

Authenticated Data Structures as Functors

Andreas Lochbihler Ognjen Maric

Digital Asset

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Authenticated data structures allow several systems to convince each other that they are referring to the same data structure, even if each of them knows only a part of the data structure. Using inclusion proofs, knowledgeable systems can selectively share their knowledge with other systems and the latter can verify the authenticity of what is being shared.

In this paper, we show how to modularly define authenticated data structures, their inclusion proofs, and operations thereon as datatypes in Isabelle/HOL, using a shallow embedding. Modularity allows us to construct complicated trees from reusable building blocks, which we call Merkle functors. Merkle functors include sums, products, and function spaces and are closed under composition and least fixpoints.

As a practical application, we model the hierarchical transactions of Canton, a practical interoperability protocol for distributed ledgers, as authenticated data structures. This is a first step towards formalizing the Canton protocol and verifying its integrity and security guarantees.

Contents

1	Authenticated Data Structures	2
1.1	Interface	2
1.1.1	Types	2
1.1.2	Properties	2
1.2	Auxiliary definitions	3
1.2.1	Blinding	3
1.2.2	Merging	4
1.3	Interface equality	5
1.4	Parametricity rules	5
2	Building blocks for authenticated data structures on datatypes	6
2.1	Building Block: Identity Functor	6
2.1.1	Example: instantiation for <i>unit</i>	7

2	Building Block: Blindable Position	7
2.2.1	Hashes	8
2.2.2	Blinding	8
2.2.3	Merging	9
2.2.4	Merkle interface	10
2.2.5	Non-recursive blindable positions	10
2.3	Building block: Sums	11
2.3.1	Hashes	11
2.3.2	Blinding	11
2.3.3	Merging	12
2.3.4	Merkle interface	12
2.4	Building Block: Products	13
2.4.1	Hashes	13
2.4.2	Blinding	13
2.4.3	Merging	13
2.4.4	Merkle Interface	14
2.5	Building Block: Lists	14
2.5.1	The Isomorphism	15
2.5.2	Hashes	16
2.5.3	Blinding	17
2.5.4	Merging	17
2.5.5	Transferring the Constructions to Lists	18
2.6	Building block: function space	19
2.6.1	Hashes	19
2.6.2	Blinding	19
2.6.3	Merging	20
2.6.4	Merkle Interface	21
2.7	Rose trees	21
2.7.1	Hashes	21
2.7.2	Blinding	22
2.7.3	Merging	24
2.7.4	Merkle interface	25
3	Generic construction of authenticated data structures	25
3.1	Functors	25
3.1.1	Source functor	25
3.1.2	Base Merkle functor	26
3.1.3	Least fixpoint	26
3.1.4	Composition	26
3.2	Root hash	27
3.2.1	Base functor	27
3.2.2	Least fixpoint	27
3.2.3	Composition	27
3.3	Blinding relation	28

3.3.1	Blinding on the base functor (F_m)	28
3.3.2	Blinding on least fixpoints	28
3.3.3	Blinding on composition	29
3.4	Merging	30
3.4.1	Merging on the base functor	30
3.4.2	Merging on the least fixpoint	30
3.4.3	Merging and composition	31
3.5	Inclusion proof construction for rose trees	32
3.5.1	Hashing, embedding and blinding source trees	32
3.5.2	Auxiliary definitions: selectors and list splits	33
3.5.3	Zippers	33
3.6	All zippers of a rose tree	36
4	Canton’s hierarchical transaction trees	38
4.1	Views as authenticated data structures	38
4.2	Transaction trees as authenticated data structures	41
4.3	Constructing authenticated data structures for views	43
4.3.1	Inclusion proof for the mediator	46
4.3.2	Inclusion proofs for participants	46

```

theory Merkle-Interface
imports
  Main
  HOL-Library.Conditional-Parametricity
  HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
begin

  alias vimage2p = BNF-Def.vimage2p
  alias Grp = BNF-Def.Grp
  alias setl = Basic-BNFs.setl
  alias setr = Basic-BNFs.setr
  alias fst = Basic-BNFs.fst
  alias snd = Basic-BNFs.snd

  ⟨ML⟩

  lemma vimage2p-mono':  $R \leq S \implies \text{vimage2p } f g R \leq \text{vimage2p } f g S$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma vimage2p-map-rel-prod:
     $\text{vimage2p } (\text{map-prod } f g) (\text{map-prod } f' g') (\text{rel-prod } A B) = \text{rel-prod } (\text{vimage2p } f f' A) (\text{vimage2p } g g' B)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma vimage2p-map-list-all2:
     $\text{vimage2p } (\text{map } f) (\text{map } g) (\text{list-all2 } A) = \text{list-all2 } (\text{vimage2p } f g A)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma equivclp-least:  
  assumes le:  $r \leq s$  and s: equivp s  
  shows equivclp  $r \leq s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma reflp-eq-onp: reflp  $R \longleftrightarrow$  eq-onp ( $\lambda x. True$ )  $\leq R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma eq-onpE:  
  assumes eq-onp P x y  
  obtains  $x = y$  P y  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma case-unit-parametric [transfer-rule]: rel-fun A (rel-fun (=) A) case-unit  
case-unit  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

1 Authenticated Data Structures

1.1 Interface

1.1.1 Types

```
type-synonym (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ ) hash = ' $a_m \Rightarrow a_h$  — Type of hash operation  
type-synonym ' $a_m$  blinding-of = ' $a_m \Rightarrow a_m \Rightarrow bool$   
type-synonym ' $a_m$  merge = ' $a_m \Rightarrow a_m \Rightarrow a_m$  option — merging that can fail  
for values with different hashes
```

1.1.2 Properties

```
locale merkle-interface =  
  fixes h :: (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ ) hash  
  and bo :: ' $a_m$  blinding-of  
  and m :: ' $a_m$  merge  
  assumes merge-respects-hashes:  $h a = h b \longleftrightarrow (\exists ab. m a b = Some ab)$   
  and idem:  $m a a = Some a$   
  and commute:  $m a b = m b a$   
  and assoc:  $m a b \gg m c = m b c \gg m a$   
  and bo-def:  $bo a b \longleftrightarrow m a b = Some b$   
begin
```

```
lemma reflp: reflp bo  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma antisymp: antisymp bo  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma transp: transp bo
```

```
<proof>
```

```
lemma hash: bo ≤ vimage2p h h (=)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma join: m a b = Some ab ↔ bo a ab ∧ bo b ab ∧ ( $\forall u.$  bo a u → bo b u)  
<proof>
```

The equivalence closure of the blinding relation are the equivalence classes of the hash function (the kernel).

```
lemma equivclp-blinding-of: equivclp bo = vimage2p h h (=) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)  
<proof>
```

```
end
```

1.2 Auxiliary definitions

Directly proving that an interface satisfies the specification of a Merkle interface as given above is difficult. Instead, we provide several layers of auxiliary definitions that can easily be proved layer-by-layer.

In particular, proving that an interface on recursive datatypes is a Merkle interface requires induction. As the induction hypothesis only applies to a subset of values of a type, we add auxiliary definitions equipped with an explicit set A of values to which the definition applies. Once the induction proof is complete, we can typically instantiate A with $UNIV$. In particular, in the induction proof for a layer, we can assume that properties for the earlier layers hold for *all* values, not just those in the induction hypothesis.

1.2.1 Blinding

```
locale blinding-respects-hashes =  
  fixes h :: ('am, 'an) hash  
  and bo :: 'am blinding-of  
  assumes hash: bo ≤ vimage2p h h (=)  
begin
```



```
lemma blinding-hash-eq: bo x y ⇒ h x = h y  
<proof>
```

```
end
```

```
locale blinding-of-on =  
  blinding-respects-hashes h bo  
  for A :: 'am set  
  and h :: ('am, 'an) hash  
  and bo :: 'am blinding-of
```

```

+ assumes refl:  $x \in A \implies bo x x$ 
  and trans:  $\llbracket bo x y; bo y z; x \in A \rrbracket \implies bo x z$ 
  and antisym:  $\llbracket bo x y; bo y x; x \in A \rrbracket \implies x = y$ 
begin

lemma refl-pointfree: eq-onp  $(\lambda x. x \in A) \leq bo$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-respects-hashes: blinding-respects-hashes h bo ⟨proof⟩
lemmas hash = hash

lemma trans-pointfree: eq-onp  $(\lambda x. x \in A) OO bo OO bo \leq bo$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma antisym-pointfree: inf (eq-onp  $(\lambda x. x \in A) OO bo$ )  $bo^{-1-1} \leq (=)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.2.2 Merging

In general, we prove the properties of blinding before the properties of merging. Thus, in the following definitions we assume that the blinding properties already hold on *UNIV*. The *Ball* restricts the argument of the merge operation on which induction will be done.

```

locale merge-on =
blinding-of-on UNIV h bo
for A :: 'am set
and h :: ('am, 'ah) hash
and bo :: 'am blinding-of
and m :: 'am merge +
assumes join:  $\llbracket h a = h b; a \in A \rrbracket \implies \exists ab. m a b = Some ab \wedge bo a ab \wedge bo b ab \wedge (\forall u. bo a u \longrightarrow bo b u \longrightarrow bo ab u)$ 
  and undefined:  $\llbracket h a \neq h b; a \in A \rrbracket \implies m a b = None$ 
begin

lemma same:  $a \in A \implies m a a = Some a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-of-antisym-on: blinding-of-on UNIV h bo ⟨proof⟩

lemma transp: transp bo
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas hash = hash
  and refl = refl
  and antisym = antisym[OF - - UNIV-I]

```

```

lemma respects-hashes:
   $a \in A \implies h a = h b \longleftrightarrow (\exists ab. m a b = Some ab)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma join':
   $a \in A \implies \forall ab. m a b = Some ab \longleftrightarrow bo a ab \wedge bo b ab \wedge (\forall u. bo a u \longrightarrow bo b u \longrightarrow bo ab u)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma merge-on-subset:
   $B \subseteq A \implies \text{merge-on } B h bo m$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

1.3 Interface equality

Here, we prove that the auxiliary definitions specify the same interface as the original ones.

```

lemma merkle-interface-aux: merkle-interface h bo m = merge-on UNIV h bo m
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma merkle-interfaceI [locale-witness]:
  assumes merge-on UNIV h bo m
  shows merkle-interface h bo m
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in merkle-interface) merkle-interfaceD: merge-on UNIV h bo m
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

1.4 Parametricity rules

```

context includes lifting-syntax begin
parametric-constant le-fun-parametric[transfer-rule]: le-fun-def
parametric-constant vimage2p-parametric[transfer-rule]: vimage2p-def
parametric-constant blinding-respects-hashes-parametric-aux: blinding-respects-hashes-def

lemma blinding-respects-hashes-parametric [transfer-rule]:
   $((A1 ==> A2) ==> (A1 ==> A1 ==> (\longleftrightarrow)) ==> (\longleftrightarrow))$ 
  blinding-respects-hashes blinding-respects-hashes
  if [transfer-rule]: bi-unique A2 bi-total A1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

parametric-constant blinding-of-on-axioms-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  blinding-of-on-axioms-def[folded Ball-def, unfolded le-fun-def le-bool-def eq-onp-def
  relcompp.simps, simplified]
parametric-constant blinding-of-on-parametric [transfer-rule]: blinding-of-on-def

```

```

parametric-constant antisymp-parametric[transfer-rule]: antisymp-def
parametric-constant transp-parametric[transfer-rule]: transp-def

parametric-constant merge-on-axioms-parametric [transfer-rule]: merge-on-axioms-def
parametric-constant merge-on-parametric[transfer-rule]: merge-on-def

parametric-constant merkle-interface-parametric[transfer-rule]: merkle-interface-def
end

end

theory ADS-Construction imports
  Merkle-Interface
  HOL-Library.Simps-Case-Conv
begin

```

2 Building blocks for authenticated data structures on datatypes

2.1 Building Block: Identity Functor

If nothing is blindable in a type, then the type itself is the hash and the ADS of itself.

abbreviation (input) hash-discrete :: ('a, 'a) hash **where** hash-discrete \equiv id

abbreviation (input) blinding-of-discrete :: 'a blinding-of **where**
blinding-of-discrete \equiv (=)

definition merge-discrete :: 'a merge **where**
merge-discrete x y = (if x = y then Some y else None)

lemma blinding-of-discrete-hash:
blinding-of-discrete \leq vimage2p hash-discrete hash-discrete (=)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma blinding-of-on-discrete [locale-witness]:
blinding-of-on UNIV hash-discrete blinding-of-discrete
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma merge-on-discrete [locale-witness]:
merge-on UNIV hash-discrete blinding-of-discrete merge-discrete
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma merkle-discrete [locale-witness]:
merkle-interface hash-discrete blinding-of-discrete merge-discrete
 $\langle proof \rangle$

parametric-constant *merge-discrete-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]: *merge-discrete-def*

