1-2 x and y: invariant-1-2 y
defines z[simp]: z  $\equiv$  mult-1 x y
shows invariant-2 z  $\wedge$  (real-of-2 z = real-of-1 x * real-of-1 y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-rat-1: fixes x assumes y: invariant-1 y
defines z: z  $\equiv$  mult-rat-1 x y
shows invariant-2 z  $\wedge$  (real-of-2 z = of-rat x * real-of-1 y)
⟨proof⟩
end

declare mult-1.simps[simp del]
declare mult-rat-1.simps[simp del]

```

11.2.12 Root

```

definition ipoly-root-delta :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  ipoly-root-delta p = Min (insert 1 { abs (x - y) | x y. ipoly p x = 0  $\wedge$  ipoly p y
= 0  $\wedge$  x  $\neq$  y}) / 4

lemma ipoly-root-delta: assumes p  $\neq$  0
  shows ipoly-root-delta p > 0
     $2 \leq \text{card} (\text{Collect} (\text{root-cond} (p, l, r))) \Rightarrow \text{ipoly-root-delta} p \leq \text{real-of-rat} (r - l) / 4$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sgn-less-eq-1-rat: fixes a b :: rat
  shows sgn a = 1  $\Rightarrow$  a  $\leq$  b  $\Rightarrow$  sgn b = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sgn-less-eq-1-real: fixes a b :: real
  shows sgn a = 1  $\Rightarrow$  a  $\leq$  b  $\Rightarrow$  sgn b = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

definition compare-1-rat :: real-alg-1  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  order where
  compare-1-rat rai = (let p = poly-real-alg-1 rai in
    if degree p = 1 then let x = Rat.Fract (- coeff p 0) (coeff p 1)
      in ( $\lambda$  y. compare y x)
    else ( $\lambda$  y. compare-rat-1 y rai))

lemma compare-real-of-rat: compare (real-of-rat x) (of-rat y) = compare x y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compare-1-rat: assumes rc: invariant-1 y
  shows compare-1-rat y x = compare (of-rat x) (real-of-1 y)
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes n :: nat
begin
private definition initial-lower-bound :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat where
  initial-lower-bound l = (if l  $\leq$  1 then l else of-int (root-rat-floor n l))

private definition initial-upper-bound :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat where
  initial-upper-bound r = (of-int (root-rat-ceiling n r))

context
  fixes cmpx :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  order
begin
fun tighten-bound-root :: rat  $\times$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\times$  rat where
  tighten-bound-root (l', r') = (let
    m' = (l' + r') / 2;
    m = m'  $\wedge$  n

```

```

in case cmpx m of
  Eq ⇒ (m',m')
  | Lt ⇒ (m',r')
  | Gt ⇒ (l',m'))

```

lemma tighten-bound-root: **assumes** sgn: sgn il = 1 real-of-1 x ≥ 0 **and**
 il: real-of-rat il ≤ root n (real-of-1 x) **and**
 ir: root n (real-of-1 x) ≤ real-of-rat ir **and**
 rai: invariant-1 x **and**
 cmpx: cmpx = compare-1-rat x **and**
 n: n ≠ 0
shows converges-to (λ i. (tighten-bound-root ^ i)) (il, ir))
 (root n (real-of-1 x)) (**is** converges-to ?f ?x)
 ⟨proof⟩
end

private fun root-pos-1 :: real-alg-1 ⇒ real-alg-2 **where**
 root-pos-1 (p,l,r) = (
 (select-correct-factor-int-poly
 (tighten-bound-root (compare-1-rat (p,l,r)))
 (λ x. x)
 (initial-lower-bound l, initial-upper-bound r)
 (poly-nth-root n p)))

fun root-1 :: real-alg-1 ⇒ real-alg-2 **where**
 root-1 (p,l,r) = (
 if n = 0 ∨ r = 0 then Rational 0
 else if r > 0 then root-pos-1 (p,l,r)
 else uminus-2 (root-pos-1 (uminus-1 (p,l,r))))

context
assumes n: n ≠ 0
begin

lemma initial-upper-bound: **assumes** x: x > 0 **and** xr: x ≤ of-rat r
shows sgn (initial-upper-bound r) = 1 root n x ≤ of-rat (initial-upper-bound r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma initial-lower-bound: **assumes** l: l > 0 **and** lx: of-rat l ≤ x
shows sgn (initial-lower-bound l) = 1 of-rat (initial-lower-bound l) ≤ root n x
⟨proof⟩

lemma root-pos-1:
assumes x: invariant-1 x **and** pos: rai-ub x > 0
defines y: y ≡ root-pos-1 x
shows invariant-2 y ∧ real-of-2 y = root n (real-of-1 x)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

lemma root-1: assumes x: invariant-1 x
  defines y: y ≡ root-1 x
  shows invariant-2 y ∧ (real-of-2 y = root n (real-of-1 x))
  {proof}
end

declare root-1.simps[simp del]

```

11.2.13 Embedding of Rational Numbers

```

definition of-rat-1 :: rat ⇒ real-alg-1 where
  of-rat-1 x ≡ (poly-rat x,x,x)

```

```

lemma of-rat-1:
  shows invariant-1 (of-rat-1 x) and real-of-1 (of-rat-1 x) = of-rat x
  {proof}

```

```

fun info-2 :: real-alg-2 ⇒ rat + int poly × nat where
  info-2 (Rational x) = Inl x
  | info-2 (Irrational n (p,l,r)) = Inr (p,n)

```

```

lemma info-2-card: assumes rc: invariant-2 x
  shows info-2 x = Inr (p,n) ⇒ poly-cond p ∧ ipoly p (real-of-2 x) = 0 ∧ degree
  p ≥ 2
  ∧ card (roots-below p (real-of-2 x)) = n
  info-2 x = Inl y ⇒ real-of-2 x = of-rat y
  {proof}

```

```

lemma real-of-2-Irrational: invariant-2 (Irrational n rai) ⇒ real-of-2 (Irrational
n rai) ≠ of-rat x
  {proof}

```

```

lemma info-2: assumes
  ix: invariant-2 x and iy: invariant-2 y
  shows info-2 x = info-2 y ⇔ real-of-2 x = real-of-2 y
  {proof}

```

```

lemma info-2-unique: invariant-2 x ⇒ invariant-2 y ⇒
  real-of-2 x = real-of-2 y ⇒ info-2 x = info-2 y
  {proof}

```

```

lemma info-2-inj: invariant-2 x ⇒ invariant-2 y ⇒ info-2 x = info-2 y ⇒
  real-of-2 x = real-of-2 y
  {proof}

```

```

context
  fixes cr1 cr2 :: rat ⇒ rat ⇒ nat
begin

```

```

partial-function (tailrec) compare-1 :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  order where
  [code]: compare-1 p1 p2 l1 r1 sr1 l2 r2 sr2 = (if r1 < l2 then Lt else if r2 < l1
then Gt
  else let
    (l1',r1',sr1') = tighten-poly-bounds p1 l1 r1 sr1;
    (l2',r2',sr2') = tighten-poly-bounds p2 l2 r2 sr2
    in compare-1 p1 p2 l1' r1' sr1' l2' r2' sr2')

