

# Abstract Rewriting

Christian Sternagel and René Thiemann

April 20, 2020

## Abstract

We present an Isabelle formalization of abstract rewriting (see, e.g., [1]). First, we define standard relations like *joinability*, *meetability*, *conversion*, etc. Then, we formalize important properties of abstract rewrite systems, e.g., confluence and strong normalization. Our main concern is on strong normalization, since this formalization is the basis of [3] (which is mainly about strong normalization of term rewrite systems; see also `IsaFoR/CeTA`'s website<sup>1</sup>). Hence lemmas involving strong normalization, constitute by far the biggest part of this theory. One of those is Newman's lemma.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Infinite Sequences</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Operations on Infinite Sequences . . . . .	2
1.2	Predicates on Natural Numbers . . . . .	4
1.3	Assembling Infinite Words from Finite Words . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Abstract Rewrite Systems</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Definitions . . . . .	7
2.2	Properties of ARSs . . . . .	10
2.3	Newman's Lemma . . . . .	19
2.4	Commutation . . . . .	21
2.5	Strong Normalization . . . . .	22
2.6	Terminating part of a relation . . . . .	27
<b>3</b>	<b>Relative Rewriting</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Strongly Normalizing Orders</b>	<b>39</b>

---

<sup>1</sup><http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/ceta>

<b>5</b>	<b>Carriers of Strongly Normalizing Orders</b>	<b>43</b>
5.1	The standard semiring over the naturals . . . . .	44
5.2	The standard semiring over the Archimedean fields using delta-orderings . . . . .	44
5.3	The standard semiring over the integers . . . . .	45
5.4	The arctic semiring over the integers . . . . .	45
5.5	The arctic semiring over an arbitrary archimedean field . . . . .	46

A description of this formalization will be available in [2].

## 1 Infinite Sequences

```

theory Seq
imports
  Main
  HOL-Library.Infinite-Set
begin

```

Infinite sequences are represented by functions of type  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$ .

```

type-synonym 'a seq = nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a

```

### 1.1 Operations on Infinite Sequences

An infinite sequence is *linked* by a binary predicate  $P$  if every two consecutive elements satisfy it. Such a sequence is called a  $P$ -chain.

```

abbreviation (input) chainp :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a seq  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  chainp P S  $\equiv$   $\forall i. P (S i) (S (Suc i))$ 

```

Special version for relations.

```

abbreviation (input) chain :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a seq  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  chain r S  $\equiv$  chainp ( $\lambda x y. (x, y) \in r$ ) S

```

Extending a chain at the front.

```

lemma cons-chainp:
  assumes P x (S 0) and chainp P S
  shows chainp P (case-nat x S) (is chainp P ?S)
<proof>

```

Special version for relations.

```

lemma cons-chain:
  assumes (x, S 0)  $\in$  r and chain r S shows chain r (case-nat x S)
<proof>

```

A chain admits arbitrary transitive steps.

```

lemma chainp-imp-relpow:
  assumes chainp P S shows (P  $\wedge$  j) (S i) (S (i + j))
<proof>

```

**lemma** *chain-imp-relpow*:  
**assumes** *chain r S* **shows**  $(S\ i, S\ (i + j)) \in r^{\wedge j}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *chainp-imp-tranclp*:  
**assumes** *chainp P S* **and**  $i < j$  **shows**  $P^{\wedge++} (S\ i) (S\ j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *chain-imp-trancl*:  
**assumes** *chain r S* **and**  $i < j$  **shows**  $(S\ i, S\ j) \in r^{\wedge+}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A chain admits arbitrary reflexive and transitive steps.

**lemma** *chainp-imp-rtranclp*:  
**assumes** *chainp P S* **and**  $i \leq j$  **shows**  $P^{\wedge**} (S\ i) (S\ j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *chain-imp-rtrancl*:  
**assumes** *chain r S* **and**  $i \leq j$  **shows**  $(S\ i, S\ j) \in r^{\wedge*}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If for every  $i$  there is a later index  $f\ i$  such that the corresponding elements satisfy the predicate  $P$ , then there is a  $P$ -chain.

**lemma** *stepfun-imp-chainp'*:  
**assumes**  $\forall i \geq n :: nat. f\ i \geq i \wedge P (S\ i) (S\ (f\ i))$   
**shows** *chainp P*  $(\lambda i. S\ ((f\ \wedge\wedge\ i)\ n))$  **(is chainp P ?T)**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *stepfun-imp-chainp*:  
**assumes**  $\forall i \geq n :: nat. f\ i > i \wedge P (S\ i) (S\ (f\ i))$   
**shows** *chainp P*  $(\lambda i. S\ ((f\ \wedge\wedge\ i)\ n))$  **(is chainp P ?T)**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subchain*:  
**assumes**  $\forall i :: nat > n. \exists j > i. P (f\ i) (f\ j)$   
**shows**  $\exists \varphi. (\forall i\ j. i < j \longrightarrow \varphi\ i < \varphi\ j) \wedge (\forall i. P (f\ (\varphi\ i)) (f\ (\varphi\ (Suc\ i))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If for every  $i$  there is a later index  $j$  such that the corresponding elements satisfy the predicate  $P$ , then there is a  $P$ -chain.

**lemma** *steps-imp-chainp'*:  
**assumes**  $\forall i \geq n :: nat. \exists j \geq i. P (S\ i) (S\ j)$  **shows**  $\exists T. chainp\ P\ T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-imp-chainp*:  
**assumes**  $\forall i \geq n :: nat. \exists j > i. P (S\ i) (S\ j)$  **shows**  $\exists T. chainp\ P\ T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.2 Predicates on Natural Numbers

If some property holds for infinitely many natural numbers, obtain an index function that points to these numbers in increasing order.

```
locale infinitely-many =  
  fixes p :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
  assumes infinite: INFM j. p j  
begin  
  
lemma inf:  $\exists j \geq i. p j$   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$   
  
fun index :: nat seq where  
  index 0 = (LEAST n. p n)  
| index (Suc n) = (LEAST k. p k  $\wedge$  k > index n)  
  
lemma index-p: p (index n)  
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$   
  
lemma index-ordered: index n < index (Suc n)  
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$   
  
lemma index-not-p-between:  
  assumes i1: index n < i  
  and i2: i < index (Suc n)  
  shows  $\neg p i$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$   
  
lemma index-ordered-le:  
  assumes i  $\leq$  j shows index i  $\leq$  index j  
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$   
  
lemma index-surj:  
  assumes k  $\geq$  index l  
  shows  $\exists i j. k = \text{index } i + j \wedge \text{index } i + j < \text{index } (\text{Suc } i)$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$   
  
lemma index-ordered-less:  
  assumes i < j shows index i < index j  
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$   
  
lemma index-not-p-start: assumes i: i < index 0 shows  $\neg p i$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$   
  
end
```

## 1.3 Assembling Infinite Words from Finite Words

Concatenate infinitely many non-empty words to an infinite word.

```
fun inf-concat-simple :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat) where
```

$inf\text{-}concat\text{-}simple\ f\ 0 = (0, 0)$   
 $|\ inf\text{-}concat\text{-}simple\ f\ (Suc\ n) = (\$   
 $\quad let\ (i, j) = inf\text{-}concat\text{-}simple\ f\ n\ in$   
 $\quad if\ Suc\ j < f\ i\ then\ (i, Suc\ j)$   
 $\quad else\ (Suc\ i, 0))$

**lemma** *inf-concat-simple-add*:  
**assumes**  $ck: inf\text{-}concat\text{-}simple\ f\ k = (i, j)$   
**and**  $jl: j + l < f\ i$   
**shows**  $inf\text{-}concat\text{-}simple\ f\ (k + l) = (i, j + l)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-concat-simple-surj-zero*:  $\exists k. inf\text{-}concat\text{-}simple\ f\ k = (i, 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-concat-simple-surj*:  
**assumes**  $j < f\ i$   
**shows**  $\exists k. inf\text{-}concat\text{-}simple\ f\ k = (i, j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-concat-simple-mono*:  
**assumes**  $k \leq k'$  **shows**  $fst\ (inf\text{-}concat\text{-}simple\ f\ k) \leq fst\ (inf\text{-}concat\text{-}simple\ f\ k')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *inf-concat* ::  $(nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \times nat$  **where**  
 $inf\text{-}concat\ n\ 0 = (LEAST\ j. n\ j > 0, 0)$   
 $| inf\text{-}concat\ n\ (Suc\ k) = (let\ (i, j) = inf\text{-}concat\ n\ k\ in\ (if\ Suc\ j < n\ i\ then\ (i, Suc\ j)\ else\ (LEAST\ i'. i' > i \wedge n\ i' > 0, 0)))$

**lemma** *inf-concat-bounds*:  
**assumes**  $inf: INFM\ i. n\ i > 0$   
**and**  $res: inf\text{-}concat\ n\ k = (i, j)$   
**shows**  $j < n\ i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-concat-add*:  
**assumes**  $res: inf\text{-}concat\ n\ k = (i, j)$   
**and**  $j + m < n\ i$   
**shows**  $inf\text{-}concat\ n\ (k + m) = (i, j + m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-concat-step*:  
**assumes**  $res: inf\text{-}concat\ n\ k = (i, j)$   
**and**  $j: Suc\ (j + m) = n\ i$   
**shows**  $inf\text{-}concat\ n\ (k + Suc\ m) = (LEAST\ i'. i' > i \wedge 0 < n\ i', 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-concat-surj-zero*:  
**assumes**  $0 < n$   $i$   
**shows**  $\exists k. \text{inf-concat } n \ k = (i, 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-concat-surj*:  
**assumes**  $j < n$   $i$   
**shows**  $\exists k. \text{inf-concat } n \ k = (i, j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-concat-mono*:  
**assumes**  $\text{inf}: \text{INFM } i. n \ i > 0$   
**and**  $\text{resk}: \text{inf-concat } n \ k = (i, j)$   
**and**  $\text{reskp}: \text{inf-concat } n \ k' = (i', j')$   
**and**  $\text{lt}: i < i'$   
**shows**  $k < k'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-concat-Suc*:  
**assumes**  $\text{inf}: \text{INFM } i. n \ i > 0$   
**and**  $f: \bigwedge i. f \ i \ (n \ i) = f \ (\text{Suc } i) \ 0$   
**and**  $\text{resk}: \text{inf-concat } n \ k = (i, j)$   
**and**  $\text{ressk}: \text{inf-concat } n \ (\text{Suc } k) = (i', j')$   
**shows**  $f \ i' \ j' = f \ i \ (\text{Suc } j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 2 Abstract Rewrite Systems

**theory** *Abstract-Rewriting*  
**imports**  
*HOL-Library.Infinite-Set*  
*Regular-Sets.Regexp-Method*  
*Seq*  
**begin**

**lemma** *trancl-mono-set*:  
 $r \subseteq s \implies r^+ \subseteq s^+$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *relpow-mono*:  
**fixes**  $r :: 'a \ \text{rel}$   
**assumes**  $r \subseteq r'$  **shows**  $r \ \hat{\ } \ n \subseteq r' \ \hat{\ } \ n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *refl-inv-image*:  
 $\text{refl } R \implies \text{refl } (\text{inv-image } R \ f)$

*<proof>*

## 2.1 Definitions

Two elements are *joinable* (and then have in the joinability relation) w.r.t.  $A$ , iff they have a common reduct.

**definition** *join* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $((\downarrow)$  [1000] 999) **where**  
 $A^\downarrow = A^* \circ (A^{-1})^*$

Two elements are *meetable* (and then have in the meetability relation) w.r.t.  $A$ , iff they have a common ancestor.

**definition** *meet* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $((\uparrow)$  [1000] 999) **where**  
 $A^\uparrow = (A^{-1})^* \circ A^*$

The *symmetric closure* of a relation allows steps in both directions.

**abbreviation** *symcl* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $((\leftrightarrow)$  [1000] 999) **where**  
 $A^{\leftrightarrow} \equiv A \cup A^{-1}$

A *conversion* is a (possibly empty) sequence of steps in the symmetric closure.

**definition** *conversion* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $((\leftrightarrow^*)$  [1000] 999) **where**  
 $A^{\leftrightarrow^*} = (A^{\leftrightarrow})^*$

The set of *normal forms* of an ARS constitutes all the elements that do not have any successors.

