

Abstract Rewriting

Christian Sternagel and René Thiemann

April 13, 2025

Abstract

We present an Isabelle formalization of abstract rewriting (see, e.g., [1]). First, we define standard relations like *joinability*, *meetability*, *conversion*, etc. Then, we formalize important properties of abstract rewrite systems, e.g., confluence and strong normalization. Our main concern is on strong normalization, since this formalization is the basis of [3] (which is mainly about strong normalization of term rewrite systems; see also IsaFoR/CeTA’s website¹). Hence lemmas involving strong normalization, constitute by far the biggest part of this theory. One of those is Newman’s lemma.

Contents

1	Infinite Sequences	2
1.1	Operations on Infinite Sequences	2
1.2	Predicates on Natural Numbers	4
1.3	Assembling Infinite Words from Finite Words	4
2	Abstract Rewrite Systems	6
2.1	Definitions	7
2.2	Properties of ARSs	10
2.3	Newman’s Lemma	19
2.4	Commutation	21
2.5	Strong Normalization	22
2.6	Terminating part of a relation	27
3	Relative Rewriting	31
4	Strongly Normalizing Orders	39

¹<http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/ceta>

5	Carriers of Strongly Normalizing Orders	43
5.1	The standard semiring over the naturals	44
5.2	The standard semiring over the Archimedean fields using delta-orderings	44
5.3	The standard semiring over the integers	45
5.4	The arctic semiring over the integers	45
5.5	The arctic semiring over an arbitrary archimedean field . . .	46
	A description of this formalization will be available in [2].	

1 Infinite Sequences

```
theory Seq
imports
  Main
  HOL-Library.Infinite-Set
begin
```

Infinite sequences are represented by functions of type $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$.

```
type-synonym 'a seq = nat ⇒ 'a
```

1.1 Operations on Infinite Sequences

An infinite sequence is *linked* by a binary predicate P if every two consecutive elements satisfy it. Such a sequence is called a P -chain.

```
abbreviation (input) chainp :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a seq ⇒ bool where
  chainp P S ≡ ∀ i. P (S i) (S (Suc i))
```

Special version for relations.

```
abbreviation (input) chain :: 'a rel ⇒ 'a seq ⇒ bool where
  chain r S ≡ chainp (λx y. (x, y) ∈ r) S
```

Extending a chain at the front.

```
lemma cons-chainp:
  assumes P x (S 0) and chainp P S
  shows chainp P (case-nat x S) (is chainp P ?S)
⟨proof⟩
```

Special version for relations.

```
lemma cons-chain:
  assumes (x, S 0) ∈ r and chain r S shows chain r (case-nat x S)
⟨proof⟩
```

A chain admits arbitrary transitive steps.

```
lemma chainp-imp-relopwp:
  assumes chainp P S shows (P ^~ j) (S i) (S (i + j))
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *chain-imp-relpow*:
assumes *chain r S shows* $(S i, S (i + j)) \in r^{\sim\sim j}$
(proof)

lemma *chainp-imp-tranclp*:
assumes *chainp P S and* $i < j$ **shows** $P^{\wedge\wedge} (S i) (S j)$
(proof)

lemma *chain-imp-trancl*:
assumes *chain r S and* $i < j$ **shows** $(S i, S j) \in r^{\wedge+}$
(proof)

A chain admits arbitrary reflexive and transitive steps.

lemma *chainp-imp-rtranclp*:
assumes *chainp P S and* $i \leq j$ **shows** $P^{\wedge\ast\ast} (S i) (S j)$
(proof)

lemma *chain-imp-rtrancl*:
assumes *chain r S and* $i \leq j$ **shows** $(S i, S j) \in r^{\wedge\ast}$
(proof)

If for every i there is a later index $f i$ such that the corresponding elements satisfy the predicate P , then there is a P -chain.

lemma *stepfun-imp-chainp'*:
assumes $\forall i \geq n :: nat. f i \geq i \wedge P (S i) (S (f i))$
shows *chainp P* $(\lambda i. S ((f^{\wedge\wedge} i) n))$ (**is** *chainp P ?T*)
(proof)

lemma *stepfun-imp-chainp*:
assumes $\forall i \geq n :: nat. f i > i \wedge P (S i) (S (f i))$
shows *chainp P* $(\lambda i. S ((f^{\wedge\wedge} i) n))$ (**is** *chainp P ?T*)
(proof)

lemma *subchain*:
assumes $\forall i :: nat > n. \exists j > i. P (f i) (f j)$
shows $\exists \varphi. (\forall i j. i < j \longrightarrow \varphi i < \varphi j) \wedge (\forall i. P (f (\varphi i)) (f (\varphi (Suc i))))$
(proof)

If for every i there is a later index j such that the corresponding elements satisfy the predicate P , then there is a P -chain.

lemma *steps-imp-chainp'*:
assumes $\forall i \geq n :: nat. \exists j \geq i. P (S i) (S j)$ **shows** $\exists T. chainp P T$
(proof)

lemma *steps-imp-chainp*:
assumes $\forall i \geq n :: nat. \exists j > i. P (S i) (S j)$ **shows** $\exists T. chainp P T$
(proof)

1.2 Predicates on Natural Numbers

If some property holds for infinitely many natural numbers, obtain an index function that points to these numbers in increasing order.

```
locale infinitely-many =
  fixes p :: nat ⇒ bool
  assumes infinite: INFM j. p j
begin

lemma inf: ∃ j ≥ i. p j ⟨proof⟩

fun index :: nat seq where
  index 0 = (LEAST n. p n)
  | index (Suc n) = (LEAST k. p k ∧ k > index n)

lemma index-p: p (index n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-ordered: index n < index (Suc n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-not-p-between:
  assumes i1: index n < i
  and i2: i < index (Suc n)
  shows ¬ p i
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-ordered-le:
  assumes i ≤ j shows index i ≤ index j
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-surj:
  assumes k ≥ index l
  shows ∃ i j. k = index i + j ∧ index i + j < index (Suc i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-ordered-less:
  assumes i < j shows index i < index j
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-not-p-start: assumes i: i < index 0 shows ¬ p i
⟨proof⟩

end
```

1.3 Assembling Infinite Words from Finite Words

Concatenate infinitely many non-empty words to an infinite word.

```
fun inf-concat-simple :: (nat ⇒ nat) ⇒ nat ⇒ (nat × nat) where
```

```

inf-concat-simple f 0 = (0, 0)
| inf-concat-simple f (Suc n) =
  let (i, j) = inf-concat-simple f n in
    if Suc j < f i then (i, Suc j)
    else (Suc i, 0))

lemma inf-concat-simple-add:
  assumes ck: inf-concat-simple f k = (i, j)
  and jl: j + l < f i
  shows inf-concat-simple f (k + l) = (i, j + l)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inf-concat-simple-surj-zero: ∃ k. inf-concat-simple f k = (i, 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inf-concat-simple-surj:
  assumes j < f i
  shows ∃ k. inf-concat-simple f k = (i, j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inf-concat-simple-mono:
  assumes k ≤ k' shows fst (inf-concat-simple f k) ≤ fst (inf-concat-simple f k')
  ⟨proof⟩

fun inf-concat :: (nat ⇒ nat) ⇒ nat ⇒ nat × nat where
  inf-concat n 0 = (LEAST j. n j > 0, 0)
  | inf-concat n (Suc k) = (let (i, j) = inf-concat n k in (if Suc j < n i then (i, Suc j) else (LEAST i'. i' > i ∧ n i' > 0, 0)))

lemma inf-concat-bounds:
  assumes inf: INFM i. n i > 0
  and res: inf-concat n k = (i, j)
  shows j < n i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inf-concat-add:
  assumes res: inf-concat n k = (i, j)
  and jl: j + m < n i
  shows inf-concat n (k + m) = (i, j + m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inf-concat-step:
  assumes res: inf-concat n k = (i, j)
  and jl: Suc (j + m) = n i
  shows inf-concat n (k + Suc m) = (LEAST i'. i' > i ∧ 0 < n i', 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inf-concat-surj-zero:
  assumes 0 < n i
  shows  $\exists k. \text{inf-concat } n k = (i, 0)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inf-concat-surj:
  assumes j:  $j < n$  i
  shows  $\exists k. \text{inf-concat } n k = (i, j)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inf-concat-mono:
  assumes inf: INFM i.  $n i > 0$ 
  and resk: inf-concat n k = (i, j)
  and reskp: inf-concat n k' = (i', j')
  and lt:  $i < i'$ 
  shows k < k'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inf-concat-Suc:
  assumes inf: INFM i.  $n i > 0$ 
  and f:  $\bigwedge i. f i (n i) = f (\text{Suc } i)$  0
  and resk: inf-concat n k = (i, j)
  and ressk: inf-concat n (Suc k) = (i', j')
  shows f i' j' = f i (Suc j)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2 Abstract Rewrite Systems

```

theory Abstract-Rewriting
imports
  HOL-Library.Infinite-Set
  Regular-Sets.Regexp-Method
  Seq
begin

```

```

lemma trancl-mono-set:
   $r \subseteq s \implies r^+ \subseteq s^+$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma relpow-mono:
  fixes r :: 'a rel
  assumes  $r \subseteq r'$  shows  $r^{\wedge\wedge} n \subseteq r'^{\wedge\wedge} n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma refl-inv-image:
  refl R  $\implies$  refl (inv-image R f)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.1 Definitions

Two elements are *joinable* (and then have in the joinability relation) w.r.t. A , iff they have a common reduct.

definition $join :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \ (\langle(-\downarrow)\rangle [1000] 999)$ **where**
 $A^\downarrow = A^* O (A^{-1})^*$

Two elements are *meetable* (and then have in the meetability relation) w.r.t. A , iff they have a common ancestor.

definition $meet :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \ (\langle(-\uparrow)\rangle [1000] 999)$ **where**
 $A^\uparrow = (A^{-1})^* O A^*$

The *symmetric closure* of a relation allows steps in both directions.

abbreviation $symcl :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \ (\langle(-\leftrightarrow)\rangle [1000] 999)$ **where**
 $A^{\leftrightarrow} \equiv A \cup A^{-1}$

A *conversion* is a (possibly empty) sequence of steps in the symmetric closure.

definition $conversion :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \ (\langle(-\leftrightarrow^*)\rangle [1000] 999)$ **where**
 $A^{\leftrightarrow^*} = (A^{\leftrightarrow})^*$

The set of *normal forms* of an ARS constitutes all the elements that do not have any successors.

definition $NF :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a set$ **where**
 $NF A = \{a. A `` \{a\} = \{\}\}$

definition $normalizability :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \ (\langle(-!)\rangle [1000] 999)$ **where**
 $A^! = \{(a, b). (a, b) \in A^* \wedge b \in NF A\}$

notation (ASCII)
 $symcl \ (\langle(-\wedge<->)\rangle [1000] 999)$ **and**
 $conversion \ (\langle(-\wedge<->^*)\rangle [1000] 999)$ **and**
 $normalizability \ (\langle(-!)\rangle [1000] 999)$

lemma $symcl\text{-converse}:$
 $(A^{\leftrightarrow})^{-1} = A^{\leftrightarrow} \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $symcl\text{-Un}:$ $(A \cup B)^{\leftrightarrow} = A^{\leftrightarrow} \cup B^{\leftrightarrow} \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $no\text{-step}:$
assumes $A `` \{a\} = \{\}$ **shows** $a \in NF A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $joinI:$
 $(a, c) \in A^* \implies (b, c) \in A^* \implies (a, b) \in A^\downarrow$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma joinI-left:
   $(a, b) \in A^* \implies (a, b) \in A^\downarrow$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma joinI-right:  $(b, a) \in A^* \implies (a, b) \in A^\downarrow$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma joinE:
  assumes  $(a, b) \in A^\downarrow$ 
  obtains  $c$  where  $(a, c) \in A^*$  and  $(b, c) \in A^*$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma joinD:
   $(a, b) \in A^\downarrow \implies \exists c. (a, c) \in A^* \wedge (b, c) \in A^*$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma meetI:
   $(a, b) \in A^* \implies (a, c) \in A^* \implies (b, c) \in A^\uparrow$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma meetE:
  assumes  $(b, c) \in A^\uparrow$ 
  obtains  $a$  where  $(a, b) \in A^*$  and  $(a, c) \in A^*$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma meetD:  $(b, c) \in A^\uparrow \implies \exists a. (a, b) \in A^* \wedge (a, c) \in A^*$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma conversionI:  $(a, b) \in (A^\leftrightarrow)^* \implies (a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma conversion-refl [simp]:  $(a, a) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma conversionI':
  assumes  $(a, b) \in A^*$  shows  $(a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtrancl-comp-trancl-conv:
   $r^* O r = r^+$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma trancl-o-refl-is-trancl:
   $r^+ O r^= = r^+$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma conversionE:
   $(a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*} \implies ((a, b) \in (A^\leftrightarrow)^* \implies P) \implies P$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Later declarations are tried first for ‘proof’ and ‘rule,’ then have the

“main” introduction / elimination rules for constants should be declared last.