```

```

lemma compare-1:
  assumes ur1: unique-root (p1,l1,r1)
  and ur2: unique-root (p2,l2,r2)
  and pc: poly-cond2 p1 poly-cond2 p2
  and diff: the-unique-root (p1,l1,r1)  $\neq$  the-unique-root (p2,l2,r2)
  and sr: sr1 = sgn (ipoly p1 r1) sr2 = sgn (ipoly p2 r2)
  shows compare-1 p1 p2 l1 r1 sr1 l2 r2 sr2 = compare (the-unique-root (p1,l1,r1))
(the-unique-root (p2,l2,r2))
⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

fun real-alg-1 :: real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-1 where
  real-alg-1 (Rational r) = of-rat-1 r
  | real-alg-1 (Irrational n rai) = rai

lemma real-alg-1: real-of-1 (real-alg-1 x) = real-of-2 x
  ⟨proof⟩

definition root-2 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2 where
  root-2 n x = root-1 n (real-alg-1 x)

lemma root-2: assumes invariant-2 x
  shows real-of-2 (root-2 n x) = root n (real-of-2 x)
  invariant-2 (root-2 n x)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun add-2 :: real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2 where
  add-2 (Rational r) (Rational q) = Rational (r + q)
  | add-2 (Rational r) (Irrational n x) = Irrational n (add-rat-1 r x)
  | add-2 (Irrational n x) (Rational q) = Irrational n (add-rat-1 q x)
  | add-2 (Irrational n x) (Irrational m y) = add-1 x y

lemma add-2: assumes x: invariant-2 x and y: invariant-2 y
  shows invariant-2 (add-2 x y) (is ?g1)
  and real-of-2 (add-2 x y) = real-of-2 x + real-of-2 y (is ?g2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun mult-2 :: real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2 where
  mult-2 (Rational r) (Rational q) = Rational (r * q)
  | mult-2 (Rational r) (Irrational n y) = mult-rat-1 r y
  | mult-2 (Irrational n x) (Rational q) = mult-rat-1 q x
  | mult-2 (Irrational n x) (Irrational m y) = mult-1 x y

lemma mult-2: assumes invariant-2 x invariant-2 y
  shows real-of-2 (mult-2 x y) = real-of-2 x * real-of-2 y
  invariant-2 (mult-2 x y)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun to-rat-2 :: real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  rat option where
  to-rat-2 (Rational r) = Some r
  | to-rat-2 (Irrational n rai) = None

lemma to-rat-2: assumes rc: invariant-2 x
  shows to-rat-2 x = (if real-of-2 x  $\in$   $\mathbb{Q}$  then Some (THE q. real-of-2 x = of-rat q) else None)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun equal-2 :: real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  equal-2 (Rational r) (Rational q) = (r = q)
  | equal-2 (Irrational n (p,-)) (Irrational m (q,-)) = (p = q  $\wedge$  n = m)
  | equal-2 (Rational r) (Irrational - yy) = False
  | equal-2 (Irrational - xx) (Rational q) = False

lemma equal-2[simp]: assumes rc: invariant-2 x invariant-2 y
  shows equal-2 x y = (real-of-2 x = real-of-2 y)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun compare-2 :: real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  order where
  compare-2 (Rational r) (Rational q) = (compare r q)
  | compare-2 (Irrational n (p,l,r)) (Irrational m (q,l',r')) = (if p = q  $\wedge$  n = m then Eq
    else compare-1 p q l r (sgn (ipoly p r)) l' r' (sgn (ipoly q r')))
  | compare-2 (Rational r) (Irrational - xx) = (compare-rat-1 r xx)
  | compare-2 (Irrational - xx) (Rational r) = (invert-order (compare-rat-1 r xx))

lemma compare-2: assumes rc: invariant-2 x invariant-2 y
  shows compare-2 x y = compare (real-of-2 x) (real-of-2 y)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun sgn-2 :: real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  rat where
  sgn-2 (Rational r) = sgn r
  | sgn-2 (Irrational n rai) = sgn-1 rai

lemma sgn-2: invariant-2 x  $\implies$  real-of-rat (sgn-2 x) = sgn (real-of-2 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun floor-2 :: real-alg-2  $\Rightarrow$  int where
  floor-2 (Rational r) = floor r
  | floor-2 (Irrational n rai) = floor-1 rai

lemma floor-2: invariant-2 x  $\implies$  floor-2 x = floor (real-of-2 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

11.2.14 Definitions and Algorithms on Type with Invariant

```

lift-definition of-rat-3 :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-3 is of-rat-2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma of-rat-3: real-of-3 (of-rat-3 x) = of-rat x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition root-3 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-3 is root-2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma root-3: real-of-3 (root-3 n x) = root n (real-of-3 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition equal-3 :: real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  bool is equal-2 ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma equal-3: equal-3 x y = (real-of-3 x = real-of-3 y)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition compare-3 :: real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  order is compare-2 ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma compare-3: compare-3 x y = (compare (real-of-3 x) (real-of-3 y))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition add-3 :: real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-3 is add-2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add-3: real-of-3 (add-3 x y) = real-of-3 x + real-of-3 y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition mult-3 :: real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-3 is mult-2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mult-3: real-of-3 (mult-3 x y) = real-of-3 x * real-of-3 y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition sgn-3 :: real-alg-3  $\Rightarrow$  rat is sgn-2 ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sgn-3: real-of-rat (sgn-3 x) = sgn (real-of-3 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition to-rat-3 :: real-alg-3 ⇒ rat option is to-rat-2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-rat-3: to-rat-3 x =
  (if real-of-3 x ∈ ℚ then Some (THE q. real-of-3 x = of-rat q) else None)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition floor-3 :: real-alg-3 ⇒ int is floor-2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma floor-3: floor-3 x = floor (real-of-3 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition info-3 :: real-alg-3 ⇒ rat + int poly × nat is info-2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma info-3-fun: real-of-3 x = real-of-3 y ⇒ info-3 x = info-3 y
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition info-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ rat + int poly × nat is info-3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma info-real-alg:
  info-real-alg x = Inr (p,n) ⇒ p represents (real-of x) ∧ card {y. y ≤ real-of x
  ∧ ipoly p y = 0} = n ∧ irreducible p
  info-real-alg x = Inl q ⇒ real-of x = of-rat q
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation real-alg :: plus
begin
lift-definition plus-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ real-alg is add-3
  ⟨proof⟩
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma plus-real-alg: (real-of x) + (real-of y) = real-of (x + y)
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation real-alg :: minus
begin
definition minus-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ real-alg where
  minus-real-alg x y = x + (-y)
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma minus-real-alg: (real-of x) - (real-of y) = real-of (x - y)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition *of-rat-real-alg* :: *rat* \Rightarrow *real-alg* **is** *of-rat-3* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-rat-real-alg*: *real-of-rat* *x* = *real-of* (*of-rat-real-alg* *x*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation *real-alg* :: *zero*
begin
definition *zero-real-alg* :: *real-alg* **where** *zero-real-alg* \equiv *of-rat-real-alg* 0
instance $\langle proof \rangle$
end

lemma *zero-real-alg*: 0 = *real-of* 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation *real-alg* :: *one*
begin
definition *one-real-alg* :: *real-alg* **where** *one-real-alg* \equiv *of-rat-real-alg* 1
instance $\langle proof \rangle$
end

lemma *one-real-alg*: 1 = *real-of* 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation *real-alg* :: *times*
begin
lift-definition *times-real-alg* :: *real-alg* \Rightarrow *real-alg* \Rightarrow *real-alg* **is** *mult-3*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
instance $\langle proof \rangle$
end

lemma *times-real-alg*: (*real-of* *x*) * (*real-of* *y*) = *real-of* (*x* * *y*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation *real-alg* :: *inverse*
begin
lift-definition *inverse-real-alg* :: *real-alg* \Rightarrow *real-alg* **is** *inverse-3*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
definition *divide-real-alg* :: *real-alg* \Rightarrow *real-alg* \Rightarrow *real-alg* **where**
divide-real-alg *x* *y* = *x* * *inverse* *y*
instance $\langle proof \rangle$
end

```
lemma inverse-real-alg: inverse (real-of x) = real-of (inverse x)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma divide-real-alg: (real-of x) / (real-of y) = real-of (x / y)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance real-alg :: ab-group-add  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance real-alg :: field  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance real-alg :: numeral ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition root-real-alg :: nat ⇒ real-alg ⇒ real-alg is root-3  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma root-real-alg: root n (real-of x) = real-of (root-real-alg n x)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition sgn-real-alg-rat :: real-alg ⇒ rat is sgn-3  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sgn-real-alg-rat: real-of-rat (sgn-real-alg-rat x) = sgn (real-of x)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instantiation real-alg :: sgn  
begin  
definition sgn-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg where  
sgn-real-alg x = of-rat-real-alg (sgn-real-alg-rat x)  
instance ⟨proof⟩  
end
```

```
lemma sgn-real-alg: sgn (real-of x) = real-of (sgn x)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instantiation real-alg :: equal  
begin  
lift-definition equal-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ bool is equal-3  
⟨proof⟩  
instance  
⟨proof⟩  
end
```

```

lemma equal-real-alg: HOL.equal (real-of x) (real-of y) = (x = y)
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation real-alg :: ord
begin

definition less-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ bool where
  [code del]: less-real-alg x y = (real-of x < real-of y)

definition less-eq-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ bool where
  [code del]: less-eq-real-alg x y = (real-of x ≤ real-of y)

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma less-real-alg: less (real-of x) (real-of y) = (x < y) ⟨proof⟩
lemma less-eq-real-alg: less-eq (real-of x) (real-of y) = (x ≤ y) ⟨proof⟩

instantiation real-alg :: compare-order
begin

lift-definition compare-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ order is compare-3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compare-real-alg: compare (real-of x) (real-of y) = (compare x y)
  ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma less-eq-real-alg-code[code]:
  (less-eq :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ bool) = le-of-comp compare
  (less :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ bool) = lt-of-comp compare
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation real-alg :: abs
begin

definition abs-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg where
  abs-real-alg x = (if real-of x < 0 then uminus x else x)
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma abs-real-alg: abs (real-of x) = real-of (abs x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sgn-real-alg-sound:  $\text{sgn } x = (\text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } 0 < \text{real-of } x \text{ then } 1 \\ \text{else } -1)$   

  (is  $- = ?r$ )  

   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma real-of-of-int:  $\text{real-of-rat } (\text{rat-of-int } z) = \text{real-of } (\text{of-int } z)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

instance real-alg :: linordered-field  

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

instantiation real-alg :: floor-ceiling  

begin  

lift-definition floor-real-alg :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  int is floor-3  

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma floor-real-alg:  $\text{floor } (\text{real-of } x) = \text{floor } x$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

instance  

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$   

end

instantiation real-alg ::  

  {unique-euclidean-ring, normalization-euclidean-semiring, normalization-semidom-multiplicative}  

begin

definition [simp]: normalize-real-alg = (normalize-field :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  -)  

definition [simp]: unit-factor-real-alg = (unit-factor-field :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  -)  

definition [simp]: modulo-real-alg = (mod-field :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  -)  

definition [simp]: euclidean-size-real-alg = (euclidean-size-field :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  -)  

definition [simp]: division-segment (x :: real-alg) = 1

instance  

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$   

end

instantiation real-alg :: euclidean-ring-gcd  

begin

definition gcd-real-alg :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg where  

  gcd-real-alg = Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd  

definition lcm-real-alg :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg where  

  lcm-real-alg = Euclidean-Algorithm.lcm  

definition Gcd-real-alg :: real-alg set  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg where  

  Gcd-real-alg = Euclidean-Algorithm.Gcd  

definition Lcm-real-alg :: real-alg set  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg where  

  Lcm-real-alg = Euclidean-Algorithm.Lcm

