

# Ackermann's Function Is Not Primitive Recursive

Lawrence C. Paulson

March 24, 2022

## **Abstract**

Ackermann's function is defined in the usual way and a number of its elementary properties are proved. Then, the primitive recursive functions are defined inductively: as a predicate on the functions that map lists of numbers to numbers. It is shown that every primitive recursive function is strictly dominated by Ackermann's function. The formalisation follows an earlier one by Nora Szasz [1].

## Contents

<b>1 Ackermann's Function and the PR Functions</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Ackermann's Function . . . . .	3
1.2 Primitive Recursive Functions . . . . .	5
1.3 Main Result: Ackermann's Function is not Primitive Recursive	6

**Remark.** This development was part of the Isabelle distribution from 1997 to 2022. It has been transferred to the AFP, where it may be more useful.

# 1 Ackermann's Function and the PR Functions

This proof has been adopted from a development by Nora Szasz [1].

**theory** *Primrec* **imports** *Main* **begin**

## 1.1 Ackermann's Function

**fun** *ack* :: [*nat*,*nat*]  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where**  
  *ack* 0 *n* = *Suc* *n*  
| *ack* (*Suc* *m*) 0 = *ack* *m* 1  
| *ack* (*Suc* *m*) (*Suc* *n*) = *ack* *m* (*ack* (*Suc* *m*) *n*)

PROPERTY A 4

**lemma** *less-ack2* [*iff*]:  $j < \text{ack } i \ j$   
**by** (*induct* *i* *j* *rule*: *ack.induct*) *simp-all*

PROPERTY A 5-, the single-step lemma

**lemma** *ack-less-ack-Suc2* [*iff*]:  $\text{ack } i \ j < \text{ack } i \ (\text{Suc } j)$   
**by** (*induct* *i* *j* *rule*: *ack.induct*) *simp-all*

PROPERTY A 5, monotonicity for <

**lemma** *ack-less-mono2*:  $j < k \implies \text{ack } i \ j < \text{ack } i \ k$   
**by** (*simp* *add*: *lift-Suc-mono-less*)

PROPERTY A 5', monotonicity for  $\leq$

**lemma** *ack-le-mono2*:  $j \leq k \implies \text{ack } i \ j \leq \text{ack } i \ k$   
**by** (*simp* *add*: *ack-less-mono2 less-mono-imp-le-mono*)

PROPERTY A 6

**lemma** *ack2-le-ack1* [*iff*]:  $\text{ack } i \ (\text{Suc } j) \leq \text{ack } (\text{Suc } i) \ j$   
**proof** (*induct* *j*)  
  **case** 0 **show** ?*case* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
  **case** (*Suc* *j*) **show** ?*case*  
  **by** (*metis* *Suc* *ack.simps*(3) *ack-le-mono2 le-trans less-ack2 less-eq-Suc-le*)  
**qed**

PROPERTY A 7-, the single-step lemma

**lemma** *ack-less-ack-Suc1* [*iff*]:  $\text{ack } i \ j < \text{ack } (\text{Suc } i) \ j$   
**by** (*blast* *intro*: *ack-less-mono2 less-le-trans*)

PROPERTY A 4'? Extra lemma needed for *CONSTANT* case, constant functions

**lemma** *less-ack1* [*iff*]:  $i < \text{ack } i \ j$   
**proof** (*induct* *i*)  
  **case** 0  
  **then show** ?*case*  
  **by** *simp*

```

next
  case (Suc i)
  then show ?case
    using less-trans-Suc by blast
qed

```

PROPERTY A 8

```

lemma ack-1 [simp]: ack (Suc 0) j = j + 2
by (induct j) simp-all

```

PROPERTY A 9. The unary 1 and 2 in *ack* is essential for the rewriting.

```

lemma ack-2 [simp]: ack (Suc (Suc 0)) j = 2 * j + 3
by (induct j) simp-all

```

Added in 2022 just for fun

```

lemma ack-3: ack (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))) j = 2 ^ (j+3) - 3
proof (induct j)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc j)
  with less-le-trans show ?case
  by (fastforce simp add: power-add algebra-simps)
qed

```

PROPERTY A 7, monotonicity for  $<$  [not clear why *ack-1* is now needed first!]

```

lemma ack-less-mono1-aux: ack i k < ack (Suc (i + i')) k
proof (induct i k rule: ack.induct)
  case (1 n) show ?case
    using less-le-trans by auto
next
  case (2 m) thus ?case by simp
next
  case (3 m n) thus ?case
    using ack-less-mono2 less-trans by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma ack-less-mono1: i < j  $\implies$  ack i k < ack j k
using ack-less-mono1-aux less-iff-Suc-add by auto

```

PROPERTY A 7', monotonicity for  $\leq$

```

lemma ack-le-mono1: i  $\leq$  j  $\implies$  ack i k  $\leq$  ack j k
using ack-less-mono1 le-eq-less-or-eq by auto