**definition** *NF* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  
 $NF A = \{a. A \text{ “ } \{a\} = \{\}\}$

**definition** *normalizability* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $((\downarrow)$  [1000] 999) **where**  
 $A^\downarrow = \{(a, b). (a, b) \in A^* \wedge b \in NF A\}$

**notation** (*ASCII*)  
*symcl*  $((\hat{\ } \langle - \rangle)$  [1000] 999) **and**  
*conversion*  $((\hat{\ } \langle - \rangle^*)$  [1000] 999) **and**  
*normalizability*  $((\hat{\ } \downarrow)$  [1000] 999)

**lemma** *symcl-converse*:  
 $(A^{\leftrightarrow})^{-1} = A^{\leftrightarrow}$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *symcl-Un*:  $(A \cup B)^{\leftrightarrow} = A^{\leftrightarrow} \cup B^{\leftrightarrow}$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *no-step*:  
**assumes**  $A \text{ “ } \{a\} = \{\}$  **shows**  $a \in NF A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *joinI*:  
 $(a, c) \in A^* \implies (b, c) \in A^* \implies (a, b) \in A^\downarrow$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *joinI-left*:

$(a, b) \in A^* \implies (a, b) \in A^\downarrow$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *joinI-right*:  $(b, a) \in A^* \implies (a, b) \in A^\downarrow$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *joinE*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in A^\downarrow$   
**obtains**  $c$  **where**  $(a, c) \in A^*$  **and**  $(b, c) \in A^*$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *joinD*:

$(a, b) \in A^\downarrow \implies \exists c. (a, c) \in A^* \wedge (b, c) \in A^*$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *meetI*:

$(a, b) \in A^* \implies (a, c) \in A^* \implies (b, c) \in A^\uparrow$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *meetE*:

**assumes**  $(b, c) \in A^\uparrow$   
**obtains**  $a$  **where**  $(a, b) \in A^*$  **and**  $(a, c) \in A^*$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *meetD*:  $(b, c) \in A^\uparrow \implies \exists a. (a, b) \in A^* \wedge (a, c) \in A^*$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *conversionI*:  $(a, b) \in (A^{\leftrightarrow})^* \implies (a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *conversion-refl* [*simp*]:  $(a, a) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *conversionI'*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in A^*$  **shows**  $(a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rtrancl-comp-trancl-conv*:

$r^* \circ r = r^+$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *trancl-o-refl-is-trancl*:

$r^+ \circ r^= = r^+$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *conversionE*:

$(a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*} \implies ((a, b) \in (A^{\leftrightarrow})^* \implies P) \implies P$   
*<proof>*

Later declarations are tried first for ‘proof’ and ‘rule,’ then have the



“main” introduction / elimination rules for constants should be declared last.

**declare** *joinI-left* [*intro*]  
**declare** *joinI-right* [*intro*]  
**declare** *joinI* [*intro*]  
**declare** *joinD* [*dest*]  
**declare** *joinE* [*elim*]

**declare** *meetI* [*intro*]  
**declare** *meetD* [*dest*]  
**declare** *meetE* [*elim*]

**declare** *conversionI'* [*intro*]  
**declare** *conversionI* [*intro*]  
**declare** *conversionE* [*elim*]

**lemma** *conversion-trans*:  
 $trans (A^{\leftrightarrow*})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conversion-sym*:  
 $sym (A^{\leftrightarrow*})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conversion-inv*:  
 $(x, y) \in R^{\leftrightarrow*} \longleftrightarrow (y, x) \in R^{\leftrightarrow*}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conversion-converse* [*simp*]:  
 $(A^{\leftrightarrow*})^{-1} = A^{\leftrightarrow*}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conversion-rtrancl* [*simp*]:  
 $(A^{\leftrightarrow*})^* = A^{\leftrightarrow*}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-join-join*:  
**assumes**  $(a, b) \in A^*$  **and**  $(b, c) \in A^\downarrow$  **shows**  $(a, c) \in A^\downarrow$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *join-rtrancl-join*:  
**assumes**  $(a, b) \in A^\downarrow$  **and**  $(c, b) \in A^*$  **shows**  $(a, c) \in A^\downarrow$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *NF-I*:  $(\bigwedge b. (a, b) \notin A) \implies a \in NF\ A$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *NF-E*:  $a \in NF\ A \implies ((a, b) \notin A \implies P) \implies P$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** *NF-I* [*intro*]  
**declare** *NF-E* [*elim*]

**lemma** *NF-no-step*:  $a \in NF\ A \implies \forall b. (a, b) \notin A$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *NF-anti-mono*:  
**assumes**  $A \subseteq B$  **shows**  $NF\ B \subseteq NF\ A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *NF-iff-no-step*:  $a \in NF\ A = (\forall b. (a, b) \notin A)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *NF-no-trancl-step*:  
**assumes**  $a \in NF\ A$  **shows**  $\forall b. (a, b) \notin A^+$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *NF-Id-on-fst-image* [*simp*]:  $NF\ (Id\text{-on}\ (fst\ 'A)) = NF\ A$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *fst-image-NF-Id-on* [*simp*]:  $fst\ 'R = Q \implies NF\ (Id\text{-on}\ Q) = NF\ R$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *NF-empty* [*simp*]:  $NF\ \{\} = UNIV$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *normalizability-I*:  $(a, b) \in A^* \implies b \in NF\ A \implies (a, b) \in A^!$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *normalizability-I'*:  $(a, b) \in A^* \implies (b, c) \in A^! \implies (a, c) \in A^!$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *normalizability-E*:  $(a, b) \in A^! \implies ((a, b) \in A^* \implies b \in NF\ A \implies P) \implies P$   
*<proof>*

**declare** *normalizability-I'* [*intro*]  
**declare** *normalizability-I* [*intro*]  
**declare** *normalizability-E* [*elim*]

## 2.2 Properties of ARSs

The following properties on (elements of) ARSs are defined: completeness, Church-Rosser property, semi-completeness, strong normalization, unique normal forms, Weak Church-Rosser property, and weak normalization.

**definition** *CR-on* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $CR\text{-on}\ r\ A \iff (\forall a \in A. \forall b\ c. (a, b) \in r^* \wedge (a, c) \in r^* \implies (b, c) \in join\ r)$

**abbreviation** *CR* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $CR\ r \equiv CR\text{-on}\ r\ UNIV$

**definition** *SN-on* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $SN\text{-on}\ r\ A \iff \neg (\exists f. f\ 0 \in A \wedge chain\ r\ f)$

**abbreviation**  $SN :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $SN\ r \equiv SN\text{-on}\ r\ UNIV$

Alternative definition of  $SN$ .

**lemma**  $SN\text{-def}$ :  $SN\ r = (\forall x. SN\text{-on}\ r\ \{x\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $UNF\text{-on} :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $UNF\text{-on}\ r\ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \forall b\ c. (a, b) \in r^! \wedge (a, c) \in r^! \longrightarrow b = c)$

**abbreviation**  $UNF :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}\ UNF\ r \equiv UNF\text{-on}\ r\ UNIV$

**definition**  $WCR\text{-on} :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $WCR\text{-on}\ r\ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \forall b\ c. (a, b) \in r \wedge (a, c) \in r \longrightarrow (b, c) \in join\ r)$

**abbreviation**  $WCR :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}\ WCR\ r \equiv WCR\text{-on}\ r\ UNIV$

**definition**  $WN\text{-on} :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $WN\text{-on}\ r\ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \exists b. (a, b) \in r^!)$

**abbreviation**  $WN :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $WN\ r \equiv WN\text{-on}\ r\ UNIV$

**lemmas**  $CR\text{-defs} = CR\text{-on-def}$   
**lemmas**  $SN\text{-defs} = SN\text{-on-def}$   
**lemmas**  $UNF\text{-defs} = UNF\text{-on-def}$   
**lemmas**  $WCR\text{-defs} = WCR\text{-on-def}$   
**lemmas**  $WN\text{-defs} = WN\text{-on-def}$

**definition**  $complete\text{-on} :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $complete\text{-on}\ r\ A \longleftrightarrow SN\text{-on}\ r\ A \wedge CR\text{-on}\ r\ A$

**abbreviation**  $complete :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $complete\ r \equiv complete\text{-on}\ r\ UNIV$

**definition**  $semi\text{-complete}\text{-on} :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $semi\text{-complete}\text{-on}\ r\ A \longleftrightarrow WN\text{-on}\ r\ A \wedge CR\text{-on}\ r\ A$

**abbreviation**  $semi\text{-complete} :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $semi\text{-complete}\ r \equiv semi\text{-complete}\text{-on}\ r\ UNIV$

**lemmas**  $complete\text{-defs} = complete\text{-on-def}$   
**lemmas**  $semi\text{-complete}\text{-defs} = semi\text{-complete}\text{-on-def}$

Unique normal forms with respect to conversion.

**definition**  $UNC :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $UNC\ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a\ b. a \in NF\ A \wedge b \in NF\ A \wedge (a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*} \longrightarrow a = b)$

**lemma**  $complete\text{-on}I$ :

$SN\text{-on } r A \implies CR\text{-on } r A \implies \text{complete-on } r A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *complete-onE*:

$\text{complete-on } r A \implies (SN\text{-on } r A \implies CR\text{-on } r A \implies P) \implies P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-onI*:

$(\bigwedge a b c. a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r^* \implies (a, c) \in r^* \implies (b, c) \in \text{join } r) \implies CR\text{-on } r A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-on-singletonI*:

$(\bigwedge b c. (a, b) \in r^* \implies (a, c) \in r^* \implies (b, c) \in \text{join } r) \implies CR\text{-on } r \{a\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-onE*:

$CR\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies ((b, c) \in \text{join } r \implies P) \implies ((a, b) \notin r^* \implies P) \implies ((a, c) \notin r^* \implies P) \implies P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-onD*:

$CR\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r^* \implies (a, c) \in r^* \implies (b, c) \in \text{join } r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *semi-complete-onI*:  $WN\text{-on } r A \implies CR\text{-on } r A \implies \text{semi-complete-on } r A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *semi-complete-onE*:

$\text{semi-complete-on } r A \implies (WN\text{-on } r A \implies CR\text{-on } r A \implies P) \implies P$   
*<proof>*

**declare** *semi-complete-onI* [*intro*]

**declare** *semi-complete-onE* [*elim*]

**declare** *complete-onI* [*intro*]

**declare** *complete-onE* [*elim*]

**declare** *CR-onI* [*intro*]

**declare** *CR-on-singletonI* [*intro*]

**declare** *CR-onD* [*dest*]

**declare** *CR-onE* [*elim*]

**lemma** *UNC-I*:

$(\bigwedge a b. a \in NF A \implies b \in NF A \implies (a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow*} \implies a = b) \implies UNC A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *UNC-E*:

$\llbracket \text{UNC } A; a = b \implies P; a \notin \text{NF } A \implies P; b \notin \text{NF } A \implies P; (a, b) \notin A^{\leftrightarrow*} \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *UNF-onI*:  $(\bigwedge a b c. a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r^! \implies (a, c) \in r^! \implies b = c) \implies \text{UNF-on } r A$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *UNF-onE*:  
 $\text{UNF-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (b = c \implies P) \implies ((a, b) \notin r^! \implies P) \implies ((a, c) \notin r^! \implies P) \implies P$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *UNF-onD*:  
 $\text{UNF-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r^! \implies (a, c) \in r^! \implies b = c$   
 <proof>

**declare** *UNF-onI* [*intro*]  
**declare** *UNF-onD* [*dest*]  
**declare** *UNF-onE* [*elim*]

**lemma** *SN-onI*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge f. \llbracket f \ 0 \in A; \text{chain } r f \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$   
**shows** *SN-on*  $r A$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *SN-I*:  $(\bigwedge a. \text{SN-on } A \ \{a\}) \implies \text{SN } A$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *SN-on-transl-imp-SN-on*:  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $(R^+) T$  **shows** *SN-on*  $R T$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *SN-onE*:  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $r A$   
**and**  $\neg (\exists f. f \ 0 \in A \wedge \text{chain } r f) \implies P$   
**shows**  $P$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *not-SN-onE*:  
**assumes**  $\neg \text{SN-on } r A$   
**and**  $\bigwedge f. \llbracket f \ 0 \in A; \text{chain } r f \rrbracket \implies P$   
**shows**  $P$   
 <proof>

**declare** *SN-onI* [*intro*]  
**declare** *SN-onE* [*elim*]  
**declare** *not-SN-onE* [*Pure.elim*, *elim*]

**lemma** *refl-not-SN*:  $(x, x) \in R \implies \neg SN R$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *SN-on-irrefl*:  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $r A$   
**shows**  $\forall a \in A. (a, a) \notin r$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *WCR-onI*:  $(\bigwedge a b c. a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r \implies (a, c) \in r \implies (b, c) \in \text{join } r) \implies WCR\text{-on } r A$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *WCR-onE*:  
 $WCR\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies ((b, c) \in \text{join } r \implies P) \implies ((a, b) \notin r \implies P) \implies ((a, c) \notin r \implies P) \implies P$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *SN-nat-bounded*:  $SN \{(x, y :: nat). x < y \wedge y \leq b\}$  (is *SN ?R*)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *WCR-onD*:  
 $WCR\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (a, b) \in r \implies (a, c) \in r \implies (b, c) \in \text{join } r$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *WN-onI*:  $(\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies \exists b. (a, b) \in r^!)$   $\implies WN\text{-on } r A$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *WN-onE*:  $WN\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies (\bigwedge b. (a, b) \in r^! \implies P) \implies P$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *WN-onD*:  $WN\text{-on } r A \implies a \in A \implies \exists b. (a, b) \in r^!$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**declare** *WCR-onI* [*intro*]  
**declare** *WCR-onD* [*dest*]  
**declare** *WCR-onE* [*elim*]

**declare** *WN-onI* [*intro*]  
**declare** *WN-onD* [*dest*]  
**declare** *WN-onE* [*elim*]

Restricting a relation  $r$  to those elements that are strongly normalizing with respect to a relation  $s$ .

