

The Calculus of Communicating Systems

Jesper Bengtson

June 14, 2012

Abstract

We formalise a large portion of CCS as described in Milner’s book ‘Communication and Concurrency’ using the nominal datatype package in Isabelle. Our results include many of the standard theorems of bisimulation equivalence and congruence, for both weak and strong versions. One main goal of this formalisation is to keep the machine-checked proofs as close to their pen-and-paper counterpart as possible.

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Formalisation	2

1 Overview

These theories formalise the following results from Milner’s book *Communication and Concurrency*.

- strong bisimilarity is a congruence
- strong bisimilarity respects the laws of structural congruence
- weak bisimilarity is preserved by all operators except sum
- weak congruence is a congruence
- all strongly bisimilar agents are also weakly congruent which in turn are weakly bisimilar. As a corollary, weak bisimilarity and weak congruence respect the laws of structural congruence.

The file naming convention is hopefully self explanatory, where the prefixes *Strong* and *Weak* denote that the file covers theories required to formalise properties of strong and weak bisimilarity respectively; if the file name contains *Sim* the theories cover simulation, file names containing *Bisim*

cover bisimulation, and file names containing *Cong* cover weak congruence; files with the suffix *Pres* deal with theories that reason about preservation properties of operators such as a certain simulation or bisimulation being preserved by a certain operator; files with the suffix *SC* reason about structural congruence.

For a complete exposition of all theories, please consult Bengtson's Ph. D. thesis [1].

2 Formalisation

```

theory Agent
  imports Nominal
begin

atom-decl name

nominal-datatype act = actAction name      ( $\langle \!| \!-\!| \rangle$  100)
  | actCoAction name      ( $\langle \!-\! \rangle$  100)
  | actTau                  ( $\tau$  100)

nominal-datatype ccs = CCSNil                (0 115)
  | Action act ccs      ( $\cdot$ - [120, 110] 110)
  | Sum ccs ccs          (infixl  $\oplus$  90)
  | Par ccs ccs          (infixl  $\parallel$  85)
  | Res  $\langle\!name\!\rangle$  ccs ( $\langle \!| \nu \!-\!| \rangle$ - [105, 100] 100)
  | Bang ccs             ( $!\!-$  [95])

nominal-primrec coAction :: act  $\Rightarrow$  act
where
  coAction ( $\langle \!| a \!| \rangle$ ) = ( $\langle \!a \! \rangle$ )
  | coAction ( $\langle \!a \! \rangle$ ) = ( $\langle \!| a \!| \rangle$ )
  | coAction ( $\tau$ ) =  $\tau$ 
by(rule TrueI)+

lemma coActionEqvt[eqvt]:
  fixes p :: name prm
  and a :: act

  shows (p  $\cdot$  coAction a) = coAction(p  $\cdot$  a)
by(nominal-induct a rule: act.strong-induct) (auto simp add: eqvts)

lemma coActionSimps[simp]:
  fixes a :: act

  shows coAction(coAction a) = a
  and (coAction a =  $\tau$ ) = (a =  $\tau$ )
by auto (nominal-induct rule: act.strong-induct, auto)+

```

lemma *coActSimp*[*simp*]: **shows** $\text{coAction } \alpha \neq \tau = (\alpha \neq \tau)$ **and** $(\text{coAction } \alpha = \tau) = (\alpha = \tau)$
by(*nominal-induct* α *rule: act.strong-induct*) *auto*

lemma *coActFresh*[*simp*]:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $a :: \text{act}$

shows $x \# \text{coAction } a = x \# a$
by(*nominal-induct* a *rule: act.strong-induct*) (*auto*)

lemma *alphaRes*:
fixes $y :: \text{name}$
and $P :: \text{ccs}$
and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $y \# P$

shows $(\nu x)P = (\nu y)((x, y) \cdot P)$
using *assms*
by(*auto simp add: ccs.inject alpha fresh-left calc-atm pt-swap-bij*[*OF pt-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]*pt3*[*OF pt-name-inst, OF at-ds1*[*OF at-name-inst*]])

inductive semantics :: $\text{ccs} \Rightarrow \text{act} \Rightarrow \text{ccs} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(- \mapsto - \prec - [80, 80, 80] 80)$
where

Action: $\alpha.(P) \mapsto \alpha \prec P$
| *Sum1:* $P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \implies P \oplus Q \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
| *Sum2:* $Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \implies P \oplus Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'$
| *Par1:* $P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \implies P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \parallel Q$
| *Par2:* $Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \implies P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec P \parallel Q'$
| *Comm:* $\llbracket P \mapsto a \prec P'; Q \mapsto (\text{coAction } a) \prec Q'; a \neq \tau \rrbracket \implies P \parallel Q \mapsto \tau \prec P' \parallel Q'$
| *Res:* $\llbracket P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; x \# \alpha \rrbracket \implies (\nu x)P \mapsto \alpha \prec (\nu x)P'$
| *Bang:* $P \parallel !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \implies !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$

equivariance semantics

nominal-inductive semantics
by(*auto simp add: abs-fresh*)

lemma *semanticsInduct*:
 $\llbracket R \mapsto \beta \prec R'; \bigwedge \alpha P C. \text{Prop } C (\alpha.(P)) \alpha P; \bigwedge P \alpha P' Q C. \llbracket P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C P \alpha P' \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } C (\text{ccs.Sum } P Q) \alpha P';$
 $\bigwedge Q \alpha Q' P C. \llbracket Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C Q \alpha Q' \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } C (\text{ccs.Sum } P Q) \alpha Q';$
 $\bigwedge P \alpha P' Q C. \llbracket P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C P \alpha P' \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } C (P \parallel Q) \alpha (P' \parallel Q);$

$\wedge Q \alpha Q' P \mathcal{C}. \llbracket Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \wedge \mathcal{C}. \text{Prop } \mathcal{C} Q \alpha Q' \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } \mathcal{C} (P \parallel Q) \alpha (P \parallel Q')$;
 $\wedge P a P' Q Q' \mathcal{C}.$
 $\llbracket P \mapsto a \prec P'; \wedge \mathcal{C}. \text{Prop } \mathcal{C} P a P'; Q \mapsto (\text{coAction } a) \prec Q';$
 $\wedge \mathcal{C}. \text{Prop } \mathcal{C} Q (\text{coAction } a) Q'; a \neq \tau \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Prop } \mathcal{C} (P \parallel Q) (\tau) (P' \parallel Q');$;
 $\wedge P \alpha P' x \mathcal{C}.$
 $\llbracket x \# \mathcal{C}; P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \wedge \mathcal{C}. \text{Prop } \mathcal{C} P \alpha P'; x \# \alpha \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } \mathcal{C} ((\nu x)P) \alpha ((\nu x)P')$;
 $\wedge P \alpha P' \mathcal{C}. \llbracket P \parallel !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \wedge \mathcal{C}. \text{Prop } \mathcal{C} (P \parallel !P) \alpha P' \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } \mathcal{C} !P \alpha P'$

$\implies \text{Prop } (\mathcal{C}::'a::\text{fs-name}) R \beta R'$
by(*erule-tac z=C in semantics.strong-induct*) *auto*

lemma *NilTrans*[*dest*]:
shows $\mathbf{0} \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \implies \text{False}$
and $((b)).P \mapsto \langle c \rangle \prec P' \implies \text{False}$
and $((b)).P \mapsto \tau \prec P' \implies \text{False}$
and $((b)).P \mapsto \langle c \rangle \prec P' \implies \text{False}$
and $((b)).P \mapsto \tau \prec P' \implies \text{False}$
apply(*ind-cases* $\mathbf{0} \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$)
apply(*ind-cases* $((b)).P \mapsto \langle c \rangle \prec P'$, *auto simp add: ccs.inject*)
apply(*ind-cases* $((b)).P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$, *auto simp add: ccs.inject*)
apply(*ind-cases* $((b)).P \mapsto \langle c \rangle \prec P'$, *auto simp add: ccs.inject*)
apply(*ind-cases* $((b)).P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$, *auto simp add: ccs.inject*)
done

lemma *freshDerivative*:
fixes $P :: \text{ccs}$
and $a :: \text{act}$
and $P' :: \text{ccs}$
and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $x \# P$

shows $x \# \alpha$ **and** $x \# P'$
using *assms*
by(*nominal-induct rule: semantics.strong-induct*)
(auto simp add: ccs.fresh abs-fresh)

lemma *actCases*[*consumes 1, case-names cAct*]:
fixes $\alpha :: \text{act}$
and $P :: \text{ccs}$
and $\beta :: \text{act}$
and $P' :: \text{ccs}$

assumes $\alpha.(P) \mapsto \beta \prec P'$

```

and       $Prop\ \alpha\ P$ 

shows  $Prop\ \beta\ P'$ 
using  $assms$ 
by  $- (ind-cases\ \alpha.(P) \mapsto \beta \prec P',\ auto\ simp\ add:\ ccs.inject)$ 

lemma  $sumCases[consumes\ 1,\ case-names\ cSum1\ cSum2]:$ 
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and    $Q :: ccs$ 
  and    $\alpha :: act$ 
  and    $R :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \oplus Q \mapsto \alpha \prec R$ 
  and      $\bigwedge P'. P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \implies Prop\ P'$ 
  and      $\bigwedge Q'. Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \implies Prop\ Q'$ 

  shows  $Prop\ R$ 
using  $assms$ 
by  $- (ind-cases\ P \oplus Q \mapsto \alpha \prec R,\ auto\ simp\ add:\ ccs.inject)$ 

lemma  $parCases[consumes\ 1,\ case-names\ cPar1\ cPar2\ cComm]:$ 
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and    $Q :: ccs$ 
  and    $a :: act$ 
  and    $R :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec R$ 
  and      $\bigwedge P'. P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \implies Prop\ \alpha\ (P' \parallel Q)$ 
  and      $\bigwedge Q'. Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \implies Prop\ \alpha\ (P \parallel Q')$ 
  and      $\bigwedge P' Q' a. \llbracket P \mapsto a \prec P'; Q \mapsto (coAction\ a) \prec Q'; a \neq \tau; \alpha = \tau \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $Prop\ (\tau)\ (P' \parallel Q')$ 

  shows  $Prop\ \alpha\ R$ 
using  $assms$ 
by  $- (ind-cases\ P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec R,\ auto\ simp\ add:\ ccs.inject)$ 

lemma  $resCases[consumes\ 1,\ case-names\ cRes]:$ 
  fixes  $x :: name$ 
  and    $P :: ccs$ 
  and    $\alpha :: act$ 
  and    $P' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $(\nu x)P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$ 
  and      $\bigwedge P'. \llbracket P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; x \# \alpha \rrbracket \implies Prop\ ((\nu x)P')$ 

  shows  $Prop\ P'$ 
proof  $-$ 
  from  $\langle (\nu x)P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \rangle$  have  $x \# \alpha$  and  $x \# P'$ 
  by  $(auto\ intro:\ freshDerivative\ simp\ add:\ abs-fresh)+$ 

```

```

with assms show ?thesis
  by(cases rule: semantics.strong-cases[of - - - x])
    (auto simp add: abs-fresh ccs.inject alpha)
qed

inductive bangPred :: ccs  $\Rightarrow$  ccs  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  aux1: bangPred P (!P)
| aux2: bangPred P (P || !P)

lemma bangInduct[consumes 1, case-names cPar1 cPar2 cComm cBang]:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and  $\alpha$  :: act
  and P' :: ccs
  and C :: 'a::fs-name

  assumes !P  $\mapsto_{\alpha}$   $\prec$  P'
  and rPar1:  $\bigwedge \alpha P' C. \llbracket P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C (P || !P) \alpha (P' || !P)$ 
  and rPar2:  $\bigwedge \alpha P' C. \llbracket !P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P' \rrbracket; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C (!P) \alpha P' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C (P || !P) \alpha (P || P')$ 
  and rComm:  $\bigwedge a P' P'' C. \llbracket P \mapsto_a \prec P'; !P \mapsto_{(\text{coAction } a)} \prec P''; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C (!P) (\text{coAction } a) P''; a \neq \tau \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C (P || !P) (\tau) (P' || P'')$ 
  and rBang:  $\bigwedge \alpha P' C. \llbracket P || !P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C (P || !P) \alpha P' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C (!P) \alpha P'$ 

  shows Prop C (!P)  $\alpha$  P'
proof -
  {
    fix X  $\alpha$  P'
    assume X  $\mapsto_{\alpha}$   $\prec$  P' and bangPred P X
    hence Prop C X  $\alpha$  P'
    proof(nominal-induct avoiding: C rule: semantics.strong-induct)
      case(Action  $\alpha$  Pa)
      thus ?case
      by - (ind-cases bangPred P ( $\alpha.(Pa)$ ))
    next
      case(Sum1 Pa  $\alpha$  P' Q)
      thus ?case
      by - (ind-cases bangPred P (Pa  $\oplus$  Q))
    next
      case(Sum2 Q  $\alpha$  Q' Pa)
      thus ?case
      by - (ind-cases bangPred P (Pa  $\oplus$  Q))
    next
      case(Par1 Pa  $\alpha$  P' Q)
      thus ?case
      apply -
      by(ind-cases bangPred P (Pa || Q), auto intro: rPar1 simp add: ccs.inject)
  }

```

```

next
  case(Par2  $Q \alpha P' Pa$ )
  thus ?case
  apply -
    by(ind-cases bangPred  $P (Pa \parallel Q)$ , auto intro: rPar2 aux1 simp add: ccs.inject)
next
  case(Comm  $Pa a P' Q Q' C$ )
  thus ?case
  apply -
    by(ind-cases bangPred  $P (Pa \parallel Q)$ , auto intro: rComm aux1 simp add: ccs.inject)
next
  case(Res  $Pa \alpha P' x$ )
  thus ?case
  by - (ind-cases bangPred  $P ((\nu x)Pa)$ )
next
  case(Bang  $Pa \alpha P'$ )
  thus ?case
  apply -
    by(ind-cases bangPred  $P (!Pa)$ , auto intro: rBang aux2 simp add: ccs.inject)
qed
}
with  $\langle !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \rangle$  show ?thesis by(force intro: bangPred.aux1)
qed

```

inductive-set *bangRel* :: (*ccs* \times *ccs*) *set* \Rightarrow (*ccs* \times *ccs*) *set*
for *Rel* :: (*ccs* \times *ccs*) *set*
where
BRBang: $(P, Q) \in Rel \Rightarrow (!P, !Q) \in bangRel\ Rel$
BRPar: $(R, T) \in Rel \Rightarrow (P, Q) \in (bangRel\ Rel) \Rightarrow (R \parallel P, T \parallel Q) \in (bangRel\ Rel)$

lemma *BRBangCases*[*consumes 1, case-names BRBang*]:

```

fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
and  $Q :: ccs$ 
and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs)\ set$ 
and  $F :: ccs \Rightarrow bool$ 

```

```

assumes  $(P, !Q) \in bangRel\ Rel$ 
and  $\bigwedge P. (P, Q) \in Rel \Rightarrow F (!P)$ 

```

shows $F P$

using *assms*

by - (*ind-cases* $(P, !Q) \in bangRel\ Rel$, *auto simp add: ccs.inject*)

lemma *BRParCases*[*consumes 1, case-names BRPar*]:

```

fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
and  $Q :: ccs$ 