```

PROPERTY A 10

```

lemma ack-nest-bound: ack i1 (ack i2 j) < ack (2 + (i1 + i2)) j
proof -
  have ack i1 (ack i2 j) < ack (i1 + i2) (ack (Suc (i1 + i2)) j)

```

**by** (*meson ack-le-mono1 ack-less-mono1 ack-less-mono2 le-add1 le-trans less-add-Suc2 not-less*)  
**also have** ... =  $ack (Suc (i1 + i2)) (Suc j)$   
**by** *simp*  
**also have** ...  $\leq ack (2 + (i1 + i2)) j$   
**using** *ack2-le-ack1 add-2-eq-Suc* **by** *presburger*  
**finally show** *?thesis* .  
**qed**

PROPERTY A 11

**lemma** *ack-add-bound*:  $ack i1 j + ack i2 j < ack (4 + (i1 + i2)) j$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $ack i1 j \leq ack (i1 + i2) j$   $ack i2 j \leq ack (i1 + i2) j$   
**by** (*simp-all add: ack-le-mono1*)  
**then have**  $ack i1 j + ack i2 j < ack (Suc (Suc 0)) (ack (i1 + i2) j)$   
**by** *simp*  
**also have** ...  $< ack (4 + (i1 + i2)) j$   
**by** (*metis ack-nest-bound add.assoc numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-Bit0*)  
**finally show** *?thesis* .  
**qed**

PROPERTY A 12. Article uses existential quantifier but the ALF proof used  $k + 4$ . Quantified version must be nested  $\exists k'. \forall i j. \dots$

**lemma** *ack-add-bound2*:  
**assumes**  $i < ack k j$  **shows**  $i + j < ack (4 + k) j$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $i + j < ack k j + ack 0 j$   
**using** *assms* **by** *auto*  
**also have** ...  $< ack (4 + k) j$   
**by** (*metis ack-add-bound add.right-neutral*)  
**finally show** *?thesis* .  
**qed**

## 1.2 Primitive Recursive Functions

**primrec** *hd0* ::  $nat\ list \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $hd0 [] = 0$   
 $| hd0 (m \# ms) = m$

Inductive definition of the set of primitive recursive functions of type  $nat\ list \Rightarrow nat$ .

**definition** *SC* ::  $nat\ list \Rightarrow nat$   
**where**  $SC\ l = Suc (hd0\ l)$

**definition** *CONSTANT* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow nat$   
**where**  $CONSTANT\ k\ l = k$

**definition** *PROJ* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow nat$   
**where**  $PROJ\ i\ l = hd0 (drop\ i\ l)$

**definition** *COMP* :: [*nat list*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*, (*nat list*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*) *list*, *nat list*]  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*  
**where** *COMP* *g fs l* = *g* (*map* ( $\lambda f$ . *f l*) *fs*)

**fun** *PREC* :: [*nat list*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*, *nat list*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*, *nat list*]  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*  
**where**  
*PREC* *f g []* = 0  
| *PREC* *f g* (*x # l*) = *rec-nat* (*f l*) ( $\lambda y r$ . *g* (*r # y # l*)) *x*  
— Note that *g* is applied first to *PREC f g y* and then to *y*!

**inductive** *PRIMREC* :: (*nat list*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*)  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*SC*: *PRIMREC SC*  
| *CONSTANT*: *PRIMREC* (*CONSTANT k*)  
| *PROJ*: *PRIMREC* (*PROJ i*)  
| *COMP*: *PRIMREC g*  $\Longrightarrow$   $\forall f \in \text{set } fs$ . *PRIMREC f*  $\Longrightarrow$  *PRIMREC* (*COMP g fs*)  
| *PREC*: *PRIMREC f*  $\Longrightarrow$  *PRIMREC g*  $\Longrightarrow$  *PRIMREC* (*PREC f g*)

Useful special cases of evaluation

**lemma** *SC* [*simp*]: *SC* (*x # l*) = *Suc x*  
**by** (*simp add: SC-def*)

**lemma** *PROJ-0* [*simp*]: *PROJ 0* (*x # l*) = *x*  
**by** (*simp add: PROJ-def*)

**lemma** *COMP-1* [*simp*]: *COMP g [f] l* = *g [f l]*  
**by** (*simp add: COMP-def*)

**lemma** *PREC-0*: *PREC f g* (*0 # l*) = *f l*  
**by** *simp*

**lemma** *PREC-Suc* [*simp*]: *PREC f g* (*Suc x # l*) = *g* (*PREC f g* (*x # l*) # *x # l*)  
**by** *auto*

### 1.3 Main Result: Ackermann's Function is not Primitive Recursive

**lemma** *SC-case*: *SC l* < *ack 1* (*sum-list l*)  
**unfolding** *SC-def*  
**by** (*induct l*) (*simp-all add: le-add1 le-imp-less-Suc*)

