

Abstract Completeness

Jasmin Christian Blanchette, Andrei Popescu, and Dmitriy Traytel

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This is a formalization of an abstract property of possibly infinite derivation trees (modeled by a codatatype), that represents the core of a Beth–Hintikka-style proof of the first-order logic completeness theorem and is independent of the concrete syntax or inference rules. This work is described in detail in a publication by the authors [2].

The abstract proof can be instantiated for a wide range of Gentzen and tableau systems as well as various flavors of FOL—e.g., with or without predicates, equality, or sorts. Here, we give only a toy example instantiation with classical propositional logic. A more serious instance—many-sorted FOL with equality—is described elsewhere [1].

References

- [1] J. C. Blanchette and A. Popescu. Mechanizing the metatheory of sledgehammer. In P. Fontaine, C. Ringeissen, and R. A. Schmidt, editors, *FroCoS 2013*, volume 8152 of *LNCS*, pages 245–260. Springer, 2013.
- [2] J. C. Blanchette, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. Unified classical logic completeness: A coinductive pearl. In S. Demri, D. Kapur, and C. Weidenbach, editors, *IJCAR 2014*, LNCS. Springer, 2014.

Contents

1 General Tree Concepts	2
2 Rule Systems	2
3 A Fair Enumeration of the Rules	3
4 Persistent rules	5
5 Code generation	6
6 Toy instantiation: Propositional Logic	6

1 General Tree Concepts

```
codatatype 'a tree = Node (root: 'a) (cont: 'a tree fset)

inductive tfinite where
  tfinite: ( $\bigwedge t'. t' \in| cont t \Rightarrow tfinite t'$ )  $\Rightarrow tfinite t$ 

coinductive ipath where
  ipath:  $\llbracket \text{root } t = shd \text{ steps}; t' \in| cont t; ipath t' (\text{stl steps}) \rrbracket \Rightarrow ipath t \text{ steps}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

primcorec konig where
  shd (konig t) = root t
  | stl (konig t) = konig (SOME t'. t' \in| cont t \wedge \neg tfinite t')

lemma Konig: \neg tfinite t  $\Rightarrow ipath t (\text{konig } t)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2 Rule Systems

```
type-synonym ('state, 'rule) step = 'state \times 'rule
type-synonym ('state, 'rule) dtree = ('state, 'rule) step tree
```

```
locale RuleSystem-Defs =
  fixes eff :: 'rule  $\Rightarrow$  'state  $\Rightarrow$  'state fset  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and rules :: 'rule stream
  begin
```

```
abbreviation R  $\equiv$  sset rules
```

```
lemma countable-R: countable R ⟨proof⟩
lemma NE-R: R  $\neq \{\} \langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
definition enabled r s  $\equiv$  \exists sl. eff r s sl
definition pickEff r s  $\equiv$  if enabled r s then (SOME sl. eff r s sl) else the None
```

```
lemma pickEff: enabled r s  $\Rightarrow$  eff r s (pickEff r s)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
abbreviation effStep step  $\equiv$  eff (snd step) (fst step)
abbreviation enabledAtStep r step  $\equiv$  enabled r (fst step)
abbreviation takenAtStep r step  $\equiv$  snd step = r
```

Saturation is a very strong notion of fairness: If a rule is enabled at some point, it will eventually be taken.

```
definition saturated r  $\equiv$  alw (holds (enabledAtStep r) impl ev (holds (takenAtStep r)))
definition Saturated steps  $\equiv$  \forall r \in R. saturated r steps
coinductive wf where
```

```

wf:  $\llbracket \text{snd}(\text{root } t) \in R; \text{effStep}(\text{root } t)(\text{fimage}(\text{fst } o \text{root})(\text{cont } t));$ 
 $\wedge t'. t' \mid\in \text{cont } t \implies \text{wf } t' \rrbracket \implies \text{wf } t$ 

coinductive epath where
  epath:  $\llbracket \text{snd}(\text{shd } \text{steps}) \in R; \text{fst}(\text{shd}(\text{stl } \text{steps})) \mid\in \text{sl}; \text{effStep}(\text{shd } \text{steps}) \text{ sl};$ 
     $\text{epath}(\text{stl } \text{steps}) \rrbracket \implies \text{epath } \text{steps}$ 

lemma wf-ipath-epath:
  assumes  $\text{wf } t \text{ ipath } t \text{ steps}$ 
  shows  $\text{epath } \text{steps}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $\text{fair } rs \equiv \text{sset } rs \subseteq R \wedge (\forall r \in R. \text{alw}(\text{ev}(\text{holds}((=) r))) rs)$ 

lemma fair-stl:  $\text{fair } rs \implies \text{fair } (\text{stl } rs)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sdrop-fair:  $\text{fair } rs \implies \text{fair } (\text{sdrop } m rs)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