```

```

and   Rel :: (ccs × ccs) set
and   F   :: ccs ⇒ bool

assumes (P, Q || !Q) ∈ bangRel Rel
and     ⋀ P R. [(P, Q) ∈ Rel; (R, !Q) ∈ bangRel Rel] ⇒ F (P || R)

shows F P
using assms
by - (ind-cases (P, Q || !Q) ∈ bangRel Rel, auto simp add: ccs.inject)

lemma bangRelSubset:
  fixes Rel :: (ccs × ccs) set
  and   Rel' :: (ccs × ccs) set

  assumes (P, Q) ∈ bangRel Rel
  and     ⋀ P Q. (P, Q) ∈ Rel ⇒ (P, Q) ∈ Rel'

  shows (P, Q) ∈ bangRel Rel'
using assms
by (induct rule: bangRel.induct) (auto intro: BRBang BRPar)

end

theory Tau-Chain
  imports Agent
begin

definition tauChain :: ccs ⇒ ccs ⇒ bool (- ⇒τ - [80, 80] 80)
  where P ⇒τ P' ≡ (P, P') ∈ {(P, P') | P P'. P ↦τ < P'}*

lemma tauChainInduct[consumes 1, case-names Base Step]:
  assumes P ⇒τ P'
  and     Prop P
  and     ⋀ P' P''. [P ⇒τ P'; P' ↦τ < P'']; Prop P'] ⇒ Prop P''

  shows Prop P'
using assms
by (auto simp add: tauChain-def elim: rtrancl-induct)

lemma tauChainRefl[simp]:
  fixes P :: ccs

  shows P ⇒τ P
by (auto simp add: tauChain-def)

lemma tauChainCons[dest]:
  fixes P   :: ccs

```



```

and   P' :: ccs
and   P'' :: ccs

assumes P  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P'
and     P'  $\mapsto_\tau$  P''

shows P  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P''
using assms
by(auto simp add: tauChain-def) (blast dest: rtrancl-trans)

lemma tauChainCons2[dest]:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and   P' :: ccs
  and   P'' :: ccs

  assumes P'  $\mapsto_\tau$  P''
  and     P  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P'

  shows P  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P''
using assms
by(auto simp add: tauChain-def) (blast dest: rtrancl-trans)

lemma tauChainAppend[dest]:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and   P' :: ccs
  and   P'' :: ccs

  assumes P  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P'
  and     P'  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P''

  shows P  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P''
using ⟨P'  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P''⟩ ⟨P  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P'⟩
by(induct rule: tauChainInduct) auto

lemma tauChainSum1:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and   P' :: ccs
  and   Q :: ccs

  assumes P  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P'
  and     P  $\neq$  P'

  shows P  $\oplus$  Q  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P'
using assms
proof(induct rule: tauChainInduct)
  case Base
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Step P' P'')

```

```

    thus ?case
    by(case-tac P=P') (auto intro: Sum1 simp add: tauChain-def)
qed

```

lemma *tauChainSum2*:

```

  fixes P :: ccs
  and   P' :: ccs
  and   Q :: ccs

```

```

  assumes Q  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  Q'
  and     Q  $\neq$  Q'

```

```

  shows P  $\oplus$  Q  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  Q'

```

```

using assms

```

```

proof(induct rule: tauChainInduct)

```

```

  case Base

```

```

    thus ?case by simp

```

```

next

```

```

  case(Step Q' Q'')

```

```

    thus ?case

```

```

      by(case-tac Q=Q') (auto intro: Sum2 simp add: tauChain-def)

```

```

qed

```

lemma *tauChainPar1*:

```

  fixes P :: ccs
  and   P' :: ccs
  and   Q :: ccs

```

```

  assumes P  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P'

```

```

  shows P  $\parallel$  Q  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P'  $\parallel$  Q

```

```

using assms

```

```

by(induct rule: tauChainInduct) (auto intro: Par1)

```

lemma *tauChainPar2*:

```

  fixes Q :: ccs
  and   Q' :: ccs
  and   P :: ccs

```

```

  assumes Q  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  Q'

```

```

  shows P  $\parallel$  Q  $\Rightarrow_\tau$  P  $\parallel$  Q'

```

```

using assms

```

```

by(induct rule: tauChainInduct) (auto intro: Par2)

```

lemma *tauChainRes*:

```

  fixes P :: ccs
  and   P' :: ccs
  and   x :: name

```

```

assumes  $P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 

shows  $(\nu x)P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} (\nu x)P'$ 
using assms
by(induct rule: tauChainInduct) (auto dest: Res)

lemma tauChainRepl:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \parallel !P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 
  and  $P' \neq P \parallel !P$ 

  shows  $!P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 
using assms
apply(induct rule: tauChainInduct)
apply auto
apply(case-tac  $P' \neq P \parallel !P$ )
apply auto
apply(drule Bang)
apply(simp add: tauChain-def)
by auto

end

theory Weak-Cong-Semantics
  imports Tau-Chain
begin

definition weakCongTrans ::  $ccs \Rightarrow act \Rightarrow ccs \Rightarrow bool$  ( $- \Longrightarrow - \prec -$  [80, 80, 80]
80)
  where  $P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P' \equiv \exists P'' P'''. P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'' \wedge P'' \longmapsto_{\alpha} \prec P''' \wedge P''' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 

lemma weakCongTransE:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P'$ 

  obtains  $P'' P'''$  where  $P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P''$  and  $P'' \longmapsto_{\alpha} \prec P'''$  and  $P''' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)

lemma weakCongTransI:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $P'' :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 

```

```

and    $P''' :: ccs$ 
and    $P' :: ccs$ 

assumes  $P \Rightarrow_{\tau} P''$ 
and      $P'' \mapsto_{\alpha} P'''$ 
and      $P''' \Rightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 

shows  $P \Rightarrow_{\alpha} P'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)

lemma transitionWeakCongTransition:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and    $\alpha :: act$ 
  and    $P' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \mapsto_{\alpha} P'$ 

  shows  $P \Rightarrow_{\alpha} P'$ 
using assms
by(force simp add: weakCongTrans-def)

lemma weakCongAction:
  fixes  $a :: name$ 
  and    $P :: ccs$ 

  shows  $\alpha.(P) \Rightarrow_{\alpha} P$ 
by(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)
   (blast intro: Action tauChainRefl)

lemma weakCongSum1:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and    $\alpha :: act$ 
  and    $P' :: ccs$ 
  and    $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \Rightarrow_{\alpha} P'$ 

  shows  $P \oplus Q \Rightarrow_{\alpha} P'$ 
using assms
apply(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)
apply(case-tac P=P')
apply(force simp add: tauChain-def dest: Sum1)
by(force intro: tauChainSum1)

lemma weakCongSum2:
  fixes  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and    $\alpha :: act$ 
  and    $Q' :: ccs$ 

```

```

and    $P :: ccs$ 

assumes  $Q \Rightarrow \alpha \prec Q'$ 

shows  $P \oplus Q \Rightarrow \alpha \prec Q'$ 
using assms
apply(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)
apply(case-tac Q=P'')
apply(force simp add: tauChain-def dest: Sum2)
by(force intro: tauChainSum2)

lemma weakCongPar1:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and    $\alpha :: act$ 
  and    $P' :: ccs$ 
  and    $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ 

  shows  $P \parallel Q \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P' \parallel Q$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)
   (blast dest: tauChainPar1 Par1)

lemma weakCongPar2:
  fixes  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and    $\alpha :: act$ 
  and    $Q' :: ccs$ 
  and    $P :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $Q \Rightarrow \alpha \prec Q'$ 

  shows  $P \parallel Q \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P \parallel Q'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)
   (blast dest: tauChainPar2 Par2)

lemma weakCongSync:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and    $\alpha :: act$ 
  and    $P' :: ccs$ 
  and    $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ 
  and      $Q \Rightarrow (coAction\ \alpha) \prec Q'$ 
  and      $\alpha \neq \tau$ 

  shows  $P \parallel Q \Rightarrow \tau \prec P' \parallel Q'$ 
using assms

```

```

apply(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)
apply(rule-tac x= P'' || P''a in exI)
apply auto
apply(blast dest: tauChainPar1 tauChainPar2)
apply(rule-tac x=P''' || P'''a in exI)
apply auto
apply(rule Comm)
apply auto
apply(rule-tac P'=P' || P'''a in tauChainAppend)
by(blast dest: tauChainPar1 tauChainPar2)+

```

```

lemma weakCongRes:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and α :: act
  and P' :: ccs
  and x :: name

  assumes  $P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ 
  and  $x \# \alpha$ 

  shows  $(\nu x)P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec (\nu x)P'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)
  (blast dest: tauChainRes Res)

```

```

lemma weakCongRepl:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and α :: act
  and P' :: ccs

  assumes  $P \parallel !P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ 

  shows  $!P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ 
using assms
apply(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def)
apply(case-tac P'' = P || !P)
apply auto
apply(force intro: Bang simp add: tauChain-def)
by(force intro: tauChainRepl)

```

end

```

theory Weak-Semantics
  imports Weak-Cong-Semantics
begin

```

```

definition weakTrans :: ccs  $\Rightarrow$  act  $\Rightarrow$  ccs  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\hat{-} \Rightarrow - \prec -$  [80, 80, 80] 80)
  where  $\hat{P} \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P' \equiv P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P' \vee (\alpha = \tau \wedge P = P')$ 

```

```

lemma weakEmptyTrans[simp]:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 

  shows  $P \Longrightarrow \hat{\tau} \prec P$ 
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def)

lemma weakTransCases[consumes 1, case-names Base Step]:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
  and  $\llbracket \alpha = \tau; P = P' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Prop (\tau) P$ 
  and  $P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P' \Longrightarrow Prop \alpha P'$ 

  shows  $Prop \alpha P'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def)

lemma weakCongTransitionWeakTransition:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ 

  shows  $P \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def)

lemma transitionWeakTransition:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$ 

  shows  $P \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
using assms
by(auto dest: transitionWeakCongTransition weakCongTransitionWeakTransition)

lemma weakAction:
  fixes  $a :: name$ 
  and  $P :: ccs$ 

  shows  $\alpha.(P) \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P$ 
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def intro: weakCongAction)

lemma weakSum1:

```

```

fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
and  $\alpha :: act$ 
and  $P' :: ccs$ 
and  $Q :: ccs$ 

assumes  $P \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
and  $P \neq P'$ 

shows  $P \oplus Q \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def intro: weakCongSum1)

lemma weakSum2:
  fixes  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $Q' :: ccs$ 
  and  $P :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $Q \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec Q'$ 
  and  $Q \neq Q'$ 

  shows  $P \oplus Q \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec Q'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def intro: weakCongSum2)

lemma weakPar1:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P' :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$ 

  shows  $P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P' \parallel Q$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def intro: weakCongPar1)

lemma weakPar2:
  fixes  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $Q' :: ccs$ 
  and  $P :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $Q \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec Q'$ 

  shows  $P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P \parallel Q'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def intro: weakCongPar2)

```



```

lemma weakSync:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P' :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
  and  $Q \Longrightarrow \hat{(coAction\ \alpha)} \prec Q'$ 
  and  $\alpha \neq \tau$ 

  shows  $P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow \hat{\tau} \prec P' \parallel Q'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def intro: weakCongSync)

lemma weakRes:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P' :: ccs$ 
  and  $x :: name$ 

  assumes  $P \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
  and  $x \# \alpha$ 

  shows  $(\nu x)P \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec (\nu x)P'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def intro: weakCongRes)

lemma weakRepl:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \parallel !P \Longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
  and  $P' \neq P \parallel !P$ 

  shows  $!P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakTrans-def intro: weakCongRepl)

end

theory Strong-Sim
  imports Agent
begin

definition simulation ::  $ccs \Rightarrow (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ccs \Rightarrow bool$   $(- \rightsquigarrow [-] - [80, 80, 80] 80)$ 
where
   $P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q \equiv \forall a\ Q'.\ Q \mapsto a \prec Q' \longrightarrow (\exists P'.\ P \mapsto a \prec P' \wedge (P', Q') \in Rel)$ 

```

```

lemma simI[case-names Sim]:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $\bigwedge \alpha \ Q'. \ Q \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q' \implies \exists P'. \ P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P' \wedge (P', Q') \in Rel$ 

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} Q$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: simulation-def)

lemma simE:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $Q' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} Q$ 
  and  $Q \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q'$ 

  obtains  $P'$  where  $P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: simulation-def)

lemma reflexive:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $Id \subseteq Rel$ 

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} P$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: simulation-def)

lemma transitive:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel' :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $R :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel'' :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} Q$ 
  and  $Q \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel']} R$ 
  and  $Rel \circ Rel' \subseteq Rel''$ 

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel'']} R$ 

```

```

using assms
by(force simp add: simulation-def)

end

```

```

theory Weak-Sim
  imports Weak-Semantics Strong-Sim
begin

```

```

definition weakSimulation :: ccs  $\Rightarrow$  (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set  $\Rightarrow$  ccs  $\Rightarrow$  bool  ( $- \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle - \rangle -$ 
[80, 80, 80] 80)

```

```

where

```

```

 $P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q \equiv \forall a Q'. Q \mapsto a \prec Q' \longrightarrow (\exists P'. P \Longrightarrow^{\wedge} a \prec P' \wedge (P', Q') \in Rel)$ 

```

```

lemma weakSimI[case-names Sim]:

```

```

  fixes P :: ccs
  and Rel :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set
  and Q :: ccs

```

```

  assumes  $\bigwedge \alpha Q'. Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \Longrightarrow \exists P'. P \Longrightarrow^{\wedge} \alpha \prec P' \wedge (P', Q') \in Rel$ 

```

```

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 

```

```

using assms

```

```

by(auto simp add: weakSimulation-def)

```

```

lemma weakSimE:

```

```

  fixes P :: ccs
  and Rel :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set
  and Q :: ccs
  and  $\alpha$  :: act
  and Q' :: ccs

```

```

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 

```

```

  and  $Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'$ 

```

```

  obtains P' where  $P \Longrightarrow^{\wedge} \alpha \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 

```

```

using assms

```

```

by(auto simp add: weakSimulation-def)

```

```

lemma simTauChain:

```

```

  fixes P :: ccs
  and Rel :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set
  and Q :: ccs
  and Q' :: ccs