```

```

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instance real-alg :: field-gcd ⟨proof⟩

definition min-int-poly-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ int poly where
  min-int-poly-real-alg x = (case info-real-alg x of Inl r ⇒ poly-rat r | Inr (p,-) ⇒
  p)

lemma min-int-poly-real-alg-real-of: min-int-poly-real-alg x = min-int-poly (real-of
x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma min-int-poly-real-code: min-int-poly-real (real-of x) = min-int-poly-real-alg
x
⟨proof⟩

lemma min-int-poly-real-of: min-int-poly (real-of x) = min-int-poly x
⟨proof⟩

definition real-alg-of-real :: real ⇒ real-alg where
  real-alg-of-real x = (if (exists y. x = real-of y) then (THE y. x = real-of y) else 0)

lemma real-alg-of-real-code[code]: real-alg-of-real (real-of x) = x
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition to-rat-real-alg-main :: real-alg ⇒ rat option is to-rat-3
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-rat-real-alg-main: to-rat-real-alg-main x = (if real-of x ∈ ℚ then
  Some (THE q. real-of x = of-rat q) else None)
⟨proof⟩

definition to-rat-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ rat where
  to-rat-real-alg x = (case to-rat-real-alg-main x of Some q ⇒ q | None ⇒ 0)

definition is-rat-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ bool where
  is-rat-real-alg x = (case to-rat-real-alg-main x of Some q ⇒ True | None ⇒ False)

lemma is-rat-real-alg: is-rat (real-of x) = (is-rat-real-alg x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-rat-real-alg: to-rat (real-of x) = (to-rat-real-alg x)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *algebraic-real-code[code]*: *algebraic-real (real-of x) = True*
(proof)

11.3 Real Algebraic Numbers as Implementation for Real Numbers

```
lemmas real-alg-code-eqns =
  one-real-alg
  zero-real-alg
  uminus-real-alg
  root-real-alg
  minus-real-alg
  plus-real-alg
  times-real-alg
  inverse-real-alg
  divide-real-alg
  equal-real-alg
  less-real-alg
  less-eq-real-alg
  compare-real-alg
  sgn-real-alg
  abs-real-alg
  floor-real-alg
  is-rat-real-alg
  to-rat-real-alg
  min-int-poly-real-code
```

code-datatype *real-of*

```
declare [[code drop:
  plus :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real
  uminus :: real ⇒ real
  minus :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real
  times :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real
  inverse :: real ⇒ real
  divide :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real
  floor :: real ⇒ int
  HOL.equal :: real ⇒ real ⇒ bool
  compare :: real ⇒ real ⇒ order
  less-eq :: real ⇒ real ⇒ bool
  less :: real ⇒ real ⇒ bool
  0 :: real
  1 :: real
  sgn :: real ⇒ real
  abs :: real ⇒ real
  min-int-poly-real
  root]]
```

declare *real-alg-code-eqns* [*code equation*]

```

lemma Ratreal-code[code]:
  Ratreal = real-of ∘ of-rat-real-alg
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-of-post[code-post]: real-of (Real-Alg-Quotient (Real-Alg-Invariant (Rational
x))) = of-rat x
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

12 Real Roots

This theory contains an algorithm to determine the set of real roots of a rational polynomial. For polynomials with real coefficients, we refer to the AFP entry "Factor-Algebraic-Polynomial".

```

theory Real-Roots
  imports
    Cauchy-Root-Bound
    Real-Algebraic-Numbers
  begin

  hide-const (open) UnivPoly.coeff
  hide-const (open) Module.smult

  partial-function (tailrec) roots-of-2-main :: 
    int poly ⇒ root-info ⇒ (rat ⇒ rat ⇒ nat) ⇒ (rat × rat)list ⇒ real-alg-2 list ⇒
    real-alg-2 list where
    [code]: roots-of-2-main p ri cr lrs rais = (case lrs of Nil ⇒ rais
    | (l,r) # lrs ⇒ let c = cr l r in
      if c = 0 then roots-of-2-main p ri cr lrs rais
      else if c = 1 then roots-of-2-main p ri cr lrs (real-alg-2" ri p l r # rais)
      else let m = (l + r) / 2 in roots-of-2-main p ri cr ((m,r) # (l,m) # lrs) rais)

  definition roots-of-2-irr :: int poly ⇒ real-alg-2 list where
    roots-of-2-irr p = (if degree p = 1
      then [Rational (Rat.Fract (- coeff p 0) (coeff p 1))] else
      let ri = root-info p;
      cr = root-info.l-r ri;
      B = root-bound p
      in (roots-of-2-main p ri cr [(-B,B)] []))

  fun pairwise-disjoint :: 'a set list ⇒ bool where
    pairwise-disjoint [] = True
    | pairwise-disjoint (x # xs) = ((x ∩ (⋃ y ∈ set xs. y) = {}) ∧ pairwise-disjoint
      xs)

lemma roots-of-2-irr: assumes pc: poly-cond p and deg: degree p > 0

```

```

shows real-of-2 ` set (roots-of-2-irr p) = {x. ipoly p x = 0} (is ?one)
  Ball (set (roots-of-2-irr p)) invariant-2 (is ?two)
  distinct (map real-of-2 (roots-of-2-irr p)) (is ?three)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition roots-of-2 :: int poly ⇒ real-alg-2 list where
  roots-of-2 p = concat (map roots-of-2-irr
    (factors-of-int-poly p))

lemma roots-of-2:
  shows p ≠ 0 ⇒ real-of-2 ` set (roots-of-2 p) = {x. ipoly p x = 0}
    Ball (set (roots-of-2 p)) invariant-2
    distinct (map real-of-2 (roots-of-2 p))
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition (code-dt) roots-of-3 :: int poly ⇒ real-alg-3 list is roots-of-2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma roots-of-3:
  shows p ≠ 0 ⇒ real-of-3 ` set (roots-of-3 p) = {x. ipoly p x = 0}
    distinct (map real-of-3 (roots-of-3 p))
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition roots-of-real-alg :: int poly ⇒ real-alg list is roots-of-3 ⟨proof⟩

lemma roots-of-real-alg:
  p ≠ 0 ⇒ real-of ` set (roots-of-real-alg p) = {x. ipoly p x = 0}
  distinct (map real-of (roots-of-real-alg p))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition real-roots-of-int-poly :: int poly ⇒ real list where
  real-roots-of-int-poly p = map real-of (roots-of-real-alg p)

definition real-roots-of-rat-poly :: rat poly ⇒ real list where
  real-roots-of-rat-poly p = map real-of (roots-of-real-alg (snd (rat-to-int-poly p)))

abbreviation rpoly :: rat poly ⇒ 'a :: field-char-0 ⇒ 'a
where rpoly f ≡ poly (map-poly of-rat f)

lemma real-roots-of-int-poly: p ≠ 0 ⇒ set (real-roots-of-int-poly p) = {x. ipoly p
  x = 0}
  distinct (real-roots-of-int-poly p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-roots-of-rat-poly: p ≠ 0 ⇒ set (real-roots-of-rat-poly p) = {x. rpoly
  p x = 0}
  distinct (real-roots-of-rat-poly p)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

13 Complex Roots of Real Valued Polynomials

We provide conversion functions between polynomials over the real and the complex numbers, and prove that the complex roots of real-valued polynomial always come in conjugate pairs. We further show that also the order of the complex conjugate roots is identical.

As a consequence, we derive that every real-valued polynomial can be factored into real factors of degree at most 2, and we prove that every polynomial over the reals with odd degree has a real root.