**definition** *restrict-SN* ::  $'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$  **where**  
 $\text{restrict-SN } r s = \{(a, b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in r \wedge SN\text{-on } s \{a\}\}$

**lemma** *SN-restrict-SN-idemp* [*simp*]:  $SN (\text{restrict-SN } A A)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *SN-on-Image*:  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $r$   $A$   
**shows** *SN-on*  $r$  ( $r$  “  $A$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-subset2*:  
**assumes**  $A \subseteq B$  **and** *SN-on*  $r$   $B$   
**shows** *SN-on*  $r$   $A$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *step-preserves-SN-on*:  
**assumes** 1:  $(a, b) \in r$   
**and** 2: *SN-on*  $r$   $\{a\}$   
**shows** *SN-on*  $r$   $\{b\}$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *steps-preserve-SN-on*:  $(a, b) \in A^* \implies \text{SN-on } A \{a\} \implies \text{SN-on } A \{b\}$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *relpow-seq*:  
**assumes**  $(x, y) \in r^{\wedge n}$   
**shows**  $\exists f. f\ 0 = x \wedge f\ n = y \wedge (\forall i < n. (f\ i, f\ (Suc\ i)) \in r)$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-imp-seq*:  
**assumes**  $(x, y) \in r^*$   
**shows**  $\exists f\ n. f\ 0 = x \wedge f\ n = y \wedge (\forall i < n. (f\ i, f\ (Suc\ i)) \in r)$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-Image-rtrancl*:  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $r$   $A$   
**shows** *SN-on*  $r$  ( $r^*$  “  $A$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**declare** *subrelI* [*Pure.intro*]

**lemma** *restrict-SN-trancl-simp* [*simp*]:  $(\text{restrict-SN } A\ A)^+ = \text{restrict-SN } (A^+) A$   
**(is**  $?lhs = ?rhs$ **)**  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *SN-imp-WN*:  
**assumes** *SN*  $A$  **shows** *WN*  $A$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *UNC-imp-UNF*:  
**assumes** *UNC*  $r$  **shows** *UNF*  $r$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *join-NF-imp-eq*:

**assumes**  $(x, y) \in r^\downarrow$  **and**  $x \in NF\ r$  **and**  $y \in NF\ r$   
**shows**  $x = y$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rtrancl-Restr*:

**assumes**  $(x, y) \in (Restr\ r\ A)^*$   
**shows**  $(x, y) \in r^*$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *join-mono*:

**assumes**  $r \subseteq s$   
**shows**  $r^\downarrow \subseteq s^\downarrow$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-iff-meet-subset-join*:  $CR\ r = (r^\uparrow \subseteq r^\downarrow)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-divergence-imp-join*:

**assumes**  $CR\ r$  **and**  $(x, y) \in r^*$  **and**  $(x, z) \in r^*$   
**shows**  $(y, z) \in r^\downarrow$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *join-imp-conversion*:  $r^\downarrow \subseteq r^{\leftrightarrow*}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *meet-imp-conversion*:  $r^\uparrow \subseteq r^{\leftrightarrow*}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-imp-UNF*:

**assumes**  $CR\ r$  **shows**  $UNF\ r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-iff-conversion-imp-join*:  $CR\ r = (r^{\leftrightarrow*} \subseteq r^\downarrow)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-imp-conversionIff-join*:

**assumes**  $CR\ r$  **shows**  $r^{\leftrightarrow*} = r^\downarrow$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sym-join*:  $sym\ (join\ r)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *join-sym*:  $(s, t) \in A^\downarrow \implies (t, s) \in A^\downarrow$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-join-left-I*:

**assumes**  $CR\ r$  **and**  $(x, y) \in r^*$  **and**  $(x, z) \in r^\downarrow$  **shows**  $(y, z) \in r^\downarrow$   
*<proof>*



**lemma** *CR-join-right-I*:

**assumes** *CR*  $r$  **and**  $(x, y) \in r^\downarrow$  **and**  $(y, z) \in r^*$  **shows**  $(x, z) \in r^\downarrow$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *NF-not-suc*:

**assumes**  $(x, y) \in r^*$  **and**  $x \in NF\ r$  **shows**  $x = y$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *semi-complete-imp-conversionIff-same-NF*:

**assumes** *semi-complete*  $r$   
**shows**  $((x, y) \in r^{\leftrightarrow*}) = (\forall u\ v. (x, u) \in r^\downarrow \wedge (y, v) \in r^\downarrow \longrightarrow u = v)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-imp-UNC*:

**assumes** *CR*  $r$  **shows** *UNC*  $r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *WN-UNF-imp-CR*:

**assumes** *WN*  $r$  **and** *UNF*  $r$  **shows** *CR*  $r$   
*<proof>*

**definition** *diamond* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\diamond$ ) **where**

$\diamond\ r \iff (r^{-1}\ O\ r) \subseteq (r\ O\ r^{-1})$

**lemma** *diamond-I* [*intro*]:  $(r^{-1}\ O\ r) \subseteq (r\ O\ r^{-1}) \implies \diamond\ r$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *diamond-E* [*elim*]:  $\diamond\ r \implies ((r^{-1}\ O\ r) \subseteq (r\ O\ r^{-1}) \implies P) \implies P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *diamond-imp-semi-confluence*:

**assumes**  $\diamond\ r$  **shows**  $(r^{-1}\ O\ r^*) \subseteq r^\downarrow$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *semi-confluence-imp-CR*:

**assumes**  $(r^{-1}\ O\ r^*) \subseteq r^\downarrow$  **shows** *CR*  $r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *diamond-imp-CR*:

**assumes**  $\diamond\ r$  **shows** *CR*  $r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *diamond-imp-CR'*:

**assumes**  $\diamond\ s$  **and**  $r \subseteq s$  **and**  $s \subseteq r^*$  **shows** *CR*  $r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-imp-minimal*:

**assumes** *SN*  $A$   
**shows**  $\forall Q\ x. x \in Q \longrightarrow (\exists z \in Q. \forall y. (z, y) \in A \longrightarrow y \notin Q)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-imp-on-minimal*:

**assumes** *SN-on*  $r \{x\}$

**shows**  $\forall Q. x \in Q \longrightarrow (\exists z \in Q. \forall y. (z, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin Q)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *minimal-imp-wf*:

**assumes**  $\forall Q x. x \in Q \longrightarrow (\exists z \in Q. \forall y. (z, y) \in r \longrightarrow y \notin Q)$

**shows**  $wf(r^{-1})$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *SN-imp-wf = SN-imp-minimal [THEN minimal-imp-wf]*

**lemma** *wf-imp-SN*:

**assumes**  $wf(A^{-1})$  **shows** *SN*  $A$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-nat-gt*: *SN*  $\{(a, b :: nat) . a > b\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-iff-wf*: *SN*  $A = wf(A^{-1})$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-imp-acyclic*: *SN*  $R \implies acyclic R$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-induct*:

**assumes** *sn*: *SN*  $r$  **and** *step*:  $\bigwedge a. (\bigwedge b. (a, b) \in r \implies P b) \implies P a$

**shows**  $P a$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *SN-induct-rule = SN-induct [consumes 1, case-names IH, induct pred: SN]*

**lemma** *SN-on-induct [consumes 2, case-names IH, induct pred: SN-on]*:

**assumes** *SN*: *SN-on*  $R A$

**and**  $s \in A$

**and** *imp*:  $\bigwedge t. (\bigwedge u. (t, u) \in R \implies P u) \implies P t$

**shows**  $P s$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *accp-imp-SN-on*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies Wellfounded.accp g x$

**shows** *SN-on*  $\{(y, z). g z y\} A$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-imp-accp*:  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $\{(y, z). g z y\} A$   
**shows**  $\forall x \in A. \text{Wellfounded.}accp\ g\ x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-conv-accp*:  
 $SN-on\ \{(y, z). g\ z\ y\}\ \{x\} = \text{Wellfounded.}accp\ g\ x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-conv-acc*:  $SN-on\ \{(y, z). (z, y) \in r\}\ \{x\} \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{Wellfounded.}acc\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *acc-imp-SN-on*:  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{Wellfounded.}acc\ r$  **shows**  $SN-on\ \{(y, z). (z, y) \in r\}\ \{x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-imp-acc*:  
**assumes**  $SN-on\ \{(y, z). (z, y) \in r\}\ \{x\}$  **shows**  $x \in \text{Wellfounded.}acc\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 2.3 Newman's Lemma

**lemma** *rtrancl-len-E [elim]*:  
**assumes**  $(x, y) \in r^*$  **obtains**  $n$  **where**  $(x, y) \in r^{\wedge n}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relpow-Suc-E2' [elim]*:  
**assumes**  $(x, z) \in A^{\wedge Suc\ n}$  **obtains**  $y$  **where**  $(x, y) \in A$  **and**  $(y, z) \in A^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *SN-on-induct' [consumes 1, case-names IH] = SN-on-induct [OF - singletonI]*

**lemma** *Newman-local*:  
**assumes**  $SN-on\ r\ X$  **and** *WCR*:  $WCR-on\ r\ \{x. SN-on\ r\ \{x\}\}$   
**shows**  $CR-on\ r\ X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Newman*:  $SN\ r \implies WCR\ r \implies CR\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Image-SN-on*:  
**assumes**  $SN-on\ r\ (r\ ``\ A)$   
**shows**  $SN-on\ r\ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-Image-conv*:  $SN-on\ r\ (r\ ``\ A) = SN-on\ r\ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If all successors are terminating, then the current element is also terminating.

**lemma** *step-reflects-SN-on*:

**assumes**  $(\bigwedge b. (a, b) \in r \implies \text{SN-on } r \{b\})$

**shows**  $\text{SN-on } r \{a\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-all-reducts-SN-on-conv*:

$\text{SN-on } r \{a\} = (\forall b. (a, b) \in r \longrightarrow \text{SN-on } r \{b\})$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-imp-SN-trancl*:  $\text{SN } R \implies \text{SN } (R^+)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-trancl-imp-SN*:

**assumes**  $\text{SN } (R^+)$  **shows**  $\text{SN } R$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-trancl-SN-conv*:  $\text{SN } (R^+) = \text{SN } R$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-inv-image*:  $\text{SN } R \implies \text{SN } (\text{inv-image } R f)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-subset*:  $\text{SN } R \implies R' \subseteq R \implies \text{SN } R'$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-pow-imp-SN*:

**assumes**  $\text{SN } (A \hat{\wedge} \text{Suc } n)$  **shows**  $\text{SN } A$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *pow-Suc-subset-trancl*:  $R \hat{\wedge} (\text{Suc } n) \subseteq R^+$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-imp-SN-pow*:

**assumes**  $\text{SN } R$  **shows**  $\text{SN } (R \hat{\wedge} \text{Suc } n)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-pow*:  $\text{SN } R \longleftrightarrow \text{SN } (R \hat{\wedge} \text{Suc } n)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-trancl*:

**assumes**  $\text{SN-on } r A$  **shows**  $\text{SN-on } (r^+) A$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-trancl-SN-on-conv*:  $\text{SN-on } (R^+) T = \text{SN-on } R T$

*<proof>*

Restrict an ARS to elements of a given set.