```

```

assumes  $Q \Rightarrow_{\tau} Q'$ 
and  $(P, Q) \in Rel$ 
and  $Sim: \bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in Rel \Rightarrow R \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle S$ 

obtains  $P'$  where  $P \Rightarrow_{\tau} P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
using  $\langle Q \Rightarrow_{\tau} Q' \rangle \langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$ 
proof(induct arbitrary: thesis rule: tauChainInduct)
  case Base
    from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  show ?case
    by(force intro: Base)
next
  case(Step  $Q'' Q'$ )
    from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  obtain  $P''$  where  $P \Rightarrow_{\tau} P''$  and  $(P'', Q'') \in Rel$ 
    by(blast intro: Step)
    from  $\langle (P'', Q'') \in Rel \rangle$  have  $P'' \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q''$  by(rule Sim)
    then obtain  $P'$  where  $P'' \Rightarrow_{\tau} P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$  using  $\langle Q'' \mapsto_{\tau} P' \rangle$ 
    by(rule weakSimE)
    with  $\langle P \Rightarrow_{\tau} P'' \rangle$  show thesis
    by(force simp add: weakTrans-def weakCongTrans-def intro: Step)
qed

lemma simE2:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $Q' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $(P, Q) \in Rel$ 
  and  $Q \Rightarrow^{\wedge} \alpha \prec Q'$ 
  and  $Sim: \bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in Rel \Rightarrow R \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle S$ 

  obtains  $P'$  where  $P \Rightarrow^{\wedge} \alpha \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
proof –
  assume Goal:  $\bigwedge P'. \llbracket P \Rightarrow^{\wedge} \alpha \prec P'; (P', Q') \in Rel \rrbracket \Rightarrow thesis$ 
  moreover from  $\langle Q \Rightarrow^{\wedge} \alpha \prec Q' \rangle$  have  $\exists P'. P \Rightarrow^{\wedge} \alpha \prec P' \wedge (P', Q') \in Rel$ 
  proof(induct rule: weakTransCases)
    case Base
    from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  show ?case by force
  next
    case Step
    from  $\langle Q \Rightarrow^{\wedge} \alpha \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain  $Q''' Q''$ 
    where  $QChain: Q \Rightarrow_{\tau} Q'''$  and  $Q'''Trans: Q''' \mapsto^{\wedge} \alpha \prec Q''$  and  $Q''Chain: Q'' \Rightarrow_{\tau} Q'$ 
    by(rule weakCongTransE)
    from  $QChain \langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  Sim obtain  $P'''$  where  $PChain: P \Rightarrow_{\tau} P'''$ 
and  $(P''', Q''') \in Rel$ 
    by(rule simTauChain)
    from  $\langle (P''', Q''') \in Rel \rangle$  have  $P''' \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q'''$  by(rule Sim)

```

```

    then obtain  $P''$  where  $P'''Trans: P''' \Rightarrow^{\hat{\alpha}} P''$  and  $(P'', Q'') \in Rel$ 
using  $Q'''Trans$  by(rule weakSimE)
    from  $Q''Chain \langle (P'', Q'') \in Rel \rangle Sim$  obtain  $P'$  where  $P''Chain: P'' \Rightarrow_{\tau} P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
    by(rule simTauChain)
    from  $P'''Trans P''Chain Step$  show ?thesis
    proof(induct rule: weakTransCases)
    case Base
    from  $PChain \langle P''' \Rightarrow_{\tau} P' \rangle$  have  $P \Rightarrow^{\hat{\tau}} P'$ 
    proof(induct rule: tauChainInduct)
    case Base
    show ?case by simp
    next
    case(Step  $P' P''$ )
    thus ?case by(fastsimp simp add: weakTrans-def weakCongTrans-def)
    qed
    next
    case(Step  $P''' P''$ )
    thus ?case by(fastsimp simp add: weakTrans-def weakCongTrans-def)
    qed
    with  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle$  show ?case by blast
    next
    case Step
    thus ?case using  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle PChain$ 
by(rule-tac  $x=P'$  in exI) (force simp add: weakTrans-def weakCongTrans-def)
    qed
    qed
ultimately show ?thesis
    by blast
qed

```

lemma reflexive:

```

fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) set$ 

```

assumes $Id \subseteq Rel$

shows $P \rightsquigarrow^{\hat{}} \langle Rel \rangle P$

using *assms*

by(auto simp add: weakSimulation-def intro: transitionWeakCongTransition weak-CongTransitionWeakTransition)

lemma transitive:

```

fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) set$ 
and  $Q :: ccs$ 

```

```

and   Rel' :: (ccs × ccs) set
and   R     :: ccs
and   Rel'' :: (ccs × ccs) set

assumes (P, Q) ∈ Rel
and     Q  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<Rel'>}}$  R
and     Rel O Rel' ⊆ Rel''
and      $\bigwedge S\ T. (S, T) \in Rel \implies S \rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<Rel>}} T$ 

shows P  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<Rel''>}}$  R
proof(induct rule: weakSimI)
  case(Sim α R')
  thus ?case using assms
    apply(drule-tac Q=R in weakSimE, auto)
    by(drule-tac Q=Q in simE2, auto)
qed

lemma weakMonotonic:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and   A :: (ccs × ccs) set
  and   Q :: ccs
  and   B :: (ccs × ccs) set

  assumes P  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<A>}}$  Q
  and     A ⊆ B

  shows P  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<B>}}$  Q
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: weakSimulation-def)

lemma simWeakSim:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and   Rel :: (ccs × ccs) set
  and   Q :: ccs

  assumes P  $\rightsquigarrow[Rel]$  Q

  shows P  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<Rel>}}$  Q
using assms
by(rule-tac weakSimI, auto)
  (blast dest: simE transitionWeakTransition)

end

theory Weak-Cong-Sim
  imports Weak-Cong-Semantics Weak-Sim Strong-Sim
begin

definition weakCongSimulation :: ccs ⇒ (ccs × ccs) set ⇒ ccs ⇒ bool
  (-  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<->}}$ )

```

- [80, 80, 80] 80)

where

$P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q \equiv \forall a \ Q'. \ Q \mapsto a \prec Q' \longrightarrow (\exists P'. \ P \Longrightarrow a \prec P' \wedge (P', Q') \in Rel)$

lemma *weakSimI*[*case-names Sim*]:

fixes $P :: ccs$

and $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ccs$

assumes $\bigwedge \alpha \ Q'. \ Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \Longrightarrow \exists P'. \ P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P' \wedge (P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$

using *assms*

by(*auto simp add: weakCongSimulation-def*)

lemma *weakSimE*:

fixes $P :: ccs$

and $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ccs$

and $\alpha :: act$

and $Q' :: ccs$

assumes $P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$

and $Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'$

obtains P' where $P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ and $(P', Q') \in Rel$

using *assms*

by(*auto simp add: weakCongSimulation-def*)

lemma *simWeakSim*:

fixes $P :: ccs$

and $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ccs$

assumes $P \rightsquigarrow [Rel] Q$

shows $P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$

using *assms*

by(*rule-tac weakSimI, auto*)

(*blast dest: simE transitionWeakCongTransition*)

lemma *weakCongSimWeakSim*:

fixes $P :: ccs$

and $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ccs$

assumes $P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$

```

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 
using assms
by(rule-tac Weak-Sim.weakSimI, auto)
  (blast dest: weakSimE weakCongTransitionWeakTransition)

lemma test:
  assumes  $P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 

  shows  $P = P' \vee (\exists P''. P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec P'' \wedge P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P')$ 
using assms
by(induct rule: tauChainInduct) auto

lemma tauChainCasesSym[consumes 1, case-names cTauNil cTauStep]:
  assumes  $P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 
  and  $Prop\ P$ 
  and  $\bigwedge P''. \llbracket P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec P''; P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Prop\ P'$ 

  shows  $Prop\ P'$ 
using assms
by(blast dest: test)

lemma simE2:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs)\ set$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $Q' :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 
  and  $Q \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec Q'$ 
  and  $Sim: \bigwedge R\ S. (R, S) \in Rel \Longrightarrow R \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle S$ 

  obtains  $P'$  where  $P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
proof -
  assume Goal:  $\bigwedge P'. \llbracket P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P'; (P', Q') \in Rel \rrbracket \Longrightarrow thesis$ 
  from  $\langle Q \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain  $Q''' Q''$ 
  where  $QChain: Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q'''$  and  $Q'''Trans: Q''' \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q''$  and  $Q''Chain: Q'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q'$ 
  by(rule weakCongTransE)
  from  $QChain\ Q'''Trans$  show ?thesis
proof(induct rule: tauChainCasesSym)
  case cTauNil
  from  $\langle P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q \rangle \langle Q \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q'' \rangle$  obtain  $P'''$  where  $PTrans: P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P'''$  and  $(P''', Q'') \in Rel$ 
  by(blast dest: weakSimE)
  moreover from  $Q''Chain \langle (P''', Q'') \in Rel \rangle Sim$  obtain  $P'$  where  $P''Chain: P''' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
  by(rule simTauChain)
  with  $PTrans\ P''Chain$  show ?thesis

```



```

    by(force intro: Goal simp add: weakCongTrans-def weakTrans-def)
  next
    case(cTauStep Q''')
    from ⟨P ~<Rel> Q⟩ ⟨Q ⟶τ Q'''⟩ obtain P''' where PChain: P ⟹τ
    < P''' and (P''', Q''') ∈ Rel
    by(drule-tac weakSimE) auto
    from ⟨Q''' ⟹τ Q'''⟩ ⟨(P''', Q''') ∈ Rel⟩ Sim obtain P''' where P'''Chain:
    P''' ⟹τ P''' and (P''', Q''') ∈ Rel
    by(rule simTauChain)
    from ⟨(P''', Q''') ∈ Rel⟩ have P''' ~^<Rel> Q''' by(rule Sim)
    then obtain P'' where P'''Trans: P''' ⟹^α P'' and (P'', Q'') ∈ Rel
  using Q'''Trans by(rule Weak-Sim.weakSimE)
    from Q''Chain ⟨(P'', Q'') ∈ Rel⟩ Sim obtain P' where P''Chain: P'' ⟹τ
    P' and (P', Q') ∈ Rel
    by(rule simTauChain)
    from PChain P'''Chain P'''Trans P''Chain
    have P ⟹α P'
    apply(auto simp add: weakCongTrans-def weakTrans-def)
    apply(rule-tac x=P''aa in exI)
    apply auto
    defer
    apply blast
    by(auto simp add: tauChain-def)

  with ⟨(P', Q') ∈ Rel⟩ show ?thesis
    by(force intro: Goal simp add: weakCongTrans-def weakTrans-def)
qed
qed

lemma reflexive:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and Rel :: (ccs × ccs) set

  assumes Id ⊆ Rel

  shows P ~<Rel> P
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongSimulation-def intro: transitionWeakCongTransition)

lemma transitive:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and Rel :: (ccs × ccs) set
  and Q :: ccs
  and Rel' :: (ccs × ccs) set
  and R :: ccs
  and Rel'' :: (ccs × ccs) set

  assumes P ~<Rel> Q
  and Q ~<Rel'> R

```

```

and    Rel O Rel'  $\subseteq$  Rel''
and     $\bigwedge S\ T. (S, T) \in Rel \implies S \rightsquigarrow^{\hat{}} \langle Rel \rangle T$ 

shows P  $\rightsquigarrow \langle Rel'' \rangle R$ 
proof(induct rule: weakSimI)
  case(Sim  $\alpha$  R')
  thus ?case using assms
    apply(drule-tac Q=R in weakSimE, auto)
    by(drule-tac Q=Q in simE2) auto
qed

lemma weakMonotonic:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and   A :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set
  and   Q :: ccs
  and   B :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set

  assumes P  $\rightsquigarrow \langle A \rangle Q$ 
  and     A  $\subseteq$  B

  shows P  $\rightsquigarrow \langle B \rangle Q$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: weakCongSimulation-def)

end

theory Strong-Sim-SC
  imports Strong-Sim
begin

lemma resNilLeft:
  fixes x :: name

  shows ( $\nu x$ )0  $\rightsquigarrow [Rel]$  0
by(auto simp add: simulation-def)

lemma resNilRight:
  fixes x :: name

  shows 0  $\rightsquigarrow [Rel]$  ( $\nu x$ )0
by(auto simp add: simulation-def elim: resCases)

lemma test[simp]:
  fixes x :: name
  and   P :: ccs

  shows x  $\# [x].P$ 
by(auto simp add: abs-fresh)

```

```

lemma scopeExtSumLeft:
  fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
  and  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $x \# P$ 
  and  $C1: \bigwedge y R. y \# R \implies (\nu y)R, R \in \text{Rel}$ 
  and  $\text{Id} \subseteq \text{Rel}$ 

  shows  $(\nu x)(P \oplus Q) \rightsquigarrow[\text{Rel}] P \oplus (\nu x)Q$ 
using assms
apply(auto simp add: simulation-def)
by(elim sumCases resCases) (blast intro: Res Sum1 Sum2 C1 dest: freshDerivative)+

lemma scopeExtSumRight:
  fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
  and  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $x \# P$ 
  and  $C1: \bigwedge y R. y \# R \implies (R, (\nu y)R) \in \text{Rel}$ 
  and  $\text{Id} \subseteq \text{Rel}$ 

  shows  $P \oplus (\nu x)Q \rightsquigarrow[\text{Rel}] (\nu x)(P \oplus Q)$ 
using assms
apply(auto simp add: simulation-def)
by(elim sumCases resCases) (blast intro: Res Sum1 Sum2 C1 dest: freshDerivative)+

lemma scopeExtLeft:
  fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
  and  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $x \# P$ 
  and  $C1: \bigwedge y R T. y \# R \implies ((\nu y)(R \parallel T), R \parallel (\nu y)T) \in \text{Rel}$ 

  shows  $(\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \rightsquigarrow[\text{Rel}] P \parallel (\nu x)Q$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp elim: parCases resCases intro: Res C1 Par1 Par2 Comm dest: freshDerivative simp add: simulation-def)

lemma scopeExtRight:
  fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
  and  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $x \# P$ 

```

and $C1: \bigwedge y R T. y \# R \implies (R \parallel (\nu y)T, (\nu y)(R \parallel T)) \in Rel$
shows $P \parallel (\nu x)Q \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (\nu x)(P \parallel Q)$
using *assms*
by(*fastsimp elim: parCases resCases intro: Res C1 Par1 Par2 Comm dest: freshDerivative simp add: simulation-def*)

lemma *sumComm*:
fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Q :: ccs$
assumes $Id \subseteq Rel$
shows $P \oplus Q \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q \oplus P$
using *assms*
by(*force simp add: simulation-def elim: sumCases intro: Sum1 Sum2*)

lemma *sumAssocLeft*:
fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Q :: ccs$
and $R :: ccs$
assumes $Id \subseteq Rel$
shows $(P \oplus Q) \oplus R \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P \oplus (Q \oplus R)$
using *assms*
by(*force simp add: simulation-def elim: sumCases intro: Sum1 Sum2*)

lemma *sumAssocRight*:
fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Q :: ccs$
and $R :: ccs$
assumes $Id \subseteq Rel$
shows $P \oplus (Q \oplus R) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (P \oplus Q) \oplus R$
using *assms*
by(*intro simI, elim sumCases*) (*blast intro: Sum1 Sum2*)+

lemma *sumIdLeft*:
fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$
assumes $Id \subseteq Rel$
shows $P \oplus 0 \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P$
using *assms*
by(*auto simp add: simulation-def intro: Sum1*)

```

lemma sumIdRight:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $Id \subseteq Rel$ 

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P \oplus \mathbf{0}$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: sumCases)

lemma parComm:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $C1: \bigwedge R \ T. (R \parallel T, T \parallel R) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $P \parallel Q \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q \parallel P$ 
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: parCases intro: Par1 Par2 Comm C1)

lemma parAssocLeft:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $R :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $C1: \bigwedge S \ T \ U. ((S \parallel T) \parallel U, S \parallel (T \parallel U)) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $(P \parallel Q) \parallel R \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$ 
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: parCases intro: Par1 Par2 Comm C1)

lemma parAssocRight:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $R :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $C1: \bigwedge S \ T \ U. (S \parallel (T \parallel U), (S \parallel T) \parallel U) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $P \parallel (Q \parallel R) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (P \parallel Q) \parallel R$ 
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: parCases intro: Par1 Par2 Comm C1)

lemma parIdLeft:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $\bigwedge Q. (Q \parallel \mathbf{0}, Q) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $P \parallel \mathbf{0} \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: simulation-def intro: Par1)