```
theory Complex-Roots-Real-Poly
imports
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra
  Polynomial-Factorization.Order-Polynomial
  Polynomial-Factorization.Explicit-Roots
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
begin
```

```
interpretation of-real-poly-hom: map-poly-idom-hom complex-of-real⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma real-poly-real-coeff: assumes set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
  shows coeff p x ∈ ℝ
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma complex-conjugate-root:
  assumes real: set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ and rt: poly p c = 0
  shows poly p (cnj c) = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

```
context
  fixes p :: complex poly
  assumes coeffs: set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
begin
lemma map-poly-Re-poly: fixes x :: real
  shows poly (map-poly Re p) x = poly p (of-real x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma map-poly-Re-coeffs:
  coeffs (map-poly Re p) = map Re (coeffs p)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma map-poly-Re-0: map-poly Re p = 0 ⟹ p = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```

lemma real-poly-add:
  assumes set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ set (coeffs q) ⊆ ℝ
  shows set (coeffs (p + q)) ⊆ ℝ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-poly-sum:
  assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ S ==> set (coeffs (f x)) ⊆ ℝ
  shows set (coeffs (sum f S)) ⊆ ℝ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-poly-smult: fixes p :: 'a :: {idom,real-algebra-1} poly
  assumes c ∈ ℝ set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
  shows set (coeffs (smult c p)) ⊆ ℝ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-poly-pCons:
  assumes c ∈ ℝ set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
  shows set (coeffs (pCons c p)) ⊆ ℝ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-poly-mult: fixes p :: 'a :: {idom,real-algebra-1} poly
  assumes p: set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ and q: set (coeffs q) ⊆ ℝ
  shows set (coeffs (p * q)) ⊆ ℝ ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-poly-power: fixes p :: 'a :: {idom,real-algebra-1} poly
  assumes p: set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
  shows set (coeffs (p ^ n)) ⊆ ℝ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-poly-prod: fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {idom,real-algebra-1} poly
  assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ S ==> set (coeffs (f x)) ⊆ ℝ
  shows set (coeffs (prod f S)) ⊆ ℝ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-poly-uminus:
  assumes set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
  shows set (coeffs (-p)) ⊆ ℝ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-poly-minus:
  assumes set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ set (coeffs q) ⊆ ℝ
  shows set (coeffs (p - q)) ⊆ ℝ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fixes p :: 'a :: real-field poly

```

```

assumes p: set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ and *: set (coeffs q) ⊆ ℝ
shows real-poly-div: set (coeffs (q div p)) ⊆ ℝ
and real-poly-mod: set (coeffs (q mod p)) ⊆ ℝ
⟨proof⟩

lemma real-poly-factor: fixes p :: 'a :: real-field poly
assumes set (coeffs (p * q)) ⊆ ℝ
set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
p ≠ 0
shows set (coeffs q) ⊆ ℝ
⟨proof⟩

lemma complex-conjugate-order: assumes real: set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
p ≠ 0
shows order (cnj c) p = order c p
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-poly-of-real-Re: assumes set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
shows map-poly of-real (map-poly Re p) = p
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-poly-Re-of-real: map-poly Re (map-poly of-real p) = p
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-poly-Re-mult: assumes p: set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
and q: set (coeffs q) ⊆ ℝ shows map-poly Re (p * q) = map-poly Re p * map-poly
Re q
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-poly-Re-power: assumes p: set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
shows map-poly Re (p^n) = (map-poly Re p)^n
⟨proof⟩

lemma real-degree-2-factorization-exists-complex: fixes p :: complex poly
assumes pR: set (coeffs p) ⊆ ℝ
shows ∃ qs. p = prod-list qs ∧ (∀ q ∈ set qs. set (coeffs q) ⊆ ℝ ∧ degree q ≤ 2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma real-degree-2-factorization-exists: fixes p :: real poly
shows ∃ qs. p = prod-list qs ∧ (∀ q ∈ set qs. degree q ≤ 2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma odd-degree-imp-real-root: assumes odd (degree p)
shows ∃ x. poly p x = (0 :: real)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

13.1 Compare Instance for Complex Numbers

We define some code equations for complex numbers, provide a comparator for complex numbers, and register complex numbers for the container framework.

```

theory Compare-Complex
imports
  HOL.Complex
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Unsorted
  Deriving.Compare-Real
  Containers.Set-Impl
begin

declare [[code drop: Gcd-fin]]
declare [[code drop: Lcm-fin]]

definition gcds :: 'a::semiring-gcd list ⇒ 'a
  where [simp, code-abbrev]: gcds xs = gcd-list xs

lemma [code]:
  gcds xs = fold gcd xs 0
  ⟨proof⟩

definition lcms :: 'a::semiring-gcd list ⇒ 'a
  where [simp, code-abbrev]: lcms xs = lcm-list xs

lemma [code]:
  lcms xs = fold lcm xs 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-reals-code [code-unfold]:
   $x \in \mathbb{R} \longleftrightarrow \text{Im } x = 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition is-norm-1 :: complex ⇒ bool where
  is-norm-1 z = ((Re z)2 + (Im z)2 = 1)

lemma is-norm-1[simp]: is-norm-1 x = (norm x = 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition is-norm-le-1 :: complex ⇒ bool where
  is-norm-le-1 z = ((Re z)2 + (Im z)2 ≤ 1)

lemma is-norm-le-1[simp]: is-norm-le-1 x = (norm x ≤ 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation complex :: finite-UNIV
begin
definition finite-UNIV = Phantom(complex) False

```

```

instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation complex :: compare
begin
definition compare-complex :: complex ⇒ complex ⇒ order where
  compare-complex x y = compare (Re x, Im x) (Re y, Im y)

instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

derive (eq) ceq complex real
derive (compare) ccompare complex
derive (compare) ccompare real
derive (dlist) set-impl complex real

end

```

14 Interval Arithmetic

We provide basic interval arithmetic operations for real and complex intervals. As application we prove that complex polynomial evaluation is continuous w.r.t. interval arithmetic. To be more precise, if an interval sequence converges to some element *x*, then the interval polynomial evaluation of *f* tends to *f(x)*.

```

theory Interval-Arithmetic
imports
  Algebraic-Numbers-Prelim
begin

  Intervals

  datatype ('a) interval = Interval (lower: 'a) (upper: 'a)

  hide-const(open) lower upper

  definition to-interval where to-interval a ≡ Interval a a

  abbreviation of-int-interval :: int ⇒ 'a :: ring-1 interval where
    of-int-interval x ≡ to-interval (of-int x)

```

14.1 Syntactic Class Instantiations

```

instantiation interval :: (zero) zero begin
  definition zero-interval where 0 ≡ Interval 0 0
  instance(⟨proof⟩)
end

```

```

instantiation interval :: (one) one begin
  definition 1 = Interval 1 1
  instance<proof>
end

instantiation interval :: (plus) plus begin
  fun plus-interval where Interval lx ux + Interval ly uy = Interval (lx + ly) (ux
+ uy)
  instance<proof>
end

instantiation interval :: (uminus) uminus begin
  fun uminus-interval where - Interval l u = Interval (-u) (-l)
  instance<proof>
end

instantiation interval :: (minus) minus begin
  fun minus-interval where Interval lx ux - Interval ly uy = Interval (lx - uy)
  (ux - ly)
  instance<proof>
end

instantiation interval :: ({ord,times}) times begin
  fun times-interval where
    Interval lx ux * Interval ly uy =
    (let x1 = lx * ly; x2 = lx * uy; x3 = ux * ly; x4 = ux * uy
     in Interval (min x1 (min x2 (min x3 x4))) (max x1 (max x2 (max x3 x4))))
  instance<proof>
end

instantiation interval :: ({ord,times,inverse}) inverse begin
  fun inverse-interval where
    inverse (Interval l u) = Interval (inverse u) (inverse l)
  definition divide-interval :: 'a interval  $\Rightarrow$  - where
    divide-interval X Y = X * (inverse Y)
  instance<proof>
end

```

14.2 Class Instantiations

instance interval :: (semigroup-add) semigroup-add
<proof>

instance interval :: (monoid-add) monoid-add
<proof>

instance interval :: (ab-semigroup-add) ab-semigroup-add
<proof>

```
instance interval :: (comm-monoid-add) comm-monoid-add ⟨proof⟩
```

Intervals do not form an additive group, but satisfy some properties.

```
lemma interval-uminus-zero[simp]:  
  shows  $-(0 :: 'a :: \text{group-add interval}) = 0$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma interval-diff-zero[simp]:  
  fixes  $a :: 'a :: \text{cancel-comm-monoid-add interval}$   
  shows  $a - 0 = a$  ⟨proof⟩
```

Without type invariant, intervals do not form a multiplicative monoid, but satisfy some properties.