**definition** *restrict* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel **where**  
*restrict*  $r$   $S = \{(x, y). x \in S \wedge y \in S \wedge (x, y) \in r\}$

**lemma** *SN-on-restrict*:  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $r$   $A$   
**shows** *SN-on* (*restrict*  $r$   $S$ )  $A$  (**is** *SN-on*  $?r$   $A$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-rtrancl*: (*restrict*  $r$   $S$ ) $^* \subseteq r^*$  (**is**  $?r^* \subseteq r^*$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-Image-step*:  
**assumes**  $a \in r^*$  “  $A$   
**and**  $(a, b) \in r^*$   
**shows**  $b \in r^*$  “  $A$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *WCR-SN-on-imp-CR-on*:  
**assumes** *WCR*  $r$  **and** *SN-on*  $r$   $A$  **shows** *CR-on*  $r$   $A$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-Image-normalizable*:  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $r$   $A$   
**shows**  $\forall a \in A. \exists b. b \in r^!$  “  $A$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-imp-normalizability*:  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $r$   $\{a\}$  **shows**  $\exists b. (a, b) \in r^!$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

## 2.4 Commutation

**definition** *commute* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*commute*  $r$   $s \longleftrightarrow ((r^{-1})^* \circ s^*) \subseteq (s^* \circ (r^{-1})^*)$

**lemma** *CR-iff-self-commute*: *CR*  $r =$  *commute*  $r$   $r$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-imp-rtrancl-UN*:  
**assumes**  $(x, y) \in r^*$  **and**  $r \in I$   
**shows**  $(x, y) \in (\bigcup r \in I. r)^*$  (**is**  $(x, y) \in ?r^*$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**definition** *quasi-commute* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*quasi-commute*  $r$   $s \longleftrightarrow (s \circ r) \subseteq r \circ (r \cup s)^*$

**lemma** *rtrancl-union-subset-rtrancl-union-trancl*:  $(r \cup s^+)^* = (r \cup s)^*$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *qc-imp-qc-trancl*:  
**assumes** *quasi-commute r s* **shows** *quasi-commute r (s<sup>+</sup>)*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *steps-reflect-SN-on*:  
**assumes**  $\neg$  *SN-on r {b}* **and**  $(a, b) \in r^*$   
**shows**  $\neg$  *SN-on r {a}*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *chain-imp-not-SN-on*:  
**assumes** *chain r f*  
**shows**  $\neg$  *SN-on r {f i}*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *quasi-commute-imp-SN*:  
**assumes** *SN r* **and** *SN s* **and** *quasi-commute r s*  
**shows** *SN (r  $\cup$  s)*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

## 2.5 Strong Normalization

**lemma** *non-strict-into-strict*:  
**assumes** *compat: NS O S  $\subseteq$  S*  
**and** *steps: (s, t)  $\in$  (NS<sup>\*</sup>) O S*  
**shows**  $(s, t) \in S$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *comp-trancl*:  
**assumes** *R O S  $\subseteq$  S* **shows** *R O S<sup>+</sup>  $\subseteq$  S<sup>+</sup>*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *comp-rtrancl-trancl*:  
**assumes** *comp: R O S  $\subseteq$  S*  
**and** *seq: (s, t)  $\in$  (R  $\cup$  S)<sup>\*</sup> O S*  
**shows**  $(s, t) \in S^+$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *trancl-union-right*:  $r^+ \subseteq (s \cup r)^+$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *restrict-SN-subset*: *restrict-SN R S  $\subseteq$  R*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *chain-Un-SN-on-imp-first-step*:  
**assumes** *chain (R  $\cup$  S) t* **and** *SN-on S {t 0}*  
**shows**  $\exists i. (t\ i, t\ (Suc\ i)) \in R \wedge (\forall j < i. (t\ j, t\ (Suc\ j)) \in S \wedge (t\ j, t\ (Suc\ j)) \notin R)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *first-step*:

**assumes**  $C$ :  $C = A \cup B$  **and** *steps*:  $(x, y) \in C^*$  **and** *Bstep*:  $(y, z) \in B$   
**shows**  $\exists y. (x, y) \in A^* O B$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *first-step-O*:

**assumes**  $C$ :  $C = A \cup B$  **and** *steps*:  $(x, y) \in C^* O B$   
**shows**  $\exists y. (x, y) \in A^* O B$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *firstStep*:

**assumes** *LSR*:  $L = S \cup R$  **and** *xyL*:  $(x, y) \in L^*$   
**shows**  $(x, y) \in R^* \vee (x, y) \in R^* O S O L^*$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *non-strict-ending*:

**assumes** *chain*:  $\text{chain } (R \cup S) t$   
**and** *comp*:  $R O S \subseteq S$   
**and** *SN*: *SN-on*  $S \{t\}$   
**shows**  $\exists j. \forall i \geq j. (t i, t (Suc i)) \in R - S$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-subset1*:

**assumes** *SN-on*  $r A$  **and**  $s \subseteq r$   
**shows** *SN-on*  $s A$   
*<proof>*

**lemmas** *SN-on-mono* = *SN-on-subset1*

**lemma** *rtrancl-fun-conv*:

$((s, t) \in R^*) = (\exists f n. f 0 = s \wedge f n = t \wedge (\forall i < n. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in R))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *compat-tr-compat*:

**assumes**  $NS O S \subseteq S$  **shows**  $NS^* O S \subseteq S$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *right-comp-S [simp]*:

**assumes**  $(x, y) \in S O (S O S^* O NS^* \cup NS^*)$   
**shows**  $(x, y) \in (S O S^* O NS^*)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *compatible-SN*:

**assumes** *SN*: *SN*  $S$   
**and** *compat*:  $NS O S \subseteq S$   
**shows** *SN*  $(S O S^* O NS^*)$  (**is** *SN* ? $A$ )  
*<proof>*

**lemma compatible-rtrancl-split:**  
**assumes** *compat*:  $NS \ O \ S \subseteq S$   
**and** *steps*:  $(x, y) \in (NS \cup S)^*$   
**shows**  $(x, y) \in S \ O \ S^* \ O \ NS^* \cup NS^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma compatible-conv:**  
**assumes** *compat*:  $NS \ O \ S \subseteq S$   
**shows**  $(NS \cup S)^* \ O \ S \ O \ (NS \cup S)^* = S \ O \ S^* \ O \ NS^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma compatible-SN':**  
**assumes** *compat*:  $NS \ O \ S \subseteq S$  **and** *SN*:  $SN \ S$   
**shows**  $SN((NS \cup S)^* \ O \ S \ O \ (NS \cup S)^*)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma rtrancl-diff-decomp:**  
**assumes**  $(x, y) \in A^* - B^*$   
**shows**  $(x, y) \in A^* \ O \ (A - B) \ O \ A^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma SN-empty [simp]:**  $SN \ \{\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma SN-on-weakening:**  
**assumes** *SN-on*  $R1 \ A$   
**shows** *SN-on*  $(R1 \cap R2) \ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition ideriv** ::  $'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $ideriv \ R \ S \ as \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. (as \ i, as \ (Suc \ i)) \in R \cup S) \wedge (INFM \ i. (as \ i, as \ (Suc \ i)) \in R)$

**lemma ideriv-mono:**  $R \subseteq R' \Longrightarrow S \subseteq S' \Longrightarrow ideriv \ R \ S \ as \Longrightarrow ideriv \ R' \ S' \ as$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun**  
 $shift :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a$   
**where**  
 $shift \ f \ j = (\lambda \ i. f \ (i+j))$

**lemma ideriv-split:**  
**assumes** *ideriv*:  $ideriv \ R \ S \ as$   
**and** *nideriv*:  $\neg ideriv \ (D \cap (R \cup S)) \ (R \cup S - D) \ as$   
**shows**  $\exists i. ideriv \ (R - D) \ (S - D) \ (shift \ as \ i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma ideriv-SN:**



**assumes**  $SN: SN\ S$   
**and**  $compat: NS\ O\ S \subseteq S$   
**and**  $R: R \subseteq NS \cup S$   
**shows**  $\neg\ ideriv\ (S \cap R)\ (R - S)\ as$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Infm-shift: (INFM\ i.\ P\ (shift\ f\ n\ i)) = (INFM\ i.\ P\ (f\ i))\ (is\ ?S = ?O)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rtrancl-list-conv:$   
 $(s, t) \in R^* \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\exists\ ts.\ last\ (s\ \#\ ts) = t \wedge (\forall\ i < length\ ts.\ ((s\ \#\ ts)\ !\ i,\ (s\ \#\ ts)\ !\ Suc\ i) \in R))$   
 $(is\ ?l = ?r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN-reaches-NF:$   
**assumes**  $SN-on\ r\ \{x\}$   
**shows**  $\exists\ y.\ (x, y) \in r^* \wedge y \in NF\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN-WCR-reaches-NF:$   
**assumes**  $SN: SN-on\ r\ \{x\}$   
**and**  $WCR: WCR-on\ r\ \{x.\ SN-on\ r\ \{x\}\}$   
**shows**  $\exists!\ y.\ (x, y) \in r^* \wedge y \in NF\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $some-NF :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ \mathbf{where}$   
 $some-NF\ r\ x = (SOME\ y.\ (x, y) \in r^* \wedge y \in NF\ r)$

**lemma**  $some-NF:$   
**assumes**  $SN: SN-on\ r\ \{x\}$   
**shows**  $(x, some-NF\ r\ x) \in r^* \wedge some-NF\ r\ x \in NF\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $some-NF-WCR:$   
**assumes**  $SN: SN-on\ r\ \{x\}$   
**and**  $WCR: WCR-on\ r\ \{x.\ SN-on\ r\ \{x\}\}$   
**and**  $steps: (x, y) \in r^*$   
**and**  $NF: y \in NF\ r$   
**shows**  $y = some-NF\ r\ x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $some-NF-UNF:$   
**assumes**  $UNF: UNF\ r$   
**and**  $steps: (x, y) \in r^*$   
**and**  $NF: y \in NF\ r$   
**shows**  $y = some-NF\ r\ x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *the-NF*  $A$   $a = (THE\ b.\ (a, b) \in A^!)$

**context**

**fixes**  $A$

**assumes**  $SN: SN\ A$  and  $CR: CR\ A$

**begin**

**lemma** *the-NF*:  $(a, the-NF\ A\ a) \in A^!$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *the-NF-NF*:  $the-NF\ A\ a \in NF\ A$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *the-NF-step*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in A$

**shows**  $the-NF\ A\ a = the-NF\ A\ b$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *the-NF-steps*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in A^*$

**shows**  $the-NF\ A\ a = the-NF\ A\ b$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *the-NF-conv*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in A^{\leftrightarrow^*}$

**shows**  $the-NF\ A\ a = the-NF\ A\ b$

*<proof>*

**end**

**definition** *weak-diamond*  $:: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool\ (w\Diamond)$  **where**

$w\Diamond\ r \longleftrightarrow (r^{-1}\ O\ r) - Id \subseteq (r\ O\ r^{-1})$

**lemma** *weak-diamond-imp-CR*:

**assumes**  $wd: w\Diamond\ r$

**shows**  $CR\ r$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *steps-imp-not-SN-on*:

**fixes**  $t :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$

**and**  $R :: 'b\ rel$

**assumes**  $steps: \bigwedge x. (t\ x, t\ (f\ x)) \in R$

**shows**  $\neg SN-on\ R\ \{t\ x\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *steps-imp-not-SN*:

**fixes**  $t :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$

**and**  $R :: 'b\ rel$

**assumes**  $steps: \bigwedge x. (t\ x, t\ (f\ x)) \in R$

**shows**  $\neg SN R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-map*:

**assumes**  $fg: \bigwedge t u R . P t \implies Q R \implies (t, u) \in R \implies P u \wedge (f t, f u) \in g R$   
**and**  $t: P t$   
**and**  $R: Q R$   
**and**  $S: Q S$   
**shows**  $((t, u) \in R^* \longrightarrow (f t, f u) \in (g R)^*)$   
 $\wedge ((t, u) \in R^* O S O R^* \longrightarrow (f t, f u) \in (g R)^* O (g S) O (g R)^*)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 2.6 Terminating part of a relation

**inductive-set**

$SN\text{-part} :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$   
**for**  $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$

**where**

$SN\text{-part} I: (\bigwedge y. (x, y) \in r \implies y \in SN\text{-part } r) \implies x \in SN\text{-part } r$

The accessible part of a relation is the same as the terminating part (just two names for the same definition – modulo argument order). See  $(\bigwedge y. (y, ?x) \in ?r \implies y \in Wellfounded.acc ?r) \implies ?x \in Wellfounded.acc ?r$ .

Characterization of *SN-on* via terminating part.

**lemma** *SN-on-SN-part-conv*:

$SN\text{-on } r A \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq SN\text{-part } r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Special case for “full” termination.