3 A Fair Enumeration of the Rules

```

definition  $\text{fenum} \equiv \text{flat}(\text{smap}(\lambda n. \text{stake } n \text{ rules}) (\text{fromN } 1))$ 

lemma sset-fenum:  $\text{sset } \text{fenum} = R$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fair-fenum:  $\text{fair } \text{fenum}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $\text{trim } rs s = \text{sdrop-while}(\lambda r. \text{Not}(\text{enabled } r s)) rs$ 

primcorec mkTree where
   $\text{root } (\text{mkTree } rs s) = (s, (\text{shd } (\text{trim } rs s)))$ 
   $\mid \text{cont } (\text{mkTree } rs s) = \text{fimage}(\text{mkTree}(\text{stl } (\text{trim } rs s))) (\text{pickEff}(\text{shd } (\text{trim } rs s)) s)$ 
lemma mkTree-unfold[code]:  $\text{mkTree } rs s =$ 
   $(\text{case } \text{trim } rs s \text{ of } S\text{Cons } r s' \Rightarrow \text{Node}(s, r) (\text{fimage}(\text{mkTree } s') (\text{pickEff } r s)))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale  $\text{RuleSystem} = \text{RuleSystem-Defs eff rules}$ 
for  $\text{eff} :: \text{'rule} \Rightarrow \text{'state} \Rightarrow \text{'state fset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and  $\text{rules} :: \text{'rule stream} +$ 
fixes  $S :: \text{'state set}$ 
assumes  $\text{eff-}S: \bigwedge s r sl s'. \llbracket s \in S; r \in R; \text{eff } r s sl; s' \mid\in sl \rrbracket \implies s' \in S$ 
and  $\text{enabled-}R: \bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \exists r \in R. \exists sl. \text{eff } r s sl$ 
begin
definition  $\text{minWait } rs s \equiv \text{LEAST } n. \text{enabled}(\text{shd}(\text{sdrop } n rs)) s$ 

```

```

lemma trim-alt:
  assumes  $s: s \in S$  and  $rs: \text{fair } rs$ 
  shows  $\text{trim } rs \ s = \text{sdrop}(\text{minWait } rs \ s) \ rs$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma minWait-ex:
  assumes  $s: s \in S$  and  $rs: \text{fair } rs$ 
  shows  $\exists n. \text{enabled}(\text{shd}(\text{sdrop } n \ rs)) \ s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma assumes  $s \in S$  and  $\text{fair } rs$ 
  shows  $\text{trim-in-R: shd(trim } rs \ s) \in R$ 
  and  $\text{trim-enabled: enabled(shd(trim } rs \ s)) \ s}$ 
  and  $\text{trim-fair: fair(trim } rs \ s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma minWait-least:  $\llbracket \text{enabled}(\text{shd}(\text{sdrop } n \ rs)) \ s \rrbracket \implies \text{minWait } rs \ s \leq n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-cont-mkTree:
  assumes  $s: s \in S$  and  $rs: \text{fair } rs$  and  $t': t' \mid\in \text{cont}(\text{mkTree } rs \ s)$ 
  shows  $\exists sl' s'. s' \in S \wedge \text{eff}(\text{shd(trim } rs \ s)) \ s \ sl' \wedge$ 
     $s' \mid\in sl' \wedge t' = \text{mkTree(stl(trim } rs \ s)) \ s'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ipath-mkTree-sdrop:
  assumes  $s: s \in S$  and  $rs: \text{fair } rs$  and  $i: \text{ipath(mkTree } rs \ s) \ \text{steps}$ 
  shows  $\exists n s'. s' \in S \wedge \text{ipath(mkTree(sdrop } n \ rs) \ s')} (\text{sdrop } m \ \text{steps})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wf-mkTree:
  assumes  $s: s \in S$  and  $\text{fair } rs$ 
  shows  $\text{wf(mkTree } rs \ s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
definition pos  $rs \ r \equiv \text{LEAST } n. \text{shd}(\text{sdrop } n \ rs) = r$ 

lemma pos:  $\llbracket \text{fair } rs; r \in R \rrbracket \implies \text{shd}(\text{sdrop } (\text{pos } rs \ r) \ rs) = r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pos-least:  $\text{shd}(\text{sdrop } n \ rs) = r \implies \text{pos } rs \ r \leq n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma minWait-le-pos:  $\llbracket \text{fair } rs; r \in R; \text{enabled } r \ s \rrbracket \implies \text{minWait } rs \ s \leq \text{pos } rs \ r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma stake-pos-minWait:
  assumes  $rs: \text{fair } rs$  and  $m: \text{minWait } rs \ s < \text{pos } rs \ r$  and  $r: r \in R$  and  $s: s \in S$ 
  shows  $\text{pos(stl(trim } rs \ s)) \ r = \text{pos } rs \ r - \text{Suc}(\text{minWait } rs \ s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ipath-mkTree-ev:
  assumes s:  $s \in S$  and rs: fair rs
  and i: ipath (mkTree rs s) steps and r:  $r \in R$ 
  and alw: alw (holds (enabledAtStep r)) steps
  shows ev (holds (takenAtStep r)) steps
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4 Persistent rules

definition