```
instance interval :: ({linorder,mult-zero}) mult-zero  
⟨proof⟩
```

14.3 Membership

```
fun in-interval :: 'a :: order  $\Rightarrow$  'a interval  $\Rightarrow$  bool ((-/  $\in_i$  -) [51, 51] 50) where  
   $y \in_i \text{Interval } lx ux = (lx \leq y \wedge y \leq ux)$ 
```

```
lemma in-interval-to-interval[intro!]:  $a \in_i \text{to-interval } a$   
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma plus-in-interval:  
  fixes  $x y :: 'a :: \text{ordered-comm-monoid-add}$   
  shows  $x \in_i X \Rightarrow y \in_i Y \Rightarrow x + y \in_i X + Y$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma uminus-in-interval:  
  fixes  $x :: 'a :: \text{ordered-ab-group-add}$   
  shows  $x \in_i X \Rightarrow -x \in_i -X$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma minus-in-interval:  
  fixes  $x y :: 'a :: \text{ordered-ab-group-add}$   
  shows  $x \in_i X \Rightarrow y \in_i Y \Rightarrow x - y \in_i X - Y$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma times-in-interval:  
  fixes  $x y :: 'a :: \text{linordered-ring}$   
  assumes  $x \in_i X y \in_i Y$   
  shows  $x * y \in_i X * Y$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

14.4 Convergence

```
definition interval-tendsto :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: topological-space interval)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

```

(infixr  $\longrightarrow_i$  55) where
 $(X \longrightarrow_i x) \equiv ((interval.upper \circ X) \longrightarrow x) \wedge ((interval.lower \circ X) \longrightarrow x)$ 

lemma interval-tendstoI[intro]:
  assumes (interval.upper  $\circ X) \longrightarrow x$  and (interval.lower  $\circ X) \longrightarrow x$ 
  shows  $X \longrightarrow_i x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma const-interval-tendsto:  $(\lambda i. to\text{-}interval a) \longrightarrow_i a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interval-tendsto-0:  $(\lambda i. 0) \longrightarrow_i 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-interval-tendsto:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a :: topological\text{-}monoid\text{-}add$ 
  assumes  $X \longrightarrow_i x$   $Y \longrightarrow_i y$ 
  shows  $(\lambda i. X i + Y i) \longrightarrow_i x + y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uminus-interval-tendsto:
  fixes  $x :: 'a :: topological\text{-}group\text{-}add$ 
  assumes  $X \longrightarrow_i x$ 
  shows  $(\lambda i. - X i) \longrightarrow_i -x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minus-interval-tendsto:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a :: topological\text{-}group\text{-}add$ 
  assumes  $X \longrightarrow_i x$   $Y \longrightarrow_i y$ 
  shows  $(\lambda i. X i - Y i) \longrightarrow_i x - y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma times-interval-tendsto:
  fixes  $x y :: 'a :: \{linorder\text{-}topology, real\text{-}normed\text{-}algebra\}$ 
  assumes  $X \longrightarrow_i x$   $Y \longrightarrow_i y$ 
  shows  $(\lambda i. X i * Y i) \longrightarrow_i x * y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interval-tendsto-neq:
  fixes  $a b :: real$ 
  assumes  $(\lambda i. f i) \longrightarrow_i a$  and  $a \neq b$ 
  shows  $\exists n. \neg b \in_i f n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

14.5 Complex Intervals

datatype complex-interval = Complex-Interval (Re-interval: real interval) (Im-interval: real interval)

```

definition in-complex-interval :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex-interval  $\Rightarrow$  bool ((-/  $\in_c$  -)
[51, 51] 50) where
 $y \in_c x \equiv (\text{case } x \text{ of Complex-Interval } r i \Rightarrow \text{Re } y \in_i r \wedge \text{Im } y \in_i i)$ 

instantiation complex-interval :: comm-monoid-add begin

definition 0  $\equiv$  Complex-Interval 0 0

fun plus-complex-interval :: complex-interval  $\Rightarrow$  complex-interval  $\Rightarrow$  complex-interval
where
 $\text{Complex-Interval } rx ix + \text{Complex-Interval } ry iy = \text{Complex-Interval } (rx + ry)$ 
 $(ix + iy)$ 

instance
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma plus-complex-interval:  $x \in_c X \implies y \in_c Y \implies x + y \in_c X + Y$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition of-int-complex-interval :: int  $\Rightarrow$  complex-interval where
of-int-complex-interval x = Complex-Interval (of-int-interval x) 0

lemma of-int-complex-interval-0[simp]: of-int-complex-interval 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-int-complex-interval: of-int i  $\in_c$  of-int-complex-interval i
⟨proof⟩

instantiation complex-interval :: mult-zero begin

fun times-complex-interval where
 $\text{Complex-Interval } rx ix * \text{Complex-Interval } ry iy =$ 
 $\text{Complex-Interval } (rx * ry - ix * iy) (rx * iy + ix * ry)$ 

instance
⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation complex-interval :: minus begin

fun minus-complex-interval where
 $\text{Complex-Interval } R I - \text{Complex-Interval } R' I' = \text{Complex-Interval } (R - R')$ 
 $(I - I')$ 

instance⟨proof⟩

end

```

lemma *times-complex-interval*: $x \in_c X \implies y \in_c Y \implies x * y \in_c X * Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *ipoly-complex-interval* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *complex-interval* \Rightarrow *complex-interval*
where
 $ipoly\text{-}complex\text{-}interval\ p\ x = fold\text{-}coeffs\ (\lambda a\ b.\ of\text{-}int\text{-}complex\text{-}interval\ a + x * b)$
 $p\ 0$

lemma *ipoly-complex-interval-0[simp]*:
 $ipoly\text{-}complex\text{-}interval\ 0\ x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ipoly-complex-interval-pCons[simp]*:
 $ipoly\text{-}complex\text{-}interval\ (pCons\ a\ p)\ x = of\text{-}int\text{-}complex\text{-}interval\ a + x * (ipoly\text{-}complex\text{-}interval\ p\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ipoly-complex-interval*: **assumes** $x: x \in_c X$
shows $ipoly\ p\ x \in_c ipoly\text{-}complex\text{-}interval\ p\ X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *complex-interval-tendsto* (**infix** $\longrightarrow_c 55$) **where**
 $C \longrightarrow_c c \equiv ((Re\text{-}interval} \circ C) \longrightarrow_i Re\ c) \wedge ((Im\text{-}interval} \circ C) \longrightarrow_i Im\ c)$

lemma *complex-interval-tendstoI[intro!]*:
 $(Re\text{-}interval} \circ C) \longrightarrow_i Re\ c \implies (Im\text{-}interval} \circ C) \longrightarrow_i Im\ c \implies C \longrightarrow_c c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-int-complex-interval-tendsto*: $(\lambda i.\ of\text{-}int\text{-}complex\text{-}interval\ n) \longrightarrow_c of\text{-}int\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Im-interval-plus*: $Im\text{-}interval\ (A + B) = Im\text{-}interval\ A + Im\text{-}interval\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Re-interval-plus*: $Re\text{-}interval\ (A + B) = Re\text{-}interval\ A + Re\text{-}interval\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Im-interval-minus*: $Im\text{-}interval\ (A - B) = Im\text{-}interval\ A - Im\text{-}interval\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Re-interval-minus*: $Re\text{-}interval\ (A - B) = Re\text{-}interval\ A - Re\text{-}interval\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Re-interval-times*: $Re\text{-}interval\ (A * B) = Re\text{-}interval\ A * Re\text{-}interval\ B -$

*Im-interval A * Im-interval B*
(proof)

lemma *Im-interval-times*: *Im-interval (A * B) = Re-interval A * Im-interval B + Im-interval A * Re-interval B*
(proof)

lemma *plus-complex-interval-tendsto*:

A —→_c a \Rightarrow *B —→_c b* \Rightarrow $(\lambda i. A i + B i) —→_c a + b$
(proof)

lemma *minus-complex-interval-tendsto*:

A —→_c a \Rightarrow *B —→_c b* \Rightarrow $(\lambda i. A i - B i) —→_c a - b$
(proof)

lemma *times-complex-interval-tendsto*:

A —→_c a \Rightarrow *B —→_c b* \Rightarrow $(\lambda i. A i * B i) —→_c a * b$
(proof)

lemma *ipoly-complex-interval-tendsto*:

assumes *C —→_c c*
shows $(\lambda i. \text{ipoly-complex-interval } p (C i)) —→_c \text{ipoly } p c$
(proof)

lemma *complex-interval-tendsto-neg*: **assumes** $(\lambda i. f i) —→_c a$
and $a \neq b$
shows $\exists n. \neg b \in_c f n$
(proof)

end

15 Complex Algebraic Numbers

Since currently there is no immediate analog of Sturm's theorem for the complex numbers, we implement complex algebraic numbers via their real and imaginary part.

The major algorithm in this theory is a factorization algorithm which factors a rational polynomial over the complex numbers.