```
declare joinI-left [intro]
declare joinI-right [intro]
declare joinI [intro]
declare joinD [dest]
declare joinE [elim]
```

```
declare meetI [intro]
declare meetD [dest]
declare meetE [elim]
```

```
declare conversionI' [intro]
declare conversionI [intro]
declare conversionE [elim]
```

lemma conversion-trans:
 $\text{trans } (A^{\leftrightarrow *})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma conversion-sym:
 $\text{sym } (A^{\leftrightarrow *})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma conversion-inv:
 $(x, y) \in R^{\leftrightarrow *} \longleftrightarrow (y, x) \in R^{\leftrightarrow *}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma conversion-converse [simp]:
 $(A^{\leftrightarrow *})^{-1} = A^{\leftrightarrow *}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma conversion-rtrancl [simp]:
 $(A^{\leftrightarrow *})^* = A^{\leftrightarrow *}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rtrancl-join-join:
assumes $(a, b) \in A^*$ **and** $(b, c) \in A^\downarrow$ **shows** $(a, c) \in A^\downarrow$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma join-rtrancl-join:
assumes $(a, b) \in A^\downarrow$ **and** $(c, b) \in A^*$ **shows** $(a, c) \in A^\downarrow$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma NF-I: $(\bigwedge b. (a, b) \notin A) \implies a \in \text{NF } A$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma NF-E: $a \in \text{NF } A \implies ((a, b) \notin A \implies P) \implies P$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

declare NF-I [intro]
declare NF-E [elim]

lemma NF-no-step:  $a \in \text{NF } A \implies \forall b. (a, b) \notin A$  <proof>

```

```

lemma NF-anti-mono:
assumes  $A \subseteq B$  shows  $\text{NF } B \subseteq \text{NF } A$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma NF-iff-no-step:  $a \in \text{NF } A = (\forall b. (a, b) \notin A)$  <proof>

```

```

lemma NF-no-trancl-step:
assumes  $a \in \text{NF } A$  shows  $\forall b. (a, b) \notin A^+$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma NF-Id-on-fst-image [simp]:  $\text{NF } (\text{Id-on } (\text{fst} \cdot A)) = \text{NF } A$  <proof>

```

```

lemma fst-image-NF-Id-on [simp]:  $\text{fst} \cdot R = Q \implies \text{NF } (\text{Id-on } Q) = \text{NF } R$  <proof>

```

```

lemma NF-empty [simp]:  $\text{NF } \{\} = \text{UNIV}$  <proof>

```

```

lemma normalizability-I:  $(a, b) \in A^* \implies b \in \text{NF } A \implies (a, b) \in A^!$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma normalizability-I':  $(a, b) \in A^* \implies (b, c) \in A^! \implies (a, c) \in A^!$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma normalizability-E:  $(a, b) \in A^! \implies ((a, b) \in A^* \implies b \in \text{NF } A \implies P) \implies P$ 
<proof>

```

```

declare normalizability-I' [intro]
declare normalizability-I [intro]
declare normalizability-E [elim]

```

2.2 Properties of ARSs

The following properties on (elements of) ARSs are defined: completeness, Church-Rosser property, semi-completeness, strong normalization, unique normal forms, Weak Church-Rosser property, and weak normalization.

```

definition CR-on :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
CR-on r A  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\forall a \in A. \forall b. c. (a, b) \in r^* \wedge (a, c) \in r^* \longrightarrow (b, c) \in \text{join } r)$ 

```

```

abbreviation CR :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
CR r  $\equiv$  CR-on r UNIV

```

```

definition SN-on :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
SN-on r A  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg (\exists f. f \circ 0 \in A \wedge \text{chain } r f)$ 

```

abbreviation $SN :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $SN r \equiv SN\text{-on } r UNIV$

Alternative definition of SN .

lemma $SN\text{-def}: SN r = (\forall x. SN\text{-on } r \{x\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $UNF\text{-on} :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $UNF\text{-on } r A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \forall b c. (a, b) \in r^! \wedge (a, c) \in r^! \longrightarrow b = c)$

abbreviation $UNF :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where** $UNF r \equiv UNF\text{-on } r UNIV$

definition $WCR\text{-on} :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $WCR\text{-on } r A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \forall b c. (a, b) \in r \wedge (a, c) \in r \longrightarrow (b, c) \in join r)$

abbreviation $WCR :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where** $WCR r \equiv WCR\text{-on } r UNIV$

definition $WN\text{-on} :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $WN\text{-on } r A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \exists b. (a, b) \in r^!)$

abbreviation $WN :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $WN r \equiv WN\text{-on } r UNIV$

lemmas $CR\text{-defs} = CR\text{-on-def}$
lemmas $SN\text{-defs} = SN\text{-on-def}$
lemmas $UNF\text{-defs} = UNF\text{-on-def}$
lemmas $WCR\text{-defs} = WCR\text{-on-def}$
lemmas $WN\text{-defs} = WN\text{-on-def}$

definition $complete\text{-on} :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $complete\text{-on } r A \longleftrightarrow SN\text{-on } r A \wedge CR\text{-on } r A$

abbreviation $complete :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $complete r \equiv complete\text{-on } r UNIV$

definition $semi-complete\text{-on} :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $semi-complete\text{-on } r A \longleftrightarrow WN\text{-on } r A \wedge CR\text{-on } r A$

abbreviation $semi-complete :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $semi-complete r \equiv semi-complete\text{-on } r UNIV$

lemmas $complete\text{-defs} = complete\text{-on-def}$
lemmas $semi-complete\text{-defs} = semi-complete\text{-on-def}$

Unique normal forms with respect to conversion.

definition $UNC :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $UNC A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a b. a \in NF A \wedge b \in NF A \wedge (a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow *} \longrightarrow a = b)$

lemma $complete\text{-onI}:$

$SN\text{-on } r A \implies CR\text{-on } r A \implies complete\text{-on } r A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $complete\text{-on}E$:

$complete\text{-on } r A \implies (SN\text{-on } r A \implies CR\text{-on } r A \implies P) \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $CR\text{-on}I$:

$(\bigwedge a b c. a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r^* \implies (a, c) \in r^* \implies (b, c) \in join r) \implies CR\text{-on}$
 $r A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $CR\text{-on-singleton}I$:

$(\bigwedge b c. (a, b) \in r^* \implies (a, c) \in r^* \implies (b, c) \in join r) \implies CR\text{-on } r \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $CR\text{-on}E$:

$CR\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies ((b, c) \in join r \implies P) \implies ((a, b) \notin r^* \implies P) \implies$
 $((a, c) \notin r^* \implies P) \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $CR\text{-on}D$:

$CR\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r^* \implies (a, c) \in r^* \implies (b, c) \in join r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $semi\text{-complete-on}I$: $WN\text{-on } r A \implies CR\text{-on } r A \implies semi\text{-complete-on } r A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $semi\text{-complete-on}E$:

$semi\text{-complete-on } r A \implies (WN\text{-on } r A \implies CR\text{-on } r A \implies P) \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare $semi\text{-complete-on}I$ [intro]
declare $semi\text{-complete-on}E$ [elim]

declare $complete\text{-on}I$ [intro]
declare $complete\text{-on}E$ [elim]

declare $CR\text{-on}I$ [intro]
declare $CR\text{-on-singleton}I$ [intro]

declare $CR\text{-on}D$ [dest]
declare $CR\text{-on}E$ [elim]

lemma $UNC\text{-}I$:

$(\bigwedge a b. a \in NF A \implies b \in NF A \implies (a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*} \implies a = b) \implies UNC A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $UNC\text{-}E$:

$\llbracket \text{UNC } A; a = b \implies P; a \notin \text{NF } A \implies P; b \notin \text{NF } A \implies P; (a, b) \notin A^{\leftrightarrow*} \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UNF-onI*: $(\bigwedge a b c. a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r^! \implies (a, c) \in r^! \implies b = c) \implies \text{UNF-on } r A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UNF-onE*:

$\text{UNF-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (b = c \implies P) \implies ((a, b) \notin r^! \implies P) \implies ((a, c) \notin r^! \implies P) \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UNF-onD*:

$\text{UNF-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r^! \implies (a, c) \in r^! \implies b = c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *UNF-onI* [*intro*]
declare *UNF-onD* [*dest*]
declare *UNF-onE* [*elim*]

lemma *SN-onI*:

assumes $\bigwedge f. \llbracket f 0 \in A; \text{chain } r f \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$
shows *SN-on r A*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SN-I*: $(\bigwedge a. \text{SN-on } A \{a\}) \implies \text{SN } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SN-on-trancl-imp-SN-on*:
assumes *SN-on* (R^+) *T* **shows** *SN-on R T*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SN-onE*:

assumes *SN-on r A*
and $\neg (\exists f. f 0 \in A \wedge \text{chain } r f) \implies P$
shows *P*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-SN-onE*:

assumes $\neg \text{SN-on } r A$
and $\bigwedge f. \llbracket f 0 \in A; \text{chain } r f \rrbracket \implies P$
shows *P*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *SN-onI* [*intro*]
declare *SN-onE* [*elim*]
declare *not-SN-onE* [*Pure.elim, elim*]

```

lemma refl-not-SN:  $(x, x) \in R \implies \neg SN R$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma SN-on-irrefl:
  assumes SN-on r A
  shows  $\forall a \in A. (a, a) \notin r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma WCR-onI:  $(\bigwedge a b c. a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r \implies (a, c) \in r \implies (b, c) \in join r) \implies WCR\text{-on } r A$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma WCR-onE:
   $WCR\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies ((b, c) \in join r \implies P) \implies ((a, b) \notin r \implies P) \implies ((a, c) \notin r \implies P) \implies P$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma SN-nat-bounded:  $SN \{(x, y :: nat). x < y \wedge y \leq b\}$  (is  $SN ?R$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma WCR-onD:
   $WCR\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r \implies (a, c) \in r \implies (b, c) \in join r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma WN-onI:  $(\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies \exists b. (a, b) \in r^!) \implies WN\text{-on } r A$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma WN-onE:  $WN\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (\bigwedge b. (a, b) \in r^! \implies P) \implies P$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma WN-onD:  $WN\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies \exists b. (a, b) \in r^!$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

declare WCR-onI [intro]
declare WCR-onD [dest]
declare WCR-onE [elim]

declare WN-onI [intro]
declare WN-onD [dest]
declare WN-onE [elim]

```

Restricting a relation r to those elements that are strongly normalizing with respect to a relation s .

```

definition restrict-SN :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel where
  restrict-SN r s =  $\{(a, b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in r \wedge SN\text{-on } s \{a\}\}$ 

```

```

lemma SN-restrict-SN-idemp [simp]:  $SN (restrict-SN A A)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma SN-on-Image:
  assumes SN-on r A
  shows SN-on r (r `` A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma SN-on-subset2:
  assumes A ⊆ B and SN-on r B
  shows SN-on r A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step-preserves-SN-on:
  assumes 1: (a, b) ∈ r
  and 2: SN-on r {a}
  shows SN-on r {b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma steps-preserve-SN-on: (a, b) ∈ A* ⇒ SN-on A {a} ⇒ SN-on A {b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma relpow-seq:
  assumes (x, y) ∈ r ^ n
  shows ∃f. f 0 = x ∧ f n = y ∧ (∀i < n. (f i, f (Suc i)) ∈ r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rtrancl-imp-seq:
  assumes (x, y) ∈ r*
  shows ∃f n. f 0 = x ∧ f n = y ∧ (∀i < n. (f i, f (Suc i)) ∈ r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma SN-on-Image-rtrancl:
  assumes SN-on r A
  shows SN-on r (r* `` A)
  ⟨proof⟩

declare subrelI [Pure.intro]

lemma restrict-SN-trancl-simp [simp]: (restrict-SN A A)^+ = restrict-SN (A^+) A
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma SN-imp-WN:
  assumes SN A shows WN A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma UNC-imp-UNF:
  assumes UNC r shows UNF r
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma join-NF-imp-eq:
  assumes  $(x, y) \in r^\downarrow$  and  $x \in NF r$  and  $y \in NF r$ 
  shows  $x = y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtrancl-Restr:
  assumes  $(x, y) \in (Restr r A)^*$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in r^*$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma join-mono:
  assumes  $r \subseteq s$ 
  shows  $r^\downarrow \subseteq s^\downarrow$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CR-iff-meet-subset-join:  $CR r = (r^\uparrow \subseteq r^\downarrow)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CR-divergence-imp-join:
  assumes  $CR r$  and  $(x, y) \in r^*$  and  $(x, z) \in r^*$ 
  shows  $(y, z) \in r^\downarrow$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma join-imp-conversion:  $r^\downarrow \subseteq r^{\leftrightarrow*}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma meet-imp-conversion:  $r^\uparrow \subseteq r^{\leftrightarrow*}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CR-imp-UNF:
  assumes  $CR r$  shows  $UNF r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CR-iff-conversion-imp-join:  $CR r = (r^{\leftrightarrow*} \subseteq r^\downarrow)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CR-imp-conversionIff-join:
  assumes  $CR r$  shows  $r^{\leftrightarrow*} = r^\downarrow$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sym-join:  $sym (join r)$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma join-sym:  $(s, t) \in A^\downarrow \implies (t, s) \in A^\downarrow$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CR-join-left-I:
  assumes  $CR r$  and  $(x, y) \in r^*$  and  $(x, z) \in r^\downarrow$  shows  $(y, z) \in r^\downarrow$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *CR-join-right-I*:
assumes *CR r and* $(x, y) \in r^\downarrow$ **and** $(y, z) \in r^*$ **shows** $(x, z) \in r^\downarrow$
(proof)

lemma *NF-not-suc*:
assumes $(x, y) \in r^*$ **and** $x \in NF r$ **shows** $x = y$
(proof)

lemma *semi-complete-imp-conversionIff-same-NF*:
assumes *semi-complete r*
shows $((x, y) \in r^{\leftrightarrow *}) = (\forall u v. (x, u) \in r^! \wedge (y, v) \in r^! \rightarrow u = v)$
(proof)

lemma *CR-imp-UNC*:
assumes *CR r shows UNC r*
(proof)

lemma *WN-UNF-imp-CR*:
assumes *WN r and UNF r shows CR r*
(proof)

definition *diamond* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow *bool* (\Diamond) **where**
 $\Diamond r \longleftrightarrow (r^{-1} O r) \subseteq (r O r^{-1})$

lemma *diamond-I [intro]*: $(r^{-1} O r) \subseteq (r O r^{-1}) \Rightarrow \Diamond r$ *(proof)*

lemma *diamond-E [elim]*: $\Diamond r \Rightarrow ((r^{-1} O r) \subseteq (r O r^{-1}) \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P$
(proof)

lemma *diamond-imp-semi-confluence*:
assumes $\Diamond r$ **shows** $(r^{-1} O r^*) \subseteq r^\downarrow$
(proof)

lemma *semi-confluence-imp-CR*:
assumes $(r^{-1} O r^*) \subseteq r^\downarrow$ **shows** *CR r*
(proof)

lemma *diamond-imp-CR*:
assumes $\Diamond r$ **shows** *CR r*
(proof)

lemma *diamond-imp-CR'*:
assumes $\Diamond s$ **and** $r \subseteq s$ **and** $s \subseteq r^*$ **shows** *CR r*
(proof)

lemma *SN-imp-minimal*:
assumes *SN A*
shows $\forall Q. x \in Q \rightarrow (\exists z \in Q. \forall y. (z, y) \in A \rightarrow y \notin Q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-on-imp-on-minimal*:

assumes *SN-on r {x}*

shows $\forall Q. x \in Q \longrightarrow (\exists z \in Q. \forall y. (z, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin Q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minimal-imp-wf*:

assumes $\forall Q. x \in Q \longrightarrow (\exists z \in Q. \forall y. (z, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin Q)$

shows *wf(r⁻¹)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *SN-imp-wf = SN-imp-minimal [THEN minimal-imp-wf]*

lemma *wf-imp-SN*:

assumes *wf (A⁻¹) shows SN A*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-nat-gt: SN {(a, b :: nat) . a > b}*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-iff-wf: SN A = wf (A⁻¹)* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-imp-acyclic: SN R \implies acyclic R*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-induct*:

assumes *sn: SN r and step: $\bigwedge a. (\bigwedge b. (a, b) \in r \implies P b) \implies P a$*

shows *P a*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *SN-induct-rule = SN-induct [consumes 1, case-names IH, induct pred: SN]*

lemma *SN-on-induct [consumes 2, case-names IH, induct pred: SN-on]*:

assumes *SN: SN-on R A*

and *s ∈ A*

and *imp: $\bigwedge t. (\bigwedge u. (t, u) \in R \implies P u) \implies P t$*

shows *P s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *accp-imp-SN-on*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{Wellfounded.accp } g x$

shows *SN-on {(y, z). g z y} A*

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma SN-on-imp-accp:
  assumes SN-on  $\{(y, z). g z y\} A$ 
  shows  $\forall x \in A. \text{Wellfounded.accp } g x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma SN-on-conv-accp:
   $\text{SN-on } \{(y, z). g z y\} \{x\} = \text{Wellfounded.accp } g x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma SN-on-conv-acc:  $\text{SN-on } \{(y, z). (z, y) \in r\} \{x\} \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{Wellfounded.acc}$ 
 $r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma acc-imp-SN-on:
  assumes  $x \in \text{Wellfounded.acc } r$  shows  $\text{SN-on } \{(y, z). (z, y) \in r\} \{x\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma SN-on-imp-acc:
  assumes  $\text{SN-on } \{(y, z). (z, y) \in r\} \{x\}$  shows  $x \in \text{Wellfounded.acc } r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

2.3 Newman's Lemma

```

lemma rtrancl-len-E [elim]:
  assumes  $(x, y) \in r^*$  obtains  $n$  where  $(x, y) \in r^{\wedge n}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma relpow-Suc-E2' [elim]:
  assumes  $(x, z) \in A^{\wedge} \text{Suc } n$  obtains  $y$  where  $(x, y) \in A$  and  $(y, z) \in A^*$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas SN-on-induct' [consumes 1, case-names IH] = SN-on-induct [OF - singletonI]

lemma Newman-local:
  assumes  $\text{SN-on } r X$  and  $\text{WCR-on } r \{x. \text{SN-on } r \{x\}\}$ 
  shows  $\text{CR-on } r X$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Newman:  $\text{SN } r \implies \text{WCR } r \implies \text{CR } r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Image-SN-on:
  assumes  $\text{SN-on } r (r `` A)$ 
  shows  $\text{SN-on } r A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma SN-on-Image-conv:  $\text{SN-on } r (r `` A) = \text{SN-on } r A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

If all successors are terminating, then the current element is also terminating.

lemma *step-reflects-SN-on*:
assumes $(\bigwedge b. (a, b) \in r \implies SN\text{-on } r \{b\})$
shows $SN\text{-on } r \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-on-all-reducts-SN-on-conv*:
 $SN\text{-on } r \{a\} = (\forall b. (a, b) \in r \longrightarrow SN\text{-on } r \{b\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-imp-SN-trancl*: $SN R \implies SN (R^+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-trancl-imp-SN*:
assumes $SN (R^+)$ **shows** $SN R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-trancl-SN-conv*: $SN (R^+) = SN R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-inv-image*: $SN R \implies SN (\text{inv-image } R f)$ *$\langle proof \rangle$*

lemma *SN-subset*: $SN R \implies R' \subseteq R \implies SN R'$ *$\langle proof \rangle$*

lemma *SN-pow-imp-SN*:
assumes $SN (A \overset{\sim}{\cup} \text{Suc } n)$ **shows** $SN A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pow-Suc-subset-trancl*: $R \overset{\sim}{\cup} (\text{Suc } n) \subseteq R^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-imp-SN-pow*:
assumes $SN R$ **shows** $SN (R \overset{\sim}{\cup} \text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-pow*: $SN R \longleftrightarrow SN (R \overset{\sim}{\cup} \text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-on-trancl*:
assumes $SN\text{-on } r A$ **shows** $SN\text{-on } (r^+) A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-on-trancl-SN-on-conv*: $SN\text{-on } (R^+) T = SN\text{-on } R T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Restrict an ARS to elements of a given set.