```

per r ≡
   $\forall s r1 sl' s'. s \in S \wedge \text{enabled } r s \wedge r1 \in R - \{r\} \wedge \text{eff } r1 s sl' \wedge s' | \in | sl' \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{enabled } r s'$ 

```

```

lemma per-alw:
  assumes p: per r and e: epd steps  $\wedge$  fst (shd steps)  $\in S$ 
  shows alw (holds (enabledAtStep r)) impl
    (holds (takenAtStep r) or nxt (holds (enabledAtStep r))) steps
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end — context RuleSystem

```

locale PersistentRuleSystem = RuleSystem eff rules S
for eff :: 'rule  $\Rightarrow$  'state  $\Rightarrow$  'state fset  $\Rightarrow$  bool and rules :: 'rule stream and S +
assumes per:  $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies$  per r
begin

```

```

lemma ipath-mkTree-saturated:
  assumes s:  $s \in S$  and rs: fair rs
  and i: ipath (mkTree rs s) steps and r:  $r \in R$ 
  shows saturated r steps
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem ipath-mkTree-Saturated:
  assumes s:  $s \in S$  and fair rs and ipath (mkTree rs s) steps
  shows Saturated steps
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem epd-completeness-Saturated:
  assumes s:  $s \in S$ 
  shows
     $(\exists t. \text{fst}(\text{root } t) = s \wedge \text{wf } t \wedge \text{tfinite } t) \vee$ 
     $(\exists \text{steps}. \text{fst}(\text{shd steps}) = s \wedge \text{epd steps} \wedge \text{Saturated steps})$  (is ?A  $\vee$  ?B)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end — context PersistentRuleSystem

5 Code generation

```

locale RuleSystem-Code =
  fixes eff' :: 'rule ⇒ 'state ⇒ 'state fset option
  and rules :: 'rule stream — countably many rules
  begin

    definition eff r s sl ≡ eff' r s = Some sl

    end

    definition [code del]: effG eff' r s sl ≡ RuleSystem-Code.eff eff' r s sl

    sublocale RuleSystem-Code < RuleSystem-Defs
      where eff = effG eff' and rules = rules ⟨proof⟩

    context RuleSystem-Code
    begin

      lemma enabled-eff': enabled r s ←→ eff' r s ≠ None
      ⟨proof⟩

      lemma pickEff-the[code]: pickEff r s = the (eff' r s)
      ⟨proof⟩

      lemmas [code-unfold] = trim-def enabled-eff' pickEff-the

      ⟨ML⟩
      interpretation i: RuleSystem-Code eff' rules for eff' and rules ⟨proof⟩
      declare [[lc-delete RuleSystem-Defs.mkTree (effG ?eff')]]
      declare [[lc-delete RuleSystem-Defs.trim]]
      declare [[lc-delete RuleSystem-Defs.enabled]]
      declare [[lc-delete RuleSystem-Defs.pickEff]]
      declare [[lc-add RuleSystem-Defs.mkTree (effG ?eff') i.mkTree-unfold]]
      ⟨ML⟩

      code-printing
      constant the → (Haskell) fromJust
      | constant Option.is-none → (Haskell) isNothing

      export-code mkTree-effG-uu in Haskell module-name Tree

```

6 Toy instantiation: Propositional Logic

```

datatype fmla = Atom nat | Neg fmla | Conj fmla fmla

primrec max-depth where
  max-depth (Atom _) = 0

```

```

| max-depth (Neg  $\varphi$ ) = Suc (max-depth  $\varphi$ )
| max-depth (Conj  $\varphi \psi$ ) = Suc (max (max-depth  $\varphi$ ) (max-depth  $\psi$ ))

lemma max-depth-0: max-depth  $\varphi = 0 = (\exists n. \varphi = Atom\ n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma max-depth-Suc: max-depth  $\varphi = Suc\ n = ((\exists \psi. \varphi = Neg\ \psi \wedge max-depth\ \psi = n) \vee$ 
   $(\exists \psi_1 \psi_2. \varphi = Conj\ \psi_1\ \psi_2 \wedge max\ (max-depth\ \psi_1)\ (max-depth\ \psi_2) = n))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation atoms  $\equiv smap\ Atom\ nats$ 
abbreviation depth1  $\equiv$ 
  sinterleave (smap Neg atoms) (smap (case-prod Conj) (sproduct atoms atoms))