2.1.1 Example: instantiation for *unit*

abbreviation (*input*) *hash-unit* :: (*unit*, *unit*) *hash* **where** *hash-unit* \equiv *hash-discrete*

abbreviation *blinding-of-unit* :: *unit* *blinding-of* **where**
blinding-of-unit \equiv *blinding-of-discrete*

abbreviation *merge-unit* :: *unit* *merge* **where** *merge-unit* \equiv *merge-discrete*

lemma *blinding-of-unit-hash*:
blinding-of-unit \leq *vimage2p* *hash-unit* *hash-unit* (=)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *blinding-of-on-unit*:
blinding-of-on *UNIV* *hash-unit* *blinding-of-unit*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-on-unit*:
merge-on *UNIV* *hash-unit* *blinding-of-unit* *merge-unit*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merkle-interface-unit*:
merkle-interface *hash-unit* *blinding-of-unit* *merge-unit*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2 Building Block: Blindable Position

type-synonym '*a* *blindable* = '*a*

The following type represents the hashes of a datatype. We model hashes as being injective, but not surjective; some hashes do not correspond to any values of the original datatypes. We model such values as "garbage" coming from a countable set (here, naturals).

type-synonym *garbage* = *nat*

datatype '*a_h* *blindable_h* = *Content* '*a_h* | *Garbage* *garbage*

datatype ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *blindable_m* = *Unblinded* '*a_m* | *Blinded* '*a_h* *blindable_h*

2.2.1 Hashes

primrec *hash-blindable'* :: (('*a_h*, '*a_h*) *blindable_m*, '*a_h* *blindable_h*) *hash* **where**
hash-blindable' (*Unblinded* *x*) = *Content* *x*
| *hash-blindable'* (*Blinded* *x*) = *x*

definition *hash-blindable* :: ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *hash* \Rightarrow (('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *blindable_m*, '*a_h* *blindable_h*) *hash* **where**

```

hash-blindable h = hash-blindable' ∘ map-blindablem h id

lemma hash-blindable-simps [simp]:
  hash-blindable h (Unblinded x) = Content (h x)
  hash-blindable h (Blinded y) = y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hash-map-blindable-simp:
  hash-blindable f (map-blindablem f' id x) = hash-blindable (f o f') x
  ⟨proof⟩

parametric-constant hash-blindable'-parametric [transfer-rule]: hash-blindable'-def

parametric-constant hash-blindable-parametric [transfer-rule]: hash-blindable-def

```

2.2.2 Blinding

```

context
  fixes h :: ('am, 'ah) hash
  and bo :: 'am blinding-of
begin

inductive blinding-of-blindable :: ('am, 'ah) blindablem blinding-of where
  blinding-of-blindable (Unblinded x) (Unblinded y) if bo x y
  | blinding-of-blindable (Blinded x) t if hash-blindable h t = x

inductive-simps blinding-of-blindable-simps [simp]:
  blinding-of-blindable (Unblinded x) y
  blinding-of-blindable (Blinded x) y
  blinding-of-blindable z (Unblinded x)
  blinding-of-blindable z (Blinded x)

inductive-simps blinding-of-blindable-simps2:
  blinding-of-blindable (Unblinded x) (Unblinded y)
  blinding-of-blindable (Unblinded x) (Blinded y')
  blinding-of-blindable (Blinded x') (Unblinded y)
  blinding-of-blindable (Blinded x') (Blinded y')

end

lemma blinding-of-blindable-mono:
  assumes bo ≤ bo'
  shows blinding-of-blindable h bo ≤ blinding-of-blindable h bo'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-of-blindable-hash:
  assumes bo ≤ vimage2p h h (=)
  shows blinding-of-blindable h bo ≤ vimage2p (hash-blindable h) (hash-blindable h) (=)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma blinding-of-on-blindable [locale-witness]:
  assumes blinding-of-on A h bo
  shows blinding-of-on {x. set1-blindablem x ⊆ A} (hash-blindable h) (blinding-of-blindable h bo)
  (is blinding-of-on ?A ?h ?bo)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas blinding-of-blindable [locale-witness] = blinding-of-on-blindable[of UNIV,
simplified]
```

```
case-of-simps blinding-of-blindable-alt-def: blinding-of-blindable-simps2
parametric-constant blinding-of-blindable-parametric [transfer-rule]: blinding-of-blindable-alt-def
```

2.2.3 Merging

context

```
  fixes h :: ('am, 'ah) hash
  fixes m :: 'am merge
```

begin

```
fun merge-blindable :: ('am, 'ah) blindablem merge where
  merge-blindable (Unblinded x) (Unblinded y) = map-option Unblinded (m x y)
  | merge-blindable (Blinded x) (Unblinded y) = (if x = Content (h y) then Some (Unblinded y) else None)
  | merge-blindable (Unblinded y) (Blinded x) = (if x = Content (h y) then Some (Unblinded y) else None)
  | merge-blindable (Blinded t) (Blinded u) = (if t = u then Some (Blinded u) else None)
```

```
lemma merge-on-blindable [locale-witness]:
  assumes merge-on A h bo m
  shows merge-on {x. set1-blindablem x ⊆ A} (hash-blindable h) (blinding-of-blindable h bo) merge-blindable
  (is merge-on ?A ?h ?bo ?m)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas merge-blindable [locale-witness] =
merge-on-blindable[of UNIV, simplified]
```

end

```
lemma merge-blindable-alt-def:
  merge-blindable h m x y = (case (x, y) of
    (Unblinded x, Unblinded y) => map-option Unblinded (m x y)
    | (Blinded x, Unblinded y) => (if Content (h y) = x then Some (Unblinded y) else None)
    | (Unblinded y, Blinded x) => (if Content (h y) = x then Some (Unblinded y) else
```

```

None)
| (Blinded t, Blinded u) ⇒ (if t = u then Some (Blinded u) else None))
⟨proof⟩

```

parametric-constant merge-blindable-parametric [transfer-rule]: merge-blindable-alt-def

```

lemma merge-blindable-cong [fundef-cong]:
assumes ⋀ a b. [ a ∈ set1-blindablem x; b ∈ set1-blindablem y ] ⇒ m a b = m'
a b
shows merge-blindable h m x y = merge-blindable h m' x y
⟨proof⟩

```

2.2.4 Merkle interface

```

lemma merkle-blindable [locale-witness]:
assumes merkle-interface h bo m
shows merkle-interface (hash-blindable h) (blinding-of-blindable h bo) (merge-blindable
h m)
⟨proof⟩

```

2.2.5 Non-recursive blindable positions

For a non-recursive data type ' a ', the type of hashes in blindable_m is fixed to be simply ' a blindable_h'. We obtain this by instantiating the type variable with the identity building block.

type-synonym ' a nr-blindable' = (' a , ' a ') blindable_m

abbreviation hash-nr-blindable :: (' a nr-blindable, ' a blindable_h) hash **where**
 $\text{hash-nr-blindable} \equiv \text{hash-blindable hash-discrete}$

abbreviation blinding-of-nr-blindable :: ' a nr-blindable blinding-of' **where**
 $\text{blinding-of-nr-blindable} \equiv \text{blinding-of-blindable hash-discrete blinding-of-discrete}$

abbreviation merge-nr-blindable :: ' a nr-blindable merge' **where**
 $\text{merge-nr-blindable} \equiv \text{merge-blindable hash-discrete merge-discrete}$

lemma merge-on-nr-blindable:
 $\text{merge-on UNIV hash-nr-blindable blinding-of-nr-blindable merge-nr-blindable}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma merkle-nr-blindable:
 $\text{merkle-interface hash-nr-blindable blinding-of-nr-blindable merge-nr-blindable}$
⟨proof⟩

2.3 Building block: Sums

We prove that we can lift the ADS construction through sums.

type-synonym (' a_h , ' b_h ') sum_h = ' a_h ' + ' b_h '

```

type-notation sumh (infixr  $\langle +_h \rangle$  10)

type-synonym ('am, 'bm) summ = 'am + 'bm
— If a functor does not introduce bindable positions, then we don't need the
type variable copies.

type-notation summ (infixr  $\langle +_m \rangle$  10)

```

2.3.1 Hashes

```

abbreviation (input) hash-sum' :: ('ah +h 'bh, 'ah +h 'bh) hash where
  hash-sum' ≡ id

```

```

abbreviation (input) hash-sum :: ('am, 'ah) hash  $\Rightarrow$  ('bm, 'bh) hash  $\Rightarrow$  ('am +m
'bm, 'ah +h 'bh) hash
where hash-sum ≡ map-sum

```

2.3.2 Blinding

```

abbreviation (input) blinding-of-sum :: 'am blinding-of  $\Rightarrow$  'bm blinding-of  $\Rightarrow$  ('am
+m 'bm) blinding-of where
  blinding-of-sum ≡ rel-sum

```

```

lemmas blinding-of-sum-mono = sum.rel-mono

```

```

lemma blinding-of-sum-hash:
  assumes boa ≤ vimage2p rha rha (=) bob ≤ vimage2p rhb rhb (=)
  shows blinding-of-sum boa bob ≤ vimage2p (hash-sum rha rhb) (hash-sum rha
rhb) (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma blinding-of-on-sum [locale-witness]:
  assumes blinding-of-on A rha boa blinding-of-on B rhb bob
  shows blinding-of-on {x. setl x ⊆ A ∧ setr x ⊆ B} (hash-sum rha rhb) (blinding-of-sum
boa bob)
  (is blinding-of-on ?A ?h ?bo)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas blinding-of-sum [locale-witness] = blinding-of-on-sum[of UNIV - - UNIV,
simplified]

```

2.3.3 Merging

```

context

```

```

  fixes ma :: 'am merge
  fixes mb :: 'bm merge

```

```

begin

```

```

fun merge-sum :: ('am +m 'bm) merge where
  merge-sum (Inl x) (Inl y) = map-option Inl (ma x y)
  | merge-sum (Inr x) (Inr y) = map-option Inr (mb x y)

```

```

| merge-sum - - = None

lemma merge-on-sum [locale-witness]:
  assumes merge-on A rha boa ma merge-on B rhb bob mb
  shows merge-on {x. setl x ⊆ A ∧ setr x ⊆ B} (hash-sum rha rhb) (blinding-of-sum
  boa bob) merge-sum
  (is merge-on ?A ?h ?bo ?m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas merge-sum [locale-witness] = merge-on-sum[where A=UNIV and B=UNIV,
simplified]

lemma merge-sum-alt-def:
  merge-sum x y = (case (x, y) of
    (Inl x, Inl y) ⇒ map-option Inl (ma x y)
  | (Inr x, Inr y) ⇒ map-option Inr (mb x y)
  | - ⇒ None)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma merge-sum-cong[fundef-cong]:
  ⟦ x = x'; y = y' ;
  ⋀ xl yl. ⟦ x = Inl xl; y = Inl yl ⟧ ⇒ ma xl yl = ma' xl yl;
  ⋀ xr yr. ⟦ x = Inr xr; y = Inr yr ⟧ ⇒ mb xr yr = mb' xr yr ⟧ ⇒
  merge-sum ma mb x y = merge-sum ma' mb' x' y'
  ⟨proof⟩

parametric-constant merge-sum-parametric [transfer-rule]: merge-sum-alt-def