definition *restrict* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a set* \Rightarrow '*a rel where*
restrict r S = { (x, y) . $x \in S \wedge y \in S \wedge (x, y) \in r$ }

lemma *SN-on-restrict*:

assumes *SN-on r A*
shows *SN-on (restrict r S) A* (**is** *SN-on ?r A*)
{proof}

lemma *restrict-rtranci*: $(\text{restrict } r \text{ } S)^* \subseteq r^*$ (**is** $?r^* \subseteq r^*$)
{proof}

lemma *rtranci-Image-step*:

assumes $a \in r^*$ “ *A*
and $(a, b) \in r^*$
shows $b \in r^*$ “ *A*
{proof}

lemma *WCR-SN-on-imp-CR-on*:

assumes *WCR r and SN-on r A shows CR-on r A*
{proof}

lemma *SN-on-Image-normalizable*:

assumes *SN-on r A*
shows $\forall a \in A. \exists b. b \in r^! \text{ `` } A$
{proof}

lemma *SN-on-imp-normalizability*:

assumes *SN-on r {a} shows $\exists b. (a, b) \in r^!$*
{proof}

2.4 Commutation

definition *commute* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a rel* \Rightarrow *bool where*
commute r s \longleftrightarrow $((r^{-1})^* O s^*) \subseteq (s^* O (r^{-1})^*)$

lemma *CR-iff-self-commute*: *CR r = commute r r*
{proof}

lemma *rtranci-imp-rtranci-UN*:

assumes $(x, y) \in r^*$ **and** $r \in I$
shows $(x, y) \in (\bigcup_{r \in I. r} r)^*$ (**is** $(x, y) \in ?r^*$)
{proof}

definition *quasi-commute* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a rel* \Rightarrow *bool where*
quasi-commute r s \longleftrightarrow $(s O r) \subseteq r O (r \cup s)^*$

lemma *rtranci-union-subset-rtranci-union-tranci*: $(r \cup s^+)^* = (r \cup s)^*$
{proof}

```

lemma qc-imp-qc-trancl:
  assumes quasi-commute r s shows quasi-commute r (s+)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma steps-reflect-SN-on:
  assumes ¬ SN-on r {b} and (a, b) ∈ r*
  shows ¬ SN-on r {a}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma chain-imp-not-SN-on:
  assumes chain r f
  shows ¬ SN-on r {f i}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma quasi-commute-imp-SN:
  assumes SN r and SN s and quasi-commute r s
  shows SN (r ∪ s)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.5 Strong Normalization

```

lemma non-strict-into-strict:
  assumes compat: NS O S ⊆ S
  and steps: (s, t) ∈ (NS*) O S
  shows (s, t) ∈ S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-trancl:
  assumes R O S ⊆ S shows R O S+ ⊆ S+
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-rtrancl-trancl:
  assumes comp: R O S ⊆ S
  and seq: (s, t) ∈ (R ∪ S)* O S
  shows (s, t) ∈ S+
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trancl-union-right: r+ ⊆ (s ∪ r)+
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restrict-SN-subset: restrict-SN R S ⊆ R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma chain-Un-SN-on-imp-first-step:
  assumes chain (R ∪ S) t and SN-on S {t 0}
  shows ∃ i. (t i, t (Suc i)) ∈ R ∧ (∀ j < i. (t j, t (Suc j)) ∈ S ∧ (t j, t (Suc j)) ∉ R)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma first-step:
  assumes C:  $C = A \cup B$  and steps:  $(x, y) \in C^*$  and Bstep:  $(y, z) \in B$ 
  shows  $\exists y. (x, y) \in A^* O B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma first-step-O:
  assumes C:  $C = A \cup B$  and steps:  $(x, y) \in C^* O B$ 
  shows  $\exists y. (x, y) \in A^* O B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma firstStep:
  assumes LSR:  $L = S \cup R$  and xyL:  $(x, y) \in L^*$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in R^* \vee (x, y) \in R^* O S O L^*$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma non-strict-ending:
  assumes chain: chain ( $R \cup S$ ) t
  and comp:  $R O S \subseteq S$ 
  and SN: SN-on S {t 0}
  shows  $\exists j. \forall i \geq j. (t i, t (Suc i)) \in R - S$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma SN-on-subset1:
  assumes SN-on r A and s ⊆ r
  shows SN-on s A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas SN-on-mono = SN-on-subset1

lemma rtrancl-fun-conv:
   $((s, t) \in R^*) = (\exists f n. f 0 = s \wedge f n = t \wedge (\forall i < n. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in R))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compat-tr-compat:
  assumes NS O S ⊆ S shows NS* O S ⊆ S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma right-comp-S [simp]:
  assumes  $(x, y) \in S O (S O S^* O NS^* \cup NS^*)$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in (S O S^* O NS^*)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-SN:
  assumes SN: SN S
  and compat: NS O S ⊆ S
  shows SN (S O S* O NS*) (is SN ?A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma compatible-rtrancl-split:
  assumes compat:  $NS \circ S \subseteq S$ 
  and steps:  $(x, y) \in (NS \cup S)^*$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in S \circ S^* \circ NS^* \cup NS^*$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compatible-conv:
  assumes compat:  $NS \circ S \subseteq S$ 
  shows  $(NS \cup S)^* \circ S \circ (NS \cup S)^* = S \circ S^* \circ NS^*$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compatible-SN':
  assumes compat:  $NS \circ S \subseteq S$  and SN:  $SN \circ$ 
  shows  $SN((NS \cup S)^* \circ S \circ (NS \cup S)^*)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtrancl-diff-decomp:
  assumes  $(x, y) \in A^* - B^*$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in A^* \circ (A - B) \circ A^*$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma SN-empty [simp]:  $SN \{\} \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma SN-on-weakening:
  assumes SN-on R1 A
  shows SN-on  $(R1 \cap R2) A$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition ideriv :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ideriv R S as  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\forall i. (as i, as (Suc i)) \in R \cup S) \wedge (INFM i. (as i, as (Suc i)) \in R)$ 

lemma ideriv-mono:  $R \subseteq R' \implies S \subseteq S' \implies ideriv R S as \implies ideriv R' S' as$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun
  shift :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where
  shift f j =  $(\lambda i. f (i+j))$ 

lemma ideriv-split:
  assumes ideriv: ideriv R S as
  and nideriv:  $\neg ideriv (D \cap (R \cup S)) (R \cup S - D)$  as
  shows  $\exists i. ideriv (R - D) (S - D) (shift as i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ideriv-SN:

```

assumes $SN: SN\ S$
and $compat: NS\ O\ S \subseteq S$
and $R: R \subseteq NS \cup S$
shows $\neg ideriv(S \cap R) (R - S)$ as
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Infm-shift: (INFM\ i.\ P\ (shift\ f\ n\ i)) = (INFM\ i.\ P\ (f\ i))$ (**is** $?S = ?O$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancl-list-conv:$
 $(s, t) \in R^* \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists ts. last(s \# ts) = t \wedge (\forall i < length ts. ((s \# ts) ! i, (s \# ts) ! Suc i) \in R))$
(is $?l = ?r$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN-reaches-NF:$
assumes $SN\text{-on } r\ \{x\}$
shows $\exists y. (x, y) \in r^* \wedge y \in NF\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN\text{-WCR-reaches-NF}:$
assumes $SN: SN\text{-on } r\ \{x\}$
and $WCR: WCR\text{-on } r\ \{x. SN\text{-on } r\ \{x\}\}$
shows $\exists! y. (x, y) \in r^* \wedge y \in NF\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $some\text{-NF} :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $some\text{-NF } r\ x = (SOME\ y. (x, y) \in r^* \wedge y \in NF\ r)$

lemma $some\text{-NF}:$
assumes $SN: SN\text{-on } r\ \{x\}$
shows $(x, some\text{-NF } r\ x) \in r^* \wedge some\text{-NF } r\ x \in NF\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $some\text{-NF-WCR}:$
assumes $SN: SN\text{-on } r\ \{x\}$
and $WCR: WCR\text{-on } r\ \{x. SN\text{-on } r\ \{x\}\}$
and $steps: (x, y) \in r^*$
and $NF: y \in NF\ r$
shows $y = some\text{-NF } r\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $some\text{-NF-UNF}:$
assumes $UNF: UNF\ r$
and $steps: (x, y) \in r^*$
and $NF: y \in NF\ r$
shows $y = some\text{-NF } r\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition the-NF A a = (THE b. (a, b) ∈ A!)

context
  fixes A
  assumes SN: SN A and CR: CR A
begin
lemma the-NF: (a, the-NF A a) ∈ A!
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma the-NF-NF: the-NF A a ∈ NF A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma the-NF-step:
  assumes (a, b) ∈ A
  shows the-NF A a = the-NF A b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma the-NF-steps:
  assumes (a, b) ∈ A*
  shows the-NF A a = the-NF A b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma the-NF-conv:
  assumes (a, b) ∈ A↔*
  shows the-NF A a = the-NF A b
  ⟨proof⟩

end

definition weak-diamond :: 'a rel ⇒ bool (⟨w◊⟩) where
  w◊ r ↔ (r-1 O r) - Id ⊆ (r O r-1)

lemma weak-diamond-imp-CR:
  assumes wd: w◊ r
  shows CR r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma steps-imp-not-SN-on:
  fixes t :: 'a ⇒ 'b
  and R :: 'b rel
  assumes steps: ⋀ x. (t x, t (f x)) ∈ R
  shows ¬ SN-on R {t x}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma steps-imp-not-SN:
  fixes t :: 'a ⇒ 'b
  and R :: 'b rel
  assumes steps: ⋀ x. (t x, t (f x)) ∈ R

```

shows $\neg SN R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *steps-map*:
assumes $fg: \bigwedge t u R . P t \implies Q R \implies (t, u) \in R \implies P u \wedge (f t, f u) \in g R$
and $t: P t$
and $R: Q R$
and $S: Q S$
shows $((t, u) \in R^*) \longrightarrow (f t, f u) \in (g R)^*$
 $\wedge ((t, u) \in R^* O S O R^* \longrightarrow (f t, f u) \in (g R)^* O (g S) O (g R)^*)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.6 Terminating part of a relation

inductive-set

SN-part :: '*a* rel \Rightarrow '*a* set
for $r :: 'a rel$

where

SN-partI: $(\bigwedge y. (x, y) \in r \implies y \in SN\text{-part } r) \implies x \in SN\text{-part } r$

The accessible part of a relation is the same as the terminating part (just two names for the same definition – modulo argument order). See $(\bigwedge y. (y, ?x) \in ?r \implies y \in Wellfounded.acc ?r) \implies ?x \in Wellfounded.acc ?r$.