```

```

lemma parIdRight:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $\bigwedge Q. (Q, Q \parallel 0) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} P \parallel 0$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: parCases)

declare fresh-atm[simp]

lemma resActLeft:
  fixes  $x :: name$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $x \# \alpha$ 
  and  $Id \subseteq Rel$ 

  shows  $(\nu x)(\alpha.(P)) \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} (\alpha.(\nu x)P)$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: actCases intro: Res Action)

lemma resActRight:
  fixes  $x :: name$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 
  and  $P :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $x \# \alpha$ 
  and  $Id \subseteq Rel$ 

  shows  $\alpha.(\nu x)P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} (\nu x)(\alpha.(P))$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: resCases actCases intro: Action)

lemma resComm:
  fixes  $x :: name$ 
  and  $y :: name$ 
  and  $P :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $\bigwedge Q. ((\nu x)((\nu y)Q), (\nu y)((\nu x)Q)) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $(\nu x)((\nu y)P) \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} (\nu y)((\nu x)P)$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: resCases intro: Res)

inductive-cases bangCases[simplified ccs.distinct act.distinct]:  $!P \mapsto_{\alpha} P'$ 

```

```

lemma bangUnfoldLeft:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $Id \subseteq Rel$ 

  shows  $P \parallel !P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] !P$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def ccs.inject elim: bangCases)

```

```

lemma bangUnfoldRight:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $Id \subseteq Rel$ 

  shows  $!P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P \parallel !P$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def ccs.inject intro: Bang)

end

```

```

theory Strong-Bisim
  imports Strong-Sim
begin

```

```

lemma monotonic:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $A :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $B :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow[A] Q$ 
  and  $A \subseteq B$ 

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow[B] Q$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def)

```

```

lemma monoCoinduct:  $\bigwedge x y xa xb P Q.$ 

$$x \leq y \implies$$


$$(Q \rightsquigarrow[\{(xb, xa). x xb xa\}] P) \longrightarrow$$


$$(Q \rightsquigarrow[\{(xb, xa). y xb xa\}] P)$$

apply auto
apply(rule monotonic)
by(auto dest: le-funE)

```

```

coinductive-set bisim ::  $(ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
where

```

$\llbracket P \rightsquigarrow[bisim] Q; (Q, P) \in bisim \rrbracket \implies (P, Q) \in bisim$
monos *monoCoinduct*

abbreviation

bisimJudge $(- \sim - [70, 70] 65)$ **where** $P \sim Q \equiv (P, Q) \in bisim$

lemma *bisimCoinductAux*[*consumes 1*]:

fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Q :: ccs$
and $X :: (ccs \times ccs)$ *set*

assumes $(P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge P Q. (P, Q) \in X \implies P \rightsquigarrow[(X \cup bisim)] Q \wedge (Q, P) \in X$

shows $P \sim Q$

proof –

have $X \cup bisim = \{(P, Q). (P, Q) \in X \vee (P, Q) \in bisim\}$ **by** *auto*
with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by *coinduct simp*

qed

lemma *bisimCoinduct*[*consumes 1*, *case-names cSim cSym*]:

fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Q :: ccs$
and $X :: (ccs \times ccs)$ *set*

assumes $(P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in X \implies R \rightsquigarrow[(X \cup bisim)] S$
and $\bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in X \implies (S, R) \in X$

shows $P \sim Q$

proof –

have $X \cup bisim = \{(P, Q). (P, Q) \in X \vee (P, Q) \in bisim\}$ **by** *auto*
with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by *coinduct simp*

qed

lemma *bisimWeakCoinductAux*[*consumes 1*]:

fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Q :: ccs$
and $X :: (ccs \times ccs)$ *set*

assumes $(P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in X \implies R \rightsquigarrow[X] S \wedge (S, R) \in X$

shows $P \sim Q$

using *assms*

by(*coinduct rule: bisimCoinductAux*) (*blast intro: monotonic*)


```

lemma bisimWeakCoinduct[consumes 1, case-names cSim cSym]:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $X :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $(P, Q) \in X$ 
  and  $\bigwedge P Q. (P, Q) \in X \implies P \rightsquigarrow[X] Q$ 
  and  $\bigwedge P Q. (P, Q) \in X \implies (Q, P) \in X$ 

  shows  $P \sim Q$ 
proof –
  have  $X \cup bisim = \{(P, Q). (P, Q) \in X \vee (P, Q) \in bisim\}$  by auto
  with assms show ?thesis
  by(coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (blast intro: monotonic)+
qed

lemma bisimE:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \sim Q$ 

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow[bisim] Q$ 
  and  $Q \sim P$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: intro: bisim.cases)

lemma bisimI:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow[bisim] Q$ 
  and  $Q \sim P$ 

  shows  $P \sim Q$ 
using assms
by(auto intro: bisim.intros)

lemma reflexive:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 

  shows  $P \sim P$ 
proof –
  have  $(P, P) \in Id$  by blast
  thus ?thesis
  by(coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: reflexive)
qed

lemma symmetric:

```

```

fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
and  $Q :: ccs$ 

assumes  $P \sim Q$ 

shows  $Q \sim P$ 
using assms
by(rule bisimE)

lemma transitive:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $R :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \sim Q$ 
  and  $Q \sim R$ 

  shows  $P \sim R$ 
proof –
  from assms have  $(P, R) \in bisim \ O \ bisim$  by auto
  thus ?thesis
  by(coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: transitive dest: bisimE)
qed

lemma bisimTransCoinduct[consumes 1, case-names cSim cSym]:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $(P, Q) \in X$ 
  and  $rSim: \bigwedge R \ S. (R, S) \in X \implies R \rightsquigarrow [(bisim \ O \ X \ O \ bisim)] \ S$ 
  and  $rSym: \bigwedge R \ S. (R, S) \in X \implies (S, R) \in X$ 

  shows  $P \sim Q$ 
proof –
  from  $\langle (P, Q) \in X \rangle$  have  $(P, Q) \in bisim \ O \ X \ O \ bisim$ 
  by(auto intro: reflexive)
  thus ?thesis
proof(coinduct rule: bisimWeakCoinduct)
  case(cSim P Q)
  from  $\langle (P, Q) \in bisim \ O \ X \ O \ bisim \rangle$ 
  obtain  $R \ S$  where  $P \sim R$  and  $(R, S) \in X$  and  $S \sim Q$ 
  by auto
  from  $\langle P \sim R \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow [bisim] \ R$  by(rule bisimE)
  moreover from  $\langle (R, S) \in X \rangle$  have  $R \rightsquigarrow [(bisim \ O \ X \ O \ bisim)] \ S$ 
  by(rule rSim)
  moreover have  $bisim \ O \ (bisim \ O \ X \ O \ bisim) \subseteq bisim \ O \ X \ O \ bisim$ 
  by(auto intro: transitive)
  ultimately have  $P \rightsquigarrow [(bisim \ O \ X \ O \ bisim)] \ S$ 
  by(rule Strong-Sim.transitive)

```

```

    moreover from  $\langle S \sim Q \rangle$  have  $S \rightsquigarrow[bisim] Q$  by (rule bisimE)
    moreover have  $(bisim \ O \ X \ O \ bisim) \ O \ bisim \subseteq bisim \ O \ X \ O \ bisim$ 
      by (auto intro: transitive)
    ultimately show ?case by (rule Strong-Sim.transitive)
  next
    case (cSym P Q)
    thus ?case by (auto dest: symmetric rSym)
  qed
qed
end

```

```

theory Strong-Sim-Pres
  imports Strong-Sim
begin

```

```

lemma actPres:
  fixes P    :: ccs
  and Q     :: ccs
  and Rel   :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set
  and a     :: name
  and Rel'  :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set

  assumes (P, Q)  $\in$  Rel

  shows  $\alpha.(P) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] \alpha.(Q)$ 
using assms
by (fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: actCases intro: Action)

```

```

lemma sumPres:
  fixes P    :: ccs
  and Q     :: ccs
  and Rel   :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set

  assumes P  $\rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$ 
  and Rel  $\subseteq$  Rel'
  and Id  $\subseteq$  Rel'

  shows  $P \oplus R \rightsquigarrow[Rel'] Q \oplus R$ 
using assms
by (force simp add: simulation-def elim: sumCases intro: Sum1 Sum2)

```

```

lemma parPresAux:
  fixes P    :: ccs
  and Q     :: ccs
  and Rel   :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set

  assumes P  $\rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$ 

```

```

and     $(P, Q) \in Rel$ 
and     $R \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel']} T$ 
and     $(R, T) \in Rel'$ 
and     $C1: \bigwedge P' Q' R' T'. \llbracket (P', Q') \in Rel; (R', T') \in Rel' \rrbracket \implies (P' \parallel R', Q' \parallel T') \in Rel''$ 

shows  $P \parallel R \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel'']} Q \parallel T$ 
proof(induct rule: simI)
  case(Sim a QT)
    from  $\langle Q \parallel T \mapsto a \prec QT \rangle$ 
    show ?case
    proof(induct rule: parCases)
      case(cPar1 Q')
        from  $\langle P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} Q \rangle \langle Q \mapsto a \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain  $P'$  where  $P \mapsto a \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
        by(rule simE)
        from  $\langle P \mapsto a \prec P' \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \mapsto a \prec P' \parallel R$  by(rule Par1)
        moreover from  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle \langle (R, T) \in Rel' \rangle$  have  $(P' \parallel R, Q' \parallel T) \in Rel''$  by(rule C1)
        ultimately show ?case by blast
      next
        case(cPar2 T')
          from  $\langle R \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel']} T \rangle \langle T \mapsto a \prec T' \rangle$  obtain  $R'$  where  $R \mapsto a \prec R'$  and  $(R', T') \in Rel'$ 
          by(rule simE)
          from  $\langle R \mapsto a \prec R' \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \mapsto a \prec P \parallel R'$  by(rule Par2)
          moreover from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle \langle (R', T') \in Rel' \rangle$  have  $(P \parallel R', Q \parallel T') \in Rel''$  by(rule C1)
          ultimately show ?case by blast
        next
          case(cComm Q' T' a)
            from  $\langle P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} Q \rangle \langle Q \mapsto a \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain  $P'$  where  $P \mapsto a \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
            by(rule simE)
            from  $\langle R \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel']} T \rangle \langle T \mapsto (coAction\ a) \prec T' \rangle$  obtain  $R'$  where  $R \mapsto (coAction\ a) \prec R'$  and  $(R', T') \in Rel'$ 
            by(rule simE)
            from  $\langle P \mapsto a \prec P' \rangle \langle R \mapsto (coAction\ a) \prec R' \rangle \langle a \neq \tau \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \mapsto \tau \prec P' \parallel R'$  by(rule Comm)
            moreover from  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle \langle (R', T') \in Rel' \rangle$  have  $(P' \parallel R', Q' \parallel T') \in Rel''$  by(rule C1)
            ultimately show ?case by blast
          qed
        qed
    qed

lemma parPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs)\ set$ 

```

```

assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} Q$ 
and  $(P, Q) \in Rel$ 
and  $C1: \bigwedge S T U. (S, T) \in Rel \implies (S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel'$ 

shows  $P \parallel R \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel']} Q \parallel R$ 
using assms
by(rule-tac parPresAux[where Rel''=Rel' and Rel'=Id]) (auto intro: reflexive)

lemma resPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $x :: name$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} Q$ 
  and  $\bigwedge R S y. (R, S) \in Rel \implies ((\nu y)R, (\nu y)S) \in Rel'$ 

  shows  $(\nu x)P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel']} (\nu x)Q$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: simulation-def elim: resCases intro: Res)

lemma bangPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $(P, Q) \in Rel$ 
  and  $C1: \bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in Rel \implies R \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} S$ 

  shows  $!P \rightsquigarrow_{[bangRel Rel]} !Q$ 
proof(induct rule: simI)
  case(Sim  $\alpha$   $Q'$ )
  {
    fix  $Pa \alpha Q'$ 
    assume  $!Q \mapsto_{\alpha} Q'$  and  $(Pa, !Q) \in bangRel Rel$ 
    hence  $\exists P'. Pa \mapsto_{\alpha} P' \wedge (P', Q') \in bangRel Rel$ 
    proof(nominal-induct arbitrary: Pa rule: bangInduct)
    case(cPar1  $\alpha$   $Q'$ )
    from  $\langle Pa, Q \parallel !Q \rangle \in bangRel Rel$ 
    show ?case
    proof(induct rule: BRParCases)
    case(BRPar P R)
    from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow_{[Rel]} Q$  by(rule C1)
    with  $\langle Q \mapsto_{\alpha} Q' \rangle$  obtain  $P'$  where  $P \mapsto_{\alpha} P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
    by(blast dest: simE)
    from  $\langle P \mapsto_{\alpha} P' \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \mapsto_{\alpha} P' \parallel R$  by(rule Par1)
    moreover from  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle \langle (R, !Q) \in bangRel Rel \rangle$  have  $(P' \parallel R, Q' \parallel !Q) \in bangRel Rel$ 
  }