```

2.3.4 Merkle interface

```

lemma merkle-sum [locale-witness]:
  assumes merkle-interface rha boa ma merkle-interface rhb bob mb
  shows merkle-interface (hash-sum rha rhb) (blinding-of-sum boa bob) (merge-sum
  ma mb)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.4 Building Block: Products

We prove that we can lift the ADS construction through products.

type-synonym ('*a_h*, '*b_h*) *prod_h* = '*a_h* × '*b_h*
type-notation *prod_h* (⟨(- ×_{*h*} / -)⟩ [21, 20] 20)

type-synonym ('*a_m*, '*b_m*) *prod_m* = '*a_m* × '*b_m*

— If a functor does not introduce blindable positions, then we don't need the type variable copies.

type-notation *prod_m* (⟨(- ×_{*m*} / -)⟩ [21, 20] 20)

2.4.1 Hashes

abbreviation (*input*) *hash-prod'* :: $('a_h \times_h 'b_h, 'a_h \times_h 'b_h) \text{ hash}$ **where**
 $\text{hash-prod}' \equiv id$

abbreviation (*input*) *hash-prod* :: $('a_m, 'a_h) \text{ hash} \Rightarrow ('b_m, 'b_h) \text{ hash} \Rightarrow ('a_m \times_m 'b_m, 'a_h \times_h 'b_h) \text{ hash}$
where $\text{hash-prod} \equiv \text{map-prod}$

2.4.2 Blinding

abbreviation (*input*) *blinding-of-prod* :: $'a_m \text{ blinding-of} \Rightarrow 'b_m \text{ blinding-of} \Rightarrow ('a_m \times_m 'b_m) \text{ blinding-of}$ **where**
 $\text{blinding-of-prod} \equiv \text{rel-prod}$

lemmas *blinding-of-prod-mono* = *prod.rel-mono*

lemma *blinding-of-prod-hash*:

assumes *boa* $\leq \text{vimage2p rha rha} (=)$ *bob* $\leq \text{vimage2p rhb rhb} (=)$
shows *blinding-of-prod boa bob* $\leq \text{vimage2p (hash-prod rha rhb) (hash-prod rha rhb)} (=)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *blinding-of-on-prod* [*locale-witness*]:

assumes *blinding-of-on A rha boa blinding-of-on B rhb bob*
shows *blinding-of-on {x. fst x ⊆ A ∧ snd x ⊆ B} (hash-prod rha rhb) (blinding-of-prod boa bob)*
 $(\text{is blinding-of-on ?A ?h ?bo})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *blinding-of-prod* [*locale-witness*] = *blinding-of-on-prod* [**where** *A=UNIV* and *B=UNIV*, *simplified*]

2.4.3 Merging

context

fixes *ma* :: $'a_m \text{ merge}$
fixes *mb* :: $'b_m \text{ merge}$

begin

fun *merge-prod* :: $('a_m \times_m 'b_m) \text{ merge}$ **where**
 $\text{merge-prod} (x, y) (x', y') = \text{Option.bind} (\text{ma } x \ x') (\lambda x''. \text{map-option} (\text{Pair } x'') (mb \ y \ y'))$

lemma *merge-on-prod* [*locale-witness*]:

assumes *merge-on A rha boa ma merge-on B rhb bob mb*
shows *merge-on {x. fst x ⊆ A ∧ snd x ⊆ B} (hash-prod rha rhb) (blinding-of-prod boa bob) merge-prod*
 $(\text{is merge-on ?A ?h ?bo ?m})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemmas merge-prod [locale-witness] = merge-on-prod[where A=UNIV and B=UNIV,
simplified]

lemma merge-prod-alt-def:
  merge-prod = ( $\lambda(x, y) (x', y'). \text{Option.bind } (\text{ma } x x') (\lambda x''. \text{map-option } (\text{Pair } x'') (mb y y'))$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma merge-prod-cong[fundef-cong]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge a b. [\![ a \in \text{fsts } p1; b \in \text{fsts } p2 ]\!] \implies \text{ma } a b = \text{ma}' a b$ 
  and  $\bigwedge a b. [\![ a \in \text{snds } p1; b \in \text{snds } p2 ]\!] \implies \text{mb } a b = \text{mb}' a b$ 
  shows merge-prod ma mb p1 p2 = merge-prod ma' mb' p1 p2
  ⟨proof⟩

parametric-constant merge-prod-parametric [transfer-rule]: merge-prod-alt-def

```

2.4.4 Merkle Interface

```

lemma merkle-product [locale-witness]:
  assumes merkle-interface rha boa ma merkle-interface rhb bob mb
  shows merkle-interface (hash-prod rha rhb) (blinding-of-prod boa bob) (merge-prod
  ma mb)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.5 Building Block: Lists

The ADS construction on lists is done the easiest through a separate isomorphic datatype that has only a single constructor. We hide this construction in a locale.

```

locale list-R1 begin

type-synonym ('a, 'b) list-F = unit + 'a × 'b

abbreviation (input) set-base-Fm ≡  $\lambda x. \text{setr } x \gg= \text{fsts}$ 
abbreviation (input) set-rec-Fm ≡  $\lambda A. \text{setr } A \gg= \text{snds}$ 
abbreviation (input) map-F ≡  $\lambda fb fr. \text{map-sum id } (\text{map-prod } fb fr)$ 

datatype 'a list-R1 = list-R1 (unR: ('a, 'a list-R1) list-F)

lemma list-R1-const-into-dest: list-R1 F = l  $\longleftrightarrow$  F = unR l
  ⟨proof⟩

declare list-R1.split[split]

lemma list-R1-induct[case-names list-R1]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge F. [\![ \bigwedge l'. l' \in \text{set-rec-F}_m F \implies P l' ]\!] \implies P (\text{list-R1 } F)$ 

```

shows $P l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set\text{-}list\text{-}R1\text{-}eq$:
 $\{x. set\text{-}base\text{-}F_m x \subseteq A \wedge set\text{-}rec\text{-}F_m x \subseteq B\} =$
 $\{x. setl x \subseteq UNIV \wedge setr x \subseteq \{x. fst x \subseteq A \wedge snd x \subseteq B\}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5.1 The Isomorphism

primrec (*transfer*) $list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list} :: 'a list\text{-}R1 \Rightarrow 'a list$ **where**
 $list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list} (list\text{-}R1 l) = (case map\text{-}sum id (map\text{-}prod id list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list}) l of Inl () \Rightarrow [] | Inr (x, xs) \Rightarrow x \# xs)$

lemma $list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list}\text{-}simps [simp]$:
 $list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list} (list\text{-}R1 (Inl ())) = []$
 $list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list} (list\text{-}R1 (Inr (x, xs))) = x \# list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list} xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare $list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list}.simps [simp del]$

primrec (*transfer*) $list\text{-}to\text{-}list\text{-}R1 :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list\text{-}R1$ **where**
 $list\text{-}to\text{-}list\text{-}R1 [] = list\text{-}R1 (Inl ())$
 $| list\text{-}to\text{-}list\text{-}R1 (x#xs) = list\text{-}R1 (Inr (x, list\text{-}to\text{-}list\text{-}R1 xs))$

lemma $R1\text{-of-list}: list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list} (list\text{-}to\text{-}list\text{-}R1 x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $list\text{-}of\text{-}R1: list\text{-}to\text{-list}\text{-}R1 (list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list} x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $list\text{-}R1\text{-def}: type\text{-}definition list\text{-}to\text{-list}\text{-}R1 list\text{-}R1\text{-to-list} UNIV$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

setup-lifting $list\text{-}R1\text{-def}$

lemma $map\text{-}list\text{-}R1\text{-list\text{-}to\text{-list}\text{-}R1}: map\text{-}list\text{-}R1 f (list\text{-}to\text{-list}\text{-}R1 xs) = list\text{-}to\text{-list}\text{-}R1$
 $(map f xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $list\text{-}R1\text{-map-trans} [transfer\text{-}rule]$: **includes** *lifting-syntax* **shows**
 $((==) ==> (=)) ==> pcr\text{-}list (=) ==> pcr\text{-}list (=) map\text{-}list\text{-}R1 map$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set\text{-}list\text{-}R1\text{-list\text{-}to\text{-list}\text{-}R1}: set\text{-}list\text{-}R1 (list\text{-}to\text{-list}\text{-}R1 xs) = set xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $list\text{-}R1\text{-set-trans} [transfer\text{-}rule]$: **includes** *lifting-syntax* **shows**
 $(pcr\text{-}list (=) ==> (=)) set\text{-}list\text{-}R1 set$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-list-R1-list-to-list-R1*:

rel-list-R1 R (list-to-list-R1 xs) (list-to-list-R1 ys) \longleftrightarrow *list-all2 R xs ys*
(is $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-R1-rel-trans[transfer-rule]*: **includes** *lifting-syntax* **shows**

$((=) ==> (=) ==> (=)) ==> pcr-list (=) ==> pcr-list (=) ==>$
 $(=)) rel-list-R1 list-all2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.5.2 Hashes

type-synonym $('a_h, 'b_h) list-F_h = unit +_h 'a_h \times_h 'b_h$

type-synonym $('a_m, 'b_m) list-F_m = unit +_m 'a_m \times_m 'b_m$

type-synonym $'a_h list-R1_h = 'a_h list-R1$

— In theory, we should define a separate datatype here of the functor $('a_h, -)$ *list-F_h*. We take a shortcut because they're isomorphic.

type-synonym $'a_m list-R1_m = 'a_m list-R1$

— In theory, we should define a separate datatype here of the functor $('a_m, -)$ *list-F_m*. We take a shortcut because they're isomorphic.

definition *hash-F* :: $('a_m, 'a_h) hash \Rightarrow ('b_m, 'b_h) hash \Rightarrow (('a_m, 'b_m) list-F_m, ('a_h, 'b_h) list-F_h) hash **where**
 $hash-F h rhL = hash-sum hash-unit (hash-prod h rhL)$$

abbreviation (*input*) *hash-R1* :: $('a_m, 'a_h) hash \Rightarrow ('a_m list-R1_m, 'a_h list-R1_h)$
hash where
 $hash-R1 \equiv map-list-R1$

parametric-constant *hash-F-parametric[transfer-rule]*: *hash-F-def*

2.5.3 Blinding

definition *blinding-of-F* :: $'a_m blinding-of \Rightarrow 'b_m blinding-of \Rightarrow ('a_m, 'b_m) list-F_m$
blinding-of where
 $blinding-of-F bo bL = blinding-of-sum blinding-of-unit (blinding-of-prod bo bL)$

abbreviation (*input*) *blinding-of-R1* :: $'a blinding-of \Rightarrow 'a list-R1 blinding-of$
where
 $blinding-of-R1 \equiv rel-list-R1$

lemma *blinding-of-hash-R1*:

assumes $bo \leq vimage2p h h (=)$

shows $blinding-of-R1 bo \leq vimage2p (hash-R1 h) (hash-R1 h) (=)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma blinding-of-on-R1 [locale-witness]:
  assumes blinding-of-on A h bo
  shows blinding-of-on {x. set-list-R1 x ⊆ A} (hash-R1 h) (blinding-of-R1 bo)
    (is blinding-of-on ?A ?h ?bo)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas blinding-of-R1 [locale-witness] = blinding-of-on-R1[where A=UNIV, simplified]

parametric-constant blinding-of-F-parametric[transfer-rule]: blinding-of-F-def

```

2.5.4 Merging

```

definition merge-F :: 'a_m merge ⇒ 'b_m merge ⇒ ('a_m, 'b_m) list-F_m merge where
  merge-F m mL = merge-sum merge-unit (merge-prod m mL)

```

```

lemma merge-F-cong[fundef-cong]:
  assumes ⋀ a b. [| a ∈ set-base-F_m x; b ∈ set-base-F_m y |] ⇒ m a b = m' a b
    and ⋀ a b. [| a ∈ set-rec-F_m x; b ∈ set-rec-F_m y |] ⇒ mL a b = mL' a b
  shows merge-F m mL x y = merge-F m' mL' x y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

context
  fixes m :: 'a_m merge
  notes setr.simps[simp]
begin
  fun merge-R1 :: 'a_m list-R1_m merge where
    merge-R1 (list-R1 l1) (list-R1 l2) = map-option list-R1 (merge-F m merge-R1
    l1 l2)
  end

```

```

case-of-simps merge-cases [simp]: merge-R1.simps

```

```

lemma merge-on-R1:
  assumes merge-on A h bo m
  shows merge-on {x. set-list-R1 x ⊆ A} (hash-R1 h) (blinding-of-R1 bo) (merge-R1
  m)
    (is merge-on ?A ?h ?bo ?m)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas merge-R1 [locale-witness] = merge-on-R1[where A=UNIV, simplified]

```

```

lemma merkle-list-R1 [locale-witness]:
  assumes merkle-interface h bo m
  shows merkle-interface (hash-R1 h) (blinding-of-R1 bo) (merge-R1 m)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma merge-R1-cong [fundef-cong]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in set-list-R1 x; b \in set-list-R1 y \rrbracket \implies m a b = m' a b$ 
  shows merge-R1 m x y = merge-R1 m' x y
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

parametric-constant merge-F-parametric[transfer-rule]: merge-F-def

lemma merge-R1-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  includes lifting-syntax
  notes [simp del] = merge-cases
  assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-unique A
  shows ((A ==> A ==> rel-option A) ==> rel-list-R1 A ==> rel-list-R1
  A ==> rel-option (rel-list-R1 A))
    merge-R1 merge-R1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2.5.5 Transferring the Constructions to Lists

```

type-synonym 'ah listh = 'ah list
type-synonym 'am listm = 'am list

context begin
interpretation list-R1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation (input) hash-list :: ('am, 'ah) hash  $\Rightarrow$  ('am listm, 'ah listh) hash
  where hash-list  $\equiv$  map
abbreviation (input) blinding-of-list :: 'am blinding-of  $\Rightarrow$  'am listm blinding-of
  where blinding-of-list  $\equiv$  list-all2
lift-definition merge-list :: 'am merge  $\Rightarrow$  'am listm merge is merge-R1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma blinding-of-list-mono:
   $\llbracket \bigwedge x y. bo x y \longrightarrow bo' x y \rrbracket \implies$ 
  blinding-of-list bo x y  $\longrightarrow$  blinding-of-list bo' x y
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas blinding-of-list-hash = blinding-of-hash-R1[Transfer.transferred]
  and blinding-of-on-list [locale-witness] = blinding-of-on-R1[Transfer.transferred]
  and blinding-of-list [locale-witness] = blinding-of-R1[Transfer.transferred]
  and merge-on-list [locale-witness] = merge-on-R1[Transfer.transferred]
  and merge-list [locale-witness] = merge-R1[Transfer.transferred]
  and merge-list-cong = merge-R1-cong[Transfer.transferred]

lemma blinding-of-list-mono-pred:
   $R \leq R' \implies$  blinding-of-list R  $\leq$  blinding-of-list R'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma blinding-of-list-simp: blinding-of-list = list-all2

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma merkle-list [locale-witness]:  
  assumes [locale-witness]: merkle-interface h bo m  
  shows merkle-interface (hash-list h) (blinding-of-list bo) (merge-list m)  
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
parametric-constant merge-list-parametric [transfer-rule]: merge-list-def  
lifting-update list.lifting  
lifting-forget list.lifting  
end
```

2.6 Building block: function space

We prove that we can lift the ADS construction through functions.