Characterization of *SN-on* via terminating part.

lemma *SN-on-SN-part-conv*:

SN-on $r A \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq SN\text{-part } r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Special case for “full” termination.

lemma *SN-SN-part-UNIV-conv*:

SN $r \longleftrightarrow SN\text{-part } r = UNIV$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-imp-rtranclosed*: **assumes** $L: L \subseteq A$

and $R: R `` A \subseteq A$
shows $\{t \mid s. s \in L \wedge (s, t) \in R^*\} \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclosed-steps-relpow*: **assumes** $a \subseteq b^+$

shows $(x, y) \in a^{\sim n} \implies \exists m. m \geq n \wedge (x, y) \in b^{\sim m}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relopow-image*: **assumes** $f: \bigwedge s t. (s, t) \in r \implies (f s, f t) \in r'$

shows $(s, t) \in r^{\sim n} \implies (f s, f t) \in r'^{\sim n}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relopow-refl-mono*:

assumes $refl: \bigwedge x. (x, x) \in Rel$
shows $m \leq n \implies (a, b) \in Rel^{\sim m} \implies (a, b) \in Rel^{\sim n}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-on-induct-acc-style* [consumes 1, case-names *IH*]:
assumes *sn*: *SN-on R {a}*
and *IH*: $\bigwedge x. SN\text{-on } R \{x\} \implies [\bigwedge y. (x, y) \in R \implies P y] \implies P x$
shows *P a*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *partially-localize-CR*:
 $CR r \longleftrightarrow (\forall x y z. (x, y) \in r \wedge (x, z) \in r^* \longrightarrow (y, z) \in join r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *strongly-confluent-on* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool
where
strongly-confluent-on r A \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall x \in A. \forall y z. (x, y) \in r \wedge (x, z) \in r \longrightarrow (\exists u. (y, u) \in r^* \wedge (z, u) \in r^=))$

abbreviation *strongly-confluent* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool
where
strongly-confluent r \equiv *strongly-confluent-on r UNIV*

lemma *strongly-confluent-on-E11*:
strongly-confluent-on r A $\implies x \in A \implies (x, y) \in r \implies (x, z) \in r \implies$
 $\exists u. (y, u) \in r^* \wedge (z, u) \in r^=$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *strongly-confluentI* [*intro*]:
 $[\bigwedge x y z. (x, y) \in r \implies (x, z) \in r \implies \exists u. (y, u) \in r^* \wedge (z, u) \in r^=] \implies$
strongly-confluent r
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *strongly-confluent-E1n*:
assumes *scr*: *strongly-confluent r*
shows $(x, y) \in r^= \implies (x, z) \in r \wedge n \implies \exists u. (y, u) \in r^* \wedge (z, u) \in r^=$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *strong-confluence-imp-CR*:
assumes *strongly-confluent r*
shows *CR r*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *WCR-alt-def*: $WCR A \longleftrightarrow A^{-1} O A \subseteq A^\downarrow$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NF-imp-SN-on*: $a \in NF R \implies SN\text{-on } R \{a\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-sym*: $(s, t) \in (\bigcup i \leq n. (S i)^{\leftrightarrow}) \longleftrightarrow (t, s) \in (\bigcup i \leq n. (S i)^{\leftrightarrow})$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *peak-iff*: $(x, y) \in A^{-1} O B \longleftrightarrow (\exists u. (u, x) \in A \wedge (u, y) \in B)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CR-NF-conv*:

assumes *CR r and t in NF r and (u, t) in r \leftrightarrow^**

shows $(u, t) \in r^!$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NF-join-imp-reach*:

assumes *y in NF A and (x, y) in A \downarrow*

shows $(x, y) \in A^*$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conversion-O-conversion [simp]*:

$A^{\leftrightarrow^*} O A^{\leftrightarrow^*} = A^{\leftrightarrow^*}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-O-iff*: $trans A \longleftrightarrow A O A \subseteq A$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *refl-O-iff*: $refl A \longleftrightarrow Id \subseteq A$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relopow-Suc*: $r^{\wedge\wedge} Suc n = r O r^{\wedge\wedge} n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-power*: fixes $r :: 'a rel$ shows $(r^{-1})^{\wedge\wedge} n = (r^{\wedge\wedge} n)^{-1}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conversion-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies A^{\leftrightarrow^*} \subseteq B^{\leftrightarrow^*}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conversion-conversion-idemp [simp]*: $(A^{\leftrightarrow^*})^{\leftrightarrow^*} = A^{\leftrightarrow^*}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lower-set-imp-not-SN-on*:

assumes $s \in X \ \forall t \in X. \exists u \in X. (t, u) \in R$ shows $\neg SN\text{-on } R \{s\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-on-Image-rtrancl-iff*[simp]: $SN\text{-on } R (R^* `` X) \longleftrightarrow SN\text{-on } R X$ (**is** ?l
 $= ?r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *O-mono1*: $R \subseteq R' \implies S O R \subseteq S O R'$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *O-mono2*: $R \subseteq R' \implies R O T \subseteq R' O T$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-O-shift*: $(S O R)^* O S = S O (R O S)^*$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *O-rtrancl-O-O*: $R O (S O R)^* O S = (R O S)^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma SN-on-subset-SN-terms:
  assumes SN: SN-on R X shows X ⊆ {x. SN-on R {x}}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma SN-on-Un2:
  assumes SN-on R X and SN-on R Y shows SN-on R (X ∪ Y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma SN-on-UN:
  assumes ⋀x. SN-on R (X x) shows SN-on R (⋃x. X x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Image-subsetI: R ⊆ R'  $\implies$  R “X ⊆ R’ “X ⟨proof⟩

lemma SN-on-O-comm:
  assumes SN: SN-on ((R :: ('a × 'b) set) O (S :: ('b × 'a) set)) (S “ X)
  shows SN-on (S O R) X
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma SN-O-comm: SN (R O S)  $\longleftrightarrow$  SN (S O R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma chain-mono: assumes R' ⊆ R chain R' seq shows chain R seq
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes S R
  assumes push: S O R ⊆ R O S*
  begin

    lemma rtrancl-O-push: S* O R ⊆ R O S*
    ⟨proof⟩

    lemma rtrancl-U-push: (S ∪ R)* = R* O S*
    ⟨proof⟩

    lemma SN-on-O-push:
      assumes SN: SN-on R X shows SN-on (R O S*) X
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma SN-on-Image-push:
      assumes SN: SN-on R X shows SN-on R (S* “ X)
      ⟨proof⟩

  end

  lemma not-SN-onI[intro]: f 0 ∈ X  $\implies$  chain R f  $\implies$  ¬ SN-on R X
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma shift-comp[simp]: shift (f ∘ seq) n = f ∘ (shift seq n) ⟨proof⟩

lemma Id-on-union: Id-on (A ∪ B) = Id-on A ∪ Id-on B ⟨proof⟩

lemma relpow-union-cases: (a,d) ∈ (A ∪ B) ^n ==> (a,d) ∈ B ^n ∨ (∃ b c k m. (a,b) ∈ B ^k ∧ (b,c) ∈ A ∧ (c,d) ∈ (A ∪ B) ^m ∧ n = Suc (k + m)) ⟨proof⟩

lemma trans-refl-imp-rtrancl-id:
  assumes trans r refl r
  shows r * = r
⟨proof⟩

lemma trans-refl-imp-O-id:
  assumes trans r refl r
  shows r O r = r
⟨proof⟩

lemma relcomp3-I:
  assumes (t, u) ∈ A and (s, t) ∈ B and (u, v) ∈ B
  shows (s, v) ∈ B O A O B
⟨proof⟩

lemma relcomp3-transI:
  assumes trans B and (t, u) ∈ B O A O B and (s, t) ∈ B and (u, v) ∈ B
  shows (s, v) ∈ B O A O B
⟨proof⟩

lemmas converse-inward = rtrancl-converse[symmetric] converse-Un converse-UNION
converse-relcomp
converse-converse converse-Id

lemma qc-SN-relto-iff:
  assumes r O s ⊆ s O (s ∪ r)*
  shows SN (r * O s O r *) = SN s
⟨proof⟩

lemma conversion-empty [simp]: conversion {} = Id
⟨proof⟩

lemma symcl-idemp [simp]: (r ↔) ↔ = r ↔ ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 Relative Rewriting

```

theory Relative-Rewriting
imports Abstract-Rewriting
begin

```

Considering a relation R relative to another relation S , i.e., R -steps may be preceded and followed by arbitrary many S -steps.

abbreviation (*input*) $\text{relto} :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ **where**
 $\text{relto } R \ S \equiv S^* \ O \ R \ O \ S^*$

definition $\text{SN-rel-on} :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{SN-rel-on } R \ S \equiv \text{SN-on} (\text{relto } R \ S)$

definition $\text{SN-rel-on-alt} :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{SN-rel-on-alt } R \ S \ T = (\forall f. \text{chain } (R \cup S) f \wedge f 0 \in T \longrightarrow \neg (\text{INFM } j. (f j, f (\text{Suc } j)) \in R))$

abbreviation $\text{SN-rel} :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{SN-rel } R \ S \equiv \text{SN-rel-on } R \ S \ \text{UNIV}$

abbreviation $\text{SN-rel-alt} :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{SN-rel-alt } R \ S \equiv \text{SN-rel-on-alt } R \ S \ \text{UNIV}$

lemma $\text{relto-absorb} [\text{simp}]: \text{relto } R \ E \ O \ E^* = \text{relto } R \ E \ E^* \ O \ \text{relto } R \ E = \text{relto } R \ E$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{steps-preserve-SN-on-relto}:$
assumes $\text{steps}: (a, b) \in (R \cup S)^*$
and $\text{SN}: \text{SN-on} (\text{relto } R \ S) \{a\}$
shows $\text{SN-on} (\text{relto } R \ S) \{b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{step-preserves-SN-on-relto}:$ **assumes** $st: (s, t) \in R \cup E$
and $\text{SN}: \text{SN-on} (\text{relto } R \ E) \{s\}$
shows $\text{SN-on} (\text{relto } R \ E) \{t\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{SN-rel-on-imp-SN-rel-on-alt}:$ $\text{SN-rel-on } R \ S \ T \implies \text{SN-rel-on-alt } R \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{SN-rel-on-alt-imp-SN-rel-on}:$ $\text{SN-rel-on-alt } R \ S \ T \implies \text{SN-rel-on } R \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{SN-rel-on-conv}:$ $\text{SN-rel-on} = \text{SN-rel-on-alt}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{SN-rel-defs} = \text{SN-rel-on-def} \ \text{SN-rel-on-alt-def}$

lemma $\text{SN-rel-on-alt-r-empty} :$ $\text{SN-rel-on-alt } \{\} \ S \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{SN-rel-on-alt-s-empty} :$ $\text{SN-rel-on-alt } R \ \{\} = \text{SN-on } R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-rel-on-mono'*:

assumes $R: R \subseteq R'$ **and** $S: S \subseteq R' \cup S'$ **and** $SN: SN\text{-rel-on } R' S' T$
shows *SN-rel-on R S T*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relto-mono*:

assumes $R \subseteq R'$ **and** $S \subseteq S'$
shows *relto R S ⊆ relto R' S'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-rel-on-mono*:

assumes $R: R \subseteq R'$ **and** $S: S \subseteq S'$
and $SN: SN\text{-rel-on } R' S' T$
shows *SN-rel-on R S T*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *SN-rel-on-alt-mono* = *SN-rel-on-mono*[unfolded *SN-rel-on-conv*]

lemma *SN-rel-on-imp-SN-on*:

assumes *SN-rel-on R S T* **shows** *SN-on R T*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relto-Id*: *relto R (S ∪ Id) = relto R S* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-rel-on-Id*:

shows *SN-rel-on R (S ∪ Id) T = SN-rel-on R S T*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-rel-on-empty[simp]*: *SN-rel-on R {} T = SN-on R T*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-rel-on-ideriv*: *SN-rel-on R S T = (¬ (exists as. ideriv R S as ∧ as 0 ∈ T))*