For factorization of polynomials with complex algebraic coefficients, there is a separate AFP entry "Factor-Algebraic-Polynomial".

theory *Complex-Algebraic-Numbers*
imports
Real-Roots
Complex-Roots-Real-Poly
Compare-Complex
Jordan-Normal-Form.Char-Poly
Berlekamp-Zassenhaus.Code-Abort-Gcd

Interval-Arithmetic
begin

15.1 Complex Roots

hide-const (open) UnivPoly.coeff
hide-const (open) Module.smult
hide-const (open) Coset.order

abbreviation complex-of-int-poly :: int poly \Rightarrow complex poly **where**
 $\text{complex-of-int-poly} \equiv \text{map-poly of-int}$

abbreviation complex-of-rat-poly :: rat poly \Rightarrow complex poly **where**
 $\text{complex-of-rat-poly} \equiv \text{map-poly of-rat}$

lemma poly-complex-to-real: $(\text{poly} (\text{complex-of-int-poly } p) (\text{complex-of-real } x) = 0)$
 $= (\text{poly} (\text{real-of-int-poly } p) x = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma represents-cnj: **assumes** p represents x **shows** p represents (cnj x)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition poly-2i :: int poly **where**
 $\text{poly-2i} \equiv [: 4, 0, 1:]$

lemma represents-2i: poly-2i represents (2 * i)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition root-poly-Re :: int poly \Rightarrow int poly **where**
 $\text{root-poly-Re } p = \text{cf-pos-poly} (\text{poly-mult-rat} (\text{inverse } 2) (\text{poly-add } p p))$

lemma root-poly-Re-code[code]:
 $\text{root-poly-Re } p = (\text{let } fs = \text{coeffs} (\text{poly-add } p p); k = \text{length } fs$
 $\quad \text{in } \text{cf-pos-poly} (\text{poly-of-list} (\text{map} (\lambda(f_i, i). f_i * 2 ^ i) (\text{zip } fs [0..<k]))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition root-poly-Im :: int poly \Rightarrow int poly list **where**
 $\text{root-poly-Im } p = (\text{let } fs = \text{factors-of-int-poly}$
 $\quad (\text{poly-add } p (\text{poly-uminus } p))$
 $\quad \text{in } \text{remdups} ((\text{if } (\exists f \in \text{set } fs. \text{coeff } f 0 = 0) \text{ then } [[:0,1:]] \text{ else } [])) @$
 $\quad [\text{cf-pos-poly} (\text{poly-div } f \text{ poly-2i}) . f \leftarrow fs, \text{coeff } f 0 \neq 0])$

lemma represents-root-poly:
assumes ipoly p x = 0 **and** p: p \neq 0
shows (root-poly-Re p) represents (Re x)
and $\exists q \in \text{set} (\text{root-poly-Im } p). q \text{ represents } (\text{Im } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

definition complex-poly :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list where
  complex-poly re im = (let i = [:1,0,1:]
    in factors-of-int-poly (poly-add re (poly-mult im i)))

lemma complex-poly: assumes re: re represents (Re x)
  and im: im represents (Im x)
  shows  $\exists f \in \text{set}(\text{complex-poly } re \text{ } im). f \text{ represents } x \wedge f. f \in \text{set}(\text{complex-poly } re \text{ } im) \implies \text{poly-cond } f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma algebraic-complex-iff: algebraic x = (algebraic (Re x)  $\wedge$  algebraic (Im x))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition algebraic-complex :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  [simp]: algebraic-complex = algebraic

lemma algebraic-complex-code-unfold[code-unfold]: algebraic = algebraic-complex
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma algebraic-complex-code[code]:
  algebraic-complex x = (algebraic (Re x)  $\wedge$  algebraic (Im x))
  ⟨proof⟩

  Determine complex roots of a polynomial, intended for polynomials of
  degree 3 or higher, for lower degree polynomials use roots1 or croots2

  hide-const (open) eq

primrec remdups-gen :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  remdups-gen eq [] = []
  | remdups-gen eq (x # xs) = (if ( $\exists y \in \text{set} xs. eq x y$ ) then
    remdups-gen eq xs else x # remdups-gen eq xs)

lemma real-of-3-remdups-equal-3[simp]: real-of-3 ‘ set (remdups-gen equal-3 xs) =
  real-of-3 ‘ set xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-remdups-equal-3: distinct (map real-of-3 (remdups-gen equal-3 xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-of-3-code [code]: real-of-3 x = real-of (Real-Alg-Quotient x)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition real-parts-3 p = roots-of-3 (root-poly-Re p)

definition pos-imaginary-parts-3 p =
  remdups-gen equal-3 (filter ( $\lambda x. sgn-3 x = 1$ ) (concat (map roots-of-3 (root-poly-Im p)))))


```

```

lemma real-parts-3: assumes p:  $p \neq 0$  and ipoly p x = 0
shows Re x ∈ real-of-3 ` set (real-parts-3 p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-real-parts-3: distinct (map real-of-3 (real-parts-3 p))
⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-imaginary-parts-3: assumes p:  $p \neq 0$  and ipoly p x = 0 and Im x > 0
shows Im x ∈ real-of-3 ` set (pos-imaginary-parts-3 p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-pos-imaginary-parts-3: distinct (map real-of-3 (pos-imaginary-parts-3 p))
⟨proof⟩

lemma remdups-gen-subset: set (remdups-gen eq xs) ⊆ set xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma positive-pos-imaginary-parts-3: assumes x ∈ set (pos-imaginary-parts-3 p)
shows 0 < real-of-3 x
⟨proof⟩

definition pair-to-complex ri ≡ case ri of (r,i) ⇒ Complex (real-of-3 r) (real-of-3 i)

fun get-itvl-2 :: real-alg-2 ⇒ real interval where
  get-itvl-2 (Irrational n (p,l,r)) = Interval (of-rat l) (of-rat r)
  | get-itvl-2 (Rational r) = (let rr = of-rat r in Interval rr rr)

lemma get-bounds-2: assumes invariant-2 x
shows real-of-2 x ∈i get-itvl-2 x
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition get-itvl-3 :: real-alg-3 ⇒ real interval is get-itvl-2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma get-itvl-3: real-of-3 x ∈i get-itvl-3 x
⟨proof⟩

fun tighten-bounds-2 :: real-alg-2 ⇒ real-alg-2 where
  tighten-bounds-2 (Irrational n (p,l,r)) = (case tighten-poly-bounds p l r (sgn (ipoly p r))
    of (l',r',-) ⇒ Irrational n (p,l',r'))
    | tighten-bounds-2 (Rational r) = Rational r

lemma tighten-bounds-2: assumes inv: invariant-2 x
shows real-of-2 (tighten-bounds-2 x) = real-of-2 x invariant-2 (tighten-bounds-2

```

```

x)
get-itvl-2 x = Interval l r ==>
get-itvl-2 (tighten-bounds-2 x) = Interval l' r' ==> r' - l' = (r-l) / 2
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition tighten-bounds-3 :: real-alg-3 ⇒ real-alg-3 is tighten-bounds-2
⟨proof⟩

lemma tighten-bounds-3:
real-of-3 (tighten-bounds-3 x) = real-of-3 x
get-itvl-3 x = Interval l r ==>
get-itvl-3 (tighten-bounds-3 x) = Interval l' r' ==> r' - l' = (r-l) / 2
⟨proof⟩

partial-function (tailrec) filter-list-length
:: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
[code]: filter-list-length f p n xs = (let ys = filter p xs
in if length ys = n then ys else
filter-list-length f p n (map f ys))

lemma filter-list-length: assumes length (filter P xs) = n
and ⋀ i x. x ∈ set xs ==> P x ==> p ((f ^ i) x)
and ⋀ x. x ∈ set xs ==> ¬ P x ==> ∃ i. ¬ p ((f ^ i) x)
and g: ⋀ x. g (f x) = g x
and P: ⋀ x. P (f x) = P x
shows map g (filter-list-length f p n xs) = map g (filter P xs)
⟨proof⟩