```
type-synonym ('a, 'bh) funh = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'bh  
type-notation funh (infixr  $\leftrightarrow_h$  0)  
  
type-synonym ('a, 'bm) funm = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'bm  
type-notation funm (infixr  $\leftrightarrow_m$  0)
```

2.6.1 Hashes

Only the range is live, the domain is dead like for BNFs.

```
abbreviation (input) hash-fun' :: ('a  $\Rightarrow_m$  'bh, 'a  $\Rightarrow_h$  'bh) hash where  
  hash-fun'  $\equiv$  id  
  
abbreviation (input) hash-fun :: ('bm, 'bh) hash  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow_m$  'bm, 'a  $\Rightarrow_h$  'bh) hash  
  where hash-fun  $\equiv$  comp
```

2.6.2 Blinding

```
abbreviation (input) blinding-of-fun :: 'bm blinding-of  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow_m$  'bm) blinding-of  
where  
  blinding-of-fun  $\equiv$  rel-fun (=)
```

```
lemmas blinding-of-fun-mono = fun.rel-mono
```

```
lemma blinding-of-fun-hash:  
  assumes bo  $\leq$  vimage2p rh rh (=)  
  shows blinding-of-fun bo  $\leq$  vimage2p (hash-fun rh) (hash-fun rh) (=)  
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma blinding-of-on-fun [locale-witness]:  
  assumes blinding-of-on A rh bo  
  shows blinding-of-on {x. range x  $\subseteq$  A} (hash-fun rh) (blinding-of-fun bo)
```

```

(is blinding-of-on ?A ?h ?bo)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas blinding-of-fun [locale-witness] = blinding-of-on-fun[where A=UNIV, simplified]

```

2.6.3 Merging

context

```

fixes m :: 'bm merge
begin
```

```

definition merge-fun :: ('a ⇒m 'bm) merge where
  merge-fun f g = (if ∀x. m (f x) (g x) ≠ None then Some (λx. the (m (f x) (g x))) else None)
```

```

lemma merge-on-fun [locale-witness]:
  assumes merge-on A rh bo m
  shows merge-on {x. range x ⊆ A} (hash-fun rh) (blinding-of-fun bo) merge-fun
    (is merge-on ?A ?h ?bo ?m)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemmas merge-fun [locale-witness] = merge-on-fun[where A=UNIV, simplified]
```

end

```

lemma merge-fun-cong[fundef-cong]:
  assumes ⋀a b. [ a ∈ range f; b ∈ range g ] ⇒ m a b = m' a b
  shows merge-fun m f g = merge-fun m' f g
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma is-none-alt-def: Option.is-none x ↔ (case x of None ⇒ True | Some _ ⇒ False)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

parametric-constant is-none-parametric [transfer-rule]: is-none-alt-def

```

lemma merge-fun-parametric [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows
  ((A ==> B ==> rel-option C) ==> ((=) ==> A) ==> ((=) ==> B) ==> rel-option ((=) ==> C))
    merge-fun merge-fun
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2.6.4 Merkle Interface

```

lemma merkle-fun [locale-witness]:
  assumes merkle-interface rh bo m
  shows merkle-interface (hash-fun rh) (blinding-of-fun bo) (merge-fun m)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2.7 Rose trees

We now define an ADS over rose trees, which is like a arbitrarily branching Merkle tree where each node in the tree can be blinded, including the root. The number of children and the position of a child among its siblings cannot be hidden. The construction allows to plug in further blindable positions in the labels of the nodes.

```

type-synonym ('a, 'b) rose-tree-F = 'a × 'b list

abbreviation (input) map-rose-tree-F where
  map-rose-tree-F f1 f2 ≡ map-prod f1 (map f2)
definition map-rose-tree-F-const where
  map-rose-tree-F-const f1 f2 ≡ map-rose-tree-F f1 f2

datatype 'a rose-tree = Tree ('a, 'a rose-tree) rose-tree-F

type-synonym ('a_h, 'b_h) rose-tree-F_h = ('a_h ×_h 'b_h list_h) blindable_h

datatype 'a_h rose-tree_h = Tree_h ('a_h, 'a_h rose-tree_h) rose-tree-F_h

type-synonym ('a_m, 'a_h, 'b_m, 'b_h) rose-tree-F_m = ('a_m ×_m 'b_m list_m, 'a_h ×_h
'b_h list_h) blindable_m

datatype ('a_m, 'a_h) rose-tree_m = Tree_m ('a_m, 'a_h, ('a_m, 'a_h) rose-tree_m, 'a_h
rose-tree_h) rose-tree-F_m

abbreviation (input) map-rose-tree-F_m
  :: ('ma ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('mr ⇒ 'r) ⇒ ('ma, 'ha, 'mr, 'hr) rose-tree-F_m ⇒ ('a, 'ha, 'r,
'hr) rose-tree-F_m
where
  map-rose-tree-F_m f g ≡ map-blindable_m (map-prod f (map g)) id

```

2.7.1 Hashes

```

abbreviation (input) hash-rt-F'
  :: (('a_h, 'a_h, 'b_h, 'b_h) rose-tree-F_m, ('a_h, 'b_h) rose-tree-F_h) hash
where
  hash-rt-F' ≡ hash-blindable id

definition hash-rt-F_m
  :: ('a_m, 'a_h) hash ⇒ ('b_m, 'b_h) hash ⇒
    (('a_m, 'a_h, 'b_m, 'b_h) rose-tree-F_m, ('a_h, 'b_h) rose-tree-F_h) hash where
    hash-rt-F_m h rhm ≡ hash-rt-F' o map-rose-tree-F_m h rhm

lemma hash-rt-F_m-alt-def: hash-rt-F_m h rhm = hash-blindable (map-prod h (map
rhm))
  ⟨proof⟩

primrec (transfer) hash-rt-tree'

```

```

:: (('ah, 'ah) rose-treem, 'ah rose-treeh) hash where
hash-rt-tree' (Treem x) = Treeh (hash-rt-F' (map-rose-tree-Fm id hash-rt-tree'
x))

```

definition hash-tree

```

:: ('am, 'ah) hash  $\Rightarrow$  (('am, 'ah) rose-treem, 'ah rose-treeh) hash where
hash-tree h = hash-rt-tree' o map-rose-treem h id

```

lemma blindable_m-map-compositionality:

```

map-blindablem f g o map-blindablem f' g' = map-blindablem (f o f') (g o g')
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma hash-tree-simps [simp]:

```

hash-tree h (Treem x) = Treeh (hash-rt-Fm h (hash-tree h) x)
⟨proof⟩

```

parametric-constant hash-rt-F_m-parametric [transfer-rule]: hash-rt-F_m-alt-def

parametric-constant hash-tree-parametric [transfer-rule]: hash-tree-def

2.7.2 Blinding

abbreviation (input) blinding-of-rt-F_m

```

:: ('am, 'ah) hash  $\Rightarrow$  'am blinding-of  $\Rightarrow$  ('bm, 'bh) hash  $\Rightarrow$  'bm blinding-of
 $\Rightarrow$  ('am, 'ah, 'bm, 'bh) rose-tree-Fm blinding-of where
blinding-of-rt-Fm ha boa hb bob  $\equiv$  blinding-of-blindable (hash-prod ha (map hb))
(blinding-of-prod boa (blinding-of-list bob))

```

lemma blinding-of-rt-F_m-mono:

```

[ boa  $\leq$  boa'; bob  $\leq$  bob' ]  $\implies$  blinding-of-rt-Fm ha boa hb bob  $\leq$  blinding-of-rt-Fm
ha boa' hb bob'

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-of-rt-F_m-mono-inductive:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x y$ . boa x y  $\longrightarrow$  boa' x y  $\bigwedge x y$ . bob x y  $\longrightarrow$  bob' x y
shows blinding-of-rt-Fm ha boa hb bob x y  $\longrightarrow$  blinding-of-rt-Fm ha boa' hb bob'

```

x y

⟨proof⟩

context

```

fixes h :: ('am, 'ah) hash
and bo :: 'am blinding-of

```

begin

inductive blinding-of-tree :: ('a_m, 'a_h) rose-tree_m blinding-of **where**

```

blinding-of-tree (Treem t1) (Treem t2)

```

```

if blinding-of-rt-Fm h bo (hash-tree h) blinding-of-tree t1 t2

```

monos blinding-of-rt-F_m-mono-inductive

end

inductive-simps *blinding-of-tree-simps* [*simp*]:
blinding-of-tree h bo (Tree_m t1) (Tree_m t2)

lemma *blinding-of-rt-F_m-hash*:

assumes *boa* \leq *vimage2p ha ha* (=) *bob* \leq *vimage2p hb hb* (=)
shows *blinding-of-rt-F_m ha boa hb bob* \leq *vimage2p (hash-rt-F_m ha hb) (hash-rt-F_m ha hb)* (=)
(proof)

lemma *blinding-of-tree-hash*:

assumes *bo* \leq *vimage2p h h* (=)
shows *blinding-of-tree h bo* \leq *vimage2p (hash-tree h) (hash-tree h)* (=)
(proof)

abbreviation (*input*) *set1-rt-F_m* :: ('*a_m*, '*a_h*, '*b_h*, '*b_m*) *rose-tree-F_m* \Rightarrow '*a_m* *set*

where

set1-rt-F_m x \equiv *set1-blindable_m x* $\gg=$ *fsts*

abbreviation (*input*) *set3-rt-F_m* :: ('*a_m*, '*a_h*, '*b_m*, '*b_h*) *rose-tree-F_m* \Rightarrow '*b_m* *set*

where

set3-rt-F_m x \equiv (*set1-blindable_m x* $\gg=$ *snds*) $\gg=$ *set*

lemma *set-rt-F_m-eq*:

{*x. set1-rt-F_m x* \subseteq *A* \wedge *set3-rt-F_m x* \subseteq *B*} =
{*x. set1-blindable_m x* \subseteq {*x. fsts x* \subseteq *A* \wedge *snds x* \subseteq {*x. set x* \subseteq *B*}}}
(proof)

lemma *hash-blindable-map*: *hash-blindable f* \circ *map-blindable_m g id* = *hash-blindable (f* \circ *g)*
(proof)

lemma *blinding-of-on-tree* [*locale-witness*]:

assumes *blinding-of-on A h bo*
shows *blinding-of-on {x. set1-rose-tree_m x* \subseteq *A}* (*hash-tree h*) (*blinding-of-tree h bo*)
(**is** *blinding-of-on ?A ?h ?bo*)
(proof)

lemmas *blinding-of-tree* [*locale-witness*] = *blinding-of-on-tree* [**where** *A=UNIV*, *simplified*]

lemma *blinding-of-tree-mono*:

bo \leq *bo'* \implies *blinding-of-tree h bo* \leq *blinding-of-tree h bo'*
(proof)

2.7.3 Merging

```

definition merge-rt-Fm
  :: ('am, 'ah) hash  $\Rightarrow$  'am merge  $\Rightarrow$  ('bm, 'bh) hash  $\Rightarrow$  'bm merge  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('am, 'ah, 'bm, 'bh) rose-tree-Fm merge
where
  merge-rt-Fm ha ma hr mr  $\equiv$  merge-blindable (hash-prod ha (hash-list hr)) (merge-prod
    ma (merge-list mr))

lemma merge-rt-Fm-cong [fundef-cong]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in set1\text{-}rt\text{-}F_m x; b \in set1\text{-}rt\text{-}F_m y \rrbracket \implies ma \ a \ b = ma' \ a \ b$ 
  and  $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in set3\text{-}rt\text{-}F_m x; b \in set3\text{-}rt\text{-}F_m y \rrbracket \implies mm \ a \ b = mm' \ a \ b$ 
  shows merge-rt-Fm ha ma hm mm x y = merge-rt-Fm ha ma' hm mm' x y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-set1-blindablem-iff:  $x \in set1\text{-}blindable_m y \longleftrightarrow y = Unblinded x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes h :: ('am, 'ah) hash
  and ma :: 'am merge
  notes in-set1-blindablem-iff[simp]
begin
  fun merge-tree :: ('am, 'ah) rose-treem merge where
    merge-tree (Treem x) (Treem y) = map-option Treem (
      merge-rt-Fm h ma (hash-tree h) merge-tree x y)
  end

lemma merge-on-tree [locale-witness]:
  assumes merge-on A h bo m
  shows merge-on {x. set1-rose-treem x  $\subseteq$  A} (hash-tree h) (blinding-of-tree h bo)
  (merge-tree h m)
  (is merge-on ?A ?h ?bo ?m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas merge-tree [locale-witness] = merge-on-tree[where A=UNIV, simplified]

lemma option-bind-comm:
   $((x :: 'a \text{ option}) \gg= (\lambda y. c \gg= (\lambda z. f \ y \ z))) = (c \gg= (\lambda y. x \gg= (\lambda z. f \ z \ y)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

parametric-constant merge-rt-Fm-parametric [transfer-rule]: merge-rt-Fm-def

```

2.7.4 Merkle interface

```

lemma merkle-tree [locale-witness]:
  assumes merkle-interface h bo m
  shows merkle-interface (hash-tree h) (blinding-of-tree h bo) (merge-tree h m)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma merge-tree-cong [fundef-cong]:
  assumes ⋀ a b. [ a ∈ set1-rose-treem x; b ∈ set1-rose-treem y ] ⟹ m a b = m'
  a b
  shows merge-tree h m x y = merge-tree h m' x y
  ⟨proof⟩

end

theory Generic-ADS-Construction imports
  Merkle-Interface
  HOL-Library.BNF-Axiomatization
begin

```

3 Generic construction of authenticated data structures

3.1 Functors

3.1.1 Source functor

We want to allow ADSs of arbitrary ADTs, which we call "source trees". The ADTs we are interested in can in general be represented as the least fixpoints of some bounded natural (bi-)functor (BNF) $('a, 'b) F$, where $'a$ is the type of "source" data, and $'b$ is a recursion "handle". However, Isabelle's type system does not support higher kinds, necessary to parameterize our definitions over functors. Instead, we first develop a general theory of ADSs over an arbitrary, but fixed functor, and its least fixpoint. We show that the theory is compositional, in that the functor's least fixed point can then be reused as the "source" data of another functor.

We start by defining the arbitrary fixed functor, its fixpoints, and showing how composition can be done. A higher-level explanation is found in the paper.