(is $?L = ?R$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-rel-to-SN-rel-alt*: *SN-rel R S ⇒ SN-rel-alt R S*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-rel-alt-to-SN-rel* : *SN-rel-alt R S ⇒ SN-rel R S*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-rel-alt-r-empty* : *SN-rel-alt {} S*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-rel-alt-s-empty* : *SN-rel-alt R {} = SN R*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma SN-rel-mono':
   $R \subseteq R' \implies S \subseteq R' \cup S' \implies \text{SN-rel } R' S' \implies \text{SN-rel } R S$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma SN-rel-mono:
  assumes  $R: R \subseteq R'$  and  $S: S \subseteq S'$  and  $\text{SN: SN-rel } R' S'$ 
  shows  $\text{SN-rel } R S$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas SN-rel-alt-mono = SN-rel-mono[unfolded SN-rel-on-conv]

lemma SN-rel-imp-SN : assumes  $\text{SN-rel } R S$  shows  $\text{SN } R$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma relto-trancl-conv :  $(\text{relto } R S)^{\wedge+} = ((R \cup S))^{\wedge*} O R O ((R \cup S))^{\wedge*}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma SN-rel-Id:
  shows  $\text{SN-rel } R (S \cup \text{Id}) = \text{SN-rel } R S$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma relto-rtrancl:  $\text{relto } R (S^{\wedge*}) = \text{relto } R S$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma SN-rel-empty[simp]:  $\text{SN-rel } R \{\} = \text{SN } R$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma SN-rel-idderiv:  $\text{SN-rel } R S = (\neg (\exists \text{ as. idderiv } R S \text{ as}))$  (is  $?L = ?R$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma SN-rel-map:
  fixes  $R R w R' R w' :: 'a rel$ 
  defines  $A: A \equiv R' \cup R w'$ 
  assumes  $\text{SN: SN-rel } R' R w'$ 
  and  $R: \bigwedge s t. (s, t) \in R \implies (f s, f t) \in A^{\wedge*} O R' O A^{\wedge*}$ 
  and  $R w: \bigwedge s t. (s, t) \in R w \implies (f s, f t) \in A^{\wedge*}$ 
  shows  $\text{SN-rel } R R w$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

datatype SN-rel-ext-type = top-s | top-ns | normal-s | normal-ns

fun SN-rel-ext-step ::  $'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow \text{SN-rel-ext-type} \Rightarrow 'a rel$ 
where
   $\text{SN-rel-ext-step } P P w R R w \text{ top-s} = P$ 
   $| \text{SN-rel-ext-step } P P w R R w \text{ top-ns} = P w$ 
   $| \text{SN-rel-ext-step } P P w R R w \text{ normal-s} = R$ 
   $| \text{SN-rel-ext-step } P P w R R w \text{ normal-ns} = R w$ 

definition SN-rel-ext ::  $'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 

```

where

$SN\text{-rel-ext } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } M \equiv (\neg (\exists f t.$
 $(\forall i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in SN\text{-rel-ext-step } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } (t i))$
 $\wedge (\forall i. M (f i))$
 $\wedge (INFM i. t i \in \{top\text{-}s, top\text{-}ns\})$
 $\wedge (INFM i. t i \in \{top\text{-}s, normal\text{-}s\})))$

lemma $SN\text{-rel-ext-step-mono}$: **assumes** $P \subseteq P' \text{ } Pw \subseteq Pw' \text{ } R \subseteq R' \text{ } Rw \subseteq Rw'$
shows $SN\text{-rel-ext-step } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } t \subseteq SN\text{-rel-ext-step } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' \text{ } t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN\text{-rel-ext-mono}$: **assumes** $subset: P \subseteq P' \text{ } Pw \subseteq Pw' \text{ } R \subseteq R' \text{ } Rw \subseteq Rw'$
and

$SN: SN\text{-rel-ext } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' \text{ } M$ **shows** $SN\text{-rel-ext } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN\text{-rel-ext-trans}$:

fixes $P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw :: 'a \text{ rel and } M :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$
defines $M': M' \equiv \{(s,t). M t\}$
defines $A: A \equiv (P \cup Pw \cup R \cup Rw) \cap M'$
assumes $SN\text{-rel-ext } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } M$
shows $SN\text{-rel-ext } (A \hat{*} O (P \cap M') \text{ } O \text{ } A \hat{*}) \text{ } (A \hat{*} O ((P \cup Pw) \cap M') \text{ } O \text{ } A \hat{*})$
 $(A \hat{*} O ((P \cup R) \cap M') \text{ } O \text{ } A \hat{*}) \text{ } (A \hat{*}) \text{ } M$ (**is** $SN\text{-rel-ext } ?P \text{ } ?Pw \text{ } ?R \text{ } ?Rw \text{ } M$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN\text{-rel-ext-map}$: **fixes** $P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' :: 'a \text{ rel and } M \text{ } M' :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$

defines $Ms: Ms \equiv \{(s,t). M' t\}$
defines $A: A \equiv (P' \cup Pw' \cup R' \cup Rw') \cap Ms$
assumes $SN: SN\text{-rel-ext } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' \text{ } M'$
and $P: \bigwedge s t. M s \implies M t \implies (s,t) \in P \implies (f s, f t) \in (A \hat{*} O (P' \cap Ms) \text{ } O \text{ } A \hat{*}) \wedge I t$
and $Pw: \bigwedge s t. M s \implies M t \implies (s,t) \in Pw \implies (f s, f t) \in (A \hat{*} O ((P' \cup Pw') \cap Ms) \text{ } O \text{ } A \hat{*}) \wedge I t$
and $R: \bigwedge s t. I s \implies M s \implies M t \implies (s,t) \in R \implies (f s, f t) \in (A \hat{*} O ((P' \cup R') \cap Ms) \text{ } O \text{ } A \hat{*}) \wedge I t$
and $Rw: \bigwedge s t. I s \implies M s \implies M t \implies (s,t) \in Rw \implies (f s, f t) \in A \hat{*} \wedge I t$
shows $SN\text{-rel-ext } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN\text{-rel-ext-map-min}$: **fixes** $P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' :: 'a \text{ rel and } M \text{ } M' :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$

defines $Ms: Ms \equiv \{(s,t). M' t\}$
defines $A: A \equiv P' \cap Ms \cup Pw' \cap Ms \cup R' \cup Rw'$
assumes $SN: SN\text{-rel-ext } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' \text{ } M'$
and $M: \bigwedge t. M t \implies M' (f t)$
and $M': \bigwedge s t. M' s \implies (s,t) \in R' \cup Rw' \implies M' t$

and $P: \bigwedge s t. M s \implies M t \implies M' (f s) \implies M' (f t) \implies (s, t) \in P \implies (f s, f t) \in (A^* O (P' \cap M s) O A^*) \wedge I t$
and $Pw: \bigwedge s t. M s \implies M t \implies M' (f s) \implies M' (f t) \implies (s, t) \in Pw \implies (f s, f t) \in (A^* O (P' \cap M s \cup Pw' \cap M s) O A^*) \wedge I t$
and $R: \bigwedge s t. I s \implies M s \implies M t \implies M' (f s) \implies M' (f t) \implies (s, t) \in R \implies (f s, f t) \in (A^* O (P' \cap M s \cup R') O A^*) \wedge I t$
and $Rw: \bigwedge s t. I s \implies M s \implies M t \implies M' (f s) \implies M' (f t) \implies (s, t) \in Rw \implies (f s, f t) \in A^* \wedge I t$
shows $SN\text{-rel-ext } P Pw R Rw M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN\text{-relto-imp-}SN\text{-rel}: SN (\text{relto } R S) \implies SN\text{-rel } R S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rtrancl-list\text{-conv}:$

$((s, t) \in R^*) =$
 $(\exists list. last (s \# list) = t \wedge (\forall i. i < \text{length list} \longrightarrow ((s \# list) ! i, (s \# list) ! Suc i) \in R))$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $choice :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a list) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat \times nat)$ **where**
 $choice f 0 = (0, 0)$
 $| choice f (Suc n) = (let (i, j) = choice f n in$
 $if Suc j < \text{length } (f i)$
 $then (i, Suc j)$
 $else (Suc i, 0))$

lemma $SN\text{-rel-imp-}SN\text{-relto} : SN\text{-rel } R S \implies SN (\text{relto } R S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

hide-const $choice$

lemma $SN\text{-relto-}SN\text{-rel-conv}: SN (\text{relto } R S) = SN\text{-rel } R S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN\text{-rel-empty1}: SN\text{-rel } \{\} S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN\text{-rel-empty2}: SN\text{-rel } R \{\} = SN R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SN\text{-relto-mono}:$

assumes $R: R \subseteq R'$ **and** $S: S \subseteq S'$
and $SN: SN (\text{relto } R' S')$
shows $SN (\text{relto } R S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-relto-imp-SN*:
assumes $SN(\text{relto } R \ S)$ **shows** $SN \ R$
(proof)

lemma *SN-relto-Id*:
 $SN(\text{relto } R \ (S \cup \text{Id})) = SN(\text{relto } R \ S)$
(proof)

Termination inheritance by transitivity (see, e.g., Geser's thesis).

lemma *trans-subset-SN*:
assumes $\text{trans } R$ **and** $R \subseteq (r \cup s)$ **and** $SN \ r$ **and** $SN \ s$
shows $SN \ R$
(proof)

lemma *SN-Un-conv*:
assumes $\text{trans } (r \cup s)$
shows $SN(r \cup s) \longleftrightarrow SN \ r \wedge SN \ s$
(is $SN \ ?r \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
(proof)

lemma *SN-relto-Un*:
 $SN(\text{relto } (R \cup S) \ Q) \longleftrightarrow SN(\text{relto } R \ (S \cup Q)) \wedge SN(\text{relto } S \ Q)$
(is $SN \ ?a \longleftrightarrow SN \ ?b \wedge SN \ ?c$)
(proof)

lemma *SN-relto-split*:
assumes $SN(\text{relto } r \ (s \cup q2) \cup \text{relto } q1 \ (s \cup q2))$ (**is** $SN \ ?a$)
and $SN(\text{relto } s \ q2)$ (**is** $SN \ ?b$)
shows $SN(\text{relto } r \ (q1 \cup q2) \cup \text{relto } s \ (q1 \cup q2))$ (**is** $SN \ ?c$)
(proof)

lemma *relto-trancl-subset*: **assumes** $a \subseteq c$ **and** $b \subseteq c$ **shows** $\text{relto } a \ b \subseteq c \hat{+}$
(proof)

An explicit version of *relto* which mentions all intermediate terms

inductive *relto-fun* :: ' a rel' \Rightarrow ' a rel' \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow ' a ') \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *nat*
 \Rightarrow ' a \times ' a \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
relto-fun: *as* $0 = a \Rightarrow$ *as* $m = b \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge i. i < m \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{sel } i \rightarrow (\text{as } i, \text{as } (\text{Suc } i)) \in A) \wedge (\neg \text{sel } i \rightarrow (\text{as } i, \text{as } (\text{Suc } i)) \in B))$
 $\Rightarrow n = \text{card} \{ i . i < m \wedge \text{sel } i \}$
 $\Rightarrow (n = 0 \longleftrightarrow m = 0) \Rightarrow \text{relto-fun } A \ B \ n \ \text{as sel } m \ (a,b)$

lemma *relto-funD*: **assumes** *relto-fun* $A \ B \ n$ *as sel* $m \ (a,b)$
shows *as* $0 = a$ *as* $m = b$
 $\bigwedge i. i < m \Rightarrow \text{sel } i \Rightarrow (\text{as } i, \text{as } (\text{Suc } i)) \in A$
 $\bigwedge i. i < m \Rightarrow \neg \text{sel } i \Rightarrow (\text{as } i, \text{as } (\text{Suc } i)) \in B$
 $n = \text{card} \{ i . i < m \wedge \text{sel } i \}$
 $n = 0 \longleftrightarrow m = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relto-fun-refl*: $\exists as\ sel. relto-fun A B 0 as\ sel\ 0 (a,a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relto-into-relto-fun*: **assumes** $(a,b) \in relto A B$
shows $\exists as\ sel\ m. relto-fun A B (Suc\ 0) as\ sel\ m (a,b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relto-fun-trans*: **assumes** $ab: relto-fun A B n1 as1 sel1 m1 (a,b)$
and $bc: relto-fun A B n2 as2 sel2 m2 (b,c)$
shows $\exists as\ sel. relto-fun A B (n1 + n2) as\ sel (m1 + m2) (a,c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reltos-into-relto-fun*: **assumes** $(a,b) \in (relto A B)^{\sim n}$
shows $\exists as\ sel\ m. relto-fun A B n as\ sel\ m (a,b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relto-fun-into-reltos*: **assumes** *relto-fun A B n as sel m (a,b)*
shows $(a,b) \in (relto A B)^{\sim n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relto-relto-fun-conv*: $((a,b) \in (relto A B)^{\sim n}) = (\exists as\ sel\ m. relto-fun A B n as\ sel\ m (a,b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relto-fun-intermediate*: **assumes** $A \subseteq C$ **and** $B \subseteq C$
and $rf: relto-fun A B n as\ sel\ m (a,b)$
shows $i \leq m \implies (a, as\ i) \in C^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-SN-on-rel-succ*:
assumes $\neg SN-on (relto R E) \{s\}$
shows $\exists t u. (s, t) \in E^* \wedge (t, u) \in R \wedge \neg SN-on (relto R E) \{u\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-on-relto-relcomp*: $SN-on (relto R S) T = SN-on (S^* O R) T$ (**is** $?L T = ?R T$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-relto*:
assumes *trans: trans R and S O R ⊆ R O S*
shows *trans (relto R S)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relative-ending*:
assumes *chain: chain (R ∪ S) t*
and $t0: t 0 \in X$
and $SN: SN-on (relto R S) X$

shows $\exists j. \forall i \geq j. (t i, t (Suc i)) \in S - R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

from Geser's thesis [p.32, Corollary-1], generalized for *SN-on*.

lemma *SN-on-relto-Un*:

assumes closure: *relto* ($R \cup R'$) S “ $X \subseteq X$ ”

shows *SN-on* (*relto* ($R \cup R'$) S) $X \longleftrightarrow$ *SN-on* (*relto* R ($R' \cup S$)) $X \wedge$ *SN-on*

(*relto* R' S) X

(**is** $?c \longleftrightarrow ?a \wedge ?b$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-on-Un*: $(R \cup R') `` X \subseteq X \implies SN-on (R \cup R') X \longleftrightarrow SN-on (relto R$

$R') X \wedge SN-on R' X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

4 Strongly Normalizing Orders

theory *SN-Orders*

imports *Abstract-Rewriting*

begin

We define several classes of orders which are used to build ordered semirings. Note that we do not use Isabelle's preorders since the condition $x > y = x \geq y \wedge y \not\geq x$ is sometimes not applicable. E.g., for δ -orders over the rationals we have $0.2 \geq 0.1 \wedge 0.1 \not\geq 0.2$, but $0.2 >_\delta 0.1$ does not hold if δ is larger than 0.1.

class *non-strict-order* = *ord* +

assumes *ge-refl*: $x \geq (x :: 'a)$

and *ge-trans*[*trans*]: $\llbracket x \geq y; (y :: 'a) \geq z \rrbracket \implies x \geq z$

and *max-comm*: $\max x y = \max y x$

and *max-ge-x*[*intro*]: $\max x y \geq x$

and *max-id*: $x \geq y \implies \max x y = x$

and *max-mono*: $x \geq y \implies \max z x \geq \max z y$

begin

lemma *max-ge-y*[*intro*]: $\max x y \geq y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-mono2*: $x \geq y \implies \max x z \geq \max y z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

class *ordered-ab-semigroup* = *non-strict-order* + *ab-semigroup-add* + *monoid-add*
+

assumes *plus-left-mono*: $x \geq y \implies x + z \geq y + z$

lemma *plus-right-mono*: $y \geq (z :: 'a :: ordered-ab-semigroup) \implies x + y \geq x + z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
class ordered-semiring-0 = ordered-ab-semigroup + semiring-0 +
assumes times-left-mono:  $z \geq 0 \Rightarrow x \geq y \Rightarrow x * z \geq y * z$ 
and times-right-mono:  $x \geq 0 \Rightarrow y \geq z \Rightarrow x * y \geq x * z$ 
and times-left-anti-mono:  $x \geq y \Rightarrow 0 \geq z \Rightarrow y * z \geq x * z$ 

class ordered-semiring-1 = ordered-semiring-0 + semiring-1 +
assumes one-ge-zero:  $1 \geq 0$ 
```