**lemma** *SN-SN-part-UNIV-conv*:

$SN r \longleftrightarrow SN\text{-part } r = UNIV$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *closed-imp-rtrancl-closed*: **assumes**  $L: L \subseteq A$

**and**  $R: R \text{ “ } A \subseteq A$

**shows**  $\{t \mid s. s \in L \wedge (s, t) \in R^*\} \subseteq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trancl-steps-relpow*: **assumes**  $a \subseteq b^+$

**shows**  $(x, y) \in a^{\wedge n} \implies \exists m. m \geq n \wedge (x, y) \in b^{\wedge m}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relpow-image*: **assumes**  $f: \bigwedge s t. (s, t) \in r \implies (f s, f t) \in r'$

**shows**  $(s, t) \in r^{\wedge n} \implies (f s, f t) \in r'^{\wedge n}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relpow-refl-mono*:

**assumes**  $refl: \bigwedge x. (x, x) \in Rel$

**shows**  $m \leq n \implies (a, b) \in Rel^{\wedge m} \implies (a, b) \in Rel^{\wedge n}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-induct-acc-style* [*consumes 1, case-names IH*]:

**assumes** *sn*: *SN-on R {a}*

**and** *IH*:  $\bigwedge x. \text{SN-on } R \{x\} \implies \llbracket \bigwedge y. (x, y) \in R \implies P y \rrbracket \implies P x$

**shows** *P a*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *partially-localize-CR*:

*CR r*  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x y z. (x, y) \in r \wedge (x, z) \in r^* \longrightarrow (y, z) \in \text{join } r)$

*<proof>*

**definition** *strongly-confluent-on* :: *'a rel*  $\Rightarrow$  *'a set*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*

**where**

*strongly-confluent-on r A*  $\longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall x \in A. \forall y z. (x, y) \in r \wedge (x, z) \in r \longrightarrow (\exists u. (y, u) \in r^* \wedge (z, u) \in r^=))$

**abbreviation** *strongly-confluent* :: *'a rel*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*

**where**

*strongly-confluent r*  $\equiv$  *strongly-confluent-on r UNIV*

**lemma** *strongly-confluent-on-E11*:

*strongly-confluent-on r A*  $\implies x \in A \implies (x, y) \in r \implies (x, z) \in r \implies$

$\exists u. (y, u) \in r^* \wedge (z, u) \in r^=$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *strongly-confluentI* [*intro*]:

$\llbracket \bigwedge x y z. (x, y) \in r \implies (x, z) \in r \implies \exists u. (y, u) \in r^* \wedge (z, u) \in r^= \rrbracket \implies$

*strongly-confluent r*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *strongly-confluent-E1n*:

**assumes** *scr*: *strongly-confluent r*

**shows**  $(x, y) \in r^= \implies (x, z) \in r \wedge n \implies \exists u. (y, u) \in r^* \wedge (z, u) \in r^=$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *strong-confluence-imp-CR*:

**assumes** *strongly-confluent r*

**shows** *CR r*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *WCR-alt-def*: *WCR A*  $\longleftrightarrow A^{-1} \circ A \subseteq A^\downarrow$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *NF-imp-SN-on*:  $a \in \text{NF } R \implies \text{SN-on } R \{a\}$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *Union-sym*:  $(s, t) \in (\bigcup_{i \leq n}. (S i)^{\leftrightarrow}) \longleftrightarrow (t, s) \in (\bigcup_{i \leq n}. (S i)^{\leftrightarrow})$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *peak-iff*:  $(x, y) \in A^{-1} \circ B \iff (\exists u. (u, x) \in A \wedge (u, y) \in B)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *CR-NF-conv*:

**assumes** *CR*  $r$  **and**  $t \in NF\ r$  **and**  $(u, t) \in r^{\leftrightarrow*}$

**shows**  $(u, t) \in r^!$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *NF-join-imp-reach*:

**assumes**  $y \in NF\ A$  **and**  $(x, y) \in A^\downarrow$

**shows**  $(x, y) \in A^*$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *conversion-O-conversion* [*simp*]:

$A^{\leftrightarrow*} \circ A^{\leftrightarrow*} = A^{\leftrightarrow*}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *trans-O-iff*:  $trans\ A \iff A \circ A \subseteq A$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *refl-O-iff*:  $refl\ A \iff Id \subseteq A$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *relpow-Suc*:  $r^{\wedge\wedge}\ Suc\ n = r \circ r^{\wedge\wedge}\ n$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *converse-power*: **fixes**  $r :: 'a\ rel$  **shows**  $(r^{-1})^{\wedge\wedge}\ n = (r^{\wedge\wedge}\ n)^{-1}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *conversion-mono*:  $A \subseteq B \implies A^{\leftrightarrow*} \subseteq B^{\leftrightarrow*}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *conversion-conversion-idemp* [*simp*]:  $(A^{\leftrightarrow*})^{\leftrightarrow*} = A^{\leftrightarrow*}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *lower-set-imp-not-SN-on*:

**assumes**  $s \in X \ \forall t \in X. \exists u \in X. (t, u) \in R$  **shows**  $\neg SN\text{-on}\ R\ \{s\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-Image-rtrancl-iff* [*simp*]:  $SN\text{-on}\ R\ (R^* \text{ `` } X) \iff SN\text{-on}\ R\ X$  (**is** *?! = ?r*)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *O-mono1*:  $R \subseteq R' \implies S \circ R \subseteq S \circ R'$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *O-mono2*:  $R \subseteq R' \implies R \circ T \subseteq R' \circ T$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *rtrancl-O-shift*:  $(S \circ R)^* \circ S = S \circ (R \circ S)^*$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *O-rtrancl-O-O*:  $R \circ (S \circ R)^* \circ S = (R \circ S)^+$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-subset-SN-terms*:  
**assumes**  $SN: SN\text{-on } R\ X$  **shows**  $X \subseteq \{x. SN\text{-on } R\ \{x\}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-Un2*:  
**assumes**  $SN\text{-on } R\ X$  **and**  $SN\text{-on } R\ Y$  **shows**  $SN\text{-on } R\ (X \cup Y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-UN*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. SN\text{-on } R\ (X\ x)$  **shows**  $SN\text{-on } R\ (\bigcup x. X\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Image-subsetI*:  $R \subseteq R' \implies R \text{ `` } X \subseteq R' \text{ `` } X$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-O-comm*:  
**assumes**  $SN: SN\text{-on } ((R :: ('a \times 'b)\ set)\ O\ (S :: ('b \times 'a)\ set))\ (S \text{ `` } X)$   
**shows**  $SN\text{-on } (S\ O\ R)\ X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-O-comm*:  $SN\ (R\ O\ S) \longleftrightarrow SN\ (S\ O\ R)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *chain-mono*: **assumes**  $R' \subseteq R$  **chain**  $R'$  **seq** **shows** **chain**  $R$  **seq**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**context**  
**fixes**  $S\ R$   
**assumes**  $push: S\ O\ R \subseteq R\ O\ S^*$   
**begin**

**lemma** *rtrancl-O-push*:  $S^*\ O\ R \subseteq R\ O\ S^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-U-push*:  $(S \cup R)^* = R^*\ O\ S^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-O-push*:  
**assumes**  $SN: SN\text{-on } R\ X$  **shows**  $SN\text{-on } (R\ O\ S^*)\ X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-on-Image-push*:  
**assumes**  $SN: SN\text{-on } R\ X$  **shows**  $SN\text{-on } R\ (S^* \text{ `` } X)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** *not-SN-onI[intro]*:  $f\ 0 \in X \implies \text{chain } R\ f \implies \neg SN\text{-on } R\ X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *shift-comp[simp]*:  $\text{shift } (f \circ \text{seq}) \ n = f \circ (\text{shift seq } n)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *Id-on-union*:  $\text{Id-on } (A \cup B) = \text{Id-on } A \cup \text{Id-on } B$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *relpow-union-cases*:  $(a,d) \in (A \cup B)^{\wedge n} \implies (a,d) \in B^{\wedge n} \vee (\exists b c k m. (a,b) \in B^{\wedge k} \wedge (b,c) \in A \wedge (c,d) \in (A \cup B)^{\wedge m} \wedge n = \text{Suc } (k + m))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *trans-refl-imp-rtrancl-id*:

**assumes** *trans r refl r*

**shows**  $r^* = r$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *trans-refl-imp-O-id*:

**assumes** *trans r refl r*

**shows**  $r \ O \ r = r$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *relcomp3-I*:

**assumes**  $(t, u) \in A$  **and**  $(s, t) \in B$  **and**  $(u, v) \in B$

**shows**  $(s, v) \in B \ O \ A \ O \ B$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *relcomp3-transI*:

**assumes** *trans B* **and**  $(t, u) \in B \ O \ A \ O \ B$  **and**  $(s, t) \in B$  **and**  $(u, v) \in B$

**shows**  $(s, v) \in B \ O \ A \ O \ B$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *converse-inward = rtrancl-converse[symmetric] converse-Un converse-UNION*  
*converse-relcomp*

*converse-converse converse-Id*

**lemma** *qc-SN-relto-iff*:

**assumes**  $r \ O \ s \subseteq s \ O \ (s \cup r)^*$

**shows**  $\text{SN } (r^* \ O \ s \ O \ r^*) = \text{SN } s$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *conversion-empty [simp]*:  $\text{conversion } \{\} = \text{Id}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *symcl-idemp [simp]*:  $(r^{\leftrightarrow})^{\leftrightarrow} = r^{\leftrightarrow}$  *<proof>*

**end**

### 3 Relative Rewriting

**theory** *Relative-Rewriting*

**imports** *Abstract-Rewriting*

**begin**

Considering a relation  $R$  relative to another relation  $S$ , i.e.,  $R$ -steps may be preceded and followed by arbitrary many  $S$ -steps.

**abbreviation** (*input*)  $relto :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel$  **where**  
 $relto\ R\ S \equiv S^* \circ R \circ S^*$

**definition**  $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\ R\ S \equiv SN\text{-}on\ (relto\ R\ S)$

**definition**  $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\ R\ S\ T = (\forall f. chain\ (R \cup S)\ f \wedge f\ 0 \in T \longrightarrow \neg (INFM\ j. (f\ j, f\ (Suc\ j)) \in R))$

**abbreviation**  $SN\text{-}rel :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $SN\text{-}rel\ R\ S \equiv SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\ R\ S\ UNIV$

**abbreviation**  $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}alt :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}alt\ R\ S \equiv SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\ R\ S\ UNIV$

**lemma**  $relto\text{-}absorb\ [simp]: relto\ R\ E\ O\ E^* = relto\ R\ E\ E^* \circ relto\ R\ E = relto\ R\ E$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $steps\text{-}preserve\text{-}SN\text{-}on\text{-}relto:$   
**assumes**  $steps: (a, b) \in (R \cup S)^*$   
**and**  $SN: SN\text{-}on\ (relto\ R\ S)\ \{a\}$   
**shows**  $SN\text{-}on\ (relto\ R\ S)\ \{b\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $step\text{-}preserves\text{-}SN\text{-}on\text{-}relto:$  **assumes**  $st: (s, t) \in R \cup E$   
**and**  $SN: SN\text{-}on\ (relto\ R\ E)\ \{s\}$   
**shows**  $SN\text{-}on\ (relto\ R\ E)\ \{t\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}imp\text{-}SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt: SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\ R\ S\ T \Longrightarrow SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\ R\ S\ T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\text{-}imp\text{-}SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on: SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\ R\ S\ T \Longrightarrow SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\ R\ S\ T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}conv: SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on = SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}defs = SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}def\ SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\text{-}def$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\text{-}r\text{-}empty : SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\ \{\}\ S\ T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\text{-}s\text{-}empty : SN\text{-}rel\text{-}on\text{-}alt\ R\ \{\} = SN\text{-}on\ R$



*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-on-mono'*:

**assumes**  $R: R \subseteq R'$  **and**  $S: S \subseteq R' \cup S'$  **and**  $SN: SN\text{-rel-on } R' S' T$   
**shows**  $SN\text{-rel-on } R S T$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *relto-mono*:

**assumes**  $R \subseteq R'$  **and**  $S \subseteq S'$   
**shows**  $relto R S \subseteq relto R' S'$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-on-mono*:

**assumes**  $R: R \subseteq R'$  **and**  $S: S \subseteq S'$   
**and**  $SN: SN\text{-rel-on } R' S' T$

**shows**  $SN\text{-rel-on } R S T$

*<proof>*

**lemmas**  $SN\text{-rel-on-alt-mono} = SN\text{-rel-on-mono}[unfolded SN\text{-rel-on-conv}]$

**lemma** *SN-rel-on-imp-SN-on*:

**assumes**  $SN\text{-rel-on } R S T$  **shows**  $SN\text{-on } R T$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *relto-Id*:  $relto R (S \cup Id) = relto R S$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-on-Id*:

**shows**  $SN\text{-rel-on } R (S \cup Id) T = SN\text{-rel-on } R S T$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-on-empty[simp]*:  $SN\text{-rel-on } R \{ \} T = SN\text{-on } R T$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-on-ideriv*:  $SN\text{-rel-on } R S T = (\neg (\exists as. ideriv R S as \wedge as \emptyset \in T))$  **(is**  $?L = ?R$ )