```
bnf-axiomatization ('a, 'b) F [wits: 'a ⇒ ('a, 'b) F]
```

```
context notes [[typedef-overloaded]] begin
datatype 'a T = T ('a, 'a T) F
end
```

3.1.2 Base Merkle functor

This type captures the ADS hashes.

```
bnf-axiomatization ('a, 'b) Fh [wits: 'a ⇒ ('a, 'b) Fh]
```

It intuitively contains mixed garbage and source values. The functor's recursive handle $'b$ might contain partial garbage.

This type captures the ADS inclusion proofs. The functor $('a, 'a', 'b, 'b')$ F_m has all type variables doubled. This type represents all values including the information which parts are blinded. The original type variable ' a ' now represents the source data, which for compositionality can contain blindable positions. The type ' b ' is a recursive handle to inclusion sub-proofs (which can be partially blinded). The type ' a' represent "hashes" of the source data in ' a ', i.e., a mix of source values and garbage. The type ' b ' is a recursive handle to ADS hashes of subtrees.

The corresponding type of recursive authenticated trees is then a fixpoint of this functor.

bnf-axiomatization $('a_m, 'a_h, 'b_m, 'b_h) F_m$ [*wits*: $'a_m \Rightarrow 'a_h \Rightarrow 'b_h \Rightarrow ('a_m, 'a_h, 'b_m, 'b_h) F_m$]

3.1.3 Least fixpoint

```
context notes [[typedef-overloaded]] begin
datatype 'a_h T_h = T_h ('a_h, 'a_h T_h) F_h
end

context notes [[typedef-overloaded]] begin
datatype ('a_m, 'a_h) T_m = T_m (the-T_m: ('a_m, 'a_h, ('a_m, 'a_h) T_m, 'a_h T_h) F_m)
end
```

3.1.4 Composition

Finally, we show how to compose two Merkle functors. For simplicity, we reuse $('a, 'b)$ F and $'a$ T .

```
context notes [[typedef-overloaded]] begin
datatype ('a, 'b) G = G ('a T, 'b) F
datatype ('a_h, 'b_h) G_h = G_h (the-G_h: ('a_h T_h, 'b_h) F_h)
datatype ('a_m, 'a_h, 'b_m, 'b_h) G_m = G_m (the-G_m: (('a_m, 'a_h) T_m, 'a_h T_h, 'b_m, 'b_h) F_m)
end
```

3.2 Root hash

3.2.1 Base functor

The root hash of an authenticated value is modelled as a blindable value of type $('a', 'b)$ F_h . (Actually, we want to use an abstract datatype for root hashes, but we omit this distinction here for simplicity.)

consts $root\text{-}hash\text{-}F' :: (('a_h, 'a_h, 'b_h, 'b_h) F_m, ('a_h, 'b_h) F_h)$ *hash*

— Root hash operation where we assume that all atoms have already been replaced by root hashes. This assumption is reflected in the equality of the type parameters of F_m

```
type-synonym (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ , ' $b_m$ , ' $b_h$ ) hash- $F$  =
  (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ ) hash  $\Rightarrow$  (' $b_m$ , ' $b_h$ ) hash  $\Rightarrow$  ((' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ , ' $b_m$ , ' $b_h$ )  $F_m$ , (' $a_h$ , ' $b_h$ )  $F_h$ )
definition root-hash- $F$  :: (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ , ' $b_m$ , ' $b_h$ ) hash- $F$  where
  root-hash- $F$  rha rhb = root-hash- $F'$   $\circ$  map- $F_m$  rha id rhb id
```

3.2.2 Least fixpoint

```
primrec root-hash- $T'$  :: ((' $a_h$ , ' $a_h$ )  $T_m$ , ' $a_h$   $T_h$ ) hash where
  root-hash- $T'$  ( $T_m$   $x$ ) =  $T_h$  (root-hash- $F'$  (map- $F_m$  id id root-hash- $T'$  id  $x$ ))
```

```
definition root-hash- $T$  :: (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ ) hash  $\Rightarrow$  ((' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ )  $T_m$ , ' $a_h$   $T_h$ ) hash where
  root-hash- $T$  rha = root-hash- $T'$   $\circ$  map- $T_m$  rha id
```

```
lemma root-hash- $T$ -simp [simp]:
  root-hash- $T$  rha ( $T_m$   $x$ ) =  $T_h$  (root-hash- $F$  rha (root-hash- $T$  rha)  $x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

3.2.3 Composition

```
primrec root-hash- $G'$  :: ((' $a_h$ , ' $a_h$ , ' $b_h$ , ' $b_h$ )  $G_m$ , (' $a_h$ , ' $b_h$ )  $G_h$ ) hash where
  root-hash- $G'$  ( $G_m$   $x$ ) =  $G_h$  (root-hash- $F'$  (map- $F_m$  root-hash- $T'$  id id id  $x$ ))
```

```
definition root-hash- $G$  :: (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ ) hash  $\Rightarrow$  (' $b_m$ , ' $b_h$ ) hash  $\Rightarrow$  ((' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ , ' $b_m$ , ' $b_h$ )  $G_m$ , (' $a_h$ , ' $b_h$ )  $G_h$ ) hash where
  root-hash- $G$  rha rhb = root-hash- $G'$   $\circ$  map- $G_m$  rha id rhb id
```

```
lemma root-hash- $G$ -unfold:
  root-hash- $G$  rha rhb =  $G_h$   $\circ$  root-hash- $F$  (root-hash- $T$  rha) rhb  $\circ$  the- $G_m$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma root-hash- $G$ -simp [simp]:
  root-hash- $G$  rha rhb ( $G_m$   $x$ ) =  $G_h$  (root-hash- $F$  (root-hash- $T$  rha) rhb  $x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

3.3 Blinding relation

The blinding relation determines whether one ADS value is a blinding of another.

3.3.1 Blinding on the base functor (F_m)

```
type-synonym (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ , ' $b_m$ , ' $b_h$ ) blinding-of- $F$  =
  (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ ) hash  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a_m$  blinding-of  $\Rightarrow$  (' $b_m$ , ' $b_h$ ) hash  $\Rightarrow$  ' $b_m$  blinding-of  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ , ' $b_m$ , ' $b_h$ )  $F_m$  blinding-of
```

— Computes whether a partially blinded ADS is a blinding of another one

axiomatization $\text{blinding-of-}F :: ('a_m, 'a_h, 'b_m, 'b_h) \text{ blinding-of-}F \text{ where}$

$\text{blinding-of-}F\text{-mono}: \llbracket \text{boa} \leq \text{boa}'; \text{bob} \leq \text{bob}' \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{blinding-of-}F \text{ rha } \text{boa} \text{ rhb } \text{bob} \leq \text{blinding-of-}F \text{ rha } \text{boa}' \text{ rhb } \text{bob}'$

— Monotonicity must be unconditional (without the assumption blinding-of-on) such that we can justify the recursive definition for the least fixpoint.

and $\text{blinding-respects-hashes-}F$ [locale-witness]:

$\llbracket \text{blinding-respects-hashes rha } \text{boa}; \text{blinding-respects-hashes rhb } \text{bob} \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{blinding-respects-hashes} (\text{root-hash-}F \text{ rha } \text{rbh}) (\text{blinding-of-}F \text{ rha } \text{boa} \text{ rhb } \text{bob})$

and $\text{blinding-of-on-}F$ [locale-witness]:

$\llbracket \text{blinding-of-on A rha } \text{boa}; \text{blinding-of-on B rhb } \text{bob} \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{blinding-of-on} \{x. \text{set1-}F_m x \subseteq A \wedge \text{set3-}F_m x \subseteq B\} (\text{root-hash-}F \text{ rha } \text{rbh})$

$(\text{blinding-of-}F \text{ rha } \text{boa} \text{ rhb } \text{bob})$

lemma $\text{blinding-of-}F\text{-mono-inductive}:$

assumes $a: \bigwedge x y. \text{boa } x y \longrightarrow \text{boa}' x y$

and $b: \bigwedge x y. \text{bob } x y \longrightarrow \text{bob}' x y$

shows $\text{blinding-of-}F \text{ rha } \text{boa} \text{ rhb } \text{bob } x y \longrightarrow \text{blinding-of-}F \text{ rha } \text{boa}' \text{ rhb } \text{bob}' x y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3.2 Blinding on least fixpoints

context

fixes $rh :: ('a_m, 'a_h) \text{ hash}$

and $bo :: 'a_m \text{ blinding-of}$

begin

inductive $\text{blinding-of-}T :: ('a_m, 'a_h) T_m \text{ blinding-of where}$

$\text{blinding-of-}T (T_m x) (T_m y) \text{ if}$

$\text{blinding-of-}F \text{ rh } bo (\text{root-hash-}T \text{ rh}) \text{ blinding-of-}T x y$

monos $\text{blinding-of-}F\text{-mono-inductive}$

end

lemma $\text{blinding-of-}T\text{-mono}:$

assumes $bo \leq bo'$

shows $\text{blinding-of-}T \text{ rh } bo \leq \text{blinding-of-}T \text{ rh } bo'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{blinding-of-}T\text{-root-hash}:$

assumes $bo \leq \text{vimage2p rh rh} (=)$

shows $\text{blinding-of-}T \text{ rh } bo \leq \text{vimage2p} (\text{root-hash-}T \text{ rh}) (\text{root-hash-}T \text{ rh}) (=)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{blinding-respects-hashes-}T$ [locale-witness]:

$\text{blinding-respects-hashes rh bo} \implies \text{blinding-respects-hashes} (\text{root-hash-}T \text{ rh}) (\text{blinding-of-}T \text{ rh bo})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma blinding-of-on-T [locale-witness]:
  assumes blinding-of-on A rh bo
  shows blinding-of-on {x. set1-Tm x ⊆ A} (root-hash-T rh) (blinding-of-T rh bo)
  (is blinding-of-on ?A ?h ?bo)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas blinding-of-T [locale-witness] = blinding-of-on-T[where A=UNIV, simplified]

```

3.3.3 Blinding on composition

```

context
  fixes rha :: ('am, 'ah) hash
  and boa :: 'am blinding-of
  and rhb :: ('bm, 'bh) hash
  and bob :: 'bm blinding-of
begin

inductive blinding-of-G :: ('am, 'ah, 'bm, 'bh) Gm blinding-of where
  blinding-of-G (Gm x) (Gm y) if
    blinding-of-F (root-hash-T rha) (blinding-of-T rha boa) rhb bob x y

lemma blinding-of-G-unfold:
  blinding-of-G = vimage2p the-Gm the-Gm (blinding-of-F (root-hash-T rha) (blinding-of-T
  rha boa) rhb bob)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma blinding-of-G-mono:
  assumes boa ≤ boa' bob ≤ bob'
  shows blinding-of-G rha boa rhb bob ≤ blinding-of-G rha boa' rhb bob'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-of-G-root-hash:
  assumes boa ≤ vimage2p rha rha (=) and bob ≤ vimage2p rhb rhb (=)
  shows blinding-of-G rha boa rhb bob ≤ vimage2p (root-hash-G rha rhb) (root-hash-G
  rha rhb) (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-of-on-G [locale-witness]:
  assumes blinding-of-on A rha boa blinding-of-on B rhb bob
  shows blinding-of-on {x. set1-Gm x ⊆ A ∧ set3-Gm x ⊆ B} (root-hash-G rha
  rhb) (blinding-of-G rha boa rhb bob)
  (is blinding-of-on ?A ?h ?bo)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas blinding-of-G [locale-witness] = blinding-of-on-G[where A=UNIV and

```

$B=UNIV$, simplified]

3.4 Merging

Two Merkle values with the same root hash can be merged into a less blinded Merkle value. The operation is unspecified for trees with different root hashes.