We do not use a class to define order-pairs of a strict and a weak-order since often we have parametric strict orders, e.g. on rational numbers there are several orders $>$ where $x > y = x \geq y + \delta$ for some parameter δ

```
locale order-pair =
fixes gt :: 'a :: {non-strict-order,zero}  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\succ$  50)
and default :: 'a
assumes compat[trans]:  $[x \geq y; y \succ z] \Rightarrow x \succ z$ 
and compat2[trans]:  $[x \succ y; y \geq z] \Rightarrow x \succ z$ 
and gt-imp-ge:  $x \succ y \Rightarrow x \geq y$ 
and default-ge-zero: default  $\geq 0$ 
begin
lemma gt-trans[trans]:  $[x \succ y; y \succ z] \Rightarrow x \succ z$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

locale one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = order-pair gt
for gt :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\succ$  50) +
assumes plus-gt-left-mono:  $x \succ y \Rightarrow x + z \succ y + z$ 
and default-gt-zero: default  $\succ 0$ 
begin
lemma plus-gt-right-mono:  $x \succ y \Rightarrow a + x \succ a + y$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma plus-gt-both-mono:  $x \succ y \Rightarrow a \succ b \Rightarrow x + a \succ y + b$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

locale SN-one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 + order-pair
+
assumes SN: SN  $\{(x,y) . y \geq 0 \wedge x \succ y\}$ 

locale SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = SN-one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 +
fixes mono :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1  $\Rightarrow$  bool
assumes mono:  $[\text{mono } x; y \succ z; x \geq 0] \Rightarrow x * y \succ x * z$ 

locale both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = order-pair gt
for gt :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\succ$  50) +
fixes arc-pos :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

```

assumes plus-gt-both-mono:  $[x \succ y; z \succ u] \implies x + z \succ y + u$ 
and times-gt-left-mono:  $x \succ y \implies x * z \succ y * z$ 
and times-gt-right-mono:  $y \succ z \implies x * y \succ x * z$ 
and zero-leastI:  $x \succ 0$ 
and zero-leastII:  $0 \succ x \implies x = 0$ 
and zero-leastIII:  $(x :: 'a) \geq 0$ 
and arc-pos-one: arc-pos  $(1 :: 'a)$ 
and arc-pos-default: arc-pos default
and arc-pos-zero:  $\neg \text{arc-pos } 0$ 
and arc-pos-plus: arc-pos  $x \implies \text{arc-pos } (x + y)$ 
and arc-pos-mult:  $[\text{arc-pos } x; \text{arc-pos } y] \implies \text{arc-pos } (x * y)$ 
and not-all-ge:  $\bigwedge c d. \text{arc-pos } d \implies \exists e. e \geq 0 \wedge \text{arc-pos } e \wedge \neg (c \geq d * e)$ 
begin
lemma max0-id: max 0  $(x :: 'a) = x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
end

locale SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 +
assumes SN: SN  $\{(x,y) . \text{arc-pos } y \wedge x \succ y\}$ 

locale weak-SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1 =
fixes weak-gt :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
and default :: 'a
and mono :: 'a  $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
assumes weak-gt-mono:  $\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \implies \text{weak-gt } x y \implies \exists gt.$ 
SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1 default gt mono  $\wedge (\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \implies gt x y)$ 

locale weak-SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 =
fixes weak-gt :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
and default :: 'a
and arc-pos :: 'a  $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
assumes weak-gt-both-mono:  $\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \implies \text{weak-gt } x y \implies \exists gt.$ 
SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 default gt arc-pos  $\wedge (\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \implies gt x y)$ 

class poly-carrier = ordered-semiring-1 + comm-semiring-1

locale poly-order-carrier = SN-one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 default gt
for default :: 'a :: poly-carrier and gt (infix  $\langle\succ\rangle 50$ ) +
fixes power-mono :: bool
and discrete :: bool
assumes times-gt-mono:  $[y \succ z; x \geq 1] \implies y * x \succ z * x$ 
and power-mono: power-mono  $\implies x \succ y \implies y \geq 0 \implies n \geq 1 \implies x^{\wedge n} \succ y$ 
 $\wedge n$ 
and discrete: discrete  $\implies x \geq y \implies \exists k. x = ((+ 1)^{\wedge k}) y$ 

class large-ordered-semiring-1 = poly-carrier +
assumes ex-large-of-nat:  $\exists x. \text{of-nat } x \geq y$ 

```