**lemma** *CONSTANT-case*: *CONSTANT k l* < *ack k* (*sum-list l*)  
**by** (*simp add: CONSTANT-def*)

**lemma** *PROJ-case*: *PROJ i l* < *ack 0* (*sum-list l*)  
**unfolding** *PROJ-def*  
**proof** (*induct l arbitrary: i*)  
**case** *Nil*

**then show** *?case*  
**by** *simp*  
**next**  
**case** (*Cons a l*)  
**then show** *?case*  
**by** (*metis ack.simps(1) add commute drop-Cons' hd0.simps(2) leD leI lessI not-less-eq sum-list.Cons trans-le-add2*)  
**qed**

*COMP* case

**lemma** *COMP-map-aux*:  $\forall f \in \text{set } fs. \text{PRIMREC } f \wedge (\exists kf. \forall l. f l < \text{ack } kf \text{ (sum-list } l))$

$\implies \exists k. \forall l. \text{sum-list (map } (\lambda f. f l) fs) < \text{ack } k \text{ (sum-list } l)$

**proof** (*induct fs*)

**case** *Nil*

**then show** *?case*

**by** *auto*

**next**

**case** (*Cons a fs*)

**then show** *?case*

**by** *simp (blast intro: add-less-mono ack-add-bound less-trans)*

**qed**

**lemma** *COMP-case*:

**assumes** *1*:  $\forall l. g l < \text{ack } kg \text{ (sum-list } l)$

**and** *2*:  $\forall f \in \text{set } fs. \text{PRIMREC } f \wedge (\exists kf. \forall l. f l < \text{ack } kf \text{ (sum-list } l))$

**shows**  $\exists k. \forall l. \text{COMP } g fs \ l < \text{ack } k \text{ (sum-list } l)$

**unfolding** *COMP-def*

**using** *1 COMP-map-aux [OF 2]* **by** (*meson ack-less-mono2 ack-nest-bound less-trans*)

*PREC* case

**lemma** *PREC-case-aux*:

**assumes** *f*:  $\bigwedge l. f l + \text{sum-list } l < \text{ack } kf \text{ (sum-list } l)$

**and** *g*:  $\bigwedge l. g l + \text{sum-list } l < \text{ack } kg \text{ (sum-list } l)$

**shows**  $\text{PREC } f g l + \text{sum-list } l < \text{ack (Suc (kf + kg)) (sum-list } l)$

**proof** (*cases l*)

**case** *Nil*

**then show** *?thesis*

**by** (*simp add: Suc-lessD*)

**next**

**case** (*Cons m l*)

**have** *rec-nat (f l) (λy r. g (r # y # l)) m + (m + sum-list l) < ack (Suc (kf + kg)) (m + sum-list l)*

**proof** (*induct m*)

**case** *0*

**then show** *?case*

**using** *ack-less-mono1-aux f less-trans* **by** *fastforce*

**next**

**case** (*Suc m*)

```

let ?r = rec-nat (f l) (λy r. g (r # y # l)) m
have ¬ g (?r # m # l) + sum-list (?r # m # l) < g (?r # m # l) + (m +
sum-list l)
  by force
then have g (?r # m # l) + (m + sum-list l) < ack kg (sum-list (?r # m #
l))
  by (meson assms(2) leI less-le-trans)
moreover
have ... < ack (kf + kg) (ack (Suc (kf + kg)) (m + sum-list l))
using Suc.hyps by simp (meson ack-le-mono1 ack-less-mono2 le-add2 le-less-trans)
ultimately show ?case
  by auto
qed
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: local.Cons)
qed

```

**proposition** *PREC-case*:

```

[[∧l. f l < ack kf (sum-list l); ∧l. g l < ack kg (sum-list l)]
⇒ ∃k. ∀l. PREC f g l < ack k (sum-list l)
by (metis le-less-trans [OF le-add1 PREC-case-aux] ack-add-bound2)

```

**lemma** *ack-bounds-PRIMREC*: *PRIMREC* f ⇒ ∃k. ∀l. f l < *ack* k (*sum-list* l)

**by** (*erule* *PRIMREC.induct*) (*blast* *intro: SC-case CONSTANT-case PROJ-case COMP-case PREC-case*)+

**theorem** *ack-not-PRIMREC*:

```

¬ PRIMREC (λl. case l of [] ⇒ 0 | x # l' ⇒ ack x x)

```

**proof**

```

assume *: PRIMREC (λl. case l of [] ⇒ 0 | x # l' ⇒ ack x x)

```

```

then obtain m where m: ∧l. (case l of [] ⇒ 0 | x # l' ⇒ ack x x) < ack m
(sum-list l)

```

```

using ack-bounds-PRIMREC by metis

```

```

show False

```

```

using m [of [m]] by simp

```

**qed**

**end**

## References

- [1] N. Szasz. A machine checked proof that Ackermann's function is not primitive recursive. In G. Huet and G. Plotkin, editors, *Logical Environments*, pages 317–338. Cambridge University Press, 1993.