3.4.1 Merging on the base functor

axiomatization $\text{merge-}F :: ('a_m, 'a_h) \text{ hash} \Rightarrow 'a_m \text{ merge} \Rightarrow ('b_m, 'b_h) \text{ hash} \Rightarrow 'b_m \text{ merge}$
 $\Rightarrow ('a_m, 'a_h, 'b_m, 'b_h) F_m \text{ merge where}$
 $\text{merge-}F\text{-cong [fundef-cong]}:$
 $\llbracket \bigwedge a b. a \in \text{set1-}F_m x \Rightarrow ma a b = ma' a b; \bigwedge a b. a \in \text{set3-}F_m x \Rightarrow mb a b = mb' a b \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow \text{merge-}F rha ma rhb mb x y = \text{merge-}F rha ma' rhb mb' x y$
and
 $\text{merge-on-}F [\text{locale-witness}]:$
 $\llbracket \text{merge-on } A \text{ rha boa ma; merge-on } B \text{ rhb bob mb} \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow \text{merge-on } \{x. \text{set1-}F_m x \subseteq A \wedge \text{set3-}F_m x \subseteq B\} (\text{root-hash-}F \text{ rha rhb})$
 $(\text{blinding-of-}F \text{ rha boa rhb bob}) (\text{merge-}F \text{ rha ma rhb mb})$

lemmas $\text{merge-}F [\text{locale-witness}] = \text{merge-on-}F[\text{where } A=UNIV \text{ and } B=UNIV,$
simplified]

3.4.2 Merging on the least fixpoint

lemma $wfP\text{-subterm-}T: wfP (\lambda x y. x \in \text{set3-}F_m (\text{the-}T_m y))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{irrefl-subterm-}T: x \in \text{set3-}F_m y \Rightarrow y \neq \text{the-}T_m x$
⟨proof⟩

context

fixes $rh :: ('a_m, 'a_h) \text{ hash}$
fixes $m :: 'a_m \text{ merge}$

begin

function $\text{merge-}T :: ('a_m, 'a_h) T_m \text{ merge where}$
 $\text{merge-}T (T_m x) (T_m y) = \text{map-option } T_m (\text{merge-}F rh m (\text{root-hash-}T rh)$
 $\text{merge-}T x y)$
⟨proof⟩

termination

⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{merge-on-}T [\text{locale-witness}]:$
assumes $\text{merge-on } A \text{ rh bo m}$

```

shows merge-on {x. set1-Tm x ⊆ A} (root-hash-T rh) (blinding-of-T rh bo)
merge-T
  (is merge-on ?A ?h ?bo ?m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas merge-T [locale-witness] = merge-on-T[where A=UNIV, simplified]

end

lemma merge-T-cong [fundef-cong]:
  assumes ⋀a b. a ∈ set1-Tm x ⇒ m a b = m' a b
  shows merge-T rh m x y = merge-T rh m' x y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.4.3 Merging and composition

```

context
  fixes rha :: ('am, 'ah) hash
  fixes ma :: 'am merge
  fixes rhb :: ('bm, 'bh) hash
  fixes mb :: 'bm merge
begin

primrec merge-G :: ('am, 'ah, 'bm, 'bh) Gm merge where
  merge-G (Gm x) y' = (case y' of Gm y ⇒
    map-option Gm (merge-F (root-hash-T rha) (merge-T rha ma) rhb mb x y))

lemma merge-G-simps [simp]:
  merge-G (Gm x) (Gm y) = map-option Gm (merge-F (root-hash-T rha) (merge-T
  rha ma) rhb mb x y)
  ⟨proof⟩

declare merge-G.simps [simp del]

lemma merge-on-G:
  assumes a: merge-on A rha boa ma and b: merge-on B rhb bob mb
  shows merge-on {x. set1-Gm x ⊆ A ∧ set3-Gm x ⊆ B} (root-hash-G rha rhb)
  (blinding-of-G rha boa rhb bob) merge-G
  (is merge-on ?A ?h ?bo ?m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas merge-G [locale-witness] = merge-on-G[where A=UNIV and B=UNIV,
simplified]

end

lemma merge-G-cong [fundef-cong]:
  [ ⋀a b. a ∈ set1-Gm x ⇒ ma a b = ma' a b; ⋀a b. a ∈ set3-Gm x ⇒ mb a b
  = mb' a b ]

```

```

 $\implies \text{merge-}G\ rha\ ma\ rhb\ mb\ x\ y = \text{merge-}G\ rha\ ma'\ rhb\ mb'\ x\ y$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

theory Inclusion-Proof-Construction imports
  ADS-Construction
begin

primrec blind-blindable :: ('am ⇒ 'ah) ⇒ ('am, 'ah) blindablem ⇒ ('am, 'ah)
  blindablem where
    blind-blindable h (Blinded x) = Blinded x
  | blind-blindable h (Unblinded x) = Blinded (Content (h x))

lemma hash-blind-blindable [simp]: hash-blindable h (blind-blindable h x) = hash-blindable
  h x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.5 Inclusion proof construction for rose trees

3.5.1 Hashing, embedding and blinding source trees

```

context fixes h :: 'a ⇒ 'ah begin
fun hash-source-tree :: 'a rose-tree ⇒ 'ah rose-treeh where
  hash-source-tree (Tree (data, subtrees)) = Treeh (Content (h data, map hash-source-tree
  subtrees))
end

context fixes e :: 'a ⇒ 'am begin
fun embed-source-tree :: 'a rose-tree ⇒ ('am, 'ah) rose-treem where
  embed-source-tree (Tree (data, subtrees)) =
    Treem (Unblinded (e data, map embed-source-tree subtrees))
end

context fixes h :: 'a ⇒ 'ah begin
fun blind-source-tree :: 'a rose-tree ⇒ ('am, 'ah) rose-treem where
  blind-source-tree (Tree (data, subtrees)) = Treem (Blinded (Content (h data, map
  (hash-source-tree h) subtrees)))
end

case-of-simps blind-source-tree-cases: blind-source-tree.simps

fun is-blinded :: ('am, 'ah) rose-treem ⇒ bool where
  is-blinded (Treem (Blinded -)) = True
  | is-blinded - = False

lemma hash-blinded-simp: hash-tree h' (blind-source-tree h st) = hash-source-tree
  h st
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma hash-embedded-simp:
  hash-tree h (embed-source-tree e st) = hash-source-tree (h o e) st
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blinded-embedded-same-hash:
  hash-tree h'' (blind-source-tree (h o e) st) = hash-tree h (embed-source-tree e st)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-blinds [simp]:
  is-blinded (blind-source-tree h t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blinded-blinds-embedded:
  blinding-of-tree h bo (blind-source-tree (h o e) st) (embed-source-tree e st)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun embed-hash-tree :: 'ha rose-treeh ⇒ ('a, 'ha) rose-treem where
  embed-hash-tree (Treeh h) = Treem (Blinded h)

```

3.5.2 Auxiliary definitions: selectors and list splits

```

fun children :: 'a rose-tree ⇒ 'a rose-tree list where
  children (Tree (data, subtrees)) = subtrees

fun childrenm :: ('a, 'ah) rose-treem ⇒ ('a, 'ah) rose-treem list where
  childrenm (Treem (Unblinded (data, subtrees))) = subtrees
  | childrenm - = undefined

fun splits :: 'a list ⇒ ('a list × 'a × 'a list) list where
  splits [] = []
  | splits (x#xs) = ([], x, xs) # map (λ(l, y, r). (x # l, y, r)) (splits xs)

lemma splits-iff: (l, a, r) ∈ set (splits ll) = (ll = l @ a # r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.5.3 Zippers

Zippers provide a neat representation of tree-like ADTs when they have only a single unblinded subtree. The zipper path provides the "inclusion proof" that the unblinded subtree is included in a larger structure.

```

type-synonym 'a path-elem = 'a × 'a rose-tree list × 'a rose-tree list
type-synonym 'a path = 'a path-elem list
type-synonym 'a zipper = 'a path × 'a rose-tree

definition zipper-of-tree :: 'a rose-tree ⇒ 'a zipper where
  zipper-of-tree t ≡ ([], t)

fun tree-of-zipper :: 'a zipper ⇒ 'a rose-tree where

```

```

tree-of-zipper ([] , t) = t
| tree-of-zipper ((a , l , r) # z , t) = tree-of-zipper (z , (Tree (a , (l @ t # r)))))


```

case-of-simps *tree-of-zipper-cases*: *tree-of-zipper.simps*

lemma *tree-of-zipper-id*[iff]: *tree-of-zipper* (*zipper-of-tree* *t*) = *t*
<proof>

fun *zipper-children* :: '*a zipper* \Rightarrow '*a zipper list* **where**
zipper-children (*p*, *Tree* (*a*, *ts*)) = *map* ($\lambda(l , t , r)$. $((a , l , r) \# p , t)$) (*splits ts*)

lemma *zipper-children-same-tree*:
assumes *z'* \in *set* (*zipper-children* *z*)
shows *tree-of-zipper* *z'* = *tree-of-zipper* *z*
<proof>

type-synonym ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *path-elem_m* = '*a_m* \times ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *rose-tree_m* *list* \times ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *rose-tree_m* *list*
type-synonym ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *path_m* = ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *path-elem_m* *list*
type-synonym ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *zipper_m* = ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *path_m* \times ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *rose-tree_m*

definition *zipper-of-tree_m* :: ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *rose-tree_m* \Rightarrow ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *zipper_m* **where**
zipper-of-tree_m *t* \equiv ([] , *t*)

fun *tree-of-zipper_m* :: ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *zipper_m* \Rightarrow ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *rose-tree_m* **where**
tree-of-zipper_m ([] , *t*) = *t*
| *tree-of-zipper_m* ((*m*, *l*, *r*) # *z*, *t*) = *tree-of-zipper_m* (*z*, *Tree_m* (*Unblinded* (*m*, *l* @ *t* # *r*)))

lemma *tree-of-zipper_m-append*:
tree-of-zipper_m (*p* @ *p'*, *t*) = *tree-of-zipper_m* (*p'*, *tree-of-zipper_m* (*p*, *t*))
<proof>

fun *zipper-children_m* :: ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *zipper_m* \Rightarrow ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *zipper_m* *list* **where**
zipper-children_m (*p*, *Tree_m* (*Unblinded* (*a*, *ts*))) = *map* ($\lambda(l , t , r)$. $((a , l , r) \# p , t)$) (*splits ts*)
| *zipper-children_m* - = []

lemma *zipper-children-same-tree_m*:
assumes *z'* \in *set* (*zipper-children_m* *z*)
shows *tree-of-zipper_m* *z'* = *tree-of-zipper_m* *z*
<proof>

fun *blind-path-elem* :: ('*a* \Rightarrow '*a_m*) \Rightarrow ('*a_m* \Rightarrow '*a_h*) \Rightarrow '*a path-elem* \Rightarrow ('*a_m*, '*a_h*) *path-elem_m* **where**
blind-path-elem *e h* (*x*, *l*, *r*) = (*e x*, *map* (*blind-source-tree* (*h* \circ *e*)) *l*, *map* (*blind-source-tree* (*h* \circ *e*)) *r*)

case-of-simps *blind-path-elem-cases*: *blind-path-elem.simps*

```

definition blind-path :: ('a ⇒ 'am) ⇒ ('am ⇒ 'ah) ⇒ 'a path ⇒ ('am, 'ah) pathm
where
  blind-path e h ≡ map (blind-path-elem e h)

fun embed-path-elem :: ('a ⇒ 'am) ⇒ 'a path-elem ⇒ ('am, 'ah) path-elemm where
  embed-path-elem e (d, l, r) = (e d, map (embed-source-tree e) l, map (embed-source-tree e) r)

definition embed-path :: ('a ⇒ 'am) ⇒ 'a path ⇒ ('am, 'ah) pathm where
  embed-path embed-elem ≡ map (embed-path-elem embed-elem)

lemma hash-tree-of-zipper-same-path:
  hash-tree h (tree-of-zipperm (p, v)) = hash-tree h (tree-of-zipperm (p, v'))
  ←→ hash-tree h v = hash-tree h v'
  ⟨proof⟩

fun hash-path-elem :: ('am ⇒ 'ah) ⇒ ('am, 'ah) path-elemm ⇒ ('ah × 'ah rose-treeh
list × 'ah rose-treeh list) where
  hash-path-elem h (e, l, r) = (h e, map (hash-tree h) l, map (hash-tree h) r)

lemma hash-view-zipper-eqI:
  [ hash-list (hash-path-elem h) p = hash-list (hash-path-elem h') p';
    hash-tree h v = hash-tree h' v' ] ⇒
  hash-tree h (tree-of-zipperm (p, v)) = hash-tree h' (tree-of-zipperm (p', v'))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blind-embed-path-same-hash:
  hash-tree h (tree-of-zipperm (blind-path e h p, t)) = hash-tree h (tree-of-zipperm
(embed-path e p, t))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tree-of-embed-commute:
  tree-of-zipperm (embed-path e p, embed-source-tree e t) = embed-source-tree e
(tree-of-zipper (p, t))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma childz-same-tree:
  (l, t, r) ∈ set (splits ts) ⇒
  tree-of-zipperm (embed-path e p, embed-source-tree e (Tree (d, ts)))
  = tree-of-zipperm (embed-path e ((d, l, r) # p), embed-source-tree e t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-of-same-path:
  assumes bo: blinding-of-on UNIV h bo
  shows
  blinding-of-tree h bo (tree-of-zipperm (p, t)) (tree-of-zipperm (p, t'))
  ←→ blinding-of-tree h bo t t'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma zipper-children-size-change [termination-simp]: ( $a, b$ )  $\in$  set (zipper-children ( $p, v$ ))  $\Rightarrow$  size  $b <$  size  $v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