abbreviation sinterleaves  $\equiv fold\ sinterleave$ 

fun extendLevel where extendLevel (belowN, N) =
  (let Next = sinterleaves
   (map (smap (case-prod Conj)) [sproduct belowN N, sproduct N belowN, sproduct N N])
   (smap Neg N)
   in (sinterleave belowN N, Next))

lemma extendLevel-step:
   $\llbracket sset\ belowN = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi < n\};$ 
   $sset\ N = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi = n\}; st = (belowN, N) \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\exists\ belowNext\ Next. extendLevel\ st = (belowNext, Next) \wedge$ 
   $sset\ belowNext = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi < Suc\ n\} \wedge sset\ Next = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi = Suc\ n\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sset-atoms: sset atoms =  $\{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi < 1\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sset-depth1: sset depth1 =  $\{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi = 1\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma extendLevel-Nsteps:
   $\llbracket sset\ belowN = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi < n\}; sset\ N = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi = n\} \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\exists\ belowNext\ Next. (extendLevel \sim m) (belowN, N) = (belowNext, Next) \wedge$ 
   $sset\ belowNext = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi < n + m\} \wedge sset\ Next = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi = n + m\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

corollary extendLevel:
   $\exists\ belowNext\ Next. (extendLevel \sim m) (atoms, depth1) = (belowNext, Next) \wedge$ 
   $sset\ belowNext = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi < 1 + m\} \wedge sset\ Next = \{\varphi. max-depth\ \varphi = 1 + m\}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $fmlas = sinterleave atoms (smerge (smmap snd (siterate extendLevel (atoms, depth1))))$

lemma $fmlas\text{-UNIV} : sset fmlas = (\text{UNIV} :: fmla set)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

datatype $rule = Idle | Ax nat | NegL fmla | NegR fmla | ConjL fmla fmla | ConjR fmla fmla$

abbreviation $mkRules f \equiv smap f fmlas$

abbreviation $mkRulePairs f \equiv smap (case\text{-}prod f) (sproduct fmlas fmlas)$

definition $rules$ **where**

$rules = Idle \# \#$

$sinterleaves [mkRules NegL, mkRules NegR, mkRulePairs ConjL, mkRulePairs ConjR]$
 $(smmap Ax nats)$

lemma $rules\text{-UNIV} : sset rules = (\text{UNIV} :: rule set)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

type-synonym $state = fmla fset * fmla fset$

fun $eff' :: rule \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state fset option$ **where**

- $eff' Idle (\Gamma, \Delta) = Some \{ |(\Gamma, \Delta)| \}$
- $| eff' (Ax n) (\Gamma, \Delta) =$
 $(if Atom n \in| \Gamma \wedge Atom n \in| \Delta then Some \{ \} else None)$
- $| eff' (NegL \varphi) (\Gamma, \Delta) =$
 $(if Neg \varphi \in| \Gamma then Some \{ |(\Gamma |-| \{ Neg \varphi |), finsert \varphi \Delta | \} \} else None)$
- $| eff' (NegR \varphi) (\Gamma, \Delta) =$
 $(if Neg \varphi \in| \Delta then Some \{ |(finser \varphi \Gamma, \Delta |-| \{ Neg \varphi |) | \} \} else None)$
- $| eff' (ConjL \varphi \psi) (\Gamma, \Delta) =$
 $(if Conj \varphi \psi \in| \Gamma$
 $then Some \{ |(finser \varphi (finser \psi (\Gamma |-| \{ Conj \varphi \psi |))), \Delta | \} \}$
 $else None)$
- $| eff' (ConjR \varphi \psi) (\Gamma, \Delta) =$
 $(if Conj \varphi \psi \in| \Delta$
 $then Some \{ |(\Gamma, finser \varphi (\Delta |-| \{ Conj \varphi \psi |)), (\Gamma, finser \psi (\Delta |-| \{ Conj \varphi \psi |)) | \} \}$
 $else None)$

abbreviation $Disj \varphi \psi \equiv Neg (Conj (Neg \varphi) (Neg \psi))$

abbreviation $Imp \varphi \psi \equiv Disj (Neg \varphi) \psi$

abbreviation $Iff \varphi \psi \equiv Conj (Imp \varphi \psi) (Imp \psi \varphi)$

```

definition thm1 ≡ ({|Conj (Atom 0) (Neg (Atom 0))|}, {||})

declare Stream.smember-code [code del]
lemma [code]: Stream.smember x (y #≡ s) = (x = y ∨ Stream.smember x s)
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation RuleSystem λr s ss. eff' r s = Some ss rules UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation PersistentRuleSystem λr s ss. eff' r s = Some ss rules UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rho ≡ i.fenum rules
definition propTree ≡ i.mkTree eff' rho

export-code propTree thm1 in Haskell module-name PropInstance

```