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-to-SN-rel-alt*:  $SN\text{-rel } R S \implies SN\text{-rel-alt } R S$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-alt-to-SN-rel* :  $SN\text{-rel-alt } R S \implies SN\text{-rel } R S$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-alt-r-empty* :  $SN\text{-rel-alt } \{ \} S$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-alt-s-empty* :  $SN\text{-rel-alt } R \{ \} = SN R$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-mono'*:

$R \subseteq R' \implies S \subseteq R' \cup S' \implies \text{SN-rel } R' S' \implies \text{SN-rel } R S$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-mono*:

**assumes**  $R: R \subseteq R'$  **and**  $S: S \subseteq S'$  **and**  $\text{SN}: \text{SN-rel } R' S'$   
**shows**  $\text{SN-rel } R S$   
*<proof>*

**lemmas** *SN-rel-alt-mono* = *SN-rel-mono*[*unfolded SN-rel-on-conv*]

**lemma** *SN-rel-imp-SN* : **assumes**  $\text{SN-rel } R S$  **shows**  $\text{SN } R$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *relto-trancl-conv* :  $(\text{relto } R S)^{\wedge+} = ((R \cup S))^{\wedge*} O R O ((R \cup S))^{\wedge*}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-Id*:

**shows**  $\text{SN-rel } R (S \cup \text{Id}) = \text{SN-rel } R S$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *relto-rtrancl*:  $\text{relto } R (S^{\wedge*}) = \text{relto } R S$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-empty[simp]*:  $\text{SN-rel } R \{\} = \text{SN } R$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-ideriv*:  $\text{SN-rel } R S = (\neg (\exists \text{ as. ideriv } R S \text{ as})) (\text{is } ?L = ?R)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-map*:

**fixes**  $R R_w R' R_w' :: 'a \text{ rel}$   
**defines**  $A: A \equiv R' \cup R_w'$   
**assumes**  $\text{SN}: \text{SN-rel } R' R_w'$   
**and**  $R: \bigwedge s t. (s, t) \in R \implies (f s, f t) \in A^{\wedge*} O R' O A^{\wedge*}$   
**and**  $R_w: \bigwedge s t. (s, t) \in R_w \implies (f s, f t) \in A^{\wedge*}$   
**shows**  $\text{SN-rel } R R_w$   
*<proof>*

**datatype** *SN-rel-ext-type* = *top-s* | *top-ns* | *normal-s* | *normal-ns*

**fun** *SN-rel-ext-step* ::  $'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow \text{SN-rel-ext-type} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$

**where**

$\text{SN-rel-ext-step } P P_w R R_w \text{ top-s} = P$   
 $|\ \text{SN-rel-ext-step } P P_w R R_w \text{ top-ns} = P_w$   
 $|\ \text{SN-rel-ext-step } P P_w R R_w \text{ normal-s} = R$   
 $|\ \text{SN-rel-ext-step } P P_w R R_w \text{ normal-ns} = R_w$

**definition** *SN-rel-ext* ::  $'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SN-rel-ext } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } M &\equiv (\neg (\exists f t. \\ &(\forall i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in \text{SN-rel-ext-step } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw (t i)) \\ &\wedge (\forall i. M (f i)) \\ &\wedge (\text{INFM } i. t i \in \{\text{top-s}, \text{top-ns}\}) \\ &\wedge (\text{INFM } i. t i \in \{\text{top-s}, \text{normal-s}\}))) \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *SN-rel-ext-step-mono*: **assumes**  $P \subseteq P' \text{ } Pw \subseteq Pw' \text{ } R \subseteq R' \text{ } Rw \subseteq Rw'$   
**shows**  $\text{SN-rel-ext-step } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } t \subseteq \text{SN-rel-ext-step } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' \text{ } t$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-ext-mono*: **assumes** *subset*:  $P \subseteq P' \text{ } Pw \subseteq Pw' \text{ } R \subseteq R' \text{ } Rw \subseteq Rw'$   
**and**  
*SN*:  $\text{SN-rel-ext } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' \text{ } M$  **shows**  $\text{SN-rel-ext } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } M$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-ext-trans*:

**fixes**  $P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw :: 'a \text{ rel}$  **and**  $M :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**defines**  $M' : M' \equiv \{(s, t). M t\}$   
**defines**  $A : A \equiv (P \cup Pw \cup R \cup Rw) \cap M'$   
**assumes**  $\text{SN-rel-ext } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } M$   
**shows**  $\text{SN-rel-ext } (A \hat{*} O (P \cap M') O A \hat{*}) (A \hat{*} O ((P \cup Pw) \cap M') O A \hat{*})$   
 $(A \hat{*} O ((P \cup R) \cap M') O A \hat{*}) (A \hat{*}) M$  (**is**  $\text{SN-rel-ext } ?P \text{ } ?Pw \text{ } ?R \text{ } ?Rw \text{ } M$ )  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-ext-map*: **fixes**  $P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' :: 'a \text{ rel}$  **and**  $M \text{ } M' :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**defines**  $Ms : Ms \equiv \{(s, t). M' t\}$   
**defines**  $A : A \equiv (P' \cup Pw' \cup R' \cup Rw') \cap Ms$   
**assumes** *SN*:  $\text{SN-rel-ext } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' \text{ } M'$   
**and**  $P : \bigwedge s t. M s \Longrightarrow M t \Longrightarrow (s, t) \in P \Longrightarrow (f s, f t) \in (A \hat{*} O (P' \cap Ms) O A \hat{*}) \wedge I t$   
**and**  $Pw : \bigwedge s t. M s \Longrightarrow M t \Longrightarrow (s, t) \in Pw \Longrightarrow (f s, f t) \in (A \hat{*} O ((P' \cup Pw') \cap Ms) O A \hat{*}) \wedge I t$   
**and**  $R : \bigwedge s t. I s \Longrightarrow M s \Longrightarrow M t \Longrightarrow (s, t) \in R \Longrightarrow (f s, f t) \in (A \hat{*} O ((P' \cup R') \cap Ms) O A \hat{*}) \wedge I t$   
**and**  $Rw : \bigwedge s t. I s \Longrightarrow M s \Longrightarrow M t \Longrightarrow (s, t) \in Rw \Longrightarrow (f s, f t) \in A \hat{*} \wedge I t$   
**shows**  $\text{SN-rel-ext } P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } M$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-rel-ext-map-min*: **fixes**  $P \text{ } Pw \text{ } R \text{ } Rw \text{ } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' :: 'a \text{ rel}$  **and**  $M \text{ } M' :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**defines**  $Ms : Ms \equiv \{(s, t). M' t\}$   
**defines**  $A : A \equiv P' \cap Ms \cup Pw' \cap Ms \cup R' \cup Rw'$   
**assumes** *SN*:  $\text{SN-rel-ext } P' \text{ } Pw' \text{ } R' \text{ } Rw' \text{ } M'$   
**and**  $M : \bigwedge t. M t \Longrightarrow M' (f t)$   
**and**  $M' : \bigwedge s t. M' s \Longrightarrow (s, t) \in R' \cup Rw' \Longrightarrow M' t$

**and**  $P: \bigwedge s t. M s \implies M t \implies M' (f s) \implies M' (f t) \implies (s,t) \in P \implies (f s, f t) \in (A \hat{*} O (P' \cap Ms) O A \hat{*}) \wedge I t$   
**and**  $Pw: \bigwedge s t. M s \implies M t \implies M' (f s) \implies M' (f t) \implies (s,t) \in Pw \implies (f s, f t) \in (A \hat{*} O (P' \cap Ms \cup Pw' \cap Ms) O A \hat{*}) \wedge I t$   
**and**  $R: \bigwedge s t. I s \implies M s \implies M t \implies M' (f s) \implies M' (f t) \implies (s,t) \in R \implies (f s, f t) \in (A \hat{*} O (P' \cap Ms \cup R') O A \hat{*}) \wedge I t$   
**and**  $Rw: \bigwedge s t. I s \implies M s \implies M t \implies M' (f s) \implies M' (f t) \implies (s,t) \in Rw \implies (f s, f t) \in A \hat{*} \wedge I t$   
**shows**  $SN\text{-rel-ext } P Pw R Rw M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-relto-imp-SN-rel}: SN (relto R S) \implies SN\text{-rel } R S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rtrancl\text{-list-conv}$ :

$((s,t) \in R \hat{*}) =$   
 $(\exists list. last (s \# list) = t \wedge (\forall i. i < length list \longrightarrow ((s \# list) ! i, (s \# list) ! Suc i) \in R))$  (**is**  $?l = ?r$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun**  $choice :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a list) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat \times nat)$  **where**  
 $choice f 0 = (0,0)$   
 $| choice f (Suc n) = (let (i, j) = choice f n in$   
 $if Suc j < length (f i)$   
 $then (i, Suc j)$   
 $else (Suc i, 0))$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-rel-imp-SN-relto} : SN\text{-rel } R S \implies SN (relto R S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**hide-const**  $choice$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-relto-SN-rel-conv}: SN (relto R S) = SN\text{-rel } R S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-rel-empty1}: SN\text{-rel } \{\} S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-rel-empty2}: SN\text{-rel } R \{\} = SN R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SN\text{-relto-mono}$ :

**assumes**  $R: R \subseteq R'$  **and**  $S: S \subseteq S'$   
**and**  $SN: SN (relto R' S')$   
**shows**  $SN (relto R S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SN-relto-imp-SN*:  
**assumes**  $SN$  (*relto*  $R$   $S$ ) **shows**  $SN$   $R$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *SN-relto-Id*:  
 $SN$  (*relto*  $R$  ( $S \cup Id$ )) =  $SN$  (*relto*  $R$   $S$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

Termination inheritance by transitivity (see, e.g., Geser's thesis).

**lemma** *trans-subset-SN*:  
**assumes** *trans*  $R$  **and**  $R \subseteq (r \cup s)$  **and**  $SN$   $r$  **and**  $SN$   $s$   
**shows**  $SN$   $R$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *SN-Un-conv*:  
**assumes** *trans* ( $r \cup s$ )  
**shows**  $SN$  ( $r \cup s$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $SN$   $r$   $\wedge$   $SN$   $s$   
(is  $SN$  ? $r$   $\longleftrightarrow$  ? $rhs$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *SN-relto-Un*:  
 $SN$  (*relto* ( $R \cup S$ )  $Q$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $SN$  (*relto*  $R$  ( $S \cup Q$ ))  $\wedge$   $SN$  (*relto*  $S$   $Q$ )  
(is  $SN$  ? $a$   $\longleftrightarrow$   $SN$  ? $b$   $\wedge$   $SN$  ? $c$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *SN-relto-split*:  
**assumes**  $SN$  (*relto*  $r$  ( $s \cup q2$ )  $\cup$  *relto*  $q1$  ( $s \cup q2$ )) (is  $SN$  ? $a$ )  
**and**  $SN$  (*relto*  $s$   $q2$ ) (is  $SN$  ? $b$ )  
**shows**  $SN$  (*relto*  $r$  ( $q1 \cup q2$ )  $\cup$  *relto*  $s$  ( $q1 \cup q2$ )) (is  $SN$  ? $c$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *relto-transcl-subset*: **assumes**  $a \subseteq c$  **and**  $b \subseteq c$  **shows** *relto*  $a$   $b \subseteq c^+$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

An explicit version of *relto* which mentions all intermediate terms

**inductive** *relto-fun* :: ' $a$  *rel*  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  *rel*  $\Rightarrow$   $nat$   $\Rightarrow$  ( $nat$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $nat$   $\Rightarrow$   $bool$ )  $\Rightarrow$   
 $nat$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$   $\times$  ' $a$   $\Rightarrow$   $bool$  **where**  
*relto-fun*:  $as$   $0 = a \Longrightarrow as$   $m = b \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge i. i < m \Longrightarrow$   
 $(sel$   $i \longrightarrow (as$   $i, as$  ( $Suc$   $i$ ))  $\in A) \wedge (\neg sel$   $i \longrightarrow (as$   $i, as$  ( $Suc$   $i$ ))  $\in B)$ )  
 $\Longrightarrow n = card$  {  $i . i < m \wedge sel$   $i$  }  
 $\Longrightarrow (n = 0 \longleftrightarrow m = 0) \Longrightarrow relto-fun$   $A$   $B$   $n$   $as$   $sel$   $m$  ( $a, b$ )