3.6 All zippers of a rose tree

```

context fixes  $e :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a_m$  and  $h :: 'a_m \Rightarrow 'a_h$  begin

```

```

fun zippers-rose-tree :: ' $a$  zipper  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a_m$ , ' $a_h$ ) zipper $_m$  list where
  zippers-rose-tree ( $p, t$ ) = (blind-path  $e h p$ , embed-source-tree  $e t$ )  $\#$ 
    concat (map zippers-rose-tree (zipper-children ( $p, t$ )))

```

```

end

```

```

lemmas [simp del] = zippers-rose-tree.simps zipper-children.simps

```

```

lemma zippers-rose-tree-same-hash':
  assumes  $z \in$  set (zippers-rose-tree  $e h (p, t)$ )
  shows hash-tree  $h$  (tree-of-zipper $_m$   $z$ ) =
    hash-tree  $h$  (tree-of-zipper $_m$  (embed-path  $e p$ , embed-source-tree  $e t$ ))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma zippers-rose-tree-blinding-of:
  assumes blinding-of-on UNIV  $h bo$ 
  and  $z: z \in$  set (zippers-rose-tree  $e h (p, t)$ )
  shows blinding-of-tree  $h bo$  (tree-of-zipper $_m$   $z$ ) (tree-of-zipper $_m$  (blind-path  $e h p$ ,
  embed-source-tree  $e t$ ))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma zippers-rose-tree-neq-Nil: zippers-rose-tree  $e h (p, t) \neq []$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in comp-fun-idem) fold-set-union:
  assumes finite  $A$  finite  $B$ 
  shows Finite-Set.fold  $f z (A \cup B) =$  Finite-Set.fold  $f (Finite-Set.fold f z A) B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

context merkle-interface begin

```

```

lemma comp-fun-idem-merge: comp-fun-idem ( $\lambda x yo. yo \gg m x$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

interpretation merge: comp-fun-idem  $\lambda x yo. yo \gg m x$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition Merge :: ' $a_m$  set  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a_m$  option where
  Merge  $A =$  (if  $A = \{\}$   $\vee$  infinite  $A$  then None else Finite-Set.fold ( $\lambda x yo. yo \gg m x$ ) (Some (SOME  $x. x \in A$ ))  $A$ )

```

lemma Merge-empty [simp]: $\text{Merge } \{\} = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-infinite [simp]: $\text{infinite } A \implies \text{Merge } A = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-cong-start:
 $\text{Finite-Set.fold } (\lambda x yo. yo \gg= m x) (\text{Some } x) A = \text{Finite-Set.fold } (\lambda x yo. yo \gg= m x) (\text{Some } y) A$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)
if $x \in A$ $y \in A$ finite A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-insert [simp]: $\text{Merge } (\text{insert } x A) = (\text{if } A = \{\} \text{ then } \text{Some } x \text{ else } \text{Merge } A \gg= m x)$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-insert-alt:
 $\text{Merge } (\text{insert } x A) = \text{Finite-Set.fold } (\lambda x yo. yo \gg= m x) (\text{Some } x) A$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs) **if** finite A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-None [simp]: $\text{Finite-Set.fold } (\lambda x yo. yo \gg= m x) \text{None } A = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-union:
 $\text{Merge } (A \cup B) = (\text{if } A = \{\} \text{ then } \text{Merge } B \text{ else if } B = \{\} \text{ then } \text{Merge } A \text{ else } (\text{Merge } A \gg= (\lambda a. \text{Merge } B \gg= m a)))$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-upper:
assumes $m: \text{Merge } A = \text{Some } x$ **and** $y: y \in A$
shows $\text{bo } y x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-least:
assumes $m: \text{Merge } A = \text{Some } x$ **and** $u[\text{rule-format}]: \forall a \in A. \text{bo } a u$
shows $\text{bo } x u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-defined:
assumes $\text{finite } A$ $A \neq \{\}$ $\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. h a = h b$
shows $\text{Merge } A \neq \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Merge-hash:
assumes $\text{Merge } A = \text{Some } x$ $a \in A$
shows $h a = h x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

end

end
theory Canton-Transaction-Tree imports
  Inclusion-Proof-Construction
begin

```

4 Canton's hierarchical transaction trees

```

typedecl view-data
typedecl view-metadata
typedecl common-metadata
typedecl participant-metadata

datatype view = View view-metadata view-data (subviews: view list)

datatype transaction = Transaction common-metadata participant-metadata (views:
view list)

```

4.1 Views as authenticated data structures

```

type-synonym view-metadatah = view-metadata blindableh
type-synonym view-datah = view-data blindableh

datatype viewh = Viewh ((view-metadatah ×h view-datah) ×h viewh listh) blindableh

type-synonym view-metadatam = (view-metadata, view-metadata) blindablem
type-synonym view-datam = (view-data, view-data) blindablem

datatype viewm = Viewm
  ((view-metadatam ×m view-datam) ×m viewm listm,
   (view-metadatah ×h view-datah) ×h viewh listh) blindablem

abbreviation (input) hash-view-data :: (view-datam, view-datah) hash where
  hash-view-data ≡ hash-blindable id
abbreviation (input) blinding-of-view-data :: view-datam blinding-of where
  blinding-of-view-data ≡ blinding-of-blindable id (=)
abbreviation (input) merge-view-data :: view-datam merge where
  merge-view-data ≡ merge-blindable id merge-discrete

lemma merkle-view-data:
  merkle-interface hash-view-data blinding-of-view-data merge-view-data
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation (input) hash-view-metadata :: (view-metadatam, view-metadatah) hash where
  hash-view-metadata ≡ hash-blindable id

```

```

abbreviation (input) blinding-of-view-metadata :: view-metadatam blinding-of where
  blinding-of-view-metadata ≡ blinding-of-blindable id (=)
abbreviation (input) merge-view-metadata :: view-metadatam merge where
  merge-view-metadata ≡ merge-blindable id merge-discrete

lemma merkle-view-metadata:
  merkle-interface hash-view-metadata blinding-of-view-metadata merge-view-metadata
  ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym view-content = view-metadata × view-data
type-synonym view-contenth = view-metadatah ×h view-datah
type-synonym view-contentm = view-metadatam ×m view-datam

locale view-merkle begin

type-synonym viewh' = view-contenth rose-treeh

primrec from-viewh :: viewh ⇒ viewh' where
  from-viewh (Viewh x) = Treeh (map-blindableh (map-prod id (map from-viewh)) x)

primrec to-viewh :: viewh' ⇒ viewh where
  to-viewh (Treeh x) = Viewh (map-blindableh (map-prod id (map to-viewh)) x)

lemma from-to-viewh [simp]: from-viewh (to-viewh x) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-from-viewh [simp]: to-viewh (from-viewh x) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-viewh: type-definition from-viewh to-viewh UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting iso-viewh

lemma cr-viewh-Grp: cr-viewh = Grp UNIV to-viewh
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Viewh-transfer [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows
  (rel-blindableh (rel-prod (=) (list-all2 pcr-viewh))) ===> pcr-viewh) Treeh Viewh
  ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym viewm' = (view-contentm, view-contenth) rose-treem

primrec from-viewm :: viewm ⇒ viewm' where
  from-viewm (Viewm x) = Treem (map-blindablem (map-prod id (map from-viewm)) (map-prod id (map from-viewh)) x)

primrec to-viewm :: viewm' ⇒ viewm where

```

```


$$to\text{-}view_m(Tree_m x) = View_m(map\text{-}blindable_m(map\text{-}prod id (map to\text{-}view_m))(map\text{-}prod id (map to\text{-}view_h)) x)$$


lemma from-to-viewm [simp]: from-viewm (to-viewm x) = x  

  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-from-viewm [simp]: to-viewm (from-viewm x) = x  

  ⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-viewm: type-definition from-viewm to-viewm UNIV  

  ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting iso-viewm

lemma cr-viewm-Grp: cr-viewm = Grp UNIV to-viewm  

  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Viewm-transfer [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows  

  (rel-blindablem (rel-prod (=) (list-all2 pcr-viewm)) (rel-prod (=) (list-all2 pcr-viewh)))  

  ==> pcr-viewm) Treem Viewm  

  ⟨proof⟩

end

code-datatype Viewh  

code-datatype Viewm

context begin  

interpretation view-merkle ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation (input) hash-view-content :: (view-contentm, view-contenth) hash  

where  

hash-view-content ≡ hash-prod hash-view-metadata hash-view-data

abbreviation (input) blinding-of-view-content :: view-contentm blinding-of where  

blinding-of-view-content ≡ blinding-of-prod blinding-of-view-metadata blinding-of-view-data

abbreviation (input) merge-view-content :: view-contentm merge where  

merge-view-content ≡ merge-prod merge-view-metadata merge-view-data

lift-definition hash-view :: (viewm, viewh) hash is  

hash-tree hash-view-content ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition blinding-of-view :: viewm blinding-of is  

blinding-of-tree hash-view-content blinding-of-view-content ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition merge-view :: viewm merge is  

merge-tree hash-view-content merge-view-content ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma merkle-view [locale-witness]: merkle-interface hash-view blinding-of-view
merge-view
⟨proof⟩

lemma hash-view-simps [simp]:
hash-view (Viewm x) =
Viewh (hash-blindable (hash-prod hash-view-content (hash-list hash-view)) x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-of-view-iff [simp]:
blinding-of-view (Viewm x) (Viewm y) ←→
blinding-of-blindable (hash-prod hash-view-content (hash-list hash-view))
(blinding-of-prod blinding-of-view-content (blinding-of-list blinding-of-view)) x
y
⟨proof⟩

lemma blinding-of-view-induct [consumes 1, induct pred: blinding-of-view]:
assumes blinding-of-view x y
and ∧x y. blinding-of-blindable (hash-prod hash-view-content (hash-list hash-view))
(blinding-of-prod blinding-of-view-content (blinding-of-list (λx y. blinding-of-view x y ∧ P x y))) x y
⇒ P (Viewm x) (Viewm y)
shows P x y
⟨proof⟩

lemma merge-view-simps [simp]:
merge-view (Viewm x) (Viewm y) =
map-option Viewm (merge-rt-Fm hash-view-content merge-view-content hash-view
merge-view x y)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