definition complex-roots-of-int-poly3 :: int poly ⇒ complex list where
complex-roots-of-int-poly3 p ≡ let n = degree p;
rrts = real-roots-of-int-poly p;
nr = length rrtts;
crts = map (λ r. Complex r 0) rrtts
in
if n = nr then crts
else let nr-crts = n - nr in if nr-crts = 2 then
let pp = real-of-int-poly p div (prod-list (map (λ x. [:-x,1:]) rrtts));
    cpp = map-poly (λ r. Complex r 0) pp
    in crts @ croots2 cpp else
let
    nr-pos-crts = nr-crts div 2;
    rxs = real-parts-3 p;
    ixs = pos-imaginary-parts-3 p;
    rts = [(rx, ix). rx <- rxs, ix <- ixs];
    crts' = map pair-to-complex
        (filter-list-length (map-prod tighten-bounds-3 tighten-bounds-3)
        (λ (r, i). 0 ∈c ipoly-complex-interval p (Complex-Interval (get-itvl-3 r)
        (get-itvl-3 i))) nr-pos-crts rts)

```

in crt s @ ($\text{concat}(\text{map}(\lambda x. [x, \text{cnj } x]) crt')$)

definition $\text{complex-roots-of-int-poly-all} :: \text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{complex list}$ **where**
 $\text{complex-roots-of-int-poly-all } p = (\text{let } n = \text{degree } p \text{ in}$
 $\quad \text{if } n \geq 3 \text{ then } \text{complex-roots-of-int-poly3 } p$
 $\quad \text{else if } n = 1 \text{ then } [\text{roots1}(\text{map-poly of-int } p)] \text{ else if } n = 2 \text{ then } \text{croots2}(\text{map-poly}$
 $\quad \text{of-int } p)$
 $\quad \text{else } [])$

lemma $\text{in-real-itvl-get-bounds-tighten}: \text{real-of-3 } x \in_i \text{get-itvl-3}((\text{tighten-bounds-3}$
 $\wedge \wedge n) x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sandwitch-real}:$
fixes $l r :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $la: l \longrightarrow a$ **and** $ra: r \longrightarrow a$
and $lm: \bigwedge i. l i \leq m i$ **and** $mr: \bigwedge i. m i \leq r i$
shows $m \longrightarrow a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{real-of-tighten-bounds-many[simp]}: \text{real-of-3}((\text{tighten-bounds-3} \wedge \wedge i) x) =$
 $\text{real-of-3 } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition lower-3 **where** $\text{lower-3 } x i \equiv \text{interval.lower}(\text{get-itvl-3}((\text{tighten-bounds-3}$
 $\wedge \wedge i) x))$
definition upper-3 **where** $\text{upper-3 } x i \equiv \text{interval.upper}(\text{get-itvl-3}((\text{tighten-bounds-3}$
 $\wedge \wedge i) x))$

lemma $\text{interval-size-3}: \text{upper-3 } x i - \text{lower-3 } x i = (\text{upper-3 } x 0 - \text{lower-3 } x$
 $0)/2^{\wedge} i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{interval-size-3-tendsto-0}: (\lambda i. (\text{upper-3 } x i - \text{lower-3 } x i)) \longrightarrow 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{dist-tendsto-0-imp-tendsto}: (\lambda i. |f i - a| :: \text{real}) \longrightarrow 0 \implies f \longrightarrow a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{upper-3-tendsto}: \text{upper-3 } x \longrightarrow \text{real-of-3 } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lower-3-tendsto}: \text{lower-3 } x \longrightarrow \text{real-of-3 } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{tends-to-tight-bounds-3}: (\lambda x. \text{get-itvl-3}((\text{tighten-bounds-3} \wedge \wedge x) y)) \longrightarrow_i$
 $\text{real-of-3 } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma complex-roots-of-int-poly3: assumes p:  $p \neq 0$  and sf: square-free p
shows set (complex-roots-of-int-poly3 p) = {x. ipoly p x = 0} (is ?l = ?r)
and distinct (complex-roots-of-int-poly3 p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma complex-roots-of-int-poly-all: assumes sf: degree p ≥ 3  $\implies$  square-free p
shows p ≠ 0  $\implies$  set (complex-roots-of-int-poly-all p) = {x. ipoly p x = 0} (is -  $\implies$  set ?l = ?r)
and distinct (complex-roots-of-int-poly-all p)
⟨proof⟩

```

It now comes the preferred function to compute complex roots of an integer polynomial.

```

definition complex-roots-of-int-poly :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  complex list where
complex-roots-of-int-poly p = (
  let ps = (if degree p ≥ 3 then factors-of-int-poly p else [p])
  in concat (map complex-roots-of-int-poly-all ps))

definition complex-roots-of-rat-poly :: rat poly  $\Rightarrow$  complex list where
complex-roots-of-rat-poly p = complex-roots-of-int-poly (snd (rat-to-int-poly p))

```

```

lemma complex-roots-of-int-poly:
shows p ≠ 0  $\implies$  set (complex-roots-of-int-poly p) = {x. ipoly p x = 0} (is -  $\implies$  ?l = ?r)
and distinct (complex-roots-of-int-poly p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma complex-roots-of-rat-poly:
p ≠ 0  $\implies$  set (complex-roots-of-rat-poly p) = {x. rpoly p x = 0} (is -  $\implies$  ?l = ?r)
and distinct (complex-roots-of-rat-poly p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma min-int-poly-complex-of-real[simp]: min-int-poly (complex-of-real x) = min-int-poly x
⟨proof⟩

```

TODO: the implementation might be tuned, since the search process should be faster when using interval arithmetic to figure out the correct factor. (One might also implement the search via checking $ipoly f x = (0::'a)$, but because of complex-algebraic-number arithmetic, I think that search would be slower than the current one via " $x \in$ set (complex-roots-of-int-poly f)

```

definition min-int-poly-complex :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  int poly where
min-int-poly-complex x = (if algebraic x then if Im x = 0 then min-int-poly-real (Re x)
else the (find ( $\lambda f. x \in$  set (complex-roots-of-int-poly f))) (complex-poly (min-int-poly (Re x)) (min-int-poly (Im x))))

```

```

else [:0,1:])

```

lemma *min-int-poly-complex[code-unfold]*: *min-int-poly* = *min-int-poly-complex*
<proof>

end

16 Show for Real Algebraic Numbers – Interface

We just demand that there is some function from real algebraic numbers to string and register this as show-function and use it to implement *show-real*.

Implementations for real algebraic numbers are available in one of the theories *Show-Real-Precise* and *Show-Real-Approx*.

```

theory Show-Real-Alg
imports
  Real-Algebraic-Numbers
  Show.Show-Real
begin

consts show-real-alg :: real-alg ⇒ string

definition showsp-real-alg :: real-alg showsp where
  showsp-real-alg p x y = (show-real-alg x @ y)

lemma show-law-real-alg [show-law-intros]:
  show-law showsp-real-alg r
  <proof>

lemma showsp-real-alg-append [show-law-simps]:
  showsp-real-alg p r (x @ y) = showsp-real-alg p r x @ y
  <proof>

⟨ML⟩

```

derive *show real-alg*

We now define *show-real*.

```

overloading show-real ≡ show-real
begin
  definition show-real ≡ show-real-alg o real-alg-of-real
end

end

```

17 Show for Real (Algebraic) Numbers – Approximate Representation

We implement the show-function for real (algebraic) numbers by calculating the number precisely for three digits after the comma.

```
theory Show-Real-Approx
imports
  Show-Real-Alg
  Show.Show-Instances
begin

overloading show-real-alg ≡ show-real-alg
begin

definition show-real-alg[code]: show-real-alg x ≡ let
  x1000' = floor (1000 * x);
  (x1000,s) = (if x1000' < 0 then (-x1000', "-") else (x1000', ""));
  (bef,aft) = divmod-int x1000 1000;
  a' = show aft;
  a = replicate (3 - length a') (CHR "0") @ a'
  in
  "" ~"@" s @ show bef @ "." @ a

end

end
```

18 Show for Real (Algebraic) Numbers – Unique Representation

We implement the show-function for real (algebraic) numbers by printing them uniquely via their monic irreducible polynomial with a special cases for polynomials of degree at most 2.

```
theory Show-Real-Precise
imports
  Show-Real-Alg
  Show.Show-Instances
begin

datatype real-alg-show-info = Rat-Info rat | Sqrt-Info rat rat | Real-Alg-Info int
poly nat

fun convert-info :: rat + int poly × nat ⇒ real-alg-show-info where
  convert-info (Inl q) = Rat-Info q
  | convert-info (Inr (f,n)) = (if degree f = 2 then (let a = coeff f 2; b = coeff f 1;
  c = coeff f 0;
```

```

b2a = Rat.Fract (-b) (2 * a);
below = Rat.Fract (b*b - 4 * a * c) (4 * a * a)
in Sqrt-Info b2a (if n = 1 then -below else below))
else Real-Alg-Info f n)

```

```

definition real-alg-show-info :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-show-info where
real-alg-show-info x = convert-info (info-real-alg x)

```

We prove that the extracted information for showing an algebraic real number is correct.

```

lemma real-alg-show-info: real-alg-show-info x = Rat-Info r  $\Longrightarrow$  real-of x = of-rat
r
real-alg-show-info x = Sqrt-Info r sq  $\Longrightarrow$  real-of x = of-rat r + sqrt (of-rat sq)
real-alg-show-info x = Real-Alg-Info p n  $\Longrightarrow$  p represents (real-of x)  $\wedge$  n = card
{y. y  $\leq$  real-of x  $\wedge$  ipoly p y = 0}
(is ?l  $\Longrightarrow$  ?r)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun show-rai-info :: int  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg-show-info  $\Rightarrow$  string where
show-rai-info fl (Rat-Info r) = show r
| show-rai-info fl (Sqrt-Info r sq) = (let sqrt = "sqrt(" @ show (abs sq) @ ")"
in if r = 0 then (if sq < 0 then "-" else []) @ sqrt
else ("(@ show r @ (if sq < 0 then "-" else "+") @ sqrt @ ")")
| show-rai-info fl (Real-Alg-Info p n) =
"(root #" @ show n @ " of " @ show p @ ", in (" @ show fl @ ",," @ show (fl
+ 1) @ ")"