```

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{by}(\text{rule } \text{bangRel.BRPar}) \\
\text{ultimately show } ?\text{case by blast} \\
\text{qed} \\
\text{next} \\
\text{case}(c\text{Par2 } \alpha \ Q') \\
\text{from } \langle (Pa, Q \parallel !Q) \in \text{bangRel Rel} \rangle \\
\text{show } ?\text{case} \\
\text{proof}(\text{induct rule: BRParCases}) \\
\text{case}(BR\text{Par } P \ R) \\
\text{from } \langle (R, !Q) \in \text{bangRel Rel} \rangle \text{ obtain } R' \text{ where } R \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec R' \text{ and } (R', \\
Q') \in \text{bangRel Rel} \text{ using } c\text{Par2} \\
\text{by blast} \\
\text{from } \langle R \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec R' \rangle \text{ have } P \parallel R \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P \parallel R' \text{ by}(\text{rule Par2}) \\
\text{moreover from } \langle (P, Q) \in \text{Rel} \rangle \langle (R', Q') \in \text{bangRel Rel} \rangle \text{ have } (P \parallel R', Q \\
\parallel Q') \in \text{bangRel Rel} \text{ by}(\text{rule bangRel.BRPar}) \\
\text{ultimately show } ?\text{case by blast} \\
\text{qed} \\
\text{next} \\
\text{case}(c\text{Comm } a \ Q' \ Q'' \ Pa) \\
\text{from } \langle (Pa, Q \parallel !Q) \in \text{bangRel Rel} \rangle \\
\text{show } ?\text{case} \\
\text{proof}(\text{induct rule: BRParCases}) \\
\text{case}(BR\text{Par } P \ R) \\
\text{from } \langle (P, Q) \in \text{Rel} \rangle \text{ have } P \rightsquigarrow[\text{Rel}] Q \text{ by}(\text{rule C1}) \\
\text{with } \langle Q \mapsto_a \prec Q' \rangle \text{ obtain } P' \text{ where } P \mapsto_a \prec P' \text{ and } (P', Q') \in \text{Rel} \\
\text{by}(\text{blast dest: simE}) \\
\text{from } \langle (R, !Q) \in \text{bangRel Rel} \rangle \text{ obtain } R' \text{ where } R \mapsto_{(coAction \ a)} \prec R' \\
\text{and } (R', Q'') \in \text{bangRel Rel} \text{ using } c\text{Comm} \\
\text{by blast} \\
\text{from } \langle P \mapsto_a \prec P' \rangle \langle R \mapsto_{(coAction \ a)} \prec R' \rangle \langle a \neq \tau \rangle \text{ have } P \parallel R \mapsto_{\tau} \\
\prec P' \parallel R' \text{ by}(\text{rule Comm}) \\
\text{moreover from } \langle (P', Q') \in \text{Rel} \rangle \langle (R', Q'') \in \text{bangRel Rel} \rangle \text{ have } (P' \parallel R', \\
Q' \parallel Q'') \in \text{bangRel Rel} \text{ by}(\text{rule bangRel.BRPar}) \\
\text{ultimately show } ?\text{case by blast} \\
\text{qed} \\
\text{next} \\
\text{case}(c\text{Bang } \alpha \ Q' \ Pa) \\
\text{from } \langle (Pa, !Q) \in \text{bangRel Rel} \rangle \\
\text{show } ?\text{case} \\
\text{proof}(\text{induct rule: BRBangCases}) \\
\text{case}(BR\text{Bang } P) \\
\text{from } \langle (P, Q) \in \text{Rel} \rangle \text{ have } (!P, !Q) \in \text{bangRel Rel} \text{ by}(\text{rule bangRel.BRBang}) \\
\text{with } \langle (P, Q) \in \text{Rel} \rangle \text{ have } (P \parallel !P, Q \parallel !Q) \in \text{bangRel Rel} \text{ by}(\text{rule} \\
\text{bangRel.BRPar}) \\
\text{then obtain } P' \text{ where } P \parallel !P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P' \text{ and } (P', Q') \in \text{bangRel Rel} \\
\text{using } c\text{Bang} \\
\text{by blast} \\
\text{from } \langle P \parallel !P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P' \rangle \text{ have } !P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P' \text{ by}(\text{rule Bang}) \\
\text{thus } ?\text{case using } \langle (P', Q') \in \text{bangRel Rel} \rangle \text{ by blast}
\end{array}$$

```

      qed
    qed
  }

  moreover from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  have  $\langle !P, !Q \rangle \in \text{bangRel } Rel$  by (rule BRBang)

  ultimately show  $?case$  using  $\langle !Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \rangle$  by blast
qed

end

theory Strong-Bisim-Pres
  imports Strong-Bisim Strong-Sim-Pres
begin

lemma actPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $\alpha :: act$ 

  assumes  $P \sim Q$ 

  shows  $\alpha.(P) \sim \alpha.(Q)$ 
proof –
  let  $?X = \{(\alpha.(P), \alpha.(Q)) \mid P \ Q. P \sim Q\}$ 
  from assms have  $(\alpha.(P), \alpha.(Q)) \in ?X$  by auto
  thus  $?thesis$ 
    by (coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto dest: bisimE intro: actPres)
qed

lemma sumPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $R :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \sim Q$ 

  shows  $P \oplus R \sim Q \oplus R$ 
proof –
  let  $?X = \{(P \oplus R, Q \oplus R) \mid P \ Q \ R. P \sim Q\}$ 
  from assms have  $(P \oplus R, Q \oplus R) \in ?X$  by auto
  thus  $?thesis$ 
    by (coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: sumPres reflexive dest: bisimE)
qed

lemma parPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $R :: ccs$ 

```

```

assumes  $P \sim Q$ 

shows  $P \parallel R \sim Q \parallel R$ 
proof -
  let  $?X = \{(P \parallel R, Q \parallel R) \mid P \ Q \ R. \ P \sim Q\}$ 
  from assms have  $(P \parallel R, Q \parallel R) \in ?X$  by blast
  thus ?thesis
  by(coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct, auto) (blast intro: parPres dest: bisimE)+
qed

lemma resPres:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $x :: \text{name}$ 

  assumes  $P \sim Q$ 

  shows  $(\nu x)P \sim (\nu x)Q$ 
proof -
  let  $?X = \{((\nu x)P, (\nu x)Q) \mid x \ P \ Q. \ P \sim Q\}$ 
  from assms have  $((\nu x)P, (\nu x)Q) \in ?X$  by auto
  thus ?thesis
  by(coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: resPres dest: bisimE)
qed

lemma bangPres:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $P \sim Q$ 

  shows  $!P \sim !Q$ 
proof -
  from assms have  $(!P, !Q) \in \text{bangRel bisim}$ 
  by(auto intro: BRBang)
  thus ?thesis
proof(coinduct rule: bisimWeakCoinduct)
  case(cSim P Q)
  from  $\langle P, Q \rangle \in \text{bangRel bisim}$  show ?case
  proof(induct)
    case(BRBang P Q)
    note  $\langle P \sim Q \rangle \text{bisimE}(1)$ 
    thus  $!P \rightsquigarrow[\text{bangRel bisim}] !Q$  by(rule bangPres)
  next
    case(BRPar R T P Q)
    from  $\langle R \sim T \rangle$  have  $R \rightsquigarrow[\text{bisim}] T$  by(rule bisimE)
    moreover note  $\langle R \sim T \rangle \langle P \rightsquigarrow[\text{bangRel bisim}] Q \rangle \langle (P, Q) \in \text{bangRel bisim} \rangle$ 
    bangRel.BRPar

```



```

      ultimately show ?case by(rule Strong-Sim-Pres.parPresAux)
    qed
  next
    case(cSym P Q)
    thus ?case
      by induct (auto dest: bisimE intro: BRPar BRBang)
    qed
  qed
end

```

```

theory Struct-Cong
  imports Agent
begin

```

```

inductive structCong :: ccs ⇒ ccs ⇒ bool (- ≡s -)
  where
    Refl: P ≡s P
  | Sym: P ≡s Q ⇒ Q ≡s P
  | Trans: [P ≡s Q; Q ≡s R] ⇒ P ≡s R

  | ParComm: P ∥ Q ≡s Q ∥ P
  | ParAssoc: (P ∥ Q) ∥ R ≡s P ∥ (Q ∥ R)
  | ParId: P ∥ 0 ≡s P

  | SumComm: P ⊕ Q ≡s Q ⊕ P
  | SumAssoc: (P ⊕ Q) ⊕ R ≡s P ⊕ (Q ⊕ R)
  | SumId: P ⊕ 0 ≡s P

  | ResNil: (νx)0 ≡s 0
  | ScopeExtPar: x ‡ P ⇒ (νx)(P ∥ Q) ≡s P ∥ (νx)Q
  | ScopeExtSum: x ‡ P ⇒ (νx)(P ⊕ Q) ≡s P ⊕ (νx)Q
  | ScopeAct: x ‡ α ⇒ (νx)(α.(P)) ≡s α.((νx)P)
  | ScopeCommAux: x ≠ y ⇒ (νx)((νy)P) ≡s (νy)((νx)P)

  | BangUnfold: !P ≡s P ∥ !P
equivariance structCong
nominal-inductive structCong
by(auto simp add: abs-fresh)

```

```

lemma ScopeComm:
  fixes x :: name
  and y :: name
  and P :: ccs

```

```

  shows (νx)((νy)P) ≡s (νy)((νx)P)
by(cases x=y) (auto intro: Refl ScopeCommAux)

```

end

theory *Strong-Bisim-SC*
imports *Strong-Sim-SC Strong-Bisim-Pres Struct-Cong*
begin

lemma *resNil*:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$

shows $(\nu x)0 \sim 0$
proof –
have $((\nu x)0, 0) \in \{((\nu x)0, 0), (0, (\nu x)0)\}$ **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis*
by(*coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct*)
(auto *intro: resNilLeft resNilRight*)
qed

lemma *scopeExt*:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $P :: \text{ccs}$
and $Q :: \text{ccs}$

assumes $x \# P$

shows $(\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \sim P \parallel (\nu x)Q$
proof –
let $?X = \{((\nu x)(P \parallel Q), P \parallel (\nu x)Q) \mid x P Q. x \# P\} \cup \{(P \parallel (\nu x)Q, (\nu x)(P \parallel Q)) \mid x P Q. x \# P\}$
from *assms* **have** $((\nu x)(P \parallel Q), P \parallel (\nu x)Q) \in ?X$ **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
by(*coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct*) (force *intro: scopeExtLeft scopeExtRight*) +
qed

lemma *sumComm*:
fixes $P :: \text{ccs}$
and $Q :: \text{ccs}$

shows $P \oplus Q \sim Q \oplus P$
proof –
have $(P \oplus Q, Q \oplus P) \in \{(P \oplus Q, Q \oplus P), (Q \oplus P, P \oplus Q)\}$ **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis*
by(*coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct*) (auto *intro: sumComm reflexive*)
qed

lemma *sumAssoc*:
fixes $P :: \text{ccs}$
and $Q :: \text{ccs}$

```

and    $R :: \text{ccs}$ 

shows  $(P \oplus Q) \oplus R \sim P \oplus (Q \oplus R)$ 
proof -
  have  $((P \oplus Q) \oplus R, P \oplus (Q \oplus R)) \in \{((P \oplus Q) \oplus R, P \oplus (Q \oplus R)), (P \oplus (Q \oplus R), (P \oplus Q) \oplus R)\}$  by simp
  thus ?thesis
  by(coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: sumAssocLeft sumAssocRight reflexive)
qed

lemma sumId:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 

  shows  $P \oplus \mathbf{0} \sim P$ 
proof -
  have  $(P \oplus \mathbf{0}, P) \in \{(P \oplus \mathbf{0}, P), (P, P \oplus \mathbf{0})\}$  by simp
  thus ?thesis by(coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: sumIdLeft sumIdRight reflexive)
qed

lemma parComm:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and    $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  shows  $P \parallel Q \sim Q \parallel P$ 
proof -
  have  $(P \parallel Q, Q \parallel P) \in \{(P \parallel Q, Q \parallel P) \mid P \text{ Q. True}\} \cup \{(Q \parallel P, P \parallel Q) \mid P \text{ Q. True}\}$  by auto
  thus ?thesis
  by(coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: parComm)
qed

lemma parAssoc:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and    $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and    $R :: \text{ccs}$ 

  shows  $(P \parallel Q) \parallel R \sim P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$ 
proof -
  have  $((P \parallel Q) \parallel R, P \parallel (Q \parallel R)) \in \{((P \parallel Q) \parallel R, P \parallel (Q \parallel R)) \mid P \text{ Q R. True}\} \cup \{(P \parallel (Q \parallel R), (P \parallel Q) \parallel R) \mid P \text{ Q R. True}\}$  by auto
  thus ?thesis
  by(coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (force intro: parAssocLeft parAssocRight)+
qed

lemma parId:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 

```

shows $P \parallel \mathbf{0} \sim P$
proof –
have $(P \parallel \mathbf{0}, P) \in \{(P \parallel \mathbf{0}, P) \mid P. \text{True}\} \cup \{(P, P \parallel \mathbf{0}) \mid P. \text{True}\}$ **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **by** (*coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct*) (*auto intro: parIdLeft parIdRight*)
qed

lemma *scopeFresh*:

fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $P :: \text{ccs}$

assumes $x \# P$

shows $(\nu x)P \sim P$
proof –
have $(\nu x)P \sim (\nu x)P \parallel \mathbf{0}$ **by** (*rule parId[THEN symmetric]*)
moreover have $(\nu x)P \parallel \mathbf{0} \sim \mathbf{0} \parallel (\nu x)P$ **by** (*rule parComm*)
moreover have $\mathbf{0} \parallel (\nu x)P \sim (\nu x)(\mathbf{0} \parallel P)$ **by** (*rule scopeExt[THEN symmetric]*)
auto
moreover have $(\nu x)(\mathbf{0} \parallel P) \sim (\nu x)(P \parallel \mathbf{0})$ **by** (*rule resPres[OF parComm]*)
moreover from $\langle x \# P \rangle$ **have** $(\nu x)(P \parallel \mathbf{0}) \sim P \parallel (\nu x)\mathbf{0}$ **by** (*rule scopeExt*)
moreover have $P \parallel (\nu x)\mathbf{0} \sim (\nu x)\mathbf{0} \parallel P$ **by** (*rule parComm*)
moreover have $(\nu x)\mathbf{0} \parallel P \sim \mathbf{0} \parallel P$ **by** (*rule parPres[OF resNil]*)
moreover have $\mathbf{0} \parallel P \sim P \parallel \mathbf{0}$ **by** (*rule parComm*)
moreover have $P \parallel \mathbf{0} \sim P$ **by** (*rule parId*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** (*metis transitive*)
qed

lemma *scopeExtSum*:

fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $P :: \text{ccs}$
and $Q :: \text{ccs}$

assumes $x \# P$

shows $(\nu x)(P \oplus Q) \sim P \oplus (\nu x)Q$
proof –
have $((\nu x)(P \oplus Q), P \oplus (\nu x)Q) \in \{((\nu x)(P \oplus Q), P \oplus (\nu x)Q), (P \oplus (\nu x)Q, (\nu x)(P \oplus Q))\}$
by *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** $\langle x \# P \rangle$
by (*coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct*)
(auto intro: scopeExtSumLeft scopeExtSumRight reflexive scopeFresh scopeFresh[THEN symmetric])
qed

lemma *resAct*:

fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $\alpha :: \text{act}$

```

and   P :: ccs

assumes x # α

shows (νx).(α.(P)) ~ α.(νx)P
proof –
  have ((νx).(α.(P)), α.(νx)P) ∈ {(νx).(α.(P)), α.(νx)P), (α.(νx)P, (νx).(α.(P)))}
    by simp
  thus ?thesis using ⟨x # α⟩
    by (coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: resActLeft resActRight reflexive)
qed

lemma resComm:
  fixes x :: name
  and   y :: name
  and   P :: ccs

  shows (νx).(νy)P ~ (νy).(νx)P
proof –
  have ((νx).(νy)P, (νy).(νx)P) ∈ {(νx).(νy)P, (νy).(νx)P) | x y P.
    True} by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: resComm)
qed

lemma bangUnfold:
  fixes P

  shows !P ~ P || !P
proof –
  have (!P, P || !P) ∈ {(!P, P || !P), (P || !P, !P)} by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (coinduct rule: bisimCoinduct) (auto intro: bangUnfoldLeft bangUnfoldRight
    reflexive)
qed

lemma bisimStructCong:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and   Q :: ccs

  assumes P ≡s Q

  shows P ~ Q
using assms
apply (nominal-induct rule: Struct-Cong.strong-induct)
by (auto intro: reflexive symmetric transitive parComm parAssoc parId sumComm
    sumAssoc sumId resNil scopeExt scopeExtSum resAct resComm bangUnfold)

end