**lemma** *relto-funD*: **assumes** *relto-fun*  $A$   $B$   $n$   $as$   $sel$   $m$  ( $a, b$ )  
**shows**  $as$   $0 = a$   $as$   $m = b$   
 $\bigwedge i. i < m \Longrightarrow sel$   $i \Longrightarrow (as$   $i, as$  ( $Suc$   $i$ ))  $\in A$   
 $\bigwedge i. i < m \Longrightarrow \neg sel$   $i \Longrightarrow (as$   $i, as$  ( $Suc$   $i$ ))  $\in B$   
 $n = card$  {  $i . i < m \wedge sel$   $i$  }  
 $n = 0 \longleftrightarrow m = 0$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *relto-fun-refl*:  $\exists$  *as sel. relto-fun A B 0 as sel 0 (a,a)*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *relto-into-relto-fun*: **assumes**  $(a,b) \in \text{relto } A B$   
**shows**  $\exists$  *as sel m. relto-fun A B (Suc 0) as sel m (a,b)*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *relto-fun-trans*: **assumes** *ab: relto-fun A B n1 as1 sel1 m1 (a,b)*  
**and** *bc: relto-fun A B n2 as2 sel2 m2 (b,c)*  
**shows**  $\exists$  *as sel. relto-fun A B (n1 + n2) as sel (m1 + m2) (a,c)*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *reltos-into-relto-fun*: **assumes**  $(a,b) \in (\text{relto } A B)^{\wedge n}$   
**shows**  $\exists$  *as sel m. relto-fun A B n as sel m (a,b)*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *relto-fun-into-reltos*: **assumes** *relto-fun A B n as sel m (a,b)*  
**shows**  $(a,b) \in (\text{relto } A B)^{\wedge n}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *relto-relto-fun-conv*:  $((a,b) \in (\text{relto } A B)^{\wedge n}) = (\exists$  *as sel m. relto-fun A B n as sel m (a,b))  
*<proof>**

**lemma** *relto-fun-intermediate*: **assumes**  $A \subseteq C$  **and**  $B \subseteq C$   
**and** *rf: relto-fun A B n as sel m (a,b)*  
**shows**  $i \leq m \implies (a, \text{as } i) \in C^*$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-SN-on-rel-succ*:  
**assumes**  $\neg \text{SN-on } (\text{relto } R E) \{s\}$   
**shows**  $\exists t u. (s, t) \in E^* \wedge (t, u) \in R \wedge \neg \text{SN-on } (\text{relto } R E) \{u\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-on-relto-relcomp*:  $\text{SN-on } (\text{relto } R S) T = \text{SN-on } (S^* O R) T$  (**is ?L T = ?R T**)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *trans-relto*:  
**assumes** *trans: trans R and S O R  $\subseteq$  R O S*  
**shows** *trans (relto R S)*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *relative-ending*:  
**assumes** *chain: chain (R  $\cup$  S) t*  
**and** *t0: t 0  $\in$  X*  
**and** *SN: SN-on (relto R S) X*

**shows**  $\exists j. \forall i \geq j. (t\ i, t\ (Suc\ i)) \in S - R$   
 ⟨proof⟩

from Geser's thesis [p.32, Corollary-1], generalized for *SN-on*.

**lemma** *SN-on-relto-Un*:

**assumes** *closure*:  $relto\ (R \cup R')\ S \text{ “ } X \subseteq X$

**shows**  $SN-on\ (relto\ (R \cup R')\ S)\ X \longleftrightarrow SN-on\ (relto\ R\ (R' \cup S))\ X \wedge SN-on\ (relto\ R'\ S)\ X$

**(is**  $?c \longleftrightarrow ?a \wedge ?b$ )

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *SN-on-Un*:  $(R \cup R') \text{ “ } X \subseteq X \implies SN-on\ (R \cup R')\ X \longleftrightarrow SN-on\ (relto\ R\ R')\ X \wedge SN-on\ R'\ X$

⟨proof⟩

**end**

## 4 Strongly Normalizing Orders

**theory** *SN-Orders*

**imports** *Abstract-Rewriting*

**begin**

We define several classes of orders which are used to build ordered semirings. Note that we do not use Isabelle's preorders since the condition  $x > y = x \geq y \wedge y \not\geq x$  is sometimes not applicable. E.g., for  $\delta$ -orders over the rationals we have  $0.2 \geq 0.1 \wedge 0.1 \not\geq 0.2$ , but  $0.2 >_{\delta} 0.1$  does not hold if  $\delta$  is larger than 0.1.

**class** *non-strict-order* = *ord* +

**assumes** *ge-refl*:  $x \geq (x :: 'a)$

**and** *ge-trans*[*trans*]:  $\llbracket x \geq y; (y :: 'a) \geq z \rrbracket \implies x \geq z$

**and** *max-comm*:  $\max\ x\ y = \max\ y\ x$

**and** *max-ge-x*[*intro*]:  $\max\ x\ y \geq x$

**and** *max-id*:  $x \geq y \implies \max\ x\ y = x$

**and** *max-mono*:  $x \geq y \implies \max\ z\ x \geq \max\ z\ y$

**begin**

**lemma** *max-ge-y*[*intro*]:  $\max\ x\ y \geq y$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *max-mono2*:  $x \geq y \implies \max\ x\ z \geq \max\ y\ z$

⟨proof⟩

**end**

**class** *ordered-ab-semigroup* = *non-strict-order* + *ab-semigroup-add* + *monoid-add* +

**assumes** *plus-left-mono*:  $x \geq y \implies x + z \geq y + z$

**lemma** *plus-right-mono*:  $y \geq (z :: 'a :: ordered-ab-semigroup) \implies x + y \geq x + z$

*<proof>*

```

class ordered-semiring-0 = ordered-ab-semigroup + semiring-0 +
assumes times-left-mono:  $z \geq 0 \implies x \geq y \implies x * z \geq y * z$ 
and times-right-mono:  $x \geq 0 \implies y \geq z \implies x * y \geq x * z$ 
and times-left-anti-mono:  $x \geq y \implies 0 \geq z \implies y * z \geq x * z$ 

```

```

class ordered-semiring-1 = ordered-semiring-0 + semiring-1 +
assumes one-ge-zero:  $1 \geq 0$ 

```

We do not use a class to define order-pairs of a strict and a weak-order since often we have parametric strict orders, e.g. on rational numbers there are several orders  $>$  where  $x > y = x \geq y + \delta$  for some parameter  $\delta$

```

locale order-pair =
fixes gt :: 'a :: {non-strict-order,zero}  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\succ$  50)
and default :: 'a
assumes compat[trans]:  $\llbracket x \geq y; y \succ z \rrbracket \implies x \succ z$ 
and compat2[trans]:  $\llbracket x \succ y; y \geq z \rrbracket \implies x \succ z$ 
and gt-imp-ge:  $x \succ y \implies x \geq y$ 
and default-ge-zero: default  $\geq 0$ 

```

**begin**

```

lemma gt-trans[trans]:  $\llbracket x \succ y; y \succ z \rrbracket \implies x \succ z$ 

```

*<proof>*

**end**

```

locale one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = order-pair gt
for gt :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\succ$  50) +
assumes plus-gt-left-mono:  $x \succ y \implies x + z \succ y + z$ 
and default-gt-zero: default  $\succ 0$ 

```

**begin**

```

lemma plus-gt-right-mono:  $x \succ y \implies a + x \succ a + y$ 

```

*<proof>*

```

lemma plus-gt-both-mono:  $x \succ y \implies a \succ b \implies x + a \succ y + b$ 

```

*<proof>*

**end**

```

locale SN-one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 + order-pair
+
assumes SN: SN  $\{(x,y) . y \geq 0 \wedge x \succ y\}$ 

```

```

locale SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = SN-one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 +
fixes mono :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1  $\Rightarrow$  bool
assumes mono:  $\llbracket \text{mono } x; y \succ z; x \geq 0 \rrbracket \implies x * y \succ x * z$ 

```

```

locale both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = order-pair gt
for gt :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\succ$  50) +
fixes arc-pos :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```



```

assumes plus-gt-both-mono:  $\llbracket x \succ y; z \succ u \rrbracket \implies x + z \succ y + u$ 
and times-gt-left-mono:  $x \succ y \implies x * z \succ y * z$ 
and times-gt-right-mono:  $y \succ z \implies x * y \succ x * z$ 
and zero-leastI:  $x \succ 0$ 
and zero-leastII:  $0 \succ x \implies x = 0$ 
and zero-leastIII:  $(x :: 'a) \geq 0$ 
and arc-pos-one:  $\text{arc-pos } (1 :: 'a)$ 
and arc-pos-default:  $\text{arc-pos default}$ 
and arc-pos-zero:  $\neg \text{arc-pos } 0$ 
and arc-pos-plus:  $\text{arc-pos } x \implies \text{arc-pos } (x + y)$ 
and arc-pos-mult:  $\llbracket \text{arc-pos } x; \text{arc-pos } y \rrbracket \implies \text{arc-pos } (x * y)$ 
and not-all-ge:  $\bigwedge c d. \text{arc-pos } d \implies \exists e. e \geq 0 \wedge \text{arc-pos } e \wedge \neg (c \geq d * e)$ 
begin
lemma max0-id:  $\text{max } 0 (x :: 'a) = x$ 
  <proof>
end

locale SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 = both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 +
  assumes SN:  $SN \{(x,y) . \text{arc-pos } y \wedge x \succ y\}$ 

locale weak-SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1 =
  fixes weak-gt ::  $'a :: \text{ordered-semiring-1} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  and default ::  $'a$ 
  and mono ::  $'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  assumes weak-gt-mono:  $\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \longrightarrow \text{weak-gt } x y \implies \exists gt. SN\text{-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1 } \text{default } gt \text{ mono} \wedge (\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \longrightarrow gt \ x \ y)$ 

locale weak-SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 =
  fixes weak-gt ::  $'a :: \text{ordered-semiring-1} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  and default ::  $'a$ 
  and arc-pos ::  $'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  assumes weak-gt-both-mono:  $\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \longrightarrow \text{weak-gt } x y \implies \exists gt. SN\text{-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1 } \text{default } gt \ \text{arc-pos} \wedge (\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \longrightarrow gt \ x \ y)$ 

class poly-carrier = ordered-semiring-1 + comm-semiring-1

locale poly-order-carrier = SN-one-mono-ordered-semiring-1 default gt
  for default ::  $'a :: \text{poly-carrier}$  and gt (infix  $\succ 50$ ) +
  fixes power-mono ::  $\text{bool}$ 
  and discrete ::  $\text{bool}$ 
  assumes times-gt-mono:  $\llbracket y \succ z; x \geq 1 \rrbracket \implies y * x \succ z * x$ 
  and power-mono:  $\text{power-mono} \implies x \succ y \implies y \geq 0 \implies n \geq 1 \implies x \wedge^n \succ y$ 
  and discrete:  $\text{discrete} \implies x \geq y \implies \exists k. x = (((+) 1) \wedge^k) y$ 