```

```

overloading show-real-alg  $\equiv$  show-real-alg
begin
definition show-real-alg[code]:
show-real-alg x  $\equiv$  show-rai-info (floor x) (real-alg-show-info x)
end
end

```

19 Algebraic Number Tests

We provide a sequence of examples which demonstrate what can be done with the implementation of algebraic numbers.

```

theory Algebraic-Number-Tests
imports
Jordan-Normal-Form.Char-Poly
Jordan-Normal-Form.Determinant-Impl
Show.Show-Complex
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Nat
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Int
Berlekamp-Zassenhaus.Factorize-Rat-Poly
Complex-Algebraic-Numbers
Show-Real-Precise

```

```
begin
```

19.1 Stand-Alone Examples

```
abbreviation (input) show-lines x ≡ shows-lines x Nil
```

```
fun show-factorization :: 'a :: {semiring-1,show} × (('a poly × nat)list) ⇒ string  
where
```

```
  show-factorization (c,[]) = show c  
| show-factorization (c,((p,i) # ps)) = show-factorization (c,ps) @ " * (" @ show  
p @ ")") @  
  (if i = 1 then [] else "^\n" @ show i)
```

```
definition show-sf-factorization :: 'a :: {semiring-1,show} × (('a poly × nat)list)  
⇒ string where
```

```
  show-sf-factorization x = show-factorization (map-prod id (map (map-prod id  
Suc)) x))
```

Determine the roots over the rational, real, and complex numbers.

```
definition testpoly = [:5/2, -7/2, 1/2, -5, 7, -1, 5/2, -7/2, 1/2:]  
definition test = show-lines (real-roots-of-rat-poly testpoly)
```

```
value [code] show-lines (roots-of-rat-poly testpoly)  
value [code] show-lines (real-roots-of-rat-poly testpoly)  
value [code] show-lines (complex-roots-of-rat-poly testpoly)
```

Compute real and complex roots of a polynomial with rational coefficients.

```
value [code] show (complex-roots-of-rat-poly testpoly)  
value [code] show (real-roots-of-rat-poly testpoly)
```

A sequence of calculations.

```
value [code] show (- sqrt 2 - sqrt 3)  
lemma root 3 4 > sqrt (root 4 3) + [1/10 * root 3 7] {proof}  
lemma csqrt (4 + 3 * i) ∉ ℝ {proof}  
value [code] show (csqrt (4 + 3 * i))  
value [code] show (csqrt (1 + i))
```

19.2 Example Application: Compute Norms of Eigenvalues

For complexity analysis of some matrix A it is important to compute the spectral radius of a matrix, i.e., the maximal norm of all complex eigenvalues, since the spectral radius determines the growth rates of matrix-powers A^n , cf. [4] for a formalized statement of this fact.

```
definition eigenvalues :: rat mat ⇒ complex list where  
eigenvalues A = complex-roots-of-rat-poly (char-poly A)
```

```

definition testmat = mat-of-rows-list 3 [
  [1,-4,2],
  [1/5,7,9],
  [7,1,5 :: rat]
]

definition spectral-radius-test = show (Max (set [ norm ev. ev ← eigenvalues
testmat]))
value [code] char-poly testmat
value [code] spectral-radius-test

end

```

20 Explicit Constants for External Code

```

theory Algebraic-Numbers-External-Code
  imports Algebraic-Number-Tests
begin

```

We define constants for most operations on real- and complex- algebraic numbers, so that they are easily accessible in target languages. In particular, we use target languages integers, pairs of integers, strings, and integer lists, resp., in order to represent the Isabelle types *int/nat*, *rat*, *string*, and *int poly*, resp.

```
definition decompose-rat = map-prod integer-of-int integer-of-int o quotient-of
```

20.1 Operations on Real Algebraic Numbers

```

definition zero-ra = (0 :: real-alg)
definition one-ra = (1 :: real-alg)
definition of-integer-ra = (of-int o int-of-integer :: integer ⇒ real-alg)
definition of-rational-ra = ((λ (num, denom). of-rat-real-alg (Rat.Fract (int-of-integer
num) (int-of-integer denom))) :: integer × integer ⇒ real-alg)
definition plus-ra = ((+) :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ real-alg)
definition minus-ra = ((-) :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ real-alg)
definition uminus-ra = (uminus :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg)
definition times-ra = ((*) :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ real-alg)
definition divide-ra = ((/) :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ real-alg)
definition inverse-ra = (inverse :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg)
definition abs-ra = (abs :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg)
definition floor-ra = (integer-of-int o floor :: real-alg ⇒ integer)
definition ceiling-ra = (integer-of-int o ceiling :: real-alg ⇒ integer)
definition minimum-ra = (min :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ real-alg)
definition maximum-ra = (max :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ real-alg)
definition equals-ra = ((=) :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ bool)
definition less-ra = ((<) :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ bool)
definition less-equal-ra = ((≤) :: real-alg ⇒ real-alg ⇒ bool)

```

```

definition compare-ra = (compare :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  order)
definition roots-of-poly-ra = (roots-of-real-alg o poly-of-list o map int-of-integer :: integer list  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg list)
definition root-ra = (root-real-alg o nat-of-integer :: integer  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg)

definition show-ra = ((String.implode o show) :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal)
definition is-rational-ra = (is-rat-real-alg :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
definition to-rational-ra = (decompose-rat o to-rat-real-alg :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  integer  $\times$  integer)
definition sign-ra = (fst o to-rational-ra o sgn :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  integer)
definition decompose-ra = (map-sum decompose-rat (map-prod (map integer-of-int o coeffs) integer-of-nat) o info-real-alg :: real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  integer  $\times$  integer)

```

20.2 Operations on Complex Algebraic Numbers

```

definition zero-ca = (0 :: complex)
definition one-ca = (1 :: complex)
definition imag-unit-ca = (i :: complex)
definition of-integer-ca = (of-int o int-of-integer :: integer  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition of-rational-ca = (( $\lambda$  (num, denom). of-rat (Rat.Fract (int-of-integer num) (int-of-integer denom))) :: integer  $\times$  integer  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition of-real-imag-ca = (( $\lambda$  (real, imag). Complex (real-of real) (real-of imag)) :: real-alg  $\times$  real-alg  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition plus-ca = ((+) :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition minus-ca = ((-) :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition uminus-ca = (uminus :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition times-ca = ((*) :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition divide-ca = ((/) :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition inverse-ca = (inverse :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition equals-ca = ((=) :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
definition roots-of-poly-ca = (complex-roots-of-int-poly o poly-of-list o map int-of-integer :: integer list  $\Rightarrow$  complex list)
definition csqrt-ca = (csqrt :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex)
definition show-ca = ((String.implode o show) :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal)
definition real-of-ca = (real-alg-of-real o Re :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg)
definition imag-of-ca = (real-alg-of-real o Im :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  real-alg)

```

20.3 Export Constants in Haskell

export-code

order.Eq *order.Lt* *order.Gt* — for comparison
Inl *Inr* — make disjoint sums available for decomposition information

zero-ra
one-ra
of-integer-ra

```
of-rational-ra
plus-ra
minus-ra
uminus-ra
times-ra
divide-ra
inverse-ra
abs-ra
floor-ra
ceiling-ra
minimum-ra
maximum-ra
equals-ra
less-ra
less-equal-ra
compare-ra
roots-of-poly-ra
root-ra
show-ra
is-rational-ra
to-rational-ra
sign-ra
decompose-ra
```

```
zero-ca
one-ca
imag-unit-ca
of-integer-ca
of-rational-ca
of-real-imag-ca
plus-ca
minus-ca
uminus-ca
times-ca
divide-ca
inverse-ca
equals-ca
roots-of-poly-ca
csqrt-ca
show-ca
real-of-ca
imag-of-ca
```

in Haskell module-name *Algebraic-Numbers*

end

References

- [1] M. Eberl. A decision procedure for univariate real polynomials in Isabelle/HOL. In *Proc. CPP 2015*, pages 75–83. ACM, 2015.
- [2] B. Mishra. *Algorithmic Algebra*. Texts and Monographs in Computer Science. Springer, 1993.
- [3] R. Thiemann. Implementing field extensions of the form $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{b}]$. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2014, 2014.
- [4] R. Thiemann and A. Yamada. Matrices, Jordan normal forms, and spectral radius theory. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2015, 2015.