4.2 Transaction trees as authenticated data structures

type-synonym common-metadata_h = common-metadata blindable_h
type-synonym common-metadata_m = (common-metadata, common-metadata) blindable_m

type-synonym participant-metadata_h = participant-metadata blindable_h
type-synonym participant-metadata_m = (participant-metadata, participant-metadata) blindable_m

datatype transaction_h = Transaction_h
(the-Transaction_h: ((common-metadata_h ×_h participant-metadata_h) ×_h view_h
list_h) blindable_h)

datatype transaction_m = Transaction_m
(the-Transaction_m: ((common-metadata_m ×_m participant-metadata_m) ×_m view_m

```

 $list_m,$ 
 $(common\text{-}metadata_h \times_h participant\text{-}metadata_h) \times_h view_h list_h)$  blindablem)
```

abbreviation (input) hash-common-metadata :: (common-metadata_m, common-metadata_h)
hash where
 $hash\text{-}common\text{-}metadata \equiv hash\text{-}blindable id$

abbreviation (input) blinding-of-common-metadata :: common-metadata_m blinding-of
where
 $blinding\text{-}of\text{-}common\text{-}metadata \equiv blinding\text{-}of\text{-}blindable id (=)$

abbreviation (input) merge-common-metadata :: common-metadata_m merge **where**
 $merge\text{-}common\text{-}metadata \equiv merge\text{-}blindable id merge\text{-}discrete$

abbreviation (input) hash-participant-metadata :: (participant-metadata_m, participant-metadata_h) hash **where**
 $hash\text{-}participant\text{-}metadata \equiv hash\text{-}blindable id$

abbreviation (input) blinding-of-participant-metadata :: participant-metadata_m blinding-of **where**
 $blinding\text{-}of\text{-}participant\text{-}metadata \equiv blinding\text{-}of\text{-}blindable id (=)$

abbreviation (input) merge-participant-metadata :: participant-metadata_m merge **where**
 $merge\text{-}participant\text{-}metadata \equiv merge\text{-}blindable id merge\text{-}discrete$

locale transaction-merkle **begin**

lemma iso-transaction_h: type-definition the-Transaction_h Transaction_h UNIV
 $\langle proof \rangle$

setup-lifting iso-transaction_h

lemma Transaction_h-transfer [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows
 $((=) ==> pcr\text{-}transaction_h) id Transaction_h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-transaction_m: type-definition the-Transaction_m Transaction_m UNIV
 $\langle proof \rangle$

setup-lifting iso-transaction_m

lemma Transaction_m-transfer [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows
 $((=) ==> pcr\text{-}transaction_m) id Transaction_m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

code-datatype Transaction_h
code-datatype Transaction_m

context begin
interpretation transaction-merkle $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lift-definition hash-transaction :: ( $transaction_m$ ,  $transaction_h$ ) hash is
  hash-blindable (hash-prod (hash-prod hash-common-metadata hash-participant-metadata)
  (hash-list hash-view))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition blinding-of-transaction ::  $transaction_m$  blinding-of is
  blinding-of-blindable
  (hash-prod (hash-prod hash-common-metadata hash-participant-metadata) (hash-list
  hash-view))
  (blinding-of-prod (blinding-of-prod blinding-of-common-metadata blinding-of-participant-metadata)
  (blinding-of-list blinding-of-view))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition merge-transaction ::  $transaction_m$  merge is
  merge-blindable
  (hash-prod (hash-prod hash-common-metadata hash-participant-metadata) (hash-list
  hash-view))
  (merge-prod (merge-prod merge-common-metadata merge-participant-metadata)
  (merge-list merge-view))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma merkle-transaction [locale-witness]:
  merkle-interface hash-transaction blinding-of-transaction merge-transaction
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas hash-transaction-simps [simp] = hash-transaction.abs-eq
lemmas blinding-of-transaction-iff [simp] = blinding-of-transaction.abs-eq
lemmas merge-transaction-simps [simp] = merge-transaction.abs-eq

end

interpretation transaction:
  merkle-interface hash-transaction blinding-of-transaction merge-transaction
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

4.3 Constructing authenticated data structures for views

context view-merkle **begin**

type-synonym $view' = (view\text{-}metadata \times view\text{-}data)$ rose-tree

primrec from-view :: $view \Rightarrow view'$ **where**
 $from\text{-view} (\text{View } vm vd vs) = \text{Tree } ((vm, vd), map \text{ } from\text{-view} \text{ } vs)$

primrec to-view :: $view' \Rightarrow view$ **where**
 $to\text{-view} (\text{Tree } x) = \text{View } (\text{fst } (\text{fst } x)) (\text{snd } (\text{fst } x)) (\text{snd } (\text{map}\text{-prod } id (\text{map } to\text{-view}) \text{ } x))$

lemma from-to-view [*simp*]: $from\text{-view} (to\text{-view } x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma to-from-view [simp]: to-view (from-view x) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-view: type-definition from-view to-view UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting iso-view

definition View' :: (view-metadata × view-data) × view list ⇒ view where
  View' = (λ((vm, vd), vs). View vm vd vs)

lemma View-View': View = (λvm vd vs. View' ((vm, vd), vs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cr-view-Grp: cr-view = Grp UNIV to-view
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma View'-transfer [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows
  (rel-prod (=) (list-all2 pcr-view) ==> pcr-view) Tree View'
  ⟨proof⟩

end

code-datatype View

context begin
interpretation view-merkle ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation embed-view-content :: view-metadata × view-data ⇒ view-metadatam
  × view-datam where
  embed-view-content ≡ map-prod Unblinded Unblinded

lift-definition embed-view :: view ⇒ viewm is embed-source-tree embed-view-content
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma embed-view-simps [simp]:
  embed-view (View vm vd vs) = Viewm (Unblinded ((Unblinded vm, Unblinded
  vd), map embed-view vs))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context transaction-merkle begin

primrec the-Transaction :: transaction ⇒ (common-metadata × participant-metadata)
  × view list where
  the-Transaction (Transaction cm pm views) = ((cm, pm), views) for views

definition Transaction' :: (common-metadata × participant-metadata) × view list

```

```

 $\Rightarrow \text{transaction where}$ 
 $\text{Transaction}' = (\lambda((cm, pm), views). \text{Transaction } cm pm views)$ 

lemma Transaction-Transaction':  $\text{Transaction} = (\lambda cm\ pm\ views. \text{Transaction}'((cm, pm), views))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma the-Transaction-inverse [simp]:  $\text{Transaction}'(\text{the-Transaction } x) = x$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Transaction'-inverse [simp]:  $\text{the-Transaction}(\text{Transaction}' x) = x$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma iso-transaction: type-definition the-Transaction Transaction' UNIV
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

setup-lifting iso-transaction

lemma Transaction'-transfer [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows
 $((=) \implies \text{pcr-transaction}) id \text{ Transaction}'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

code-datatype Transaction

context begin
interpretation transaction-merkle  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition embed-transaction ::  $\text{transaction} \Rightarrow \text{transaction}_m$  is
 $\text{Unblinded} \circ \text{map-prod}(\text{map-prod} \text{ Unblinded} \text{ Unblinded})(\text{map embed-view}) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma embed-transaction-simps [simp]:
 $\text{embed-transaction}(\text{Transaction } cm\ pm\ views) =$ 
 $\text{Transaction}_m(\text{Unblinded}((\text{Unblinded } cm, \text{ Unblinded } pm), \text{ map embed-view} views))$ 
for views  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

4.3.1 Inclusion proof for the mediator

```

primrec mediator-view :: view  $\Rightarrow \text{view}_m$  where
 $\text{mediator-view}(\text{View } vm\ vd\ vs) =$ 
 $\text{View}_m(\text{Unblinded}((\text{Unblinded } vm, \text{ Blinded } (\text{Content } vd)), \text{ map mediator-view} vs))$ 

primrec mediator-transaction-tree ::  $\text{transaction} \Rightarrow \text{transaction}_m$  where
 $\text{mediator-transaction-tree}(\text{Transaction } cm\ pm\ views) =$ 

```

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Transaction}_m \ (\text{Unblinded} \ ((\text{Unblinded} \ cm, \ \text{Blinded} \ (\text{Content} \ pm)), \ \text{map} \ \text{mediator-view} \ views)) \\
& \quad \text{for} \ views \\
\text{lemma} \ & \text{ blinding-of-mediator-view [simp]: } \text{blinding-of-view} \ (\text{mediator-view} \ view) \ (\text{embed-view} \ view) \\
& \quad \langle proof \rangle \\
\text{lemma} \ & \text{ blinding-of-mediator-transaction-tree:} \\
& \quad \text{blinding-of-transaction} \ (\text{mediator-transaction-tree} \ tt) \ (\text{embed-transaction} \ tt) \\
& \quad \langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$


```

4.3.2 Inclusion proofs for participants

Next, we define a function for producing all transaction views from a given view, and prove its properties.

```

type-synonym  $\text{view-path-elem} = (\text{view-metadata} \times \text{view-data}) \ \text{blindable} \times \text{view list} \times \text{view list}$ 
type-synonym  $\text{view-path} = \text{view-path-elem list}$ 
type-synonym  $\text{view-zipper} = \text{view-path} \times \text{view}$ 

```

```

type-synonym  $\text{view-path-elem}_m = (\text{view-metadata}_m \times_m \text{view-data}_m) \times \text{view}_m$ 
 $\text{list}_m \times \text{view}_m \text{ list}_m$ 
type-synonym  $\text{view-path}_m = \text{view-path-elem}_m \text{ list}$ 
type-synonym  $\text{view-zipper}_m = \text{view-path}_m \times \text{view}_m$ 

```

```

context begin
interpretation  $\text{view-merkle} \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lift-definition  $\text{zipper-of-view} :: \text{view} \Rightarrow \text{view-zipper}$  is  $\text{zipper-of-tree} \langle proof \rangle$ 
lift-definition  $\text{view-of-zipper} :: \text{view-zipper} \Rightarrow \text{view}$  is  $\text{tree-of-zipper} \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lift-definition  $\text{zipper-of-view}_m :: \text{view}_m \Rightarrow \text{view-zipper}_m$  is  $\text{zipper-of-tree}_m \langle proof \rangle$ 
lift-definition  $\text{view-of-zipper}_m :: \text{view-zipper}_m \Rightarrow \text{view}_m$  is  $\text{tree-of-zipper}_m \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{view-of-zipper}_m\text{-Nil} [\text{simp}]: \text{view-of-zipper}_m ([], t) = t$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lift-definition  $\text{blind-view-path-elem} :: \text{view-path-elem} \Rightarrow \text{view-path-elem}_m$  is
 $\text{blind-path-elem embed-view-content hash-view-content} \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lift-definition  $\text{blind-view-path} :: \text{view-path} \Rightarrow \text{view-path}_m$  is
 $\text{blind-path embed-view-content hash-view-content} \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lift-definition  $\text{embed-view-path-elem} :: \text{view-path-elem} \Rightarrow \text{view-path-elem}_m$  is
 $\text{embed-path-elem embed-view-content} \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lift-definition  $\text{embed-view-path} :: \text{view-path} \Rightarrow \text{view-path}_m$  is
 $\text{embed-path embed-view-content} \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lift-definition hash-view-path-elem :: view-path-elemm  $\Rightarrow$  (view-contenth  $\times$  viewh
list  $\times$  viewh list) is
hash-path-elem hash-view-content ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition zippers-view :: view-zipper  $\Rightarrow$  view-zipperm list is
zippers-rose-tree embed-view-content hash-view-content ⟨proof⟩

lemma embed-view-path-Nil [simp]: embed-view-path [] = []
⟨proof⟩

lemma zippers-view-same-hash:
assumes z  $\in$  set (zippers-view (p, t))
shows hash-view (view-of-zipperm z) = hash-view (view-of-zipperm (embed-view-path
p, embed-view t))
⟨proof⟩

lemma zippers-view-blinding-of:
assumes z  $\in$  set (zippers-view (p, t))
shows blinding-of-view (view-of-zipperm z) (view-of-zipperm (blind-view-path p,
embed-view t))
⟨proof⟩

end

primrec blind-view :: view  $\Rightarrow$  viewm where
blind-view (View vm vd subviews) =
Viewm (Blinded (Content ((Content vm, Content vd), map (hash-view  $\circ$  em-
bed-view) subviews)))
for subviews

lemma hash-blind-view: hash-view (blind-view view) = hash-view (embed-view view)
⟨proof⟩

primrec blind-transaction :: transaction  $\Rightarrow$  transactionm where
blind-transaction (Transaction cm pm views) =
Transactionm (Blinded (Content ((Content cm, Content pm), map (hash-view  $\circ$ 
blind-view) views)))
for views

lemma hash-blind-transaction:
hash-transaction (blind-transaction transaction) = hash-transaction (embed-transaction
transaction)
⟨proof⟩

typeddecl participant
consts recipients :: view-metadata  $\Rightarrow$  participant list

```

```

fun view-recipients ::  $view_m \Rightarrow participant\ set$  where
  view-recipients ( $View_m (Unblinded ((Unblinded\ vm, vd), subviews)) = set (recipients\ vm)$ ) for subviews
  | view-recipients - = {} — Sane default case

context fixes participant ::  $participant$  begin

definition view-trees-for ::  $view \Rightarrow view_m\ list$  where
  view-trees-for view =
    map view-of-zipperm
      (filter ( $\lambda(-, t). participant \in view-recipients t$ )
        (zippers-view ([], view)))

primrec transaction-views-for ::  $transaction \Rightarrow transaction_m\ list$  where
  transaction-views-for ( $Transaction\ cm\ pm\ views$ ) =
    map ( $\lambda view_m. Transaction_m (Unblinded ((Unblinded\ cm, Unblinded\ pm), view_m))$ )
      (concat (map ( $\lambda(l, v, r). map blind-view l @ [v_m] @ map blind-view\ r$ )
        (view-trees-for v)) (splits views)))
    for views

lemma view-trees-for-same-hash:
   $vt \in set (view-trees-for view) \implies hash-view vt = hash-view (embed-view view)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transaction-views-for-same-hash:
   $t_m \in set (transaction-views-for t) \implies hash-transaction t_m = hash-transaction (embed-transaction t)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition transaction-projection-for ::  $transaction \Rightarrow transaction_m$  where
  transaction-projection-for t =
    (let tvs = transaction-views-for t
     in if tvs = [] then blind-transaction t else the (transaction.Merge (set tvs)))

lemma transaction-projection-for-same-hash:
   $hash-transaction (transaction-projection-for t) = hash-transaction (embed-transaction t)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transaction-projection-for-upper:
  assumes  $t_m \in set (transaction-views-for t)$ 
  shows blinding-of-transaction  $t_m$  (transaction-projection-for t)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```