class large-ordered-semiring-1 = poly-carrier +
  assumes ex-large-of-nat:  $\exists x. \text{of-nat } x \geq y$ 

```

**context** *ordered-semiring-1*  
**begin**  
**lemma** *pow-mono*: **assumes** *ab*:  $a \geq b$  **and** *b*:  $b \geq 0$   
**shows**  $a^n \geq b^n \wedge b^n \geq 0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *pow-ge-zero*[*intro*]: **assumes** *a*:  $a \geq (0 :: 'a)$   
**shows**  $a^n \geq 0$   
*<proof>*  
**end**

**lemma** *of-nat-ge-zero*[*intro,simp*]: *of-nat*  $n \geq (0 :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *mult-ge-zero*[*intro*]:  $(a :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1) \geq 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies a * b \geq 0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *pow-mono-one*: **assumes** *a*:  $a \geq (1 :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1)$   
**shows**  $a^n \geq 1$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *pow-mono-exp*: **assumes** *a*:  $a \geq (1 :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1)$   
**shows**  $n \geq m \implies a^n \geq a^m$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *mult-ge-one*[*intro*]: **assumes** *a*:  $(a :: 'a :: ordered-semiring-1) \geq 1$   
**and** *b*:  $b \geq 1$   
**shows**  $a * b \geq 1$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sum-list-ge-mono*: **fixes** *as* ::  $('a :: ordered-semiring-0) list$   
**assumes**  $length\ as = length\ bs$   
**and**  $\bigwedge i. i < length\ bs \implies as\ !\ i \geq bs\ !\ i$   
**shows**  $sum-list\ as \geq sum-list\ bs$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sum-list-ge-0-nth*: **fixes** *xs* ::  $('a :: ordered-semiring-0) list$   
**assumes** *ge*:  $\bigwedge i. i < length\ xs \implies xs\ !\ i \geq 0$   
**shows**  $sum-list\ xs \geq 0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sum-list-ge-0*: **fixes** *xs* ::  $('a :: ordered-semiring-0) list$   
**assumes** *ge*:  $\bigwedge x. x \in set\ xs \implies x \geq 0$   
**shows**  $sum-list\ xs \geq 0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *foldr-max*:  $a \in set\ as \implies foldr\ max\ as\ b \geq (a :: 'a :: ordered-ab-semigroup)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *of-nat-mono*[*intro*]: **assumes**  $n \geq m$  **shows** (*of-nat*  $n :: 'a :: \text{ordered-semiring-1}$ )  
 $\geq$  *of-nat*  $m$   
*<proof>*

non infinitesimal is the same as in the CADE07 bounded increase paper

**definition** *non-inf* ::  $'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**where**  $\text{non-inf } r \equiv \forall a f. \exists i. (f \ i, f \ (\text{Suc } i)) \notin r \vee (f \ i, a) \notin r$

**lemma** *non-infI*[*intro*]: **assumes**  $\bigwedge a f. \llbracket \bigwedge i. (f \ i, f \ (\text{Suc } i)) \in r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists i. (f \ i, a) \notin r$   
**shows**  $\text{non-inf } r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *non-infE*[*elim*]: **assumes**  $\text{non-inf } r$  **and**  $\bigwedge i. (f \ i, f \ (\text{Suc } i)) \notin r \vee (f \ i, a) \notin r \Longrightarrow P$   
**shows**  $P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *non-inf-image*:  
**assumes**  $\text{ni}: \text{non-inf } r$  **and** *image*:  $\bigwedge a b. (a,b) \in s \Longrightarrow (f \ a, f \ b) \in r$   
**shows**  $\text{non-inf } s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SN-imp-non-inf*:  $\text{SN } r \Longrightarrow \text{non-inf } r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *non-inf-imp-SN-bound*:  $\text{non-inf } r \Longrightarrow \text{SN } \{(a,b). (b,c) \in r \wedge (a,b) \in r\}$   
*<proof>*

**end**

## 5 Carriers of Strongly Normalizing Orders

**theory** *SN-Order-Carrier*  
**imports**  
  *SN-Orders*  
  *HOL.Rat*  
**begin**

This theory shows that standard semirings can be used in combination with polynomials, e.g. the naturals, integers, and arbitrary Archimedean fields by using delta-orders.

It also contains the arctic integers and arctic delta-orders where 0 is -infty, 1 is zero, + is max and \* is plus.

## 5.1 The standard semiring over the naturals

**instantiation** *nat* :: *large-ordered-semiring-1*  
**begin**  
**instance**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$   
**end**

**definition** *nat-mono* :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *nat-mono*  $x \equiv x \neq 0$

**interpretation** *nat-SN*: *SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1* 1 ( $>$ ) :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*  
 $\Rightarrow$  *bool nat-mono*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**interpretation** *nat-poly*: *poly-order-carrier* 1 ( $>$ ) :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool True*  
*discrete*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 5.2 The standard semiring over the Archimedean fields using delta-orderings

**definition** *delta-gt* :: '*a* :: *floor-ceiling*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*delta-gt*  $\delta \equiv (\lambda x y. x - y \geq \delta)$

**lemma** *non-inf-delta-gt*: **assumes** *delta*:  $\delta > 0$   
**shows** *non-inf*  $\{(a,b) . \text{delta-gt } \delta a b\}$  (**is non-inf ?r**)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *delta-gt-SN*: **assumes** *dpos*:  $\delta > 0$  **shows** *SN*  $\{(x,y) . 0 \leq y \wedge \text{delta-gt } \delta x y\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *delta-mono* :: '*a* :: *floor-ceiling*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *delta-mono*  $x \equiv x \geq 1$

**subclass** (**in** *floor-ceiling*) *large-ordered-semiring-1*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *delta-interpretation*: **assumes** *dpos*:  $\delta > 0$  **and** *default*:  $\delta \leq \text{def}$   
**shows** *SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1* *def* (*delta-gt*  $\delta$ ) *delta-mono*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *delta-poly*: **assumes** *dpos*:  $\delta > 0$  **and** *default*:  $\delta \leq \text{def}$   
**shows** *poly-order-carrier* *def* (*delta-gt*  $\delta$ ) ( $1 \leq \delta$ ) *False*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *delta-minimal-delta*: **assumes**  $\bigwedge x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \implies x > y$   
**shows**  $\exists \delta > 0. \forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set } xys \longrightarrow \text{delta-gt } \delta x y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**interpretation** *weak-delta-SN*: *weak-SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1* ( $>$ ) 1 *delta-mono*  
<proof>

### 5.3 The standard semiring over the integers

**definition** *int-mono* :: *int*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *int-mono*  $x \equiv x \geq 1$

**instantiation** *int* :: *large-ordered-semiring-1*

**begin**

**instance**

<proof>

**end**

**lemma** *non-inf-int-gt*: *non-inf*  $\{(a,b :: \textit{int}) . a > b\}$  (**is** *non-inf* ?*r*)

<proof>

**interpretation** *int-SN*: *SN-strict-mono-ordered-semiring-1* 1 ( $>$ ) :: *int*  $\Rightarrow$  *int*  $\Rightarrow$   
*bool* *int-mono*

<proof>

**interpretation** *int-poly*: *poly-order-carrier* 1 ( $>$ ) :: *int*  $\Rightarrow$  *int*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* *True* *discrete*

<proof>

### 5.4 The arctic semiring over the integers

plus is interpreted as max, times is interpreted as plus, 0 is -infinity, 1 is 0

**datatype** *arctic* = *MinInfty* | *Num-arc int*

**instantiation** *arctic* :: *ord*

**begin**

**fun** *less-eq-arctic* :: *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**

| *less-eq-arctic* *MinInfty*  $x = \textit{True}$

| *less-eq-arctic* (*Num-arc*  $-$ ) *MinInfty* = *False*

| *less-eq-arctic* (*Num-arc*  $y$ ) (*Num-arc*  $x$ ) =  $(y \leq x)$

**fun** *less-arctic* :: *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**

| *less-arctic* *MinInfty*  $x = \textit{True}$

| *less-arctic* (*Num-arc*  $-$ ) *MinInfty* = *False*

| *less-arctic* (*Num-arc*  $y$ ) (*Num-arc*  $x$ ) =  $(y < x)$

**instance** <proof>

**end**

**instantiation** *arctic* :: *ordered-semiring-1*

**begin**

**fun** *plus-arctic* :: *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *arctic* **where**

| *plus-arctic* *MinInfty*  $y = y$

| *plus-arctic*  $x$  *MinInfty* =  $x$

| *plus-arctic* (*Num-arc* *x*) (*Num-arc* *y*) = (*Num-arc* (*max* *x* *y*))

**fun** *times-arctic* :: *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *arctic* **where**  
  *times-arctic* *MinInfty* *y* = *MinInfty*  
| *times-arctic* *x* *MinInfty* = *MinInfty*  
| *times-arctic* (*Num-arc* *x*) (*Num-arc* *y*) = (*Num-arc* (*x* + *y*))

**definition** *zero-arctic* :: *arctic* **where**  
  *zero-arctic* = *MinInfty*

**definition** *one-arctic* :: *arctic* **where**  
  *one-arctic* = *Num-arc* 0

**instance**  
<*proof*>  
**end**

**fun** *get-arctic-num* :: *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *int*  
**where** *get-arctic-num* (*Num-arc* *n*) = *n*

**fun** *pos-arctic* :: *arctic*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
**where** *pos-arctic* *MinInfty* = *False*  
  | *pos-arctic* (*Num-arc* *n*) = (*0* <= *n*)

**interpretation** *arctic-SN*: *SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1* 1 (>) *pos-arctic*  
<*proof*>

## 5.5 The arctic semiring over an arbitrary archimedean field

completely analogous to the integers, where one has to use delta-orderings

**datatype** '*a* *arctic-delta* = *MinInfty-delta* | *Num-arc-delta* '*a*

**instantiation** *arctic-delta* :: (*ord*) *ord*  
**begin**

**fun** *less-eq-arctic-delta* :: '*a* *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
  *less-eq-arctic-delta* *MinInfty-delta* *x* = *True*  
| *less-eq-arctic-delta* (*Num-arc-delta* -) *MinInfty-delta* = *False*  
| *less-eq-arctic-delta* (*Num-arc-delta* *y*) (*Num-arc-delta* *x*) = (*y*  $\leq$  *x*)

**fun** *less-arctic-delta* :: '*a* *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
  *less-arctic-delta* *MinInfty-delta* *x* = *True*  
| *less-arctic-delta* (*Num-arc-delta* -) *MinInfty-delta* = *False*  
| *less-arctic-delta* (*Num-arc-delta* *y*) (*Num-arc-delta* *x*) = (*y* < *x*)

**instance** <*proof*>  
**end**

**instantiation** *arctic-delta* :: (*linordered-field*) *ordered-semiring-1*

**begin**

**fun** *plus-arctic-delta* :: 'a *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *arctic-delta* **where**  
| *plus-arctic-delta* *MinInfty-delta*  $y = y$   
| *plus-arctic-delta*  $x$  *MinInfty-delta*  $= x$   
| *plus-arctic-delta* (*Num-arc-delta*  $x$ ) (*Num-arc-delta*  $y$ )  $=$  (*Num-arc-delta* ( $\max x$   
 $y$ ))

**fun** *times-arctic-delta* :: 'a *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *arctic-delta* **where**  
| *times-arctic-delta* *MinInfty-delta*  $y = \text{MinInfty-delta}$   
| *times-arctic-delta*  $x$  *MinInfty-delta*  $= \text{MinInfty-delta}$   
| *times-arctic-delta* (*Num-arc-delta*  $x$ ) (*Num-arc-delta*  $y$ )  $=$  (*Num-arc-delta* ( $x +$   
 $y$ ))

**definition** *zero-arctic-delta* :: 'a *arctic-delta* **where**  
*zero-arctic-delta*  $= \text{MinInfty-delta}$

**definition** *one-arctic-delta* :: 'a *arctic-delta* **where**  
*one-arctic-delta*  $= \text{Num-arc-delta } 0$

**instance**

*<proof>*

**end**

$x \lesseqgtr y$  is interpreted as  $y = -\text{inf}$  or  $(x, y \neq -\text{inf} \text{ and } x \lesseqgtr y)$

**fun** *gt-arctic-delta* :: 'a :: *floor-ceiling*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
**where** *gt-arctic-delta*  $\delta$  *MinInfty-delta*  $= \text{True}$   
| *gt-arctic-delta*  $\delta$  *MinInfty-delta* (*Num-arc-delta*  $-$ )  $= \text{False}$   
| *gt-arctic-delta*  $\delta$  (*Num-arc-delta*  $x$ ) (*Num-arc-delta*  $y$ )  $= \text{delta-gt } \delta x y$

**fun** *get-arctic-delta-num* :: 'a *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  'a  
**where** *get-arctic-delta-num* (*Num-arc-delta*  $n$ )  $= n$

**fun** *pos-arctic-delta* :: ('a :: *floor-ceiling*) *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
**where** *pos-arctic-delta* *MinInfty-delta*  $= \text{False}$   
| *pos-arctic-delta* (*Num-arc-delta*  $n$ )  $= (0 \leq n)$

**lemma** *arctic-delta-interpretation*: **assumes** *dpos*:  $\delta > 0$  **shows** *SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1*  
*1* (*gt-arctic-delta*  $\delta$ ) *pos-arctic-delta*  
*<proof>*

**fun** *weak-gt-arctic-delta* :: ('a :: *floor-ceiling*) *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *arctic-delta*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
**where** *weak-gt-arctic-delta* *MinInfty-delta*  $= \text{True}$   
| *weak-gt-arctic-delta* *MinInfty-delta* (*Num-arc-delta*  $-$ )  $= \text{False}$   
| *weak-gt-arctic-delta* (*Num-arc-delta*  $x$ ) (*Num-arc-delta*  $y$ )  $= (x > y)$

**interpretation** *weak-arctic-delta-SN*: *weak-SN-both-mono-ordered-semiring-1* *weak-gt-arctic-delta*  
*1* *pos-arctic-delta*

*<proof>*

**end**

## References

- [1] F. Baader and T. Nipkow. *Term Rewriting and All That*. Cambridge University Press, Aug. 1999.
- [2] C. Sternagel. *Automatic Certification of Termination Proofs*. PhD thesis, University of Innsbruck, Institute of Computer Science, 2010. not finished yet.
- [3] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of termination proofs using CeTA. In S. Berghofer, T. Nipkow, C. Urban, and M. Wenzel, editors, *22nd International Conference on Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics, TPHOLs 2009*, pages 452–468. Springer, 2009.