```

context ordered-semiring-1
begin

lemma pow-mono: assumes ab:  $a \geq b$  and b:  $b \geq 0$ 
  shows  $a^n \geq b^n \wedge b^n \geq 0$ 
  (proof)

lemma pow-ge-zero[intro]: assumes a:  $a \geq (0 :: 'a)$ 
  shows  $a^n \geq 0$ 
  (proof)
end

lemma of-nat-ge-zero[intro,simp]: of-nat  $n \geq (0 :: 'a :: \text{ordered-semiring-1})$ 
  (proof)

lemma mult-ge-zero[intro]:  $(a :: 'a :: \text{ordered-semiring-1}) \geq 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies a * b \geq 0$ 
  (proof)

lemma pow-mono-one: assumes a:  $a \geq (1 :: 'a :: \text{ordered-semiring-1})$ 
  shows  $a^n \geq 1$ 
  (proof)

lemma pow-mono-exp: assumes a:  $a \geq (1 :: 'a :: \text{ordered-semiring-1})$ 
  shows  $n \geq m \implies a^n \geq a^m$ 
  (proof)

lemma mult-ge-one[intro]: assumes a:  $(a :: 'a :: \text{ordered-semiring-1}) \geq 1$ 
  and b:  $b \geq 1$ 
  shows  $a * b \geq 1$ 
  (proof)

lemma sum-list-ge-mono: fixes as ::  $('a :: \text{ordered-semiring-0}) \text{list}$ 
  assumes length as = length bs
  and  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } bs \implies as ! i \geq bs ! i$ 
  shows sum-list as  $\geq$  sum-list bs
  (proof)

lemma sum-list-ge-0-nth: fixes xs ::  $('a :: \text{ordered-semiring-0}) \text{list}$ 
  assumes ge:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies xs ! i \geq 0$ 
  shows sum-list xs  $\geq 0$ 
  (proof)

lemma sum-list-ge-0: fixes xs ::  $('a :: \text{ordered-semiring-0}) \text{list}$ 
  assumes ge:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \geq 0$ 
  shows sum-list xs  $\geq 0$ 
  (proof)

lemma foldr-max:  $a \in \text{set } as \implies \text{foldr max as} \geq (a :: 'a :: \text{ordered-ab-semigroup})$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-nat-mono[intro]*: **assumes** $n \geq m$ **shows** (*of-nat n :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1*)
 \geq *of-nat m*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

non infinitesimal is the same as in the CADE07 bounded increase paper

definition *non-inf* :: $'a rel \Rightarrow bool$
where *non-inf r* $\equiv \forall a f. \exists i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \notin r \vee (f i, a) \notin r$

lemma *non-infI[intro]*: **assumes** $\bigwedge a f. [\bigwedge i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in r] \implies \exists i. (f i, a) \notin r$
shows *non-inf r*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *non-infE[elim]*: **assumes** *non-inf r* **and** $\bigwedge i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \notin r \vee (f i, a) \notin r \implies P$
shows *P*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *non-inf-image*:
assumes *ni: non-inf r and image: $\bigwedge a b. (a, b) \in s \implies (f a, f b) \in r$*
shows *non-inf s*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SN-imp-non-inf*: *SN r* \implies *non-inf r*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *non-inf-imp-SN-bound*: *non-inf r* \implies *SN { (a, b). (b, c) \in r \wedge (a, b) \in r }*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

5 Carriers of Strongly Normalizing Orders

theory *SN-Order-Carrier*
imports
 SN-Orders
 HOL.Rat
begin

This theory shows that standard semirings can be used in combination with polynomials, e.g. the naturals, integers, and arbitrary Archimedean fields by using delta-orders.

It also contains the arctic integers and arctic delta-orders where 0 is -infty, 1 is zero, + is max and * is plus.

5.1 The standard semiring over the naturals

```

instantiation nat :: large-ordered-semiring-1
begin
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

definition nat-mono :: nat ⇒ bool where nat-mono x ≡ x ≠ 0

interpretation nat-SN: SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1 1 (>) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒
bool nat-mono
⟨proof⟩

interpretation nat-poly: poly-order-carrier 1 (>) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool True dis-
crete
⟨proof⟩

```

5.2 The standard semiring over the Archimedean fields using delta-orderings

```

definition delta-gt :: 'a :: floor-ceiling ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
delta-gt δ ≡ (λ x y. x - y ≥ δ)

lemma non-inf-delta-gt: assumes delta: δ > 0
shows non-inf {⟨a,b⟩ . delta-gt δ a b} (is non-inf ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta-gt-SN: assumes dpos: δ > 0 shows SN {⟨x,y⟩ . 0 ≤ y ∧ delta-gt δ
x y}
⟨proof⟩

definition delta-mono :: 'a :: floor-ceiling ⇒ bool where delta-mono x ≡ x ≥ 1

subclass (in floor-ceiling) large-ordered-semiring-1
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta-interpretation: assumes dpos: δ > 0 and default: δ ≤ def
shows SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1 def (delta-gt δ) delta-mono
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta-poly: assumes dpos: δ > 0 and default: δ ≤ def
shows poly-order-carrier def (delta-gt δ) (1 ≤ δ) False
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta-minimal-delta: assumes ⋀ x y. ⟨x,y⟩ ∈ set xys ⇒ x > y
shows ∃ δ > 0. ∀ x y. ⟨x,y⟩ ∈ set xys → delta-gt δ x y
⟨proof⟩

```

interpretation *weak-delta-SN*: *weak-SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1* ($>$) 1 *delta-mono*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3 The standard semiring over the integers

definition *int-mono* :: *int* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *int-mono* *x* \equiv *x* \geq 1

instantiation *int* :: *large-ordered-semiring-1*
begin
instance
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

lemma *non-inf-int-gt*: *non-inf* $\{(a,b :: int) . a > b\}$ (**is** *non-inf* ?r)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *int-SN*: *SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1* 1 ($>$) :: *int* \Rightarrow *int* \Rightarrow *bool* *int-mono*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *int-poly*: *poly-order-carrier* 1 ($>$) :: *int* \Rightarrow *int* \Rightarrow *bool* *True discrete*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4 The arctic semiring over the integers

plus is interpreted as max, times is interpreted as plus, 0 is -infinity, 1 is 0

datatype *arctic* = *MinInfty* | *Num-arc* *int*

instantiation *arctic* :: *ord*
begin
fun *less-eq-arctic* :: *arctic* \Rightarrow *arctic* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
less-eq-arctic *MinInfty* *x* = *True*
| *less-eq-arctic* (*Num-arc* -) *MinInfty* = *False*
| *less-eq-arctic* (*Num-arc* *y*) (*Num-arc* *x*) = (*y* \leq *x*)

fun *less-arctic* :: *arctic* \Rightarrow *arctic* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
less-arctic *MinInfty* *x* = *True*
| *less-arctic* (*Num-arc* -) *MinInfty* = *False*
| *less-arctic* (*Num-arc* *y*) (*Num-arc* *x*) = (*y* < *x*)

instance $\langle proof \rangle$
end

instantiation *arctic* :: *ordered-semiring-1*
begin
fun *plus-arctic* :: *arctic* \Rightarrow *arctic* \Rightarrow *arctic* **where**
plus-arctic *MinInfty* *y* = *y*
| *plus-arctic* *x* *MinInfty* = *x*

```

| plus-arctic (Num-arc x) (Num-arc y) = (Num-arc (max x y))

fun times-arctic :: arctic  $\Rightarrow$  arctic where
  times-arctic MinInfty y = MinInfty
| times-arctic x MinInfty = MinInfty
| times-arctic (Num-arc x) (Num-arc y) = (Num-arc (x + y))

definition zero-arctic :: arctic where
  zero-arctic = MinInfty

definition one-arctic :: arctic where
  one-arctic = Num-arc 0

instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

fun get-arctic-num :: arctic  $\Rightarrow$  int
where get-arctic-num (Num-arc n) = n

fun pos-arctic :: arctic  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where pos-arctic MinInfty = False
| pos-arctic (Num-arc n) = (0 <= n)

interpretation arctic-SN: SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 1 (>) pos-arctic
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.5 The arctic semiring over an arbitrary archimedean field
 completely analogous to the integers, where one has to use delta-orderings
datatype 'a arctic-delta = MinInfty-delta | Num-arc-delta 'a

```

instantiation arctic-delta :: (ord) ord
begin
fun less-eq-arctic-delta :: 'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  less-eq-arctic-delta MinInfty-delta x = True
| less-eq-arctic-delta (Num-arc-delta -) MinInfty-delta = False
| less-eq-arctic-delta (Num-arc-delta y) (Num-arc-delta x) = (y  $\leq$  x)

fun less-arctic-delta :: 'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  less-arctic-delta MinInfty-delta x = True
| less-arctic-delta (Num-arc-delta -) MinInfty-delta = False
| less-arctic-delta (Num-arc-delta y) (Num-arc-delta x) = (y < x)

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

instantiation arctic-delta :: (linordered-field) ordered-semiring-1
begin
fun plus-arctic-delta :: 'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  'a arctic-delta where
  plus-arctic-delta MinInfty-delta y = y
  | plus-arctic-delta x MinInfty-delta = x
  | plus-arctic-delta (Num-arc-delta x) (Num-arc-delta y) = (Num-arc-delta (max x
y))

fun times-arctic-delta :: 'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  'a arctic-delta where
  times-arctic-delta MinInfty-delta y = MinInfty-delta
  | times-arctic-delta x MinInfty-delta = MinInfty-delta
  | times-arctic-delta (Num-arc-delta x) (Num-arc-delta y) = (Num-arc-delta (x +
y))

definition zero-arctic-delta :: 'a arctic-delta where
  zero-arctic-delta = MinInfty-delta

definition one-arctic-delta :: 'a arctic-delta where
  one-arctic-delta = Num-arc-delta 0

instance
⟨proof⟩
end

x >d y is interpreted as y = -inf or (x,y != -inf and x >d y)

fun gt-arctic-delta :: 'a :: floor-ceiling  $\Rightarrow$  'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where gt-arctic-delta δ - MinInfty-delta = True
  | gt-arctic-delta δ MinInfty-delta (Num-arc-delta -) = False
  | gt-arctic-delta δ (Num-arc-delta x) (Num-arc-delta y) = delta-gt δ x y

fun get-arctic-delta-num :: 'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where get-arctic-delta-num (Num-arc-delta n) = n

fun pos-arctic-delta :: ('a :: floor-ceiling) arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where pos-arctic-delta MinInfty-delta = False
  | pos-arctic-delta (Num-arc-delta n) = (0  $\leq$  n)

lemma arctic-delta-interpretation: assumes dpos:  $\delta > 0$  shows SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1
1 (gt-arctic-delta δ) pos-arctic-delta
⟨proof⟩

fun weak-gt-arctic-delta :: ('a :: floor-ceiling) arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  'a arctic-delta  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where weak-gt-arctic-delta - MinInfty-delta = True
  | weak-gt-arctic-delta MinInfty-delta (Num-arc-delta -) = False
  | weak-gt-arctic-delta (Num-arc-delta x) (Num-arc-delta y) = (x > y)

interpretation weak-arctic-delta-SN: weak-SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 weak-gt-arctic-delta
1 pos-arctic-delta

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

References

- [1] F. Baader and T. Nipkow. *Term Rewriting and All That*. Cambridge University Press, Aug. 1999.
- [2] C. Sternagel. *Automatic Certification of Termination Proofs*. PhD thesis, University of Innsbruck, Institute of Computer Science, 2010. not finished yet.
- [3] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of termination proofs using CeTA. In S. Berghofer, T. Nipkow, C. Urban, and M. Wenzel, editors, *22nd International Conference on Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics, TPHOLs 2009*, pages 452–468. Springer, 2009.