```

```

theory Weak-Bisim
  imports Weak-Sim Strong-Bisim-SC Struct-Cong
begin

lemma weakMonoCoinduct:  $\bigwedge x y xa xb P Q.$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & x \leq y \implies \\ & (Q \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle \{(xb, xa). x \text{ } xb \text{ } xa\} \rangle P) \longrightarrow \\ & (Q \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle \{(xb, xa). y \text{ } xb \text{ } xa\} \rangle P) \end{aligned}$$


apply auto
apply(rule weakMonotonic)
by(auto dest: le-funE)

coinductive-set weakBisimulation :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set
where

$$\llbracket P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle Q; (Q, P) \in \text{weakBisimulation} \rrbracket \implies (P, Q) \in \text{weakBisimulation}$$

monos weakMonoCoinduct

abbreviation
  weakBisimJudge (-  $\approx$  - [70, 70] 65) where  $P \approx Q \equiv (P, Q) \in \text{weakBisimulation}$ 

lemma weakBisimulationCoinductAux[consumes 1]:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and Q :: ccs
  and X :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set

  assumes (P, Q)  $\in$  X
  and  $\bigwedge P Q. (P, Q) \in X \implies P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle (X \cup \text{weakBisimulation}) \rangle Q \wedge (Q, P) \in X$ 

  shows P  $\approx$  Q
proof -
  have  $X \cup \text{weakBisimulation} = \{(P, Q). (P, Q) \in X \vee (P, Q) \in \text{weakBisimulation}\}$  by auto
  with assms show ?thesis
  by coinduct simp
qed

lemma weakBisimulationCoinduct[consumes 1, case-names cSim cSym]:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and Q :: ccs
  and X :: (ccs  $\times$  ccs) set

  assumes (P, Q)  $\in$  X
  and  $\bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in X \implies R \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle (X \cup \text{weakBisimulation}) \rangle S$ 
  and  $\bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in X \implies (S, R) \in X$ 

```

shows $P \approx Q$
proof –
 have $X \cup \text{weakBisimulation} = \{(P, Q). (P, Q) \in X \vee (P, Q) \in \text{weakBisimulation}\}$ **by** *auto*
 with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
 by *coinduct simp*
qed

lemma *weakBisimWeakCoinductAux*[*consumes 1*]:

fixes $P :: \text{ccs}$
 and $Q :: \text{ccs}$
 and $X :: (\text{ccs} \times \text{ccs}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(P, Q) \in X$
 and $\bigwedge P Q. (P, Q) \in X \implies P \rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<X>}} Q \wedge (Q, P) \in X$

shows $P \approx Q$
using *assms*
by(*coinduct rule: weakBisimulationCoinductAux*) (*blast intro: weakMonotonic*)

lemma *weakBisimWeakCoinduct*[*consumes 1*, *case-names cSim cSym*]:

fixes $P :: \text{ccs}$
 and $Q :: \text{ccs}$
 and $X :: (\text{ccs} \times \text{ccs}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(P, Q) \in X$
 and $\bigwedge P Q. (P, Q) \in X \implies P \rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<X>}} Q$
 and $\bigwedge P Q. (P, Q) \in X \implies (Q, P) \in X$

shows $P \approx Q$
proof –
 have $X \cup \text{weakBisim} = \{(P, Q). (P, Q) \in X \vee (P, Q) \in \text{weakBisim}\}$ **by** *auto*
 with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by(*coinduct rule: weakBisimulationCoinduct*) (*blast intro: weakMonotonic*)+
qed

lemma *weakBisimulationE*:

fixes $P :: \text{ccs}$
 and $Q :: \text{ccs}$

assumes $P \approx Q$

shows $P \rightsquigarrow^{\hat{<\text{weakBisimulation}>}} Q$
 and $Q \approx P$

using *assms*
by(*auto simp add: intro: weakBisimulation.cases*)

lemma *weakBisimulationI*:

```

fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow^* \langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle Q$ 
and  $Q \approx P$ 

shows  $P \approx Q$ 
using assms
by (auto intro: weakBisimulation.intros)

lemma reflexive:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 

  shows  $P \approx P$ 
proof –
  have  $(P, P) \in \text{Id}$  by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (coinduct rule: weakBisimulationCoinduct) (auto intro: Weak-Sim.reflexive)
qed

lemma symmetric:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $P \approx Q$ 

  shows  $Q \approx P$ 
using assms
by (rule weakBisimulationE)

lemma transitive:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $R :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $P \approx Q$ 
  and  $Q \approx R$ 

  shows  $P \approx R$ 
proof –
  from assms have  $(P, R) \in \text{weakBisimulation } O \text{ weakBisimulation}$  by auto
  thus ?thesis
    proof (coinduct rule: weakBisimulationCoinduct)
      case (cSim P R)
      from  $\langle (P, R) \in \text{weakBisimulation } O \text{ weakBisimulation} \rangle$ 
      obtain  $Q$  where  $P \approx Q$  and  $Q \approx R$  by auto
      note  $\langle P \approx Q \rangle$ 
      moreover from  $\langle Q \approx R \rangle$  have  $Q \rightsquigarrow^* \langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle R$  by (rule weakBisimulationE)
    qed

```


moreover have $\text{weakBisimulation } O \text{ weakBisimulation} \subseteq (\text{weakBisimulation } O \text{ weakBisimulation}) \cup \text{weakBisimulation}$

by *auto*
moreover note $\text{weakBisimulationE}(1)$
ultimately show $?case$ **by** (*rule Weak-Sim.transitive*)
next
case (*cSym P R*)
thus $?case$ **by** (*blast dest: symmetric*)
qed
qed

lemma *bisimWeakBisimulation*:

fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Q :: ccs$

assumes $P \sim Q$

shows $P \approx Q$
using *assms*
by (*coinduct rule: weakBisimWeakCoinduct* [**where** $X = \text{bisim}$])
(auto dest: bisimE simWeakSim)

lemma *structCongWeakBisimulation*:

fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Q :: ccs$

assumes $P \equiv_s Q$

shows $P \approx Q$
using *assms*

by (*auto intro: bisimWeakBisimulation bisimStructCong*)

lemma *strongAppend*:

fixes $P :: ccs$
and $Q :: ccs$
and $R :: ccs$
and $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$
and $Rel' :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$
and $Rel'' :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$

assumes $PSimQ: P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $QSimR: Q \rightsquigarrow [Rel'] R$
and $Trans: Rel \circ Rel' \subseteq Rel''$

shows $P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel'' \rangle R$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: weakSimulation-def simulation-def*) *blast*

```

lemma weakBisimWeakUpto[case-names cSim cSym, consumes 1]:
  assumes  $p: (P, Q) \in X$ 
  and  $rSim: \bigwedge P Q. (P, Q) \in X \implies P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle weakBisimulation \ O \ X \ O \ bisim \rangle Q$ 
  and  $rSym: \bigwedge P Q. (P, Q) \in X \implies (Q, P) \in X$ 

  shows  $P \approx Q$ 
proof –
  let  $?X = weakBisimulation \ O \ X \ O \ weakBisimulation$ 
  let  $?Y = weakBisimulation \ O \ X \ O \ bisim$ 
  from  $\langle (P, Q) \in X \rangle$  have  $(P, Q) \in ?X$  by(blast intro: Strong-Bisim.reflexive
reflexive)
  thus  $?thesis$ 
  proof(coinduct rule: weakBisimWeakCoinduct)
    case( $cSim \ P \ Q$ )
    {
      fix  $P \ P' \ Q' \ Q$ 
      assume  $P \approx P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in X$  and  $Q' \approx Q$ 
      from  $\langle (P', Q') \in X \rangle$  have  $(P', Q') \in ?Y$  by(blast intro: reflexive Strong-Bisim.reflexive)
      moreover from  $\langle Q' \approx Q \rangle$  have  $Q' \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle weakBisimulation \rangle Q$  by(rule
weakBisimulationE)
      moreover have  $?Y \ O \ weakBisimulation \subseteq ?X$  by(blast dest: bisimWeak-
Bisimulation transitive)
      moreover {
        fix  $P \ Q$ 
        assume  $(P, Q) \in ?Y$ 
        then obtain  $P' \ Q'$  where  $P \approx P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in X$  and  $Q' \sim Q$  by auto
        from  $\langle (P', Q') \in X \rangle$  have  $P' \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle ?Y \rangle Q'$  by(rule rSim)
        moreover from  $\langle Q' \sim Q \rangle$  have  $Q' \rightsquigarrow[bisim] Q$  by(rule bisimE)
        moreover have  $?Y \ O \ bisim \subseteq ?Y$  by(auto dest: Strong-Bisim.transitive)
        ultimately have  $P' \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle ?Y \rangle Q$  by(rule strongAppend)
        moreover note  $\langle P \approx P' \rangle$ 
        moreover have  $weakBisimulation \ O \ ?Y \subseteq ?Y$  by(blast dest: transitive)
        ultimately have  $P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle ?Y \rangle Q$  using weakBisimulationE(1)
        by(rule-tac Weak-Sim.transitive)
      }
      ultimately have  $P' \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle ?X \rangle Q$  by(rule Weak-Sim.transitive)
      moreover note  $\langle P \approx P' \rangle$ 
      moreover have  $weakBisimulation \ O \ ?X \subseteq ?X$  by(blast dest: transitive)
      ultimately have  $P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle ?X \rangle Q$  using weakBisimulationE(1)
      by(rule-tac Weak-Sim.transitive)
    }
  with  $\langle (P, Q) \in ?X \rangle$  show  $?case$  by auto
next
  case( $cSym \ P \ Q$ )
  thus  $?case$ 
  apply auto
  by(blast dest: bisimE rSym weakBisimulationE)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma weakBisimUpto[case-names cSim cSym, consumes 1]:
  assumes  $p: (P, Q) \in X$ 
  and  $rSim: \bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in X \implies R \rightsquigarrow^<(weakBisimulation\ O\ (X \cup weakBisimulation)\ O\ bisim)> S$ 
  and  $rSym: \bigwedge R S. (R, S) \in X \implies (S, R) \in X$ 

  shows  $P \approx Q$ 
proof -
  from  $p$  have  $(P, Q) \in X \cup weakBisimulation$  by simp
  thus ?thesis
    apply(coinduct rule: weakBisimWeakUpto)
    apply(auto dest: rSim rSym weakBisimulationE)
    apply(rule weakMonotonic)
    apply(blast dest: weakBisimulationE)
    apply(auto simp add: relcomp-unfold)
    by(metis reflexive Strong-Bisim.reflexive transitive)
qed

end

theory Weak-Cong
  imports Weak-Cong-Sim Weak-Bisim Strong-Bisim-SC
begin

definition weakCongruence ::  $ccs \Rightarrow ccs \Rightarrow bool$  ( $- \cong -$  [70, 70] 65)
where
   $P \cong Q \equiv P \rightsquigarrow^<weakBisimulation> Q \wedge Q \rightsquigarrow^<weakBisimulation> P$ 

lemma weakCongruenceE:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \cong Q$ 

  shows  $P \rightsquigarrow^<weakBisimulation> Q$ 
  and  $Q \rightsquigarrow^<weakBisimulation> P$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongruence-def)

lemma weakCongruenceI:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow^<weakBisimulation> Q$ 
  and  $Q \rightsquigarrow^<weakBisimulation> P$ 

  shows  $P \cong Q$ 

```

```

using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongruence-def)

lemma weakCongISym[consumes 1, case-names cSym cSim]:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $\text{Prop } P \ Q$ 
  and  $\bigwedge P \ Q. \text{Prop } P \ Q \implies \text{Prop } Q \ P$ 
  and  $\bigwedge P \ Q. \text{Prop } P \ Q \implies (F \ P) \rightsquigarrow_{\langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle} (F \ Q)$ 

  shows  $F \ P \cong F \ Q$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongruence-def)

lemma weakCongISym2[consumes 1, case-names cSim]:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $P \cong Q$ 
  and  $\bigwedge P \ Q. P \cong Q \implies (F \ P) \rightsquigarrow_{\langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle} (F \ Q)$ 

  shows  $F \ P \cong F \ Q$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongruence-def)

lemma reflexive:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 

  shows  $P \cong P$ 
by(auto intro: weakCongruenceI Weak-Bisim.reflexive Weak-Cong-Sim.reflexive)

lemma symmetric:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $P \cong Q$ 

  shows  $Q \cong P$ 
using assms
by(auto simp add: weakCongruence-def)

lemma transitive:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $R :: \text{ccs}$ 

  assumes  $P \cong Q$ 
  and  $Q \cong R$ 

```

```

  shows  $P \cong R$ 
proof -
  let  $?Prop = \lambda P R. \exists Q. P \cong Q \wedge Q \cong R$ 
  from assms have  $?Prop P R$  by auto
  thus  $?thesis$ 
proof(induct rule: weakCongISym)
  case(cSym  $P R$ )
  thus  $?case$  by(auto dest: symmetric)
next
  case(cSim  $P R$ )
  from  $\langle ?Prop P R \rangle$  obtain  $Q$  where  $P \cong Q$  and  $Q \cong R$ 
  by auto
  from  $\langle P \cong Q \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle weakBisimulation \rangle} Q$  by(rule weakCongruenceE)
  moreover from  $\langle Q \cong R \rangle$  have  $Q \rightsquigarrow_{\langle weakBisimulation \rangle} R$  by(rule weakCongruenceE)
  moreover from Weak-Bisim.transitive have weakBisimulation  $O$  weakBisimulation
     $\subseteq$  weakBisimulation
  by auto
  ultimately show  $?case$  using weakBisimulationE(1)
  by(rule Weak-Cong-Sim.transitive)
qed
qed

```

lemma *bisimWeakCongruence*:

fixes $P :: ccs$
 and $Q :: ccs$

assumes $P \sim Q$

```

  shows  $P \cong Q$ 
using assms
proof(induct rule: weakCongISym)
  case(cSym  $P Q$ )
  thus  $?case$  by(rule bisimE)
next
  case(cSim  $P Q$ )
  from  $\langle P \sim Q \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow[bisim] Q$  by(rule bisimE)
  hence  $P \rightsquigarrow[weakBisimulation] Q$  using bisimWeakBisimulation
  by(rule-tac monotonic) auto
  thus  $?case$  by(rule simWeakSim)
qed

```

lemma *structCongWeakCongruence*:

fixes $P :: ccs$
 and $Q :: ccs$

assumes $P \equiv_s Q$

```

    shows  $P \cong Q$ 
using assms
by(auto intro: bisimWeakCongruence bisimStructCong)

lemma weakCongruenceWeakBisimulation:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \cong Q$ 

  shows  $P \approx Q$ 
proof -
  let  $?X = \{(P, Q) \mid P \approx Q. P \cong Q\}$ 
  from assms have  $(P, Q) \in ?X$  by auto
  thus  $?thesis$ 
proof(coinduct rule: weakBisimulationCoinduct)
  case(cSim  $P Q$ )
  from  $\langle (P, Q) \in ?X \rangle$  have  $P \cong Q$  by auto
  hence  $P \rightsquigarrow^{<weakBisimulation>} Q$  by(rule Weak-Cong.weakCongruenceE)
  hence  $P \rightsquigarrow^{<?X \cup weakBisimulation>} Q$  by(force intro: Weak-Cong-Sim.weakMonotonic)
  thus  $?case$  by(rule weakCongSimWeakSim)
next
  case(cSym  $P Q$ )
  from  $\langle (P, Q) \in ?X \rangle$  show  $?case$  by(blast dest: symmetric)
qed
qed

end

theory Weak-Sim-Pres
  imports Weak-Sim
begin

lemma actPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $a :: name$ 
  and  $Rel' :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $(P, Q) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $\alpha.(P) \rightsquigarrow^{<Rel>} \alpha.(Q)$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: weakSimulation-def elim: actCases intro: weakAction)

lemma sumPres:

```

```

fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
and  $Q :: ccs$ 
and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 
and  $Rel \subseteq Rel'$ 
and  $Id \subseteq Rel'$ 
and  $C1: \bigwedge S \ T \ U. (S, T) \in Rel \implies (S \oplus U, T) \in Rel'$ 

shows  $P \oplus R \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel' \rangle Q \oplus R$ 
proof(induct rule: weakSimI)
  case( $Sim \ \alpha \ QR$ )
  from  $\langle Q \oplus R \mapsto \alpha \prec QR \rangle$  show ?case
  proof(induct rule: sumCases)
    case( $cSum1 \ Q'$ )
    from  $\langle P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q \rangle \langle Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \rangle$ 
    obtain  $P'$  where  $P \implies^{\wedge} \alpha \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
    by(blast dest: weakSimE)
    thus ?case
    proof(induct rule: weakTransCases)
      case Base
      have  $P \oplus R \implies^{\wedge} \tau \prec P \oplus R$  by simp
      moreover from  $\langle (P, Q') \in Rel \rangle$  have  $(P \oplus R, Q') \in Rel'$  by(rule C1)
      ultimately show ?case by blast
    next
      case Step
      from  $\langle P \implies \alpha \prec P' \rangle$  have  $P \oplus R \implies \alpha \prec P'$  by(rule weakCongSum1)
      hence  $P \oplus R \implies^{\wedge} \alpha \prec P'$  by(simp add: weakTrans-def)
      thus ?case using  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle \langle Rel \subseteq Rel' \rangle$  by blast
    qed
  next
    case( $cSum2 \ R'$ )
    from  $\langle R \mapsto \alpha \prec R' \rangle$  have  $R \implies \alpha \prec R'$  by(rule transitionWeakCongTransition)
    hence  $P \oplus R \implies \alpha \prec R'$  by(rule weakCongSum2)
    hence  $P \oplus R \implies^{\wedge} \alpha \prec R'$  by(simp add: weakTrans-def)
    thus ?case using  $\langle Id \subseteq Rel' \rangle$  by blast
  qed
qed

lemma parPresAux:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $R :: ccs$ 
  and  $T :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Rel' :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Rel'' :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow^{\wedge} \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 

```

```

and    (P, Q) ∈ Rel
and    R ≈<Rel'> T
and    (R, T) ∈ Rel'
and    C1: ⋀ P' Q' R' T'. [(P', Q') ∈ Rel; (R', T') ∈ Rel] ⇒ (P' || R', Q'
|| T') ∈ Rel''

shows P || R ≈<Rel''> Q || T
proof(induct rule: weakSimI)
  case(Sim α QT)
  from ⟨Q || T ⟶ α < QT⟩
  show ?case
  proof(induct rule: parCases)
    case(cPar1 Q')
    from ⟨P ≈<Rel> Q⟩ ⟨Q ⟶ α < Q'⟩ obtain P' where P ⇒ α < P' and
    (P', Q') ∈ Rel
    by(rule weakSimE)
    from ⟨P ⇒ α < P'⟩ have P || R ⇒ α < P' || R by(rule weakPar1)
    moreover from ⟨(P', Q') ∈ Rel⟩ ⟨(R, T) ∈ Rel'⟩ have (P' || R, Q' || T) ∈
    Rel'' by(rule C1)
    ultimately show ?case by blast
  next
    case(cPar2 T')
    from ⟨R ≈<Rel'> T⟩ ⟨T ⟶ α < T'⟩ obtain R' where R ⇒ α < R' and
    (R', T') ∈ Rel'
    by(rule weakSimE)
    from ⟨R ⇒ α < R'⟩ have P || R ⇒ α < P || R' by(rule weakPar2)
    moreover from ⟨(P, Q) ∈ Rel⟩ ⟨(R', T') ∈ Rel'⟩ have (P || R', Q || T') ∈
    Rel'' by(rule C1)
    ultimately show ?case by blast
  next
    case(cComm Q' T' α)
    from ⟨P ≈<Rel> Q⟩ ⟨Q ⟶ α < Q'⟩ obtain P' where P ⇒ α < P' and
    (P', Q') ∈ Rel
    by(rule weakSimE)
    from ⟨R ≈<Rel'> T⟩ ⟨T ⟶ (coAction α) < T'⟩ obtain R' where R
    ⇒ (coAction α) < R' and (R', T') ∈ Rel'
    by(rule weakSimE)
    from ⟨P ⇒ α < P'⟩ ⟨R ⇒ (coAction α) < R'⟩ ⟨α ≠ τ⟩ have P || R ⇒ τ <
    P' || R'
    by(auto intro: weakCongSync simp add: weakTrans-def)
    hence P || R ⇒ τ < P' || R' by(simp add: weakTrans-def)
    moreover from ⟨(P', Q') ∈ Rel⟩ ⟨(R', T') ∈ Rel'⟩ have (P' || R', Q' || T') ∈
    Rel'' by(rule C1)
    ultimately show ?case by blast
  qed
qed

lemma parPres:
  fixes P :: ccs

```



```

and Q :: ccs
and R :: ccs
and Rel :: (ccs × ccs) set
and Rel' :: (ccs × ccs) set
assumes P  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{}}$  <Rel> Q
and (P, Q) ∈ Rel
and C1:  $\bigwedge S\ T\ U. (S, T) \in Rel \implies (S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel'$ 

shows P  $\parallel$  R  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{}}$  <Rel'> Q  $\parallel$  R
using assms
by(rule-tac parPresAux[where Rel'=Id and Rel''=Rel']) (auto intro: reflexive)

lemma resPres:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and Rel :: (ccs × ccs) set
  and Q :: ccs
  and x :: name

  assumes P  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{}}$  <Rel> Q
  and  $\bigwedge R\ S\ y. (R, S) \in Rel \implies (\llbracket \nu y \rrbracket R, \llbracket \nu y \rrbracket S) \in Rel'$ 

  shows  $\llbracket \nu x \rrbracket P \rightsquigarrow^{\hat{}}$  <Rel'>  $\llbracket \nu x \rrbracket Q$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: weakSimulation-def elim: resCases intro: weakRes)

lemma bangPres:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and Rel :: (ccs × ccs) set
  and Q :: ccs

  assumes (P, Q) ∈ Rel
  and C1:  $\bigwedge R\ S. (R, S) \in Rel \implies R \rightsquigarrow^{\hat{}}$  <Rel> S
  and Par:  $\bigwedge R\ S\ T\ U. \llbracket (R, S) \in Rel; (T, U) \in Rel \rrbracket \implies (R \parallel T, S \parallel U) \in Rel'$ 
  Rel'
  and C2: bangRel Rel  $\subseteq$  Rel'
  and C3:  $\bigwedge R\ S. (R \parallel !R, S) \in Rel' \implies (!R, S) \in Rel'$ 

  shows !P  $\rightsquigarrow^{\hat{}}$  <Rel'> !Q
proof(induct rule: weakSimI)
  case(Sim  $\alpha$  Q')
  {
    fix Pa  $\alpha$  Q'
    assume !Q  $\mapsto_{\alpha}$  Q' and (Pa, !Q) ∈ bangRel Rel
    hence  $\exists P'. Pa \rightsquigarrow^{\hat{}}$   $\alpha$  P'  $\wedge$  (P', Q') ∈ Rel'
    proof(nominal-induct arbitrary: Pa rule: bangInduct)
      case(cPar1  $\alpha$  Q')
      from  $\langle (Pa, Q \parallel !Q) \in bangRel\ Rel \rangle$ 
      show ?case
      proof(induct rule: BRParCases)

```

```

    case(BRPar P R)
    from  $\langle P, Q \rangle \in Rel$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow^<Rel> Q$  by(rule C1)
    with  $\langle Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain  $P'$  where  $P \Longrightarrow^{\hat{\alpha}} \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
    by(blast dest: weakSimE)
    from  $\langle P \Longrightarrow^{\hat{\alpha}} \prec P' \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \Longrightarrow^{\hat{\alpha}} \prec P' \parallel R$  by(rule weakPar1)
    moreover from  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle \langle (R, !Q) \in bangRel Rel \rangle$  C2 have  $(P' \parallel$ 
 $R, Q' \parallel !Q) \in Rel'$ 
    by(blast intro: Par)
    ultimately show ?case by blast
  qed
next
case(cPar2  $\alpha$   $Q'$ )
from  $\langle Pa, Q \parallel !Q \rangle \in bangRel Rel$ 
show ?case
proof(induct rule: BRParCases)
  case(BRPar P R)
  from  $\langle (R, !Q) \in bangRel Rel \rangle$  obtain  $R'$  where  $R \Longrightarrow^{\hat{\alpha}} \prec R'$  and  $(R',$ 
 $Q') \in Rel'$  using cPar2
  by blast
  from  $\langle R \Longrightarrow^{\hat{\alpha}} \prec R' \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \Longrightarrow^{\hat{\alpha}} \prec P \parallel R'$  by(rule weakPar2)
  moreover from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle \langle (R', Q') \in Rel' \rangle$  have  $(P \parallel R', Q \parallel Q')$ 
 $\in Rel'$  by(rule Par)
  ultimately show ?case by blast
  qed
next
case(cComm a  $Q'$   $Q''$  Pa)
from  $\langle Pa, Q \parallel !Q \rangle \in bangRel Rel$ 
show ?case
proof(induct rule: BRParCases)
  case(BRPar P R)
  from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow^<Rel> Q$  by(rule C1)
  with  $\langle Q \mapsto a \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain  $P'$  where  $P \Longrightarrow^{\hat{a}} \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
  by(blast dest: weakSimE)
  from  $\langle (R, !Q) \in bangRel Rel \rangle$  obtain  $R'$  where  $R \Longrightarrow^{\hat{a}} (coAction a) \prec R'$ 
and  $(R', Q'') \in Rel'$  using cComm
  by blast
  from  $\langle P \Longrightarrow^{\hat{a}} \prec P' \rangle \langle R \Longrightarrow^{\hat{a}} (coAction a) \prec R' \rangle \langle a \neq \tau \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \Longrightarrow^{\hat{\tau}}$ 
 $\prec P' \parallel R'$ 
  by(auto intro: weakCongSync simp add: weakTrans-def)
  moreover from  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle \langle (R', Q'') \in Rel' \rangle$  have  $(P' \parallel R', Q' \parallel$ 
 $Q'') \in Rel'$  by(rule Par)
  ultimately show ?case by blast
  qed
next
case(cBang  $\alpha$   $Q'$  Pa)
from  $\langle Pa, !Q \rangle \in bangRel Rel$ 
show ?case
proof(induct rule: BRBangCases)
  case(BRBang P)

```

```

    from  $\langle P, Q \rangle \in Rel$  have  $(!P, !Q) \in bangRel\ Rel$  by(rule bangRel.BRBang)
    with  $\langle P, Q \rangle \in Rel$  have  $(P \parallel !P, Q \parallel !Q) \in bangRel\ Rel$  by(rule
bangRel.BRPar)
    then obtain  $P'$  where  $P \parallel !P \Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel'$  using
cBang
    by blast
    from  $\langle P \parallel !P \Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P' \rangle$ 
    show ?case
    proof(induct rule: weakTransCases)
    case Base
    have  $!P \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \prec !P$  by simp
    moreover from  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel' \rangle \langle P \parallel !P = P' \rangle$  have  $(!P, Q') \in Rel'$ 
by(blast intro: C3)
    ultimately show ?case by blast
  next
  case Step
  from  $\langle P \parallel !P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P' \rangle$  have  $!P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P'$  by(rule weakCongRepl)
  hence  $!P \Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} \prec P'$  by(simp add: weakTrans-def)
  with  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel' \rangle$  show ?case by blast
qed
qed
qed
}

```

moreover from $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$ have $(!P, !Q) \in bangRel\ Rel$ by(rule BRBang)

ultimately show ?case using $\langle !Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \rangle$ by blast
qed

end

theory Weak-Bisim-Pres

imports Weak-Bisim Weak-Sim-Pres Strong-Bisim-SC

begin

lemma actPres:

fixes $P :: ccs$

and $Q :: ccs$

and $\alpha :: act$

assumes $P \approx Q$

shows $\alpha.(P) \approx \alpha.(Q)$

proof –

let $?X = \{(\alpha.(P), \alpha.(Q)) \mid P\ Q.\ P \approx Q\}$

from *assms* **have** $(\alpha.(P), \alpha.(Q)) \in ?X$ **by** *auto*

thus ?thesis

by(coinduct rule: weakBisimulationCoinduct) (auto dest: weakBisimulationE
intro: actPres)

qed

lemma *parPres*:

fixes $P :: \text{ccs}$

and $Q :: \text{ccs}$

and $R :: \text{ccs}$

assumes $P \approx Q$

shows $P \parallel R \approx Q \parallel R$

proof –

let $?X = \{(P \parallel R, Q \parallel R) \mid P \ Q \ R. \ P \approx Q\}$

from *assms* **have** $(P \parallel R, Q \parallel R) \in ?X$ **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

by(*coinduct rule: weakBisimulationCoinduct, auto*)

(*blast intro: parPres dest: weakBisimulationE*)+

qed

lemma *resPres*:

fixes $P :: \text{ccs}$

and $Q :: \text{ccs}$

and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $P \approx Q$

shows $(\nu x)P \approx (\nu x)Q$

proof –

let $?X = \{((\nu x)P, (\nu x)Q) \mid x \ P \ Q. \ P \approx Q\}$

from *assms* **have** $((\nu x)P, (\nu x)Q) \in ?X$ **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis*

by(*coinduct rule: weakBisimulationCoinduct*) (*auto intro: resPres dest: weakBisimulationE*)

qed

lemma *bangPres*:

fixes $P :: \text{ccs}$

and $Q :: \text{ccs}$

assumes $P \approx Q$

shows $!P \approx !Q$

proof –

let $?X = \text{bangRel weakBisimulation}$

let $?Y = \text{weakBisimulation } O \ ?X \ O \ \text{bisim}$

{

fix $R \ T \ P \ Q$

assume $R \approx T$ **and** $(P, Q) \in ?Y$

from $\langle (P, Q) \in ?Y \rangle$ **obtain** $P' \ Q'$ **where** $P \approx P'$ **and** $(P', Q') \in ?X$ **and** $Q' \sim Q$

```

    by auto
  from  $\langle P \approx P' \rangle$  have  $R \parallel P \approx R \parallel P'$ 
    by(metis parPres bisimWeakBisimulation transitive parComm)
  moreover from  $\langle R \approx T \rangle \langle P', Q' \rangle \in ?X$  have  $(R \parallel P', T \parallel Q') \in ?X$  by(auto
dest: BRPar)
  moreover from  $\langle Q' \sim Q \rangle$  have  $T \parallel Q' \sim T \parallel Q$  by(metis Strong-Bisim-Pres.parPres
Strong-Bisim.transitive parComm)
  ultimately have  $(R \parallel P, T \parallel Q) \in ?Y$  by auto
} note BRParAux = this

from assms have  $(!P, !Q) \in ?X$  by(auto intro: BRBang)
thus ?thesis
proof(coinduct rule: weakBisimWeakUpto)
  case(cSim P Q)
  from  $\langle (P, Q) \in \text{bangRel weakBisimulation} \rangle$  show ?case
  proof(induct)
    case(BRBang P Q)
    note  $\langle P \approx Q \rangle \text{weakBisimulationE}(1) \text{BRParAux}$ 
    moreover have  $?X \subseteq ?Y$  by(auto intro: Strong-Bisim.reflexive reflexive)
    moreover {
      fix P Q
      assume  $(P \parallel !P, Q) \in ?Y$ 
      hence  $(!P, Q) \in ?Y$  using bangUnfold
      by(blast dest: Strong-Bisim.transitive transitive bisimWeakBisimulation)
    }
    ultimately show ?case by(rule bangPres)
  next
    case(BRPar R T P Q)
    from  $\langle R \approx T \rangle$  have  $R \rightsquigarrow^{\langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle} T$  by(rule weakBisimulationE)
    moreover note  $\langle R \approx T \rangle \langle P \rightsquigarrow^{\langle ?Y \rangle} Q \rangle$ 
    moreover from  $\langle (P, Q) \in ?X \rangle$  have  $(P, Q) \in ?Y$  by(blast intro: Strong-Bisim.reflexive
reflexive)
    ultimately show ?case using BRParAux by(rule Weak-Sim-Pres.parPresAux)
  qed
next
  case(cSym P Q)
  thus ?case
    by(induct (auto dest: weakBisimulationE intro: BRPar BRBang))
qed
qed
end

```

```

theory Weak-Cong-Sim-Pres
  imports Weak-Cong-Sim
begin

```

```

lemma actPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $a :: name$ 
  and  $Rel' :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $(P, Q) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $\alpha.(P) \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} \alpha.(Q)$ 
using assms
by(fastsimp simp add: weakCongSimulation-def elim: actCases intro: weakCongAction)

lemma sumPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$ 
  and  $Rel \subseteq Rel'$ 
  and  $Id \subseteq Rel'$ 

  shows  $P \oplus R \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel' \rangle} Q \oplus R$ 
using assms
by(force simp add: weakCongSimulation-def elim: sumCases intro: weakCongSum1 weakCongSum2 transitionWeakCongTransition)

lemma parPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$ 
  and  $(P, Q) \in Rel$ 
  and  $C1: \bigwedge S \ T \ U. (S, T) \in Rel \implies (S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel'$ 

  shows  $P \parallel R \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel' \rangle} Q \parallel R$ 
proof(induct rule: weakSimI)
  case(Sim  $\alpha \ QR$ )
  from  $\langle Q \parallel R \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec QR \rangle$ 
  show ?case
  proof(induct rule: parCases)
  case(cPar1  $Q'$ )
  from  $\langle P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q \rangle \langle Q \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain  $P'$  where  $P \implies_{\alpha} \prec P'$  and
 $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
  by(rule weakSimE)
  from  $\langle P \implies_{\alpha} \prec P' \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \implies_{\alpha} \prec P' \parallel R$  by(rule weakCongPar1)
  moreover from  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle$  have  $(P' \parallel R, Q' \parallel R) \in Rel'$  by(rule C1)

```

```

    ultimately show ?case by blast
next
  case(cPar2 R')
  from  $\langle R \mapsto \alpha \prec R' \rangle$  have  $R \Rightarrow \alpha \prec R'$  by(rule transitionWeakCongTransition)
  hence  $P \parallel R \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P \parallel R'$  by(rule weakCongPar2)
  moreover from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  have  $(P \parallel R', Q \parallel R') \in Rel'$  by(rule C1)
  ultimately show ?case by blast
next
  case(cComm Q' R'  $\alpha$ )
  from  $\langle P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q \rangle$   $\langle Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain  $P'$  where  $P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P'$  and
   $(P', Q') \in Rel$ 
  by(rule weakSimE)
  from  $\langle R \mapsto (coAction \alpha) \prec R' \rangle$  have  $R \Rightarrow (coAction \alpha) \prec R'$ 
  by(rule transitionWeakCongTransition)
  with  $\langle P \Rightarrow \alpha \prec P' \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \Rightarrow \tau \prec P' \parallel R'$  using  $\langle \alpha \neq \tau \rangle$ 
  by(rule weakCongSync)
  moreover from  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel \rangle$  have  $(P' \parallel R', Q' \parallel R') \in Rel'$  by(rule C1)
  ultimately show ?case by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *resPres*:

```

  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $x :: name$ 

```

```

  assumes  $P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 
  and  $\bigwedge R \ S \ y. (R, S) \in Rel \implies ((\nu y)R, (\nu y)S) \in Rel'$ 

```

```

  shows  $(\nu x)P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel' \rangle (\nu x)Q$ 

```

using *assms*

by(*fastsimp simp add: weakCongSimulation-def elim: resCases intro: weakCongRes*)

lemma *bangPres*:

```

  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $Rel :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Rel' :: (ccs \times ccs) \text{ set}$ 

```

```

  assumes  $(P, Q) \in Rel$ 
  and  $C1: \bigwedge R \ S. (R, S) \in Rel \implies R \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel' \rangle S$ 
  and  $C2: Rel \subseteq Rel'$ 

```

```

  shows  $!P \rightsquigarrow \langle bangRel \ Rel' \rangle !Q$ 

```

proof(*induct rule: weakSimI*)

```

  case(Sim  $\alpha$   $Q'$ )

```

```

  {

```

```

fix Pa  $\alpha$  Q'
assume !Q  $\mapsto_{\alpha} \prec$  Q' and (Pa, !Q)  $\in$  bangRel Rel
hence  $\exists P'. Pa \implies_{\alpha} \prec P' \wedge (P', Q') \in$  bangRel Rel'
proof(nominal-induct arbitrary: Pa rule: bangInduct)
  case(cPar1  $\alpha$  Q')
  from  $\langle Pa, Q \parallel !Q \rangle \in$  bangRel Rel
  show ?case
  proof(induct rule: BRParCases)
    case(BRPar P R)
    from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel' \rangle} Q$  by(rule C1)
    with  $\langle Q \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain P' where  $P \implies_{\alpha} \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel'$ 
    by(blast dest: weakSimE)
    from  $\langle P \implies_{\alpha} \prec P' \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \implies_{\alpha} \prec P' \parallel R$  by(rule weakCongPar1)
    moreover from  $\langle (R, !Q) \in$  bangRel Rel C2  $\rangle$  have  $(R, !Q) \in$  bangRel Rel'
    by(induct (auto intro: bangRel.BRPar bangRel.BRBang))
    with  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel' \rangle$  have  $(P' \parallel R, Q' \parallel !Q) \in$  bangRel Rel'
    by(rule bangRel.BRPar)
    ultimately show ?case by blast
  qed
next
case(cPar2  $\alpha$  Q')
from  $\langle Pa, Q \parallel !Q \rangle \in$  bangRel Rel
show ?case
proof(induct rule: BRParCases)
  case(BRPar P R)
  from  $\langle (R, !Q) \in$  bangRel Rel  $\rangle$  obtain R' where  $R \implies_{\alpha} \prec R'$  and  $(R',$ 
  Q')  $\in$  bangRel Rel' using cPar2
  by blast
  from  $\langle R \implies_{\alpha} \prec R' \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \implies_{\alpha} \prec P \parallel R'$  by(rule weakCongPar2)
  moreover from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle \langle (R', Q') \in$  bangRel Rel' C2  $\rangle$  have  $(P \parallel$ 
  R', Q  $\parallel Q') \in$  bangRel Rel'
  by(blast intro: bangRel.BRPar)
  ultimately show ?case by blast
qed
next
case(cComm a Q' Q'' Pa)
from  $\langle Pa, Q \parallel !Q \rangle \in$  bangRel Rel
show ?case
proof(induct rule: BRParCases)
  case(BRPar P R)
  from  $\langle (P, Q) \in Rel \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel' \rangle} Q$  by(rule C1)
  with  $\langle Q \mapsto_a \prec Q' \rangle$  obtain P' where  $P \implies_a \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in Rel'$ 
  by(blast dest: weakSimE)
  from  $\langle (R, !Q) \in$  bangRel Rel  $\rangle$  obtain R' where  $R \implies_{(coAction\ a)} \prec R'$ 
  and  $(R', Q'') \in$  bangRel Rel' using cComm
  by blast
  from  $\langle P \implies_a \prec P' \rangle \langle R \implies_{(coAction\ a)} \prec R' \rangle \langle a \neq \tau \rangle$  have  $P \parallel R \implies_{\tau}$ 
   $\prec P' \parallel R'$  by(rule weakCongSync)
  moreover from  $\langle (P', Q') \in Rel' \rangle \langle (R', Q'') \in$  bangRel Rel'  $\rangle$  have  $(P' \parallel R',$ 

```



```

 $Q' \parallel Q'' \in \text{bangRel } \text{Rel}'$ 
  by(rule bangRel.BRPar)
  ultimately show ?case by blast
qed
next
case(cBang  $\alpha$   $Q'$   $Pa$ )
from  $\langle Pa, !Q \rangle \in \text{bangRel } \text{Rel}$ 
show ?case
proof(induct rule: BRBangCases)
  case(BRBang  $P$ )
  from  $\langle P, Q \rangle \in \text{Rel}$  have  $\langle !P, !Q \rangle \in \text{bangRel } \text{Rel}$  by(rule bangRel.BRBang)
  with  $\langle P, Q \rangle \in \text{Rel}$  have  $(P \parallel !P, Q \parallel !Q) \in \text{bangRel } \text{Rel}$  by(rule bangRel.BRPar)
  then obtain  $P'$  where  $P \parallel !P \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P'$  and  $(P', Q') \in \text{bangRel } \text{Rel}'$ 
using cBang
  by blast
  from  $\langle P \parallel !P \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P' \rangle$  have  $\langle !P \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P' \rangle$  by(rule weakCongRepl)
  thus ?case using  $\langle P', Q' \rangle \in \text{bangRel } \text{Rel}'$  by blast
qed
qed
}

moreover from  $\langle P, Q \rangle \in \text{Rel}$  have  $\langle !P, !Q \rangle \in \text{bangRel } \text{Rel}$  by(rule BRBang)

ultimately show ?case using  $\langle !Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \rangle$  by blast
qed

end

theory Weak-Cong-Pres
imports Weak-Cong Weak-Bisim-Pres Weak-Cong-Sim-Pres
begin

lemma actPres:
  fixes  $P :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $Q :: \text{ccs}$ 
  and  $\alpha :: \text{act}$ 

  assumes  $P \cong Q$ 

  shows  $\alpha.(P) \cong \alpha.(Q)$ 
using assms
proof(induct rule: weakCongISym2)
  case(cSim  $P$   $Q$ )
  from  $\langle P \cong Q \rangle$  have  $P \approx Q$  by(rule weakCongruenceWeakBisimulation)
  thus ?case by(rule actPres)
qed

lemma sumPres:

```

```

fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
and  $Q :: ccs$ 
and  $R :: ccs$ 

assumes  $P \cong Q$ 

shows  $P \oplus R \cong Q \oplus R$ 
using assms
proof(induct rule: weakCongISym2)
  case(cSim P Q)
    from  $\langle P \cong Q \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle Q$  by(rule weakCongruenceE)
    thus ?case using Weak-Bisim.reflexive
      by(rule-tac sumPres) auto
qed

lemma parPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $R :: ccs$ 

  assumes  $P \cong Q$ 

  shows  $P \parallel R \cong Q \parallel R$ 
  using assms
  proof(induct rule: weakCongISym2)
    case(cSim P Q)
      from  $\langle P \cong Q \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle Q$  by(rule weakCongruenceE)
      moreover from  $\langle P \cong Q \rangle$  have  $P \approx Q$  by(rule weakCongruenceWeakBisimulation)
      ultimately show ?case using Weak-Bisim-Pres.parPres
        by(rule parPres)
  qed

lemma resPres:
  fixes  $P :: ccs$ 
  and  $Q :: ccs$ 
  and  $x :: \text{name}$ 

  assumes  $P \cong Q$ 

  shows  $(\nu x)P \cong (\nu x)Q$ 
  using assms
  proof(induct rule: weakCongISym2)
    case(cSim P Q)
      from  $\langle P \cong Q \rangle$  have  $P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle Q$  by(rule weakCongruenceE)
      thus ?case using Weak-Bisim-Pres.resPres
        by(rule resPres)
  qed

```

```

lemma weakBisimBangRel: bangRel weakBisimulation  $\subseteq$  weakBisimulation
proof auto
  fix P Q
  assume  $(P, Q) \in \text{bangRel weakBisimulation}$ 
  thus  $P \approx Q$ 
  proof(induct rule: bangRel.induct)
    case(BRBang P Q)
    from  $\langle P \approx Q \rangle$  show  $!P \approx !Q$  by(rule Weak-Bisim-Pres.bangPres)
  next
    case(BRPar R T P Q)
    from  $\langle R \approx T \rangle$  have  $R \parallel P \approx T \parallel P$  by(rule Weak-Bisim-Pres.parPres)
    moreover from  $\langle P \approx Q \rangle$  have  $P \parallel T \approx Q \parallel T$  by(rule Weak-Bisim-Pres.parPres)
    hence  $T \parallel P \approx T \parallel Q$  by(metis bisimWeakBisimulation Weak-Bisim.transitive
parComm)
    ultimately show  $R \parallel P \approx T \parallel Q$  by(rule Weak-Bisim.transitive)
  qed
qed

lemma bangPres:
  fixes P :: ccs
  and Q :: ccs

  assumes  $P \cong Q$ 

  shows  $!P \cong !Q$ 
using assms
proof(induct rule: weakCongISym2)
  case(cSim P Q)
  let  $?X = \{(P, Q) \mid P \cdot Q. P \cong Q\}$ 
  from  $\langle P \cong Q \rangle$  have  $(P, Q) \in ?X$  by auto
  moreover have  $\bigwedge P \cdot Q. (P, Q) \in ?X \implies P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{weakBisimulation} \rangle Q$  by(auto
dest: weakCongruenceE)
  moreover have  $?X \subseteq \text{weakBisimulation}$  by(auto intro: weakCongruenceWeak-
Bisimulation)
  ultimately have  $!P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{bangRel weakBisimulation} \rangle !Q$  by(rule bangPres)
  thus  $?case$  using weakBisimBangRel by(rule Weak-Cong-Sim.weakMonotonic)
qed

end

```

References

- [1] J. Bengtson. *Formalising process calculi*, volume 94. Uppsala Dissertations from the Faculty of Science and Technology, 2010.